

## SATURATION OF MULTIPLIER OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

TOSHIHIKO NISHISHIRAO

(Received February 21, 1981)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a (real or complex) Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and let  $B[X]$  denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators of  $X$  into itself with the usual operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{B[X]}$ . A family  $\{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of operators in  $B[X]$  is called a linear approximation process on  $X$  if for every  $f \in X$ ,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A,$$

where  $N$  denotes the set of all natural numbers and  $A$  is an arbitrary index set ([17]).

In [17] we studied the direct estimates of the rate of convergence of  $L_{n,\lambda}(f)$  to  $f$  (in the sense of (1)) for linear approximation processes  $\{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of convolution operators or multiplier operators in  $B[X]$ . Here we determine the optimal rate of this convergence.

For this purpose, we introduce the following definition.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  be a linear approximation process on  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a family  $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , such that every  $f \in X$  for which  $\|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = o(\theta_{n,\lambda})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$  is an invariant element of  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.,  $L_{n,\lambda}(f) = f$  for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , and the set

$$S[X; \mathcal{L}] = \{f \in X; \|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\theta_{n,\lambda}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A\}$$

contains at least one noninvariant element of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$  is called its Favard class or saturation class.

**REMARK 1.** If, for a sequence  $\{L_n\}_{n \in N}$  of operators in  $B[X]$  converging strongly to the identity operator,  $L_{n,\lambda} = L_n$  for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , then this concept coincides with the usual one ([4; p. 434], cf. [2; p. 25], [8], [15]), which was first introduced by Favard for summation methods of Fourier series in a lecture in 1947 (cf. [7]). Nowadays there is a vast

literature concerning saturation for various summation processes. Saturation theory for summation processes of abstract Fourier series in a Banach space is treated by Butzer, Nessel and Trebels [5] and by Gopalan [8], and saturation behavior of approximation processes of Voronovskaja-type operators in arbitrary Banach spaces is treated by the author [16] (for detailed bibliographical comments one may refer to [2], [3], [4], [6]).

The problem of saturation is to establish the existence of the saturation order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and to characterize the saturation class  $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$  of a given linear approximation process  $\mathcal{L}$ .

In this paper we study the problems of saturation for linear approximation processes  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in A\}$  of multiplier operators in  $B[X]$ . These are discussed in the setting of asymptotic relations of Voronovskaja's type which characterize the saturation class  $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$  in terms of relative completions of Banach subspaces of  $X$  (cf. [2; Sec. 2.2], [4; Sec. 10.4]).

Consequently, we have the saturation theorem for linear approximation processes on  $X$  of convolution operators considered in [17]. We also give applications to the approximation problem of various summation processes of multiplier operators, which are induced by a general method of summability in connection with families of infinite matrices of scalars. This method includes the usual matrix summability, the  $F$ -summability (the method of almost convergence) and the  $F_A$ -summability of Lorentz [11] (cf. [10], [14]), the  $A_B$ -summability of Mazhar and Siddiqi [13] and the  $\mathcal{A}$ -summability of Bell [1] (cf. [12]).

**2. Regularization processes.** Here we introduce the notion of a regularization process of operators, which may be an essential tool for characterizing the saturation class of linear approximation processes in question satisfying Voronovskaja-type conditions.

Let  $Z$  denote the set of all integers, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the set of all sequences  $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{j \in Z}$  of scalars. With the terminology as in [17] (cf. [5]), let  $\{P_j\}_{j \in Z}$  be a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $B[X]$ . Then with each  $f \in X$  one may associate its (formal) Fourier series expansion (with respect to  $\{P_j\}$ )  $f \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} P_j(f)$ . An operator  $A \in B[X]$  is called a multiplier operator if there exists a sequence  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  such that for every  $f \in X$ ,  $A(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j P_j(f)$ , and the following notation is used:

$$A \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j P_j .$$

Let  $\{T_t; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  being the real line, be a family of operators in

