REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access

RosBREED: bridging the chasm between discovery and application to enable DNA-informed breeding in rosaceous crops

Amy F. lezzoni¹, Jim McFerson², James Luby³, Ksenija Gasic⁴, Vance Whitaker⁵, Nahla Bassil⁶, Chengyan Yue³, Karina Gallardo⁷, Vicki McCracken⁸, Michael Coe⁹, Craig Hardner¹⁰, Jason D. Zurn⁶, Stan Hokanson¹¹, Eric van de Weg¹², Sook Jung¹³, Dorrie Main⁸, Cassia da Silva Linge⁴, Stijn Vanderzande⁸, Thomas M. Davis¹⁴, Lise L. Mahoney¹⁴, Chad Finn⁶ and Cameron Peace⁸

Abstract

The Rosaceae crop family (including almond, apple, apricot, blackberry, peach, pear, plum, raspberry, rose, strawberry, sweet cherry, and sour cherry) provides vital contributions to human well-being and is economically significant across the U.S. In 2003, industry stakeholder initiatives prioritized the utilization of genomics, genetics, and breeding to develop new cultivars exhibiting both disease resistance and superior horticultural quality. However, rosaceous crop breeders lacked certain knowledge and tools to fully implement DNA-informed breeding—a "chasm" existed between existing genomics and genetic information and the application of this knowledge in breeding. The RosBREED project ("Ros" signifying a Rosaceae genomics, genetics, and breeding community initiative, and "BREED", indicating the core focus on breeding programs), addressed this challenge through a comprehensive and coordinated 10-year effort funded by the USDA-NIFA Specialty Crop Research Initiative. RosBREED was designed to enable the routine application of modern genomics and genetics technologies in U.S. rosaceous crop breeding programs, thereby enhancing their efficiency and effectiveness in delivering cultivars with producer-required disease resistances and market-essential horticultural quality. This review presents a synopsis of the approach, deliverables, and impacts of RosBREED, highlighting synergistic global collaborations and future needs. Enabling technologies and tools developed are described, including genome-wide scanning platforms and DNA diagnostic tests. Examples of DNA-informed breeding use by project participants are presented for all breeding stages, including pre-breeding for disease resistance, parental and seedling selection, and elite selection advancement. The chasm is now bridged, accelerating rosaceous crop genetic improvement.

This review

Rosaceous fruit, nut, and floral crops provide high-value nutritious foods, contribute to our esthetic enjoyment, and are economically important globally. Related through their ancestral genome¹, rosaceous crops have been

Correspondence: Amy F. lezzoni (iezzoni@msu.edu) ¹Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA ²Washington State University, Wenatchee, WA 98801, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article Deceased: Chad Finn. selected and bred to provide an assortment of superior cultivars on which modern production is based. However, the next generation of cultivars is needed to improve consumer satisfaction, profitability for industry stakeholders, and environmental sustainability. In the "genomics era", many crop scientists routinely access database resources, leverage increasingly detailed knowledge of plant genomes, and apply genetic tools to significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of new-cultivar development. However, adoption of such DNA-informed

© The Author(s) 2020

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

breeding had lagged in rosaceous crops, with a daunting chasm between ongoing scientific discoveries and practical breeding applications. The RosBREED projects were a 10-year collaborative effort that bridged this chasm. This review presents a synopsis of the history, approach, deliverables, and impacts of RosBREED, highlighting synergistic global collaborations and future needs.

RosBREED in a historical context

An extraordinary coming-together of the global rosaceous research community² coincided with the U.S. crop industry's prioritization of new-cultivar development as a research goal³. Equally important, the USDA-NIFA Specialty Crop Research Initiative (SCRI) provided significant funding opportunities and stimulated investment in breeding. RosBREED was an outcome of this unprecedented situation. The RosBREED approach was based on the premise that diverse rosaceous crops all shared a need for genomics information and diagnostic tools and envisioned addressing this need by a multi-crop, multi-state, transdisciplinary research and extension effort. Rosaceous crop breeders, industries, and allied scientists, united in this common goal, were the foundation upon which the RosBREED projects were developed.

The Rosaceae family

Crops in the Rosaceae family are produced worldwide, primarily in temperate climates. While most are grown for their fruit, in both fresh and processed forms, the family also includes important nut (e.g., almond) and ornamental (e.g., rose) crops. The fruit crops, comprising apple, apricot, blackberry, nectarine, peach, pear, plum, red and black raspberry, strawberry, sweet cherry, and sour cherry, exhibit wide morphological diversity for fruit characteristics and plant growth habit. These fruit, nut, and ornamental crops are placed within two Rosaceae subfamilies: (1) Rosoideae, including Fragaria (strawberry), Rubus (caneberries), and Rosa (rose); and (2) Spiraeoideae, comprising the Pyreae tribe [Malus (apple) and Pyrus (pear)] and the Amygdaleae tribe [Prunus stone fruit and nut crops (almond, apricot, peach, nectarine, plum, sweet cherry, and sour cherry)]⁴. The genome of the ancestral Rosaceae progenitor is currently understood to have had nine chromosomes (x = 9). Synteny analyses¹ describe the large structural changes hypothesized to have produced a range of base chromosome numbers: Rosoideae (x = 7), *Prunus* (x = 8), and *Malus/Pyrus* (x = 17). Apple and pear behave as diploids but are considered to be ancient polyploids^{5,6}. The Rosaceae family also includes tetraploids (sour cherry and some apple, plum, blackberry, and rose species), hexaploids (some plum and blackberry species), octoploids (cultivated strawberry and some blackberry species), and higher ploidy crops (blackberry). Most crop members are highly heterozygous and some

Table 1	Rosaceous crops grown in the U.S. in 2018:
bearing	hectares, total production, and value of utilized
product	ion in 2018 ^{193,194} .

Сгор	Bearing hectares	Total production (metric tonnes equivalent)	Value of utilized production (US \$1000)
Almond	441,100	1,698,700	5,468,040
Apple	117,800	4,652,500	3,013,713
Apricot	4,300	35,900	48,465
Blackberry	2,600	18,300	20,100
Cherry, sweet	34,400	312,400	637,700
Cherry, sour	14,200	135,300	56,635
Nectarine	5,700	109,300	119,650
Peach	30,100	591,000	511,226
Pear	18,700	730,700	428,940
Plum	5,700	90,700	92,570
Prune	17,800	253,700	194,832
Raspberry	6,800	99,200	367,001
Strawberry	19,900	1,296,300	2,670,523
Rose	-	-	28,069
Total	719,100	10,025,000	13,654,464

For the rose, only the value of utilized production was available. For blackberry, figures were only available for $2017^{195}\,$

are obligate outcrossers exhibiting a gametophytic selfincompatibility system (apple, pear, most *Prunus* species). In commercial fruit tree production, all nursery trees consist of scions grafted on rootstocks, the latter usually being wild related species or intentionally bred interspecific hybrids.

U.S. Rosaceae industry

Rosaceous crops in the U.S. are grown on more than 700,000 hectares, with a total production of 10 million tonnes and a value of utilized production of more than \$13.5 billion (Table 1). In the U.S., most are produced on the west and east coasts and around the Great Lakes, as these regions experience moderate winter and spring temperatures and generally have sufficient water availability, either as rainfall or irrigation. However, local producers of tree fruit, berry, and ornamental crops are important throughout the country. Domestic production and delivery to consumers are enabled by a nationwide supply chain infrastructure of market intermediaries (packers, processors, brokers, and shippers). Almond, apple, cherry, pear, and strawberry are also valuable export crops.

In 2003, challenged by globalization of trade and foreign competition, industry stakeholders in rosaceous crops across the country developed a comprehensive, proactive strategy to address production and marketing challenges and increase profitability. As documented in the National Tree Fruit Technology Roadmap³, they envisioned a systematic reduction in per unit production costs by addressing production limitations and improving quality of the product delivered to consumers. New-cultivar development, undertaken with increased efficiency enabled by the routine adoption of DNA-informed breeding, was identified as a major research need.

Rosaceae crop breeding

Until the 1900s, when scientifically based U.S. crop breeding programs commenced, rosaceous crop producers grew scion and rootstock cultivars mostly derived from chance seedlings from European selections. Subsequently, U.S. breeders have made considerable progress utilizing germplasm native to North America or other centers of diversity. Certain accessions of crop wild relatives have been effectively used as sources of desirable attributes such as disease resistance. Targeted collection expeditions to global centers of domestication have markedly increased diversity in U.S. germplasm collections and breeding programs (e.g., apple⁷, strawberry⁸, cherry⁹). However, the principal cultivars currently in commercial production, and even most breeding program material, typically can be traced to a limited number of founder cultivars. Another constraint on development of new superior cultivars has been the relatively limited number of U.S. breeding programs. At present, there are 100 active public and private sector Rosaceae crop breeding programs in the U.S., led by 53 breeders, many of whom work on more than one crop (M. Coe & C. Peace, pers. comm.). Most private programs are in California while public programs are generally in states with significant production, hosted by land-grant universities or the USDA.

Programs typically focus on improved fruit, nut, and/or floral quality and other high-impact stakeholder-driven production traits such as disease resistance and flowering/ fruiting traits related to productivity. However, until recently, breeders had little understanding of the genetic control of these traits. A typical breeding strategy was a pedigree approach in which breeding populations based on biparental crosses were generated based on empirical experience of the phenotypes and an impression of general combining abilities of parents. In most cases, an inherently high level of heterozygosity resulted in a wide range of phenotypic variation among offspring, providing ample opportunities for selection. High heterozygosity, low heritability, and polygenic control for traits such as fruit sweetness complicated the breeder's ability to identify the best parents and predict the best parental combinations, a challenge exacerbated in polyploids. Acquisition of experimental knowledge was further limited, especially in tree crops, by extended juvenility (with generation times of 2–7 years), large individual plant sizes, and the need for extensive field testing. As in other crops, breeders assumed available information on pedigrees of cultivars and other materials in their programs was correct, but genetic identity errors often reduced the ability to effectively design crosses that achieve trait targets and widen the genetic base.

Breeding for disease resistance is particularly challenging for rosaceous crops. Disease resistance alleles are often present in exotic germplasm (crop wild relatives and unadapted material) but rarely in elite modern cultivars. Resistance sources almost exclusively have numerous highly undesirable horticultural attributes, such as fruit that are small, bitter, astringent, and with poor handling and storage qualities. Eliminating undesirable attributes from exotic sources while introducing even a single resistance allele can take many generations. With each generation requiring multiple years and considerable space and cost, the challenge is often considered intractable for a given breeding program. Traditional backcrossing to introgress resistance alleles is not feasible due to the high heterozygosity of rosaceous crops. Furthermore, in most rosaceous crops, the presence of multiple resistance alleles for a disease is impossible to confirm by disease screening because the presence of a single resistance allele can phenotypically mask the presence of additional alleles; therefore, DNA markers for the resistance alleles are needed. Given such challenges, it is no surprise very few commercially competitive cultivars of rosaceous crops are disease-resistant.

"The Chasm" and stakeholder needs addressed

In 2009, scientific advances in molecular genetics and genomics were accelerating across crop species. As for many other agriculturally important organisms, a biological/bioinformatics revolution was underway in rosaceous crops. Low-density genetic linkage maps were available for most crops. The apple⁵, peach¹⁰, and diploid strawberry genomes¹¹ were being sequenced in their entirety. The Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR) [https://www.rosaceae.org/]¹², initiated in 2003, emerged as the international standard. More than 250 major-effect loci (Mendelian trait loci = MTLs), guantitative trait loci (QTLs), and sometimes identities and sequences of their underlying genes, were reported¹³. These genomics resources provided exciting opportunities for practical application in breeding programs, such as the S locus, with its self-compatible vs. self-incompatible alleles in cherry and almond.

However, for only a handful of important traits were such DNA-based diagnostic tools available. In fact, a huge chasm gaped between the acquired genetics and genomics knowledge and practical application in rosaceous crop breeding¹⁴. This situation occurred despite the tremendous potential utility of molecular genetics and genomics information and commonly stated promises it would increase breeding efficiency, especially for crops with long generation times and for traits requiring extensive field space and expensive phenotyping. Distressingly, while stakeholders placed high priority on new disease-resistant cultivars, few such cultivars were commercially relevant. Choice of parents and progeny selection based on phenotype alone could bring in some desirable disease resistance attributes but did not efficiently enable purging of undesirable "wild" chromosomal segments that often imparted unacceptable horticultural quality. Producers were understandably frustrated that breeders were unable to utilize emerging genomics technologies to combine desirable horticultural quality and disease resistance in new cultivars.

Despite the obvious benefits of DNA-informed breeding, rosaceous crop breeders faced numerous barriers discouraging its routine use in their programs. Often, they lacked the confidence to utilize exotic germplasm and to base selection in segregating progeny on putative genotype rather than observable phenotype. They were also concerned about implementing such marker-assisted selection (MAS) where linkage relationships among alleles were not defined between trait loci linked on the same chromosomes. Costs were considered prohibitive for genotyping thousands of seedlings. Finally, most programs lacked personnel trained in the implementation of DNA-informed breeding, and, as in other specialty crops, had little access to high-throughput public or private sector diagnostic services. Thus, rosaceous crop breeding programs shared a number of critical needs to: (1) validate and newly discover trait loci in breeding-relevant germplasm, (2) define linkage relationships, (3) design diagnostic genetic markers, (4) identify cost-efficient strategies, (5) develop competencies in necessary diagnostics technologies, and (6) identify diagnostics service providers¹⁵.

The two consecutive RosBREED projects from 2009 to 2019 were explicitly designed to address those needs and bridge the chasm between genetic discovery and sustainable implementation of DNA-informed rosaceous crop breeding. Achieving the project objectives would enable U. S. programs to routinely apply molecular genetics tools to efficiently, accurately, and rapidly breed new cultivars improved for stakeholders' priority traits. The first project (2009–2013), "RosBREED: Enabling marker-assisted breeding in Rosaceae", abbreviated as "RosBREED 1", focused on fruit quality in apple, peach, strawberry, and sweet and sour cherry¹⁶. These crops and fruit quality were chosen because industry stakeholders supported DNA-informed breeding as a high research priority and

believed enhanced fruit quality could improve consumer demand and their own profitability. The second project (2014–2019), "RosBREED: Combining disease resistance and horticultural quality in new rosaceous cultivars", abbreviated as "RosBREED 2", added blackberry, pear, rose, and *Prunus* rootstocks with an additional target trait of disease resistances prioritized by stakeholders¹⁷.

RosBREED 1 and RosBREED 2 were supported by the USDA-NIFA Specialty Crop Research Initiative (SCRI) program, an unprecedented federal grant program initiated in 2008 to support transdisciplinary research and extension activities addressing industry needs in specialty crops. In fact, the SCRI arose in response to stakeholder efforts such as the National Tree Fruit Technology Roadmap. Another key partner was the U.S. Rosaceae Genomics, Genetics, and Breeding Executive Committee (RosEXEC). Formed in 2005 and broadly representing industry, research, and extension interests among U.S. rosaceous crops, RosEXEC helps identify and communicate both stakeholder priorities and research community needs (https://www.rosaceae.org/community/us_rosexec). In 2011, the European Union funded a 4.5-year project designed to address the same chasm targeted in Ros-BREED, entitled "FruitBreedomics - Integrated approach for increasing breeding efficiency in fruit tree crops". This project included only two crops, apple and peach, but the synergy among scientists in RosBREED and Fruit-Breedomics accelerated and enhanced the contributions from both projects¹⁸.

