

Role of hydroxyl groups in the preferential oxidation of CO over copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts

Arantxa Davó-Quiñonero, Miriam Navlani-García, Dolores Lozano-Castello, Agustín Bueno-López, and James A. Anderson

ACS Catal., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.5b02741 • Publication Date (Web): 01 Feb 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 8, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Role of hydroxyl groups in the preferential oxidation of CO over copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts

13 *Arantxa Davó-Quiñonero*¹, *Miriam Navlani-García*¹, *Dolores Lozano-Castelló*^{1,2}, *Agustín*
14 *Bueno-López*^{1,2,*}, *James A. Anderson*²
15
16
17

18
19 ¹MCMA group. Inorganic Chemistry Department; University of Alicante.
20
21

22 ²Surface Chemistry and Catalysis Group, School of Engineering, University of Aberdeen.
23
24
25
26
27

28 KEYWORDS. PROX; copper; ceria, CO oxidation; H₂ purification.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ABSTRACT
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Model CuO/Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O₈ catalysts (with X = Ce, Zr, La, Pr or Nd) have been prepared in order to
16 obtain CuO/ceria materials with different chemical features, and have been characterized by
17 XRD, Raman spectroscopy, N₂ adsorption and H₂-TPR. CO-PROX experiments have been
18 performed in a fixed-bed reactor and in an *operando* DRIFTS cell coupled to a mass
19 spectrometer. The CO oxidation rate over CuO/Ceria catalysts correlates with the formation of
20 the Cu⁺-CO carbonyl above a critical temperature (90°C for the experimental conditions in this
21 study) because copper-carbonyl formation is the rate limiting step. Above this temperature CO
22 oxidation capacity depends on the redox properties of the catalyst. However, decomposition of
23 adsorbed intermediates is the slowest step below this threshold temperature. The hydroxyl
24 groups on the catalyst surface play a key role in determining the nature of the carbon-based
25 intermediates formed upon CO chemisorption and oxidation. Hydroxyls favor the formation of
26 bicarbonates with respect to carbonates, and catalyst forming more bicarbonates produce faster
27 CO oxidation rates than those which favor carbonates.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1.- Introduction

CO oxidation by molecular oxygen is probably one of the most studied catalyzed reactions, and the selective oxidation of CO in H₂-rich gas mixtures (CO-PROX) has become of special relevance in recent years in order to provide sufficiently pure H₂ for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC).^{1,2} H₂ is obtained by catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons followed by the water gas shift reaction (WGS),³ and this process yields a H₂-rich gas stream with up to 1% of CO. This high concentration is not acceptable because CO poisons the Pt electrocatalysts, and CO concentration must be lowered below *ca.* 100 ppm.⁴ The CO-PROX reaction is one of the most suitable methods for the purification of such H₂-rich gas streams, and copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts are promising materials⁵⁻⁸ for CO-PROX that could replace expensive Pt or Au catalysts.⁹⁻¹³

The CO-PROX reaction is a competitive process where CO and H₂ compete with each other for molecular oxygen¹⁴. The catalyst must be selective towards the CO-O₂ reaction with regard to the undesired oxidation of H₂, which decreases the net H₂ yield of the complete catalytic reforming + WGS + CO-PROX series of reactions. A correlation was established between the CO oxidation rate and the level of interfacial reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺, and such interfacial reduction can be correlated to the formation of Cu⁺-CO carbonyls.^{15,16} On the other hand, the oxidation of H₂ occurs once copper is significantly reduced, and the active species for the H₂-O₂ reaction are partially reduced copper oxide nanoparticles¹⁵ where dissociative chemisorption of H₂ takes place.¹⁷ The selectivity of copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts can be related to the preferential adsorption of CO on Cu⁺ and hindered H₂ dissociation on oxidized sites.¹⁷

1
2
3 The evolution of the carbon-containing species on the catalysts surface during the course of the
4 reaction is complex and is not completely understood, but it has been reported that surface
5 hydroxyls on the catalyst have a positive effect on CO oxidation for noble metal catalyst.¹⁸ This
6 aspect has been analyzed in detail in this study for CuO/Ceria, and model CuO/Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O_δ
7 catalysts (with X = Ce, Zr, La, Pr or Nd) have been prepared in order to obtain CuO/Ceria
8 materials with different chemical features. The objectives were to understand the role of the
9 carbon containing intermediates in the CO oxidation reaction, and to determine whether the
10 hydroxyl groups on the catalyst influence the formation of bicarbonates in preference to
11 carbonates, and to provide evidence to support a hypothesis that catalysts which preferentially
12 form bicarbonates are able to oxidize CO faster than those forming carbonates-type species.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 2.- Experimental

29 2.1 Catalysts preparation.

30
31
32
33
34
35 Five metal oxides with composition Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O_δ (X = Ce, Zr, La, Pr or Nd) were prepared
36 using the following metal precursors: Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Alfa-Aesar, 99.5 %), ZrO(NO₃)₂·xH₂O
37 (Fluka, ~ 27 % Zr), La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (≥ 99.0%), Pr(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (99.9%) and Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (≥
38 99.9%).
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The amounts of each precursor used were those required to obtain 5 g of metal oxide, and
47 aqueous solutions of these amounts were prepared with 10 ml of solvent. The dissolutions were
48 introduced in a muffle furnace previously heated at 200°C, and after 1 hour, the temperature was
49 increased at 10°C/min until 500°C, holding the samples at this temperature for 2 hours.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CuO/Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O₈ catalysts were prepared afterwards with a 5 wt. % target Cu loading. The
4
5 required amount of Cu(NO₃)₂·5·(1/2)H₂O (Sigma Aldrich, 98 %) was dissolved in 2 ml of water
6
7 and 2.5 g of Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O₈ was impregnated with this solution. The impregnated supports were heat
8
9 treated following the same protocol used for the supports preparation, that is, they were
10
11 introduced in a muffle furnace previously heated at 200°C, and after 1 h, the temperature was
12
13 increased at 10°C/min until 500°C, holding this temperature for 2 h.
14
15
16
17

18 19 2. 2. Catalysts characterization.

