DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 498

SP 006 790

はなからていていますのできていないがんないがないないからいしていますることできない。 アラント すいけんち な

AUTHOR

Prokop, Manfred

TITLE

Role Conflict in Student Teaching.

PUB DATE

[71] 8p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Role Conflict; *Role Perception; *Self Congrue : Student Attitudes; Student Behavior; *Student

Teachers; Student Teaching; *Teacher Role; Teacher

Supervision

ABSTRACT

A student teacher is often liable to be placed in a conflictive situation between faculty consultants and cooperating teachers who have widely differing conceptions of the role of the ideal teacher. None of the possible responses of a student teacher are conducive to effective learning, especially the decision to "get by." This conflict can be avoided by the adoption of several measures. The supervisory team should be quite explicit with each other and the student teacher about their role ideals; overwhelming role dissension should result in a rematch of supervisors. The consequences of enacting certain roles should be made clear to the student teacher. The student teacher should then be allowed to enact these roles experimentally in a nonevaluative atmosphere and ultimately be allowed to choose and practice the teacher role which is most congruent with himself. (JA)

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
D'ICED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
HE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
AT NG IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

ROLE CONFLICT IN STUDENT TEACHING

Manfred Prokop

Student teaching has been described as a social system within which the student teacher is expected to learn how to behave as a teacher (19). Consequently, at the basis of all teacher education programs there is a more or less explicit commitment to help the student teacher perceive and acquire the role of the teacher which is deemed desirable by the educators at the university and in the schools; to aid him in learning the skills appropriate to the enactment of that role; and to provide assistance in the acquisition of subject matter which is communicated in the course of the teaching process.

The teacher role is, however, not a unified phenomenon; the teacher will behave in certain ways vis-a-vis the students, the social and educational communities, and these role may overlap to varying degrees (2). The teacher education program, for a number of reasons, concentrates to a major extent on the proper learning of the teaching component of the teacher role.

In methods courses, student teaching situations and consulting and feed-back sessions with the faculty consultant and the cooperating teacher the student teacher is exposed to a variety of role ideals held for him by the two main communicators of the teacher role: the faculty consultant and the cooperating teacher. To be sure, there are other sources of role expectations, such as the community at large, the parents, the student teacher's students, and his own role ideals (26); yet it is the faculty consultant and the cooperating teacher who represent systematic influences.

There is agreement in the professional literature that faculty consultants and cooperating teachers have widely differing conceptions of the role of the ideal teacher (1, 4, 9, 10, 11, 22, 25); the faculty consultant may be more idealistically oriented towards the implications for teaching of educational

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

二一个数据的人,我们是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们

theory, while the cooperating teacher may be more realistically concerned with the day-to-day tasks of effective teaching (23). Basic to these general expectations are philosophical ideals, such as "creativity", "innovativeness", "pragmatism", "social relevance", "discovery orientation", "intellectualism", "obedience and conformity orientation" and the like. It is quite clear, however, that these "aims" require the enactment of certain roles by means of certain role-specific skills. A teacher who attempts to foster creativity in children behaves differently from another teacher who is more interested in reception learning of facts and principles.

As both cooperating teacher and faculty consultant have the authority to enforce the proper learning of role enactment by means of sanctions, the student teacher may find himself in a conflict as to which role he should choose for himself. Indeed, the professional literature warns the beginning student teacher of the potential existence of such conflict and cautions him to avoid an aggravation of the problem by getting himself entangled in this complex relationship (6).

Conflict would not ensue if sanctions weren't threatened and/or imposed; but as long as they do exist, the student teacher in such a conflict situation has the choice of either rejecting one or both role pressures or to try and compromise between them (7). It has been shown that the cooperating teacher's role perceptions are usually the ones which the student teacher tends to embrace (8, 14, 15, 17).