The RosBREED approach

The RosBREED approach envisioned that the common breeding needs of diverse rosaceous crops for genomics information and diagnostic tools could be effectively addressed by energetic, large-scale, community-wide research and extension efforts. Permeating both Ros-BREED projects was an emphasis on collaboration and coordination of participants nationally and internationally (including participants in FruitBreedomics) with a committed focus on breeding outcomes (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Twelve breeding programs in Ros-BREED 1 and 22 breeding programs in RosBREED 2 served as "demonstration breeding programs" (Fig. 1). These programs identified their crop-specific needs and opportunities, highlighted appropriate germplasm, conducted phenotyping, searched for valuable trait loci, applied DNA-based information to breeding decisions, hosted breeding trainees, and served as examples for other rosaceous crop breeding programs.

The RosBREED approach featured a multi-stage pipeline to bridge the chasm between genomics resources and knowledge and practical application of DNA information in breeding programs¹⁴. Some stages were science-driven while others were logistic or socio-economic. Target traits



were identified based on stakeholder input and socioeconomic analyses. Next, genomic regions associated with such traits and their genetic linkage relationships with other trait-influencing genomic regions were investigated and validated. High-impact, large-effect trait loci were converted into trait-predictive DNA tests. The next stages addressed bottlenecks that hindered efficient, accessible, and affordable routine use of MAS. DNA tests were trialed and then used routinely to detect desirable alleles in breeding program germplasm. The term "jewels in the genome" was coined to communicate these deliverables to lay audiences via the analogy that "jewels" represented valuable alleles discovered and characterized in the crop's genome. The jewels were then "polished" by being made detectable in each individual's genome (i.e., by developing new DNA tests), put into routine breeding application via DNA test application, and then this new knowledge was incorporated into breeding decisions¹⁶. Expansions of the pipeline in RosBREED 2 included investigating methods to combine valuable alleles from multiple sources into single individuals over multiple generations, to explore the stability of QTLs across environments, and to test the utility of integrating genome-wide prediction methods, especially for traits not governed by large-effect loci¹⁷. In addition to these applications of DNA-based information regarding performance-predictive evaluation, RosBREED also improved methods for characterizing breeding germplasm for identity and relatedness.

The two RosBREED projects sought efficiencies for all stages of breeding germplasm, from pre-breeding

generations for germplasm enhancement, to breeding parents, seedling families, elite selections, and released cultivars. Targets therefore included: (1) introgression, pyramiding, and combining of valuable alleles, especially from wild crop sources; (2) parent pool selection, cross design, and seedling selection based on large-effect trait loci (via locus-specific DNA tests) and many small-effect loci (via genome-wide selection); (3) G×E performance predictions to inform elite selection advancement and cultivar deployment across multiple environments; and (4) DNA fingerprinting assays for identity verification and more accurate mapping of relatedness at all germplasm levels. RosBREED researchers and collaborators also partnered with the GDR, to enhance access to publicly available genomics, genetics, and breeding data and datamining tools to facilitate basic, translational, and applied research in the Rosaceae. The GDR provided the research community with data and resources for data mining, and as results were generated, RosBREED data were integrated, archived, and curated with other data.

Genomics and socio-economics knowledge informs all stages of cultivar development

RosBREED enhanced development of powerful database resources, new knowledge, and useful tools to provide the foundational building blocks to help implement DNAinformed breeding in U.S rosaceous crop breeding programs. The RosBREED "pipeline" showcases the steps taken to implement its routine application for high priority attributes. Examples of DNA-informed breeding use by project participants are presented for all breeding stages, including pre-breeding for disease resistance, parental and seedling selection, and elite selection advancement.

Trait level prioritization

It is crucial for breeders to focus efforts and resources on crop attributes that have high value throughout the supply chain. This focus is especially important when considering the initial investment needed to implement DNA-informed breeding, which requires extensive technical knowledge, trained personnel, and sufficient financial resources. Socio-economics research in RosBREED identified these high-impact targets by systematically quantifying the relative value of achieving incremental improvements in a range of traits using a willingness-topay approach with three sectors of the supply chain: producers, marketing intermediaries, and consumers.

Willingness to pay was elicited from supply chain members for numerous quality traits of four rosaceous fresh market fruit $crops^{19-21}$, with crop-specific investigations of apple^{22,23}, peach^{24,25}, sweet and sour cherry²⁶, and strawberry²⁷. Across crops, results indicated consumers were willing to pay a price premium for flavor and textural components over fruit appearance attributes like size and external/internal color. Across crops, market intermediaries were willing to pay the highest price premiums for fruit quality traits associated with handling, like firmness and shelf life, or with U.S. grades and standards like size and external appearance. For producers, price premiums were highest for improvement in traits like flavor and texture, but the relative value producers placed on specific traits varied depending on crop. Highest premium traits identified by producers for apple were improved shelf life, flavor, and crispness; for peach, improved flavor, external color, and external appearance; for sweet cherry, improved size, flavor, and firmness; for strawberry, improved flavor, firmness, and external color. Interestingly, the traits prioritized by producers represented a combination of those identified by consumers and market intermediaries. In sum, systematic investigations reinforced the experience of rosaceous crop breeding programs-comprehensively and simultaneously addressing the priorities of all their supply chain stakeholders is a massive and dynamic challenge^{28,29}. The challenge is exacerbated by the high resource and time costs of phenotyping to reliably evaluate traits with complex genetics and significant environmental interactions. The situation was rendered even more complex when industry stakeholders developing RosBREED 2 identified a key project goal of combining disease resistance and horticultural quality in new rosaceous cultivars^{17,30}. However, the socio-economics information gained on trait priorities provided strong support to direct RosBREED resources to those genomic discoveries of highest relative value to stakeholders.

Strategies for discovery of genetics knowledge

RosBREED-generated new genetics knowledge for highpriority traits. Enabling technologies had to be adopted or developed to provide genome-wide genetic information relevant to breeding germplasm. The project's centralized support for these enabling technologies, such as pedigreebased analysis (PBA), standardized phenotyping, and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, united researchers and breeding programs within and across crops. These effective new approaches provided a common operating system for Rosaceae genetics advances and its subsequent translation into crop genetic improvement. For the polyploid crops with complicated inheritance patterns, genetic resources developed by other research teams were leveraged to enable advances.

Reference germplasm sets for U.S. breeding

For each crop, a crop reference set was collaboratively chosen by demonstration breeding programs. Each set consisted of germplasm representing important breeding parents and their immediate offspring, with many known pedigree connections among parents, to provide replication of parental alleles³¹ (Fig. 2). As each breeding program had its own distinctive germplasm, demonstration breeders also added and analyzed their own unique plant materials (called breeding pedigree sets) that were pedigree-connected to the crop reference sets. In total, the reference germplasm sets comprised almost 1000 individuals each for apple, peach³¹, and strawberry³², and almost 500 each for sweet cherry and sour cherry³¹.

Standardized phenotyping

Phenotypic evaluation of the reference germplasm sets was conducted in a standardized manner for each crop across the multiple breeding programs and multiple years. This consistent approach enabled combining of data sets across programs for improved statistical power to ensure that trait-DNA associations could be accurately determined. Each RosBREED 1 crop breeding team of apple, peach, strawberry, sweet cherry, and sour cherry agreed on the traits to be evaluated and then developed and documented standardized protocols to measure these plant and fruit characteristics. They compiled extensive phenotypic data sets for fruit quality and other critical traits over three years and multiple locations. Standardized phenotyping was conducted for 37 traits for strawberry³², 43 for apple^{33,34}, 29 for peach³⁵, 19 for sweet cherry³⁶, and 35 for sour cherry³⁷. Standardized phenotyping was added for blackberry and expanded for peach in RosBREED 2. For blackberry, standardized phenotyping protocols were developed and followed for 17 traits³⁸. For



simultaneous QTL discovery and validation in breeding program germplasm. A subset of the apple Crop Reference Set is shown here, which involved collaboration among breeding programs from three institutions. IBPs in this subset are in bold. "Honeycrisp" was represented directly by immediate descendant F_1 families (here shown with 19 + 28 + 9 offspring) as well as indirectly by closely related families (such as the "Sweet Sixteen" × BC-8S-27-43 family). "Cripps Pink" and "Granny Smith" were each represented by the other's direct F_1 families. The dashed lines and family indicate further representation of "Cripps Pink" with the possible inclusion of 20 offspring in a Breeding Pedigree Set family. IBPs were also often represented by other families via ancestral relationships (e.g., "Sansa" was represented by all families also descended from "Golden Delicious" or "Delicious"). The germplasm sets were chosen based on pedigree knowledge at the time. Much additional allelic representation was provided by many other pedigree connections later discovered, such as "Golden Delicious" being a grandparent of "Honeycrisp" and "Splendour" being the offspring of "Golden Delicious" and "Delicious". Some pedigree records were also corrected (e.g., "Regent" was discovered to not be "Duchess of Oldenburg" × "Delicious" but rather the child of "Haralson" and "McIntosh")

peach, a post-harvest phenotyping protocol, previously developed by Crisosto et al.³⁹, was applied to 480 individuals from three public peach breeding programs in Texas, South Carolina, and Arkansas, collecting 27 measures for eight key traits. Detailed phenotyping protocols are available at www.rosbreed.org and publicly available RosBREED-generated phenotypic data can be accessed in the GDR using the "Search Trait Evaluation" page to query qualitative or quantitative traits.

Disease resistance was also evaluated in RosBREED 2 and standard protocols were developed as illustrated here for three tree fruit diseases. For peach, skin and flesh response to brown rot (caused by Monolinia spp.) was evaluated on 164 individuals^{40,41} and a modified detached-leaf bioassay⁴² was used to record leaf resistance to bacterial spot (caused by Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni) on 360 individuals from the South Carolina and Arkansas programs⁴³. For cherry leaf spot disease of sour cherry (caused by *Blumeriella jaapii*), a phenotyping scale was developed and used to rate the disease severity and progression of 206 individuals, many of which were in pedigree-connected families⁴⁴. For apple fire blight (caused by Erwinia amylovora), a phenotyping scale was developed based on incidence and disease severity and used to classify 94 apple cultivars into three resistance/ susceptibility groups⁴⁵. In all cases, this phenotypic data was used to determine the inheritance of the disease reaction.

Standardized genome-wide genotypic data via Rosaceae SNP arrays

An illustrative example of international collaboration was the development of SNP-based genome-scanning capability for each crop in RosBREED 1. These arrays filled a critical technology gap, because at the time there were no efficient genome-wide genotyping platforms available for any rosaceous crop and genotyping was laboriously done using a limited number of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. Design of these three arrays was possible due to the newly available reference genome assemblies developed for apple⁵, peach¹⁰, and diploid strawberry^{11,46} by the three international crop communities working in parallel to RosBREED. Plans for the genome scans were devised and agreed upon at an International SNP Summit in 2010, followed by SNP crop-specific arrays designed through collaborative efforts led by researchers in the U.S. (strawberry⁴⁷, cherry⁴⁸), New Zealand (apple⁴⁹), and Italy (peach⁵⁰). These SNP arrays, commercialized by U.S.-based vendors and used worldwide, provided a robust international resource for genome-wide genotyping, greatly facilitating comparison of results among research teams.

The 6K cherry⁴⁸, 8K apple⁴⁹, and 9K peach⁵⁰ arrays were all implemented on the Illumina Infinium® platform. Array design began with SNP discovery in relevant germplasm panels, using high-throughput DNA sequence sets aligned to the respective reference genomes, where the peach genome sequence was used as a proxy for cherry. For the 9K peach SNP array, SNPs distributed across all eight peach chromosomes with an average spacing of 26.7 kb between SNPs were chosen⁵⁰. A peach consensus map, based on 9K SNP array markers shared across five genetic linkage maps, was subsequently constructed to provide valuable information on marker order and genetic position and to aid in estimation of genetic positions of unmapped markers⁵¹. A similar strategy of evenly spaced SNPs was used for the sweet and sour cherry array, for which the peach genome served as a proxy because a cherry sequence was unavailable at the time⁴⁸. As sour cherry has both a sweet cherry-derived and a P. fruticosa-derived sub-genome, the final SNP choice involved an extra step to assure sampling of the P. fruticosa sub-genome. Linkage maps constructed using two sweet cherry populations assigned genetic positions for the SNPs, confirming the high level of synteny between peach and cherry⁵². The apple SNP array targeted focal points evenly spaced at intervals across the apple linkage map (~every 1 cM)⁴⁹. At each focal point, 4-10 clustered SNPs were chosen to enable the construction of haplotypes for each focal point and therefore the potential identification of multiple haplotypes at each genome location.

In strawberry, due to the complexities of octoploidy and the expectation of an elevated marker failure rate, the Affymetrix® Axiom® platform was chosen as it could accommodate in excess of 90K markers⁴⁷. SNPs were chosen for the 90K array where one of the two marker alleles was predicted to be present in and segregate from only one sub-genome (the marker sub-genome) as the marker allele was predicted to be either homozygous or absent in the three other subgenomes. The 90K Axiom® strawberry SNP array was the first genotyping array commercially available for an octoploid organism⁴⁷ and the linkage positions for many of the SNPs were subsequently determined using various Fragaria species and populations 53-55. The publicly available genotype data from RosBREED for apple, peach, cherry, and strawberry can be accessed on GDR via the SNP genotype search page. In addition, the SNP array data sets can be downloaded in the SNP array section of the genus/species pages and are displayed as tracks in JBrowse⁵⁶. The SNPs are routinely aligned to the new genome assemblies when they become available.

The initial arrays developed were extensively used by RosBREED participants and the worldwide research community for trait locus identification and validation. Their utility, along with continued advances in genomics information and technologies, led to various groups designing and commercializing the next generation arrays with increased numbers of markers for all four crops: a 20K Infinium® and a 489K Axiom® array for apple^{57,58}, a + 9K SNP "add on" to the 9K peach array⁵⁹, a + 9K "add on" to the 6K cherry array⁶⁰, and a 50K strawberry array based on the octoploid sequence assembly⁶¹. Axiom® arrays for pear (70K array⁶² and 200K array⁶³) and rose (68K array⁶⁴) developed by other research groups enabled genetic discovery and validation of disease resistance loci.

Alternative genome-scanning approaches for genotyping a lower density of genome-wide SNPs at a reduced sample price were adopted from the previously designed platforms to support breeder's use of genome-wide selection for seedling selection. For strawberry, a more cost-efficient 35K array that contained SNPs from the 90K Axiom[®] SNP array informative across multiple breeding programs was developed for use in genome-wide selection by the Univ. of Florida strawberry demonstration breeding program⁶⁵. For peach, a SeqSNP (LGC Genomics) targeted genotyping-by-sequencing strategy with a lower density and tailored set of SNPs was developed. This peach SegSNP assay consisted of 3,000 informative SNPs from the 9 + 9K peach SNP array, including previously identified SNPs associated with traits of interest (K. Gasic, pers. comm.). As in strawberry, the SeqSNP assay is now in use for peach genome-wide selection.

