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## Abstract

Results from preliminary coarsening studies on chemically synthesized, monosized, spherical "ideal" titania powders indicated particle coarsening characteristics were strongly influenced by unanticipated particle substructure. Such substracture should also affect sintering, however, particle substructare was not recognized and accounted for in previous sintering studies asing this powder. The present study examined the sintering behavior of compacts comprised of monodispersed agglomerates and correlates changes in macroscopic dimensions with changes in particle microstracture and chemistry.

Monosized titania particles ( $0.35 \mu m$ ) prepared by controlled hydrolysis of titanium tetrathoride in ethanol were fornd to be porous agglomerates of approximately $60 \AA$ primary particles. The total volume shrinkage itring sintering was 84\% Five contributions to the total shrinkage and the temperature ranges for the associated processes were identified: removal of chemisorbed water (approximately 12\% inear shrinkage, from ambient to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), crystallization to anatase (approrimately $13 \%$ linear shrinkage between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), intra-agglomerate densification (approximately $13 \%, 425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), conversion of anatase to rutile (approximately 5\% linear between $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and interagglomerate densification (7\% linear shrinkage above $800^{\circ}$ ). Thas, approximately half the compact shrinkage is the result of agglomerate substrectare changes.

Stadies of the agglomerate structaral evolution indicate the intraagglomerate densification crystallite growth rates, rather than crystallization and the polymorphic transformation, are the secondary factors, after compact packing, that influence microstracture development. This indicates control of intra-agglomerate stracture is an important and necessary processing consideration even though "particle" size and "particle packing are tightly controlled.

This stady has important implications for the processing of other monosized powders. Particle substracture can generate anerpected shrinkage. Failure to adequately control intraparticle structare can deleteriously affect the resulting microstructare finished ceramic.
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### 1.0 Introduction

Fature developments in such diverse areas as aerospace, automotive, power production and distribution, materials production, electronics, and biomedical industries will inevitably require the increased use of ceramic materials. $2,3 a-g$ Ceramic materials possess superior thermal, mechanical, chemical, and electrical properties. However, more extensive use of ceramics is frequently limited by excessive brittleness, high cost, and poor reliability. Inadequate understanding and control during processing can introdace microstractural defects or flaws, compositional heterogeneities, and impurities in the finished product ${ }^{2}$ all of which are deleterions to material performance.

Sintering is the predominant fabrication method for polycrystal1ine ceramics. ${ }^{4}$ During sintering, powder particles comprising a compact weld together to form a monolithic body at temperatures below the melting point. The fabrication goal is the production of material with controlled macroscopic dimensions, and microstructure. Usually, a finegrained, pore-free material is desired. The green (presintered) compact microstructure largely determines material sintering characteristics. ${ }^{\mathbf{2}, 5}$ Thus, microstractural control in the green compact is important for the success of a sintering process.

In general, densification depends on the coupled processes of pore shrinkage, pore coalescence and grain growth. ${ }^{6}$ Scaling $1 a w{ }^{7}$ indicate particle size reduction can onhance shrinkage rates. Graingrowth, generally, is undesirable becanse it does not increase the sintering rate, but can reduce it. Grain growth enhances pore coarsening through grain boundary migration-induced coalescence ${ }^{8}$ which redaces the pore removal driving force, ${ }^{9}$ and consequently, pore volume shrinkage rates.

Graingrowth can lead to pore-grain boundary separation ${ }^{10-11}$ which severely 1 imits compact density. ${ }^{10-12}$ Narrow size distribution systems develop steady state (normal growth) size distributions after a short induction period. ${ }^{13-14}$ Exaggerated grain growth, however, may precede normal growth in wide size distribotion systems. ${ }^{13}$ Therefore, uniform compacts of fine, equiared, narrowsizeddistribution powders should have increased stability against grain growth, ${ }^{13}$ pore coarsening, ${ }^{14}$ and pore-grain boundary separation. ${ }^{2}$ Uniform compacts do indeed have superior sintering characteristics compared to less carefully controlled compacts made from the same powder. ${ }^{15}$

Powder characteristics (such as particle size, particle size distribution, shape, degree of aggregation and composition) and powder handing determine green compact structure ${ }^{2,5}$ and consequently establish a material's potential sintering performance. The starting powder is frequently the 1 imiting factor in ceramic fabrication ${ }^{16-21}$ As a result, control of particle characteristics is an important consideration in ceramics production. Recently, chemical synthesis methods were developed that onable high yield production of monosized, spherical titania powder particles packed in very niform -- even ordered -- compacts that sinter to full density in 90 minates at $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (melting point $1800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{22-23}$ This is $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the sintering temperature for conventional titania powders. ${ }^{24}$. These results have generated considerable interest in developing characteristic-controlled powder production methods for a variety of other systems.

Packing techniques enabling production of dense-random-packed compacts from mixtures of fine and coarse particles are in development. ${ }^{25}$ Properly prepared bimodal or maltimodal systems can have much higher initial densities compared to monosized systems and have finer, yet
uniform pore sizes. ${ }^{25}$ Potentially superior results are possible with these systems becanse the higher green densities lead to lower shrinkage while the fine, narrow pore size distribution enables rapid pore elimination. 2,25 Control of the constituent powder characteristics is necessary for optimal performance. Thns, monosized powder synthesis methods also are desirable for the production of rapidly densifying low shrinkage powder compacts.

Arguments favoring monosized powder ase to improve material sintering performance are only valid if these powder compacts develop grain and pore size distributions that reflect the particle monodispersity and packing uniformity. The tacit assumtion is that monosized powder particles are isotropic, single crystals, lacking internal structure, which arechemicallyand crystallographicallystableduring sintering. 2,25 Chemically synthesized powders, though, frequently undergo further chemical (dehydration, decomposition, and/or calcination) reactions and polymorphic transformations during firing. ${ }^{26-31}$ Improved characterization techniques are also beginning to reveal considerable internal structure in chemically prepared material. 32-33

Transformations in apparently "ideal" powders can produce unexpected results in tho sinteredmicrostructure. Foremampe, aive-fold grain size increase during the sintering of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ to full density was attributed to concurrent crystallization and polymorphic transformations. ${ }^{23}$ Althongh no effort was made to optimize conditions, moredisasterors results are conceivable. This is illustrated in the sintering of a nominally monosized, spherical alumina in which the gamma-to-alpha polymorphic transformation results in a dramatic increase ingrain size as mucleated alphagrains grow through the surgunding
gamma matrix. ${ }^{26}$ These growing grains entrain large numbers of formerly intergranular pores, and effectively arrest densification.

Evidence from monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ particles prepared by hydrolysis of titanium tetraethoxide (following the recipe in ref. 23) in the current study, and Bleier and Cannon's investigation ${ }^{34}$ of nominally monodispersed m-Zron prepared by thermal hydrolysis of zirconyl salt solmions indicate these techniques produce powder particles that are actually porous agglomerates of much finer primary units. Van der Woude ${ }^{35}$ has reported controlled secondary particle generation in FeOOH, and Matijevic has observed secondary structores in $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$. $^{36}$ Monosized powders are assumed to develop pore and grain size distributions during sintering reflect this monodispersity, which is the basis for their use. Pore size in a compact of monosized agglomerates, at best, is a bimodal distribution of intra- and interagglomerate pores; primary units comprising the agglomerates may be polydispersed and/or inhomogeneously packed. Thas, considerable driving force for pore coarsening and grain growth may exist in "monosized" powders. As a result, uncontrolled grain growth can potentially limit compact density even when phase transformations are absent or unimportant.

The role of internal agglomerate stracture in intra- and interagglomerate densification and grain growth is often ignored. A background soction discusses possible agglomerate structoral effects on the sintering charactoristics of monosized agglomerate compacts, and in this contert, includes considerations on grain growth and phase transformations. The remainder of this thesis is devoted to agglomerate substroctural effects in the sintering of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$. In this study the powder is first assumed to consist of "ideal" particles, but features in sintered microstructures and shrinkage characteristicsare
identified which, in light of the background discussion, are more consistent with powder "particles" that are agglomerates. The agglomerate structure and its evolution are characterized to help explain observed sintering characteristics. A brief discussion of powder synthesis and handing is included becanse the resulting green microstructures influence sintering properties.

Titania was selected because a synthesis technique exists for the preparation of monosized powder in sufficient quantities for extensive powder characterization and sintering studies, and extensive characterization and sintering studics on conventional $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathbf{2}^{\text {a }}$ have been performed 23,28-30,37-48 Although it is possible to make monosized powders from alternate materials, practical laboratory techniques usually yield small quantities. ${ }^{49-51}$ Monosized silica can be prepared in large quantities, 52 but this material remains amorphons and sinters through viscous flow; therefore, many of the internal structure considerations in crystalline materials do not apply.

The primary focus of this research is to explore the possible consequences of particle nonideality on monosized powder densification and microstructure. Titania was selected as model system for this study; however, the guidelines for synthesis and sintering thatemerge are likely to have general applicability to other chemically derived monosized ponders. Monosized systems of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}, \mathrm{ZrO}_{2}, \mathrm{CeO}_{2}$, and FeOOH are confirmed examples of monosized systems in which the monosized structure is a secondary particle (agglomerate) comprised of mach finer primary units. Application of these monosized powder synthesis techniques to other systems is likely to produce, at least in some systems, monosized structures that are secondary particles.

### 2.0 BACRGROUND

### 2.1 Agglomerate Structure

The structure of an agglomerate of primary units and the arrangement of particles in a compact are analogous in that they differ only in scale. Sintering in a compact of agglomerates, however, represents an additional complexity in which densification depends on the agglomerate substracture as well as interagglomerate packing in the green compact. It is imperative to consider the effectof grain growthand phase transformations on $\operatorname{sintering~in~the~contert~of~the~dalmicro-~}$ structure of a compact of agglomerates.

To avoid ambiguity, the following terminology is nsed: A "particle" is considered to be non-porous single crystal and is the
 meters are generally between $0.1-0.3 \mu m$ (many monosized powders fall in this size range 49-52). An agglomerate is an apparent "particle" that is actually an assembly of fine primary units. These primary units are single phase particles in the $10-100 \AA$ size range and are considered the fundamental structaral units. Porous and/or polycrystalline "particles", for simplicity, are considered to be agglomerates. In an ideal powder, the primary units and "particles" are the same and the powder compact is the structural equivalent of an agglomerate.

A powder's sascoptibility to contamination will differ markedly if it is comprised of agglomerates of fine primary units rather than ideal particles. If care is takento ensure powder prify during synthesis (this is generally nocessary for the success of the synthesis anyway), the primary danger is surface contamination during handing. The powder surface area, thos, determines contamination sensitivity. A powder
consisting of open agglomerates of $100 \AA$ primary units has a specific surface area that is fifty-fold greater than powder consisting of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particies. Contamination can adversely affect powder suspension stability (resistance to particle flocculation) ${ }^{23,25,53}$ and the quality of the resulting compact, alter lattice and grain boundary diffusion coefficients, 45,54 grain boundary mobility, 54-58 phase transformation kinetics, 27-30,42-44 and produce undesirable properties in the finished product (impurities in semiconductors are an excellent case in point).

Conversely, the agglomerate structure is a potential processing advantage inthat it facilitates compositional manipulation ona finer scale than in comparably sized dense particles. Since homogenization time scales as the square of diffusion distance, the agglomerate structure can dramatically reduce the homogenization time for doping or the reaction time for the production of spinel phases, for example. Production of the ferroelectric $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ from $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ suspensions is possible if a suitable barium salt is added. Precipitation at the appropriate conditions can yield a high concentration of barium salt in the intraagglomerate pores. During subsequent heating in an oxidizing atmosphere, a high volume fraction of titanate forms inmuch shortertimes and lower temperatures than in conventional processes that mix titania and bariam carbonate powders.

Intra-agglomerate pore elimination canses additional shrinkage compared to densification of a compact comprised of non-porous particles. Densification of a close packed compact (74\% packing efficiency) of particles with 30\% porosity will result in more than a 48\% volume decrease. Agglomerate porosity exceeds $50 \%$ in nominally monosized monoclinic zirconia prepared by thermal hydrolysis of relatively concentrated zirconyl salt solutions. ${ }^{34}$ Thus, low densification
shrinkage expectations based on the high apparent packing efficiency of monosized or bimodal powders produced from a mixture of monosized powders (approximately $86 \%$ ith dense random packing of a mizture of coarse and fine spheres) are deceptive if the "particles" are actually porous agglomerates.

Scaling laws predict intra-agglomerate sintering of primary units occurs mach more rapidly than sintering of agglomerate-sized particles. For example, $100 \AA$ primary units densify at $50^{3}$ times the rate of $0.5 \mu m$ particles assuming densification occurs through lattice diffusion, and the diffusional mechanism is size independent. ${ }^{7,15,59 \text { Hence, the effec- }-10}$ tive intra-agglomerate sintering temperature can be mach lower than the interparticle sintering temperatare since the fine primary particies accelerate the densification rate at all temperatures (the exact lowering of the temperature depends on the activation energy the rate controlling process cansing densification). Significant low temperature shrinkage ( $>30$ vol\% for agglomerates of randomly packed spherical primary units) can result from preferential intra-agglomerate sintering. 15,60 In a related process, primary unit sintering between agglomerates can produce interagglomerate necks at temperatures significantlybelow those expected for agglomerate-sized particles. Interagglomerate neck formation is compared schematically to interparticle neck growth in Figere 1. The extent of this process depends on the namber of interagglomerate primary particle contacts, which in turn, depends on the agglomerate shape, surface terture, and local packing. Intuitively, roughagglomerate surfaces would be expected to enhance interagglomerate sintering.