The adaptive responses available to the student teacher to cope with role conflict may range from hostility, aggressiveness and rejection to withdrawal or submission (13). None of these responses is conducive to effective learning, and everyone may produce psychological damage in the student teacher (18) as there is a strong relationship between role behavior and the student teacher's self. Research has shown that most effective role enactment takes place when role behavior and personality dispositions coincide (3, 5, 21, 24). If there is



incongruence between the two, the resulting cognitive strain may lead to the above-mentioned coping responses, and the sanctions - imagined or real - can then be seen as threats not only to the professional development process, but also to the student teacher's self as a person. Even the one response which is most likely to allow the student teacher to remain in the teacher education program in such a situation (viz. submission) is likely to lead to undesirable consequences as overt role behavior may be merely conformity behavior in order to "get by" (16). This simulated acceptance of desirable role enactment may serve to explain the fact that student teachers' learning has frequently been observed to "decay" after completion of the teacher education program (12, 20).

Imagine a case, if you will, where the faculty consultant is highly discovery-learning oriented (with all the strategies and behavior which this aim would require) and where the cooperating teacher is more concerned with the "traditional" methods and aims of instruction. What would happen to the student teacher who finds himself _etween these two poles and chooses the cooperating teacher's role expectations ? Would he face frequent criticism and low marks in the methods courses, a low grade point average with all consequent implications ? Or the student teacher who selects the faculty consultant's role expectations; would be get report about ineffectual teaching, wasting time etc. and criticism as a poor prospect for the teaching profession? How many student teachers choose to please both supervisors in the appropriate surroundings, don't transfer knowledge acquired at the university to the classroom (and, vice versa, experiences gained in the classroom to the methods course) and finish not having really learned anything at all ? How many student teachers leave the teacher education program and what are their reasons ? Or take the example of a student teacher whose own role expectations differ considerably from those held by the cooperating

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

4

reacher as well as the faculty consultant. Many supervisors will have had similar if not so extreme experiences.

How can such role conflict be avoided or used constructively in the student teacher's learning process? First of all, the importance of clarity of role expectations should be recognized by the supervisors and the student teacher. The supervisory team should be quite clear and explicit about their own and the other's role ideals and should make them equally explicit to the student teacher. If possible, a unified role ideal (in terms of educational theory and practice) should be presented to him; if role dissensus is found to be overwhelming the supervisors should be re-matched; if there is agreement on some points and disagreement on others the resulting low-conflict situation can actually be productive of effective role learning if sanctions are effectively removed because relatively low cognitive dissonance can be more conducive to learning than no dissonance at all.

Second, the supervisory team should discuss in detail with the student teacher the consequences of enacting certain roles; the faculty consultant should provide educational theory and the cooperating teacher should supply probable implications for the classroom routine.

Third, the student teacher should be given the opportunity to enact these roles experimentally and tentatively in a non-evaluative atmosphere (for instance, in micro-teaching, mini-course or simulation sessions); in this way the student teacher will widen his behavioral repertoire and will be able to choose appropriate behavioral strategies when required. Supervisors should criticize constructively the student teacher's performance on the spot and its likely effect on a classroom situation.

Fourth, the student teacher should then be allowed to select the teacher role which is most congruent with his self, and should be given ample internship practice before finally completing the methods part of the teacher edu-



cation program.

If the training system were free enough it would also admit a student teacher to teaching who did not choose a cherished role ideal. After all, if the student teacher merely simulates acceptance of role ideals held for him he may revert to role behavior later which doesn't coincide either with the desired ideals. If he were given the freedom to choose and practice his own role ideal he could become an expert in relevant role behavior that is most suited to his own personality disposition. It is well recognized, of course, that there are "effective" teachers over a wide range of teaching behaviors as long as they exhibit the essential characteristics of every genuine interaction between human beings.

REFERENCES

(1) Bach, J. O. Practice teaching successs in relation to other measures of teaching ability. Journal of Experimental Education, 1952, 21, 57-80.