$B[X]$  such that  $\sup \{ \|T_t\|_{B[X]}; t \in \mathbf{R} \}$  is finite and

$$(2) \quad T_t \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\tau_j t) P_j \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}),$$

where  $\tau = \{\tau_j\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}$ . We observe that in [17; Proposition 2] it is shown that the family  $\{T_i\}$  is a strongly continuous group of operators in  $B[X]$  with the infinitesimal generator  $G$  with domain  $D(G)$  satisfying  $G(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j P_j(f)$  for every  $f \in D(G)$  and that if, with the Cesàro mean operator  $\sigma_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - |j|/(n+1)\} P_j$  (of order 1), the sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$(3) \quad \sup_n \|\sigma_n\|_{B[X]} < \infty,$$

then  $D(G) = \{f \in X; g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j P_j(f) \text{ for some } g \in X\}$ . Moreover, with each function  $k \in L_{2\pi}^1$  (the Banach space of all  $2\pi$ -periodic, Lebesgue integrable functions  $k$  with the norm  $\|k\|_1 = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |k(t)| dt$ ) and the identity operator  $I \in B[X]$ , the convolution operator  $k * I \in B[X]$  defined by

$$(4) \quad k * I(f) = k * f = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t) T_t(f) dt \quad (f \in X),$$

the integral being a Bochner integral, is a multiplier operator such that

$$(5) \quad k * I \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa_j P_j, \quad \kappa_j = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt.$$

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $M$  be a linear subspace of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of operators in  $B[X]$ . A sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of operators in  $B[X]$  which commute with all operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a regularization process on  $M$  for  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $U_n(X) \subset M$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n(f) - f\|_X = 0$  for every  $f \in X$ .

**REMARK 2.** Let  $M$  be a linear subspace of  $X$  which contains  $P_j(X)$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of multiplier operators or convolution operators of the form (4) under the assumptions that  $\{T_i\}$  is strongly continuous and  $P_j T_t = T_t P_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  instead of (2). Let  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators having the expansions  $U_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n(j) P_j$  with  $\xi_n(j) = 0$  whenever  $|j| > n$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then the sequence  $\{U_n\}$  is a regularization process on  $M$  for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus if (3) is satisfied, then  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a regularization process on  $M$  for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**3. A saturation theorem.** From now on let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda \in A\}$  be a linear approximation process on  $X$  of multiplier operators having the expansions

$$L_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j \quad (n \in N, \lambda \in A).$$

We set

$$Z' = \{j \in Z; \omega_{n,\lambda}(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$$

and always suppose  $Z' \neq Z$ . Then the following criterion will be useful in deciding whether the saturation behavior occurs for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(S-1) There exists a family  $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$  and a sequence  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$  such that for each  $j \in Z$ ,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) = \phi_j \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies (S-1).*

(i) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are elements in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - g\|_X = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , then the Fourier series expansion of  $g$  is given by  $g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j P_j(f)$ . In case  $g = 0$  we have  $L_{n,\lambda}(f) = f$  for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , i.e.,  $f$  is an invariant element of  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

(ii) *There exists a noninvariant element  $f_0 \in X$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\|L_{n,\lambda}(f_0) - f_0\|_X = O(\theta_{n,\lambda})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is essentially similar to that of Theorem 6.1 of [5], and so we omit the details.

In view of Part (i) of Proposition 1, we introduce the following subspaces of  $X$  associated with sequences in  $\mathcal{S}$ :

Given a sequence  $\psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ , let  $W[X; \psi]$  denote the linear subspace of  $X$  consisting of all  $f \in X$  for which there exists an element  $f_\psi \in X$  such that  $f_\psi \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j P_j(f)$ . Note that  $f_\psi$  is uniquely determined by  $f$ , since  $\{P_j\}$  is total, and so the map  $V_\psi: f \rightarrow f_\psi$  defines a closed linear operator of  $W[X; \psi]$  into  $X$ . Furthermore, since  $P_j(X) \subset W[X; \psi]$  for each  $j \in Z$  and  $\{P_j\}$  is fundamental,  $W[X; \psi]$  is dense in  $X$ . Obviously, (6) implies that for each  $f \in P_j(X)$ ,  $j \in Z$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - V_\psi(f)\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

This relation suggests the introduction of the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.** A family  $\{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of operators in  $B[X]$  is said to satisfy the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$  if there exists a family  $\{\alpha_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,\lambda} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$  and a linear operator  $L$  with domain  $D(L)$  and range in  $X$  such that for every  $f \in D(L)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - L(f)\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

REMARK 3. If, for a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in N}$  of operators in  $B[X]$ ,  $A_{n,\lambda} = A_n$  for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , then this concept reduces to that due to the author [16].