Fine primary particles can also enhance interagglomerate densification in a process similar to coalescence of viscous particles. ${ }^{47,59}$ The


$$
\dot{x} \approx 1 / r^{3} \gg 1 / R^{3}
$$

Figure 1. Comparison of interagglomerate to inter-primary particle sintering. The neck between the agglomerate primary particles forms much faster and at a lower temperature than for agglomerate-sized particles. The extent of the low temperature interagglomerate necks depends on the local contact geometry. Rough agglomerate surfaces should "mesh", while smooth agglomerates will have relatively small contact areas.
shrinkage rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is given by ${ }^{47}$

$$
\dot{\varepsilon}=2 \gamma / n R
$$

where $\gamma$ is the solid surface energy, $R$ is the agglomerate radius and $n$ is the effective viscosity for Nabarro-Herring creep, which for a single crystal is ${ }^{\mathbf{4 7}}$

$$
n=R^{2} k T / 14 D \mathbf{a}_{0}^{3}
$$

where $k T$ is the thermal energy, $D$ is the lattice diffusion coefficient and $a_{0}{ }^{3}$ is the atomic volume. If the particles are now considered to be agglomerates, the viscosity is 59

$$
n=r^{2} k T / 14 D_{e f f} a_{0}^{3}
$$

where

$$
D_{e f f}=\left(D_{1}+\pi \Delta D_{b} / r\right)
$$

and $r$ is the primary particle size, $D_{1}$ and $D_{b}$ are the grain lattice and boundary diffnsion coefficients, respectively, and $\Delta$ is the grain bonndary width. The shrinkage equation becomes

$$
\dot{\varepsilon}=\sigma / n=\sigma 14 D_{e f f} / k T r^{2}
$$

and the stress, $\sigma$ is

$$
\sigma=2 \gamma / R
$$

The shrinkage rate is then proportional to

$$
\dot{\varepsilon} \sim 1 / R r^{2}\left(D_{1}+\Delta D_{b} / r\right)
$$

Thus agglomerate shrinkage through viscous flow is accelerated by (R/r) ${ }^{2}$ or ( $R / r)^{3}$ depending on the active transport path. For $50 \&$ primary particles in 5000 agglomerates, these amount to 2500 , or $125,000-f o l d$ increases in the sintering rate.

Kingery ${ }^{9}$ considered the effect of surrounding grains and dihedral angle constraints on pore stability. He found, depending on dihedral angle and number of surounding grains, that grain boundaries could
prevent pore shrinkage and even cause pore growth. The results of the analysis for space filling grains suggest that in high dihedral angle materials $\left(\sim 150^{\circ}\right.$ for $\left.A 1_{2} O_{3}\right)$ pores are stable when the ratio of pore size to grain size exceds only 1.5. The tetrahedral void radius in FCC packing of monosized agglomerates is $15 \%$ of the agglomerate radius, and the critical grain size one tenth the agglomerate size. The critical grain size adjacent to the octahedral voids is about one fourth the agglomerate size. $50 \AA$ agglomerates in $5000 \AA$ particles are substantially below the critical size for pore stabilization. Thus, sabstantial grain growth may be necessary before interagglomerate pore shrinkage begins.

### 2.2 Grain Grotth in Polycrystallinc Particles

Grain growth is an Ostwald ripening process in wich large grains eliminate adjacent smallergrains to reduce the total grain boundary energy. In the initial stages of sintering, graingrowththrough the interparticle or interprimary anit neck is impeded because boundary movement through the neck requires its extension. As a result, grain growth does not take place before significant neck growth and, usually, densification has occurred. ${ }^{23,61}$ This limitation applies to both intraagglomerate grain growth and interparticle growth.

Intra-agglomerate densification inclosely packed agglomerates occurs preferentially over interagglomerate densification. ${ }^{60}$ As intraagglomerate porosity is reduced, crystallitegrowthwillbegin. The rate and extent of this intra-agglomerate grain growth depends on the grain size distribution and packing within the agglomerate. ${ }^{61}$ At a critical porosity, exaggerated grain growth may occur. Exaggerated growth is more likely in fine crystallite coarsening becanse there is a greater possibility that some grains that develop during normal growth will be
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of sufficient size undergo exaggerated growth when compact porosity falls below a critical porosity. ${ }^{19,61}$


As long as grain growth is confined within an agglomerate, the consequences for sintering probably are not serious. Even intraagglomerate voids, isolated from internal primary unit boundaries during growth, should not 1 imit compact density. Diffusion distances to the relatively large interagglomerate pores are short ( $\langle 0.1 \mu m$ ), compared to pores inside grains exceeding lım; forthermore, the proximity of the comparably large interagglomerate pores to the fine intra-agglomerate pores creates a high driving force for intra-agglomerate pore removal.

Eraggerated primary unit growth that occurs before appreciable interagglomerate sintering can produce grain size distributions that at the outset of interagglomerate sintering are near the steady state distribution. This is desirable, becanse then the expected low grain growth rate for monosized powders are likely to be realized. The stochastic nature of grain growth, though, can lead to grain size differences between adjacent agglomerates (Figure 2) that are sufficient to stimulate exaggerated grain growth. Growth can isolate interagglomerate pores as rapidly growing grains in dense regions grow around pores, as
 occur. If densification occurs rapidlycompared to the graingrowth, exaggerated growth in the dense material is a potential problem. At any rate, significantly more grain growth may occur in monosized agglomerate powders than is expected in an "ideal" powder.

The grain bondary in the neck between two dissimilar size, singlecrystal particles is ina state of local equilibriam: the largegrain should consume the smaller grain, but further movement increases the grain boundary area and thus the total energy of the pair (fignrera).

3. Ocassionally, exaggerated grain growth produces very large grained agglomerates.

Figure 2. Intra-agglomerate grain growth can result in large differences in grain size in adjacent agglomerates. The starting size distribution is small. In (2), normal grain growth has produced a relatively fine grain size. In (3), exaggerated grain growth of a few grains has produced very coarse grains. In each case the size distribtion is narrow. The large difference in average grain size between adjacent particles can stimulate rapid grain growth in the latter stages of interagglomerate sintering.


Figure 3. Intra-agglomerate grain growth has resulted in a large difference in the grain size between adjacent grains. During interagglomerate sintering, a large grain in the bottom agglomerate consumes adjacent smaller grains, and in the process isolates a interagglomerate pore.


Figure 4. Growth of intra-agglomerate grains through polycrystalline necks.
(a) Growth of a grain boundary between two particles causes an increase in boundary area, and the dihedral angle constraint at the free surface creates a boundary curvature that opposes further grain growth.
(b) Growth of a large grain can proceed across a polycrystalline neck by incrementally consuming smaller grains.
(c) Exaggerated intra-agglomerate cry stallite growth results in large grains developing in the early sintering stages. The resulting grain structure may contain some very large grains that undergo exaggerated grain growth in the latter stages of interagglomerate sintering.

Grain boundaries are effectively pinned to their adjacent interparticie pores. As sintering progresses, pores shrink until pore movement and possibly grain boundary separation can occur at the existing grain growth driving force. Interparticle or interprimary unit grain growth in uniform, narrow size distribution systems does not occur before the local density exceds approximately $85 \%$ of theoretical. ${ }^{23}$ In wide size distribation systems, grain growth occurs at lower relative densities, but this reflects, in part, greater density variation which permits growth in localized dense regions while other areas are still too porous to support grain growth.

Graingrowthbetween polycrystalline particlescan occurat low relative densities if the neck diameter exceds grain size. Figure 4 b, 4cillustrate how large grains can "incrementally" cross polycrystalline necks without grain boundary extension. Substantial growthmay take place before a growing grain encounters another grain exceeding the neck size and is pinned. Wide grain size distributions can develop with some grains substantially larger than the original particle size. Subsequent eraggerated grain growth can resalt as the interagglomerate porosity is reduced and the grain boundary pinning is diminished. Low intra-agglomerate grain growth rates, paradorically, can increase the extent of low density interagglomerate grain growth because relatively large interagglomerate necks can form before the onset of exaggerated grain growth. Conditions that enhance intra-agglomerate densification and grain growth, but delay interagglomerate sintering would redace low density graingrowth. Alternatively, grain boundary mobility rednction with dopants can, if done correctly, maintain a fine grain size.

Dopants and impurities cause significant changes in the grain boundary mobility (eg. Mgo in alumina ${ }^{5}$, Lif ${ }^{57}$ ). In certain cases,
additives to the powder may be necessary to control grain growth during sintering. In polycrystalline particles, additives admixed after the synthesis step may be ineffective if they do not penetrate to the internal boundaries, since control of intra-agglomerate growth is their intended parpose. In this situation, dopants must be incorporated directly in the powder synthesis. Furthermore, sufficient dopant concentration is necessary to ensure the growth of all grains is retarded. Insufficient dopant concentration can enable a few grains to grow extremely large. ${ }^{19,58}$

### 2.3 Transformations

Phase transformations change solid density and compact porosity. Transformations can convert particles into agglomerates. ${ }^{27}$ Transformations may influence the densification rate throngh alterations in the diffusion coefficients, grain bonndary mobility, and the pore and grain size distribations. In compacts of "ideal" monosized powders or bi- or polymodal mirtures of monosized powders, phase transformations can dramatically alter the $u n i f o r m$ pore and/or grain size distribution ${ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}$ wich was the basis for using these powders.

Polymorphic transformations are the most frequently encountered phase transformation during processing of single component ceramics. These transformations alter the crystal structure without modifying composition. Polymorphic transformations can be classified as either displacive or reconstructive. Displacive transitions (such as the martensitic transformation of cubic to tetragonal (ferroelectric) BaTiO $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$, or the monociinic-to-tetragonal inversion in zirconia) change the secondary coordination distances without breaking primary chemical bonds, and are accomplished by coordinated displacements of atoms from their
starting positions. Since these reactions are diffusionless, they occur rapidly, at a definite temperature. To minimize strain energy, new lenticular phases form along crystallographically invariant habit planes. These orientational constraints generally confine newly formed grains within their parent grain. Often several crystallographically equivalent variants will form in a parent grain. Large volume changes can cause cracking and are the most serious consequence of displacive transformations. Displacive transformations modify the grain size distribution, and therefore may alter subsequent grain growth rates, possibly causing exaggerated graingrowth.

Reconstructive transformations (e.g. the cubic (gamma) to heragonal (alpha) transition in alumina) involve substantial changes in secondary coordination, and require bond breakage and reformation in a new structore. Reconstructive transformations require thermal activation to form critical naclei in the parent phase. Hightemperature phases, therefore, can exist metastably at low temperatures, and even polymorphs that lack an equilibrimm temperature range may exist (e.g. gamma alomina, or martensite instecl). Nucleationand growh rates for the new phase largely determine the effects of processing temperature and heating rate on the grain stracture after a reconstructive transformation. Some reconstructive transformations have kinetically unfavorable orientational relationships with the parent phase that can constrain a growing grain within the properly oriented parent grain. Growth into adjacent grains is orientationally impeded, and other nucleation events are likely to occur before earlier nucleated grains grow through adjacent untransformed regions. In other cases, nucleation is the limiting kinetic factor, and grain growth is not. confined within the parent grain.

The homogeneors nucleation rate for a reconstructive phase transformation, $I$, is given by ${ }^{9}$

$$
I=I_{0} \exp \left\{-\Delta G_{m} / k T\right\} \exp \left\{-16 \pi \gamma^{3} T_{0}^{2} / 3 k T \Delta H_{v}^{2}\left(T_{0}-T\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

where $I_{0} \exp \left\{-\Delta G_{m} / k t\right)$ is the collision frequency of atoms at the interface, $\Delta G_{m}$ is the activationenergy for transport across the nuclens matrix interface, $\gamma$ is the interfacial energy, $T_{0}$ and $T$ are the respective equilibrium and actual transformation temperatures, and $\Delta H_{v}$ is the enthalpy change per unit volume for the transformation. The factor $\Delta H_{v}\left(T-T_{0}\right) / T_{0}$ is the approximate free energy change (this approximation is good when the heat capacities of the new and parent phase are close) and is the effective transformation driving force.

At low temperatares, the nucleation rate is nearly zero. As the temperature is raised, nucleation rate can increase dramatically. Near the transformation temperature, the driving force is low and nucleation rate is low. At low temperatures, growth rate can increase substantially as the temperature is raised; at hightemperatures, growthrate is temperature independent. As the temperature of a highly andercooled phase is raised, the nacleation rate increases rapidly, and substantial nacleation will occur before growth. This transformation produces a uniform, fine-grained microstracture. Transformations with comparable nucleation and growth rates are expected during heating of moderately undercooled phases at lower temperatares, and will develop a wide grain size distribution. Finally low driving force reactions will have high relative growth rates compared to the nucleation rate and will develop coarse-grained microstructures. This final category often has the most deleterious affect during sintering; forexample, the gamma to alpha transition in alumina falls in this category. High heating rates can lower the effective driving force in high driving force reactions, and
consequently produce coarse-grained material. Conversely, high heating rates, in certain low driving force transformations, can enhance nucleation at constant growth rate, and consequently, reduce grain size.

Transformations in agglomerates occurring before interagglomerate neck growth simply modify the grain size distribution within the agglomerates. This does not substantially alter the sintering problem which still involves simultaneors intra- and interagglomerate sintering and grain growth, although it can change grain growth stability. Low driving force transformations without orientational constraints can convert a polycrystalline agglomerate into a porous single crystal (a similar process is shown schematically in Figroc 5a) isolating fine porosity within agglomerates. Isolated intra-agglomerate pores, though, are expected to shrink rapidiy in contrast to their interagglomerate counterparts.

In a low driving force reconstractive transformation with favorable (and conversely, Einetically unfavorable) growth orientations with respect to the parent phase, a nacleated grain is likely to consume the parent grain without encountering another growing crystallite; however, orientational constraints will prevent the growth from continaing into adjacent grains (Piguro 5b). Slownucleation willoccur in until the transformation is complete. Displacive transformations in an symmetry parent phase can also convert single grains in the parent phase to single grains in the product phase since the number of crystallographically equivalent variants is low. These two types of transformations produce grain size distributions in the product phase

[^0]

Displacive transformation produces new phase grains that are orientationally constrained to their parent grains

Reconstructive Transformation


Reconstructive transformations can produce a new phase that is NOT orientationally constrained within its parent grains.
(a)


Subsequent transformations are also confined within parent grains


In the reconstructive transformation, the newly formed phase can grow rapidly. Grains of the growing phase can consume many adjacent untransformed grains before encountering another transformed grain.