20
21
22 X-ray diffractograms were obtained in a Bruker D8 advance diffractometer, using CuK α
23
24 radiation ($\lambda=0.15418$ nm) between 10 and 90° (2 θ), with a step of 0.02° and a time of 3 s per
25
26 step. The average crystallite size of the mixed oxide supports was determined using the
27
28 Williamson-Hall's equation.¹⁹
29
30
31

32
33 N₂ adsorption isotherms were performed at -196°C in an automatic volumetric system
34
35 (Autosorb-6, Quantachrome) after the catalysts were degassed at 150°C for 4 h. The specific
36
37 surface areas, pore volumes and pore sizes were determined with the BET, DR and BJH
38
39 methods, respectively.
40
41
42

43
44 Raman spectra were recorded in a Bruker RFS 100/S Fourier Transform Raman Spectrometer
45
46 with a variable power Nd-YAG laser source (1064 nm). The spectra shown in this document
47
48 were normalized by dividing the intensity of each spectrum by the intensity of its F_{2g} band
49
50 maximum.
51
52

53
54 The catalysts were characterized by Temperature Programmed Reduction with H₂ (H₂-TPR) in
55
56 a ThermoQuest device (LE instruments), consisting of a tubular quartz reactor coupled to a
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The experiments were conducted with 50 mg of catalyst.
4
5 The temperature was raised at $10^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ from room temperature to 900°C and a $30\text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$
6
7 flow of 5 vol % H_2 in N_2 was used. A CuO reference sample was used to quantify the amount of
8
9 H_2 consumed in the experiments, and two parameters were calculated:
10
11

$$12 \quad \text{H}_2 \text{ consumed mol} / \text{CuO mol} = \frac{\text{H}_2 \text{ mol consumed}}{\text{CuO mol}}$$

13
14
15
16
17 where “ H_2 mol consumed” are the total moles of H_2 consumed in a certain H_2 -TPR experiment
18
19 and “CuO mol” are the CuO mol on the parcel of catalyst used in that H_2 -TPR experiment. The
20
21 H_2 mol consumed by the catalysts were calculated integrating the area under the TCD signal
22
23 profiles, and converting these areas into H_2 mole considering the area of the reduction peak
24
25 obtained with the reference CuO sample and assuming total reduction to Cu^0 .
26
27

$$28 \quad \text{Ce}^{4+} \text{ reduced (\%)} = 100 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot (\text{H}_2 \text{ mol consumed} - \text{H}_2 \text{ mol for CuO reduction})}{\text{Ce moles}}$$

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 where “ H_2 mol for CuO reduction” are the moles of H_2 required for all CuO in the sample of
38
39 catalyst to be reduced to Cu^0 and “Ce moles” are the total moles of Ce in the sample of catalyst.
40
41

42 2.3. Catalytic tests in a fixed-bed reactor.

43
44
45
46 Catalytic tests were carried out in a U-shape quartz reactor (16 mm inner diameter) with 150
47
48 mg of catalyst and $100\text{ mL}/\text{min}$ (30000 h^{-1}) of a gas mixture with 2 % CO , 2 % O_2 and 30 % H_2
49
50 balanced with He. The gas stream was fed to the reactor by means of mass flow controllers
51
52 (Bronkhorst). The gas composition was monitored after the reaction with a gas chromatograph
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Agilent Technologies 6890N, equipped with a CRT column operating at 80 °C and a TCD detector.

Variable temperature and isothermal experiments were carried out. In variable temperature experiments, the temperature was raised at 2°C/min until reaching 200°C. Consecutive ramp experiments were performed with selected catalysts using the same aliquot of sample. After the first ramp, the catalyst was reoxidized at 200°C in 10 %O₂/He flow for 1 h and then cooled to room temperature in inert gas. Then, the reaction gas mixture was fed to the reactor and the temperature was raised at 2°C/min until 200°C, repeating this protocol four times. After the fourth run, the catalysts were reoxidized and cooled as described, and a fifth heating step was carried out with the reaction gas mixture, but in this case the temperature was stabilized at 120°C and the selected catalysts were held under these reaction conditions for 12 h in order to evaluate the long-term stability.

CO conversion and selectivity were calculated as follows:

$$\text{CO conversion (\%)} = \frac{P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}} - P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}}} \cdot 100 \quad \text{CO selectivity (\%)} = \frac{P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}} - P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{in}} - P_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{out}}} \cdot 50$$

where $P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}}$ and $P_{\text{CO}}^{\text{out}}$ are the inlet and outlet CO partial pressures, respectively, and $P_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{in}}$ and $P_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{out}}$ are the O₂ partial pressures.

