

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1088/0004-637X/791/1/35

Robotic Laser-Adaptive-Optics Imaging of 715 Kepler Exoplanet Candidates using Robo-AO — Source link 🗹

Nicholas M. Law, Timothy D. Morton, Christoph Baranec, Reed Riddle ...+11 more authors

Institutions: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, California Institute of Technology, Harvard University, Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics

Published on: 17 Dec 2013 - arXiv: Earth and Planetary Astrophysics

Topics: Exoplanet, Kepler-47, Kepler-62, Planetary system and Kepler-62c

Related papers:

- Robotic Laser Adaptive Optics Imaging of 715 Kepler Exoplanet Candidates Using Robo-AO
- The Robo-AO KOI survey: Laser adaptive optics imaging of every Kepler exoplanet candidate
- High-resolution imaging of Kepler planet host candidates A comprehensive comparison of different techniques
- · Multiplicity in transiting planet-host stars A lucky imaging study of Kepler candidates
- Hubble Space Telescope High Resolution Imaging of Kepler Small and Cool Exoplanet Host Stars



ROBOTIC LASER ADAPTIVE OPTICS IMAGING OF 715 KEPLER EXOPLANET CANDIDATES USING ROBO-AO

NICHOLAS M. LAW¹, TIM MORTON², CHRISTOPH BARANEC³, REED RIDDLE², GANESH RAVICHANDRAN⁴, CARL ZIEGLER¹, JOHN ASHER JOHNSON⁵, SHRIHARSH P. TENDULKAR², KHANH BUI², MAHESH P. BURSE⁶, H. K. DAS⁶, RICHARD G. DEKANY², SHRINIVAS KULKARNI², SUJIT PUNNADI², AND A. N. RAMAPRAKASH⁶

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA ² Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

³ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Hilo, HI 96720-2700, USA

⁴ West Tresper Clarke High School, East Meadow School District, 740 Edgewood Drive, Westbury, NY 11590, USA

⁵ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁶ Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India

Received 2013 December 17; accepted 2014 June 2; published 2014 July 24

ABSTRACT

The Robo-AO *Kepler* Planetary Candidate Survey is observing every *Kepler* planet candidate host star with laser adaptive optics imaging to search for blended nearby stars, which may be physically associated companions and/ or responsible for transit false positives. In this paper, we present the results from the 2012 observing season, searching for stars close to 715 *Kepler* planet candidate hosts. We find 53 companions, 43 of which are new discoveries. We detail the Robo-AO survey data reduction methods including a method of using the large ensemble of target observations as mutual point-spread-function references, along with a new automated companion-detection algorithm designed for large adaptive optics surveys. Our survey is sensitive to objects from $\approx 0'.15$ to 2'.5 separation, with magnitude differences up to $\Delta m \approx 6$. We measure an overall nearby-star probability for *Kepler* planet candidates of 7.4% $\pm 1.0\%$, and calculate the effects of each detected nearby star on the *Kepler*-measured planetary radius. We discuss several *Kepler* Objects of Interest (KOIs) of particular interest, including KOI-191 and KOI-1151, which are both multi-planet systems with detected stellar companions whose unusual planetary system architecture might be best explained if they are "coincident multiple" systems, with several transiting planets shared between the two stars. Finally, we find 98% confidence evidence that short-period giant planets are two to three times more likely than longer-period planets to be found in wide stellar binaries.

Key words: binaries: close – instrumentation: adaptive optics – instrumentation: high angular resolution – methods: data analysis – methods: observational – planetary systems – planets and satellites: detection – planets and satellites: fundamental parameters

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

The *Kepler* mission, which has searched approximately 190,000 stars for the tiny periodic dips in stellar brightness indicative of transiting planets, is unprecedented in both sensitivity and scale among transiting planet surveys (Koch et al. 2010). Never before has a survey been able to detect such small planets—down to even the size of Earth's moon (Barclay et al. 2013)—and never before has a survey delivered so many planet candidates, with over 3500 planet candidates (candidate *Kepler* Objects of Interest; KOIs) found in a search of the first 12 quarters of *Kepler* photometry (Borucki et al. 2010, 2011; Batalha et al. 2013; Tenenbaum et al. 2013).

All exoplanet transit surveys require follow-up observations of the detected candidates. The purpose of this follow-up is twofold: first, to confirm that the detected photometric dimmings are in fact truly transiting planets rather than astrophysical false positives; and second, to characterize the host stellar system. High-angular-resolution imaging is a crucial ingredient of the follow-up effort, as many astrophysical false-positive scenarios involve nearby stellar systems whose light is blended with the target star (e.g., O'Donovan et al. 2006). Even if a transit candidate is a true planet, identifying whether it is in a binary stellar system has potentially important implications for determining the planet's detailed properties. For example, if there is considerable diluting flux from a companion star within the photometric aperture, even if the planet interpretation of the signal is secure, the planet will be larger than implied by the light curve alone under the assumption of a single host star (e.g., Johnson et al. 2011). The presence or absence of third bodies in the systems can also have broader implications about the processes of planetary system formation and evolution; stellar binarity has been hypothesized to be important in shaping the architectures of planetary systems, both by regulating planet formation and by dynamically sculpting planets final orbits, such as forcing Kozai oscillations that cause planet migration (e.g., Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007; Katz et al. 2011; Naoz et al. 2012) or tilting the circumstellar disk (Batygin 2012).

The vast majority of the individual *Kepler* candidates remain unconfirmed (<3% currently confirmed according to the NASA Exoplanet Archive, NEA). Current predictions based on models of the expected population of confusion sources suggest that at least 10%–15% of *Kepler*'s planetary candidates may be astrophysical false positives and that a large fraction of confirmed planets also have incorrectly determined planetary parameters because of confusing sources (Morton & Johnson 2011; Fressin et al. 2013; Dressing & Charbonneau 2013; Santerne et al. 2013). The possible false-positive scenario probabilities change with the brightness of the *Kepler* target, the details of its *Kepler* light curve, its spectral type, and the properties of the detected planetary system (e.g., Morton 2012). The false positives thus limit our ability to interpret individual objects, to evaluate differences



Figure 1. Distribution of the Robo-AO sample compared to the B13a (Batalha et al. 2013) KOIs. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

in planetary statistics between different stellar populations, and to generate fully robust statistical studies of the planetary population seen by *Kepler*.

In order to fully validate the individual *Kepler* planets and search for correlations between planetary systems and stellar multiplicity properties, we need to search for companions around every KOI. There have been several high-angular-resolution surveys of selected samples of KOIs to detect stellar companions and assess the false-positive probability (Adams et al. 2012; Lillo-Box et al. 2012; Horch et al. 2012; Adams et al. 2013; Marcy et al. 2014). However, many of these surveys are performed with adaptive optics systems, and the overheads typically associated with ground-based adaptive optics imaging have limited the number of targets which can be observed.

In this paper, we present the first results from a laser adaptive optics survey that is taking short snapshot high-angularresolution images of every *Kepler* planet candidate. The survey uses Robo-AO, the first robotic laser adaptive optics system (Baranec et al. 2012, 2013). We designed the automated system for relatively high time-efficiency, allowing the *Kepler* target list to be completed in \sim 36 hr of observing time.

This paper presents the 2012-observing-season results of the ongoing Robo-AO KOI survey, covering 715 targets and finding 53 companions,⁷ 43 of them new discoveries.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the Robo-AO system and the KOI survey target selection and observations. Section 3 describes the Robo-AO data reduction and companion-detection pipeline. In Section 4 we describe the survey's results, including the discovered companions. We discuss the results in Section 5, including detailing the effects of the survey's discoveries on the interpretation and veracity of the observed KOIs, and a brief discussion of the *Kepler* planet candidates' overall binarity statistics. We conclude in Section 6.

2. SURVEY TARGETS AND OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Target Selection

We selected targets from the KOIs catalog based on a Q1–Q6 *Kepler* data search (Batalha et al. 2013). Our initial targets were selected randomly from the Q1–Q6 KOIs, requiring only that the targets are brighter than $m_i = 16.0$, a restriction which removed only 2% of the KOIs. While it is our intent to observe every KOI with Robo-AO, this initial target selection provides a wide coverage of the range of KOI properties. Given Robo-AO's low time overheads, we took the time to re-observe KOIs which already had detected companions, to produce a complete and homogenous survey.

In Figure 1 we compare the Robo-AO imaged KOIs to the distribution of all Batalha et al. (2013) KOIs in magnitude, planetary period, planetary radius, and stellar temperature. The Robo-AO list closely follows the KOI list in the range of magnitude covered, with the exception of the three brightest stars (which have already been covered in detail by other non-laser adaptive optics systems), and a reduced coverage of the faintest KOIs, which Robo-AO requires excellent weather conditions to reach. Robo-AO's target distribution closely matches the full KOI list in planetary radius, planetary orbital period, and stellar temperature.

2.2. Observations

We obtained high-angular-resolution images of the 715 *Kepler* targeted planet candidate host stars in summer 2012. We performed all the observations in a queue-scheduled mode with the Robo-AO laser adaptive optics system (Baranec et al. 2012, 2013; Riddle et al. 2012) mounted on the robotic Palomar 60 inch telescope (Cenko et al. 2006). The survey and system specifications are summarized in Table 1.

⁷ For brevity we denote stars which we found within our detection radius of KOIs as "companions," in the sense that they are asterisms associated on the sky. In Section 5 we evaluate the probability that the detected objects are actually physically associated.



Figure 2. *Kepler* and Robo-AO passbands. The Robo-AO curves are generated from measured reflection and transmission data from all optical components with the exception of the primary and secondary of the 60 inch telescope which are assumed to be ideal bare aluminium. The *Kepler* curve is adapted from the *Kepler* Instrument Handbook.

 Table 1

 The Specifications of the Robo-AO KOI Survey

KOI Survey Specifications						
KOI targets observed	715					
Exposure time	90 s					
Observation wavelengths	600–950 nm					
FWHM resolution	0".12-0".15					
Field of view	$44'' \times 44''$					
Pixel scale	$43.1 \text{ mas pixel}^{-1}$					
Detector format	1024 ² pixels					
Detectable magnitude ratio	$\Delta m = 5$ mag. at 0 ["] .5 (typical)					
Observation date range	2012 Jun 17 – 2012 Oct 6					
Targets observed/hr	20					

Robo-AO observed the targets between 2012 June 17 and 2012 October 6, on 23 separate nights (detailed in Table 5 in the Appendix). We chose a standardized 90 s exposure time to provide a snapshot image which would contain all sources likely to affect the *Kepler* light curve, including close-in sources up to \sim 5 mag fainter than the *Kepler* target. For the observations described here, we used either a Sloan *i'*-band filter (York et al. 2000) or a long-pass filter cutting on at 600 nm (LP600 hereafter). The latter filter roughly matches the *Kepler* passband (Figure 2) at the redder wavelengths while suppressing the blue wavelengths which have reduced adaptive optics performance (except in the very best seeing conditions). Compared to near-infrared adaptive optics observations, this filter more closely approximates direct measurement of the effects of unresolved companions on the *Kepler* light curves.

Two dominant factors affect Robo-AO's imaging performance: the seeing and the brightness of the target. During the 23 nights of observing, the median seeing was 1".2, with minimum and maximum values of 0".8 and 1".9, respectively. We developed an automated routine to measure the actual imaging performance and to classify the targets into the imagingperformance classes given in the full observations list; this classification can be used with the contrast curve for each class to estimate the companion-detection performance for each target (Section 3.4).

3. DATA REDUCTION

To search the large data set for companions we developed a fully automated pipeline for data reduction, point-spread function (PSF) subtraction, companion detection and companion measurements in Robo-AO data. The pipeline first takes the short-exposure data cubes recorded by the EMCCD camera and produces dark, flat-field and tip-tilt-corrected co-added output images (Section 3.1). We then subtract a locally optimized PSF estimate from the image of the *Kepler* target in each field (Section 3.2), and either detect companions around the target stars or place limits on their existence (Section 3.3). Finally, we measure the properties of the detected companions (Section 3.5).

3.1. Imaging Pipeline

The Robo-AO imaging pipeline (Law et al. 2012; Terziev et al. 2013) is based on the Lucky Imaging reduction system described in Law et al. (2006a, 2006b, 2009). The recorded EMCCD-frames are dark-subtracted and flat-fielded, and are then corrected for image motion using a bright star in the field. For the KOI observations the relatively crowded fields often led to the automatic selection of a different guide star from the KOI. To avoid having to account for the effects of tip/tilt anisoplanatasism, we manually checked the location of the KOI in Digital Sky Survey images and selected the KOI itself as the guide star in each observation. To produce more consistent and predictable imaging performance for groups of similar KOIs, we used the KOI even if a brighter guide star was nearby and offered potentially increased performance.

3.2. PSF Subtraction using the Large Set of Robo-AO Target Observations

The KOI target stars are all in similar parts of the sky, have similar brightness, and were observed at similar airmasses. Because it is unlikely that a companion would be found in the same position for two different targets, we can use each night's ensemble of (at least 20) KOI observations as PSF references without requiring separate observations.

We use a custom locally optimized PSF subtraction routine based on the Locally Optimized Combination of Images algorithm (Lafrenière et al. 2007). For each KOI target we select 20 other KOI observations obtained in the same filter and closest to the target observation in time. We divide the region around the target star into sections based on polar coordinates: five upsampled pixels (110 mas) in radius and 45° in angle. Similar sections are extracted from each PSF reference image.

We then generate a locally optimized estimate of the PSF in each section by generating linear combinations of the reference PSFs. In each section, an initial PSF is generated by averaging all the reference PSFs. We then use a downhill simplex algorithm to optimize the contribution from each PSF image, searching for the combination which provides the best fit to the target image. This optimization is done on several sections simultaneously (in a region three sections in radius and two sections in angle) to minimize the probability of the algorithm artificially subtracting out real companions. After optimization in the large region, only the central section is output to the final PSF. This provides smooth transitions between adjacent PSF sections because they share many of the image pixels used for the optimization.



Figure 3. Typical Robo-AO target before and after PSF subtraction using the locally optimized ensemble of PSF references described in the text. The red circle shows the position of the primary star's PSF peak.

This procedure is iterated across all the sections of the image, producing a PSF which is an optimal local combination of the reference PSFs and which can then be subtracted from the target star's PSF. The PSF subtraction typically leaves residuals that are consistent with photon noise only (for these relatively short exposures). Figure 3 shows an example of the PSF subtraction performance.

3.3. Automated Companion Detection

We limited the detection radius of this initial search to a 2".5 radius from the target KOIs, covering the range of separations between seeing-limited surveys and ≈ 0 ".15 (subsequent papers will present an analysis of wider-radius companions in Robo-AO imaging).

To more easily and robustly find companions in this large data set, we developed a new automated companion detection algorithm for Robo-AO data. We first measure the local image noise as a function of distance from the target star, by covering the PSF-subtracted target image with four-pixel-diameter apertures and measuring the rms of the pixel values in each aperture, along with the average PSF-subtraction residual signal. We then fit a quadratic to interpolate the changes in noise and residual values as a function of radius from the target star position. For each pixel in the PSF-subtracted image we then use the noise and residual fits to estimate the significance of that pixel's signal level. This procedure generates a significance image where bright pixels in regions of high photon noise (i.e., in the core of the star) are down-weighted compared to those in lower-noise areas.

The significance image yields the pixels which have some chance of denoting detections of stars, but does not take into account the shapes of the detections—a single bright pixel surrounded by insignificant pixels is more likely to be due to a cosmic ray hit than a stellar companion, and a tens-of-pixels-wide blob is likely due to imperfect PSF subtraction. We quantify this by cross-correlating the significance image by a Gaussian corresponding to the diffraction limit of the Robo-AO observation. We then select the pixels which show the most significant detections (>5 σ) as possible detections, and amalgamate groups of multiple significant pixels into single detections.

After automated companion detection we also manually checked each image for companions, to check the performance of the automated system and to search for faint but real companions which could have been fit and removed by spurious speckles in the PSF references. The automated system picked up every manually flagged companion, and had a 3.5% false-positive rate from all the images, mainly due imperfect PSF subtraction.

3.4. Imaging Performance Metrics

We evaluated the contrast-versus-radius detection performance of the PSF-subtraction and automated companion detection code by performing Monte Carlo companion-detection simulations. The time-consuming simulations could only be performed on a group of representative targets, and so we established a quantitative image quality metric that allows each of our observations to be tied into the contrast curves for a particular test target. We first parameterized the performance of each observation of our data set by fitting a two-component model to the PSF based on two Moffat functions tuned to separately measure the widths of the core and halo of the PSF. We then picked 12 single-star observations to represent the variety of PSF parameter space in our data set. For each test star, we added a simulated companion into the observation at a random separation, position angle and contrast, ran the PSF subtraction and automated companion detection routines, and measured the detection significance (if any) of the simulated companion. We repeated this for 1000 simulated companions.⁸ We then binned the simulated detections as a function of separation from the target star, and in each radial bin fit a linear significance-versus-contrast relation. We use the intersection of the fitted relation with a 5σ detection to provide the minimum-detectable contrast in each radial bin.