(b) Reconstructive phase transformations can dramatically change the pore/grain boundary structure. Here, a slow driving force reconstructive reaction produces a few transformed grains that grow rapidly through the surrounding matrix. This can isolate many intergranular pores within "vermicular" single grains. Such a structure is impossible to densify because intragranular pores are removed through lattice diffusion; the corresponding removal time is excessive compared to removal through the much faster grain boundary transport path.

Figure 5. Comparison of the grain structures before and affer low driving force reconstructive and displacive phase transformations.
that are very similar to the grain size distribution in the parent phase since there is a one-to-one correspondence between parent and product grains.

The most serious possibility is that of low driving force reconstructive transformation whout orientational constraints, schematically illastrated in Figure 5c. In this transformation, the new phase, once nucleated, can grow through many adjacent grains and particles before encountering another transformed grain. This occurs in the gamma-to-alpha transition during the sintering of the monosized alumina. ${ }^{26}$ The result is an extremely large-grained vermicular structure which does not densify. ${ }^{26}$ Seeding the phase change ${ }^{31}$ or doping the powder may circumvent this problem. Low temperature sintering of agglomerates provides a path for rapidly growing grains of the new phase grains to cross throgh adjacent agglomerates at mach lower temperatures than expected for agglomerate-sized particles. Abimodal pore size structure of vermicular grains, interagglomerate, and intra-agglomerate grains can result.

## 3．0 Experimental Mothod

## 3．1 Powder Synthesis

Monosized spherical $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ powders were prepared by controlled hydrolysis of titanium tetraethoxide（Aldrich Chemical，Milwankee，WI） in ethanol（as developed in refs．22，23）．The synthesis was performed in a controlled atmosphere glove box（\＃50004，Labconco，Kansas City，MO） with dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ since ambient moistare can canse premature ethoxide hydroly－ sis．To ensure a dry environment，tank $N_{2}$ was dried in drierite columns $\left(-100^{\circ}\right.$ F dew point column specification），open containers of drierite were placed in the glove box，and all glassware was dried overnight at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before ase．

Anhydrous ethanol was filtered through $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cellulose acetate mem－ brane filters and divided into two equal portions．Based on the total ethanol volume，water and ethoxide were mired into separate portions of filteredethanol to concentrations of 0.45 Mand 0.15 M ，respectively． These concentrations were selected because they represent the optimum of monodispersity and gield．${ }^{23}$ In contrast to the original procedure， $0.005 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}(\mathrm{based}$ on total ethanol volume）of the surfactant hydrory－ propylcellolose（ $⿴ 囗 十 一$ PC，Aldrich Chemical）was dissolved in the ethoxide－ containing ethanol portion to inhibit agglomeration．${ }^{62}$ Dissolntion of the HPC at ambiont temperature requires from 5－10 minutes with rapid agitation．This othanol portion was refiltered throngh the membrane－ additional filtering of the water－containing portion was not requred since both the water and ethanol were filtered before mixing．The two ethanol portions were rapidiymired at ambient temperature with a magnetic stirrer for only three seconds－longer times resulted in a higher percentage of agglomerates and poorly formed particles．The
onset of turbidity occurred 8-12 seconds aftermixing. The contents were intermittentlymixed at slow speed for an additional 10 minutes before removal from the glove bor.

### 3.2 Powder Classification

After synthesis, a two-step centrifugal classification procedure was used to narrow the powder particle size distribotion. In the first step, the ethanolic suspension produced in the powder synthesis reaction was briefly accelerated for three minutes at $3600 \mathrm{rpm}(2800 \mathrm{~g})$ to remove coarse material. This centrifuge cake, which was enriched in coarse particles, was discarded. After acceleration, approximately the top fifth ( 7 mm ) of the suspension was clear, which indicates that $20 \%$ of the powder in the suspension had settled. This is the settling rate of the average particle size, and its value allows the separation to be estimated. Settling of submicron particles at mm/min rates occurs in the Stokes regime; ${ }^{63}$ the settling ratefor spheres is proportional to the square of their diameter. (Actrally, drag is proportional to the hydrodyamic radias times the setting velocity, while the mass scales as the cube of the radias of gyration $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{V}^{0.3}$; balancing forces results in $V_{s}=r_{g}^{3} / r_{h}$.) Roughly, all particles with a diameter greater than 2.2 times the average diameter shouldend up in the cake because they settle at five times the rate of the average. Doublets, which have twice the mass of singlets, have nearly a singlet hydrodynamic radius -- since they minimize drag whenthey settle -- should settle at twice the rate of singlets and their concentration is reduced by $40 \%$, but the amount relative to monosized particles is only reduced 20\%. After thefirst centrifugation step, the remaining suspension was rehomogenized and subjected to another 15 min cycle to remove fine material. The
supernatant, which was enriched infine material, was discarded. No further classification was performed.

The centrifuge cake obtained from the classification procedure was redispersed in basic ( $\mathrm{pH}=10$ ) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution (measured before powder dispersion) withan ultrasonic probe (\#W-375, Heat Systems-U1trasonics, Farmingdale, NY). Compaction with 15 minute centrifugation and redispersion at $\mathrm{pH}=10$ was repeated five times to remove the remaining ethanol. The volume fraction of solid was adjusted in the final redispersion step. The suspensions served as the starting material for a variety of compact preparation techniques.

### 3.3 Compact Fabrication

### 3.3.1 Centrifugation

Centrifuge compacts were prepared fromelectrostatically stabilized aqueous suspensions with roughly 40 vol\% solid loading (based on the volume of the centrifuge cakes). This concentration was estimated from the volume of the centrifuge cake before the final dispersion step. The pellets werecompacted at 800 rpm for 24 hrs and thenaccelerated to 3600 rpm for 15 min in flat-bottomed polypropylene centrifuge tubes. After compaction, the cakes were dried in thecentrifuge tubes at ambient conditions until they separated from the tube. The drying time varied from three days to a week. Tube-compact adhesion caused extensive cracking in pellets. After removal from the centrifuge tube, the compacts were stored in a dessicator with drierite dessicant.

### 3.3.2 Siip Casting

Two-piece plaster-of-paris molds were used to facilitate pellet removal. A two-piece mold was ponred around a cylindrical form. The mold
halves were allowed to set for 24 hours. They were then soaked in distilled water to remove any unreacted material. The quality of the seam between the two mold halves proved to be a particularly difficult problem in this stady. Excessive quantities of slip were frequently lost between the halves of poorly prepared molds. The mold preparation technique was never completely mastered.

Standard base-stabilized suspensionswith 30-40\% solids loading were used as slips for slip casting. The slips were poured into a twopart plaster-of-paris mold. Additional slip was added to maintain the fluid level at the top of the mold. Initially, extra sif additions were required frequently, but the period decreased to several hours after a few additions. When the mold cavity was filled with solid, the mold and entrained pellet were allowed to stand overnight. The compact was then removed from the mold and dried for 24 hours in a small cardboard bor that was previously dampened to reduce the drying rate and prevent cracking. Following this, pellet were dried at ambient conditions for another day and was then stored in a dessicator.

### 3.3.3 Gravitational Sedimentation

The strongest compacts were obtained using this method. Samples were settled from a base-stabilized ( $\mathrm{pH}=10$ ) suspension with approximately 50\% solids loading. The pHmaydecreaseduring setting due to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ loss and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pickup, but this was not measured. No additional ammonia was added during setting. Setting in long tubes was aboned in favor of setting in petri dishes to reduce the time needed to make compacts. The supernatant that formed at the top of the suspension was removed with a pipette every two or three days. When the thickness was too thin ( $\langle 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) to remove without disturbing the opaque portion of the
suspension, the remaining liquid was allowed to evaporate, and the compact was dried at ambient conditions. These compacts required two to three weeks to prepare. Some cracking occurred, but it may be possible to eliminate this cracking by controlling the drying rate at the end of the settling period, and proper container material selection. The fragments were relatively large -- often around $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and slightly more than 0.1 cm thickness.

### 3.4 Sintering

All samples used in sintering studies, except those used in dilatometry, were slowly heated in air to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and annealed for at least one hour before being subjected to high temperatures. The purpose of this 10w temperature treatment was to reduce the extent of cracking by removing volatile and combustible organics. Slow heating ( $\left.\sim 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}\right)$ through the $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range corresponding to anatase crystallization (see section 4.2.3) was required to prevent excessivecrambing, especially in the less densely packed centrifuge and slip cast compacts. After this low temperature treatment, gravitationally settled compacts could be introduced directly into a hot furnace for isothermal studies; however compacts formod using the other two techniques could onlybe used in constant heating rate experiments.

Isothermal treatments at 800 and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were chosen to study the contribution of differently sized structural features within the monosized powder particles - which should have different "sintering temperatures" -- to the densification of monosized powder compacts. These temperatures correspond to the sintering temperatures observed for fine $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ (100$700 \AA$ ) particles 23,47 and monosized spherical $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ in the $0.2-0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ size
range, respectively. These sizes respectively correspond to the approximate substracture and particle size in the current study.

In the isothermal treatments, gravitational compacts were introduced directiy into a furnace at temperature. Approximately $1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ heating rates (maximum furnace rate) from room temperature to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or
 pacts, which could not withstand the isothermal treatments without excessive crambling. Although the heating periods are not included in descriptions of the overall sintering time of slip cast and centrifuge pellets, the 40 to 50 minate heatings represent a significant portion of the overall treatment time and are therefore considered implicit in the description. For erample, a centrifuge pellet that has been treated for one hour at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has actually been heated at $1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ for 50 minutes to $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealed for one hour and then removed from the hot furnace.

The extent of densification after treatments at varions times and temperatures was assessed from SEM images of the compact surfaces. All compaction techniques produce very smooth surfaces that required no polishing after sintering. As-sintered grain boundary thermal etches produced good contrast in $S E M$ images. Generally, the particle or grain size was below the resolation limit for optical microscopy. Time limitations prevented compact sectioning for microscopic study of the bulk structure of the sintered compacts. Surface morphology changes were considered representative becanse good agreement was obtained between surface observations and bulk measurements of shrinkage and porosity.

### 3.5 Characterization

### 3.5.1 Dilatometry

A horizontal loading dilatometer (Harrop, Columbus, OH) with aluminum oxide rams and boat was used for shrinkage stadies under a 10 g load at a $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min (measured at the sample) heating rate. A $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{m}$ in heating rate was selected for comparison wh Barringer's TGA-DTA data which was also collected whe a $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ ramp. Initially, slip cast compacts were used in these experiments, however overcoming apparatus difficulties required many samples. Therefore, slip cast compacts were abandoned in favor of the more easily prepared centrifuge compacts. As expected, cracks formed in centrifuge compacts, but the rampressureprevented transverse cracks from forming; at the end of the dilatometry run, ittle material was lost from between the rams. Specimen-ram friction created transuerse tensile stresses as the compact shank and caused extensive cracking parallel to the pellet axis. However, these cracks should not affect the resalts since continnous material remained between the rams.

### 3.5.2 Mercury Porosimetry

Interparticle porosity in gravitationally settled powder compacts were measured on scanning mercury porosimeter (Quantachrome, Syosset, NY). The merciry solid contact angle was takenas $140^{\circ}$ and the surface tension of mercury of 480 ergs/cm was ased; ${ }^{2} 4$ both were assumed to be pressure and curvature invariant. The specific porosity is the ratio of the intrusion volume to the compactsmass. Theminimum pore size intruded was determined from the Washburn equation ${ }^{65}$

$$
r=-(2 \gamma \cos \theta) / P
$$

where $\begin{aligned} & \text { is the pore radins, } \gamma \text { is the mercury surface tension, } \theta \text { is the }\end{aligned}$
contact angle, and $P$ is the intrusion pressure. Although pore radii as small as $18 \AA$ are accessible at the 60 kpsia maximum apparatus pressure, mercury compression makes the results for small samples unreliable above 7500psia. With an accessible minimum pore radius of $240 \AA$ at 7500 psia, all pores between $0.3-0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particles were assumed to be intruded.

Surface areas were calculated by graphical integration of the penetration curves and compared with the BET data. The surface area, $S$, was estimated from ${ }^{64}$

$$
S=F\left\{1 /(\gamma \cos \theta) \cdot \int P d V\right\}
$$

This equation assumes cylindrical pores open at each end, which is far from the actual pore geometry in the monosized powder compact. Thus, the factor, $F$, corrects for the actual compact geometry. The model used to calculate $F$ is described in Appendix $I$.

### 3.5.3 SBM Imaging and Analysis

High resolntion images were obtained on the high resolution stage of an ISI DS-130 SEM with a tugsten hairpinfilament. The optimal accelerating voltage was about 25KV. Since the ceramic powder is nonconductive, SEM samples were coated for 2.5 minates in gold coater at a sputtering voltage of 20KV in a 40 millitorr vacum.

The sarface stracture observed in the SEM shoald accurately reflect the true structure of rough surfaces. As surfaces smooth, however, the deposited gold layer becomes thicker and can conceal the finer structure of these surfaces. Low. temperature ( $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) sintered compacts were coated for only 1.5 minates to reduce the smoothing of the fine structure. Charging and local heating reduced the lifetime of illuminated areas and made high resolution imaging more difficult in the more thinly coated samples. High resolution image quality involves a degree of
luck; daily and even positional variation in image quality make structural comparison of different samples based on SEM images alone difficult and of dubious accuracy.