2.4. Operando DRIFTS-MS experiments.

The reaction mechanism was studied in a Shimadzu (IR Tracer-100) Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer with a Harrick reaction cell coupled to a EcoSys-P mass spectrometer. The reaction cell was designed to allow the reaction gas mixture (2 % CO, 2 % O₂ and 58 % H₂

1
2
3 balanced with He; 50 ml/min) to pass through the catalyst bed (100 mg without any diluent) with
4
5 the gas exit at the bottom.
6
7

8
9 The catalysts were first pretreated at 400°C for 15 min in a flow of air, and the reaction cell
10 was cooled afterwards to room temperature while maintaining the air flow. A background
11 spectrum was recorded for each catalyst at room temperature after this cleaning step, and then,
12 air was replaced by the reaction gas mixture and the temperature was raised at 5°C/min while
13 infrared spectra were recorded at 10°C intervals. Each spectrum was obtained as an average of
14 75 scans, in the range 4000 to 1000 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} . The background spectrum
15 of each catalyst was subtracted from those obtained under reaction conditions, and therefore, the
16 features observed can be attributed to species formed or depleted during the reaction rather than
17 to the catalysts structure.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **3.- Results and discussion**

36 37 38 3.1. Catalysts characterization by N_2 adsorption, XRD and Raman spectroscopy. 39 40

41 The crystalline structure and porosity of the catalysts was characterized by XRD, N_2
42 adsorption, and Raman spectroscopy. The X-ray diffractograms are compiled in Figure 1 and the
43 crystallite sizes and cell parameters calculated for the ceria-based supports are compiled in Table
44
45
46
47
48
49 1.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. X-Ray diffractograms of the catalysts.

All diffractograms show the typical reflexions of the fluorite structure of ceria, and also tiny peaks of CuO at 35.7 and 39.0° seem to be present in most catalyst. Evidence of other crystalline phases are only identified for the CuO/Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O_δ catalyst, which shows a shoulder at lower angles of the (111) fluorite peak at 29.0° that is consistent with the presence of a Zr-rich tetragonal phase (peak 111). Evidence of segregated phases of La, Pr or Nd was not observed in the diffractograms.

Table 1. Results of the catalysts characterization by N₂ adsorption, XRD and Raman spectroscopy.

The cell parameter calculated for the ceria bare support (0.5407 nm) is consistent with values typically obtained for this material,²⁰ and doping with some cations affect this value. The cell parameter does not change significantly upon ceria doping with Pr, which is not surprising because the sizes of the Ce cations (0.097 nm for Ce⁴⁺ and 0.114 nm for Ce³⁺) are almost equal

Catalyst	BET (m ² /g)	Pore volume DR (cm ³ /g)	Pore size BJH (nm)	Crystallite size (nm)	Cell parameter (nm)	F _{2g} band Raman shift (cm ⁻¹)
CuO/CeO ₂	58	0.021	12	13	0.5407	441
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Zr _{0.2} O _δ	60	0.020	12	13	0.5392	457
CuO/Ce _{0.8} La _{0.2} O _δ	40	0.015	17	26	0.5448	446
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Pr _{0.2} O _δ	35	0.013	12	27	0.5411	450
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Nd _{0.2} O _δ	45	0.016	12	16	0.5429	453

to those of Pr cations (0.096 nm for Pr⁴⁺ and 0.113 nm for Pr³⁺).²¹ On the contrary, Zr doping decreases the cell parameter of ceria while doping with La or Nd increases the cell parameter. The cell parameter contraction upon Zr doping is expected considering the smaller size of Zr⁴⁺ (0.084 nm) with regard to Ce⁴⁺, and the cell expansion upon La or Nd doping is consistent with the larger size of their cations (0.116 nm for La³⁺ and 0.112 nm for Nd³⁺). These modifications of the cell parameters of ceria upon doping provide evidence that the foreign cations are actually located into the parent ceria framework. However, it cannot be ruled out that, in addition to the amount introduced into the ceria lattice, Zr was partially segregated, since evidence of such segregated phase was observed in the diffractograms.

1
2
3 The pore size (12-17 nm) and pore volume (0.013-0.021 cm³/g) values are quite similar for all
4 catalysts. The crystallite size of the ceria supports are in the 13-27 nm range (see Table 1), and
5 the smallest sizes are obtained for undoped and Zr-doped ceria. These two supports also have the
6 largest specific surface areas (58-60 m²/g), with these values being in good agreement with those
7 typically obtained for cerium oxides exposed to a similar thermal history.²⁰ Ceria doping with
8 La, Pr or Nd leads to a decrease of the specific surface area and an increase of the crystallite size,
9 which means that these dopants facilitate sintering. It is known that the effect of dopants on ceria
10 sintering depends on the temperature of the thermal treatments.²² As a rule of thumb, dopants
11 partially hinder ceria sintering for high temperature calcination (above 800-900°C) while they
12 have no effect or promote sintering for mild temperature calcination (500-600°C). The argument
13 postulated to explain such behavior is that the surface defects created upon doping decrease the
14 energy required for crystals to merge, and therefore dopants promote sintering if there are energy
15 restrictions, as occurring at mild temperature. On the contrary, if the temperature is high enough
16 to overcome such energy restrictions to sintering, the effect of dopants is the opposite, partially
17 hindering sintering because they do not allow long-range structural order into the ceria crystals.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2) provides additional information about the structure of the
41 catalysts.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2. Raman spectra of the catalysts. The spectra were normalized by dividing the intensity of each spectrum between the intensity of its F_{2g} band maximum.