We found that the PSF core size was an excellent predictor of contrast performance, while the halo size did not affect the contrast significantly. The halo is effectively removed by the PSF subtraction, and the contrast is thus chiefly limited by the companion signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), which scales with the achieved PSF core size (rather than the image FWHM,

⁸ For each simulated companion PSF we removed the central spike introduced by shifted-and-added photon-noise-limited detectors by averaging with nearby pixels (Law et al. 2006b, 2009); this conservative correction reduces our claimed detectable contrast by up to 25%.



Figure 4. Detectable magnitude ratios for three representative targets observed in the LP600 and SDSS i' filters (smoothed with fitting curves generated as described in Section 3.4).

which we found is a weak predictor of contrast performance in Robo-AO data). On this basis, we use the PSF core size to assign targets to contrast-performance groups (low, medium and high). As the imaging-performance degrades, we found that the relative contribution of the fitted core PSF decreases, while the core itself shrinks. The somewhat counter-intuitive size decrease is because poor imaging quality inevitably corresponds to poor S/N on the shift-and-add image alignment used by Robo-AO's EMCCD detector. This leads to the frame alignments locking onto photon noise spikes, and thus produces a single-pixel-sized spike in the images (Law et al. 2006b, 2009). We therefore assign images with a diffraction-limited-sizes core ($\sim 0'.15$) to the high-performance groups; smaller cores, where the imaging performance is degraded, were assigned to the lower-performance groups.

Figure 4 shows the contrast curves resulting from this procedure, for clarity smoothed with fitting functions of form a - b/(r - c) (where *r* is the radius from the target star and *a*, *b*, and *c* are fitting variables). The *i*-band observations obtain better contrast close-in than the LP600 filter, because of their improved Strehl ratios, while the broader LP600 filter allows somewhat improved contrast at wider radii under all but the poorest conditions.

3.5. Companion Characterization

3.5.1. Contrast Ratios

We determined the binaries' contrast ratio in two ways: for the widest separations we performed aperture photometry on the original images; for the closer systems we used the estimated PSF to remove the blended contributions of each of the stars before performing aperture photometry. In all cases the aperture sizes were optimized for the system separation and the available signal.

The locally optimized PSF subtraction will attempt to remove flux associated with companions by using other PSFs with (non-astrophysical) excess brightness in those areas, because it is trying to achieve the best fit to the target images without discrimination between real companions and speckles. By selecting an optimization over a region containing many PSF core sizes, we reduce the algorithm's ability to subtract away companion light for detection purposes. However, the companion will still be artificially faint in PSF-subtracted images, leading to errors in flux ratio measurements. To avoid this, we re-run the PSF fit excluding a six-pixel-diameter region around any detected companion. The PSF-fit regions are large enough to provide a good estimate for the PSF underneath the companion, and the companion brightness is not artificially reduced by this procedure.

We calculated the contrast ratio uncertainty on the basis of the difference between the injected and measured contrasts of the fake companions injected during the contrast-curve calculations (Section 3.3). We found that the detection significance of the companion was the best predictor of the contrast ratio accuracy, and so we use a fit to that relation to estimate the contrast ratio uncertainty for each companion. We note that the uncertainties (5%-30%) are much higher than would be naively expected from the S/N of the companion detection, as they include an estimate of the systematic errors resulting from the AO imaging, PSF-subtraction and contrast-measurement processes.

3.5.2. Separations and Position Angles

To obtain the separation and position angle of the binaries we centroided the PSF-subtracted images of the companion and primary, as above. We converted the raw pixel positions to on-sky separations and position angles using a distortion solution produced from Robo-AO measurements of globular clusters observed during the same timeframe as the Robo-AO KOI survey.⁹

We calculated the uncertainties of the companion separation and position angles using estimated systematic errors in the position measurements due to blending between components, depending on the separation of the companion (typically 1–2 pixels uncertainty in the position of each star). We also included an estimate of the maximal changes in the Robo-AO orientation throughout the observation period ($\pm 1^\circ.5$), as verified using the globular cluster measurements above. Finally, we verified the measured positions and contrast ratios in direct measurement from non-PSF-subtracted images.

4. DISCOVERIES

We resolved 53 *Kepler* planet candidate hosts into multiple stars; the discovery images are summarized in Figure 5 and the separations and contrast ratios are shown in Figure 6. Section 5 addresses the probability of physical association for these objects. The measured companion properties for the targets with secure detections are detailed in Table 2. Table 3 describes 15 probable companions which fell just below our formal 5σ detection criteria. We consider these very likely to be real (indeed, three have been previously detected by other groups), but in the present data we cannot exclude the possibility that one or two of these detections are spurious speckles.

Two of the targets showed potential companions that were not well-resolved by Robo-AO but were suggestive of interesting companions. KOI-1962 showed PSF-core-elongation indicative of a <0".15 separation nearly equal-magnitude binary. KOI-1964 has a probable faint companion at a separation of 0".4; dy-namic speckle noise reduces the detection significance to $\approx 3\sigma$. We confirmed the Robo-AO detections with NIRC2-NGS (Wizinowich et al. 2000) on Keck II on 2013 July 23 (Figure 7).

4.1. Comparison to Other Surveys

Lillo-Box et al. (2012, hereafter L12) observed 98 KOIs using a Lucky Imaging system. Seven of the targets for which

⁹ S. Hildebrandt (2013, private communication).

Table 2
Secure Detections of Objects within 2".5 of Kepler Planet Candidates

KOI	m _i	ObsID (mag)	Filter	Signf.	Separation (arcsec)	P.A. (deg.)	Mag. Diff. (mag)	Previous Detection?
KOI-1	11.2	2012 Jul 16	i	13	1.13 ± 0.06	135 ± 2	3.95 ± 0.33	D09
KOI-13	10.5	2012 Oct 6	i	950	1.16 ± 0.06	279 ± 2	0.19 ± 0.06	H11, A12
KOI-98	12.0	2012 Jul 17	i	80	0.29 ± 0.06	140 ± 6	0.76 ± 0.16	B11 H11 A12 H12
KOI-119	12.5	2012 Jul 16	i	38	1.05 ± 0.06	118 ± 2	0.87 ± 0.22	
KOI-141	13.4	2012 Jul 18	i	34	1.10 ± 0.06	11 ± 2	1.39 ± 0.23	A12
KOI-162	13.6	2012 Jul 18	LP600	19	0.29 ± 0.06	117 ± 7	0.81 ± 0.29	
KOI-174	13.4	2012 Jul 18	LP600	7	0.60 ± 0.06	77 ± 3	4.43 ± 0.44	A13
KOI-177	13.0	2012 Jul 18	i	12	0.24 ± 0.06	215 ± 8	0.97 ± 0.35	
KOI-191	14.7	2012 Sep 1	LP600	5	1.69 ± 0.06	94 ± 2	3.09 ± 0.49	
KOI-268		2012 Sep 14	LP600	23	1.81 ± 0.06	265 ± 2	3.82 ± 0.27	A12
KOI-356	13.5	2012 Jul 28	LP600	17	0.56 ± 0.06	218 ± 4	2.92 ± 0.30	
KOI-401	13.7	2012 Aug 5	LP600	19	1.99 ± 0.06	268 ± 2	2.90 ± 0.29	L12
KOI-511	14.0	2012 Sep 1	LP600	7	1.28 ± 0.06	123 ± 2	3.33 ± 0.43	
KOI-640	13.1	2012 Jul 28	i	16	0.44 ± 0.06	117 ± 4	0.62 ± 0.31	
KOI-687	13.6	2012 Aug 4	i	21	0.70 ± 0.06	13 ± 3	2.04 ± 0.28	
KOI-688	13.8	2012 Sep 14	LP600	19	1.71 ± 0.06	141 ± 2	2.19 ± 0.29	
KOI-712	13.5	2012 Aug 5	i	21	0.47 ± 0.06	173 ± 4	1.17 ± 0.28	
KOI-984	11.4	2012 Aug 3	i	120	1.80 ± 0.06	42 ± 2	0.01 ± 0.14	
KOI-1002	13.4	2012 Aug 3	i	9	0.30 ± 0.06	173 ± 6	2.31 ± 0.38	
KOI-1050	13.7	2012 Aug 3	i	8	2.09 ± 0.06	197 ± 2	2.70 ± 0.40	
KOI-1150	13.1	2012 Aug 5	i	9	0.39 ± 0.06	322 ± 5	2.41 ± 0.39	
KOI-1152	13.6	2012 Sep 14	LP600	16	0.59 ± 0.06	2 ± 3	0.31 ± 0.31	
KOI-1274	13.1	2012 Aug 6	i	7	1.10 ± 0.06	241 ± 2	3.75 ± 0.44	
KOI-1613		2012 Aug 29	i	36	0.22 ± 0.06	184 ± 9	1.30 ± 0.22	
KOI-1619	11.4	2012 Aug 29	i	60	2.10 ± 0.06	226 ± 2	2.82 ± 0.18	
KOI-1677	14.1	2012 Sep 4	LP600	7	0.61 ± 0.06	159 ± 3	4.76 ± 0.44	
KOI-1880	13.8	2012 Jul 15	LP600	6	1.70 ± 0.06	100 ± 2	3.66 ± 0.45	
KOI-1890	11.6	2012 Aug 29	i	42	0.41 ± 0.06	142 ± 5	3.44 ± 0.21	
KOI-1916	13.4	2012 Sep 13	LP600	31	0.27 ± 0.06	143 ± 7	2.73 ± 0.24	
KOI-1962		2012 Aug 30	i		0.12 ± 0.03		$0.04 (K_s)$	
KOI-1964	10.5	2012 Aug 30	i		0.39 ± 0.03		$1.9(K_s)$	
KOI-1979	12.8	2012 Aug 30	i	9	0.84 ± 0.06	192 ± 3	3.20 ± 0.39	
KOI-2059	12.6	2012 Oct 6	LP600	120	0.38 ± 0.06	291 ± 5	1.10 ± 0.14	
KOI-2143	13.9	2012 Oct 6	LP600	19	2.16 ± 0.06	317 ± 2	3.50 ± 0.29	
KOI-2463	12.6	2012 Aug 31	i	70	0.62 ± 0.06	125 ± 3	0.75 ± 0.17	
KOI-2486	12.9	2012 Aug 31	i	18	0.24 ± 0.06	63 ± 8	0.49 ± 0.30	
KOI-2641	13.6	2012 Oct 6	LP600	36	1.42 ± 0.06	214 ± 2	2.56 ± 0.22	
KOI-2657	12.7	2012 Oct 6	LP600	62	0.73 ± 0.06	131 ± 3	0.27 ± 0.18	

Notes. References for previous detections are denoted with the following codes: Adams et al. 2012 (A12); Adams et al. 2013 (A13); Buchhave et al. 2011 (B11); Daemgen et al. 2009 (D09); Horch et al. 2012 (H12); Howell et al. 2011 (H11); Lillo-Box et al. 2012 (L12).

KOI	m_i	ObsID	Filter	Signf.	Separation	P.A.	Mag. Diff.	Previous Detection?
		(mag)		σ	(arcsec)	(deg.)	(mag)	
KOI-97	12.7	2012 Jul 17	i	4.2	1.90 ± 0.06	99 ± 2	4.61 ± 0.52	A12
KOI-306	12.4	2012 Jul 18	i	3.6	2.06 ± 0.06	243 ± 2	4.16 ± 0.56	A12
KOI-628	13.7	2012 Aug 3	i	1.4	1.83 ± 0.06	309 ± 2	5.20 ± 0.80	L12
KOI-987	12.3	2012 Aug 3	i	2.4	2.05 ± 0.06	225 ± 2	4.10 ± 0.66	
KOI-1151	13.2	2012 Aug 5	i	3.2	0.75 ± 0.06	309 ± 3	3.49 ± 0.58	
KOI-1359	15.0	2012 Sep 4	LP600	3.4	1.43 ± 0.06	333 ± 2	3.80 ± 0.57	
KOI-1375	13.5	2012 Aug 6	i	4.0	0.77 ± 0.06	269 ± 3	4.38 ± 0.53	
KOI-1442	12.3	2012 Aug 6	i	3.3	2.24 ± 0.06	70 ± 2	6.68 ± 0.57	
KOI-1845	14.1	2012 Sep 13	LP600	2.9	2.06 ± 0.06	77 ± 2	4.97 ± 0.60	
KOI-1884	15.2	2012 Sep 13	LP600	2.5	0.95 ± 0.06	96 ± 2	3.65 ± 0.64	
KOI-1891	15.0	2012 Sep 13	LP600	3.0	2.09 ± 0.06	210 ± 2	4.46 ± 0.60	
KOI-2009	13.6	2012 Sep 14	LP600	4.9	1.51 ± 0.06	176 ± 2	4.11 ± 0.49	
KOI-2159	13.3	2012 Aug 31	i	4.0	2.00 ± 0.06	323 ± 2	3.99 ± 0.53	
KOI-2413	14.7	2012 Sep 14	LP600	2.4	0.31 ± 0.06	67 ± 6	2.11 ± 0.66	
KOI-2443	13.8	2012 Oct 6	LP600	3.7	1.39 ± 0.06	163 ± 2	5.37 ± 0.55	

 Table 3

 Likely Detections of Objects within 2".5 of Kepler Planet Candidates

Notes. References for previous detections are denoted with the following codes: Adams et al. 2012 (A12); Lillo-Box et al. 2012 (L12).

KOI1	КОІ13	KOI97	КОІ98	KOI119	KOI141	KOI162
0				0	•	0
KOI174	KOI177	КОІ191	KOI268	KOI306	KOI356	KOI401
	\odot				\odot	
KOI511	KOI628	KOI640	KOI687	KOI688	KOI712	KOI984
0	•	C	Q	0	Ŏ	•
KOI987	KOI1002	KOI1050	KOI1150	KOI1151	KOI1152	KOI1274
•	\bigcirc	•	\mathbf{O}		\odot	•
KOI1359	KOI1375	KOI1442	KOI1613	KOI1619	KOI1677	KOI1845
٠				•	8	
KOI1880	KOI1884	KOI1890	KOI1891	KOI1916	KOI1962	KOI1964
		0	•	۲	۲	
KOI1979	KOI2009	KOI2059	КОІ2143	KOI2159	KOI2413	KOI2443
8		\bigcirc		•	\bigcirc	
KOI2463	KOI2486	KOI2641	KOI2657	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		North
O		•	©*		4.0 arcsec	East

Figure 5. *Kepler* planet candidates resolved into multiple stars by Robo-AO. The grayscale of each 4" cutout is selected to show the companion; the angular scale and orientation is identical for each cutout.

they discovered companions within a $2''_{.5}$ radius are also in our survey. Both surveys detect KOI-401 at a separation of $2''_{.0}$ and at a contrast of 2.6 mag (L12 *i*-band) or 2.9 mag (Robo-AO LP600). The companions to KOI-628 were visible in our survey but at contrasts that placed them in the "likely detections" group. L12 detected a companion to KOI-658 at $1''_{.9}$ radius and a

contrast of 4.6 mag in *i*-band. At that radius, for the performance achieved on KOI-658, the Robo-AO snapshot-survey limiting magnitude ratio is \sim 4.0 mag and so we do not re-detect that companion. For the same reason we also do not re-detect the companions to KOI-703 (6.4 mag contrast), KOI-704 (5.0 mag contrast) and KOI-721 (3.9 mag contrast). The 0.13 radius



Figure 6. Separations and magnitude differences of the detected companions compared to the survey's typical high-performance 5σ contrast curve (one very faint companion was detected around a bright KOI in exceptional conditions). The distribution of companion properties has no evidence for unaccounted incompleteness effects, although there is an excess of bright companions at close separations, suggesting that those companions are more likely to be physically associated.

companion to KOI-1537 detected in Adams et al. (2013) is at too close a separation to be detectable in our survey. The L12 companion to KOI-1375 is visible in our data set, but has a contrast ratio of 4.0 mag, under our formal detection limit and well below the 2.75 mag *i*-band contrast measured by L12. The target is not strongly colored according to L12 and it is not obvious why the companion is so much fainter in our survey.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Implications for Kepler Planet Candidates

The detection of a previously unknown star within the photometric aperture of a KOI host star will affect the derived radius of any planet candidate around that host star, because the *Kepler* observed transit depth is shallower than the true depth due to dilution. The degree of this effect depends upon the relative brightness of the target and secondary star, and which star is actually being transited. In particular, if there is more than one star in the photometric aperture and the transiting object is around a star that contributes a fraction F_i to the total light in the aperture, then

$$\delta_{\rm true} = \delta_{\rm obs} \left(\frac{1}{F_i}\right),\tag{1}$$

where δ_{true} is the true intrinsic fractional transit depth and δ_{obs} is the observed, diluted depth. Since $\delta \propto (R_p/R_*)^2$, the true planet radius in the case where the transit is around star *i* is

$$R_{p,i} = R_{\star,i} \left(\frac{R_p}{R_\star}\right)_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{F_i}},\tag{2}$$

where $R_{\star,i}$ is the radius of star *i*, and the 0 subscript represents the radius ratio implied by the diluted transit, or what would be inferred by ignoring the presence of any blending flux.

Thus, for each planet candidate in KOI systems observed to have close stellar companions, the derived planet radius must be corrected—and there are two potential scenarios for each candidate: the eclipsed star is either star A (the brighter target star) or star B (the fainter companion).