Pedigree-based analysis (PBA) for trait locus discovery, characterization, and validation

A unique and powerful aspect of the RosBREED approach was the use and advancement of statistical software for QTL discovery, characterization, and validation as well as estimation of QTL performance and breeding values. FlexQTL[™] software (www.flexqtl.nl)⁶⁶, developed by researchers at Wageningen University and Research, the Netherlands, based on an idea of Uimari & Sillanpää⁶⁷, follows a Bayesian approach and can simultaneously analyze data from various-sized pedigreed populations comprising multiple generations connected by common ancestry—a situation typical of heterozygous rosaceous breeding populations. Simultaneous QTL discovery and validation in multiple genetic backgrounds in available fruiting populations was thereby enabled, leading to discovery and characterization of functional alleles in the breeding-relevant germplasm that for RosBREED was represented by the crop reference sets and breeding pedigree sets. Published MTLs and QTLs, including those from RosBREED, are integrated into a search page on the GDR that links them to many other GDR resources including trait descriptions, screening methods, map positions, and associated markers.

The core of a pedigree-based analysis is provided by FlexQTLTM, which is used for the discovery and characterization of QTLs, curation and characterization of input data, phasing of markers, and estimation of Identity-by-Descent probabilities^{66,68–70}. A suite of additional software has been developed to further facilitate, deepen,

and widen the genetic analyses and interpretations. To prepare the high-resolution genotypic input data, several tools are utilized⁷¹. "ASSIsT"⁷² supports the calling and filtering of SNP markers from the Illumina Infinium platform and "FlexQTLDataPrepper" facilitates creation of the actual marker data input file⁷¹. Next, software was designed to ease interpretation of large SNP marker data sets and reduce computation time by aggregating genetic information from large sets of bi-allelic SNP markers into a condensed data set of multi-allelic haploblock markers. "VisualFlexQTL" facilitates sizing of haploblocks⁷¹, while "PediHaplotyper"⁷³ assigns their haplotypes. Visualization of pedigree data is facilitated by "Pedimap"⁷⁴. While this suite of software was developed primarily for QTL analyses, FlexQTLTM's phasing functionality on breeding germplasm and PediHaplotyper's ability to condense marker information supported studies on unraveling additional genetic relationships among cultivars. FlexOTLTM and all other published PBA software is publicly available. To visualize genome-wide genetic information of ancestry, relatedness, and trait locus alleles⁷⁵, software is under development (L. Bianco & C. Peace, pers. comm.). A workflow for extensive SNP data curation (including verifying genotype calls, inheritance, and marker order on genetic maps) was developed⁷¹. Publicly available genotypic and haplotypic data from RosBREED was archived⁷¹ and can also be accessed in the GDR via the haplotype block search page where the SNP markers within the haploblocks and their genomic and genetic positions are hyperlinked to JBrowse⁵⁶ and MapViewer⁷⁶.

Strategies for polyploid crops

Alternative analytical software was required for the polyploid crops of blackberry, rose, and sour cherry. As strawberry is an allo-octoploid, disomic inheritance of the sub-genome specific markers enabled strawberry researchers to use software for diploids such as FlexQTL[™] and accompanying software for QTL analysis. In contrast, sour cherry and tetraploid rose can exhibit both disomic and tetrasomic inheritance, while blackberry only exhibits polysomic inheritance. Therefore, different analytical software was used to account for their higher allelic dosages and random meiotic pairing^{77,78}. New software applications were used to assign dosage to offspring⁷⁹, including the R packages "fitTetra" and "fitpoly", with the latter capable of assigning dosage to any ploidy level and "fitTetra" suitable for tetraploids only^{77,80,81}. Unlike other programs, both "fitTetra" and "fitpoly" were designed to account for abnormal segregation ratios and therefore were effective for rose and sour cherry. The R package "polymapR"77,79 was used for rose to create maps for tetraploid \times tetraploid families with random meiotic pairing, and accommodated preferential chromosome pairing during meiosis^{82,83}.

Jewels in the genome

The most sought-after pieces of genetic information by RosBREED were loci with large effects on high-priority traits that segregated in breeding germplasm. Such "jewels in the genome" were often those discovered by project researchers via PBA in U.S. breeding germplasm that had been genome-scanned with SNP arrays and undergone standardized phenotyping. MTLs and QTLs reported by Rosaceae scientists around the world and validated in U.S. breeding germplasm were also valuable targets when their alleles were expected or shown to segregate in local families. The DNA information for the alleles of these trait loci was then used to develop DNA tests⁸⁴ or refine existing DNA tests for diagnostic use on U.S. breeding germplasm (Table 2).

Apple jewels in the genome

RosBREED researchers took advantage of considerable prior progress in the identification of large-effect trait loci associated with valuable traits in apple. Such traits with available DNA tests included fruit firmness, texture, and crispness (MdACS1^{85,86}, MdACO1^{85,86}, MdPG1⁸⁷), fruit skin and flesh color [Rf (C. Peace, pers. comm.); MYB110⁸⁸], acidity (Ma⁸⁹), "White Angel"-derived powdery mildew tolerance⁹⁰, M. floribunda-derived scab resistance $(Rvi6 = Vf)^{91}$, and the previously characterized scab resistance loci of $Vh2^{92}$, $Vh4^{92}$, and $Vh8^{93}$. These loci were validated within the apple crop reference and breeding pedigree sets. Verma et al.⁹⁴ further elucidated the genetic control of fruit acidity in the germplasm of a U.S. breeding program by characterizing functional alleles for two QTLs, Ma and Ma3, and developing an additive allele dosage model for these two loci.

Due to the importance of "Honeycrisp" as a parent in all demonstration apple breeding programs, identifying and characterizing loci for important traits heterozygous in this cultivar was a high priority. Newly identified alleles inherited from "Honeycrisp" influencing soft scald and soggy breakdown⁹⁵, scab tolerance⁹⁶, and zonal leaf chlorosis⁹⁷ were identified. In RosBREED 2, additional loci for resistance to two important diseases were identified. A large-effect QTL for resistance to post-harvest blue mold infection of fruit, caused by *Penicillium expansum*, was discovered in a family descended from a *M. sieversii*⁹⁸ accession, and a fire blight resistance QTL was discovered segregating from "Splendour"⁹⁹. A compilation of SNPs associated with apple MTLs and QTLs was made and individual SNP assays were developed and tested⁸⁹.

Fruit quality	C108586, DNIA toot89
	C15185.86. DNIA toot89
Apple Fruit texture (ethylene) Validated, MdACS1 (Lo	GTS) (C) CINA LEST
Fruit texture (ethylene) Validated, MdACO1 (L	G10) ^{85,86} ; DNA test ⁸⁹
Fruit texture (firmness) Validated, <i>MdPG1</i> (LG	10) ⁸⁷ ; DNA test ⁸⁹
Fruit texture (firmness) Validated, <i>MdExp7</i> (LC	G1) ¹⁹⁶ ; DNA test ¹⁹⁶
Fruit texture (crispness) Validated, Ma ¹⁹⁷ ; DNA	A test ⁸⁹
Fruit acidity Validated, <i>Ma</i> + <i>Ma3</i>	(LG16 + LG8) ⁹⁴ ; DNA test ⁸⁹
Fruit sweetness Validated, <i>Md-LG1Fru</i>	(LG1) ¹⁹⁸ ; DNA test ⁸⁹
Bitter pit susceptibility Validated, <i>Bp1</i> (LG16)	¹⁹⁹ ; DNA test ⁸⁹
Fruit skin blush Validated, <i>Rf</i> (LG9) ²⁰⁰ ;	DNA test ⁸⁹
Fruit flesh color Validated, <i>MYB110</i> (LC	G17) ^{88,201,202} ; DNA test ⁸⁸
Soft scald Discovered, "Honeycr	isp" source (LG2 & 12) ⁹⁵
Peach Fruit skin blush Validated, R_f (LG3) ²⁰³ ;	DNA test ²⁰³
Fruit acidity/flavor Validated, D (LG5) ^{103,2}	²⁰⁴ and flavor (LG7) ²⁰⁵
Peach vs. nectarine Validated, G (LG5) ¹⁰² ;	DNA test ¹⁰²
Yellow vs. white flesh Validated, Y (LG1) ¹⁰¹	
Fruit texture types Validated, F-M and "S	MF" (LG4) ^{100,206,207} ; DNA test (K. Gasic, pers. comm.)
Fruit sweetness Validated, (LG4) ^{104,208}	
Fruit size Validated, (LG4) ¹⁰⁵ , (L	G6) ¹⁰⁶
Strawberry Fruity aroma Validated, FaFAD1 (LC	53B) ¹¹⁷ ; DNA test ¹²⁵
Fruit sherry aroma Validated, FaOMT ¹¹⁹ ;	DNA test ¹¹⁹
Fruit sweetness Discovered, QTL ¹¹⁶	
Fruit pH Discovered, QTL ¹¹⁶	
Fruit acidity Discovered, QTL ¹¹⁶	
Sweet cherry Fruit size Validated, FW_G2 (LG	2) ¹⁶⁶ ; DNA test ¹³⁶
Fruit color type Validated, R_f (LG3) ¹³⁴ ;	DNA test ¹⁶⁷
Fruit firmness Discovered, <i>qP-FF4.1</i>	(LG4) ¹³⁸
Fruit sweetness Discovered, (LG2) (C.	Peace, pers. comm.)
Sour cherry Fruit flesh color Validated, MYB10 (LG.	3) ¹³⁵ ; DNA test ¹³⁵
Blackberry Fruit sweetness Discovered, qSSC-Ruh	<i>-ch1.1</i> ¹⁵² ; DNA test ¹⁵²
Disease resistances and physiological disorders	
Apple Blue mold Discovered, <i>M. sievers</i>	ii PI source (LG3) ⁹⁸ ; DNA test ¹⁵⁷
Powdery mildew—foliar Validated, "White Ang	gel" source (LG8) ⁹⁰ ; DNA test ²⁰⁹
Scab Validated, M. floribund	da source (LG1) ⁹¹ ; DNA tests ⁸⁹
Scab Discovered, "Honeycr	isp" & "Wildung" sources (LG1, LG15) ²¹⁰
Scab Validated, R12704-7A	source, <i>Rvi2</i> (= <i>Vh2</i>) ²¹¹ ; <i>Rvi4</i> (= <i>Vh4</i>) ²¹¹ ; DNA tests ^{89,92}
Scab Discovered, M. sievers	iii source, Rvi8 (=Vh8) ⁹³ ; DNA test ⁹³
Fire blight Validated, "Cox's Orar	nge Pippin" source (LG7) ²¹²

Table 2 Loci with desirable alleles discovered and/or validated and DNA tests developed through RosBREED efforts,including international collaborations.

Crop	Trait	Loci with desirable alleles discovered and/or validated; DNA test developed
	Fire blight	Validated, "Splendour" (LG5) source ⁹⁹
	Fire blight	Discovered and/or validated, various sources (LG6, 7, 15) (S. Kostick, pers. comm.)
	Zonal leaf chlorosis	Discovered, "Honeycrisp" source (LG9) ⁹⁷
Peach	Bacterial spot—fruit	Discovered, "Clayton" source (LG1 & 6) ^{112,213} ; validated, DNA test ¹⁶⁴
Strawberry	Fruit & crown rot	Validated, <i>FaRCa1</i> (LB6B) ¹²¹ ; DNA test ²¹⁴
	Crown rot	Discovered, <i>FaRCg1</i> (LG6B) ¹²² ; DNA test ¹²²
	Root & crown rot	Validated, <i>FaRPc2</i> (LG7D) ¹²³ ; DNA test ¹²⁶
	Red stele	Validated <i>Rpf1</i> ²¹⁵ ; DNA test ²¹⁶
	Angular leaf spot	Validated, <i>FaRXf1</i> (LG6D) ¹²⁴ ; DNA tests ^{124,127}
Sweet cherry	Powdery mildew—fruit & foliar	Discovered (fruit), validated (leaf), <i>Pmr1</i> (LG5) (C. Peace, pers. comm.); DNA test (C. Peace, pers. comm.)
Sour cherry	Cherry leaf spot	Discovered, (LG4) ¹³⁹ ; DNA test ¹³⁹
Pear	Fire blight	Validated, "Moonglow" (LG2) source ¹⁴⁷
	Fire blight	Discovered, "Old Home" source (LG2) ¹⁴⁷
	Fire blight	Discovered, (LG2) ¹⁴⁷
Rose	Black spot	Discovered, <i>Rdr4</i> (LG5-1) ⁸²
	Black spot	Mapped, <i>Rdr3</i> (LG6-2) ⁸³ ; DNA test ⁸³
	Black spot	Discovered, partial resistance (LG3) ¹⁴⁵
Phenology and p	productivity	
Apple	Cross-compatibility	DNA test, S (LG17) ²¹⁷
Peach	Bloom timing	Validated, (LG1, LG4, LG7) ^{104,218,219}
	Maturity timing	Validated, <i>G4Mat</i> (LG4) ^{104,108} ; DNA test developed (K. Gasic, pers. comm.)
Strawberry	Perpetual flowering	Validated, <i>FaPFRU</i> (LG4A) ¹¹⁵ ; sub-genome localization ¹¹⁶ ; DNA test validation ¹²⁸
Sweet cherry	Self-compatibility	DNA test, S (LG6, S4, allele) ¹³²
	Cross-compatibility	DNA test, S (LG6) ¹³⁰
	Bloom timing	Discovered, (LG1) ¹⁴⁰
Sour cherry	Bloom timing	Validated, (LG4) ⁷⁸

Traits types are fruit quality, disease resistances, and physiological disorders, phenology, and productivity.

Peach jewels in the genome

In peach, several fruit appearance and eating quality traits controlled by MTLs had DNA tests developed outside of RosBREED, especially by researchers in Fruit-Breedomics. Such MTLs included those for freestone vs. clingstone and fruit flesh softening $(F-M^{100}$ and "*SMF*" loci), yellow vs. white fruit flesh color $(Y \text{ locus})^{101}$, nectarine (glabrous fruit skin) vs. peach (pubescent skin) fruit type $(G \text{ locus})^{102}$, and fruit acidity $(D \text{ locus})^{103}$ (Table 2). QTLs detected for bloom and maturity timing and fruit size^{104–107} were validated. Haplotype analyses in the QTL regions for sweetness and skin blush identified diagnostic SNP haplotypes and specific SNPs associated with desirable QTL alleles¹⁰⁸. In addition, GWAS analysis revealed stable SNP associations over two evaluation years for four

loci: *F-M*, *G*, *D*, and redness around the pit (*Cs*) (C. da Silva Linge, pers. comm.).

In RosBREED 2, with 426 additional peach individuals genome-scanned with the newly developed peach 9 + 9K SNP peach array, there were sufficient markers to perform GWAS using GModel and FarmCPU (Fixed and random model Circulating Probability Unification)^{109,110}. An SNP marker associated with the post-harvest traits of mealiness, browning, bleeding, expressible juice, and texture was detected (linked to a QTL cluster associated also with bloom and maturity timing, stone-flesh adhesion, and fruit softening), while an SNP on another chromosome was associated with all traits except bleeding¹¹¹. These two loci became targets for DNA test development.

For fruit disease resistance, two loci were identified in offspring of "Clayton" associated with fruit tolerance to bacterial spot, caused by Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni, one of the most important peach diseases in humid production regions¹¹². SNPs associated with bacterial spot foliar resistance were identified on all chromosomes using various approaches (biparental families¹¹² and GWAS¹¹³; K. Gasic, pers. comm.). For fruit brown rot tolerance, 26 cultivars and families derived from nine crosses with "Bolinha" as the resistance source were evaluated in two seasons for disease severity index in wounded and nonwounded fruit. SNP markers significantly associated with relatively small components of the total phenotypic variance of brown rot response were identified; genome-wide selection might be a more effective breeding strategy for improving this trait¹¹⁴.