All catalysts show the F_{2g} band around 450 cm⁻¹, which is a typical feature of the ceria-based oxides.^{23,24} This band has been attributed to the oxygen breathing frequency around the Ce⁴⁺ cations.^{25,26} The position of this band provides information about the introduction of foreign cations into the ceria lattice. The position of the F_{2g} band in pure ceria is usually ca 464 cm⁻¹,²⁰ and the position obtained for all CuO/Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O_δ catalysts is well below this value (see data in Table 1). The shift of the F_{2g} band towards low values is attributed to the presence of foreign

1
2
3 cations into the lattice larger than Ce^{4+} , while the shift towards high values is assigned to the
4 presence of smaller cations. Therefore, the low values obtained for our catalysts suggest the
5 presence of smaller cations. Therefore, the low values obtained for our catalysts suggest the
6 presence of smaller cations. Therefore, the low values obtained for our catalysts suggest the
7 presence of smaller cations. Therefore, the low values obtained for our catalysts suggest the
8 presence of large cations. In the CuO/CeO_2 catalyst, the large cations could be Ce^{3+} and a
9 potential explanation would be that the energy of the laser used to obtain the Raman spectra is
10 sufficiently high to partially reduce the surface. Copper seems to play a key role in this
11 reduction, because this effect is not observed in copper-free ceria catalysts.²⁰ In catalysts with
12 dopants larger than Ce^{4+} , like La^{3+} , Pr^{3+} and Nd^{3+} , the shift of the F_{2g} band below 464 cm^{-1} must
13 be additionally attributed to the introduction of such cations into the ceria lattice. It is also
14 reasonable to think that Ce^{4+} reduction (and also Pr^{4+} in the case of $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$) is
15 additionally taking place.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 In addition to the F_{2g} band, another band or shoulder appears in some Raman spectra at 540
29 cm^{-1} , which has been assigned to oxygen vacancies. The relative intensity of this band with
30 regard to the maximum of the F_{2g} band is highest for the Pr-containing catalyst, being much
31 lower for the La- or Nd-doped catalysts and negligible for the remaining samples. The formation
32 of oxygen vacancies is expected upon Ce^{4+} substitution by trivalent cations, like La^{3+} or Nd^{3+} ,
33 while is not expected to be relevant upon isovalent substitution of Ce^{4+} by Zr^{4+} . The prominent
34 vacants band observed in $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ has been attributed to the easy reduction of the Pr^{4+}
35 cations, which could be even reduced due to the local heating produced by the laser used to
36 excite the samples and obtain the Raman spectra, as previously reported.²¹ The presence of Ce^{3+}
37 cations, as previously proposed considering the position of the F_{2g} band, is also expected to lead
38 to the formation of oxygen vacancies. However, the band due to these vacancies is negligible in
39 spectra of CuO/CeO_2 , for instance, suggesting that the partial reduction of Ce^{4+} under
40 measurements conditions is high enough to shift the position of the F_{2g} band but not for the band
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 due to the vacancies to grow. This is not surprising because, usually, the position of the Raman
4 bands is much more sensitive to changes in the physical chemical features of solids than the
5 intensity of the bands, which is affected by other variables like crystal size or radiation
6 absorption.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 In conclusion, these characterization results confirm that, in the $\text{CuO/Ce}_{0.8}\text{X}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ catalysts
15 prepared, the doping cations are actually located into the parent ceria framework. Only the
16 $\text{CuO/Ce}_{0.8}\text{X}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ catalyst shows certain evidences of the formation of a segregated Zr rich phase,
17 but even in this case, it can be confirmed that part of the Zr dopant is located into the ceria
18 lattice.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 3.2 Catalysts characterization by H_2 -TPR. 31 32

33 The TCD signals monitored during the H_2 -TPR experiments are plotted on Figure 3, and all
34 catalysts show a main feature in the 120-300°C range, which consist of double peaks or a main
35 peak with a shoulder at low temperature. Also, a small band is shown in some profiles around
36 800°C, which can be assigned to the reduction of the particles bulk.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The position of the low-temperature reduction bands indicates that $\text{CuO/Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ and
45 CuO/CeO_2 are reduced at the lowest temperature, and that the behavior of these two catalysts is
46 quite similar to each other.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. H₂-TPR profiles of the catalysts.

Different events are taking place within the reduction bands in the 120-300°C range, and the dual-mode shape reported for MO/CeO₂ catalysts (MO = Metal Oxide) has been related to the reduction of the supported metal oxide (MO) and ceria in close contact with MO at the lowest temperature, and to surface ceria reduction which is not directly in contact with MO at higher temperature.²⁷ In the case of CuO catalysts, the reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu⁰ in two consecutive steps, Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ first and Cu⁺ to Cu⁰ afterwards cannot be ruled out. In the CuO/Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_δ catalyst it must be additionally taken into account that Pr⁴⁺ is also reduced to Pr³⁺.

Quantitative values calculated from the H₂-TPR experiments are compiled in Table 2:

Catalyst	H ₂ consumed mol/CuO mol	Ce ⁴⁺ reduced (%)
CuO/CeO ₂	1.7	20
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Zr _{0.2} O _δ	1.6	22
CuO/Ce _{0.8} La _{0.2} O _δ	1.6	23
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Pr _{0.2} O _δ	1.9	27 ^a
CuO/Ce _{0.8} Nd _{0.2} O _δ	1.8	28

Table 2. Quantitative values calculated from the H₂-TPR experiments.

^aIn the CuO/Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_δ catalyst, the percentage of Ce⁴⁺ reduced is actually Ce⁴⁺ + Pr⁴⁺.


In all cases, the moles of H₂ consumed are higher than those of CuO on the catalysts, and this provides experimental evidence that not only is CuO being reduced but also the ceria supports. Considering total reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu⁰, the percentages of Ce⁴⁺ reduced to Ce³⁺ are in the 20-28 % range for all catalysts.