In case A, the corrected planet radius is

$$R_{p,A} = R_{p,0} \sqrt{\frac{1}{F_A}},$$
 (3)

and in case B,

$$R_{p,B} = R_{p,0} \frac{R_B}{R_A} \sqrt{\frac{1}{F_B}}.$$
(4)

Case A is straightforward, with nothing needed except the observed contrast ratio (in order to calculate F_A). It should be noted, however, that this assumes that the estimated host stellar radius R_A is unchanged by the detection of the companion star. As the radii for most *Kepler* stars are inferred photometrically, this may not be strictly true, as light from the companion might cause the primary stellar type to be misidentified. We do not attempt to quantify the extent of this effect in this paper. We do, however, note that it is likely to be negligible for larger contrast ratios where the colors of the blended system are dominated by light from the primary.

Case B, in addition to needing F_B , needs also the ratio R_B/R_A . If the observed companion is an unassociated background star, then the single-band Robo-AO observation does not constrain R_B . However, under the assumption that the companion is physically bound, then we can estimate its size and spectral type, given assumed knowledge about the primary star A.

In order to accomplish this, we use the Dartmouth stellar models (Dotter et al. 2008) and the measured primary KOI star



Figure 7. Keck-AO NIRC2 J-band images confirming two Robo-AO companion detections.

 Table 4

 Implications on Derived Radius of Kepler Planet Candidates

KOI	P^{a}	R_p^{a}	R_{\star}^{a}	Δm	sep	$R_{\star,B}^{b}$	$R_{p,A}^{c}$	$R_{p,B}$	$R_{p,B_{bp}}^{d}$
-	(d)	(\dot{R}_{\oplus})	(R_{\odot})	(mag)	(″)	(R_{\odot})	(R_{\oplus})	(R_{\oplus})	(R_{\oplus})
1.01	2 471	14 40	1.06	4.0	1 1 3	0.50	14.6	42.0	84.9
13.01	1 764	23.00	2 70	0.2	1.15	2 70	31.2	34.0	12.6
97.01	4.885	16.10	1.78	4.6	1.90	0.57	16.2	43.6	76.1
98.01	6.790	10.00	1.63	0.8	0.29	1.26	12.2	13.4	10.7
119.01	49.184	3.90	0.94	0.9	1.05	0.76	4.7	5.6	7.5
119.02	190.313	3.40					4.1	4.9	6.5
141.01	2.624	5.43	0.93	1.4	1.10	0.72	6.1	9.0	12.5
162.01	14.006	2.54	0.96	0.8	0.29	0.79	3.1	3.7	4.7
174.01	56.354	1.94	0.63	4.4	0.60	0.21	2.0	5.1	24.0
177.01	21.060	1.84	1.06	1.0	0.24	0.84	2.2	2.7	3.2
191.01	15.359	11.00	0.88	3.1	1.69	0.55	11.3	29.3	53.4
191.02	2.418	2.30		• • •	•••		2.4	6.1	11.2
191.03	0.709	1.24	•••	•••	•••	•••	1.3	3.3	6.0
191.04	38.652	2.30					2.4	6.1	11.2
268.01	110.379	1.73	0.79	3.8	1.81	0.33	1.8	4.3	13.0
306.01	24.308	2.29	0.8/	4.2	2.06	0.39	2.3	7.0	18.0
330.01 401.01	1.827	5.75	1.00	2.9	1.00	0.00	5.9 75	9.4	14.2
401.01	29.199	7.25	1.38	2.9	1.99	0.00	7.5	12.0	18.0
401.02	55 328	2.66	•••	•••	•••		2.8	12.0	6.6
511.01	8 006	2.00	1.08	33	1.28	0.61	2.0	7.5	12.3
511.01	4 264	1.58	1.00	5.5	1.20	0.01	1.6	4.2	6.9
628.01	14 486	3.10	1.29	5.2	1.83	0.38	3.1	10.1	26.5
640.01	30.996	2.44	0.89	0.6	0.44	0.80	3.1	3.6	4.5
687.01	4.178	1.46	0.93	2.0	0.70	0.64	1.6	2.8	4.3
688.01	3.276	2.28	1.35	2.2	1.71	0.77	2.4	3.8	4.9
712.01	2.178	1.08	0.84	1.2	0.47	0.76	1.3	1.9	2.6
984.01	4.287	3.19	0.92	0.0	1.80	0.92	4.5	4.5	4.9
987.01	3.179	1.28	0.92	4.1	2.05	0.42	1.3	3.9	9.3
1002.01	3.482	1.36	1.01	2.3	0.30	0.66	1.4	2.7	4.1
1050.01	1.269	1.40	0.76	2.7	2.09	0.46	1.5	3.1	6.6
1050.02	2.853	1.40					1.5	3.1	6.6
1150.01	0.677	1.10	1.09	2.4	0.39	0.66	1.2	2.1	3.2
1151.01	10.435	1.46	0.97	3.5	0.75	0.50	1.5	3.8	7.7
1151.02	7.411	1.15	•••		•••		1.2	3.0	6.0
1151.03	5.249	0.70	•••	•••	•••		0.7	1.8	3.7
1151.04	17.453	0.87	•••		•••		0.9	2.3	4.6
1151.05	21.720	0.97					1.0	2.5	5.1
1274.01	4.722	19.50	0.03	0.5	1 10	0.34	23.9	12.6	43.7
1350.01	37 101	3.50	0.79	3.8	1.10	0.57	3.6	12.0	24.5
1359.02	104 820	7 30	0.72	5.0	1.75	0.50	5.0 7.4	27.1	46.3
1375.01	321.214	6.78	1.17	4.4	0.77	0.50	6.8	22.0	44.0
1442.01	0.669	1.23	1.00	6.7	2.24	0.20	1.2	5.2	26.8
1613.01	15.866	1.07	1.04	1.3	0.22	0.78	1.2	1.7	2.1
1613.02	94.091	1.08					1.2	1.7	2.2
1619.01	20.666	0.80	0.62	2.8	2.10	0.33	0.8	1.6	4.9
1677.01	52.070	2.18	0.85	4.8	0.61	0.43	2.2	9.8	23.1
1677.02	8.512	0.81					0.8	3.7	8.6
1845.01	1.970	1.50	0.70	5.0	2.06	0.19	1.5	4.0	21.2
1845.02	5.058	21.00					21.1	56.2	297.4
1880.01	1.151	1.49	0.52	3.7	1.70	0.18	1.5	2.8	15.6
1884.01	23.120	5.00	0.92	3.6	0.95	0.55	5.1	16.3	29.7
1884.02	4.775	2.63	•••		•••		2.7	8.6	15.6
1890.01	4.336	1.50	1.32	3.4	0.41	0.62	1.5	3.5	5.7
1891.01	15.955	1.85	0.69	4.5	2.09	0.33	1.9	6.9	21.1
1891.02	8.260	1.26					1.3	4.7	14.4
1916.01	20.679	2.16	0.96	2.7	0.27	0.67	2.2	5.5	8.2
1916.02	9.600	1.89			•••	•••	2.0	4.8	7.2
1916.03	2.025	0.92					1.0	2.4	3.5
19/9.01	2./14	1.13	0.94	3.2 4 1	0.84	0.52	1.2	2.8 7.2	5.4 15.2
2009.01	6 1 4 9 6 1 4 7	2.20	0.97	4.1 1 1	1.31	0.48	2.2 1.0	7.5 1.4	13.2
2059.01	2.186	0.60	0.07	1.1	0.30	0.00	0.7	1.4	2.4 17
		5.50					···/	1.0	

Table 4(Continued)

KOI	P^{a}	R_p^{a}	R_{\star}^{a}	Δm	sep	$R_{\star,B}^{b}$	$R_{p,A}^{c}$	$R_{p,B}$	$R_{p,B_{bg}}^{d}$
_	(d)	(R_{\oplus})	(R_{\odot})	(mag)	(")	(R_{\odot})	(R_{\oplus})	(R_{\oplus})	(R_{\oplus})
2143.01	4.790	1.14	0.81	3.5	2.16	0.54	1.2	3.9	7.2
2159.01	7.597	1.07	0.88	4.0	2.00	0.48	1.1	3.8	7.7
2159.02	2.393	0.99					1.0	3.5	7.2
2413.01	12.905	1.32	0.65	2.1	0.31	0.46	1.4	2.7	5.8
2413.02	31.200	1.26					1.3	2.6	5.5
2443.01	6.792	1.20	1.09	5.4	1.39	0.41	1.2	5.3	13.1
2443.02	11.837	1.02					1.0	4.5	11.1
2463.01	7.467	1.02	0.97	0.8	0.62	0.94	1.2	1.7	1.8
2486.01	4.268	2.71	1.17	0.5	0.24	1.08	3.5	4.0	3.7
2641.01	3.556	1.20	1.10	2.6	1.42	0.66	1.3	2.5	3.7
2657.01	5.224	0.60	0.80	0.3	0.73	0.89	0.8	1.0	1.1

Notes.

^a Values taken from the NASA Exoplanet Archive.

^b Estimated radius of the stellar companion in the scenario where it is physically bound to the target star. Estimate made according to the absolute magnitude difference in the *Kepler* band, according the Dartmouth stellar models (Dotter et al. 2008).

^c Eclipsing object radius in the scenario where the companion star is the eclipsed object and is physically bound to the target star, assuming the stellar radius of star B as estimated in this table.

^d Eclipsing object radius in the scenario where the companion star is the eclipsed object and is a chance-aligned background star with radius 1 R_{\odot} . We note that a background or foreground object is perhaps unlikely to be solar-type, but this quantification allows for simple scaling of the implied eclipsing object radius.

properties listed in the NASA Exoplanet Archive. For the mass and age of the primary, we use the Dartmouth isochrones to find an absolute magnitude in the observed band (approximating the LP600 bandpass as *Kepler* band), then we inspect the isochrone to find the mass of a star that is the appropriate amount fainter (according to the observed contrast ratio), and assign the stellar radius R_B accordingly.

Table 4 summarizes how the planet radii change under both case A and B for each KOI in all the systems in which we detect companions. We also list an additional case B_{bg} for the situation in which the eclipsed star is not physically bound—since we do not have a constraint on R_B in this situation, we simply list the planet radii for the case of $R_B = 1 R_{\odot}$, which allows for simple scaling.

Interestingly, under case *B* where the transit is assumed to be around a bound companion, in many cases the implied planet radius is not indicative of a false positive. This is because in order to get a large radius correction there must be a large contrast ratio, which then (in the physically associated scenario) implies that the secondary is a small star, which shrinks the radius correction factor. In fact, the only candidates which attain clearly non-planetary radii under case *B* are those which already have radii comparable to or larger than Jupiter to begin with. On the other hand, case B_{bg} often suggests a non-planetary radius, as the stellar radius in this case is not bound to shrink as the contrast ratio grows.

We leave a quantitative analysis exploring the relative probability of scenario B being a physically bound or chance-aligned companion to future work. However, we note qualitatively that relatively bright, small-separation companions are more likely to be physically associated, whereas more distant and higher contrast ratio companions are more likely to be foreground/ background objects.

5.2. Particularly Interesting Systems

There are several KOIs with detected companions which we note as being of particular interest, some of which might represent rare false-positive scenarios. Future work will quantitatively assess the true nature of these particular KOIs (e.g., the probability that any given KOI is a false positive).

5.2.1. KOI-191: A Probable "Coincident Multiple"

KOI-191 was identified by Batalha et al. (2013) to have four planet candidates, with periods of approximately 0.7, 2.4, 15.4, and 38.7 days. The 15.4 days candidate has an estimated radius of $11 R_{\oplus}$, whereas all the rest are smaller than $1.5 R_{\oplus}$. This system is notable because in the entire current cumulative KOI catalog, there are only four multi-candidate systems that have a planet candidate (either "CANDIDATE" or "NOT DISPOSITIONED" in the NEA) with $10 R_{\oplus} < R < 20 R_{\oplus}$ and P < 20 d. Two of these four (KOI-199 and KOI-3627) are marked as two-planet systems but the second candidate in each is identified as a FP in the Q1-Q12 activity table, making them effectively single-candidate systems. The host star of KOI-338 has $R_{\star} = 19.2 M_{\odot}$, and its two candidates have radii of 17 and 37 R_{\oplus} , making that system most likely a stellar multiple system. This leaves KOI-191 as the only multiple-candidate Kepler system including a Jupiter-like candidate with P < 20 days. By contrast, there are 62 single candidates that match these same radius and period cuts (64 including KOI-199 and KOI-3627).

Based on the apparent rarity of planetary systems with this architecture and the fact that we detect a stellar companion to the KOI-191 host star, we conclude that this is a likely "coincident multiple" system, with KOI-191.01 around one of the stars, and the other three around the other. There are three possibilities: (1) since the companion star (1"69 separation) is 3.1 mag fainter, if it is the host of KOI-191.01, then it is most likely a stellar eclipsing binary; (2) if the primary star hosts .01, then the secondary likely hosts the three-candidate system, in which case .02–.04 are more likely all super-Earth/Neptune-sized; (3) it may be the case that all four planets are indeed around the same star, which would make KOI-191 a planetary system of unusual architecture, inviting further study.

5.2.2. KOI-268: Habitable Zone Candidate?

KOI-268 hosts a planet candidate in a 110 day orbit. The candidate has a radius of 1.7 R_{\oplus} and an equilibrium temperature of 295 K, according to the NEA. However, Robo-AO detects a stellar companion 3.8 mag fainter at a separation of 1".81. We also note the presence of a possible fainter companion at a 2".45 separation, a position angle of 306° and a contrast ratio of ≈ 5.5 mag. The equilibrium temperature calculation of the candidate is based on the estimated effective temperature of the host star and the planet is therefore unlikely to be in the habitable zone if it is around one of the companions.

5.2.3. KOI-628: Possible Triple-system

KOI-628 has a previously detected faint companion at a separation of 1".83 (Barrado et al. 2013; Lillo-Box et al. 2012). We also re-detect a further possible companion just beyond our detection-target radius, at 2.55 separation.

5.2.4. KOI-1151: Another Possible Coincident Multiple

KOI-1151, discovered by this survey to have a companion with $\Delta i \approx 3.5$ at a separation of 0.75, is another system with

unusual architecture that might be best explained if the candidates were shared between the two stars. This system has five detected planet candidates, with periods of 5.25, 7.41, 10.44, 17.45, and 21.72 days.¹⁰ What makes this system appear unusual is the presence of the 7.41 days candidate in between the 5.25 days and 10.44 days candidates, which have nearly exact 2:1 commensurability. Of the 22 multi-KOI systems that have a pair of planets within 2% of exact 2:1 commensurability, only KOI-1151 and KOI-2038 have another candidate between the pair (the inner two planets in this system have been confirmed via transit timing variations by Ming et al. 2013). Migration can tend to deposit planets in or near resonant configurations, but it appears to be unusual for a planet to be stuck between two other planets that are near a strong resonance-perhaps this is an indication that the KOI-1151 system is not a single planetary system at all, but rather two separate systems. Another plausible configuration is that KOIs 1151.02 (the interloper at 7.41 days) and 1151.05 (the 21.72 days candidate) are separated from the other three as those two are near 3:1 commensurability.

5.2.5. KOI-1442: Largest Contrast Ratio Companion

We detect a likely companion to KOI-1442 (Kepler magnitude of 12.52) at a separation of $2^{\prime\prime}$ 24 and a contrast ratio of \sim 6.7 mag. Because of the relatively large separation and large contrast ratio, this detection is more likely to be a background object rather than a physically bound companion. KOI-1442.01 is a planet candidate with a period of 0.67 days and a radius of 1.2 R_{\oplus} ; however, if the fainter companion star is the source of the transit, the radius of the eclipsing object would be significantly larger— $\sim 20 \times$ larger if the companion has the same radius as KOI-1442. Especially since there are hints that very short-period systems may be more likely to be blended binaries (Colón et al. 2012), there might be concern that this candidate is a background eclipsing binary false positive. However, against this hypothesis stands the centroid offset analysis of Bryson et al. (2013) as presented on the NEA, which suggests that the source of the transit could be at most maybe 0?5 away from the target position. Therefore, while this system is notable due to the faintness of its detected companion, the companion is unlikely to be the source of a false positive due to its large separation.

5.2.6. KOI-1845: One Likely False Positive in a Two-candidate System

KOI-1845 hosts two planetary candidates: .01 is a $1.5 R_{\oplus}$ candidate in a 1.97-d orbit, and .02 is a $21 R_{\oplus}$ candidate in a 5.06 day orbit. Without any AO observations this system would be suspicious because close-in giant planets are very unlikely to have other planets nearby (see Section 5.2.1); in addition, candidate .02 has a very large *Kepler*-estimated radius and appears to have a significantly *V*-shaped transit. In this survey we detect a companion 5.0 mag fainter at a separation of 2.02 is that the most likely explanation for KOI-1845.02 is that this companion is a background eclipsing binary.

5.2.7. Systems with Secure Small Planets

There are five systems that host planet candidates with $R_p < 2 R_{\oplus}$ in which we have detected stellar companions but whose interpretation as small planets ($< 2 R_{\oplus}$) is nonetheless secure, as long as the companions are physically bound. This

 $^{^{10}}$ The NEA cumulative KOI table gives KOI-1151.01 a 5.22 day period rather than 10.44 days, which would be clearly unphysical in the presence of another candidate with a 5.25 day period; the Q1–Q12 table corrects the period of 1151.01–10.44.