Strawberry jewels in the genome

A large-effect OTL for perpetual flowering, previously reported¹¹⁵, was validated in RosBREED germplasm¹¹⁶, along with two other previously identified loci associated with fruity aroma^{117,118} and sherry aroma¹¹⁹. Due to the short seed-to-seed cycle in strawberry, mapping populations could be rapidly created upon the discovery of interesting phenotypes. For example, resistance evaluation for charcoal rot, caused by Macrophomina phaseolina, led to the creation of pedigree-connected populations and the discovery of new MTLs for resistance within a two-year period¹²⁰. Disease resistance QTLs discovered and validated included Ca1 for fruit and crown rot caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum*¹²¹, *Cg1* for crown rot caused by Colletotrichum gloeosporioides¹²², Pc2 for root and crown rot caused by *Phytophthora cactorum*¹²³, and *Xf1* for bacterial angular leaf spot caused by Xanthomonas fragariae¹²⁴. QTLs were also identified for horticultural quality traits such as fruit sweetness and acidity¹¹⁶.

In addition to the identification of QTLs, new DNA tests were developed and existing DNA tests were validated for strawberry in diverse collections of germplasm^{124–128}. As a resource for strawberry breeding programs interested in conducting MAS, details on strawberry DNA tests developed by RosBREED and other strawberry research programs were distilled into a compendium¹²⁹. This Strawberry DNA Test Handbook exists as a downloadable document on the GDR and is updated as new or improved tests are reported^{12,129}.

Cherry jewels in the genome

The use of DNA markers to determine selfincompatibility genotypes in sweet and sour cherry was one of the first widely adopted uses of DNA information in rosaceous crops. In addition to genotyping for *S* alleles in general^{130,131}, breeders specifically sought to develop self-compatible cultivars. DNA diagnostic tests were available for detecting the "Stella"-derived S_4 ' allele for self-compatibility in sweet cherry¹³², the "Cristobalina"-derived self-compatibility modifier allele¹³³ in sweet cherry, and the series of self- compatibility alleles in sour cherry¹³¹.

As with apple and peach, several large-effect loci previously reported for important sweet cherry traits were fast-tracked for DNA test development. These MTLs and QTLs included MYB10, associated with fruit color in sweet¹³⁴ and sour cherry¹³⁵ and orthologous to the major anthocyanin MYB10 locus of apple and peach. A QTL was reported for fruit size^{136,137} and another QTL was detected for fruit firmness¹³⁸; the "small" and "soft" alleles, respectively, were derived from wild germplasm. In Ros-BREED 2, an MTL reported previously for controlling foliar powdery mildew resistance was determined to also condition fruit resistance to the disease, and several supposedly diverse resistance sources were discovered to carry the same allele (C. Peace, pers. comm.). In sour cherry, an MTL for cherry leaf spot resistance was identified, with the desirable allele derived from *P*. canescens¹³⁹.

QTLs were identified for bloom and maturity timing for sweet and sour cherry in the same genomic region as for peach; in sour cherry, the late-flowering alleles appear to be from the *P. fruticosa* sub-genome⁷⁸. The very early bloom timing in the sweet cherry landrace "Cristobalina" associated with a very low chilling requirement was shown to be due to homozygosity for a large-effect QTL on a different chromosome that co-located with dormancy genes previously identified in peach¹⁴⁰.

Rose jewels in the genome

A singular goal was pursued for rose in RosBREED 2: Enable DNA-informed breeding for black spot, caused by *Diplocarpon rosae*, one of the most devastating foliar diseases of outdoor-grown roses¹⁴¹. This task was especially challenging due to multiple physiologic races of the fungus^{142,143}. By 2014, three resistance loci had been identified (*Rdr1*, *Rdr2*, and *Rdr3*)^{142,143} and a DNA test was available for *Rdr3* that mapped approximately 9.3 cM away from the locus¹⁴⁴. As such, there was a fair chance with each generation for the diagnostic marker allele to lose coupling phase linkage with the *Rdr3* resistance allele, reducing confidence in the DNA test.

Further efforts focused on important resistance sources used by each rose demonstration breeding program. The Univ. of Minnesota breeding team sought to map *Rdr3* in the tetraploid rose "George Vancouver" and identify qualitative resistance from the tetraploid rose cultivar Brite EyesTM. Using a "George Vancouver" biparental population, *Rdr3* was mapped and three new DNA tests were created that co-segregated completely with *Rdr3* and were easier to interpret than the previous test⁸³. The

broad resistance from Brite EyesTM (resistance to all but one of the 13 *D. rosae* races) made it an attractive source for breeding⁸², and mapping revealed a novel locus, *Rdr4*⁸². The Texas A&M Univ. breeding team identified and characterized a QTL conferring partial resistance in diploid roses based on a PBA study with related families derived from resistant breeding selections¹⁴⁵.

Pear jewels in the genome

The RosBREED goal for pear was to identify alleles conferring resistance to fire blight, caused by the bacterial pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*, in USDA-ARS pear scion breeding program germplasm¹⁴⁶. Using three biparental breeding populations, a QTL was identified in a similar genomic location for each family¹⁴⁷. This trait locus had been reported in previous studies^{148–150} and its validation in RosBREED germplasm confirmed its utility as the most informative locus with the most effective resistance allele (s) available. Candidate resistance genes were identified using the recently available chromosome-scale pear genome assembled using a map generated from one of the RosBREED 2 mapping families¹⁵¹.

Blackberry jewels in the genome

Despite the resource and technological lag behind other rosaceous crops, RosBREED contributed to blackberry genetics by utilizing the HybSeq approach to identify alleles associated with fruit sweetness (soluble solids content) and develop DNA tests for this trait¹⁵². Breederfriendly haplotype-specific KASP assays were developed and validated in four environments. A total of 48 markers were significantly associated with sweetness in at least one environment and 20 were stable in at least two environments. One sweetness-associated marker-haplotype was identified that accounted for an approximate 1.5° Brix increase in three environments. These sweetness markers identified by RosBREED 2 represent the first fruit quality marker-trait associations identified in blackberry.

Commercial service providers for DNA testing

Access to DNA-based diagnostics services was a key challenge to widespread breeder adoption of DNA-informed breeding. This challenge was identified in a review of the first set of reported successes in Rosaceae¹⁵ and via Rosaceae breeder community surveys conducted by an external evaluator of RosBREED 1. Therefore, in the last three years of RosBREED 2, genotyping transitioned to commercial service providers. As part of the transition process, RosBREED researchers resolved logistical issues related to growing and sampling plants, organizing and transporting samples to processing labs, and identifying reliable and cost-efficient vendors and genetic marker platforms. To integrate into the key milestones and ongoing breeding activities of each program, a generic

scheme was developed to streamline and coordinate the timing of critical steps: tissue sampling (by the breeder or service provider), DNA extraction (service provider), genotyping (service provider), results interpretation (service provider and/or breeder), and selection action (breeder). It was clearly shown that each test must be technically reliable in the vendor's lab and is best confirmed to the satisfaction of the breeder client by using a set of control samples weeks or months ahead of time. Occasionally, previously reliable DNA tests required recalibration, e.g., following the incorporation of new germplasm into a breeding program.

Pre-breeding: introgressing, pyramiding, and combining valuable alleles

RosBREED 2 included a pre-breeding objective to provide tools and new germplasm to breeders for efficiently accessing disease resistance alleles in exotic germplasm. The major steps were to identify disease-resistant loci, design DNA tests for resistance alleles, and introduce these alleles into sets of "intermediate" materials, called "multiple resistance allele donors". To access alleles for resistance from multiple sources, many crosses and generations would be needed: two sources requiring one generation, three to four sources requiring two generations, and so on. The strategy of creating a "multiple resistance allele donor" was to enable breeders to make just one cross to provide these multiple resistances to the next, new-cultivar-containing generation, thereby markedly increasing breeding efficiency. Creating the "multiple resistance allele donors" was possible due to use of DNA tests to track the inheritance of target alleles for selection in large numbers of plants in each generation. "Pyramiding" was done to incorporate multiple resistance alleles for the same disease into single individuals to enhance resistance durability and "combining" was done to incorporate multiple resistance alleles for multiple diseases into single individuals.

The short seed-to-seed cycle in strawberry and the availability of DNA tests enabled rapid identification, pyramiding, and combining of target alleles for horticultural quality and disease resistance. For example, the Univ. of Florida strawberry demonstration breeding program made crosses over three consecutive generations to combine resistances to *Xanthomonas*, *Phytophthora*, and *Colletotrichum* and with the use of DNA tests was able to identify offspring with the desired multiple resistance alleles (V. Whitaker, pers. comm.).

In apple, the USDA-ARS apple demonstration prebreeding program created "multiple resistance allele donors" for scab and fire blight by utilizing a quick-toflower rapid-cycling transgenic line to significantly reduce the generation time^{153–155}. This transgenic line expresses the FRUITFUL homolog (*BpMADS4*) from silver birch

(Betula pendula) that reduces the juvenile phase of apple from 2-5 years to just 3-7 months¹⁵³. Because the BpMADS4 transgene behaves genetically as a single dominant heterozygous allele, in subsequent crosses it segregates 1:1 such that half of the resulting population inherit the BpMADS4 transgene for reduced juvenility, and the other half are non-transgenic with normal growth and juvenility. In each generation, DNA tests were used to select for the target disease resistance alleles, presence of the BpMADS4 transgene, and segregating fruit quality alleles. The introgression strategy was expanded by utilizing SNP array data to determine the genome-wide numbers and proportions of DNA segments inherited from unimproved ancestors (typically the resistance allele sources in wild relatives) for each offspring carrying the desired alleles¹⁵⁶. For introgression of blue mold resistance from a M. sieversii accession over two generations, DNA testing reduced a family of 129 offspring to 43, then 20K array SNP genome-scanning reduced the pool of candidate parents for the next generation to just three individuals that had low proportions and few segments of M. sieversii genome as well as favorable recombination close to the resistance locus¹⁵⁷. After achieving the desired allele accumulation, non-transgenic individuals no longer carrying the *BpMADS4* transgene but with desired trait locus alleles were selected for use as multiple resistance allele donors. This effort resulted in new breeding parents that contained resistance alleles for scab (at the Rvi6 and RviHC loci) and fire blight (FB5 and FB7) from multiple sources. Pollen of these donors containing the rapid-cycling transgene will be deposited at the USDA-ARS Fort Collins, Colo. Multiple disease resistance donors without the rapid-cycling transgene, and therefore not considered transgenic, are pending approval for deregulation. Upon approval, these non-transgenic individuals will be added to the USDA-ARS Malus genetic resources collection in Geneva, N.Y., providing apple breeders with access to breeding parents they can use to incorporate multiple disease alleles into new cultivars in just one generation.

Parental and seedling selection

RosBREED provided new DNA information and tools to assist breeders in their decisions regarding families expected to contain new cultivars—which parents to choose, what cross combinations to make, and which resulting seedlings to select. Implementation of markerassisted parental selection (MAPS) and MASS (markerassisted seedling selection) with locus-specific DNA tests for high-priority traits (Table 2) is described below for five demonstration programs. Incorporating such DNAinformed breeding technologies requires significant costs for supplies, equipment, and labor. To help identify which DNA tests, among the increasing number available, would be most effective to achieve breeding goals for MASS, strategies were explored in RosBREED via empirical investigation of published successes and modeling of genetic gain efficiency. As part of the socioeconomics effort in RosBREED, a decision-support tool was developed to allow practitioners to estimate costs and benefits in their specific program. The tool was evaluated for MAPS and MASS using data from five project programs. In addition, the effectiveness of genome-wide selection (GWS) was evaluated in three programs (apple, peach, and strawberry).

Decision-support strategies for genetic gain considerations

A review of reported MASS successes in Rosaceae breeding identified that the first effective applications used DNA tests for high-impact traits and targeted trait loci explaining a high proportion of phenotypic variation (i.e., MTLs or at least very large-effect QTLs)¹⁵. Genetic gain modeling was subsequently used to quantify the relative effectiveness of MASS compared to traditional phenotypic selection in alternative scenarios, such as where DNA tests explain a lesser degrees of variation and for traits with lower heritability¹⁵⁸. Results of both analytical derivation and stochastic simulation provided the same outcome: genetic gain tends to be higher for MASS where the proportion of genotypic variance explained by a DNA test is greater than the broad-sense heritability¹⁵⁸.

Decision-support tools for cost considerations

To estimate the cost-effectiveness of DNA-informed breeding in perennial crops with multiple years per generation, RosBREED developed and applied a cost estimation tool to examine impacts from technology changes, in our case DNA-informed breeding, on three breeding programs¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶¹, springboarding from a previous Ros-BREED tool¹⁶². The decision-support tool, available on the GDR, utilized cost information for the entire breeding process from crossing to cultivar release to provide cost estimates of the effects of new management practices, high-throughput phenotyping, additional procedures, or other variations a breeder might consider for implementation in a program. For the apple breeding program studied¹⁵⁹, the use of MASS to eliminate greenhouse seedlings prior to field planting was identified as costeffective when a threshold of at least 25% of the seedlings is discarded. However, for the peach¹⁶⁰ and strawberry¹⁶¹ breeding programs studied, MASS was determined to only be cost-effective at the end of the seedling stage when used to reduce the number of plants advanced to replicated clonal trials. This striking difference was mainly due to the large field cost per seedling in apple compared to peach and strawberry. However, these analyses did not consider goals that can only be accomplished with MASS, such as pyramiding desirable alleles for disease resistance.

Apple—Univ. of Minnesota

During RosBREED, the Univ. of Minnesota Apple Breeding Program (UMABP) adopted both MAPS and MASS, exploiting large-effect trait loci contributing to variation for critical fruit quality and disease traits. The UMABP began using DNA information for MAPS in 2012 after finding it was cost-effective. The costs for DNA testing for specific loci or whole-genome DNA profiling of parents were minimal (~\$50 per parent) relative to the cost of growing inferior offspring to fruiting (more than \$30 per seedling multiplied by hundreds to thousands of seedlings)¹⁵⁹, highlighting the benefits of MAPS. The trait loci routinely targeted for MAPS include those posing phenotypic evaluation bottlenecks and accounting for a large proportion of culling in the seedling stage. These included disease resistance and fruit quality traits related to texture, taste, storage ability, and fruit appearance, which can only be evaluated when a seedling begins fruiting after several years in the orchard. DNA tests used targeted the trait loci of MdACS1, MdACO1, MdPG1, Rf, Ma, Ma3, and Rvi6/Vf (Table 2). The high cost associated with each field-grown apple seedling also resulted in MASS being economically favorable. Therefore, MASS began in the UMABP program in 2014, with one to five loci used for screening each year. From 2017 through 2019, MASS was employed routinely to screen more than 15,600 seedlings, representing 30-70% of all available seedlings and 24–56% of families each year. Culling rates were 35-53% with a cost per culled seedling of \$4.59-\$7.94, depending on culling rates and the number of DNA tests employed¹⁵⁹.

The use of GWS for apple seedlings using data from the UMABP was explored in RosBREED by Blissett¹⁶³, examining predictions for fruit quality traits, including acidity, soluble solids content, and texture determined by instrumental and sensory evaluation. The predictive abilities of the models ranged from 0 to 0.52, depending on the genetics of the trait, the population size, and the degree of relationship of the model training population to the test population. Including as few as five full sibs from the test population in the training population provided the ability to predict the performance of other individuals in this family. In apple seedling families, this result suggests that predictions based on the first individuals to fruit in a family were sufficiently predictive of the performance of the remaining family members. In an apple breeding program, where phenotypic evaluations of seedlings are frequently delayed due to biennial fruiting or juvenility, such indirect predictions could be useful to reduce the cost of maintaining these non-phenotyped plant materials¹⁶³.