If  $M$  is a Banach subspace of  $X$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$ , then its relative completion, denoted by  $\widetilde{M}$ , is the set of all  $f \in X$  for which there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in N}$  of elements in  $M$  such that  $\sup_n \|f_n\|_M < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_X = 0$ . For the basic properties of such spaces, see [2; p. 14 ff.] and [4; Propositions 10.4.2 and 10.4.3]. Note that if  $V$  is a closed linear operator with domain  $D(V)$  and range in  $X$ , then  $D(V)$  becomes a Banach subspace of  $X$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{D(V)}$  defined by  $\|f\|_{D(V)} = \|f\|_X + \|V(f)\|_X$  for all  $f \in D(V)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of operators in  $B[X]$  satisfying the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ , and let  $f \in X$ . Then we have:

(i) If  $L$  is closed and  $f \in \widetilde{D(L)}$ , then  $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ .

(ii) If there exists a regularization process  $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$  on  $D(L)$  for  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the fact that  $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda})$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$  implies  $\sup_n \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} < \infty$ , thus  $f \in \widetilde{D(L)}$  if  $L$  is closed.

PROOF. (i) Since  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ , for each  $g \in D(L)$  there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $\sup\{\|\alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{n,\lambda}(g) - g)\|_X; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\}$  is finite. Thus by the uniform boundedness principle, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(7) \quad \alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1} \|A_{n,\lambda}(g) - g\|_X \leq C \|g\|_{D(L)}$$

for all  $n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A$  and  $g \in D(L)$ . We now assume that  $f$  belongs to  $\widetilde{D(L)}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_m\}_{m \in N}$  of elements in  $D(L)$  and a constant  $C' > 0$  such that  $\|f_m\|_{D(L)} \leq C'$  for all  $m \in N$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_m - f\|_X = 0$ . Replacing  $g$  by  $f_m$  in (7), and letting  $m$  tend to infinity, we have  $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X \leq CC'\alpha_{n,\lambda}$  for all  $n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A$  and so the assertion (i) is proved.

(ii) Suppose that there exist a constant  $K > 0$  and a natural number  $m_0$  such that  $\|A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X \leq K\alpha_{m,\lambda}$  for all  $m \geq m_0$  and all  $\lambda \in A$ . Thus, since  $U_n A_{m,\lambda} = A_{m,\lambda} U_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{m,\lambda}^{-1}\{A_{m,\lambda}(U_n(f)) - U_n(f)\}\|_X &\leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|\alpha_{m,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f)\|_X \\ &\leq K \|U_n\|_{B[X]}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $\|L(U_n(f))\|_X \leq K \|U_n\|_{B[X]}$ , since  $U_n(f)$  belongs to  $D(L)$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ . Consequently, for all  $n \in N$  we have

$$\|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} = \|U_n(f)\|_X + \|L(U_n(f))\|_X \leq (\|f\|_X + K) \|U_n\|_{B[X]},$$

and so  $\sup_n \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)}$  is finite since the sequence  $\{U_n\}$  is uniformly bounded. Also,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n(f) - f\|_X = 0$ . Hence  $f$  belongs to  $\widetilde{D(L)}$  if  $L$  is closed. The proof is complete.

We are now in a position to establish the saturation theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$  for some  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \in Z'$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and  $W[X; \phi]^\sim \subset S[X; \mathcal{L}]$ . If, furthermore, there exists a regularization process  $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$  on  $W[X; \phi]$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = \{f \in X; \|U_n(f)\|_{W[X; \phi]} = O(1)\}$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

The following condition ensures that  $\mathcal{L}$  will satisfy the Voronovskaja condition:

(S-2) There exists a family  $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , a sequence  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  and a linear approximation process  $\{Q_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  on  $X$  of multiplier operators having the expansions

$$(8) \quad Q_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j \quad (n \in N, \lambda \in A)$$

such that

$$(9) \quad \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) = \phi_j \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j)$$

for all  $n \in N, j \in Z, \lambda \in A$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Condition (S-2) implies that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f \in W[X; \phi]$ . Then by (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f)) &= \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) P_j(f) = \phi_j \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j(f) \\ &= \phi_j P_j(Q_{n,\lambda}(f)) = P_j(V_\phi(Q_{n,\lambda}(f))), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$(10) \quad \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) = Q_{n,\lambda}(V_\phi(f))$$

for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , since  $\{P_j\}$  is total and  $V_\phi$  commutes with all multiplier operators on  $W[X; \phi]$ . Thus, since  $\{Q_{n,\lambda}\}$  is a linear approximation

process on  $X$ , (10) implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - V_\phi(f)\|_X = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , and the proposition is proved.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, we have the following.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies (S-2) with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and  $W[X; \phi]^\sim \subset S[X; \mathcal{L}]$ . If, in addition, there exists a regularization process  $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$  on  $W[X; \phi]$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = \{f \in X; \|U_n(f)\|_{W[X; \phi]} = O(1)\}$ .*

We need the following proposition in order to derive another characterization of the saturation class.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of operators in  $B[X]$  which commute with  $P_j$  for each  $j \in Z$ , and let  $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators having the expansions  $U_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n(j)P_j$  with  $\xi_n(j) = 0$  whenever  $|j| > n$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$  and that  $P_j(X) \subset D(L)$  for each  $j \in Z$ . Then the implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) hold for an element  $f \in X$ :*

$$(a) \quad \|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ ;

$$(b) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)L(P_j(f)) \right\|_X = O(1);$$

$$(c) \quad \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} = O(1).$$

If, in addition,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$  for each  $j \in Z$ , and  $L$  is closed, then (c) implies (a).

**PROOF.** Since  $P_j$  and  $A_{m,\lambda}$  commute, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f) &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)P_j(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f) \\ &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)\{A_{m,\lambda}(P_j(f)) - P_j(f)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)\{A_{m,\lambda}(P_j(f)) - P_j(f)\} \right\|_X \leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X.$$

From this inequality we conclude that (a) implies (b), since  $\{U_n\}$  is uniformly bounded and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$  with  $P_j(f) \in D(L)$ ,  $j \in Z$ .

Next we have  $L(U_n(f)) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)L(P_j(f))$ , and so

$$\|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} \leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|f\|_X + \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)L(P_j(f)) \right\|_X,$$

which proves that (b) implies (c), since  $\{U_n\}$  is uniformly bounded.

Suppose now that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$  for each  $j \in Z$ . Then  $\{U_n\}$  becomes a regularization process on  $D(L)$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Thus, if  $L$  is closed, then by Proposition 2 (c) implies (a), and the proof is completed.

Proposition 4 yields the following additional characterization of the saturation class of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$  for some  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and let  $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$  be as in Proposition 4 with the additional assumption that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$  for each  $j \in Z$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi] \sim V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi]$ , where*

$$V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi] = \left\{ f \in X; \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j)\phi_j P_j(f) \right\|_X = O(1) \right\}.$$

**PROOF.** This follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 and Proposition 3, we have the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies (S-2) with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and let  $\{U_n\}$  be as in Theorem 2. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds.*

In particular, the uniform boundedness of the Cesàro mean operators  $\sigma_n$  gives the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type  $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$  for some  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and (3) is satisfied. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and*

$$S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi] \sim V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \phi].$$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies (S-2) with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and (3) is satisfied. Then the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds.*

**4. Applications.** Let  $\{T_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and  $G$  be as in Section 2. For  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the operator  $G^r$  is defined inductively by the relations  $G^0 = I$ ,  $G^1 = G$ ,

$$D(G^r) = \{f; f \in D(G^{r-1}) \text{ and } G^{r-1}(f) \in D(G)\}$$

and



$$G^r(f) = G(G^{r-1}(f)), \quad f \in D(G^r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots .$$

In view of (4) and (5) all the results obtained in Section 3 are applicable to linear approximation processes  $\mathcal{K} = \{k_{n,\lambda} * I; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  on  $X$ , with  $k_{n,\lambda} \in L_{2\pi}^1$ , having the expansions

$$k_{n,\lambda} * I \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j, \quad \kappa_{n,\lambda}(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt .$$