Figure 8. Fraction of KOIs with detected nearby stars as a function of stellar effective temperature.

happens when the candidates are small and the companion is of comparable brightness such that the potential effect of dilution is minimized, even if the eclipse is around the fainter star. The specifics of these systems can be seen in Table 4 but we call attention to them here: KOI-1613, KOI-1619, KOI-2059, KOI-2463, and KOI-2657.

5.3. Stellar Multiplicity and Kepler Planet Candidates

Our detection of 53 planetary candidates with nearby stars, from 715 targets, implies an overall nearby-star probability of 7.4% \pm 1.0%, within the detectable separation range of our survey (0'.15–2'.5, $\Delta m \leq 6$).

In this section we go on to search for broad-scale correlations between stellar multiplicity and planetary candidate properties. The companions we detect may not be physically bound, nor are we sensitive to binaries in all possible orbital locations around these KOIs. This multiplicity rate, therefore, should not be expected give a full description of the physical stellar multiplicity of *Kepler* planet candidates; however, we can use the current survey results to compare the multiplicity rates of different populations of planet candidates. Future papers from the ongoing Robo-AO survey will investigate the multiplicity properties of *Kepler* candidates in more detail, including quantifying the effects of association probability and incompleteness.

The above nearby-star probability calculation and the following sections use the binomial distribution to calculate the uncertainty ranges in the multiplicity fractions (e.g., Burgasser et al. 2003) and Fisher exact tests (e.g., Feigelson & Jogesh Babu 2012) to evaluate the significance of differences in multiplicity between different populations.

5.3.1. Stellar Multiplicity Rates versus Host-star Temperature

Figure 8 shows the fraction of multiple stellar systems around *Kepler*-detected planetary systems as a function of stellar temperature from the *Kepler* Input Catalog (Brown et al. 2011). The hottest stars appear to have an increased stellar multiplicity fraction, but there is a 16% probability this is due to chance. We thus do not detect any significant change in the stellar multiplicity fraction with KOI temperature, although the initial survey presented here does not yet cover the entire *Kepler* sample of non-solar-type stars.

5.3.2. Stellar Multiplicity and Multiple-planet Systems

It is expected that multiple-planet systems detected by *Kepler* are less likely to be false positives than single-planet systems



Figure 9. Binarity fractions of KOIs hosting single- and multiple-detected planetary systems.



Figure 10. 1 σ uncertainty regions for binarity fraction as a function of KOI period for two different planetary populations (we split "small" from "giant" at Neptune's radius (3.9 R_{\oplus}), but the exact value of the split does not significantly affect the uncertainty region shape). The gas giants cut off for shorter periods because of insufficient targets for acceptable statistics.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

because there are far fewer false-positive scenarios which can lead to multiple-period false positives. In Figure 9 we show the stellar multiplicity rates for single and multiple planet detections. There is a difference in stellar multiplicity between the single and multiple planet detections, but a Fisher exact test shows a 13% probability of this being a chance difference due to small-number statistics. At least in the current data set we cannot distinguish stellar multiplicity between single and multiple planet systems.

5.3.3. Stellar Multiplicity and Close-in Planets

Stellar binarity has been hypothesized to be important in shaping the architectures of planetary systems, both by regulating planet formation and by dynamically sculpting planets final orbits, such as forcing Kozai oscillations that cause planet migration (Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007; Katz et al. 2011; Naoz et al. 2012) or by tilting the circumstellar disk (Batygin 2012). If planetary migration is induced by a third body, one would expect to find a correlation between the presence of a detected third body and the presence of short-period planets.

Figure 10 shows the fraction of *Kepler* planet candidates with nearby stars as a function of the period of the closest-in planet, grouping the planets into two different size ranges. From these raw binarity fractions, where we have not accounted for the probability of physical association, it appears that while small planets do not show a significant change in third-body probability with the orbital period of the *Kepler* candidate,



Figure 11. Fraction of KOIs with nearby stars for four different planetary populations. Giant here is shorthand for a radius equal to or larger than that of Neptune. We assign KOIs to these populations if any planet in the system meets the requirements; a small number of multiple-planet systems are therefore assigned to multiple populations.

giant planets show a significant increase at periods less than ~ 15 days. Binning all our targets into only four population groups allows us to search for smaller changes in the binarity statistics (Figure 11). We arbitrarily split "small" planets from "giant" planets at Neptune's radius (3.9 R_{\oplus}), but the exact value of the split does not significantly affect the results; only two of the detected systems have planetary radii within 20% of the cutoff value. We see that small planets at short periods share the same binarity fraction as all sizes of planets with >15 days periods (within statistical errors). However, the shortperiod giant planets again show a significantly increased binarity fraction. A Fisher exact test rejects the hypothesis that the two planetary populations have the same binarity fraction, at the 95% level.

We can attempt to remove the background asterisms by selecting on the basis of magnitude ratio, as faint background stars are more likely to be chance alignments than roughly equal-brightness companions. Our survey displayed an excess of close-separation bright companions: there are 13 companions with $\Delta m < 2$ with separations <1".5, and only one at larger radii (Figure 6), while the numbers of fainter companions do not show such a bias. We suggest that this excess reveals a bright-companion population which is more likely to be physically associated than an average companion in the survey.

Selecting the companions with $\Delta m < 2$ and separation $<1.5^{\circ}$ leads an increased difference in stellar multiplicity between the planetary populations (Figure 12), increasing the significance to 98%. This approach does not fully account for the probability of each companion being physically associated, and so its results should be interpreted with caution. For example, close-in companions are less likely to be rejected by the *Kepler* centroid-based false-positive tests, but it is not obvious why this rejection would be different for planetary systems with short-period (<15 days) and longer-period KOIs (with a median period of 54 days for the KOIs we surveyed). In fact, the shorter-period systems have more eclipse events in the *Kepler* data set and it should therefore be easier to detect a small centroid shift from close-in companions.

On the basis of our current analysis, we suggest that the difference of multiplicity rates between the planetary populations may be tentative evidence for third bodies in stellar systems producing an excess of close-in giant planets. We expect the full Robo-AO surveys to be able to evaluate this possibility at more than the 3σ confidence level.



Figure 12. Fraction of KOIs with nearby stars for four different planetary populations—as Figure 11 with only companions with $\Delta m < 2$ and separations <1%, removing faint nearby stars which are less likely to be physically associated (we did not detect any bright companions around the 84 longerperiod giant planet KOIs in our survey, so we only show an upper limit). There is a 98% confidence detection of a difference in stellar multiplicity rates for close-in giant planets compared to further-out giants.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We observed 715 *Kepler* planetary system candidates with the Robo-AO robotic laser adaptive optics system. Our detection of 53 planetary candidates with nearby stars from 715 targets implies an overall nearby-star probability of $7.4\% \pm 1.0\%$ at separations between 0'.1 and 2'.5 and $\Delta m \leq 6$. We have detailed the effects of the detected nearby stars on the interpretation of the *Kepler* planetary candidates, including the detection of probable "co-incident" multiples (KOI-191 and KOI-1151), multiple-planet systems likely containing false positives (KOI-1845), and the confirmation of five KOIs as roughly Earthradius planets in multiple stellar systems (KOI-1613, KOI-1619, KOI-2059, KOI-2463, and KOI 2657). We have also found tentative, 98% confidence, evidence for stellar third bodies leading to a 2–3× increased rate of close-in giant planets.

We expect the ongoing Robo-AO surveys to complete observations of every Kepler planet candidate by the end of 2014. The increased survey numbers will allow us to search for stellar multiplicity correlations only in multiple-detected planet systems, which are expected to have a much lower false-positive probability, and thus will improve our ability to disentangle false positives from astrophysical effects. The number of multiple systems in our current sample is not large enough to verify our tentative conclusions on the effects of stellar multiplicity on short-period giant planets (in particular, we have only covered one multiple-planet system with a short-period giant planet), but we plan to investigate these possibilities in future data releases. We are also continuing observations of our detected companions to search for common-proper-motion pairs. The completed Robo-AO survey will also allow us to confirm many more Kepler planet candidates and likely find more exotic planetary systems.

We thank the anonymous referee for careful analysis and useful comments on the manuscript. The Robo-AO system is supported by collaborating partner institutions, the California Institute of Technology and the Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, and by the National Science Foundation under grant Nos. AST-0906060 and AST-0960343, by the Mount Cuba Astronomical Foundation, by a gift from Samuel Oschin. We are grateful to the Palomar Observatory staff for their ongoing support of Robo-AO on the 60 inch telescope,

ObsID

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 17

2012 Oct 6

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 18

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 16

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 18

2012 Jul 17

2012 Sep 1

2012 Sep 1

2012 Jul 18

2012 Jul 18

2012 Jul 18

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 17

2012 Jul 17

m_i/mags

11.168

11.485

12.038

13.424

11.245

10.548

13.094

13.148

13.265

11.03

13.268

13.497

13.508

11.379

12.866

9.739

12.284

10.617

11.15

11.694

10.882

11.478

11.649

11.506

12.057

12.724

12.024

12.68

12.466

12.384

12.399

12.649

12.53

12.132

12.545

12.442

12.602

12.163

12.654

12.309

12.195

12.452

12.161

12.784

13.54

13.64

13.287

13.327

13.441

12.895

13.329

12.761

13.167

13.761

13.097

13.334

13.508

13.243

12.99

13.626

13.665

13.315

13.15

KOI

K00001.01

K00002.01

K00003.01

K00005.01

K00007.01

K00010.01

K00012.01

K00013.01

K00017.01

K00018.01

K00022.01

K00041.01

K00044.01

K00046.01

K00049.01

K00063.01

K00064.01

K00069.01

K00070.01

K00075.01

K00082.01

K00084.01

K00085.01

K00087.01

K00089.01

K00092.01

K00094.01

K00097.01

K00098.01

K00099.01

K00100.01

K00102.01

K00103.01

K00105.01

K00107.01

K00108.01

K00110.01

K00111.01

K00112.01

K00113.01

K00115.01

K00117.01

K00118.01

K00119.01

K00122.01

K00124.01

K00128.01

K00131.01

K00137.01

K00139.01

K00141.01

K00142.01

K00144.01

K00148.01

K00149.01

K00152.01

K00153.01

K00156.01

K00157.01

K00159.01

K00161.01

K00162.01

K00165.01

K00166.01 K00167.01

 Table 5

 Full Robo-AO Observation List

Filter

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

LP600

Obs. qual.

high

high

high

high

high

medium

high

high

medium

medium

medium

high

low

medium

low

high

medium

high

medium

high

high

high

high

high

medium

high

medium

medium

high

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

high

medium

medium

medium

high

medium

medium

medium

low

medium

low

low

low

low

low

medium

low

low

low

low

low

medium

high

high

high

high

medium

medium

medium

high

Law e	T AL.
-------	-------

			Tabl (Contir	e 5 nued)		
Companion?	KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?
yes	K00168.01	13.244	2012 Jul 17	LP600	high	
	K00171.01	13.575	2012 Jul 17	LP600	high	
	K00172.01	13.559	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00173.01	13.659	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00174.01	13.449	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	yes
	K00176.01	13.307	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00177.01	12.979	2012 Jul 18	i	medium	yes
yes	K00179.01	13.765	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00180.01	12.813	2012 Jul 18 2012 Sop 1	<i>l</i> I D600	low	Mag
	K00191.01	14.747	2012 Sep 1	LP000	IOW	yes
	K00197.01	13.700	2012 Jul 18 2012 Jul 18	ι Ι Ρ600	high	
	K00201.01	13.928	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00209.01	14.131	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium	
	K00211.01	14.82	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
	K00214.01	14.003	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00216.01	14.4	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low	
	K00219.01	13.925	2012 Jul 18	LP600	high	
	K00220.01	14.011	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium	
	K00222.01	14.315	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low	
	K00223.01	14.447	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium	
	K00232.01	14.067	2012 Jul 18	LP600	medium	
	K00237.01	13.964	2012 Jul 18	LP600	medium	
	K00238.01	13.891	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium	
	K00241.01	13.881	2012 Jul 18	LP600	medium	
	K00244.01		2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00246.01	9.82	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
yes	K00247.01	13.585	2012 Aug 3	LP600	high	
yes	K00248.01	14.68	2012 Aug 3	LP600	nedium	
	K00230.01	14.007	2012 Aug 3	LP000	IOW	
	K002554.01	15 364	2012 Aug 3	LF000 LP600	low	
	K00256.01	14 636	2012 Aug 5 2012 Iul 15	LP600	medium	
	K00260.01	11.050	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00261.01	10.109	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00263.01	10.647	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00268.01		2012 Sep 14	LP600	high	yes
	K00269.01	10.823	2012 Jul 18	i	high	-
	K00270.01		2012 Jul 17	i	high	
	K00273.01	11.262	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00275.01		2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00276.01	11.711	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00277.01		2012 Jul 18	i	high	
yes	K00279.01	11.563	2012 Jul 18	i	medium	
	K00281.01	11.77	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00282.01	11 224	2012 Jul 18	1	high	
	K00285.01	11.554	2012 Jul 18	<i>i</i> ;	high	
	K00288.01	12 642	2012 Jul 18 2012 Jul 18	i i	high	
	K00294.01	12.042	2012 Jul 18 2012 Jul 18	i	high	
yes	K00296.01	12.77	2012 Jul 10 2012 Aug 2	i	medium	
5	K00297.01	12.042	2012 Aug 2	i	high	
	K00299.01	12.675	2012 Jul 18	i	medium	
	K00301.01	12.586	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00302.01	11.969	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00303.01	11.994	2012 Jul 18	i	high	
	K00305.01	12.606	2012 Jul 18	i	medium	
	K00306.01	12.363	2012 Jul 18	i	low	yes
	K00307.01	12.65	2012 Aug 2	i	medium	
	K00308.01	12.205	2012 Jul 18	i	medium	
	K00312.01		2012 Jul 28	i	high	
yes	K00313.01	12.736	2012 Aug 2	i	high	
	K00314.01	12.457	2012 Aug 3	LP600	high	
	K00315.01	12.63	2012 Jul 28	1	medium	
	V00310.01	12.494	2012 Aug 2	ı	mgn	

13

Table 5

Companion?

yes

Obs. qual.