()

- (2) Biddle, B. J., Rosencranz, H. A., Tomich, E., & Twyman, J. P. Shared inaccuracies in the role of the teacher. In B. J. Biddle & E. J. Thomas (Eds.), Role theory: Concepts and Research. New York: Wiley, 1966, 302-310.
- (3) Borgatta, E. F. Role-playing specification, personality and performance. Sociometry, 1961, 24, 218-233:
- (4) Brown, B. B., & Vickery, T.R. The belief gap in teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 1967, 18, 417-421.
- (5) Bunker, G. Self-role congruence and status congruence as interacting variables in dyadic behavior. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
- (6) Byers, L., & Irish, E. Success in student teaching. Boston: Heath, 1961, 8.
- (7) Corrigan, D. C., & Garland, C.B. Role analysis applied to internship processes. In Association for Student Teaching, <u>Internships in teacher education</u>, 47th Yearbook. Washington, D. C.: NEA, 1968, 96.
- (8) Dickson, G.E. The crux of an effective off-campus student teaching program. Educational Administration and Supervision, 1953, 39, 143.
- (9) Drabick, L. W. Perceivers of the teacher role: The teacher eductor. Journal of Teacher Education, 1967, 18, 51-57.
- (10) Haines, A. C. Role dilemmas in student teaching. <u>Journal of Teacher</u> Education, 1957, 8, 367.
- (11) Holeman, R. L. Attitude change of the student teacher: A test of the ABX model. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Washington University, 1963.
- (12) Hoy, W. K. Organizational socialization: The student teacher and pupil control ideology. Journal of Educational Research, 1967, 61, 153-155.
- (13) Kahn, R. L., Wolfe, D. M., Quinn, R. P., Snoek, J. D., & Rosenthal, R. A. Adjustment to role conflict and ambiguity in organizations. In B. J. Biddle & E. J. Thomas (Eds.), Role theory: Concepts and research. New York: Wiley, 1966, 281.



- (14) Lamb, P. The student teaching process in elementary schools. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill, 1965, 14.
- (15) McGuirc, V., Eyers, R. B., & Durrance, C. L. Your student teaching in the secondary school. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1959, 49.
- (16) Mitchell, J. Cons, Square-johns, and rehabilitation. In B. J. Biddle & E. J. Thomas (Eds.), Role theory: Concepts and research. New York: Wiley, 1966, 207-212.

ていた。 こう 「たまさい コンドル のない」 からいこうが こうてん しゅうかんしゅう おおおおから しゅうけん マイン くんしょう はながれないないないないないないないない あんない あんない おおおおし おおおおし

- (17) Morse, W. C. Anxieties and role conflicts in an interrelated triangle: In Association for Student Teaching, <u>The College Supervisor: Conflict and Challenge</u>. Forty-Third Yearbook. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1964, 9.
- (18) Parsons, T. Role conflict and the genesis of diviance. In B. J. Biddle and E. J. Thomas (Eds.), Role Theory: Concepts and research. New York: Wiley, 1966, 275-276.
- (19) Prokop, M. Student teacher perceptual change and role conflict in relation to role perceptions held by a three-member supervisory team.
 Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Notre Dame, 1971, 13-15.
- (20) Rabinowitz, W., & Rosenbaum, I. Teaching experience and teachers' attitudes. Elementary School Journal, 1960, 60, 313-319.
- (21) Sarbin, T. R., & Allen, V. L. Role theory. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), The handbook of social psychology. (2nd ed.). Vol 1. Systematic positions. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968, 522.
- (22) Schueler, H., Gold, M. J., & Mitzel, H. E. The use of televison in teacher training and for improving measures of student teaching performance:

 Phase I: Improvement of student teaching. U. S. Department of Health,

 Education and Welfare, Office of Education, New York: Hunter College, 1962.
- (23) Sieber, S. D., & Wilder, D. E., Teaching styles: Parental preferences and professional role definitions. Sociology of Education, 1967, 40, 302-315.
- (24) Smelser, W. T. Dominance as a factor irrachievement and perception in cooperative problem solving interactions. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1961, 62, 535-542.
- (25) Sorenson, G. What is learned in practice teaching? Journal of Teacher Education, 1967, 18, 173-178.

(26) Twyman, J. P., & Biddle, B. J. Role conflict for public school teachers. Journal of Psychology, 1963, 55, 183-198.