In particular, we have the following.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\{k_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of functions in  $L_{2\pi}^1$  such that*

$$(11) \quad \sup \{ \|k_{n,\lambda}\|_1; n \in N, \lambda \in A \} < \infty .$$

*Suppose that for the family  $\mathcal{K}$  the condition (S-2) holds with  $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}_{j \in Z}$  for some  $r \in N$  and  $\tau_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and that (3) is satisfied. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{K}] = \widetilde{D}(G^r) = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}]$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\{P_j\}$  is fundamental, the conditions (11) and (S-2) imply that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear approximation process on  $X$ . By Proposition 2 of [17] and by induction on  $r$  we have  $G^r(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j^r P_j(f)$  for every  $f \in D(G^r)$ , and

$$D(G^r) = \left\{ f \in X; g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j^r P_j(f) \text{ for some } g \in X \right\} ,$$

and so  $W[X; \phi] = D(G^r)$  and  $V_\phi = G^r$ , where  $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}$ . Thus the desired result follows from Corollary 3.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $\{k_{n,\lambda}\}$  be as in Theorem 4 with the additional assumptions that each  $k_{n,\lambda}$  is non-negative and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))\} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , where*

$$\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) e^{-ij t} dt \quad (n \in N, j \in Z, \lambda \in A)$$

*and  $\text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$  denotes the real part of  $\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)$ . Suppose that for the family  $\mathcal{K}$  the condition (S-2) holds with  $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$  and  $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}_{j \in Z}$  for some  $r \in N$  and  $\tau_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin Z'$ , and that (3) is satisfied. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is saturated with order  $(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)))$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{K}] = \widetilde{D}(G^r) = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}]$ .*

In view of the particular cases  $\tau_j = -ij$  and  $r = 2$ , we make the following remark:

**REMARK 4.** Let  $\{k_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of non-negative, even

functions in  $L^1_{2\pi}$  satisfying  $\widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) = 1$  for all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)) = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , and let  $\tau_j = -ij, j \in Z$ . Then for the family  $\mathcal{K}$ , one has several conditions equivalent to (S-1) with  $\theta_{n,\lambda} = 1 - \widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)$  and  $\phi_j = -j^2$ . That is, the following are equivalent:

(i) For each  $j \in Z$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) / (1 - \widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)) = -j^2 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A;$$

(ii) (i) holds for  $j = 2$ ;

(iii)  $\int_0^\pi k_{n,\lambda}(t) \sin^4(t/2) dt = o(1 - \widehat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ ;

(iv) For any fixed  $\delta$  satisfying  $0 < \delta < \pi$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) dt = o(1 - k_{n,\lambda}(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ .

The proof of these equivalences is essentially similar to that of Theorem 3.8 in [6], and so we omit the details.

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $B = \{A^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of infinite matrices  $A^{(\lambda)} = (a_{nm}^{(\lambda)})_{n,m \geq 0}$  of scalars. A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of elements in  $X$  is said to be  $B$ -summable to  $f$  if

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} f_m = f \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A,$$

where it is assumed that the series in (12) converge for each  $n$  and  $\lambda$ .

We shall now mention some examples.

(1°) If, for some matrix  $A$ ,  $A^{(\lambda)} = A$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ , then  $B$ -summability is just matrix summability by  $A$ . In particular, if for every  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $A^{(\lambda)}$  is the unit matrix, then  $\{f_n\}$  is  $B$ -summable to  $f$  if and only if it converges to  $f$ .

(2°) Let  $\{\{q_n^{(\lambda)}\}_{n \geq 0}; \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of sequences of scalars such that  $Q_n^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=0}^n q_j^{(\lambda)} \neq 0$  for all  $n, \lambda$ . Let

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} &= q_{n-m}^{(\lambda)} / Q_n^{(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } m > n. \end{aligned}$$

Then we call the  $B$ -summability  $(N, q_m^{(\lambda)})$ -summability.