low

medium

high

medium

medium

low

low

high

medium

medium

low

low

medium

medium

low

medium

medium

low

medium

medium

medium

high

low

low

medium

medium

low

low

low

medium

medium

medium

medium

high

medium

low

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium medium

medium

medium

medium

high

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

K01 m_i /mags OlsdD Filter Obs. qual. Companion? K01 m_i /mags Obs. D Filter K00319.01 2012 Aug. 2 i high K00510.01 14.017 2012 Aug. 2 i high K00511.01 13.808 2012 Aug. 2 i high K00511.01 13.808 2012 Aug. 2 i high K00511.01 13.808 2012 Aug. 2 i high K0051.01 13.848 2012 Aug. 2 i modum K0051.00 14.377 2012 Aug. 3 11.900 high K0051.00 14.354 2012 Aug. 3 1.900 K0033.01 13.247 2012 Aug. 2 1.1600 modum K0054.00 14.342 2012 Aug. 3 1.900 K0033.01 13.013 2012 Aug. 2 1.1600 modum K0054.00 14.442 2012 Aug. 5 1.900 K0034.01 13.013 2012 Aug. 2 i modum K0055.00 13.849 2012 Aug. 5 1.900 K0034.01 13.312 2012 Aug. 2			Table (Contin	e 5 nued)					Tabl (Contin	e 5 nued)
K0017.01 L2711 2012 Jul 28 i medium K0039.01 L4638 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0031.01 L2.312 2012 Aug 2 i high K0051.01 14.017 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0032.01 L2.312 2012 Aug 2 i migh K0057.01 14.380 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0033.01 L3.77 2012 Jul 28 Le900 K0053.01 14.344 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0033.01 L3.25 2012 Aug 2 i medium K0054.01 14.344 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0033.01 L3.25 2012 Aug 2 LP600 medium K0054.01 14.42 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0034.01 L3.16 2012 Aug 2 i medium K0054.01 14.42 2012 Sep 1 Le900 K0034.01 L3.16 2012 Aug 2 i medium K0055.01 14.492 2012 Sep 2 Le900 K0034.01 L3.16 2012 Aug 2 i medium K	KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?	KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter
K0031901 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K0051101 14.017 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0032101 12.24 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K0051901 14.275 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0033101 13.73 2012 Lul 28 <i>i</i> high K0051001 14.325 2012 Aug 1 LP600 K0033101 13.73 2012 Lul 28 <i>i</i> high K005101 13.849 2012 Aug 1 LP600 K0033101 13.746 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0054101 14.142 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0033101 13.746 2012 Aug 2 LP600 medium K005401 13.449 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0034101 13.013 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K005501 13.869 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0034101 13.013 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0056101 13.732 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0034101 13.342 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium	K00317.01	12.751	2012 Jul 28	i	medium		K00509.01	14.638	2012 Sep 1	LP600
K00321.01 L2.312 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K00517.01 13.806 2012 Ag 2 <i>i</i> Definition K00323.01 L2.42 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0057.001 14.255 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0033.01 13.277 2012 Jul 28 LP600 K0053.01 14.324 2012 Aug 2 LP600 K0033.01 L3.265 2012 Aug 2 I medium K0054.01 14.344 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0033.01 L3.265 2012 Aug 2 LP600 medium K0054.01 14.442 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0034.01 13.016 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0055.01 14.449 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0043.01 13.016 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0055.01 14.472 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0043.01 13.367 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0056.01 13.372 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K0043.01 13.207 2012 Aug 2	K00319.01		2012 Aug 2	i	high		K00511.01	14.017	2012 Sep 1	LP600
K00323.00 I2.24 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K00519.01 I.4737 2012 Sep 1 I-P600 K00323.00 13.73 2012 Jul 28 <i>i</i> high K00523.00 14.822 2012 Sep 1 I-P600 K00331.01 13.73 2012 Jul 28 <i>i</i> high K0053.01 13.849 2012 Aug 2 I-P600 K00333.01 13.746 2012 Aug 2 I-P600 medium K0054.01 14.412 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K00331.01 13.746 2012 Aug 2 I-P600 medium K0054.01 14.12 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K00341.01 13.016 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0055.01 14.737 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00434.01 13.013 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0056.01 13.329 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00434.01 13.342 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0056.01 13.329 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00434.01 13.342 2012 Aug 2	K00321.01	12.312	2012 Aug 2	i	high		K00517.01	13.806	2012 Aug 5	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	K00323.01	12.24	2012 Aug 2	i	high		K00519.01	14.737	2012 Sep 1	LP600
K003.00 11.7.3 2012.01.28 <i>i</i> medium K0023.01 11.8.22 2012.Sep 1 LF600 K0033.01 12.847 2012.Jul 28 <i>i</i> high K0033.01 13.849 2012.Sep 1 LF600 K0033.01 13.746 2012.Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K0034.01 14.42 2012.Sep 1 LF600 K0033.01 15.746 2012.Jul 28 <i>i</i> medium K0034.01 14.42 2012.Sep 1 LF600 K0034.01 15.66 2012.Jul 28 <i>i</i> medium K0034.01 13.874 2012.Aug 5 LF600 K0034.01 13.05 2012.Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00551.01 14.792 2012.Aug 5 LF600 K0034.01 13.852 2012.Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00561.01 13.732 2012.Aug 5 LF600 K0035.01 13.852 2012.Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00561.01 14.529 LF600 K0035.01 13.522 2012.Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium </td <td>K00327.01</td> <td>12.858</td> <td>2012 Aug 2</td> <td></td> <td>medium</td> <td></td> <td>K00520.01</td> <td>14.255</td> <td>2012 Sep 1</td> <td>LP600</td>	K00327.01	12.858	2012 Aug 2		medium		K00520.01	14.255	2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} 12.847 \\ 12.847 \\ 2012 12.847 \\ 2012 12.847 \\ 2012 12.842 \\ 12.847 \\ 2012 12.842 \\ 12.847 \\ 2012 12.842 \\ 12.847 \\ 2012 12.842 \\ 14.842 \\ 2012 12.859 \\ 14.842 \\ 2012 12.85$	K00331.01	13.75	2012 Jul 28 2012 Jul 28	LP000	nign medium		K00523.01	14.822	2012 Sep 1 2012 Sep 1	LP000
Konotasio Konotasio <t< td=""><td>K00332.01</td><td>12.277</td><td>2012 Jul 28 2012 Jul 28</td><td>i i</td><td>high</td><td></td><td>K00523.01</td><td>13 849</td><td>2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 3</td><td>L P600</td></t<>	K00332.01	12.277	2012 Jul 28 2012 Jul 28	i i	high		K00523.01	13 849	2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 3	L P600
K0033701 13.746 2012 Aug 2 LP600 medium K00542.01 14.12 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0033001 13.616 2012 Ju 28 i medium K00546.01 14.717 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0034001 13.812 2012 Ju 28 i medium K00546.01 14.717 2012 Sep 1 LP600 K0034001 13.201 2012 Ju 28 i medium K00551.01 14.499 212 Aug 5 LF600 K0034501 15.55 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00551.01 14.722 2012 Aug 5 LF600 K0034501 15.352 2012 Aug 2 LF600 high K00561.01 14.122 2012 Aug 5 LF600 K0035001 13.202 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00571.01 14.172 2012 Aug 5 LF600 K0035001 13.202 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00571.01 14.512 2012 Aug 5 LF600 K0035001 15.202 21.6000 high	K00333.01	13.265	2012 Jul 20 2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00534.01	14.344	2012 Nug 5 2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{R031301} & 13.616 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{LP600} medium \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.22 & 2012 \mbox{Lul 28} & i medium \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.105 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} i medium \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.105 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} i medium \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.105 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} i medium \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.869 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} I \\ \mbox{R034101} & 13.515 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R034501} & 13.055 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R034501} & 13.055 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R034501} & 13.355 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R034501} & 13.355 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R03501} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.352 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.358 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.358 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.358 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} medium \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{I} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 14.579 & 2012 \mbox{I} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.586 & 2012 \mbox{I} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & 13.586 & 2012 \mbox{I} 2 \mbox{I} FP60 \\ \mbox{R035001} & $	K00337.01	13.746	2012 Aug 2	LP600	medium		K00542.01	14.12	2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{K0014} (0) & 1.2.82 & 2012 \mbox{Lig} 12 \mbox{K0014}, 01 & 1.3.874 & 2012 \mbox{Lig} 12 \mbox{K0014}, 01 & 1.3.875 & 2012 \mbox{Lig} 2 & 1.P600 \\ \mbox{K00340, 01 } 1.3.820 & 2012 \mbox{Lig} 2 & 1.P600 \mbox{hig} 12 \mbox{K00564, 01 } 14.126 & 2012 \mbox{Lig} 2 \mbox{Lig} 12 Lig$	K00339.01	13.616	2012 Aug 2	LP600	medium		K00543.01	14.442	2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	K00340.01	12.82	2012 Jul 28	i	medium		K00546.01	14.717	2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{K00343.01} & 13.013 & 2012 Aug 2 & i medium & \mbox{K00551.01} & 14.725 & 2012 Aug 1 & 1 LF600 \\ \mbox{K00345.01} & 13.005 & 2012 Aug 2 & i medium & \mbox{K00551.01} & 14.725 & 2012 Aug 5 & \mbox{LF000} \\ \mbox{K00348.01} & 13.355 & 2012 Aug 3 & \mbox{LF00} & \mbox{K00561.01} & 14.725 & 2012 Aug 5 & \mbox{LF000} \\ \mbox{K00350.01} & 13.322 & 2012 Aug 2 & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00561.01} & 14.725 & 2012 Aug 5 & \mbox{LF00} \\ \mbox{K00353.01} & 13.372 & 2012 Aug 2 & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00563.01} & 14.126 & 2012 Sep 2 & \mbox{LF00} \\ \mbox{K00353.01} & 13.272 & 2012 Aug 2 & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00564.01} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00553.01} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00553.01} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{L} & \mbox{K00553.01} & \mbox{L} &$	K00341.01	13.106	2012 Jul 28	i	medium		K00548.01	13.874	2012 Aug 5	LP600
K00344.01 is.211 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00551.01 14.725 2012 Sup 1 LP600 K00348.01 13.555 2012 Aug 2 LP600 high K00555.01 14.429 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00348.01 13.322 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00564.01 14.462 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00355.01 13.322 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00567.01 14.462 2012 Aug 2 LP600 K00355.01 13.251 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00571.01 14.015 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> K00365.01 12.523 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> medium K00571.01 14.579 2012 Jul 2 <i>i i</i> K00365.01 12.914 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K00570.01 14.579 2012 Aug 2 <i>i i</i> K00365.01 12.980 2012 Aug 2 <i>i</i> high K00590.01 14.579 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00363.01 13.491	K00343.01	13.013	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00550.01	13.869	2012 Aug 5	LP600
K00434.01 13.005 2012 Aug 3 LP600 high K00551.01 13.332 2012 Aug 3 LP600 K00348.01 13.355 2012 Aug 2 LP600 high K00561.01 13.412 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00350.01 13.320 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00567.01 14.126 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00353.01 13.522 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00571.01 14.015 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00356.01 13.522 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00571.01 14.015 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00366.01 12.294 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00579.01 14.579 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00366.01 1.198 2012 Aug 2 i high K00579.01 14.721 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00372.01 1.298 2012 Aug 2 i high K00597.01 14.721 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00372.01 1.293 2012 Aug 2 i<	K00344.01	13.211	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00551.01	14.725	2012 Sep 1	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} k003+k01 & 13.332 & 2012 Aug 2 & LP000 & high \\ k00350.01 & 13.342 & 2012 Aug 2 & LP000 \\ k00350.01 & 13.320 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00557.01 & 14.02 & 2012 Sep 2 & LP600 \\ k00353.01 & 13.251 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ low \\ k00356.01 & 13.532 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ high \\ k00356.01 & 13.532 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 12.823 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 12.823 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 12.823 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 12.823 & 2012 Jul 28 & i \\ k00366.01 & 12.812 & 2012 Jul 28 & i \\ k00366.01 & 12.812 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00574.01 & 14.578 & 2012 Jul 28 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 13.858 & 2012 Aug 2 & LP600 \\ k00356.01 & 14.444 & 2012 Sep 2 & LP600 \\ k00371.01 & 11.895 & 2012 Jul 28 & i \\ high \\ k00577.01 & 14.721 & 2012 Sep 2 & LP600 \\ k00377.01 & 14.754 & 2012 Sep 2 & LP600 \\ k00377.01 & 13.613 & 2012 Sep 1 & LP600 \\ k00373.01 & 12.898 & 2012 Jul 28 & i \\ high \\ k00577.01 & 14.751 & 2012 Sep 2 & LP600 \\ k00373.01 & 13.613 & 2012 Sep 1 & LP600 \\ k00373.01 & 13.613 & 2012 Sep 1 & LP600 \\ k00373.01 & 13.613 & 2012 Sep 1 & LP600 \\ k00383.01 & 13.614 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00621.01 & 13.871 & 2012 Aug 5 & LP600 \\ k00388.01 & 13.614 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00622.01 & 13.371 & 2012 Aug 5 & LP600 \\ k00388.01 & 13.641 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00625.01 & 13.339 & 2012 Aug 5 & LP600 \\ k00388.01 & 13.448 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ k00393.01 & 13.748 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00393.01 & 13.748 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.79 & 2012 Aug 2 & i \\ medium \\ k00625.01 & 13.339 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.79 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.79 & 2012 Sep 1 & LP600 \\ medium \\ k00652.01 & 13.39 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.74 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.74 & 2012 Aug 3 & i \\ k00403.01 & 13.74 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.74 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.79 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.84 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.84 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.84 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.84 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k0043.01 & 13.84 & 2012 Aug 4 & i \\ k004$	K00345.01	13.005	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00555.01	14.499	2012 Aug 5	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} k00.34001 i 3.362 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00055.01 i 3.202 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00055.01 i 3.212 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.251 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.522 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.522 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.532 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.532 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00057.01 i 4.015 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.552 2012 Aug 2 i low \\ k00056.01 i 3.552 2012 Aug 2 i high \\ k00056.01 i 4.579 2012 Sep 1 LP600 \\ k00056.01 i 1.291 Aug 2 i high \\ k00056.01 i 4.579 2012 Sep 2 LP600 \\ k00056.01 i 1.598 2012 Aug 2 i high \\ k00056.01 i 4.529 2012 Sep 2 LP600 \\ k00056.01 i 1.598 2012 Aug 2 i high \\ k00057.01 i 4.529 2012 Sep 2 LP600 \\ k00037.01 i 1.598 2012 Aug 2 i high \\ k00057.01 i 4.529 2012 Sep 2 LP600 \\ k00037.01 i 1.598 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00057.01 i 4.515 2012 Sep 2 LP600 \\ k0037.01 i 3.613 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium \\ k00661.01 i 3.866 2012 Aug 5 LP600 \\ k0037.01 i 3.613 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium \\ k00662.01 i 3.861 2012 Aug 5 LP600 \\ k00383.01 i 3.61 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00623.01 i 4.871 2012 Aug 5 LP600 \\ k00383.01 i 3.61 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00623.01 i 4.871 2012 Aug 5 LP600 \\ k00383.01 i 3.648 2012 Aug 2 i medium \\ k00625.01 i 3.339 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00393.01 i 3.748 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.395 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium \\ k00625.01 i 3.319 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.395 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium \\ k00625.01 i 3.319 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.953 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium \\ k00625.01 i 3.319 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.95 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium \\ k00652.01 i 3.319 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.95 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium \\ k00652.01 i 3.314 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00403.01 i 3.95 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium \\ k00653.01 i 3.344 2012 Aug 3 i \\ k00443.01 i 3.96 2012 Aug 4 i \\ k00443.01 i $	K00348.01	13.333	2012 Aug 3	LP600	nign bi ab		K00561.01	13.732	2012 Aug 5	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Rob}{(0)} & 13.579 & 2012 \mbox{Aug}{12} & 1 & 1 \mbox{Rob}{(0)} & 13.875 & 2012 \mbox{Aug}{12} & 1.6600 \\ \mbox{Rob}{(0)} & 13.251 & 2012 \mbox{Aug}{2} & 1 & 1 \mbox{Rob}{(0)} & 1 \mbox{Rob}{$	K00350.01	13.362	2012 Aug 2 2012 Aug 2	LP000	medium		K00567.01	14.042	2012 Sep 2 2012 Sep 2	LP000
K00353.0113.2512012 Aug 2ilowK00359.0114.1722012 Sep 2LP600K00356.0113.5522012 Jul 28LP600highyesK00571.0114.1052012 Jul 28iLP600K00366.0112.9142012 Aug 2imediumK00573.0113.962012 Aug 2LP600K00366.0112.9142012 Aug 2ihighK00570.0113.8582012 Aug 2LP600K00366.0111.5982012 Aug 2ihighK00590.0114.4442012 Sep 2LP600K00370.0111.8952012 Aug 2ihighK00597.0114.7542012 Sep 2LP600K00377.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK0061.0114.5152012 Sep 2LP600K00387.0113.2162012 Aug 2imediumK0062.0114.4752012 Sep 2LP600K00387.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK0062.0114.3712012 Aug 5LP600K00385.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK0062.0111.38712012 Aug 3iK00385.0113.6482012 Aug 2imediumK0062.0113.4332012 Aug 3iK00385.0113.6482012 Aug 5LP600mediumK0062.0113.3392012 Aug 3iK00380.0113.7452012 Aug 5LP600mediumK0062.0113.3392012 Aug 3iK00430.0113.9452012 Aug 5 <td< td=""><td>K00352.01</td><td>13.202</td><td>2012 Aug 2 2012 Jul 28</td><td>LP600</td><td>high</td><td></td><td>K00568.01</td><td>13 895</td><td>2012 Sep 2 2012 Aug 5</td><td>LP600</td></td<>	K00352.01	13.202	2012 Aug 2 2012 Jul 28	LP600	high		K00568.01	13 895	2012 Sep 2 2012 Aug 5	LP600
K00356.0113.5322012 $lui 28$ LP600highyesK00371.0114.0152012 $lui 28$ 21LP600K00361.0112.8232012 $lui 28$ imediumK00572.0113.862012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00365.0110.9922012 $lui 28$ ihighK00570.0113.882012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00366.0111.5982012 $lui 28$ ihighK00570.0114.5292012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00371.0111.8952012 $lui 28$ ihighK00590.0114.4742012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00373.0112.2982012 $lui 28$ ihighK00590.0114.4742012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00373.0112.5932012 $lui 28$ ihighK00570.0114.7122012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00373.0113.6132012 $lui 22$ inediumK0061.0113.8662012 $lui 28$ iLP600K00385.0113.6412012 $lui 22$ inediumK00622.0114.4672012 $lui 28$ iiK00385.0113.6442012 $lui 22$ inediumK00623.0114.4672012 $lui 33$ iiK00392.0113.4482012 $lui 22$ inediumK00623.0113.4332012 $lui 33$ iiK00393.0113.4542012 $lui 22$ ilowK00623.0113.4332012 $lui 34$ iiK00393.0113.454<	K00353.01	13.251	2012 Jul 20 2012 Aug 2	i	low		K00569.01	14.172	2012 Nug 3 2012 Sep 2	LP600
K00360.0112.8232012 Aug 2imediumK00572.0113.962012 Jul 28iK00365.0112.9142012 Aug 2ihighK00579.0113.8582012 Aug 5LP600K00366.012012 Aug 2ihighK00579.0113.8582012 Aug 5LP600K00366.012012 Aug 2ihighK00590.0114.4422012 Sep 2LP600K0037.0111.8952012 Jul 28ihighK00593.0114.7542012 Sep 2LP600K0037.0112.2082012 Jul 28ihighK00597.0114.4722012 Sep 2LP600K0037.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK00601.0114.5122012 Sep 2LP600K0038.0113.1662012 Aug 2imediumK0062.0114.4672012 Sep 2LP600K0038.0113.6612012 Aug 2inediumK00623.0111.8852012 Aug 3iK0038.0113.4482012 Aug 2inediumK00623.0113.4332012 Aug 3iK00393.0113.7452012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00625.0113.3432012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9552012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9542012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00627.0113.1442012 Aug 4iK00403.0113.9542012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00627.0113.144	K00356.01	13.532	2012 Jul 28	LP600	high	yes	K00571.01	14.015	2012 Aug 3	LP600
K00361.01 12.914 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00574.01 14.579 2012 Sep 2 LF600 K00365.01 0.992 2012 Jul 28 i high K00582.01 14.579 2012 Sep 2 LF600 K00368.01 11.895 2012 Jul 28 i high K00590.01 14.744 2012 Sep 2 LF600 K00371.01 12.898 2012 Jul 28 i high K00597.01 14.721 2012 Sep 2 LF600 K00372.01 12.208 2012 Jul 28 i medium K00601.01 13.865 2012 Aug 2 LF600 K00373.01 13.613 2012 Sep 1 LF600 medium K00612.01 13.871 2012 Aug 5 LF600 K00385.01 13.613 2012 Aug 2 i nedium K00623.01 11.685 2012 Aug 3 i K00386.01 13.444 2012 Aug 2 i nedium K00623.01 13.339 2012 Aug 3 i K00392.01 13.345 2012 Aug 5 LF600<	K00360.01	12.823	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00572.01	13.96	2012 Jul 28	i
K00356.01 10.992 2012 Jul 28 i high K00376.01 13.858 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00366.01 11.598 2012 Jul 28 i high K00590.01 14.444 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00371.01 11.898 2012 Jul 28 i high K00590.01 14.444 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00371.01 12.208 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00601.01 14.754 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00373.01 12.308 2012 Aug 2 i nedium K0061.01 13.866 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00385.01 13.211 2012 Aug 2 i low K00620.01 14.467 2012 Aug 3 i K00386.01 13.641 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00621.01 13.33 2012 Aug 3 i K00388.01 13.448 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00621.01 13.33 2012 Aug 3 i K00380.01 13.745 2012 Aug 5 LP600	K00361.01	12.914	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00574.01	14.579	2012 Sep 2	LP600
K00366.012012 Aug 2ihighK00582.0114.5292012 Sep 2LP600K00371.0111.5982012 Jul 28ihighK00590.0114.4442012 Sep 2LP600K00371.0112.2082012 Jul 28ihighK00593.0114.7542012 Sep 2LP600K00372.0112.2082012 Jul 28ihighK00597.0114.7512012 Sep 2LP600K00377.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK0061.0113.8562012 Aug 5LP600K00384.0113.1062012 Aug 2imediumK00621.0113.8762012 Aug 5LP600K00385.0113.6612012 Aug 2imediumK00623.0113.4672012 Aug 2iK00388.0113.4482012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00624.0113.392012 Aug 3iK00392.0113.7452012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00625.0113.4332012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.7592012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00623.0113.7442012 Aug 4iK00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00633.0113.6822012 Aug 4iK00403.0113.9542012 Sep 1LP600newK00633.0113.7842012 Aug 4iK00404.0114.0792012 Sep 1LP600newK006	K00365.01	10.992	2012 Jul 28	i	high		K00579.01	13.858	2012 Aug 5	LP600
K00368.0111.5982012 $\log 2$ i highK00593.0114.7442012 $\log 2$ 212 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00371.0111.8952012 $\log 2$ i highK00593.0114.7542012 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00373.0112.5932012 $\log 2$ i mediumK00611.0113.8662012 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00384.0113.1062012 $\log 2$ i lowK00612.0113.8712012 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00385.0113.2112012 $\log 2$ i mediumK00623.0111.4652012 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00386.0113.6612012 $\log 2$ i mediumK00623.0111.4652012 $\log 2$ $LP600$ K00380.0113.4482012 $\log 2$ i mediumK00624.0113.392012 $\log 3$ i K00393.0113.7452012 $\log 2$ i lowK00625.0113.3392012 $\log 3$ i K00403.0113.3952012 $\log 2$ i lowK00628.0113.3392012 $\log 3$ i K00403.0113.3952012 $\log 2$ i lowK00628.0113.3392012 $\log 3$ i K00403.0113.7532012 $\log 2$ i lowK00628.0113.7442012 $\log 2$ i K00403.0113.9532012 $\log 2$ i lowK00623.0113.7452012 $\log 2$ i K00403.0113.9542012 $\log 2$ i lowK00623.0113.7452012 $\log 2$ i K004040.0113.663201	K00366.01		2012 Aug 2	i	high		K00582.01	14.529	2012 Sep 2	LP600