Particle size distributions were estimated directly from SEM images of compact surfaces. The estimate involved measurement of only a few particles $(\sim 100)$ selected at random. Computer aided image analysis was difficultand did not improve the qualitative estimates appreciably. Some size distribution biasing may result from settling rate disparities between differently sized and shaped particles. Porosity was estimated from $S E M$ micrographs of compact surfaces using a line intercept method: the fraction a line, drawn across low magnification micrographs of compact surfaces, intersecting pores is to a first approximation, the pore volume fraction. ${ }^{66}$ To ensure ropresentative sampling, micrographs were used that contained at least 50 distinct pores. 66

### 3.5.4 Chonical Analysis

Somiquantitative metal oxide imparity content was measured with plasmamission spectroscopy (American Spectographic, San francisco, (A). Powders from the slip cast and centrifuge compactsusedinthe study of the polymorph transformstion kinetics were used in the semiquantitative chemical analysis. Temperature scanned mass spectrometry (Mass Spectrometry Laboratory. Dept. of Chemistry, U.C.B) was used to identify the material expelled from powder during low temperature heating. The maximam temperature was $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The signal of a particular species (water at $M$ 18, for example) is proportional to the pressure of the species in the heating chamber. Large signals indicate that a copious amount of particular material is being evolved. Since the residence time in the mass spectrometer system is small compared to the
measurement time, a persistent bigh signal indicates the powder is evolving material at a relatively high rate, while a low signal indicates a low rate.

### 3.5.5 Surface Area Measurement

Powder surface areas were determined from $N_{2}$ gas adsorption data using the single point BET technique. 67 An adsorption gas of $30 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ in He was used for the single point measurement following the procedure of the adsorption system manufacturer (Quantachrome). 68 Samples were outgassed for 24 hours under flowing $N_{2}$ at ambient temperature $\left(\sim 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ before measurement. This treatment removes most physically adsorbed water, however chemically adsorbed water remains. ${ }^{69}$

The thermal evolution of surface area was followed on a ingle powder sample so changes in absolute area conld be determined. The room temperature surface area of this powder was measured using the standard technique. The powder was subsequently annealed at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour while still in the adsorption tube. The standard outgassing and measurement procedure were then followed. Surface area measurements were repeated after $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ anneals. The tube material (i.e. glass) limited the maximam temperature in these measurements.

### 3.5.6 X-ray Diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction was performed on a Siemens diffractometer with a copper anode was used for polymorph identification, qualitative polymorph volume fraction measurements, and r-ray line broadening measurements of crystal growth. Standard collimators were usually used; narrow collimators were used to reduce instramental broadening in may line broadening measurement. After annealing at the desired temperatures for specified times, powder samples were ground with a silica mortar and
pestle. A line of the powder was spread on a quartz holder with a thin layer of petroleum jelly as an adherent.

X-ray line broadening of the primary anatase and rutile peaks was used to determine qualitative anatase and rutile crystal sizes from the Sherrer formala. ${ }^{70}$ Crystallite growth was studied using both isothermal and constant heating rate heat treatments. Instramental broadening was not assessed, but instrumental broadening results in a systematic underestimation of the grain size that is more serious at larger grain sizes. Crystallization and polymorph transformationkinetics were also investigated ith isothermal and constant heating rate treatments. Semiquantitative rutile volume fractions were estimated as the ratio of the integrated intensity of the primary rutile peak and the sum of the integrated intensities of the primary anatase and rutile peaks

$$
V_{r}=P_{r} /\left(P_{\mathbf{r}}+P_{a}\right)
$$

where the $r$ and a subscripts denote the rutile and anatase polymorphs, respectively, $V_{r}$ is the rutile volume fraction, and $P$ is the integrated intensity of the primary peaks.

### 4.0 Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Synthesis and Conpaction of Monosized Titania

### 4.1.1 Formation of Monosized "Particles"

Particle agglomeration is usually associated with highly heterogeneous, porous structures. Formation of monosized agglomerates is not expected, and monosized growth techniques seek to avoid agglomeration. Ideal monosized particle formation requires that nucleation from a supercritical solution occurs rapidly, without naclei coalescence during subsequent growth. ${ }^{71}$ In contrast, a possibleformationmechanism for monosized agglomerates has rapid nacleation and growth that prodaces a suspension of altrafine particles. These fine particles subsequenty coalesce into monosized agglomerate stractures. Either akinetic limitation preventscoalescence on large agglomerates and results in essentially monosized agglomerates at the limiting size, or in a physical analog to the chemical process, nucleation and growth of monosized agglomerates proceds from acritical suspension" of fine primary particles. Rearrangement of primary anits into more densely packed configurations during agglomeration wonld increase agglomerate stability. These two monosized agglomerate growth mechanisms of monosized agglomerates are discussed in greater detail in Appendir II.

The effect of reactant concentrations and synthesis conditions on particle size, size distributionand yield is discassedelsewhere. ${ }^{23}$ Particle size measurements on compact surfaces yield average particle sizes between 0.33 and $0.37 \mu m$, and are in excellent agreement with the reported sizes for the same synthesis conditions ${ }^{23,62}$. Little batch-tobatch variation is evident in the average particle size or size distributions. Prereaction was a persistent problem before adequate care was
taken to ensure a dry glove box atmosphere. "Prereacted"powders are nominally monosized, however, they contain a higher fraction of fine and large, irregularly shaped particles. Only non-prereacted powders were used in sintering and morphology evolution studies.

### 4.1.2 Incorporation of Impurities Dering Handing

Semiquantitative chemical analysis data are presented below:

Table I. Seni-quantitative Spectrographic Analysis for Monosized Titania, reported as oxides

| Centrifuge Compact |  | S1ip-Cast Compact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti | Principal Const. | Principal Const. |
| A1 | 0.03\% | 0.04\% |
| Si | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Ca | 0.003 | 0.35 |
| Mg | <. 002 | . 01 |
| Sr | <. 003 | <. 003 |
| Cu | <, 002 | <. 002 |

Since these data were obtained from powder compacts after several processing steps, the data reflect impurities incorporated in handing and compaction. An interesting feature is the large amonnt of calcium acquired from the slip cast mold. At the slip conditions, the powder has a negative surface charge ${ }^{23}$ which will enrich the calcium concentration adjacent to the solid surface. Specific adsorption (adsorption on the solid surface due to short-range physical forces) of alkaline earths on ritile ${ }^{53}$ and of $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ on amorphous, monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}{ }^{2 \boldsymbol{2}}$ at basic pH , indicates calcinm also specifically adsorbs at basic conditions. Acidic slip conditions can reduce the susceptibility of monosized titania to alkaline earth contamination.

High surface area monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ powders are potentially useful ion exchange media or wet catalysts, but the same properties that make $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ useful in these services makes careful handing essential to ensure
success in sintering. Specific adsorption can adversely affect suspension quality and consequently the green compact quality and subsequent sintering behavior. Furthermore, calciam and other contaminants 41-45 affect the anatase graingrowth, and the anataserutile polymorphic transformation (this is discussed later). Surface adsorption data suggest suspension stabilization at acidic conditionsmay reduce contamination susceptibility.

Silicon and aluminum are most likely leached from glassware. Substitution of plastic for glass container material should reduce these imporities. Other sources of contamination inclade refractory insulation in the annealing furnace and ambient dust.

### 4.1.3 Compact Fabrication From Aqueons Suspensions

Conditions that may canse heterogeneous compacts, cracking, or introduce impurities are important becanse they can degrade the sintering characteristics of powder. A crack or large heterogeneity in the powder compact is likely to be a feature of the sintered microstructure regardless of the sintering performance of the powder. The particle morphology is an important factor in the compaction method becanse it influences particle interactions which affect particle suspension rheology, stability, and ultimately the compact density. Carefulattention to compaction can onhance powder compact densification kinetics, while carelessiness can rin a good powder. These three types of powder compacts had significant but reproducible differences in their sintering behavior. A brief discussion of the characteristics of the three compaction techniques use in this stady is appropriate before sintering and "particle" structore are discussed.

### 4.1.3.1 Centrifugation

This method enables the rapid preparation of pellets for subsequent sintering studies. A centrifuge compact surface is shown in Figere 6. Packing is moderately dense, but exhibits considerable variation in packing efficiency. These pellets are the least densely packed of the three compact preparation methods discussed. The rough particle surface and the rapid settling rate cause significant interparticle friction and prevents formation of densely packed, ordered arrays even if the suspension is correctly stabilized to prevent flocculation. Coating particles surfaces with low viscosity resins to reduce roughness and reduce interparticlefriction may improve centrifuge compact quality. The lower density and packing consistency make centrifuge compacts more prone to cracking. This cracking can be minimized by reducing compactcontainer adhesion with additives and/or alternate container materials, as well as by cantious handing.

### 4.1.3.2 S1ip Casting

S1ip casting produces denser compacts and makes possible the production of more complex shapes. A slip cast compact surface is shown in Figere 7. The packing is somewhat better than in centrifuge compacts: packing flaws are smaller, packing uniformity is greater, and even some regions are present that have close packing of particles. The compact can be classified as disordered with some short-range six-fold coordination.

The plastermold is a source of calcium. The suspension is stabilized against particle flocculation at $\mathrm{pH}=10$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. Calcium ions can detrimentally affect the slip properties. At this pH, the particles have negatively charged surfaces. Calcium, which is a divalent cation,


Figure 6. SEM micrograph from the top surface of a centrifugally compacted pellet. Particle packing efficiency is $<55 \%$. The average particle diameter is $\approx 0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 7. SEM micrograph from the top surface of a slip-cast compact. Some close-packed areas are evident, but packing is largely disordered. Average particle size is $\approx 0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
segregates to particle surfaces and screens the negative surface charge. This screening lowers the particle-particle repulsion (see Appendix II), allowing particles to flocculate (stick) in open, irregular structures that do not pack well. Specific adsorption of a counter ion (e.g Ca ${ }^{2+}$ on titania at basic conditions), enhances ionic flocculation strength; specific adsorption of $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$, for example, destabilizes monosized $\mathrm{TiO} \mathbf{2}_{2}$ suspensions at are normally stabilizing conditions, ${ }^{23}$ and can canse surface charge reversal (negative to positive) at high enough concentrations. ${ }^{23}$

Calcium must diffuse against the water leaving the compact and entering the mold, and the extent of calciumintrusion will depend on the water removal rate. In a slip-cast cylinder, for example, there will be a radially increasing calcium concentrationfrom the compact axis to the mold surface. Variation in calcium concentration with depth changes powder flocculation rate, which causes the packing density and uniformity to vary. Since packing density directly affects sintering rate, calciummay indirectly cause nonuniform shrinkage, prevent complete densification, promote cracking, and produce nonuniform properties in the densified material.

### 4.1.3.3 Gravitational Sedimentation

This method produces the most densely packed compacts of the three methods explored. Small ordered domains (with both six- and four-fold coordination) are evident in the SEMmicrograph fromagravitational compact surface in $\boldsymbol{F i g e r e ~ 8 . ~ I n t e r d o m a i n ~ r e g i o n s ~ a r e ~ a l s o ~ w e l l ~ p a c k e d , ~}$ with voids rarely exceeding particle dimensions. A small fraction of doublet and large, poorly shaped particles apparently prevent the development of long-range order in the compact. The irregular particies


Figure 8. SEM micrograph from the top surface of a compact gravitationally settled from an electrostatically stabilized (pH 10 ammonium hydroxide) aqueous suspension. Six-fold and four-fold two-dimensional ordered domains are evident. The packing efficiency exceeds $65 \%$. (Compare with $74 \%$ for FCC).
cannot be easily accommodated in an ordered structure; doublets are somewhat easier because they can occupy a pair of adjacent sites without introducing much distortion into the packing arrangement. Offending particles can disrupt packing several particle diameters distant. If a compact of nearly monosized particles contains, perhaps, as little as approximately $2 \%$ irregular particles in a uniform dispersion throughout the compact, long-range ordering may not occur. To prevent ordering these irregular particles would have to disrupt packing at distances on the order of their mean separation (this is less than five particle diameters for a $2 \%$ concentration of irregular particles).

The shapes that can be produced using this compaction methodare limited; vertical sections are possible, but difficult. The settling time is excessive: weeks are required to settle thin layers. Upon drying, the compacts crack due to excessive friction with the container. Alternate container materials with less friction and slower drying rates can alleviate this problem. The fragments that remain after drying show much greater resistance to further crumbling during heat treatment than compacts produced by the other methods. Gravitational compacts, probably are more durable because of their greater packing density.

### 4.2 Sintering of Monosized Powder

### 4.2.1 SBM Observations

Preliminary observation of the sintering behavior of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ compacts at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figures $9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) does not indicate any manifestation of internal agglomerate structure in the sintered microstructure. Individual particles in unfired gravitational and centrifuge compacts (Figeres 6 and 8 , respectively) produce single grains during sintering (figures 10 a and $11 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). After one hour at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the

(b)


Figure 9. Top surface of a centrifuge compact after sintering in air at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) After 1 hr , compact is approximately $91 \%$ dense with a grain size of about $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (b) After 2 hr , density is around $96 \%$ of theoretical and the grain size is $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Large pores in (b) are probably the result of large packing flaws in the green compact; Ostwald ripening initially causes large pores to grow until the final sintering stages.
(a)

(b)


Figure 10. Gravitational compact surfaces after sintering in air at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr . Compact (a) $95 \%$ dense (the central region is about twice the porosity of the outer portions). Grain size is about $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (N.B. magnification is $25 \%$ greater than Fig. 9.) (b) Compact is near $94 \%$ density, but ordered domains are $>98 \%$ of theoretical. (This is more clearly evident at higher magnifications.) The grain size is less than the $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ original particle size.


Figure 11. Theoretically dense centrifugal compact produced after approximately 4 hrs at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The grain size is about $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or $\geq 5$ times the original particle size.
densities of the more uniformly packed gravitational compacts (Figure 10a,b) exceeds the density of the slip cast compact after one and aimost two hours of sintering (Figures 9a,b). In addition, the grain size in both 10a and $b$ is considerably smaller than in $9 b$. The uniformity and density of the unfired compact microstructure, as expected, has a significant influence on densificationkinetics and structural evolution. Compacts displaying greater packing uniformity have superior densification properties to the less homogeneous compacts.