These H₂-TPR experiments allow concluding that the presence of dopants affect the reducibility of the CuO-Ceria system, and CuO/Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O_δ and CuO/CeO₂ are reduced more easily than the remaining catalysts. This affects the temperature required for catalysts reduction by H₂, but differences in the extent of the reduction are not very relevant once totally accomplished.

3.3. Catalytic tests in a fixed-bed reactor.

Figure 4 shows the oxidation of CO (Figure 4a) and the CO selectivity (Figure 4b) obtained in the fixed-bed reactor PROX experiments, where it is observed that the catalysts with the undoped and Zr-doped supports are active and selective at lower temperature than catalysts containing La, Pr or Nd. Four consecutive experiments were carried out with the most active

1
2
3 catalysts ($\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ and CuO/CeO_2) and reproducible CO conversion and selectivity
4 profiles were obtained, confirming the high stability of these catalysts under reaction conditions.
5
6 Isothermal experiments were also performed at 120°C and constant CO conversion and
7
8 selectivity values were kept for 12 hours. All these curves are included in the supplementary
9
10 material.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35



1
2
3 **Figure 4.** CO-PROX reactions performed in a fixed-bed reactor. (a) CO conversion and
4 oxidation rate and (b) CO selectivity.
5
6
7

8
9 The results on Figure 4, together with the previous H₂-TPR characterization, confirm that the
10 reducibility of the catalyst plays an important role in CO oxidation, since the catalytic processes
11 are based on redox cycles taking place on the catalyst surface. In accordance with the well
12 accepted role of the different copper species in the PROX reactions,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ the oxidation of CO
13 starts at temperatures (~ 50°C) lower than those required for the extensive reduction of the
14 catalyst surface by H₂ (see H₂-TPR in Figure 3). This is in agreement with the hypothesis
15 supporting that the active sites for CO oxidation are Cu⁺ sites located at the CuO-Ceria interface.
16 In addition, the selectivity drops (Figure 4b) when temperature approaches the onset temperature
17 for extensive reduction of the catalysts surface (see H₂-TPR in Figure 3), supporting that H₂
18 oxidation takes place on reduced metal sites.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 3.4. *Operando* DRIFTS-MS experiments. 38 39

40 In order to investigate the nature of the carbon intermediates and to determine whether
41 hydroxyl groups on the catalyst play a critical role in the formation of bicarbonates and in the
42 CO oxidation rate, *operando* DRIFTS-MS experiments were carried out.
43
44
45
46
47

48 Figure 5 shows, as representative examples, spectra obtained with CuO/Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₈ and
49 CuO/Ce_{0.8}La_{0.2}O₈. DRIFT spectra were recorded between 4000-1000 cm⁻¹, but the main features
50 are located in three main regions. Figures 5a and 5d show the 1650-1150 cm⁻¹ range, where
51 several vibration/stretching modes corresponding to carbonates, bicarbonates and formates are
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

identified. Figures 5b and 5e show the 2250-1950 cm^{-1} range, where a single band corresponding to the Cu^+ -CO carbonyl is observed. Figures 5c and 5d show the 3850-2450 cm^{-1} range, where hydroxyl groups are detected..




Figure 5. DRIFT spectra as a function of temperature under operando PROX conditions with $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ (a, b and c) and $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{La}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ (d, e and f).

3.4.1. Role of the catalyst hydroxyls groups

The main carbon-containing reaction intermediates accumulated on the catalysts surface during the PROX reaction are carbonates and bicarbonates, as is observed in the DRIFT spectra (Figure 5). Figure 6 shows the ratio between the band maxima due to bicarbonates (at 1395 cm^{-1}) and carbonates (at 1460 cm^{-1}) as a function of temperature for all catalysts.




Figure 6. Ratio between the absorbance at 1395 cm^{-1} (bicarbonates) and the absorbance and 1460 cm^{-1} (carbonates) as a function of temperature.

The most active catalysts (CuO/CeO_2 and $\text{CuO/Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_8$) favor the formation of surface bicarbonates in preference of carbonates, while catalysts with lower CO-PROX activity ($X = \text{La}$, Pr or Nd) accumulate more carbonates on the surface. It is known that the accumulation of carbon-containing species on CuO/CeO_2 PROX catalysts decreases the activity due to blockage

of active sites.²⁸ The positive effect on the CO oxidation rate of the presence of surface bicarbonates as adsorbed species with regards to carbonates could be related to the lower thermal stability of the former species, which would lead to a faster desorption of CO₂ leaving the surface available for further chemisorption of other potential species which might act as reaction intermediates. However, there is no experimental evidence to support this hypothesis, and it could also be possible that CO₂ produced at CuO-Ceria active sites is transferred to hydroxyls in a further step, leaving the active sites available again. In any case, formation of bicarbonates in preference to carbonates seems positive for CO oxidation.

Catalyst hydroxyls are involved in the formation of surface bicarbonates, as it is deduced from Figure 7 where the intensity of the bicarbonates band at 1395 cm⁻¹ is plotted as a function of the area of the hydroxyls band (3600-2500 cm⁻¹ range). Note that the area of the hydroxyls band shows negative values because hydroxyls are consumed during the reactions. Linear relationships between the consumption of hydroxyls and the formation of bicarbonates are observed for all catalysts suggesting that the two processes are related to each other.





Figure 7. Relationship between bicarbonate formation (band at 1395 cm^{-1}) and hydroxyl consumption (band in the 2500-3600 cm^{-1} range).