Peach—Clemson Univ

The Clemson Univ. Peach Breeding Program (CUPBP) adopted both MAPS and MASS to reduce the proportion

of inferior seedlings in the initial field trials, taking advantage of a few large-effect trait loci. The CUPBP started with two loci for MAPS in 2012 and now uses DNA tests for fruit quality (skin blush, sweetness, fruit size, and *F-M*), productivity (bloom and maturity timing), and disease resistance (XapF) (Table 2). Genotypic data from DNA tests, combined with phenotypic data, is now used routinely to design all parental combinations. MASS began in 2016 and is now used routinely prior to field planting to cull seedlings predicted to be susceptible to fruit bacterial spot. Recently, a KASP-based DNA test for bacterial spot fruit response, PpeXapF¹⁶⁴, was developed that was compatible with a crude DNA extraction method and cost only about \$1.00 per seedling¹²⁵. Once the peach seedlings were field-planted, newly available DNA tests for fruit quality (skin blush, sweetness, and fruit size) and productivity (bloom and maturity timing) were performed to support decisions about which seedlings to retain in the field and to advance to clonal trials.

GWS was explored for brown rot tolerance because that trait was determined to be controlled by numerous loci with a small effect, for which MASS would not be efficient. Therefore, the feasibility of GWS was evaluated using 26 cultivars and advanced selections and 140 off-spring from ten breeding families with the "Bolinha" source of resistance¹¹⁴. Predictive ability, assessed over three years as the correlation between observed and predicted phenotypes, was high, ranging from 0.66 to 0.86. As a result, genomic prediction for brown rot was performed in 2020 for use in parental selection and cross design. Due to the high relatedness among peach germplasm across U.S. public breeding programs, CUPBP germplasm will serve as a reference for brown rot resistance prediction in other programs.

Sweet cherry—Washington State Univ

The Washington State Univ. breeding program implemented MASS from 2010 for two trait loci. The first selection target was self-compatibility, specifically the selfcompatibility allele $S_4^{,132,165}$ (Table 2). The second selection target was a large fruit size based on the fruit size QTL characterized on LG2¹⁶⁵. For this locus, MASS was most effectively used to select against alleles predictive of small fruit size¹³⁶, although certain alleles and allele combinations were reportedly associated with large fruit size¹⁶⁶ and selected for in some families. Other trait loci were also RosBREED targets, and DNA-informed breeding was enabled for the Rf fruit color locus on LG3¹⁶⁷ and the maturity timing locus on LG4¹⁶⁸. The sweet cherry disease resistance focus in RosBREED 2 was powdery mildew, both foliar and fruit. Fortunately, a source of resistance was available and an MTL for both fruit and foliage resistance response was identified on

LG5. As with the other valuable trait loci, a DNA test was developed and used in MASS.

Sour cherry—Michigan State Univ

MAPS and MASS were first undertaken in the Michigan State Univ. sour cherry breeding program in the mid-2000s for self-compatibility after the self-compatibility alleles were characterized and allele-specific DNA tests developed¹³¹ (Table 2). In just a few generations, selection using these tests resulted in markedly reduced frequencies of the undesired self-incompatibility alleles in program germplasm. As a result, routine MAPS was performed to monitor the allele prevalence and identify, and therefore consider not making, crosses that would segregate selfincompatible and self-compatible offspring. During Ros-BREED, the most important use of MASS in sour cherry was for flesh color, addressing the industry's requirement for bright red fruit¹³⁵. Also being explored for MAPS and MASS in sour cherry is the DNA test for maturity timing developed in sweet cherry, as preliminary investigations indicated that this test could distinguish functional alleles for both bloom and maturity timing in sour cherry.

Strawberry—Univ. of Florida

The Univ. of Florida strawberry breeding program (UFSBP) began using MAPS and MASS in 2016, starting with a DNA test for *FAD1* for fruity aroma¹⁶⁹ and followed by a test targeting *PFRU* for perpetual flowering¹²⁸ (Table 2). DNA tests for newly discovered disease resistance loci were then added for MAPS and MASS, including a test for the *Ca1* locus conferring resistance to fruit and crown rot¹²¹, *Cg1* for crown rot resistance¹²², *Pc2* for resistance to root and crown rot^{123,126}, and *Xf1* for resistance to bacterial angular leaf spot¹²⁷.

These locus-specific DNA tests were highly useful for traits with simple genetics; however, for polygenic traits, GWS was determined to be an effective strategy. In RosBREED, strawberry at the UFSBP was chosen as a model crop/program in which to test GWS due to the ability to apply the method rapidly in multiple cycles. The main findings in strawberry were as follows: (1) markers were more effective than pedigrees for predicting performance, even when phenotypic information was present; (2) breeding values for yield and quality traits could be predicted effectively, with the best parents used in crosses one year early in the cycle¹⁷⁰; and (3) GWS could be effective for seedling selection as well, to choose the best performing seedlings within families using only 500–700 markers. The conclusion was that if a strawberry breeding program invests in objective measurements of polygenic traits that have at least moderate heritability, then GWS may be a useful strategy for increasing genetic gains for those traits via parental selection, seedling selection, or both.

The UFSBP now uses a combination of both locusspecific DNA tests and GWS for MASS. In one example, locus-specific DNA tests were used to screen ~8000 seedlings for the presence of resistance alleles for *Ca1* and *Pc2*. As these tests were for two unlinked loci, approximately one-fourth of the seedlings (2000) were advanced, which then were subjected to GWS using 500 SNPs. Of these, ~25%, or 500, of the seedlings predicted to have the best performance for fruit sweetness, foliar powdery mildew resistance, and other polygenic traits were advanced to the initial seedling field trials.

Elite selection advancement

RosBREED 2 conducted pilot studies that directed attention to elite selections in replicated trials-beyond the typical focus of MAS on parents and seedling families. Confidence in the predicted genetic potential of advanced selections is conventionally developed by testing such cultivar candidates as clonal replicates across multiple environments in multi-year trials. Trial environments are planned and assumed to be closely correlated with future commercial production environments. However, such trials are extremely costly, especially in tree crops. Ros-BREED explored the hypothesis that historical phenotypic data from multiple breeding programs are a sample of the experienced environment and their data sets can be connected through genome-wide multivariate prediction models^{171,172}. Such models reduce confounding of prediction of background genetic and QTL variation, thereby improving prediction accuracy in local environments as well as in target untested environments. Large global performance and genotypic data sets were assembled for sweet cherry (762 accessions, 19 locations)¹⁷³, peach (1193 accessions, five locations)¹⁷⁴, apple (3659 accessions, 19 locations), and strawberry (3368 accessions, eight locations)¹⁷⁵. Using data for fruit maturity timing in sweet cherry from one location in the U.S. and three locations in Europe, high prediction accuracy (0.85) and genome-by-environment correlations (0.90)were observed¹⁷⁶. Preliminary analyses in peach using multiyear data from trials at Fresno Calif., College Station, Tex., Clarksville Ark., and Seneca, S.C. demonstrated an increase in prediction accuracy from 0.54, using only local data, to 0.77 when data were combined across locations, and to 0.86 with the inclusion of genotypic categorization by a large-effect QTL (C. Hardner, pers. comm.). In strawberry, opportunities were explored for combining soluble solids content data from temperate and subtemperate populations on a global scale¹⁷⁵. The pilot studies demonstrated that this "genomic prediction" approach enables efficient use of existing and future phenotypic and SNP array data for improved germplasm-environment matching, genomic prediction, and QTL characterization. An online tool within the GDR

is being developed to enable genomic prediction across a global environmental space¹⁷⁷.

Identity and pedigree verification and deduction

RosBREED developed SSR-based fingerprinting tools for blackberry¹⁷⁸, pear¹⁷⁹, and cherry rootstock¹⁸⁰, and shared detailed information about these fingerprinting sets with commercial providers requesting them. Previous fingerprinting assays consisted of multiple PCR reactions and contained di-nucleotide-containing SSRs that are challenging to score due to stuttering, split peaks, and binning errors, leading to genetic profile discrepancies among different laboratories. The newly developed fingerprinting panels for blackberry and pear consists of a single multiplex that amplifies SSR loci with the more reproducible and easier-to-score long core repeats. In addition to confirming parentage of Eastern and Western blackberry breeding populations in RosBREED 2¹⁷⁸, the blackberry SSR fingerprinting panel proved its use to ascertain parentage of clones and new cultivars¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸³, including that of the important heritage cultivar Boysen¹⁸⁴. The newly developed cherry rootstock SSR marker in combination with a previous SSR marker successfully differentiated among commercially available cherry rootstocks¹⁸⁰. As a result, this SSR pair is used widely in the nursery trade in the U.S. to verify cherry rootstock identity. Parentage records were checked at the onset of RosBREED 1 of plant materials used to create the Crop Reference and Breeding Pedigree Sets of peach, apple, and cherry using a few SSR markers. This verification was to help ensure that the individuals are chosen for expensive phenotyping and genome-wide genotyping came from their supposed parents and would indeed help represent alleles of important breeding parents.

Detailed examinations of identity and pedigrees in U.S. rosaceous crop breeding germplasm were powerfully enabled once SNP array data were obtained. QTL analyses via PBA were strongly supported by the detection of clonal replication, mislabeling, and synonyms as well as by parentage verification or deduction for unselected offspring. Furthermore, pedigrees of parents and ancestors were verified or deduced, culminating in no false pedigree information and numerous new pedigree connections (Fig. 2) that expanded information on shared alleles. This research advance increased statistical power in QTL discovery and characterization and helped reveal sources and inheritance paths of valuable alleles. For example, in sweet cherry, maternity, paternity, or both were deduced or corrected for 86 unselected offspring out of 446 in the germplasm set, leaving only six with one unknown parent⁷¹. Parentage discoveries in sweet cherry included paternity of the important breeding parents and key ancestors, "Bing"185, "Van", and "Sweetheart"186, and many cultivars and selections from the historical WSU

breeding program started in the mid-1900s (C. Peace, pers. comm.). Similarly for apple, a multitude of new pedigree connections was made, such as the parentage of the most important U.S. breeding parent, "Honevcrisp"¹⁸⁷. These many pedigree discoveries were incorporated into the published data set descriptions^{71,188} and OTL studies. Origins of alleles influencing soft scald and fruit acidity alleles in apple were traced back to their earliest known ancestral sources^{94,95}. For pre-breeding of apple in Ros-BREED 2, SNP array data-enabled full parentage deduction of the BpMADS4 transgenic line and identified the specific ancestral genetic background of the homolog into which the transgene had originally been inserted¹⁵⁵. The standardized genome-wide data on allelic variation at consistent and known locations along each chromosome for thousands of cultivars, selections, and other individuals of interest has set the stage for deductions of distant pedigree relationships and origin-tracing of valuable alleles. Together with the visualization of the genetic features of elite genomes, this DNA information supports the development of new cultivars targeting ideotypes of desired genomic composition^{75,189}.

A foundation for the future

New knowledge gained of the genome compositions of important crop breeding germplasm, DNA tests for key traits, and genomics tools for further development and application to specific problems will have an ongoing impact on the U.S. and world food system. For rosaceous crops, project outcomes will have lasting utility for breeding programs and provide a roadmap to continue to engage the global research community and deliver superior new cultivars that delight consumers, the rosaceous crop supply chain, and producers alike. In this section, we highlight some additional long-term considerations.

The legacy of RosBREED

A shared common vision and mission, from stakeholders to scientists, shaped the RosBREED legacy towards its long-term goal: Improved consumption, satisfaction, and sustainability of U.S. rosaceous fruit, nut, and floral crops driven by a continual supply of new disease-resistant cultivars with superior horticultural quality. From the start, the team established the guiding ethos that meaningful impact would only be achieved if genetic discoveries and DNA information were put into practical use within breeding programs to solve high priority stakeholder challenges. Our industry, scientific, and extension stakeholder advisory panels actively participated in project development and execution (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2) and were funded to participate in annual project meetings. Members of our industry stakeholder panel provided critical input on RosBREED activities, communicated directly with their crop-specific organizations, and served as advocates for the RosBREED project specifically, as well as the SCRI program generally. Similarly, members of the extension and scientific advisory panels provided critical input and a portal for extension and outreach beyond rosaceous crops. Their guidance regularly led to a significant adjustment in project direction and activities. Over the 10 years of both RosBREED projects, our project web site, newsletters, workshops, presentations, and peer-reviewed publications were used to share project outputs nationally and internationally. Internally, outreach efforts identified and attempted to engage every rosaceous crop breeding program in the U.S. Newly developed DNA test protocols were shared with project participants, and in many cases site visits by project personnel to individual breeding programs provided hands-on training. Several spin-off projects were generated and a large cohort of scientists trained in developing and implementing modern DNA techniques. In sum, bridging the chasm between ongoing scientific discoveries and practical application required RosBREED to not only discover and validate relevant QTLs, characterize effects of functional alleles, and develop reliable predictive tests, but also to assist breeding programs in broadly implementing the new knowledge and tools, with specific relevance to their local stakeholder priorities, plant materials, program structure, and technical expertise or service providers.

The specific scientific impact of RosBREED on implementation of new knowledge and tools for DNA-informed breeding across U.S. rosaceous crop programs was systematically assessed and quantified during the course of the two projects in four areas of DNA information use:

- 1. Verifying or understanding germplasm identity or relatedness (including parentage or lineage).
- 2. Parental selection (assembling and understanding a parent pool, identifying key parents, and/or planning crosses).
- 3. Seedling screening (selection, sorting, or culling).
- 4. Upstream research of direct relevance to the program (e.g., QTL analyses using the program's germplasm, validating DNA tests, or adapting fingerprinting panels) to meet specific program needs.

DNA-informed breeding has become conventional for Rosaceae¹⁴. By the project's end in 2019, all RosBREED's demonstration breeding programs employed DNA information in one or more of the above four areas. More than 75% of these programs reported they now use one or more of these applications on a routine basis, especially for identity/relatedness and parental selection. Further, of 72 additional U.S. rosaceous crop breeding programs, 31% reported ongoing, routine use of DNA information for one or more of these applications (M. Coe, pers. comm.). Together, the RosBREED demonstration and these 72 programs accounted for 92 of the 100 known rosaceous

crop breeding programs in the U.S. In sum, as desired by industry stakeholders and as promised by RosBREED, U.S. rosaceous crop breeding programs are implementing DNA information to improve their efficiency and effectiveness in developing new germplasm and delivering superior new cultivars. Furthermore, the training in RosBREED of graduate students and postdoctoral associates (Supplementary Table S3), as well as various staff and undergraduate students, provided individuals to fill breeding and breeding-support positions, and thus filled a void that was identified when designing the first RosBREED project. For example, six DNA-informed breeding graduates of RosBREED are now U.S. fruit breeders.

Although RosBREED activities were funded over a continuous ten-year period, a significant duration for typical USDA grants, ten years is a short time across rosaceous crops to achieve the output of a new cultivar. Nonetheless, some project participants were able to use RosBREED-derived knowledge and strategies to expedite cultivar development and germplasm enhancement within their programs. In apple, the Univ. of Minnesota breeding program utilized DNA testing to predict storage disorders and provide suggested grower/packer storage regimes prior to the 2014 release of "MN55" (now sold as Rave® or First Kiss®)¹⁹⁰. Similarly, the Univ. of Florida strawberry program applied a DNA test to assure its new release, "Florida Beauty"¹⁹¹, carried resistance to the important root rot disease caused by Phytophthora cactorum. DNA tests of the nectarine cultivar Amoore Sweet¹⁹², released in 2013 by the Univ. of Arkansas, confirmed its measured acidity levels and has guided subsequent crosses in the program. Such products are only an initial indicator of the legacy of RosBREED, FruitBreedomics, and other rosaceous crop research projects integrating genomics, genetics, and breeding.