The unfired gravitational compact (I) (Figure 8)-- with few voids larger than particle dimensions and extensive short-range order -- is considerably more uniform than the centrifuge cast compact (II) (Figure 7), which has a largely disordered structure with considerable variation in packing uniformity. Figures 9a and b suggest large packing flaws persist in the sintered microstructure. Approximately 4 hours are required to sinter centrifuge compacts to full density, and the grain size in Figure 11 is approximately six times the original particle size. The relative densities and corresponding grain sizes for the centrifuge compact conform to the grain growth trajectory (after ref. 23) in Figrre 12 for disordered monosized titania compacts.

The gravitational compacts in Figures $10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ have sintered so that a uniform distribution of fine pores remain in a fine grained matrix. The gravitational compact in Figere 10a has a grain size that falls on the trajectory of ordered $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ compacts ${ }^{23}$ in Figere 12. Significant grain growth is not expected to occur before relative density exceeds approximately $90 \%$. However, higher magnification in Figure 13 a readily shows a significant number of grains that have grown through several adjacent particles before, apparently, being arrested at interparticle necks. This is the microstructure that is expected to result from incremental


Figure 12. The approximate grain size as a function of relative compact density. Barringer's data for ordered compacts (-*-) corresponds to nearly ordered gravitational compacts in the current study. Dense, uniform packing in Barringer's study (-) corresponds to centrifuge and slip cast compacts. GS refers to the average grain size, and $\mathrm{PS}_{0}$ is the initial particle size $(\approx 0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Grain size trajectories after ref. 23.
(a)

(b)


Figure 13. Higher magnification images of the sintered gravitational compact showing the grain structure differences more clearly. (a) Some grains have grown through several adjacent particles, while in (b), grain boundaries are pinned at interparticle necks.
grain growth (see sec. 2.2) between polycrystalline particles in early sintering stages.

In contrast, the grain size in Figere 10bfalls below(!) the trajectory of even ordered compacts. No grains are evident in the higher magnification image (Figure 13b) that have crossed into adjacent grains. In fact, some ordered regions have sintered to full density and have formed ideal hexagonal grains. This grain structure is desirable because it should be very resistant to grain growth even in dense materials, and it is a useful model system as well.

The difference in the grain structure development in two apparently identical gravitational compacts is possibly due to a differences in the relative intraparticle grain growth and interparticle neck growth rates between the two samples. The initial graingrowth rate in the sample that lacks "transparticle" grains may be sufficiently rapid to maintain a grain size that is always larger than the interparticle necks. In this case, grains can never cross an interparticle neck in an incremental growth process, and all coarsening is confined to the parent grain. The explanation for the existence of both grain structures assumes the powder particles, initially, are polycrystalline. However, more detailed description of the particle infrastructure and structural evolution are necessary before more definite conclusions are possible. Reproducing these microstructures was somewhat difficult; the relative rates of intra-agglomerate sintering and grain growth and interagglomerate neck growth are possibly sensitive to trace impurities andor subtle morphological differences between batches.

Closer inspection of the gravitational compact in Figeres $14 a$ and $b$ show grain boundaries within individual powder particles. This proves there is not a one-to-one correspondence between the grain size and

(b)


Figure 14. High magnification images of "ideal" grain structure clearly show grain boundaries within former "particles." Arrows in (a) point at a three- and a four-grained particle in the center-right portion of the micrograph. (b) Two- and three-grain particles are easily seen. Treatment: $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr .
apparent particle size. It also indicates that the initial grain size is smaller than the initial particle size. Phase transformations, though, may change an initial grain structure in which the grain size corresponds to the particle size into one in which the grains are smaller than the particle size.

There is a significant increase in mechanical strengthafter as 1ittle as 10 min treatment at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For this increase to occur, a substantial number of interparticle necks must have formed. Figure 15 a shows interparticle bridging, after 1 hr at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to the extent that little of the original "particle" identity remains. Figare $15 b$ compares structural development at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Interestingly, the apparent grain sizes in Figare 15a are much smaller than the original $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ apparent particle size, but are similar to the feature size in high resolution micrographs of prefired particle surfaces shown in Figeres $\mathbf{1 6 a , b}$. Apparently, structural units near 100 A scale are participating in a low temperature sintering process. Other ultrafine (particle size $0 \quad$ a) $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ powders $23,28-29,47$ show extensive sintering at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The 1 ow temperature sintered microstructure indicates that structaral units much smaller than the apparent particles are participating in sintering, and indicates assumptions of ideal particle structure (non-porous, single crystal) are not accurate.

### 4.2.2 Dilatometry

The total shrinkage of monosized compact provides additional evidence that "particle" infrastructure is a significant consideration in monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ sintering. The dilatometry plot in Figure $\mathbf{1 7}$ shows $50 \%$ linear shrinkage ( $>87 \%$ volume shrinkage!) in sintering a centrifuge compact to theoretical density. Using the $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ as-prepared particle


Figure 15. Comparison of the sintering behaviour of a gravitational compact after 1 hr at (a) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and (b) $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sintering in (a) is on the scale of much finer structural units than what is expected for $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, single-crystal particles. (b) For comparison, "normal" sintering at the higher temperature is shown.

(b)


Fig 16. High resolution SEM micrographs of monosized titania particles suggest the "particles" are composed of much finer particulates. Features smaller than $100 \AA$ are visible in (a). (b) is from a different powder batch; both particle size and particle morphology are nearly identical.


Figure 17. Constant heating rate shrinkage of a centrifuge compact. Features are labelled with the likely mechanism producing the observed shrinkage.
density of amorphous $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ reported by Barringer, and assuming an overly conservative $40 \%$ packing efficiency for gravitationally settled compacts results in only $70 \%$ volume shrinkage in sintering to theoretically dense rutile ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ ). Thus, there is additional shrinkage that is due to other processes than interparticle sintering and phase transformations.

Four distinct regions of accelerated shrinkage indicate several different thermally activated processes occur during heating:

1. From ambient to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, approximately $12 \%$ inear ( $32 \%$ volume) shrinkage occurs. The maximum shrinkage rate falls between $100-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and corresponds very well with the TGA-DTA measurements of Barringer that show a broad endothermic peak with significant mass loss characteristic of evaporation of water of hydration. The mass spectrometry water signal in Figure 18 provides direct verification that water loss is the primary canse of shrinkage between ambient $\left(\sim 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The maximum signal in Figure 18 occurs at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is near the temperature of maximum shrinkage rate (the disparity reflects differences in heating rate and temperature measurement apparatus). The signal drops significantly at temperatures above that corresponding to the maximum signal. The persistence of a small, but decreasing, mass spectrometry water signal suggests some water loss continues to at least $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the maximum device temperature. The contribution of other species to the total mass spectrometry signal is insignificant.

Water removal mass loss is approximately $34 \%$, however because small quantities of material were used, the mass loss was difficult to measure accurately. (This estimate is most likely low since weighing was not performed in situ and some reabsorption of ambient atmospheric water occurred during measurement.) True mass loss probably exceeds $36 \%$ at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unfortunately, mass loss measurements were performed on powders


Figure 18. Temperature scannned mass spectrometry water signal.
that spent extensive periods at ambient humidity so significant amounts of physisorbed water are present; mass loss in dessicator-stored material is most certainly lower.

The water layer thickness on $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter (this is the average as-prepared "particle" size) particle surfaces must be $210 \AA(12 \%$ of the particle radii) to account for $12 \%$ linear shrinkage associated with water loss. However, a layer of this thickness should have the characteristics of bulk water, and is not expected to persist even at ambient humidity. TGA-DTA, mass spec, and shrinkage data, which were collected on dessicator-dried powder, though, indicate the water layer is stable in dry environments and is only eliminated with heating. Hydration (chemisorption) can stabilize water monolayers in dry environments. 69 Water monolayer thickness on $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ is about $3 \AA$, and a maltilayer thickness up to $10 \AA$ develops before vapor saturation is attained. ${ }^{72}$ Thus, water is most likely present as a thin layer on fine primary units comprising monosized agglomerates or in very fine penetrating capillaries, rather than as a surface coating on relatively large particles. In the latter structure, water loss must canse collapse of capillaries in order to canse shrinkage, while in the former structure water monolayer removal canse shrinkage of the primary units and consequenty causes agglomerate shrinkage. A primary unit with a $3 \AA$ chemisorbed water layer corresponding to $12 \%$ of the total particle radius is about $50 \AA$ diameter. Since some ambient moisture is reabsorbed before dilatometry measurements, the water 1 ayer and consequently the estimated primary particle diameter are perhaps $50 \%$ larger at typical ambient humidity (~30\% relative).
2. The region in Figure 17 between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ contributes an additional $12 \%$ inear shrinkage between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a total linear shrinkage of $24 \%$ and a volume shrinkage of $56 \%$. The relative volume shrinkage is $35 \%$; it is determined from

$$
\Delta V_{\mathrm{re} 1}=1-\left\{\left(1-\Delta L_{2}\right) /\left(1-\Delta \mathrm{L}_{1}\right)\right\}^{3}
$$

where $\Delta L_{1}$ and $\Delta L_{2}$ the linear shrinkages at the beginning and end of the region, respectively. The maximum shrinkage rate occurs from $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and corresponds very well with the temperature range for crystallization of the amorphous, as-prepared phase to anatase, as determined from powder x-ray diffraction spectra. The end-point for the crystallization process occurs at approximately $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is assumed to occur when the integrated intensity of the primary peak stops increasing.

The $390-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharp exothermic peak in Barringers work that was attributed to organic combustion can also be due to crystallization. Mass spectrometer limitation prevented verification of this; however, there is a significant increase in the total organic signal between $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ that may indicate the onset of organic volatilization or combustion; the magnitude of this signal is small and indicates only small quantities of organic material are evolving. Organic pyrolysis rather than combustion may occur since the mass spectrometry data are collected in vaceo. Organic combustion, though, is not likely to generate the relatively large shrinkage observed in the $390-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature range; in Barringer's material, total carbon content amounted to less than 0.18 wt. .

Most powder compact cracking during heating takes place in the crystallization temperature range. Interestingly, it is possible to crystallize these powders with hydrothermal aging for 22 hours in boiling water. By properly stabilizing the suspension during hydrothermal
aging to prevent agglomeration, it may be possible to produce dense compacts of crystallized powders that do not suffer the severe cracking problems of amorphous material. The absence of shrinkage between $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with all other shrinkage regions present would provide independent confirmation that shrinkage in that temperature range is induced by crystallization induced.

The density of the as-prepared amorphous material can be backcalculated from the anatase polymorph density using the mass loss and shrinkage data; the mass loss and shrinkage are taken to the anatase crystallization completion temperature, approximately $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the material is $44 \%$ of its room temperature volume and $64 \%$ of its room temperature mass. Applying these factors to the anatase polymorph density of $3.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ yields an estimated density of $2.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ for the hydrated, amorphous as-prepared material. This is the approximate density of the solid and adsorbed water and does not reflect the contribution of intraagglomerate porosity to the apparent agglomerate density. The $2.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ density is somewhat lower than the $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ measured in Barringer's stereopyncometry work. Revision of the water mass loss to more credible $12 \%$ lowers the density measurement of solid and adsorbed water to $2.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$. At $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the calculated solid density is $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$, and is in very good agreement with the other reported data ${ }^{23}$.
3. An additional $16 \%$ linear shrinkage occurs over the broad temperature range of $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Fig ere 17. The total volume decrease to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $78 \%$ with a relative volume contraction of $50 \%$ in the $425-$ $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature range. X-ray diffraction data (discussed later) shows the polymorphic transformation fromanatase to rutile begins, and is largely completed in this temperature range. Based on the densities of
anatase and rutile ( $3.84 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ and $4.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$, respectively), only $10 \%$ relative volume shrinkage is expected if shrinkage in this temperature range is due entirely to the anatase to rutile transformation. If the difference is attributed to densification within microporous agglomerates, an agglomerate density of approximately $60 \%$ theoretically $(40 \%$ intra-agglomerate porosity) is inferred. This probably overestimates the particle porosity because some additional mass loss and anatase crystallization probably occur in the lower end of the temperature range, while some interagglomerate sintering can contribute to shrinkage in the high end of region 3.

Figmres 19a-d and $20 a-d$ compare the agglomerate size and substructural evolution in the as-prepared powder after sequential, one-hour anneals at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The change in average agglomerate size in these $S E M$ micrographs agrees well with shrinkage to the corresponding temperature. The considerable coarsening of the agglomerate substructure evident in $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ annealed material certainly indicates intra-agglomerate densification and grain growth has taken place between $450^{\circ}$ and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Agglomerate structure is discussed in a later section.
4. Finally, the $10 \%$ inear shrinkage from $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Figure 17 is due to interparticle sintering. Figeres 19a-d and 20a-d show that shrinkage up to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is confined to intra-agglomerate processes. This final shrinkage region, therefore, is most likely due to interagglomerate sintering. The relative volume shrinkage is $42 \%$ indicates packing efficiency is $58 \%$ in centrifugal compacts, which compares well with the observed packing in Pigere 7. Surprisingly, only onefifth of the shrinkage measured in dilatometry is due to "normal" sintering processes.

(a) Room temperature

(c) $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (anatase crystallization)

(b) $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (water loss)

(d) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (rutile formation and intraparticle densification)

Figure 19. Particle shrinkage after incremental isothermal annealing at (a) room termperature, (b) $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$, (c) $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$, (d) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$. The likely processes contributing to shrinkage are listed next to the corresponding temperature.

(a) Room temperature

(c) $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (anatase crystallization)

(b) $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (water loss)

(d) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (rutile formation and intraparticle densification)

Figure 20. High resolution images of the particle surfaces after incremental isothermal anneals showing the change in particle structure at (a) room temperature, (b) $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 hr , (c) $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$, and (d) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$. The likely processes contributing to particle shrinkage are listed next to the corresponding temperature.