Figure 7 also shows that the linear trend holds for the whole range of temperatures studied (25-200 $^{\circ}\text{C}$) for the most active catalysts (CuO/CeO_2 and $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_8$) but not for those with lower activity ($X = \text{La}, \text{Pr}$ or Nd). Catalysts with $X = \text{La}, \text{Pr}$ or Nd show a deviation from the trend line of Figure 7 above a certain temperature, and according to results in Figure 4b, this deviation occurs at a stage where the CO selectivity decreases, that is, where the onset of H_2 oxidation begins. A vertical drop in Figure 7 indicates that the amount of surface hydroxyls remains constant above a certain temperature while surface bicarbonates are depleted.

According to these results and the background literature, our proposed CO oxidation mechanism is as follows:



where CuO are active sites for CO chemisorption at the copper oxide-cerium oxide interface, $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-O-CO}$ represents the $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyl, $*-\text{O}$ are chemisorption sites where surface carbonates ($*-\text{CO}_3$) are formed and $*-\text{OH}$ are chemisorption sites where surface bicarbonates ($*-\text{CO}_2\text{OH}$) are formed.

1
2
3 The CO oxidation reaction mechanism starts with CO chemisorption at the copper oxide-
4 cerium oxide interface and formation $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyls (step 1), and it has been proposed that
5 chemisorbed CO is oxidized to CO_2 following a Mars van Krevelen mechanism using the
6 $\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^+$ redox cycle.¹⁵ Then, CO_2 is transferred from the copper oxide-cerium oxide interface to
7 other surface sites, probably on the ceria support, forming bicarbonates (step 2a) and carbonates
8 (step 2b), and molecular oxygen reoxidizes the reduced sites *via* steps 3. Surface hydroxyls are
9 involved in the formation of bicarbonates (step 2b). Finally, CO_2 is desorbed following steps 4a
10 and 4b.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 3.4.2. Product poisoning versus $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyl

24
25
26

27 The contribution to the CO oxidation rate of the copper oxide-cerium oxide interface
28 reducibility and of the carbon products desorption has been analyzed.
29
30
31

32 Figure 8 shows the area of the $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyl peak monitored under CO-PROX reaction
33 conditions for all $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{X}_{0.2}\text{O}_\delta$ catalysts as a function of the reaction temperature, where it is
34 observed that the room temperature formation of the $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyl obtained with the Zr-
35 containing catalyst is about three times higher to that formed on the undoped CuO/CeO_2
36 counterpart, and that the $\text{Cu}^+\text{-CO}$ carbonyl formation is delayed to higher temperatures for
37 catalysts with $\text{X} = \text{La}, \text{Pr}$ or Nd .
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8. Integrated area of the Cu⁺-CO carbonyl band at 2100 cm⁻¹ as a function of the reaction temperature.

The temperature of maximum carbonyl coverage has been determined from Figure 8, and this temperatures correlate to the CO oxidation rates. Figure 9 shows the relationship between the CO oxidation rate and the formation of the Cu⁺-CO carbonyl in the CO-PROX experiments. The data for most CuO/Ce_{0.8}X_{0.2}O_δ catalysts lie on a straight line, confirming the important role of the reducibility of the copper oxide-cerium oxide interface.^{15,16}




Figure 9. Relationship between temperature required to attain a certain CO oxidation rate and temperature corresponding to the maximum absorbance due to Cu^+ -CO carbonyl.

However, the CO oxidation rate obtained with the Zr-containing catalyst is lower than that predicted by its Cu^+ -CO carbonyl population. These results indicate that the Cu^+ -CO carbonyl formation (step 1) is the rate limiting step for temperatures above a certain threshold (above 90 °C approximately for the experimental conditions of this study) but, below this temperature, the accumulation of reaction products on the catalyst surface must also be taken into account because decomposition of reaction intermediates (steps 4a and 4b) becomes the slowest step of the mechanism. Therefore, the nature of the carbon species accumulated on the catalyst (bicarbonates or carbonates) should be mainly relevant below this temperature (90°C).

The area of a broad DRIFTS band envelope with several contributions appearing in the 1650-1300 cm^{-1} range has been calculated. This band can be attributed to surface carbonates and

bicarbonates (and formates in particular cases). Figure 10 shows the area of this band as a function of the reaction temperature for all catalysts.




Figure 10. Integrated area of the band due to carbon containing products (carbonates + bicarbonates + formates) in the 1650-1300 cm⁻¹ range as a function of the reaction temperature.

The accumulation of carbon containing reaction products is highest for the Zr-containing catalyst, its area of the 1650-1300 cm⁻¹ band being, for instance, twice that of CuO/CeO₂ at 50 °C. The poisoning by the formation of carbon containing adsorbed intermediates explains why the CO oxidation rate of the CuO/Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₈ catalyst does not follow the trend predicted by the formation of the Cu⁺-CO carbonyl (Figure 9). Comparison of the CuO/CeO₂ and CuO/Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₈ behavior is interesting because both catalysts show similar CO-PROX activity,

1
2
3 the latter more readily forming the Cu^+ -CO carbonyl at low temperature but also suffering much
4
5 stronger inhibition by adsorbed products. Therefore, the contribution to the CO oxidation rate of
6
7 the Cu^+ -CO carbonyl formation and carbon products desorption oppose each other at low
8
9 temperature. Taking the trend of Figure 9 into account, poisoning by reaction products becomes
10
11 of special relevance at temperatures below ca. 90 °C for the experimental conditions used in this
12
13 study.
14
15