Use of DNA information by rosaceous crop breeders is expected to increase as technologies become more precise and less expensive to apply, and as exciting new target phenotypes are made possible thanks to new genetic findings such as the "jewels in the genome" described above. The students and postdoctoral scientists trained in both projects, along with the many international collaborators (Supplementary Tables S1-S3), another legacy of the two projects, are well-prepared to exploit these advances and deliver superior new cultivars with desirable traits increasingly valued by producers and consumers. The elusive cultivar with excellent fruit quality combined with resistance to diseases prioritized by stakeholders is now within reach, as breeders have better access to parents with pyramided and combined alleles for disease resistance and the tools to track these as well as superior horticultural quality. The ability to select against a "wild" genetic background invigorates disease resistance breeding and simultaneously empowers breeders to seek valuable attributes in previously unused, unadapted germplasm. These superior new cultivars, bred using DNA information, represent a vital RosBREED legacy.

Future needs

The science of plant breeding will continue to take advantage of advances in -omics biology, including "big data" approaches to phenotypic predictions. Highthroughput genotyping and the availability of high-quality, well-curated databases such as the GDR are advancing rapidly in rosaceous crops, but the progress has been slow in high-throughput phenotyping, assisted by robotics, specialized sensors, and "big data" interpretation. Such slow adoption of technological advances is reminiscent of the prior slow adoption of DNA-informed breeding. While the challenges are many, some are simply associated with a lack of needed research and development. For example, no sensors are available to non-destructively, rapidly, and cost-effectively assess the crispness of apple flesh, the juiciness of a peach, or the aroma of a strawberry, nor to provide a reliable assessment of the maturity of any fruit. Furthermore, rosaceous crop breeding programs generally lack sufficient infrastructure support to develop or invest in technological advances. However, as RosBREED demonstrated, these challenges can be met by a collaborative community of industry stakeholders and researchers, dedication to collective advances, and funding of the magnitude necessary to address the scale of the challenges. The global research community is well-positioned for impactful collective advances, thanks in part to the next generation of scientists trained through RosBREED and the relationships that were built or strengthened through the project. Finally, the partnerships strengthened between rosaceous crop breeders and their stakeholders through the RosBREED platform of DNA-informed breeding well-positions these partners to work together to assure the adoption and use of the next generations of breeding technologies.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture-Specialty Crop Research Initiative Projects, "RosBREED: Enabling marker-assisted breeding in Rosaceae" (2009-51181-05858) and "RosBREED: Combining disease resistance and horticultural quality in new rosaceous cultivars" (2014-51181-22378), rosaceous crop industry groups, and USDA Hatch funds provided to project participants. Three other awards to Co-PI D. Main have also acknowledged: USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Specialty Crop Research Initiative projects 2014-51181-22376 and 2014-51181-22378, USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture National Research Support Project 10, and the NSF Plant Genome Research Program award #444573. The commitment and energy reflected by the entire RosBREED community, including non-U.S. research participants, Advisory Panel members, allied scientists, and industry stakeholders, is gratefully acknowledged. The RosBREED projects also benefited from the exemplary dedication and expertise of the two Project Assistants, Audrey Sebolt and Joan Schneider. Neither of the projects would have run so smoothly without their extraordinary commitment and attention to detail. We also thank Fred Bliss for his reading and helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

Author details

¹Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA. ²Washington State University, Wenatchee, WA 98801, USA. ³University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA. ⁴Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA. ⁵University of Florida, Wimauma, FL 33598, USA. ⁶USDA-ARS, Corvallis, OR 97333, USA. ⁷Washington State University, Puyallup, WA 98371, USA. ⁸Washington State University, Puyallup, WA 98371, USA. ⁸Washington State University, Puglate, USA. ⁹Cedar Lake Research Group, Portland, OR 97215, USA. ¹⁰University Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ¹¹University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA. ¹²Wageningen University and Research, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands. ¹³Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA. ¹⁴University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA.

Author contributions

A.F.I., C.F., C.P., C.Y., D.M., J.L., J.M., K.G., M.C., N.B., S.H., and V.W. contributed to the planned structure. A.F.I., C.P., and J.M. established the final organization and led the editing on all sections of the manuscript. Sections of contributed content were as follows: "RosBREED in a historical context" section (A.F.I., C.P., and J.M.), "Genomics and socio-economics knowledge informs all stages of cultivar development" section (A.F.I., C.D.S.L., C.H., C.P., C.Y., D.M., Ev.d.W., J.L., J.D.Z., K.G., L.M., S.H., V.W., and T.M.D.) and "A foundation for the future" section (A.F.I., C.P., J.M., and M.C.). All authors reviewed the manuscript in the draft and final forms.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41438-020-00398-7).

Received: 28 June 2020 Revised: 16 July 2020 Accepted: 30 August 2020 Published online: 01 November 2020

References

- Illa, E. et al. Comparative analysis of rosaceous genomes and the reconstruction of a putative ancestral genome for the family. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 9 (2011).
- Shulaev, V. et al. Multiple models for Rosaceae genomics. Plant Physiol. 147, 985–1003 (2008).
- Seavert, C. F. Remaining globally competitive in the U.S. tree fruit industry with the National Tree Fruit Technology Roadmap. *Acta Hortic.* 671, 407–411 (2005).
- Potter, D. et al. Phylogeny and classification of Rosaceae. Plant Syst. Evol. 266, 5–43 (2007).
- Velasco, R. et al. The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus × domestica Borkh.). Nat. Genet. 42, 833–839 (2010).
- Wu, J. et al. The genome of the pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.). Genome Res. 23, 396–408 (2013).
- Forsline, P. L., Aldwinckle, H. S., Dickson, E. E., Luby, J. J. & Hokanson, S. C. Collection, maintenance, characterization, and utilization of wild apples of Central. Asia. Hort. Rev. 29, 1–61 (2003).
- Hancock, J. F. et al. From the Andes to the Rockies: native strawberry collection and utilization. *HortScience* 36, 221–225 (2001).
- lezzoni, A. F. Acquiring cherry germplasm from Central and Eastern Europe. *HortScience* 40, 304–308 (2005).
- Verde, I. et al. The high-quality draft genome of peach (*Prunus persica*) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 487–494 (2013).
- 11. Shulaev, V. et al. The genome of woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*). *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 109–116 (2011).
- Jung, S. et al. 15 years of GDR: new data and functionality in the genome database for Rosaceae. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D1137–D1145 (2019).
- Folta, K. M. & Gardiner, S. E. (eds). Genetics and Genomics of Rosaceae. Plant Genetics and Genomics: Crops and Models Vol. 6 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009).
- 14. Peace, C. P. DNA-informed breeding of rosaceous crops: promises, progress and prospects. *Hortic. Res.* **4**, 17006 (2017).

- Ru, S., Main, D., Evans, K. & Peace, C. Current applications, challenges, and perspectives of marker-assisted seedling selection in Rosaceae tree fruit breeding. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **11**, 8 (2015).
- lezzoni, A. et al. RosBREED: enabling marker-assisted breeding in Rosaceae. Acta Hortic. 859, 389–394 (2010).
- lezzoni, A. et al. RosBREED 2: progress and future plans to enable DNAinformed breeding in the Rosaceae. Acta Hortic. 1172, 115–118 (2017).
- 18. Laurens, F. et al. An integrated approach for increasing breeding efficiency in apple and peach in Europe. *Hortic. Res.* **5**, 11 (2018).
- Gallardo, R. K. et al. Market intermediaries' ratings of importance for rosaceous fruits' quality attributes. *Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev.* 18, 121–153 (2015).
- Gallardo, R. K. et al. Market intermediaries' willingness to pay for apple, peach, cherry, and strawberry quality attributes. *Agribus. Int. J.* 31, 259–280 (2015).
- 21. Yue, C et al. U.S. growers' willingness to pay for improvement in rosaceous fruit traits. *Agric. Resour. Econ. Rev.* **46**, 103–122 (2017).
- 22. Yue, C. et al. An investigation of U.S. apple producers' trait prioritization evidence from audience surveys. *HortScience* **48**, 1378–1384 (2013).
- 23. Choi, J. W. et al. Estimation of market equilibrium values for apple attributes. *China Agric. Econ. Rev.* **10**, 135–151 (2018).
- Zhao, S. et al. U.S. peach producer preference and willingness to pay for fruit attributes. *HortScience* 52, 116–121 (2017).
- Zhou, R. et al. Using market segmentation analysis to identify consumer preferences for fresh peach attributes. *HortScience* 53, 1664–1668 (2018).
- Yue, C. et al. An evaluation of U.S. tart and sweet cherry producers trait prioritization: evidence from audience surveys. *HortScience* 49, 931–937 (2014).
- Yue, C. et al. An evaluation of U.S. strawberry producers trait prioritization: evidence from audience surveys. *HortScience* 49, 188–193 (2014).
- Yue, C. et al. Technical and socioeconomic challenges to setting and implementing priorities in North American rosaceous fruit breeding programs. *HortScience* 47, 1320–1327 (2012).
- Gallardo, R. K et al. An investigation of trait prioritization in rosaceous fruit breeding programs. *HortScience* 47, 771–776 (2012).
- Li, Z. et al. Grower willingness to pay for fruit quality versus plant disease resistance and welfare implications: the case of Florida strawberry. J. Agr. Resour. Econ. 45, 199–218 (2020).
- Peace, C. P., Luby, J. J., van de Weg, W. E., Bink, M. C. A. M. & lezzoni, A. F. A strategy for developing representative germplasm sets for systematic QTL validation, demonstrated for apple, peach, and sweet cherry. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 10, 1679–1694 (2014).
- Mathey, M. M. et al. Large-scale standardized phenotyping of strawberry in RosBREED. J. Am. Pom. Soc. 67, 205–217 (2013).
- Evans, K. et al. Large-scale standardized phenotyping of apple in RosBREED. Acta Hortic. 945, 233–238 (2012).
- Schmitz, C. A. et al. Fruit texture phenotypes of the RosBREED U.S. apple reference germplasm set. *HortScience* 48, 296–303 (2013).
- 35. Frett, T. J. et al. Standardized phenotyping for fruit quality in peach [*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch]. J. Am. Pom. Soc. **66**, 214–219 (2012).
- Chavoshi, M. et al. Phenotyping protocol for sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L) to facilitate an understanding of trait inheritance. J. Am. Pom. Soc. 68, 125–134 (2014).
- Stegmeir, T., Sebolt, A. & lezzoni, A. Phenotyping protocol for sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus* L) to enable a better understanding of trait inheritance. J. Am. Pom. Soc. 68, 40–47 (2014).
- Yin, M. Studies in Blackberry: Development and Implementation of a Phenotyping Protocol for Blackberry Seedling Populations and Impact of Time of Day of Harvest on Red Drupelet Reversion for University of Arkansas Blackberry Genotypes. Master's Thesis, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA. http://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd/2417 (2017).
- Crisosto, C. H., Mitchell, F. G. & Ju, Z. Susceptibility to chilling injury of peach, nectarine, and plum cultivars grown in California. *HortScience* 34, 1116–1118 (1999).
- Martínez-García, P. J. et al. Application of genomic and quantitative genetic tools to identify candidate resistance genes for brown rot resistance in peach. *PLoS ONE* 8, e78634 (2013).
- Fu, W., Burrell, R., da Silva Linge, C., Schnabel, G. & Gasic, K. Breeding for brown rot (*Monilinia* spp.) tolerance in Clemson University peach breeding program. J. Am. Pom. Soc. **72**, 94–100 (2018).
- Randhawa, P. S. & Civerolo, E. L. A detached-leaf bioassay for Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni. Phytopathology 75, 1060–1063 (1985).

- 43. Frett, T. J. Genetic determinism of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni (Xap) Resistance, Fruit Quality, and Phenological Traits in Peach and Incorporation of Marker-assisted Selection (MAS) in the University of Arkansas Peach and Nectarine Breeding Program. PhD Dissertation, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA. https://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd/1448/ (2016).
- Andersen, K. L., Sebolt, A. M., Sundin, G. W. & lezzoni, A. F. Assessment of the inheritance of resistance and tolerance in cherry (*Prunus* sp.) to *Blumeriella jaapii*, the causal agent of cherry leaf spot. *Plant Pathol.* 67, 682–691 (2018).
- Kostick, S. A., Norelli, J. L. & Evans, K. M. Novel metrics to classify fire blight resistance of 94 apple cultivars. *Plant Pathol.* 68, 985–996 (2019).
- Sargent, D. et al. Simple sequence repeat marker development and mapping targeted to previously unmapped regions of the strawberry genome sequence. *Plant Genome* 4, 165–177 (2011).
- Bassil, N. V. et al. Development and preliminary evaluation of a 90K Axiom[®] SNP array for the allo-octoploid cultivated strawberry *Fragaria* × ananassa. BMC Genomics 16, 155 (2015).
- Peace, C. et al. Development and evaluation of a genome-wide 6K SNP array for diploid sweet cherry and tetraploid sour cherry. *PLoS ONE* 7, e48305 (2012).
- Chagné, D. et al. Genome-wide SNP detection, validation, and development of an 8K SNP array for apple. *PLoS ONE* 7, e31745 (2012).
- Verde, I. et al. Development and evaluation of a 9K SNP array for peach by internationally coordinated SNP detection and validation in breeding germplasm. *PLoS ONE* 7, e35668 (2012).
- 51. da Silva Linge, C. et al. High-density multi-population consensus genetic linkage map for peach. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0207724 (2018).
- Klagges, C. et al. Construction and comparative analyses of highly dense linkage maps of two sweet cherry intra-specific progenies of commercial cultivars. *PLoS ONE* 8, e54743 (2013).
- Davis, T. M., Yang, Y., Mahoney, L. L. & Frailey, D. C. A pentaploid-based linkage map of the ancestral octoploid strawberry *Fragaria virginiana* reveals instances of sporadic hyper-recombination. *Hortic. Res.* 7, 77 (2020).
- Mahoney, L. L. et al. A high-density linkage map of the ancestral diploid strawberry, constructed with single nucleotide polymorphism markers from the IStraw90 array and Genotyping by Sequencing. *Plant Genome* 9, 1–16 (2016).
- Sargent, D. J. et al. HaploSNP affinities and linkage map positions illuminate subgenome composition in the octoploid, cultivated strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*). *Plant Sci.* 242, 140–150 (2016).
- Buels, R. et al. JBrowse: a dynamic web platform for genome visualization and analysis. *Genome Biol.* 17, 66 (2016).
- 57. Bianco, L. et al. Development and validation of a 20K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) whole genome genotyping array for apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh). *PLoS ONE* **9**, e110377 (2014).
- Bianco, L. et al. Development and validation of the Axiom® Apple 480K SNP genotyping array. *Plant J.* 86, 62–74 (2016).
- Gasic, K. et al. Development and evaluation of a 9K SNP addition to the peach IPSC 9K SNP array v1. *HortScience* 54, abstr. S188 (2019).
- Vanderzande, S. et al. The cherry 6 + 9K SNP array: a cost-effective improvement to the cherry 6K SNP array for genetic studies. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 7613 (2020).
- Hardigan, M. A. et al. Genome synteny has been conserved among the octoploid progenitors of cultivated strawberry over millions of years of evolution. *Front. Plant Sci.* **10**, 1789 (2020).
- Montanari, S. et al. Development of a highly efficient Axiom[™] 70 K SNP array for *Pyrus* and evaluation for high-density mapping and germplasm characterization. *BMC Genomics* 20, 331 (2019).
- Li, X. et al. Development of an integrated 200K SNP genotyping array and application for genetic mapping, genome assembly improvement and genome wide association studies in pear (*Pyrus*). *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17, 1582–1594 (2019).
- Koning-Boucoiran, C. F. S. et al. Using RNA-Seq to assemble a rose transcriptome with more than 13,000 full-length expressed genes and to develop the WagRhSNP 68k Axiom SNP array for rose (*Rosa L*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 249 (2015).
- Verma, S. et al. Development and evaluation of the Axiom[®] IStraw35 384HT array for the allo-octoploid cultivated strawberry *Fragaria* × ananassa. Acta Hortic. 1156, 75–82 (2017).
- Bink, M. C. A. M., Uimari, P., Sillanpää, J., Janss, G. & Jansen, C. Multiple QTL mapping in related plant populations via a pedigree-analysis approach. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **104**, 751–762 (2002).