### 4.2.3 X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction spectra of the as-prepared material in Figure 21 a shows it be amorphous to the crystalline phase detection limit (approximately 1\%). The broad anatase peaks in Figure 21b of a powder annealed at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour indicate the anatase crystallite size is significantly smaller than the particle size. The $100 \AA$ crystalite size, calculated from the Scherrer formala, is similar to the surface feature size observed in high resolution $S E M$ micrographs (Figures 16a,b) of powder particles. At this size, there are nearly $50,000(1)$ anatase crystallites per $3500 \AA$ agglomerate. The initial grain size distribution, therefore, does not correspond to the "particle" size distribution. Clearly, grain growth in polycrystalline agglomerates is an important consideration in the sintering of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$. Indeed, withmorethanathirty-foldincrease ingrain size ( $4 \times 10^{4}$ volume increase) during sintering to full density, monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ exhibits more grain growth than many conventional powders.

Figure 21c shows the diffraction spectrum of a powder during transition from anatase to rutile after half an hour at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The narrow anatase peaks indicate considerable growth has occurred since crystallization. Although the anatase-to-rutile transition temperature shows a batch-to-batch variation between 600 and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, anatase graingrowth appears to be a general prerequisite for the transformation. Rutile formation does not significantly change the grain size, but low sensitivity of the broadening technique at detecting grain size variations above $1000 \AA$, makes this conclusion uncertain.

X-ray line broadening measurements place the rutile grain size at about one-third the particle size at the transformation. This agrees reasonably well with Figures 14 and $b$ which show grain sizes as small

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

Figure 21. X-ray diffraction spectra from monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ powder.
(a) Amorphous as prepared. (b) After one hour at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, powder has transformed into fine anatase crystallites (note the broad peak width). (c) Peak narrowing after 0.5 hours at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is evidence for considerable coarsening before transformation to rutile. (d) After one hour at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the material is $100 \%$ rutile.


#### Abstract

as one-third the agglomerate size (the minimum grain size, presumably, is smaller than one-third as only a fraction of agglomerate surface is visible in the $S E M$ micrograph. If the grain diameter is one-third the agglomerate diameter, there are potentially 27 grains per agglomerate) after one hour at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a compact that is $100 \%$ ratile (Figure 21d). Since the rutile transformation, in most cases, is largely complete below $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ratile grain size at transformation should be smaller than the average grain sizes in figere 2la, b- Xay line broadening cannot confirm the presence of relatively large grains that may form during incremental growthbecanse instramental bradening dominates above approximately $1000 \AA$.


### 4.2.4 Sumanty

Low temperatare sintering on the scale of particle substructure, and shrinkage and water loss characteristics allindicate monosized "particles" are agglomerates of mach finer structural units. Shrinkage measurements indicate agglomerate porosity is approximately $40 \%$, and $S E M$ (Pigures 8a,b) images suggest the primary anit size is between $50-100 \AA$. Since small deviations in the rather subjective assignment of the anatase crystallization and ratile conversion completion temperatures can result in moderately large changes in the porosity estimate, the accuracy of this ostimate is low. In order to support thisestimate, porosity was assessed using two additional independent techniques. Furthermore, additional characterization techniques were used to confirm that the agglomerate-like morphology seen in SEM images is a bulk characteristic.

### 4.3 MParticie" Structure

### 4.3.1 Intra-Agglomerate Porosity

The specific interagglomerate pore volume (volume per unit mass) of
 estimate the intra-agglomerate pore volume fraction, $v$, if the solid density, $\rho$, and interagglomerate packing efficiency, PE, are known. The average agglomerate density, $P$, is expressible in terms of $\nabla$ and $\rho$ as

$$
P=(1-\nabla) \cdot \rho
$$

Where the factor $(1-v)$ is the relative volume of the solid in the agglomerate. Similarly, the relative volume of interagglomerate pores is (1-PE). This is the ratio of the interagglomerate pore volume, $V$, to the total volume of the compact, $V_{t}$. $\quad V_{t}$ can be set equal to one, and the total mass of the compact is simply $P(P E)$ since agglomerates are equivalent to particles of density $P$. Applying the definition of $\nabla$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla & =(1-\mathrm{PE}) /(P \cdot P E) \\
& =(1-P E) /\{(1-\nabla) \cdot \rho \cdot P E\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and rearranging, we find that

$$
\nabla=[P E \cdot\{(\nabla \cdot \rho)+1\}-1] /(P E \cdot \nabla \cdot \rho) .
$$

Interparticle gravitational compact porosity, $\nabla$, was measured at $0.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$. 65-68\% agglomerate packing efficiency was estimated from SEM images of tho top surface of gravitational compacts (Figure 8). The intra-agglomerate porosity is botween 20-30\% or 33-42\% if the solid density is taken as 2.6 or $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$, respectively.

Barringer determined the micropore volume in fresh, water-rinsed powder at $0.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{gm}$ using the $a_{s}$ method ${ }^{73}$ of analyzing adsorptiondata. Using the same notation as that of the previons paragraph

$$
\bar{v}=v /\{(1-v) \cdot \rho\} ;
$$

Where $\bar{v}$ is the micropore volume. Rearrangement gives the following,

$$
v=(\bar{v} \cdot \rho) /\{1+\bar{v} \rho\}
$$

A porosity of $33 \%$ or $37 \%$ is calculated at 2.6 or $3.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ density, respectively. This method does not measure the contribation of isolated porosity; however, it does provide the best estimate of agglomerate porosity becarse it is relatively insensitive to variation of the assumed solid density and the micropore volume measurement is reasonably accurate. Considering the limitations of the three assessment ch-
 porosity.

### 4.3.2 Primary Unit Size

The surface structure visible in high resolution SEM micrographs of the powder "particles" in Pigrres 16 a,b provide an indication of the internal particle stracture. These images certainly suggest the monosized particles are agglomerates of very fine particles. The smallest feature size is approximately $50 \AA$ which is close to the ultimate $38 \AA$ point-to-point apparatus resolntion specification, but most readily visible features are in the neighborhood of $100 \AA$. The agglomerate surface is very heterogeneous with considerable variation in the packing of these apparent particles. This surface terturemayreflect local variation in the coalescence of fine primary onits at the aggomerate surface.

Single point BET measurements fromdried and ontgassed powders, prepared with the standard technique that includes several water rinses immediately after hydrolysis, consistenty yielded surface areas between 310-350 $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ and agree with the results of Barringer for water-rinsed
powder. This is more than 120 times greater than the $2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ expected for a powder consisting of dense, $0.45 \mu m, 2.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ spherical particles. Adsorption isotherms ${ }^{23}$ from water-rinsed powder show that the onset of capillary condensation begins above $30 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, thus capillary condensation may be a problem with $30 \% N_{2}, 70 \%$ He adsorption gas mixture used in this study. Graphical integration of the mercary penetration curve (below $15,000 \mathrm{psia}$ yields a surface area of $14 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{gm}$ mhich is stilla ittle
 surface area is a consequence of the geometrical simplification used to model the pore structure; more refined model (see Appendix I) places the sarface area at $4.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$. This is significantly lower than the BET surface area, and reflects the difference in penetration characteristics of mercnry and nitrogen -- in the former technique, the particles behave as solid spheres, while in the latter they are porons agglomerates.

The corresponding sphere size for $310 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ surface area and $2.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{c}$ particles is about $70 \AA$ diameter which is in good agreement with the apparent primary particle size in the SEM. The chemisorbed water layer is most likely present during room temperature surface areameasurements; as discussedearlier, Yates ${ }^{69}$ found chemisorbed water layers persist even after extended outgassing (>30 hrs) ander flowing $N_{2}$ 。 Therefore, the actual solid diameter is around $64 \AA$. This diameter is somewhat larger than the primary unit diameter estimated from dilatometry data assuming shrinkage to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was due to water monolayer loss, and indicates physisorbed water loss in addition to chemisorbed water loss contribate to the shrinkage. Underestimation of the water layer thickness results in a lowestimate. The $20 \AA$ average pore sizecalculated from nitrogen desorption isotherms of water-rinsed powder
compares reasonably well with the $11 \AA$ minimum pore diameter between $70 \AA$ particles.

Barringer attributed particle porosity and high surface areas of water-rinsed powders to fine surface precipitates that form when unreacted ethoxide contacts water. The thickness of this precipitate layer is between 100 and $200 \AA$ Such a morphology could produce the surfaces seen in high resolation $S E M$ images. However, such morphology is inconsistent with porosity and surface area measurements:

1. At $200 \AA$, the surface precipitate layer volume does not exceed $35 \%$ of the volume of the $0.35 \mu m$ "particles" used in pore volume measurements. Earlier calculations indicate this surface layer mast account for the entire $35 \%$ particle porosity. This is clearly impossible in a layer that is only 35 of the particle volume.
2. The entire powder must be composed of particles that do not exceed 70A diameter in order to account for the very high powder surface area measurements. In order to generate the measured surface areas, the precipitate size in a surfacelayer 35\% of the particle volume cannot exceed 25 A. This is inconsistentwith SEM (Figures 17a,b), TEM measurements ${ }^{23}$ that place the crystallite size between 50-100 $\AA$, and capillary condensation pore diameters.
3. Dense $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particles are not consistent with the observed water loss shrinkage and adsorbed water stability. A maximum water layer thickness of $15 \AA$ at saturated humidity would produce less than 1\% 1 inear shrinkage when removed from dense $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particles.

### 4.3.3 Adsorbed Water Layer

The surface area evolution associated with water desorption provides another indication that the "particles" are agglomerates of fine structural units. A surface area decrease in direct proportion to the square of the decrease in agglomerate diameter is expected if shrinkage is due to evaporation of a $\begin{gathered}\text { fin water layer coating the primary units }\end{gathered}$ comprising the agglomerate. On the other hand, evaporation of water from pores in rigid particles will canse an increase in surface area. The absolute surface area of a powder sample after a one hour anneal at
$250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ drops to $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ of its room temperature value. Dilatometry measurements and $S E M$ images indicate the agglomerate size decreases to $88 \%$ of the room temperature value. The square root of the surface area decrease is 0.89 , and indicates water loss from the surface of fine primary units does indeed cause agglomerate and consequentiy compact shrinkage to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A water layer thickness of $4.2 \AA$ on $70 \AA$ primary units canaccount for agglomerate shrinkage. Hydration of the solid surface probably stabilizesthis water layer so that it persistsinadryatmosphere The $70 \AA$ estimate includes the thickness chemisorbed water layer thickness; primary particle diameter without a chemisorbed water layer is $64 \AA$ and assuming this is tho diameter produced after removal of the physiand chemisorbed water layers $\left(D_{0} D_{0}=0.88\right)$ results in a $4.4 \AA$ water 1 ayer thickness estimate. The water layer coating on the primary structural anits in the monosized agglomerate corresponds to roghly 3-4 water molecules, assuming balk water molecale geometry. IR spectroscopy conld confirm the presence of, and provide structural information on, the hydrated surface layer. Changes in pH which alter the surface charge, and adsorption of ions can possibly change the stability of the water coating, and can have potential processing applications such as an enhanced drying rate.

### 4.3.4 TBM Analysis

Pickles and Lilley's ${ }^{32,33}$ TEM work on altramicrotomed anatasecrystallized powders shows essentially random packing of nearly spherical particles within monosized agglomerates. The maximum particle size is around 100\&. The porosity, between 30 and $40 \%$, is in good agreement with most of the previous.observations. Images of the amorphous
material show intra-agglomerate porosity, but do not indicate a particulate structure. SEM and surface area measurements, thongh, suggest the amorphous material is also particulate. The lack of images of unique primary units within the monosized "particles" is probably a consequence of the uniform absorption of amorphous material wich makes contrast variation between adjacent primary units low. In crystallized material, different crystallographic orientations of adjacent units produces good diffraction contrast.

### 4.4 Structnral Evolntion During Processing

### 4.4.1 Smrface Area Brolution

The surface area of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ is a function of the sizedistribution of the primary particles comprising the agglomerates. The sarface area evolution gives an indication of intra-agglomerate stractural changes. It was statedin section 4.3.3 that the surface area decrease accompanying the loss of the water film on primary particle is simply proportional to the square of the ratio of the average primary particle size shrinkage. This is a property of any homogeneous contraction orexpansion of a particle sizedistribution. This assertion is simply proved. Mathematically, the average surface area of a power composed of spherical particles is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=4 \pi \cdot z \cdot\left(R_{r m s}\right)^{2} \\
& S=q \cdot 4 \pi \cdot z \cdot(\bar{R})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q$ is defined as

$$
q=R_{\text {rms }} / \bar{R}
$$

and $R_{\text {rms }}, R$, are defined using the normalized particle size distribation function, $F(R)$, such that

$$
R_{r m s}=\left\{\left(\Sigma F(R) R^{2}\right) /(\Sigma F(R)(=1))\right\} 0.5
$$

is simply the square root of the second moment of the size distribution and

$$
\bar{R}=\Sigma F(R) R /(\Sigma F(R)(=1))
$$

is the first moment of the distribution. Applying the se definitions to the definition of $q$ yields

$$
q=\left(\Sigma F(R) R^{2}\right)^{0.5} /(\Sigma F(R) R)
$$

Particle shape and roughness are accounted for with a constant correction factor, 2. Water loss simply changes the primary particle size by a constant stractural factor $L$, such that $R^{\prime}=L^{\prime} R$, where $R^{\prime}$ is the particle radius after water loss; a homoneons size change does not change particle shape (including roughness) and, therefore, does not change the shape correction factor. The resalting change in $R$, and $q$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\text {rms }}^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma F(R)(L \cdot R)^{2}\right)^{0.5}=L \cdot R_{r m s} \\
R!=\Sigma F(R)(L \cdot R)=L R
\end{gathered}
$$

and.

$$
\mathbf{q}^{\prime}=\mathbf{q}
$$

The new surface area is simply

$$
S^{\prime}=L^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~S}
$$

which is the $L^{2}$ dependence originally described.
If the surface area is calcalated on the basis of the agglomerate size distribation instead of the primary particle size distribution, z becomes a roughness factor for the agglomerates.andis a fuction of the primary particlesize distribution. The surface area for any homogeneous change of the primary particle size distribution will scale as $L^{2}$ forthoagglomerate sinceitis identical to $L^{2}$ for primary particles; z does not change. The surface area (normalized with the room temperature sarface area) will decrease more rapidly than the expected $L^{2}$ dependence for homogeneous shrinkage when intra-agglomerate sintering occurs. For example, a. 65\% dense agglomerate with a surface area

100-foldgreater than a mooth spheredecreases its surface areaby a factor of $1 / 237\left(=0.65^{2} / 100\right)$ during $35 \%$ shrinkage (L=65\%) to full density, while $35 \%$ agglomerate shrinkage ( $L=65 \%$ ) decreases surface area by only $L^{2}=43 \%$ when shrinkage is the result of primary particie contraction.