K00371.0111.3952012 Jul 28inighK00372.0114.7.542012 Sep 2LF600K00373.0112.2982012 Jul 28imediumK00597.0114.7.512012 Sep 2LF600K00377.0113.6132012 Aug 2imediumK00611.0113.8662012 Aug 5LF600K00384.0113.1062012 Aug 2ilowK00612.0113.8712012 Aug 5LF600K00385.0113.2112012 Aug 2imediumK00623.0114.6672012 Aug 3iK00388.0113.6612012 Aug 2imediumK00623.0114.6752012 Aug 3iK00388.0113.4482012 Aug 5LF600mediumK00624.0113.392012 Aug 3iK00393.0113.3452012 Aug 5LF600mediumK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LF600mediumK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9552012 Sep 1LF600mediumK00623.0113.7482012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.7662012 Sep 1LF600lowK00633.0113.6432012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.7372012 Sep 1LF600lowK00633.0113.6432012 Aug 4iK00416.0114.0422012 Sep 1LF600lowK00633.0113.3542012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0422012 Aug 5LF600medium	K00368.01	11.598	2012 Aug 2	i	high		K00590.01	14.444	2012 Sep 2	LP600
KN05/201 12.208 2012 Jul 28 1 Ingn KN059/101 14.121 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00373.01 13.613 2012 Aug 2 i noedium K00601.01 13.866 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00384.01 13.106 2012 Aug 2 i low K00620.01 14.467 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00385.01 13.641 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00623.01 14.467 2012 Aug 3 i K00388.01 13.448 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00626.01 13.339 2012 Aug 3 i K00392.01 13.745 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium yes K00626.01 13.339 2012 Aug 3 i K00403.01 13.792 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium yes K00626.01 13.748 2012 Aug 3 i K00408.01 14.766 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00628.01 13.748 2012 Aug 4 i K004040.01 13.955 <	K00371.01	11.895	2012 Jul 28	1	high		K00593.01	14.754	2012 Sep 2	LP600
KN001301 12.012 KN001301 13.013 2012 Sep1 LP600 K00377.01 13.016 2012 Aug 2 i low K00611.01 13.866 2012 Aug 5 LP600 K00388.01 13.211 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00621.01 13.871 2012 Aug 2 LP600 K00388.01 13.661 2012 Aug 2 i medium K00621.01 13.886 2012 Aug 3 i K00388.01 13.648 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00622.01 13.433 2012 Aug 3 i K00393.01 13.745 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium yes K00627.01 13.119 2012 Aug 3 i K00403.01 13.953 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00628.01 13.744 2012 Aug 4 i K00409.01 13.965 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00632.01 13.124 2012 Aug 4 i K00415.01 13.914 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00633.01 <td>K00372.01</td> <td>12.208</td> <td>2012 Jul 28</td> <td>1</td> <td>nign</td> <td></td> <td>K00597.01</td> <td>14.721</td> <td>2012 Sep 2</td> <td>LP600</td>	K00372.01	12.208	2012 Jul 28	1	nign		K00597.01	14.721	2012 Sep 2	LP600
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K00375.01	13 613	2012 Aug 2 2012 Sep 1	ι Ι Ρ600	medium		K00611.01	13 866	2012 Sep 2 2012 Aug 5	LF000
K00385.0113.2112012 Aug 2imediumK00620.0114.4672012 Sep 2LP600K00386.0113.6612012 Aug 2ilowK00623.0111.6852012 Aug 3iK00388.0113.4482012 Aug 2imediumK00623.0111.6852012 Aug 3iK00393.0113.3952012 Aug 2ilowK00626.0113.3392012 Aug 3iK00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.7442012 Aug 3iK00408.0114.7662012 Sep 1LP600lowK00632.0113.7482012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0113.9142012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00635.0112.882012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00638.0113.3942012 Aug 4iK00435.0114.372012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3542012 Jul 28iK00435.0114.3622012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00435.0114.3722012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00435.0113.8642012 Sep 1LP600 <td>K00384.01</td> <td>13.106</td> <td>2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 2</td> <td>i</td> <td>low</td> <td></td> <td>K00612.01</td> <td>13.871</td> <td>2012 Aug 5</td> <td>LP600</td>	K00384.01	13.106	2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 2	i	low		K00612.01	13.871	2012 Aug 5	LP600
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K00385.01	13.211	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00620.01	14.467	2012 Sep 2	LP600
K00388.0113.4482012 Aug 2imediumK00624.0113.392012 Aug 3iK00392.0113.7452012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00625.0113.3392012 Aug 3iK00393.0113.3952012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9532012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 4iK00409.0113.9652012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0113.9142012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00633.0113.3542012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00643.0113.3542012 Jul 2 & iK00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.3542012 Jul 2 & iK00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00640.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00440.0113.8612012 Aug 5 <td>K00386.01</td> <td>13.661</td> <td>2012 Aug 2</td> <td>i</td> <td>low</td> <td></td> <td>K00623.01</td> <td>11.685</td> <td>2012 Aug 3</td> <td>i</td>	K00386.01	13.661	2012 Aug 2	i	low		K00623.01	11.685	2012 Aug 3	i
K00392.0113.7452012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00625.0113.4332012 Aug 3 i K00393.0113.3952012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3 i K00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 3 i K00403.0113.9552012 Sep 1LP600lowK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 4 i K00408.0114.7662012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00415.0114.9192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00431.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.3542012 Aug 4 i K00431.0114.0492012 Sep 1LP600lowK00640.0113.0582012 Aug 4 i K00430.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00641.0113.4742012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00651.0113.1572012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00651.0113.1572012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00651.0113.1572012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.899<	K00388.01	13.448	2012 Aug 2	i	medium		K00624.01	13.39	2012 Aug 3	i
K0039.0113.3952012 Aug 2 i lowK00626.0113.3392012 Aug 3 i K00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3 i K00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 3 i K00408.0114.7662012 Sep 1LP600lowK00629.0113.7882012 Aug 4 i K00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00415.0113.9142012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3942012 Aug 4 i K00431.0114.0042012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3542012 Jul 28 i K00433.0114.0332012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00640.0113.0582012 Aug 4 i K00433.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00641.0113.4132012 Aug 4 i K00443.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00650.0113.2372012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00651.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00445.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00450.0114.407 <td< td=""><td>K00392.01</td><td>13.745</td><td>2012 Aug 5</td><td>LP600</td><td>medium</td><td></td><td>K00625.01</td><td>13.433</td><td>2012 Aug 3</td><td>i</td></td<>	K00392.01	13.745	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00625.01	13.433	2012 Aug 3	i
K00401.0113.7292012 Aug 5LP600mediumyesK00627.0113.1192012 Aug 3iK00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 3iK00408.0114.7662012 Sep 1LP600lowK00632.0113.7882012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0113.9142012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00633.0113.3942012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.4372012 Sep 1LP600lowK00638.0113.3942012 Aug 4iK00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.0582012 Jul 28iK00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00440.0113.8662012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8662012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00655.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00445.0113.4942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00450.0114.072012 Sep 1 <td>K00393.01</td> <td>13.395</td> <td>2012 Aug 2</td> <td>i</td> <td>low</td> <td></td> <td>K00626.01</td> <td>13.339</td> <td>2012 Aug 3</td> <td>i</td>	K00393.01	13.395	2012 Aug 2	i	low		K00626.01	13.339	2012 Aug 3	i
K00403.0113.9532012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00628.0113.7442012 Aug 3 i K00408.0114.7662012 Sep 1LP600lowK00629.0113.7882012 Aug 4 i K00409.0113.9652012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00632.0113.1242012 Aug 4 i K00415.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4 i K00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00638.0113.3942012 Aug 4 i K00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3542012 Jul 28 i K0043.0114.0422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.0582012 Jul 28 i K0043.0114.0422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.4132012 Aug 4 i K0043.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4 i K00440.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Se	K00401.01	13.729	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium	yes	K00627.01	13.119	2012 Aug 3	i
K004408.0114.7662012 Sep 14LP600nowK00629.0113.7882012 Aug 4iK00409.0113.9652012 Sep 14LP600mediumK00632.0113.1242012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0113.9142012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00635.0112.882012 Aug 4iK00427.0114.372012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3542012 Jul 28iK00431.0114.0422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00439.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00640.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00440.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00649.0113.1572012 Aug 4iK00440.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00654.0113.1772012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00657.0113.28722012 Aug 4iK00445.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600 </td <td>K00403.01</td> <td>13.953</td> <td>2012 Aug 5</td> <td>LP600</td> <td>medium</td> <td></td> <td>K00628.01</td> <td>13.744</td> <td>2012 Aug 3</td> <td>i</td>	K00403.01	13.953	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00628.01	13.744	2012 Aug 3	i
K00403.0113.3032012 Sep 14LP600InclumK00052.0113.1242012 Aug 4iK00413.0114.5122012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.6632012 Aug 4iK00415.0113.9142012 Sep 1LP600lowK00633.0113.3642012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600lowK00635.0113.3542012 Aug 4iK00431.0114.0042012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.3542012 Jul 28iK00435.0114.3422012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00644.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00430.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600 <td>K00408.01</td> <td>14.766</td> <td>2012 Sep 1</td> <td>LP600</td> <td>low</td> <td></td> <td>K00629.01</td> <td>13.788</td> <td>2012 Aug 4</td> <td>1</td>	K00408.01	14.766	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low		K00629.01	13.788	2012 Aug 4	1
Root 15.0113.0122012 Aug 5LF000nediumRoot 35.0113.082012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00635.0113.3942012 Aug 4iK00415.0114.0192012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00635.0113.3942012 Aug 4iK00431.0114.0042012 Sep 1LP600nediumK00640.0113.0582012 Jul 28iK00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00644.0113.4742012 Aug 4iK00443.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00644.0113.1572012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8612012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00445.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2722012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00650.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00650.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00650.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00665.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1 <td< td=""><td>K00409.01</td><td>13.903</td><td>2012 Sep 14 2012 Sep 1</td><td>LP000</td><td>low</td><td></td><td>K00632.01</td><td>13.124</td><td>2012 Aug 4</td><td>i i</td></td<>	K00409.01	13.903	2012 Sep 14 2012 Sep 1	LP000	low		K00632.01	13.124	2012 Aug 4	i i
RoothingRoothi	K00415.01	13 914	2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00635.01	12.88	2012 Aug 4	i i
K00427.0114.372012 Sep 1LP600lowK00639.0113.3542012 Jul 28 i K00431.0114.0042012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.0582012 Jul 28 i K00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600lowK00644.0113.4742012 Aug 4 i K00439.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4 i K00440.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00649.0113.1572012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00450.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4 i K00453.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0182012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00661.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00661.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.113 <td< td=""><td>K00416.01</td><td>14.019</td><td>2012 Nug 5 2012 Sep 1</td><td>LP600</td><td>low</td><td></td><td>K00638.01</td><td>13.394</td><td>2012 Aug 4</td><td>i</td></td<>	K00416.01	14.019	2012 Nug 5 2012 Sep 1	LP600	low		K00638.01	13.394	2012 Aug 4	i
K00431.0114.0042012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00640.0113.0582012 Jul 28 i K00435.0114.3422012 Sep 1LP600lowK00644.0113.4742012 Aug 4 i K00439.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4 i K00440.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00649.0113.1572012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4 i K00442.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00651.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0113.5172012 Aug 4 i K00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00665.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00471.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00478.0113.58<	K00427.01	14.37	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low		K00639.01	13.354	2012 Jul 28	i
K00435.01 14.342 2012 Sep 1LP600lowK00644.01 13.474 2012 Aug 4 i K00439.01 14.063 2012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.01 13.413 2012 Aug 4 i K00440.01 13.861 2012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00649.01 13.157 2012 Aug 4 i K00442.01 13.866 2012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.01 13.293 2012 Aug 4 i K00444.01 13.909 2012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00651.01 13.789 2012 Aug 4 i K00456.01 14.407 2012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.01 12.872 2012 Aug 4 i K00457.01 13.894 2012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.01 13.517 2012 Aug 4 i K00450.1 14.028 2012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00659.01 13.297 2012 Aug 4 i K00463.01 13.999 2012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00660.01 13.283 2012 Aug 4 i K00465.01 14.017 2012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.01 13.731 2012 Aug 4 i K00471.01 14.133 2012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00663.01 13.065 2012 Aug 4 i K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4LP600mediumK006663.01 13.065 2012 Aug 4 i K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4LP600mediumK0066	K00431.01	14.004	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00640.01	13.058	2012 Jul 28	i
K00439.0114.0632012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00647.0113.4132012 Aug 4iK00440.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00649.0113.1572012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00444.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00651.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4iK00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4iK00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4iK00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4iK00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00663.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00665.0113.0052012 Aug 4iK00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600	K00435.01	14.342	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low		K00644.01	13.474	2012 Aug 4	i
K00440.0113.8612012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00649.0113.1572012 Aug 4iK00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00444.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4iK00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4iK00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4iK00473.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00663.0113.0162012 Aug 4iK00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00490.0113.6882012 Aug 5LP600	K00439.01	14.063	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00647.01	13.413	2012 Aug 4	i
K00442.0113.8062012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00650.0113.2932012 Aug 4iK00444.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00654.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4iK00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4iK00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4iK00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4iK00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4iK00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600highK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00497.0114.4232012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00490.0113.6882012 Aug 5	K00440.01	13.861	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00649.01	13.157	2012 Aug 4	i
K00444.0113.9092012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00654.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00456.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00655.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4 i K00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600highK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00664.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00497.0113.6882012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00667.0113.5112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0113.688<	K00442.01	13.806	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00650.01	13.293	2012 Aug 4	i
K00430.0114.4072012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00633.0112.8722012 Aug 4 i K00457.0113.8942012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00657.0113.5172012 Aug 4 i K00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600highK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00664.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00667.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00671.0113.5112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.423<	K004444.01	13.909	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00654.01	13.789	2012 Aug 4	1
R00457.0113.3742012 Sep 1LF000mediumR00057.0113.3772012 Aug 4 i K00459.0114.0282012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00658.0113.7892012 Aug 4 i K00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4 i K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600lowK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00664.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00665.0113.0052012 Aug 4 i K00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00497.0113.6882012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600lowK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00673.0113.2122012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232	K00450.01	14.407	2012 Sep 1 2012 Sep 1	LP000	medium		K00657.01	12.072	2012 Aug 4	l ;
Rootsolal1.1.022012 Aug 3LP600mediumRootsolal1.1.032012 Aug 4iK00463.0113.9992012 Aug 3LP600mediumK00659.0113.2972012 Aug 4iK00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4iK00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4iK00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4iK00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600lowK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00664.0113.2872012 Aug 4iK00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00486.0113.9482012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4iK00490.0113.6882012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00671.0113.5112012 Aug 4iK00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600lowK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4iK0058.0114.1462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00673.0113.2122012 Aug 4i	K00459.01	14 028	2012 Sep 1 2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00658.01	13.789	2012 Aug 4 2012 Aug 4	i i
K00464.0114.1132012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00660.0113.2832012 Aug 4 i K00465.0114.0172012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00661.0113.7312012 Aug 4 i K00471.0114.1982012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00662.0113.1682012 Aug 4 i K00474.0114.1312012 Sep 1LP600lowK00663.0113.0162012 Sep 2LP600K00478.0113.582012 Aug 4LP600highK00664.0113.2872012 Aug 4 i K00481.0114.4462012 Sep 1LP600mediumK00665.0113.0052012 Aug 4 i K00486.0113.9342012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00666.0113.5182012 Aug 4 i K00490.0113.6882012 Aug 5LP600mediumK00671.0113.5112012 Aug 4 i K00497.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600lowK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4 i K00508.0114.4232012 Sep 1LP600lowK00673.0113.2112012 Aug 4 i	K00463.01	13.999	2012 Sep 1 2012 Aug 3	LP600	medium		K00659.01	13.297	2012 Aug 4	i
K00465.01 14.017 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00661.01 13.731 2012 Aug 4 i K00471.01 14.198 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00662.01 13.168 2012 Aug 4 i K00474.01 14.131 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00663.01 13.016 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4 LP600 high K00664.01 13.287 2012 Aug 4 i K00481.01 14.446 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00665.01 13.005 2012 Aug 4 i K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00673.01 13.212 2012 Aug 4 i <td>K00464.01</td> <td>14.113</td> <td>2012 Sep 1</td> <td>LP600</td> <td>medium</td> <td></td> <td>K00660.01</td> <td>13.283</td> <td>2012 Aug 4</td> <td>i</td>	K00464.01	14.113	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00660.01	13.283	2012 Aug 4	i
K00471.01 14.198 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00662.01 13.168 2012 Aug 4 i K00474.01 14.131 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00663.01 13.016 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4 LP600 high K00664.01 13.287 2012 Aug 4 i K00481.01 14.446 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00665.01 13.005 2012 Aug 4 i K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00673.01 13.212 2012 Aug 4 i	K00465.01	14.017	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00661.01	13.731	2012 Aug 4	i
K00474.01 14.131 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00663.01 13.016 2012 Sep 2 LP600 K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4 LP600 high K00664.01 13.287 2012 Aug 4 i K00481.01 14.446 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00665.01 13.005 2012 Aug 4 i K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00674.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00471.01	14.198	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00662.01	13.168	2012 Aug 4	i
K00478.01 13.58 2012 Aug 4 LP600 high K00664.01 13.287 2012 Aug 4 i K00481.01 14.446 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00665.01 13.005 2012 Aug 4 i K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00674.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00474.01	14.131	2012 Sep 1	LP600	low		K00663.01	13.016	2012 Sep 2	LP600
K00481.01 14.446 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00665.01 13.005 2012 Aug 4 i K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00674.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00478.01	13.58	2012 Aug 4	LP600	high		K00664.01	13.287	2012 Aug 4	i
K00486.01 13.934 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00666.01 13.518 2012 Aug 4 i K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00674.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00481.01	14.446	2012 Sep 1	LP600	medium		K00665.01	13.005	2012 Aug 4	i
K00490.01 13.688 2012 Aug 5 LP600 medium K00671.01 13.511 2012 Aug 4 i K00497.01 14.423 2012 Sep 1 LP600 low K00673.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K00674.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00486.01	13.934	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K00666.01	13.518	2012 Aug 4	i
K00497.01 14.425 2012 Sep 1 Lroot 10w K005/3.01 13.211 2012 Aug 4 i K00508.01 14.146 2012 Sep 1 LP600 medium K006/4.01 13.435 2012 Aug 4 i	K00490.01	13.688	2012 Aug 5	LP600	medium		K006/1.01	13.511	2012 Aug 4	1
	K00497.01	14.423	2012 Sep 1 2012 Sep 1	LP000	medium		K00674.01	13.411	2012 Aug 4 2012 Aug 4	i i