16
17
18 The CO-PROX experiments performed in this study show that ceria doping with foreign
19
20 cations has either no effect or a negative impact on the activity, and this observation has been
21
22 also reported by many authors.²⁹ There have been only reported few examples of improved CO-
23
24 PROX activity of copper oxide-cerium oxide activity upon ceria doping,³⁰⁻³² but the reasoning
25
26 why some authors observe the positive effect of ceria doping while most do not has not been
27
28 fully explained. It has been proposed that the incorporation of foreign cations into the ceria
29
30 lattice hinders the extent of copper oxide-cerium oxide interaction and it is this interface where
31
32 the most active sites for CO oxidation are located.³³ Temperature programmed reduction
33
34 experiments performed with H_2 , (Figure 3), also indicate that the onset reduction temperature is
35
36 lowest for the most active catalysts in the CO-PROX reaction (CuO/CeO_2 and
37
38 $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_8$), that is, most dopants ($X = \text{La}, \text{Pr}$ or Nd) retard CO oxidation with regard to
39
40 CuO/CeO_2 and $\text{CuO}/\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2}\text{O}_8$ because they delay the onset in the reduction temperature.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 3.4.3. Comparing CO-PROX with other catalytic reactions

53
54

55 The observed lack of influence or negative effect of ceria doping in the CO-PROX activity is
56
57 in disagreement with the general behavior of ceria in most catalyzed reactions, such as in Three
58
59
60

1
2
3 Way Catalysts (TWC) where ceria doping by Zr^{4+} enhances the oxygen storage capacity, the
4 redox properties, and overall, the catalytic performance.³⁴ The positive effect of ceria doping has
5 been also reported for ceria-catalyzed diesel soot combustion^{35,36} or NO_x storage and Reduction
6 (NSR) on Pt/Ceria catalysts,³⁷ for instance, where the redox properties of ceria play an important
7 role.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 An important difference between the CO-PROX reaction and the other applications where the
17 doping of ceria has a positive effect is the range of temperatures where the reactions take place.
18 Ceria doping with foreign cations usually has a positive effect on the activity for catalytic
19 applications occurring at medium or high temperatures (around 200-300°C for TWC and NSR or
20 > 400 °C for soot combustion, for instance). Dopants improve the thermal stability, oxygen
21 storage capacity and/or some other physic-chemical properties of the ceria-based oxide, and
22 therefore the catalytic activity, but the potential stabilization of the reaction intermediates on the
23 catalyst has a minor effect on the reaction rate because the temperature is high enough to
24 encourage desorption of the reaction products. On the other hand, the CO-PROX reaction takes
25 place below ca 100°C, and desorption of reaction products becomes a critical step. Therefore, the
26 challenge for CO-PROX is to prepare copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts which are readily
27 reducible at low temperature but that, at the same time, also desorb the reaction products at low
28 temperature, and the participation of catalyst hydroxyls in the formation of bicarbonates as
29 reaction intermediates has a positive effect.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 4.- Conclusions

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The CO oxidation rate accelerated by copper oxide-cerium oxide catalysts in CO-PROX
4 conditions correlates with the formation of the Cu⁺-CO carbonyl above a critical temperature
5
6 (90°C for the experimental conditions of this study) because step 1 (copper carbonyl formation)
7
8 is the rate limiting step. However, desorption of carbon containing products (steps 4a and 4b) is
9
10 the slowest step below this threshold temperature. The hydroxyl groups on the catalyst surface
11
12 play a key role in determining the nature of the carbon-based intermediates formed upon CO
13
14 chemisorption and oxidation. Hydroxyls favor the formation of bicarbonates with respect to
15
16 carbonates, and catalyst which preferentially form bicarbonates attain faster CO oxidation rates
17
18 than those which favor carbonates.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Acknowledgments**

26
27
28 The authors thank the financial support of Generalitat Valenciana (Project
29
30 PROMETEOII/2014/010 and grant BEST/2014/250), the Spanish Ministry of Economy and
31
32 Competitiveness (Projects CTQ2012-30703, CTQ2012-31762, MAT2014-61992-EXP and grant
33
34 PRX14/00249), and the UE (FEDER funding).
35
36
37
38

39 **Supporting Information.** Consecutive catalytic tests in a fixed-bed reactor; selected m/z signals
40
41 recorded during operando DRIFTS-MS experiments. This material is available free of charge *via*
42
43 the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.
44
45
46

47 **Corresponding Author**

48
49 *Inorganic Chemistry Department; University of Alicante. Carretera de San Vicente s/n. E03080,
50
51 Alicante (Spain). Tel. (+34) 965903538; Fax. (+34) 965903454. Email: agus@ua.es
52
53
54

55 **Author Contributions**

1
2
3 The manuscript was written by contributions from all authors. All authors have given their
4
5 approval to the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed equally.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- [1] Ghenciu, A. F. *Curr. Opin. Solid. St. M.* **2002**, 6, 389-399.
- [2] Park, E.D.; Lee, D.; Lee, H.C. *Catal. Today* **2009**, 139, 280-290.
- [3] Fu, Q.; Saltsburg, H.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, H. *Science* **2003**, 301, 935-938.
- [4] Ko, E.-Y.; Park, E. D.; Lee, H. C.; Lee D.; Kim, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 734-737.
- [5] Hornes, A.; Hungria, A. B.; Bera, P.; Lopez Cámara, A.; Fernandez-Garcia, M.; Martinez-Arias, A.; Barrio, L.; Estrella, M.; Zhou, G.; Fonseca, J. J.; Hanson, J. C.; Rodriguez, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 34-35.
- [6] Tada, M.; Bal, R.; Mu, X.; Coquet, R.; Namba, S.; Iwasawa, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 44, 4689-4691.
- [7] Sedmak, G.; Hočevár, S.; Levec, J. *J. Catal.* **2003**, 213, 135-150.
- [8] Wang, W.W.; Du, P.P.; Zou, S.H.; He, H.Y.; Wang, R.X.; Jin, Z.; Shi, S.; Huang, Y.Y.; Si, R.; Song, Q.S.; Jia, C.J.; Yan, C.H. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, 5, 2088-2099.
- [9] Guzman, J.; Carrettin, S.; Corma, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 3286-3287.
- [10] Deng, W.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006** 45, 2285-2289.
- [11] Alayoglu S.; Eichhorn, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 17479-17486.