Figre 22 shows the variation of normalized absolute surface area with the normalized average agglomerate size squared, $L^{2}$ (both are normalized by the room temperature values). The factor $L$ is obtained from dilatometry measurements: $L=1-\Delta A$, where $\Delta A$ is the axial shrinkage. The corresponding temperatares are listed next to each data point. The solid diagonal ine is the path the surface area would followif decreases were the resalt of homogeneous primary particle shrinkage. The surface area data points fall along the solid line below $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Negative deviation from the 1 ine above $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates intra-agglomerate sintering is occuring. Neck growth between primary particles by surface diffusion is most likely the predominant sintering process above $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Below $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, water loss from the surfaces of the primary particles causes a uniform decrease in all the primary particle dimension that is reflected in the surface area evolation. The slight positive deviation from the line indicates that some additional surface sites have become accessible. After the water is removed, the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{2}$ surfaces are in contact. Mass transport at this point probably forms interparticle necks Within the agglomerates that canse the smothing seen inthe surface area data. This smoothing corresponds to the anatase crystallization; possibly, heterogeneous anatase nucleation takes place at two-particle contacts, but the correspondence may be coincidental. Microscopic (SEM)


Figure 22. Surface area evolution during incremental isothermal anneals of a powder sample. $S_{t} / S_{r t}$ is the normalized surface area after annealing at temperature f , and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{rt}}$ refers to the room temperature surface area. $R_{t} / R_{r t}$ is the agglomerate radius after annealing at temperature $t$, and $r$ is the room temperature agglomerate size. This ratio is the equivalent to $1-\Delta L_{+}$, where $\Delta L_{+}$is the.relative compact shrinkage to temperature $t$, and is obtained from dilatometry measurements.
evidence of smoothing is not expected because the feature sizes corressponding to $100-350 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ surface areas ( $\sim 100-70 \AA$ ) are near the microscopic resolution limit.

### 4.4.2 Crystallization

Anatase crystallization in a dry atmosphere possibly initiates at contacts betwen primary particles that form after water removal. During hydrothermal aging, water-aided mass transport may accelerate the transformation at primary particle surfaces. Perhaps crystallization directly to the ratile polfmorph is possible in hydrothermal conditions if the correct ions are present in the solution. Dse of hydrothermal rutile crystallized powder suspensions would significantly reduce compact shrinkage. Investigation of the anatase crystallization with micrographic and spectrographic techniques might reveal intermediate structures that can be altered with dopants to yield different $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{2}$ polymorphs upon crystallization.

### 4.4.3 Anatase Grain Growth

The results of m-ray line broadening studies of isothermal anatase grain growth are shown in Pigure 23. The stady was done on fragments of a centrifugally compacted powder. Material from this compact also underment semiquantitative chemical analysis. The heating time is negligible because these are small samples of ground powder. Negligible grain growth occurs at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while grain growth at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is initially very rapid. Tho anatase grain growth rate slows at again size of $\sim 1000 \AA(0.1 \mu m)$, wichappeats to be the maximum anatase grain size before ratile formation begins. Some of the drop in growth rate is due to the low sensitivity of the x-ray line broadening technique for grain sizes above $0.1 \mu m$ as well as instrmental broadening, but micrographic


Figure 23. Isothermal anatase crystallite growth
-- XBL 871-180 --
evidence indicates that this is an accurate limiting value. The grain growth work was performed on ground powder samples, so grain bridging between agglomerates is not expected to occur with great frequency.

Constant heating rate ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ ) anatase crystallite growth was followed in a sample of slip cast powder. Slip cast powder was used because the centrifuge stock was depleted. The results of the grain size versus temperature measurements in Pigure 24 suggest the graingrowth rate in the s/c powder is somewhat slowerthan the centrifuge powder. The grain growth rate at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is mach lower than growth rate for centrifagally compacted powder at a similar grain size. Since the powder morphologies of $s / c$ and centrifuged powder are identical prior to settling, calcium is the obvions cause of the difference between these two samples. Calcinm, evidently, is an effective grain growth inhibitor in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2}^{\text {. }}$ The calcium was leached from the plaster-of-Paris mold during slip casting. The calciam ions probably penetrate the monosized agglomerates and adsorb on the primary particle surfaces.

Imparity segregation, second phases and pores at grain boundaries all reduce grain boudary mobility. Assuming the only grain boundary drag sources in the pare (centrifuge) powder sample are pores. The grain boundary velocity for a boundary with containing mobile pores is ${ }^{10}$

$$
\nabla_{b}=F_{b} M_{p} M_{b} /\left(N M_{b}+M_{p}\right)
$$

Where $v_{b}$ is the boundary velocity, $F_{b}$ is the force across the boundary, $M_{b}$ is the boundary mobility, $M_{p}$ is the pore mobility, and $N$ is the number of pores per boundary. Generally, large pores limit bondary velocity. As densification progresses, pore shrink and graingrowth accelerates. If the assamption is made that pore size is proportional to grain size ${ }^{74}$ then grain growth follows a cabic ${ }^{75}$

$$
G^{3}-G_{o}^{3}=K_{2} t
$$



Figure 24. Constant heating rate anatase crystallite coarsening.
growth law when diffusion through vapor or solid phases enables pore migration, or quartic

$$
G^{4}-G_{0}^{4}=K_{3} t
$$

growth when pore movement involves surface diffusion.
Pigure 25 is a plot of the isothermal $\left(600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ grain size GS ${ }^{4}$ versus $t$, and shows that at grain sizes below $900 \AA$ time dependence for $\mathrm{GS}^{4}$ if roughly proportional to $t$. The correlation of the data to a straight ine is poor, but the quartic rate law produces the closest fit. If $G^{4} \gg G_{o}^{4}, t h e n G_{0} c a n b e n e g l e c t e d a n d t h e d a t a c a n ~ b e ~ p l o t t e d ~$ as $G$ vs $t^{0.5}$. This grain size dependence indicates surface diffusion in pores is the limiting factor in the initial graingrowth. Extensive negative deviation at larger grain sizes is due to systematic grain size underestimation from r-ray line broadening data. At larger grain sizes, dihedral constraints at the grain boundary-surface junction (grooving), and a lower grain growth driving force reduce growth rates below the quartic dependence. (The grains cannot grow larger than the agglomerate size in the ground powder samples used in the grain growth studies). Calcinm may reduce anatase grain growth by inhibiting anatase surface diffusion and thereby decreasing pore mobility.

Since graingrowth cannot proced at low relative densities, the slower grain growth rates in calciom-contaminated powdersmay be the consequence of a lower intra-agglomerate densification rate that is calcinm induced. Preliminary dilatometrymeasurements attempted on slip cast pellets indicate that after crystallization, further shrinkage does not occur until the temperature reaches $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Themagnitude of this hightemperature shringage ( $7800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) is is roughlylarge enoughtoaccount for intraparticle shrinkage, rutile formation and interparticle


Figure 25. Kinetic analysis of isothermal anatase coarsening at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The data roughly conform to the fourth order coarsening kinetics expected for pore-limited grain growth if pore movement is accomplished through diffusion of material along the pore surfaces. The poor fit, though, suggests several additional factors are active. However; fouth order kinetics provides the closest fit to the data.
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sintering. This indicates calcium displaces the intra-agglomerate densification to higher temperatures, and that it is this slower densificationthat forestalls graingrowth until higher temperatures are attained. Additional dilatometry and careful X-ray thermal/kinetic experiments are needed to confirm the actual graingrowth kinetics law and verify the grain growth and densification mechanisms. Since the manner in wich r-ray line broadening averages the grain size distribntion is nncertain, more direct TEM measurements of grain size distributions in quenched powder particles is desirable. Microtomy techniques combined with TEM microanalysis can yield structural and chemical information from the intra-agglomerate the bulk and grain boundaries. Until these experiments are andertaken, thekinetic growthlaw developed herein should be considered highly conjectnral.


### 4.4.4 Anatase to Patile Transformation

The transformation data are semiquantitative in that no standards were $u$ sed tocalibrato thex-raydiffractiondata. Standards mast be prepared from samples of monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ becanse the particle density of other powder sources will not match and data biasing will result. There is some difficulty in producing large-grained anatase in monosized $T i O_{2}$, but short heat treatments at moderate temperatures (eg. $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) should be adequate. No attempt was made to fit the data to existing models since the results are semiquantitative.

Pigere 26 shows the results of the anatase to ratile transformation study. More than 15 hours is required for complete conversion to rutile at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but 1 to hours are required at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the rate is too fast to follow accirately in quench experiments and requires in situ measurements. However, the most interesting result of this study


Figure 26. Isothermal anatase to rutile inversion kinetics.
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is not the characteristics of the centrifuge powder, but the much slower transformation kinetics of the slip cast powder. The transformation rate of the s/c powder at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is equivalent to centrifuged powder at approximately $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower. Again, the agent suspected to cause this difference is calcium.

McKenzie performed extensive stadies of the anatase to rutile
 ed that dopants (including divalent ions) tend to increase, not hinder, the transformation. McKenzie attributed this increased rate to the greater defect concentration (anion vacancies and interstitial cations) associated with the introduction of divalent metal ions into tetravalent sites which increases lattice diffusion and catalyzes the transformation. Although no explicit data was collected for calcium, based on the imparity influence mechanism that is discassed calcinm imparities would be expected to accelerate the anatase to ratile transformation. This prediction contradicts the observations in the current work on monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$. Earlier work on chemically derived powders pure ${ }^{\mathbf{2 8 - 3 0}}$ indicate impurities reduce the transformation rate. The transformation temperatures in these earlier studies are in good agreement with the transformation temperatures for monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$.

All observations in this study indicate that ratile does not form before the anatase grain size, measured with X-ray line broadening, exceeds approximately $900 \AA$. Calcium slows the anatase grain growth, and apparently displaces the ratile transformation to higher temperatures. The anatase to ratile transformation in monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$-- even with calciam - proceeds at lower temperatores than the minimam temperature in McKenzie's work. McKenzie also observed enhanced particle sintering in material doped to accelerate the ratile transformation. These enhanced
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sintering rates were attributed to enhanced oxide lattice diffusion that facilitates both ratile formation and interparticle sintering. Miller 45 cites cation interstitial and oxygen vacancy diffusion mechanisms as the active mass transport paths in the sintering of rutile. Most recent and accepted studies on sintering of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ have concluded oxygen lattice diffusivity controls densification. $45,47,48$ Based on these interpretations a possiblemechanism forcalcium retardation of the anatase to rutile transformation assmes the presence of interstitial calcinm defects. Interstitial calcium will reduce the oxygen vacancy concentration and consequently decrease oxygen lattice diffusivity.

The anatase to rutile transition does not substantially alter the grainsize. The rutile grainsessentialy continuegrowing along the anatase graingrowth trajectory. Eraggerated growth of a few transformed grains is not a problem in the sintering of monosized TiO $\mathbf{2}^{\text {. }}$. The fivefold grain size increase in sintering monosized TiO $\mathbf{m}_{2}$ to theoretical density is a consequence of anatase and ratile graingrowth, rather than simultaneous crystallization and phase transformations, as originally suggested by Barringer. Therefore, intra-agglomerate graingrowth is the secondary factor, after green compact microstracture, that affects monosized titania densification and grain structure development.


### 4.4.5 Intra- and Interagglomerate Sintering

Changes observed in region 3 of the dilatometry curve ( $425-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were attributed to both the anatase to rutile transformation and intraagglomerate sintering. However, the curve provides no indication of the actual kinetics of each of these process. The thermalkinetic data for anatase grain growth and the polymorphic transformation allow the description of region 3 to be refined. It is possible to deduce that rutile
transformation shrinkage is not significant below $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ run since the anatase grain growth has not proceeded to the point that the rutiletransformation occurs. Hence, below $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ intra-agglomerate sintering is the major canse of agglomerate and, consequently compact, shrinkage. These results agree with earlier observations of the sintering behavior of altrafine anatase particles. ${ }^{28}$.

Dilatometryevidence indicates intra-agglomerate densification begins at temperatures at least as low as $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If it is as sumed grain growth does not begin below about 90\% relative agglomeratedensity, then significant grain growth in the constant heating rate dilatometry experiment probably begins above $570^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The selection of $90 \%$ as the critical relative density for graingrowth is based ongraingrowth trajectories for compacts with high ( $\mathbf{~ 6 0 \%}$ ) initial packing efficiency; . grain size 90\% relative density is less than twice the initial grain size, but at $95 \%$ relative density, the grain size is greater than three times the initial size.

Micrographic evidence for low temperature interagglomerate sintering was originally cited as evidence for the existence of fine primary nnits in what are apparently ideal "particles". Some interagglomerate neck growth at primary anit contacts would be expected as 10 as $425^{\circ}$, however there is no micrographic evidence for this process, and there is no appreciable increase in compact strength. Micrographic evidence for interagglomerate neck formation after one hour at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is inconclusive, but strength does increases slightly after on one hour at $600^{\circ}$ and indicates interagglomerate neck growth has occurred.