- Uimari, P. & Sillanpää, M. J. Bayesian oligogenic analysis of quantitative and qualitative traits in general pedigrees. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 21, 224–242 (2001).
- Bink, M. C. A. M. et al. QTL linkage analysis of connected populations using ancestral marker and pedigree information. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **124**, 1097–1113 (2012).
- Bink, M. C. A. M. et al. Bayesian QTL analyses using pedigreed families of an outcrossing species, with application to fruit firmness in apple. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **127**, 1073–1090 (2014).
- Bink, M. C. A. M. et al. Bayesian analysis of complex traits in pedigreed plant populations. *Euphytica* 161, 85–96 (2008).
- Vanderzande, S. et al. High-quality, genome-wide SNP genotypic data for pedigreed germplasm of the diploid outbreeding species apple, peach, and sweet cherry through a common workflow. *PLoS ONE* 14, e0210928 (2019).
- Di Guardo, M. et al. ASSIsT: an automatic SNP scoring tool for in- and outbreeding species. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3873–3874 (2015).
- Voorrips, R. E., Bink, M. C. A. M., Kruisselbrink, J. W., Koehorst-van Putten, H. J. J. & van de Weg, W. E. PediHaplotyper: software for consistent assignment of marker haplotypes in pedigrees. *Mol. Breed.* **36**, 119 (2016).
- Voorrips, R. E., Bink, M. C. A. M. & van de Weg, W. E. Pedimap: software for the visualization of genetic and phenotypic data in pedigrees. *J. Heredity* **103**, 903–907 (2012).
- Aranzana, M. J. et al. *Prunus* genetics and applications after de novo genome sequencing: achievements and prospects. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 58 (2019).
- Buble, K et al. Tripal MapViewer: A tool for interactive visualization and comparison of genetic maps. *Database* 2019, baz100 (2019).
- 77. Bourke, P. M. et al. Partial preferential chromosome pairing is genotype dependent in tetraploid rose. *Plant J.* **90**, 330–343 (2017).
- Cai, L. et al. Identification of bloom date QTLs and haplotype analysis in tetraploid sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus*). *Tree Genet. Genomes* 14, 22 (2018).
- Bourke, P. M., Voorrips, R. E., Visser, R. G. F. & Maliepaard, C. Tools for genetic studies in experimental populations of polyploids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 513 (2018).
- Voorrips, R. E., Gort, G. & Vosman, B. Genotype calling in tetraploid species from bi-allelic marker data using mixture models. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 172 (2011).
- Zych, K., Gort, G., Maliepaard, C. A., Jansen, R. C. & Voorrips, R. E. FitTetra 2.0 improved genotype calling for tetraploids with multiple population and parental data support. *BMC Bioinformatics* 20, 148 (2019).
- Zurn, J. D. et al. Mapping a novel black spot resistance locus in the climbing rose Brite EyesTM ("RADbrite"). Front. Plant Sci. 9, 1730 (2018).
- Zum, J. D. et al. Mapping the black spot resistance locus *Rdr3* in the shrub rose 'George Vancouver' allows for the development of improved diagnostic markers for DNA-informed breeding. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **133**, 2011–2020 (2020).
- Vanderzande, S. et al. Crossing the finish line: how to develop diagnostic DNA tests as breeding tools after QTL discovery. J. Hortic. 5, 228 (2018).
- Costa, F. et al. Role of the genes *Md-ACO1* and *Md-ACS1* in ethylene production and shelf life of apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh). *Euphytica* 141, 181–190 (2005).
- Zhu, Y. & Barritt, B. H. Md-ACS1 and Md-ACO1 genotyping of apple (Malus × domestica Borkh.) breeding parents and suitability for marker-assisted selection. Tree Genet. Genomes 4, 555–562 (2008).
- Costa, F. et al. QTL dynamics for fruit firmness and softening around an ethylene-dependent polygalacturonase gene in apple (*Malus xx domestica* Borkh.). J. Exp. Bot. 61, 3029–3039 (2010).
- Chagné, D. et al. Mapping a candidate gene (*MdMYB10*) for red flesh and foliage colour in apple. *BMC Genomics* 8, 212 (2007).
- Chagné, D. et al. Validation of SNP markers for fruit quality and disease resistance loci in apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.) using the OpenArray® platform. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 30 (2019).
- Bus, V. G. M. et al. Genome mapping of an apple scab, a powdery mildew and a woolly apple aphid resistance gene from open-pollinated Mildew Immune Selection. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 6, 477–487 (2010).
- Patocchi, A., Gianfranceschi, L. & Gessler, C. Towards the map-based cloning of Vf. fine and physical mapping of the Vf region. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 99, 1012–1017 (1999).
- Patocchi, A., Frei, A., Frey, J. E. & Kellerhals, M. Towards improvement of marker assisted selection of apple scab resistant cultivars: *Venturia inaequalis* virulence surveys and standardization of molecular marker alleles associated with resistance genes. *Mol. Breed.* 24, 337 (2009).
- Bus, V. G. M. et al. The Vh8 locus of a new gene-for-gene interaction between Venturia inaequalis and the wild apple Malus sieversii is closely linked to the Vh2 locus in Malus pumila R12740-7A. N. Phytol. 166, 1035–1049 (2005).

- Verma, S. et al. Two large-effect QTLs, *Ma* and *Ma3*, determine genetic potential for acidity in apple fruit: Breeding insights from a multi-family study. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 15, 18 (2019).
- Howard, N. P. et al. Two QTL characterized for soft scald and soggy breakdown in apple (*Malus × domestica*) through pedigree-based analysis of a large population of interconnected families. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 14, 2 (2018).
- Clark, M. D., Bus, V. G. M., Luby, J. J. & Bradeen, J. M. Characterization of the defence response to *Venturia inaequalis* in 'Honeycrisp' apple, its ancestors, and progeny. *Eur. J. Plant. Pathol.* **140**, 69–81 (2014).
- Howard, N. P., Tillman, J., Vanderzande, S. & Luby, J. J. Genetics of zonal leaf chlorosis and genetic linkage to a major gene regulating skin anthocyanin production (*MdMYB1*) in the apple (*Malus × domestica*) cultivar Honeycrisp. *PLoS ONE* 14, e0210611 (2019).
- Norelli, J. L. et al. Genotyping-by-sequencing markers facilitate the identification of quantitative trait loci controlling resistance to *Penicillium expansum* in *Malus sieversii*. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0172949 (2017).
- Norelli, J. et al. PE0668: Identification of a fire blight resistance locus on LG05 of 'Splendour' apple by bulked segregant SNP (pnome) analysis. In *The Plant* and Animal Genome XXVII Conference, January 12–16 2019, San Diego, CA, USA (2019).
- Peace, C. P., Crisosto, C. H. & Gradziel, T. M. Endopolygalacturonase: a candidate gene for *Freestone* and *Melting flesh* in peach. *Mol. Breed.* 16, 21–31 (2005).
- Adami, M. et al. Identifying a carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase (*ccd4*) gene controlling yellow/white fruit flesh color of peach. *Plant. Mol. Biol. Rep.* 31, 1166–1175 (2013).
- Vendramin, E. et al. A unique mutation in a MYB gene cosegregates with the nectarine phenotype in peach. PLoS ONE 9, e90574 (2014).
- 103. Eduardo, I. et al. Development of diagnostic markers for selection of the subacid trait in peach. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **10**, 1695–1709 (2014).
- Hernández Mora, J. R. et al. Integrated QTL detection for key breeding traits in multiple peach progenies. *BMC Genomics* 18, 404 (2017).
- 105. Fresnedo-Ramírez, J. et al. QTL mapping and breeding value estimation through pedigree-based analysis of fruit size and weight in four diverse peach breeding programs. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **12**, 25 (2016).
- da Silva Linge, C. et al. Genetic dissection of fruit weight and size in an F₂ peach (*Prunus persica* (L) Batsch) progeny. *Mol. Breed.* **35**, 71 (2015).
- Romeu, J. F. et al. Quantitative trait loci affecting reproductive phenology in peach. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 52 (2014).
- Rawandoozi, Z. J. et al. Identification and characterization of QTLs for fruit quality traits in peach through a multi-family approach. *BMC Genomics* 21, 522 (2020).
- Bernardo, R. Genomewide markers for controlling background variation in association mapping. *Plant Genome* 6, 1–9 (2013).
- Liu, X., Huang, M., Fan, B., Buckler, E. S. & Zhang, Z. Iterative usage of fixed and random effect models for powerful and efficient genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* **12**, e1005767 (2016).
- da Silva Linge, C. et al. Genome wide association studies for postharvest traits in peach (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch. *HortScience* 54, abstr. S187 (2019).
- 112. Yang, N., Reighard, G., Ritchie, D., Okie, W. & Gasic, K. Mapping quantitative trait loci associated with resistance to bacterial spot (*Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni) in peach. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **9**, 573–586 (2013).
- Vonkreuzhof, M. W. et al. Genome wide association studies for fruit and leaf resistance to bacterial spot [Xanthomonas arboricola pv Pruni (Xap)] in peach. HortScience 54, abstr. S188 (2019).
- 114. Fu, W. et al. Genome wide association study for brown rot tolerance in peach. *HortScience* **53**, abstr. S74 (2018).
- Gaston, A. et al. *PFRU*, a single dominant locus regulates the balance between sexual and asexual plant reproduction in cultivated strawberry. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 1837–1848 (2013).
- 116. Verma, S. et al. Clarifying sub-genomic positions of QTLs for flowering habit and fruit quality in U.S. strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) breeding populations using pedigree-based QTL analysis. *Hortic. Res.* **4**, 17062 (2017).
- Chambers, A. H. et al. Identification of a strawberry flavor gene candidate using an integrated genetic-genomic-analytical chemistry approach. *BMC Genomics* 15, 217 (2014).
- Sánchez-Sevilla, J. F., Cruz-Rus, E., Valpuesta, V., Botella, M. A. & Amaya, I. Deciphering gamma-decalactone biosynthesis in strawberry fruit using a combination of genetic mapping, RNA-Seq and eQTL analyses. *BMC Genomics* 15, 218 (2014).

- 119. Zorrilla-Fontanesi, Y. et al. Genetic analysis of strawberry fruit aroma and identification of *O-methyltransferase FaOMT* as the locus controlling natural variation in mesifurane content. *Plant Physiol.* **159**, 851–870 (2012).
- Zurn, J. et al. Assessing cultivated strawberries and the *Fragaria* supercore for resistance to soilborne pathogens. J. Am. Pom. Soc. 74, 18–23 (2020).
- Salinas, N., Verma, S., Peres, N. & Whitaker, V. M. *FaRCa1*: a major subgenomespecific locus conferring resistance to *Colletotrichum acutatum* in strawberry. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **132**, 1109–1120 (2019).
- 122. Anciro, A. et al. FaRCg1: a quantitative trait locus conferring resistance to Colletotrichum crown rot caused by Colletotrichum gloeosporioides in octoploid strawberry. Theor. Appl. Genet. **131**, 2167–2177 (2018).
- Mangandi, J. et al. Pedigree-based analysis in a multiparental population of octoploid strawberry reveals QTL alleles conferring resistance to *Phytophthora cactorum. G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* **7**, 1707–1719 (2017).
- Roach, J. A. et al. *FaRXf1*: a locus conferring resistance to angular leaf spot caused by *Xanthornonas fragariae* in octoploid strawberry. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 129, 1191–1201 (2016).
- 125. Noh, Y.-H., Lee, S., Whitaker, V. M., Cearley, K. R. & Cha, J.-S. A high-throughput marker-assisted selection system combining rapid DNA extraction highresolution melting and simple sequence repeat analysis: strawberry as a model for fruit crops. J. Berry Res. 7, 23–31 (2017).
- Noh, Y.-H. et al. High-throughput marker assays for FaRPc2-mediated resistance to Phytophthora crown rot in octoploid strawberry. Mol. Breed. 38, 104 (2018).
- Oh, Y., Chandra, S. & Lee, S. Development of subgenome-specific markers for *FaRXf1* conferring resistance to bacterial angular leaf spot in allo-octoploid strawberry. *Int. J. Fruit Sci.* 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15538362.2019.1709116 (2020).
- Salinas, N. R. et al. Validation of molecular markers associated with perpetual flowering in octoploid *Fragaria* germplasm. *Mol. Breed.* 37, 70 (2017).
- 129. Oh, Y. et al. The strawberry DNA testing handbook. *HortScience* 54, 2267–2270 (2019).
- Sonneveld, T., Tobutt, K. R. & Robbins, T. P. Allele-specific PCR detection of sweet cherry self-incompatibility (S) alleles S1 to S16 using consensus and allele-specific primers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**, 1059–1070 (2003).
- Sebolt, A. M., Iezzoni, A. F. & Tsukamoto, T. S-genotyping of cultivars and breeding selections of sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus* L) in the Michigan State University sour cherry breeding program. *Acta Hortic.* **1161**, 31–40 (2017).
- Haldar, S. et al. Applying genetic markers for self-compatibility in the WSU sweet cherry breeding program. *Acta Hortic.* 859, 375–380 (2010).
- Cachi, A. M. & Wünsch, A. Characterization and mapping of non-S gametophytic self-compatibility in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium L.*). J. Exp. Bot. 62, 1847–1856 (2011).
- Sooriyapathirana, S. S. et al. QTL analysis and candidate gene mapping for skin and flesh color in sweet cherry fruit (*Prunus avium L.*). *Tree Genet. Gen*ornes 6, 821–832 (2010).
- Stegmeir, T., Cai, L., Basundari, F. R. A., Sebolt, A. M. & lezzoni, A. F. A DNA test for fruit flesh color in tetraploid sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus L*). *Mol. Breed.* 35, 149 (2015).
- Zhang, G. et al. Fruit size QTL analysis of an F₁ population derived from a cross between a domesticated sweet cherry cultivar and a wild forest sweet cherry. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 6, 25–36 (2010).
- De Franceschi, P. et al. Cell number regulator genes in Prunus provide candidate genes for the control of fruit size in sweet and sour cherry. *Mol. Breed.* 32, 311–326 (2013).
- Cai, L. et al. A fruit firmness QTL identified on linkage group 4 in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L) is associated with domesticated and bred germplasm. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 5008 (2019).
- Stegmeir, T. et al. Cherry leaf spot resistance in cherry (*Prunus*) is associated with a quantitative trait locus on linkage group 4 inherited from *P. canescens. Mol. Breed.* **34**, 927–935 (2014).
- Calle, A., Cai, L., lezzoni, A. & Wünsch, A. Genetic dissection of bloom time in low chilling sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L) using a multi-family QTL approach. *Front. Plant Sci.* **10**, 1647 (2020).
- Gachomo, E. W., Dehne, H.-W. & Steiner, U. Microscopic evidence for the hemibiotrophic nature of *Diplocarpon rosae*, cause of black spot disease of rose. *Physiol. Mol. Plant. Pathol.* **69**, 86–92 (2006).
- Whitaker, V. M., Debener, T., Roberts, A. V. & Hokanson, S. C. A standard set of host differentials and unified nomenclature for an international collection of *Diplocarpon rosae* races. *Plant Pathol.* **59**, 745–752 (2010).