- 1
2
3 [12] Nilekar, A.U.; Alayoglu, S.; Eichhorn, B.; Mavrikakis, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132,
4 7418- 7428.
5
6
7
8
9 [13] Morfin, F.; Nassreddine, S.; Rousset, J.L., Piccolo, L. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, 2161-2168.
10
11
12 [14] Zhang, R.; Haddadin, T.; Rubiano, D. P.; Nair, H.; Polster, C. S.; Baertsch, C. D. *ACS*
13 *Catal.* **2011**, 1, 519–525.
14
15
16
17
18 [15] Gamarra, D.; Belver, C.; Fernández-García, M.; Martínez-Arias, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
19 **2007**, 129, 12064-12065
20
21
22
23 [16] Martínez-Arias, A.; Hungría, A. B.; Fernández-García, M.; Iglesias-Juez, A.; Soria, J.;
24 Conesa, J. C.; Anderson, J. A.; Munuera, G. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, 14 2144-
25 2151.
26
27
28
29
30
31 [17] Polster, C. S.; Nair, H.; Baertsch, C. D. *J. Catal.* **2009**, 266, 308-319.
32
33
34
35 [18] Liu, K.; Wang, A.; Zhang, T. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, 1165–1178.
36
37
38
39 [19] van Berkum, J. G. M.; Delhez, R.; de Keijser, Th. H.; Mittemeijer, E. J. *Acta Cryst.* **1996**,
40 A52, 730-747.
41
42
43
44 [20] Guillén-Hurtado, N.; Bueno-López, A.; García-García, A. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2012**, 47, 3204–
45 3213.
46
47
48
49 [21] Krishna, K.; Bueno-López, A.; Makkee, M.; Moulijn, J.A. *Appl. Catal. B Env.* **75**, **2007**,
50 189–200.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [22] Bueno-López, A.; Such-Basáñez, I.; Salinas-Martínez de Lecea, C. *J. Catal.* **2006**, 244,
4 102–112.
5
6
7
8
9 [23] Ikryannikova, L.N.; Aksenov, A.A.; Markaryan, G.L.; Muraveva, G.P.; Kostyuk, B.G.;
10 Kharlanov, A.N.; Lunina, E.V. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2001**, 210, 225-235.
11
12
13
14 [24] Mineshige, A.; Taji, T.; Muroi, Y.; Kobune, M.; Fujii, S.; Nishi, N.; Inaba, M.; Ogumi, Z.
15 *Solid State Ionics* **2000**, 135, 481-485.
16
17
18
19 [25] McBride, J.R.; Hass, K.C.; Poindexter, B.D.; Weber, W.H. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1994**, 76, 2435-
20 2441.
21
22
23
24
25 [26] Spanier, J.E.; Richard, R.D.; Robinson, D.; Zhang, F.; Chan, S.-W.; Herman, I.P. *Phys.*
26 *Rev. B* **2001**, 64, 245407-245407-8.
27
28
29
30 [27] Fornasiero, P.; Di Monte, R.; Rao, G.R.; Kaspar, J.; Meriani, S.; Trovarelli, A.; Graziani,
31 M. *J. Catal.* **1995**, 151, 168-177.
32
33
34
35 [28] Gamarra, D.; Martínez-Arias, A. *J. Catal.* **2009**, 263, 189–195.
36
37
38
39 [29] López, I.; Valdés-Solís, T.; Marbán, G. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* **2008**, 33, 197-205.
40
41
42
43 [30] Santos Moura, J.; da Silva Lima Fonseca, J.; Bion, N.; Epron, F.; de Freitas Silva, T.;
44 Guimarães Maciel, C.; Mansur Assaf, J.; do Carmo Rangel, M. *Catal. Today* **2014**, 228,
45 40-50.
46
47
48
49
50 [31] Moretti, E.; Storaro, L.; Talon, A.; Riello, P.; Infantes Molina, A.; Rodríguez-Castellón, E.
51 *Appl. Catal. B Env.* **2015**, 168-169, 385-395.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [32] Gong, L.; Huang, Z.; Luo, L.; Zhang, N. *Reac. Kinet. Mech. Cat.* **2014**, 111, 489-504.
4
5
6
7 [33] Manzoli, R.; Di Monte, F.; Boccuzzi, S.; Coluccia; Kašpar, J. *Appl. Catal. B Env.* **2005**,
8
9 61, 192-205.
10
11
12 [34] Kaspar, J.; Fornasiero, P.; Graziani, M. *Catal. Today* **1999**, 50, 285-298.
13
14
15
16 [35] Bueno-López, A.; Krishna, K.; Makkee, M.; Moulijn, J. *J. Catal.* **2005**, 230, 237-248.
17
18
19 [36] Aneggi, E.; de Leitenburg, C.; Dolcetti, G.; Trovarelli, A. *Catal. Today* **2006**, 114, 40-47.
20
21
22 [37] Strobel, R.; Krumeich, F.; Pratsinis, S.E.; Baiker, A. *J. Catal.* **2006**, 243, 229-238.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table of Contents Graphic