Grain stractures in sintered compacts, describedearlier (section 4.2.1), indicate graingrowthbetween particles at low relative
densities may occur through an incremental growth process. Initially, particles mast be polycrystalline for incremental growth to occur. Evidence gathered from various characterization techniques strongly indicates "particles" are polygranalar agglomerates of fine primary units. There is no evidence that phase transformations cause any significant changes in the grain stractare or the relative compact density. Some intra-agglomerate densification is required for grain growth to begin. If intra-agglomerate densification and interagglomerate neck formation occur at the same temperature, grains that begin to grow above a critical relative density would be expected to cross betwen agglomerates. Intra-agglomerate densification, must occur more rapidly than interagglomerate neck growth if grain growth is to be confined within agglomerates until late in the final sintering stages when the compact attains high relative density.

The relative agglomerate densification, intra-agglomerate grain growth, and interagglomerate neck growth rates determine whether incremental grain growth will occur at low relative densities. Agglomerate morphologies that permit rapid grain growth at low relative densities and/or inhibit low temperature neck formation are desirable because compacts produced from these powders will develop aniform grain sizes with lower grain growth. The example of calcium illustrates the point that small imparity concentrations might substantially change microstructure development.

It is important to re-omphasize the point that the grain sintered grain structure in monosized titania is a product of intra-agglomerate grain growth rather than growth of initially monosized grains. In the former case significant grain growth occurs daring densification, while in the latter, graingrowthis small. In reality, monosized titania
does not reduce grain growth (as the ratio of final to initial grain size) compared to conventional powders, but instead controls the limiting size during exaggerated intra-agglomerate grain growth so that at the outset of interparticle sintering, a unformgrain size is on the order of the apparent particle size is produced. At the outset of interagglomerate sintering, this nem grain size distribotion is relatively stable, and a second exaggerated growth process involving these larger grains does not take place. Low grain growth during interagglomerate sintering results ingain stractures that are superior to conventional powders. This controlled graingrowth is fortunate, bat not necessarily the rule in monosized systems. ,

The extent of the low temperature interagglomerate sintering in Pigare 15a suggests grain boandary stabilization of poresmay not be occurring. This sintering behavior indicates a viscons coalescence process is operative. This behavior, though is not generalized over the entire sample. Areas that undergo no 10 m temerature sintering often are adjacent to regions that exhibit extensive low temperature densification。 Impurities are a possible cause, but sensitive spatially resolved chemical analysis techniques (scanning anger, x-ray microprobe and STEM/TEM characterization -- ESCA, EELS, and r-ray microarialysis) are required to detect small spatial variations in impurity concentration.

Powder synthesis and compaction techniques that eliminate the agglomerate "intermediate", and instead pack primary units in uniform compacts can reduce the sintering shrinkage by more than $50 \%$ in the absence of complicating factors such as phase changes and dehydration. Grain boundary stabilization of the relatively large (compared to the
initial crystalite size) interagglomerate pores is no longer a possi-
bility. In the case of monosized TiO $\mathbf{O}_{2}$, a potential densification
temperature as 10 as $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is possible if methods are developed to
break-up the monosized agglomerates and form compacts from the primary
particles. In the absence of phase transformations, this would cut
densification shrinkage in half. However, withont the intermediate
barriers to further graingrowthat agglomerate sizes, substantially
more grain growth may occur in these systems.

## Sumary

Monosized, spherical $0.35 \mu m$ titania particles were prepared by controlled hydrolysis of 0.15 M titanium tetraethoxide with 0.45 M water in ethanol. Particle structure characterization with direct (SEM and TEM) and indirect (e.g. dilatometry, gas adsorption, and mercary porosimetry) techniques indicate the powder particies are actaallydense-random-packed agglomerates of $60 \AA$ primary units. This stracture contains approximately $35 \%$ porosity. This substractare has important manifestations in the sintering properties of monosized titania powder compacts:

1. The total linear shrinkage in dilatometry experiments is double the amont that is expected if the monosized particles are assumed to be dense primary particles. (a) Loss of chemisorbed waterfromprimary particle surfaces in dessicator-dried powder compacts occurs betwen ambient and $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and results in approximately $12 \%$ inear shrinkage. Total shrintage for dense, $0.35 \mu m$ particles world be less than $1 \%$. (b) Approximately $13 \%$ shrinkage between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ and $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the result of anatase crystallization. (c) Approximately $13 \%$ shrinkage between $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the result of intra-agglomerate densification. Again, this shrinkage source wonld be absent in "ideal" powders. (d) Between $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $800^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$, there is approximately $5 \%$ inear shrinkage that is the result of the anatase to ratile polymorphic transformation. (e) Final1y, above $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ normal interagglomerate sintering takes place.
2. Low temperatare $\left(<800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ microstractures indicate primary par-ticle-aided viscous coalescence of monosized agglomerates, may occur, although this process is not generalized. Stress gradients and imparities may canse some areas to exhibit low temperatare sintering.
3. Differences in the relative rates of intra- and interagglomerate densification and intra-agglomerate grain growth can account for the different sintered grain structures that developed in the gravitational compacts (Figare 10a and 10b). Preferential intra-agglomerate densification and subsequent rapid intra-agglomerate grain growth may produce the grain structure in 10b. The stracture in loamay result fominitial intra- and interagglomerate densification rates that are similar. This permits rapidly growing grains to cross between adjacent agglomerates resalting ingrains that may encompass several adjacent agglomerates. The origin of these different growth and densification rates is unknown, but impurities maybe important. Calciam, forexample, has inhibits anatase grain growth, the anatase to rutile transformation, and possibly intra-agglomerate densification.
4. Thermalkinetic crystallographic stadies indicate phase transformations (crystallization, and the anatase to ratile polymorphic transformation) do not alter the grain size significantly. Thas, grain stracture evolution within tho monosized agglomerates is the primary factor (aside from green compact packing and "particle" size distribution) that influences grain structure development. Intra-agglomerate grain growth begins at approximately 90\% relative agglomerate density. The grain growth very ronghly obeys fourth order growthkinetics characteristic of pore drag-limited growth.
5. The agglomerate strocture permits large contamination levels because these powders have intrinsically high accessible surface areas. The erpected calcium content in "ideal" monosized powders is expected to be 100 times lower (less than $0.0035 \%$ than the $0.35 \%$ calcium content in agglomerate powders.
6. Finally, difference in the sintering characteristics of the gravitational and centrifuge compacts, as expected, reflect differences in the compact density and uniformity: the "ordered" gravitational compacts sinter to higher density, in shorter time, with less grain growth than uniform, but disordered, centrifuge compacts. the centri-
 compact density-grain size trajectories in Figure 12, respectively.

## Conclusions

1. The agglomerate structure in monosized titania particles has important manifestations in the sintering characteristics and sintered microstracture of monosized titania.
2. Grain growth is an important consideration in the sintering of monosized titania. The actral grain size increase ingravitational compacts is approximately fifty-fold rather than less than five-fold as previously reported ${ }^{23}$. Grain growth control may be required in the sintering of other monosized systems; the uniform grain size that develops in $t$ itania may not be a general property of all monosized systems.
3. Inter-primary unit sintering below $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates that densification of uniform compacts of fine primary particles may be possible at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\sim 900^{\circ} \mathrm{K},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Or} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=0.43\right)$. This indicates that $100 \AA$ alumina particle compacts, for example, can densify below $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ if packing is carefully controlled.
4. Other monosized systems -- specifically those prepared by alkoxide hydrolysis -- might, in fact, consist of monosized. agglomerates. These systems shoald show relatively large water loss shrinkages betweenat lowtemperatures. While intra-agglomeratedensification would cance shinkage at moderate temperatures. In addition, intraagglomerate grain growth and phase transformations may have deleterious consequences.
5. The formation mechanism of monosized agglomerates warrents further stady. Controlled agglomeration of $100 \AA$ or smaller particies may provide a practical and powerful method for preparing polymodal powders and/or controlled primary particles packing in green structures.


#### Abstract

Appendix L: Surface Estimation Fron Scanning Mercury Porosimetry on Monosized Powder Compacts

A more accurate estimate of the surface area is possible if the compact is assumed to have settled in a FCC structure. The smallest passage in this stractare is the three-fold coordinated pore between through close packed (111) planes. When the primitive (rhombahedral) cell is considered in isolation with a close-packed plane taken as the upper surface, there are two channels that ran from the upper surface to the lower surface (Figure line drawing) that intersect the tetrahedral and octahedral voids within the cell. In this analysis, these two pores can be considered a complete set for the cell becanse there are no additional pores required to fill the void volume in the structare. The HCP unit cell witha pore running between the upper and lower basal plane, in contrast, requires branch channels to fill the void volume in the coll.

The length of these pores is $2 R$, where $R$ is the agglomerate radius. The effective radii of these channels is then assigned sothat they contain the same surface area as the primitive cell.


$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi R_{e f f}(2 R) & =2 \pi R^{2} \\
R_{e f f} & =R / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

This compares with the minimam channel radius of

$$
R_{\min }=R((1 / \cos 30)-1)
$$

Since the pressure at which penetration occurs depends on $R_{m i n}$, mercury porosimetry underestimates the pore channel radins. The pressure should be maltiplied by the scale factor, $F$

$$
F=R_{\text {min }} / R_{e f f} \sim 0.31
$$

With this scale factor the surface area becomes $4.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{g}$.

## Appendix II. Grotithof Monosized Powders by Controlled Agglomeration

In a dispersion, particle encounters occur due to Brownian motion, gravity, and convection. In dispersions of ultrafine particles, Brownian motion is the only significant cause of particle encounters. The nature of the forces between particles (attractive or repalsive) determine whether encounters results in permanent coagalation. Particie attraction is due to the van der wals force, while electrostatic interactions of the diffuse ionic double-layers that surround particles in solution and short range interactions of adsorbed species generate repulsive forces.

The basic theory of these interactions in liquid dispersions containing electrolytes is developed in the DLVO (Derjagain - Landan -Verwey-0verbeek) ${ }^{76}$ treatment. The general form of the two-particle interaction energy curve is shown in Figure 27. Factors such as particle material, suspending liquid, $p H$, and electrolyte concentration affect featares such as the height of the primary maximam and depth of the primary minimam.

The height of the primary marimum establishes the stability of a colloidal suspension; generally, particles will not coalesce at repulsive energies above, 10 kT . The primary maximum height between particies (or agglomerates) of the same size scales roughly as the size of these interacting units. Thas, colloidal suspensions of large particles are more stable than those of small particles. Hogg et al. ${ }^{77}$ and Israelechiavelli ${ }^{78}$ analyzed repalsive and attractive interactions, respectively, between particles of different size; the primary marimom height obtained from these treatments can be approximated with

$$
(1 / W)_{\text {mixed }}=1 / 2\left\{(1 / W)_{1}+(1 / W)_{2}\right\}
$$



Figure 27. General form of the two-particle interaction energy versus surface separation distance (after ref. 23).

Or

$$
W_{\text {mixed }}=2\left(W_{1}\right)\left(W_{2}\right) /\left(W_{1}+W_{2}\right)
$$

where $W_{\text {mixed }}$ is the primary maximum of the mixed interaction of particle size (1) and (2) and $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are the pitimarymaximnm heights corresponding to interactions between particles of size (1) and (2), respectively. One resalt is that the primarymaximumin an encounter between a mall particle and mach larger particle is essentially double the maximum for interactions between small particles. Thus, the growth rate of large agglomerates is always inhibited and there is a natural limit in the agglomerate size. This is a rather henristic argument for the possibility of a stochastic monosized agglomerate growth process. A more rigorons approach to heterocoagalation requires modification of Fuchs ${ }^{79}$ treatment of repulsive interactions in Smoluchowski's $\mathbf{8 0}^{\mathbf{8}}$ model forthe diffusional coalescence of particles to include corrections for agglomerate size. This is beyond the scope of this thesis.

Stabilization of large agglomerates appears to resultin narrow size distribution of $m-\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ prepared by thermal hydrolysis of zirconium salts. ${ }^{34}$ Since agglomerates are actually composites of smaller agglomerates, their density is expected to be 10 . Agglomerate densities in Bleier!s work are around 50\%; particle alignment along preferred facets during agglomerate apparentlyraises agglomerate density. The agglomerate size distribution is relatively narrow. $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ agglomerates do not appear to form by this mechanism because their densities are high (>65\%) and the size distributions are exceedingly narrow.

An alternate possibilityarises in the case of a shallow primary minimam and low primary maximam. This situation is somewhat analogous to the problem of nucleation and growth of precipitates. Agglomerates of
only a few particles have very low total binding energy and break apart under thermal action; consequently, stable agglomerates require the formation of nuclei of several particles. Subsequent growth of these nuclei is rapid because the primary maximum barrier is low. This rapid growth depletes the concentration of primary particles so that additional nucleation does not occur. The result is a very narrow size distribution of agglomerates. This appears to be the mechanism of monosized agglomerate formation in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Onoda ${ }^{81}$ has observed th is process in the spontaneous two-dimensional ordering of suspensions of latex microspheres at a critical volume fraction.

Steric interactions of polymers adsorbed on particle surfaces can canse large repulsive forces as particles approach each other. This may aid in the formation of monosized secondary particles conditions where particle agglomeration would be a problem (low primary maximam and deep primary minimum). Surfactants can raise the primary minimum depth so that an agglomerate requires alargenaber of primary particles for stability. In this case, nucleation can only occur at primary particle concentrations. This confines nucleation to short times, and subsequent growth produces monosized agglomerates. At the neatral reaction conditions, monosized $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ particles will have low stability against agglomeration. $H P C$ may raise the primary minimam depth so that nucleation and growth of monosized agglomerates can occir.
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[^0]:    These transformations have a low, effectively temperature independent, driving forces. These transformations are characteristic of metastable phases that have no effecive equilibrium temperature range ( $T_{o}$ is infinite), but have a very small transformation enthalpy change.