yes

yes

14

ObsID

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 4

2012 Sep 14

2012 Aug 4

2012 Aug 5

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 3

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 3

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 3

2012 Aug 4

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 3

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Jun 17

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 4

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 4

2012 Aug 4

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 4

2012 Sep 2

2012 Sep 2

2012 Aug 4

m_i/mags

13.371

13.038

13.485

13.692

13.575

13.77

13.346

13.613

13.849

13.548

13.803

13.457

13.741

13.276

13.52

13.38

13.429

13.162

13.46

13.815

13.837

13.716

13.128

13.735

13.51

13.184

13.576

13.182

13.588

12.899

13.489

13.439

13.343

14.795

15.063

14.931

15.492

15.267

15.341

14.793

15.192

14.862

14.884

15.325

15.001

15.039

15.162

14.787

14.98

14.716

14.547

14.918

14.755

15.175

14.974

15.221

14.543

15.155

14.983

15.229

15.086

14.371

15.328

14.849

14.564

KOI

K00676.01

K00679.01

K00680.01

K00682.01

K00684.01

K00685.01

K00686.01

K00687.01

K00688.01

K00689.01

K00691.01

K00692.01

K00694.01

K00695.01

K00698.01

K00700.01

K00701.01

K00703.01

K00704.01

K00707.01

K00708.01

K00709.01

K00710.01

K00711.01

K00712.01

K00714.01

K00716.01

K00717.01

K00718.01

K00719.01

K00720.01

K00721.01

K00722.01

K00723.01

K00738.01

K00739.01

K00756.01

K00781.01

K00800.01

K00817.01

K00818.01

K00834.01

K00835.01

K00837.01

K00842.01

K00853.01

K00854.01

K00857.01

K00872.01

K00874.01

K00877.01

K00880.01

K00884.01

K00886.01

K00896.01

K00898.01

K00899.01

K00906.01

K00907.01

K00921.01

K00935.01

K00936.01

K00938.01

K00939.01

K00947.01

Table 5 (Continued)

Filter

LP600

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

LP600

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

i

LP600

Obs. qual.

high

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

low

medium

low

medium

low

medium

low

medium

medium

low

low

medium

medium

medium

medium

medium

low

medium

low

medium

low

low

high

low

low

medium

low

low

low

medium

medium

low

medium

low

medium

medium

low

low

low

low

medium

low

low

medium

Companion?

yes

yes

ves

		(Contir	ued)		
KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?
K00975.01		2012 Jul 17	i	high	
K00977.01		2012 Aug 3	i	high	
K00984.01	11.353	2012 Aug 3	i	high	yes
K00986.01	13.908	2012 Aug 3	1	low	
K00987.01	12.327	2012 Aug 3	i ;	medium	yes
K00988.01	13.259	2012 Aug 3	i i	medium	
K01001 01	12.500	2012 Aug 3	i	medium	
K01002.01	13.362	2012 Aug 3	i	medium	ves
K01010.01	13.463	2012 Aug 3	i	medium	JC 0
K01015.01	14.349	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01019.01	9.961	2012 Aug 3	i	high	
K01020.01	12.712	2012 Aug 3	i	medium	
K01032.01	13.497	2012 Aug 3	i	medium	
K01050.01	13.696	2012 Aug 3	i	low	yes
K01052.01	15.201	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01054.01	11.662	2012 Aug 3	i	high	
K01060.01	14.221	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01070.01	15.348	2012 Sep 3	LP600	low	
K01078.01	14.846	2012 Aug 4	LP600	medium	
K01085.01	14.651	2012 Aug 4	LP600	medium	
K01089.01	14.501	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01102.01	14.711	2012 Aug 5	LP600	low	
K01113.01	13.54	2012 Aug 5	<i>i</i>	medium	
K01115.01	13.739	2012 Aug 5	1	low	
<u>x01110.01</u>	13.153	2012 Aug 5	1	medium	
K01118.01	15.072	2012 Aug 5	<i>l</i> I D600	low	
X01127.01 X01128.01	13.307	2012 Sep 5	LI 000	medium	
K01120.01	15.277	2012 Aug 5 2012 Aug 4	LP600	low	
K01145.01	13.956	2012 Aug 5	i	low	
K01146.01	15.043	2012 Jul 15	LP600	low	
K01148.01	13.769	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	
K01150.01	13.139	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	yes
K01151.01	13.198	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	yes
K01152.01	13.622	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	yes
K01161.01	14.391	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01162.01	12.622	2012 Aug 4	i	high	
K01163.01	14.735	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01165.01	13.699	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	
K01168.01	13.851	2012 Aug 5	i	low	
K01169.01	13.071	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	
K01175.01	13.075	2012 Aug 5	i	medium	
K01194.01	15.391	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01198.01	15.165	2012 Sep 3	LP600	low	
K01202.01	15.352	2012 Aug 4	LP600	low	
K01203.01	12.159	2012 Sep 5	LP000	IOW	
K01206.01	13.430	2012 Aug 6	ι ;	medium	
K01215.01	13.220	2012 Aug 0	i i	low	
K01210.01	13.20	2012 Aug 5 2012 Aug 6	i i	medium	
K01220.01	12 713	2012 Aug 6	i	medium	
K01220.01	11 265	2012 Aug 6	i	high	
K01222.01	11.909	2012 Aug 6	i	high	
K01227.01	13.785	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K01230.01	11.914	2012 Aug 6	i	high	
K01236.01	13.518	2012 Aug 6	i	low	
K01239.01	14.812	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01240.01	14.242	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01241.01	12.09	2012 Sep 14	LP600	high	
K01242.01	13.611	2012 Aug 6	i	low	
K01257.01	14.367	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K01258.01	15.528	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	
K01266.01	14.869	2012 Jun 17	LP600	low	
K01270.01	14.544	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium	

The Astrophysical Journal, 791:35 (18pp), 2014 August 10

Table 5

Law	ΕT	AL.
-----	----	-----

	Table 5 (Continued)				
KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion
K01567.01	15.254	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium	
K01576.01	13.826	2012 Aug 6	i	medium	
K01588.01	14.184	2012 Jun 17	LP600	medium	
K01589.01	14.547	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium	
K01590.01	15.326	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low	
K01596.01	14.758	2012 Jun 17	LP600	low	
K01597.01	12.598	2012 Aug 6	<i>l</i> I D600	nign	
K01596.01	13 752	2012 Sep 4		medium	
K01608.01	13.752	2012 Aug 0 2012 Sep 4	ι Ι Ρ600	high	
K01609.01	13.793	2012 Aug 29	LI 000	low	
K01612.01	8.658	2012 Aug 6	i	high	
K01613.01		2012 Aug 29	i	high	yes
K01615.01	11.341	2012 Aug 29	i	high	•
K01616.01	11.396	2012 Aug 29	i	high	
K01618.01	11.473	2012 Aug 29	i	high	
K01619.01	11.427	2012 Aug 29	i	high	yes
K01621.01	11.711	2012 Aug 29	i	high	
K01622.01	12.033	2012 Aug 29	i	high	
K01627.01	15.493	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low	
K01628.01	12.775	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01629.01	13.381	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01632.01	13.157	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01647.01	13.961	2012 Sep 4	LP600	high	
K01649.01	14.347	2012 Jul 16	LP600	medium	
K01655.01	13.559	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	
K01665.01	13.871	2012 Sep 4	LP600	high	
K01669.01	14.018	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K016//.01	14.073	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium	yes
K01602.01	12./1/	2012 Sep 14	LP000	nign	
K01092.01	12.515	2012 Sep 4	LP000	high	
K01701.01	13 835	2012 Aug 4 2012 Sep 14	ι Ι Ρ600	medium	
K01713.01	14 712	2012 Sep 14 2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium	
K01715.01	12.751	2012 Sep 19 2012 Aug 29	LI 000	medium	
K01725.01	13.107	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01726.01	12.684	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01738.01	13.032	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01751.01	14.248	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium	
K01754.01	13.775	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	
K01779.01	13.077	2012 Aug 29	i	low	
K01781.01	11.884	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high	
K01783.01	13.774	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	
K01802.01	13.175	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01803.01	12.932	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01805.01	13.591	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	
K01812.01	13.582	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01813.01	13.525	2012 Aug 29		medium	
K01814.01	12.453	2012 Sep 14	LP600	nign	
K01818.01	12 247	2012 Sep 14	LP000	medium	
K01820.01	13.347	2012 Aug 29 2012 Sep 13	ι Ι Ρ600	high	
K01820.01	12 281	2012 Sep 13	LI 000	medium	
K01824.01	12.201	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01825.01	13 632	2012 Nug 29 2012 Sep 14	LP600	high	
K01831.01	13.866	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	
K01832.01	14.776	2012 Sep 13	LP600	low	
K01835.01	13.388	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high	
K01839.01	12.992	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01843.01	13.708	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01845.01	14.05	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium	yes
K01850.01	13.952	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	-
K01852.01	12.97	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01854.01	13.293	2012 Aug 29	i	medium	
K01856.01	13.804	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	

		(Colitin	ueu)				
KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?	KOI	m _i /ma
K01271.01	13.5	2012 Aug 6	i	low		K01567.01	15.25
K01274.01	13.107	2012 Aug 6	i	medium	yes	K01576.01	13.82
K01275.01	13.442	2012 Jul 28	i	low		K01588.01	14.18
K01276.01	14.542	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium		K01589.01	14.54
K01278.01	15.02	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium		K01590.01	15.32
K012/9.01	13.333	2012 Aug 6	1 ;	10W high		K01596.01	14.75
K01282.01	12.399	2012 Aug 0	i i	high		K01597.01	14.06
K01285.01	14 967	2012 Aug 0 2012 Sep 14	ι Ι Ρ600	low		K01598.01	13.75
K01299.01	11.878	2012 Sep 14 2012 Aug 6	LI 000	high		K01608.01	13.64
K01301.01	15.581	2012 Sep 3	LP600	low		K01609.01	13.79
K01305.01	14.913	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium		K01612.01	8.65
K01306.01	15.374	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low		K01613.01	
K01307.01	14.551	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01615.01	11.34
K01308.01	13.781	2012 Aug 6	i	low		K01616.01	11.39
K01309.01	13.727	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01618.01	11.47
K01314.01	12.941	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01619.01	11.42
K01315.01	12.998	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01621.01	11.71
K01316.01	11.694	2012 Aug 6	i	high		K01622.01	12.03
K01332.01	14.919	2012 Sep 3	LP600	medium		K01627.01	15.49
K01335.01	13.774	2012 Aug 6	1	low		K01628.01	12.77
K01336.01	14.61	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01629.01	13.38
K01338.01	14.385	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01632.01	13.15
K01342.01	14.055	2012 Sep 4	LP000	medium		K01647.01	14.34
K01353.01	13.209	2012 Aug 0	i i	medium		K01655.01	13 55
K01358.01	15.117	2012 Mug 0 2012 Sep 4	ι ΓΡ600	low		K01665.01	13.87
K01359.01	15.025	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium	ves	K01669.01	14.01
K01360.01	15.293	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low	5	K01677.01	14.07
K01363.01	15.719	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low		K01684.01	12.71
K01364.01	15.669	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low		K01692.01	12.31
K01366.01	15.138	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01701.01	11.04
K01375.01	13.533	2012 Aug 6	i	medium	yes	K01706.01	13.83
K01376.01	13.902	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01713.01	14.71
K01378.01	13.327	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01715.01	12.75
K01379.01	13.499	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01725.01	13.10
K01393.01	15.201	2012 Jul 15	LP600	low		K01726.01	12.68
K01396.01	15.62	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01751.01	13.03
K01401.01	13.310	2012 Aug 0 2012 Aug 4	ι Ι Ρ600	medium		K01751.01	14.24
K01408.01	13 434	2012 Aug 4	LI 000	medium		K01754.01	13.07
K01412.01	15,194	2012 Aug 0 2012 Aug 4	LP600	low		K01781.01	11.88
K01426.01	14.063	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01783.01	13.77
K01427.01	15.287	2012 Aug 4	LP600	medium		K01802.01	13.17
K01435.01	14.012	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01803.01	12.93
K01436.01	14.061	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01805.01	13.59
K01438.01	13.858	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01812.01	13.58
K01439.01	12.689	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01813.01	13.52
K01442.01	12.296	2012 Aug 6	i	high	yes	K01814.01	12.45
K01444.01	13.784	2012 Aug 6	i	low		K01818.01	13.88
K01452.01	13.525	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01819.01	13.34
K01459.01	15.139	2012 Aug 4	LP600	medium		K01820.01	13.29
K01478.01	12.254	2012 Aug 6	i	high		K01822.01	12.28
K01480.01	15.5/3	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low		K01824.01	12.50
K01480.01	13.280	2012 Sep 4	LP000	medium		K01825.01	13.03
K01515.01	12.000	2012 Sep 4	LP000	high		K01831.01	13.80
K01528.01	13 822	2012 Aug 0	i i	medium		K01835.01	13 39
K01529.01	14.152	2012 Mag 0	LP600	high		K01839.01	12.90
K01530.01	12.88	2012 Aug 6	000	medium		K01843.01	13.70
K01535.01	12.884	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01845.01	14.05
K01536.01	12.542	2012 Aug 6	i	medium		K01850.01	13.95
K01537.01		2012 Aug 29	i	high		K01852.01	12.97
K01557.01	14.457	2012 Sep 4	LP600	medium		K01854.01	13.29
K01563.01	15.475	2012 Sep 4	LP600	low		K01856.01	13.80

The Astrophysical Journal, 791:35 (18pp), 2014 August 10

LAW ET AL.