- Zlesak, D. C., Whitaker, V. M., George, S. & Hokanson, S. C. Evaluation of roses from the Earth-Kind[®] trials: black spot (*Diplocarpon rosae* Wolf) resistance and ploidy. *HortScience* 45, 1779–1787 (2010).
- 144. Whitaker, V. M., Bradeen, J. M., Debener, T., Biber, A. & Hokanson, S. C. *Rdr3*, a novel locus conferring black spot disease resistance in tetraploid rose: genetic analysis, LRR profiling, and SCAR marker development. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **120**, 573–585 (2010).
- Yan, M. et al. Black spot partial resistance in diploid roses: QTL discovery and linkage map creation. Acta Hortic. 1232, 135–142 (2019).
- 146. Bell, R. L. in *The Pear Genome* (ed. Korban, S. S.) 243–264 (Springer International Publishing, 2019).
- Zurn, J. D., Norelli, J. L., Montanari, S., Bell, R. & Bassil, N. V. Dissecting genetic resistance to fire blight in three pear populations. *Phytopathology* **110**, 1305–1311 (2020).
- Dondini, L. et al. Identifying QTLs for fire-blight resistance via a European pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) genetic linkage map. *Mol. Breed.* 14, 407–418 (2005).
- 149. Le Roux, P.-M. F. et al. Redefinition of the map position and validation of a major quantitative trait locus for fire blight resistance of the pear cultivar 'Harrow Sweet' (*Pyrus communis* L). *Plant Breed.* **131**, 656–664 (2012).
- Montanari, S. et al. A QTL detected in an interspecific pear population confers stable fire blight resistance across different environments and genetic backgrounds. *Mol. Breed.* 36, 47 (2016).
- Linsmith, G. et al. Pseudo-chromosome–length genome assembly of a double haploid 'Bartlett' pear (*Pyrus communis* L.). *Gigascience* 8, giz138 (2019).
- Zurn, J. et al. A Rosaceae family-level approach to identify loci influencing soluble solids content in blackberry for DNA-informed breeding. G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet. Early online August 7. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401449 (2020).
- Flachowsky, H. et al. Application of a high-speed breeding technology to apple (*Malus × domestica*) based on transgenic early flowering plants and marker-assisted selection. *N. Phytol.* **192**, 364–377 (2011).
- Le Roux, P.-M., Flachowsky, H., Hanke, M.-V., Gessler, C. & Patocchi, A. Use of a transgenic early flowering approach in apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.) to introgress fire blight resistance from cultivar Evereste. *Mol. Breed.* **30**, 857–874 (2012).
- Luo, F. et al. Elucidating the genetic background of the early-flowering transgenic genetic stock T1190 with a high-density SNP array. *Mol. Breed.* 39, 21 (2019).
- Luo, F., Evans, K., Norelli, J. L., Zhang, Z. & Peace, C. Prospects for achieving durable disease resistance with elite fruit quality in apple breeding. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 16, 21 (2020).
- 157. Luo, F. et al. Introgressing blue mold resistance into elite apple germplasm by rapid cycle breeding and foreground and background DNA-informed selection. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **16**, 28 (2020).
- Ru, S. et al. Modeling of genetic gain for single traits from marker-assisted seedling selection in clonally propagated crops. *Hortic. Res.* 3, 1–10 (2016).
- Wannemuehler, S. D. et al. A cost–benefit analysis of DNA informed apple breeding. *HortScience* 54, 1998–2004 (2019).
- Wannemuehler, S. D., Yue, C., Shane, W. W., Gallardo, R. K. & McCracken, V. Estimated implementation costs of DNA-informed breeding in a peach breeding program. *HortTechnology* **30**, 356–364 (2020).
- Wannemuehler, S. D., Yue, C., Hoashi-Erhardt, W. K., Gallardo, R. K. & McCracken, V. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a strawberry breeding program incorporating DNA-informed technology. *HortTechnology* **30**, 365–371 (2020).
- Edge-Garza, D. A., Luby, J. J. & Peace, C. Decision support for cost-efficient and logistically feasible marker-assisted seedling selection in fruit breeding. *Mol. Breed.* 35, 223 (2015).
- Blissett, E. Genomewide Selection in Apple: Prediction and Postdiction in the University of Minnesota Apple Breeding Program. PhD Dissertation, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA. http://hdl.handle.net/11299/ 209201 (2019).
- Miller, T., Fleming, M., Miller, S. B., Saski, C. & Gasic, K. Ppe-Xap, a DNA test for routine prediction in breeding of peach bacterial spot fruit resistance. *HortScience* 54, abstr. S239 (2019).
- Oraguzie, N. C., Watkins, C. S., Chavoshi, M. S. & Peace, C. Emergence of the Pacific Northwest sweet cherry breeding program. *Acta Hortic.* **1161**, 73–78 (2017).

- Rosyara, U. R. et al. Fruit size QTL identification and the prediction of parental QTL genotypes and breeding values in multiple pedigreed populations of sweet cherry. *Mol. Breed.* **32**, 875–887 (2013).
- Sandefur, P., Oraguzie, N. & Peace, C. A DNA test for routine prediction in breeding of sweet cherry fruit color, Pav-R_f-SSR. *Mol. Breed.* 36, 33 (2016).
- Sandefur, P. Enhancing Efficiency in Tree-Fruit Breeding by Developing Trait-Predictive DNA Tests. PhD Dissertation, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA (2016).
- Whitaker, V. M. et al. Advances in strawberry breeding at the University of Florida. Acta Hortic. 1156, 1–6 (2017).
- Gezan, S. A., Osorio, L. F., Verma, S. & Whitaker, V. M. An experimental validation of genomic selection in octoploid strawberry. *Hortic. Res.* 4, 16070 (2017).
- Hardner, C. M., Kumar, S., Peace, C. M., Luby, J. & Evans, K. M. Reconstructing relationship matrices from dense SNP arrays for the prediction of genetic potential in unreplicated multilocation plantings of apple progeny. *Acta Hortic.* **1127**, 275–282 (2016).
- 172. VanRaden, P. M. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J. Dairy Sci. 91, 4414–4423 (2008).
- 173. Hardner, C. et al. Building international partnerships for the collation of historical data to study the environmental stability of genomic predictions in sweet cherry. *Acta Hortic* **1235**, 47–54 (2019).
- Hardner, C. et al. Using SNP arrays to leverage historical data sets for improved prediction accuracy and study of GxExM in peach. *Acta Hortic.* (2020). In press.
- Zurn, J. D. et al. A global analysis of soluble solids content in strawberry. HortScience 54, abstr. S157–S158 (2019).
- 176. Hardner, C. M. et al. Prediction of genetic value for sweet cherry fruit maturity among environments using a 6K SNP array. *Hortic. Res.* **6**, 6 (2019).
- 177. Main, D. et al. Data access and use: from RosBREED data management to genomic prediction. *HortScience* **54**, abstr. S4–S5 (2019).
- Zurn, J. D. et al. Validating blackberry seedling pedigrees and developing an improved multiplexed microsatellite fingerprinting set. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 143, 381–390 (2018).
- 179. Zurn, J. D. et al. A new SSR fingerprinting set and its comparison to existing SSR- and SNP-based genotyping platforms to manage *Pyrus* germplasm resources. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **16**, 2 (2020).
- Andersen, K., Sebolt, A., Stegmeir, T. & lezzoni, A. Development of the simple sequence repeat marker PruG4RS for the differentiation of cherry rootstocks. *HortScience* 50, abstr. S255 (2015).
- Finn, C. E. et al. 'Hall's Beauty' thornless trailing blackberry. *HortScience* 54, 371–376 (2019).
- Finn, C. E. et al. 'Eclipse' thomless semi-erect blackberry. HortScience 55, 749–754 (2020).
- Finn, C. E. et al. 'Galaxy' thornless semierect blackberry. *HortScience* https://doi. org/10.21273/HORTSCI14985-20 (2020).
- Carter, K., Zurn, J. D., Bassil, N. V., Finn, C. E. & Hummer, K. E. The importance of being 'Boysen': examining genotypic variation with SSR markers. J. Am. Pom. Soc. 73, 47–52 (2019).
- 185. Rosyara, U. R., Sebolt, A. M., Peace, C. & Iezzoni, A. F. Identification of the paternal parent of 'Bing' sweet cherry and confirmation of descendants using single nucleotide polymorphism markers. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 139, 148–156 (2014).
- Cai, L., Voorrips, R. E., van de Weg, E., Peace, C. & lezzoni, A. Genetic structure of a QTL hotspot on chromosome 2 in sweet cherry indicates positive selection for favorable haplotypes. *Mol. Breed.* **37**, 85 (2017).
- Howard, N. P. et al. Elucidation of the 'Honeycrisp' pedigree through haplotype analysis with a multi-family integrated SNP linkage map and a large apple (*Malus × domestica*) pedigree-connected SNP data set. *Hortic. Res.* 4, 17003 (2017).
- Piaskowski, J. et al. Genomic heritability estimates in sweet cherry reveal nonadditive genetic variance is relevant for industry-prioritized traits. *BMC Genet.* 19, 23 (2018).
- Peace, C. P. et al. Apple whole genome sequences: recent advances and new prospects. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 59 (2019).
- Gasic, K., Preece, J. E. & Karp, D. Register of new fruit and nut cultivars list 49. HortScience 53, 748–748 (2018).
- Whitaker, V. M. et al. 'Florida Beauty' strawberry. *HortScience* 52, 1443–1447 (2017).

- 192. Clark, J. R. & Sandefur, P. J. 'Bowden' and 'Amoore Sweet' nectarines. *HortScience* **48**, 804–807 (2013).
- 193. USDA NASS. Non-Citrus Fruits and Nuts 2018 Summary (USDA NASS, 2019).
- 194. USDA NASS. Floriculture Crops 2018 Summary (USDA NASS, 2019).
- 195. USDA NASS. Non-Citrus Fruits and Nuts 2017 Summary (USDA NASS, 2018).
- 196. Costa, F. et al. Map position and functional allelic diversity of *Md-Exp7*, a new putative expansin gene associated with fruit softening in apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.) and pear (*Pyrus communis*). Tree Genet. Genomes 4, 575–586 (2008).
- 197. Bai, Y. et al. A natural mutation-led truncation in one of the two aluminumactivated malate transporter-like genes at the *Ma* locus is associated with low fruit acidity in apple. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* **287**, 663–678 (2012).
- Guan, Y., Peace, C., Rudell, D., Verma, S. & Evans, K. QTLs detected for individual sugars and soluble solids content in apple. *Mol. Breed.* 35, 135 (2015).
- Buti, M. et al. Identification and validation of a QTL influencing bitter pit symptoms in apple (*Malus × domestica*). *Mol. Breed.* 35, 29 (2015).
- Peace, C. Predicting performance in fruit crop breeding. Community Breeders' Page. RosBREED Q. Newsl. 3, 7 (2012).
- Takos, A. M. et al. Light-induced expression of a *MYB* gene regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in red apples. *Plant Physiol.* **142**, 1216–1232 (2006).
 Zhu, Y., Evans, K. & Peace, C. Utility testing of an apple skin color *MdMYB1*
- marker in two progenies. *Mol. Breed.* **27**, 525–532 (2011). 203. Sandefur, P., Frett, T., Clark, J., Gasic, K. & Peace, C. A DNA test for routine
- Direction in breeding of peach blush, Ppe-R-SSR. *Mol. Breed.* **37**, 11 (2017).
 Boudehri, K et al. Phenotypic and fine genetic characterization of the *D* locus
- controlling fruit acidity in peach. *BMC Plant Biol.* **9**, 59 (2009). 205. Fresnedo-Ramírez, J. et al. QTL mapping of pomological traits in peach and
- related species breeding germplasm. *Mol. Breed.* **35**, 166 (2015).
- 206. Serra, O. et al. Genetic analysis of the slow-melting flesh character in peach. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **13**, 77 (2017).
- Gu, C. et al. Copy number variation of a gene cluster encoding endopolygalacturonase mediates flesh texture and stone adhesion in peach. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 1993–2005 (2016).
- Eduardo, I. et al. QTL analysis of fruit quality traits in two peach intraspecific populations and importance of maturity date pleiotropic effect. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 7, 323–335 (2011).
- Luo, F., Sandefur, P., Evans, K. & Peace, C. A DNA test for routinely predicting mildew resistance in descendants of crabapple 'White Angel'. *Mol. Breed.* 39, 33 (2019).
- Clark, M. D. Characterizing the Host Response and Genetic Control in 'Honeycrisp' to Apple Scab (*Venturia inaequalis*). PhD Dissertation, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA. http://hdl.handle.net/11299/172140 (2014).
- Bus, V. G. M. et al. The Vh2 and Vh4 scab resistance genes in two differential hosts derived from Russian apple R12740-7A map to the same linkage group of apple. Mol. Breed. 15, 103–116 (2005).
- van de Weg, E. et al. Epistatic fire blight resistance QTL alleles in the apple cultivar 'Enterprise' and selection X-6398 discovered and characterized through pedigree-informed analysis. *Mol. Breed.* 38, 5 (2018).
- 213. Gasic, K. et al. Bacterial spot resistance in peach: functional allele distribution in breeding germplasm. *Acta Hortic.* **1084**, 69–74 (2015).
- Salinas, N., Fan, Z., Peres, N., Lee, S. & Whitaker, V. M. *FaRCa1* confers moderate resistance to the root necrosis form of strawberry anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum. HortScience* 55, 693–698 (2020).
- van de Weg, W. E. A gene-for-gene model to explain interactions between cultivars of strawberry and races of *Phytophthora fragariae* var. *fragariae*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **94**, 445–451 (1997).
- Mathey, M. M. Phenotyping Diverse Strawberry (*Fragaria* spp.) Germplasm for Aid in Marker-Assisted Breeding, and Marker-Trait Association for Red Stele (*Phytophthora fragariae*) Resistance Marker *Rpf1*. Master's Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA (2013).
- 217. Matsumoto, S. Apple pollination biology for stable and novel fruit production: search system for apple cultivar combination showing incompatibility, semicompatibility, and full-compatibility based on the *S-RNase* allele database. *Int. J. Agron.* **2014**, 138271 (2014).
- Fan, S. et al. Mapping quantitative trait loci associated with chilling requirement, heat requirement and bloom date in peach (*Prunus persica*). N. Phytol. 185, 917–930 (2010).
- Rawandoozi, Z. et al. Identification of QTLs for bloom date, fruit development period, and ripe date traits in peaches. *HortScience* 54, abstr. 5239 (2019).