Companion?

yes

yes

yes

Obs. qual. medium medium medium high low low low medium high high low high medium low high

medium medium medium medium high high high low high high low high medium medium medium high high

high medium medium

high high high high medium low high low medium medium low low medium medium high medium low medium high high medium high high high high medium low high high medium

		Table (Contin	e 5 ued)					Table (Contin	e 5 ued)
KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?	KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter
K01857.01	13.548	2012 Aug 29	i	medium		K02033.01	13.476	2012 Aug 30	i
K01860.01	13.822	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02035.01	12.782	2012 Aug 31	i
K01862.01	13.453	2012 Aug 29	i	medium		K02038.01	14.548	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01863.01	13.473	2012 Aug 29	i	low		K02040.01	13.983	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01867.01	14.404	2012 Jul 15	LP600	low		K02042.01	12.941	2012 Aug 31	i
K01868.01	14.652	2012 Jul 15	LP600	low		K02044.01	15.591	2012 Aug 30	LP600
C01874.01	14.947	2012 Sep 13	LP600	low		K02045.01	15.135	2012 Sep 13	LP600
2018/8.01	12.055	2012 Aug 29 2012 Jul 15	ι Ι Ρ600	medium	VAC	K02040.01	12.959	2012 Aug 50 2012 Oct 6	<i>i</i> I D 600
C01883.01	11.757	2012 Jul 13	LI 000	high	yes	K02049.01	13.771	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01884.01	15.158	2012 Sep 13	LP600	low	ves	K02051.01	14.902	2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01886.01	12.087	2012 Aug 29	i	high	5	K02053.01	12.839	2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01888.01	13.15	2012 Aug 29	i	medium		K02057.01	14.432	2012 Jul 16	LP600
K01889.01	15.109	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02058.01	14.78	2012 Jul 16	LP600
K01890.01	11.555	2012 Aug 29	i	high	yes	K02059.01	12.558	2012 Oct 6	LP600
\$01891.01	14.957	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium	yes	K02071.01	13.478	2012 Aug 30	i
K01893.01	13.876	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02072.01	13.215	2012 Aug 30	i
K01894.01	13.05	2012 Sep 14	LP600	high		K02073.01	15.225	2012 Sep 13	LP600
XU1893.01	13.42	2012 Sep 13	LP000	10W		K02079.01	12.709	2012 Aug 30	<i>l</i> I D600
K01005.01	13.779	2012 Sep 14 2012 Sep 14	LP000 LP600	medium		K02082.01	13.904	2012 Oct 6	LP000
K01907.01	14 699	2012 Sep 14 2012 Jul 15	LP600	low		K02080.01	11 727	2012 Oct 0 2012 Aug 30	LI 000
K01909.01	12.612	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high		K02090.01	14.88	2012 Jul 16	LP600
K01913.01	13.083	2012 Sep 19	i 1	medium		K02105.01	13.693	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01915.01	13.809	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02110.01	12.071	2012 Aug 30	i
K01916.01	13.42	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high	yes	K02111.01	14.674	2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01917.01	13.479	2012 Aug 29	i	medium		K02119.01	13.799	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01921.01	12.708	2012 Sep 14	LP600	high		K02133.01	12.104	2012 Aug 31	i
K01922.01	15.159	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02135.01	13.416	2012 Aug 30	i
K01923.01	13.879	2012 Aug 29	i	low		K02137.01	13.489	2012 Aug 30	i
CO1924.01	7.674	2012 Aug 29	<i>i</i>	high		K02138.01	12.127	2012 Aug 30	i LDCOO
C01925.01	9.211	2012 Aug 29	<i>l</i> I D600	high		K02143.01	13.8/2	2012 Oct 6	LP600
C01929.01	12.35	2012 Sep 13	LP000	high		K02149.01	11.928	2012 Aug 50 2012 Jul 28	i i
C01930.01	14 307	2012 Sep 13 2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02158.01	13 293	2012 Jul 28 2012 Aug 31	i
X01932.01	12.366	2012 Sep 13 2012 Sep 14	LP600	high		K02162.01	13.864	2012 Aug 51 2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01938.01	13.766	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02169.01	12.172	2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01940.01	14.912	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02173.01	12.522	2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01944.01	13.79	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02175.01	12.626	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01945.01	14.267	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02191.01	14.275	2012 Jul 17	LP600
K01952.01	14.398	2012 Sep 13	LP600	medium		K02194.01	13.681	2012 Aug 31	i
K01955.01	13.025	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high		K02201.01	13.618	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01960.01	13.975	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low		K02202.01	13.842	2012 Aug 31	i
K01961.01	12.61	2012 Aug 30	<i>i</i>	medium		K02204.01	13.8	2012 Oct 6	LP600
X01962.01	10 464	2012 Aug 30	1	nign	yes	K02215.01	12.699	2012 Aug 31	1
K01904.01	10.404	2012 Aug 30 2012 Sep 13	<i>l</i> I P600	low	yes	K02219.01	13.781	2012 Aug 31 2012 Sep 13	<i>i</i> I P600
K01970.01	13.141	2012 Sep 15	LF000 LP600	high		K02220.01	14.40	2012 Sep 13	LF000
K01979.01	12.786	2012 Oct 0 2012 Aug 30	LI 000	medium	ves	K02222.01	14.742	2012 Aug 51 2012 Sep 13	LP600
K01984.01	13.528	2012 Aug 30	i	medium	500	K02228.01	12.61	2012 Oct 6	LP600
K01988.01	13.741	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium		K02238.01	14.037	2012 Jul 17	LP600
K02001.01	12.82	2012 Aug 30	i	medium		K02246.01	13.965	2012 Aug 31	i
K02002.01	13.104	2012 Aug 30	i	medium		K02252.01	13.471	2012 Aug 31	i
K02004.01	13.15	2012 Aug 30	i	medium		K02260.01	12.05	2012 Aug 31	i
K02006.01	13.626	2012 Jul 16	LP600	high		K02272.01	12.747	2012 Aug 31	i
K02009.01	13.616	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	yes	K02273.01	12.553	2012 Aug 31	i
K02010.01	13.054	2012 Aug 30	i	medium		K02276.01	11.485	2012 Aug 31	i
KU2UII.UI	12.419	2012 Sep 14	LP600 :	high		K02279.01	13.688	2012 Oct 6	LP600
NU2U13.U1	12.000	2012 Aug 30		medium		K02281.01	13.333	2012 Oct 6	LP000 ;
K02010.01	13.934	2012 Sep 14	LP000	medium		K02287.01	12.1	2012 Aug 31 2012 Aug 31	ι ;
K02017.01	12.000	2012 Aug 30 2012 Sen 13	ι LP600	medium		K02209.01	13,193	2012 Aug 31 2012 Aug 31	i i
X02025.01	13.608	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high		K02303 01	13.71	2012 Aug 51 2012 Oct 6	LP600
K02026.01	13.121	2012 Aug 30	i	medium		K02312.01	12.586	2012 Aug 31	i
K02029.01	12.694	2012 Sep 13	LP600	high		K02319.01	13.224	2012 Aug 31	i

17

Table 5 (Continued)

KOI	m _i /mags	ObsID	Filter	Obs. qual.	Companion?
K02331.01	13.29	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02332.01	12.766	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02335.01	13.912	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02342.01	12.87	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02347.01	14.369	2012 Jul 17	LP600	low	
K02352.01	12 202	2012 Sep 14	LP600	high	
K02358.01	13.383	2012 Aug 31	i L DCOO	medium	
K02365.01	13.682	2012 Oct 6	LP600	medium	
K02366.01	12.337	2012 Aug 31		high	
K02307.01	12.475	2012 Oct 6	LPOUU	nign	
K02374.01	12.070	2012 Jul 28 2012 Sep 14	ι Ι Ρ600	low	
K02374.01	13/17	2012 Sep 14	LI 000	low	
K02300.01	12.08	2012 Aug 31 2012 Aug 31	i	high	
K02398.01	13 437	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02399.01	13.437	2012 Aug 51 2012 Oct 6	LP600	medium	
K02407.01	13.979	2012 Aug 31	i	low	
K02408.01	13.972	2012 Aug 31	i	low	
K02410.01	14.949	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K02413.01	14.684	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	ves
K02414.01	13.39	2012 Sep 14	LP600	medium	2
K02426.01	13.658	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02433.01	15.041	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K02440.01	13.762	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02443.01	13.83	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	yes
K02457.01	12.267	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02463.01	12.609	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	yes
K02470.01	13.448	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02479.01	12.687	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02481.01	13.214	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02484.01	12.293	2012 Aug 31	i	high	
K02486.01	12.89	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	yes
K02488.01	13.395	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02498.01	13.678	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02503.01	13.781	2012 Aug 31	<i>i</i>	medium	
K02522.01	13.336	2012 Aug 31		medium	
K02327.01	12.07	2012 Oct 0	LP000	madium	
K02550.01	12.430	2012 Aug 31	1 ;	medium	
K02533.01	12.907	2012 Aug 31 2012 Oct 6	ι Ι Ρ600	high	
K02538.01	13.755	2012 Oct 6	L P600	high	
K02541.01	12 717	2012 Oct 0 2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02545.01	11.63	2012 Aug 31	i	high	
K02547.01	13.976	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02555.01	12.756	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02556.01	13.828	2012 Oct 6	LP600	medium	
K02559.01	13.626	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02561.01	13.49	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02563.01	13.82	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02564.01	13.91	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02581.01	13.248	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02582.01	13.45	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02583.01	12.423	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02585.01	13.311	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02593.01		2012 Aug 31	i	high	
K02595.01	13.107	2012 Aug 31	i	medium	
K02597.01	14.626	2012 Sep 14	LP600	low	
K02603.01	12.457	2012 Oct 6	LP600	high	
K02608.01	13.124	2012 Aug 31	<i>l</i>	medium	
KU2031.UI	13.293	2012 Aug 31	1	meaium	
K02032.01	11.28	2012 Aug 31	ι :	madium	
K02040.01	12.090	2012 Aug 31 2012 Oct 6	ι Ι Ρ600	high	VAS
K02657 01	12 655	2012 Oct 6	LP600	hioh	ves
K02662.01	13.739	2012 Jul 17	LP600	medium	303

particularly S. Kunsman, M. Doyle, J. Henning, R. Walters, G. Van Idsinga, B. Baker, K. Dunscombe and D. Roderick. We recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. C.B. and J.A.J. acknowledge support from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. J.A.J. acknowledges support from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

Facilities: PO:1.5m (Robo-AO), Keck:II (NIRC2-NGS)

APPENDIX

In Table 5, we list our Robo-AO observed KOIs, including the date the target was observed, the filter, the observation quality, and the presence of detected companions.

REFERENCES

Adams, E. R., Ciardi, D. R., Dupree, A. K., et al. 2012, AJ, 144, 42 Adams, E. R., Dupree, A. K., Kulesa, C., & McCarthy, D. 2013, AJ, 146, 9 Baranec, C., Riddle, R., Law, N. M., et al. 2013, J. Visualized Exp., 72, e50021 Baranec, C., Riddle, R., Ramaprakash, A. N., et al. 2012, Proc. SPIE, 8447, 844704
 Barclay, T., Rowe, J. F., Lissauer, J. J., et al. 2013, Natur, 494, 452 Barrado, D., Lillo-Box, J., Bouy, H., Aceituno, J., & Sánchez, S. 2013, in European Physical Journal Web of Conferences, Vol. 47, European Physical Journal Web of Conferences, 5008
Batalha, N. M., Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., et al. 2013, ApJS, 204, 24 Batyoin K 2012, Natur 491, 418
Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2010, Sci, 327, 977
Borucki, W. J., Koch, D. G., Basri, G., et al. 2011, ApJ, 736, 19
Brown, T. M., Latham, D. W., Everett, M. E., & Esquerdo, G. A. 2011, AJ, 142, 112
Bryson, S. T., Jenkins, J. M., Gilliland, R. L., et al. 2013, PASP, 125, 889
Buchhave, L. A., Latham, D. W., Carter, J. A., et al. 2011, ApJS, 197, 3 Burgasser, A. J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Reid, I. N., et al. 2003, ApJ, 586, 512
Cenko, S. B., Fox, D. B., Moon, DS., et al. 2006, PASP, 118, 1396
Colón, K. D., Ford, E. B., & Morehead, R. C. 2012, MNRAS, 426, 342
Daemgen, S., Hormuth, F., Brandner, W., et al. 2009, A&A, 498, 567
Dotter, A., Chaboyer, B., Jevremović, D., et al. 2008, ApJS, 178, 89
Dressing, C. D., & Charbonneau, D. 2013, ApJ, 767, 95
Fabrycky, D., & Tremaine, S. 2007, ApJ, 669, 1298
Feigelson, E. D., & Jogesh Babu, G. 2012, Modern Statistical Methods for
Astronomy (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Horch, E. P., Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., & Ciardi, D. R. 2012, AJ, 144 165
Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., Sherry, W., Horch, E., & Ciardi, D. R. 2011, AJ, 142 19
Johnson, J. A., Apps, K., Gazak, J. Z., et al. 2011, ApJ, 730, 79
Katz, B., Dong, S., & Malhotra, R. 2011, PhRvL, 107, 181101
Koch, D. G., Borucki, W. J., Basri, G., et al. 2010, ApJL, 713, L79
Lafrenière, D., Marois, C., Doyon, R., Nadeau, D., & Artigau, É. 2007, ApJ, 660, 770
Law, N. M., Hodgkin, S. T., & Mackay, C. D. 2006b, MNRAS, 368, 1917
Law, N. M., Kraus, A. L., Street, R., et al. 2012, ApJ, 757, 133
Law, N. M., Mackay, C. D., & Baldwin, J. E. 2006a, A&A, 446, 739
Law, N. M., Mackay, C. D., Dekany, R. G., et al. 2009, ApJ, 692, 924
Lillo-Box, J., Barrado, D., & Bouy, H. 2012, A&A, 546, A10
Marcy, G. W., Isaacson, H., Howard, A. W., et al. 2014, ApJS, 210, 20 Ming, V. Ibi, Con. L., Ibi, Z., Iio, Vi, V. & Ii, Lin, Z. 2012, ApJ, 778, 110
Ming, 1, Hui-Gen, L., Hui, Z., Jia-11, 1., & Ji-Lin, Z. 2015, ApJ, 778, 110 Morton, T. D. 2012, ApJ, 761, 6
Morton, T. D., & Johnson, J. A. 2011, ApJ, 738, 170
Na0Z, S., Farr, W. M., & Kasio, F. A. 2012, ApJL, 734, L30 O'Deneven E.T. Cherbonneev, D. Torree, G. et al. 2006, ApJ, 644, 1227
Riddle R I Burse M P Law N M et al 2012 Proc SPIE 8447
844720
Santerne, A., Fressin, F., Diaz, K. F., et al. 2013, A&A, 557, A139
Terriev F. Law N. M. Arcavi I. et al. 2013 ApJS, 200, 3
Wizinowich, P., Acton, D. S., Shelton, C., et al. 2000, PASP, 112, 315