(3°) Let  $A$  be a subset of  $R$ . If each entry  $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$  is a non-negative continuous function on  $A$  such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$  for each  $n$  and  $\lambda$ , then we call the  $B$ -summability  $(W, a_{nm}^{(\lambda)})$ -summability. The concrete examples of this type are the following:

$$(14) \quad A \subset [0, 1], \quad a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \binom{n}{m} \lambda^m (1 - \lambda)^{n-m} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{for } m > n .$$

$$(15) \quad A \subset [0, \infty), \quad a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \exp(-n\lambda)(n\lambda)^m/m! .$$

(4°) If  $A$  is the set of all non-negative integers and  $X$  is the Banach space of all real or complex numbers, then  $B$ -summability reduces to the method of summability considered by Bell [1] (cf. [12]), which not only includes the  $F$ -summability (method of almost convergence) and the  $F_A$ -summability of Lorentz [11] but also includes the  $A_B$ -summability of Mazhar and Siddiqi [13].

DEFINITION 5. Let  $B$  be as in Definition 4.  $B$  is said to be regular if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (A-1) For each  $m = 0, 1, \dots, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ .
- (A-2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 1$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ .
- (A-3) For each  $n \in N, \lambda \in A, a_n^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}| < \infty$ , and there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $\sup \{a_n^{(\lambda)}; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\} < \infty$ .

Note that if  $B$  is positive, i.e.,  $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \geq 0$  for all  $n, m, \lambda$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$  for every  $n, \lambda$ , then conditions (A-2) and (A-3) already hold. For instance, the matrices  $B$  defined by (13), (14) and (15), respectively, have these properties.

The basic relationship between the regularity of  $B$  and  $B$ -summability is the following result which is a generalization of Theorem 2 of [1] to an arbitrary Banach space setting.

PROPOSITION 5. A family of infinite matrices of scalars,  $B = \{a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A\}$ , is regular if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

- (A-4) Each convergent sequence in  $X$  is  $B$ -summable to its limit.

PROOF. It is straightforward that if  $B$  is regular, then it satisfies (A-4). Suppose now that (A-4) holds. Let  $c(X)$  denote the Banach space of all convergent sequences  $\{f_m\}$  of elements in  $X$  with norm  $\|\{f_m\}\|_{c(X)} = \sup_m \|f_m\|_X$ . Let  $f$  be a fixed non-zero element in  $X$ . For each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , define the sequence  $\{f_m^{(j)}\}$  by  $f_m^{(j)} = f$  for  $m = j$ , and  $f_m^{(j)} = 0$  for  $m \neq j$ . Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m^{(j)} = 0$ , and so (A-4) implies  $0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} f_m^{(j)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ . Consequently, for each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ . Next we define the sequence  $\{f_m\}$  by  $f_m = f$  for all  $m$ , and so  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m = f$ . Thus (A-4) implies  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$ , uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ , and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ . Thus conditions (A-1) and (A-2)

are proved.

Finally, we show (A-3). We first prove that for each  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ ,  $a_n^{(\lambda)} < \infty$ . Indeed, if  $a_n^{(\lambda)} = \infty$  for some  $n$  and  $\lambda$ , then there exists a natural number  $p$  and a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_j\}$  of positive real numbers such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| = \infty$ . Now, define the sequence  $\{g_j\}$  by  $g_j = 0$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ , and  $g_j = \varepsilon_j \operatorname{sgn} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$  for  $j = p, p+1, \dots$ , where  $\operatorname{sgn} z = |z|/z$  for every scalar  $z \neq 0$ , and  $\operatorname{sgn} 0 = 0$ . Then we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j = 0$  and  $\|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} g_j\|_X = \|f\|_X \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_j a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| = \infty$ . This contradicts the convergence of  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} g_j$ . Now, for each  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$  we define the transformation  $\Psi_{n,\lambda}: c(X) \rightarrow X$  by  $\Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f_j$ .  $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$  is clearly linear. Since

$$\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\})\|_X \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| \|f_j\|_X \leq a_n^{(\lambda)} \|\{f_j\}\|_{c(X)}$$

for all  $\{f_j\} \in c(X)$ ,  $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$  is bounded and  $\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\| \leq a_n^{(\lambda)}$ . Actually this inequality is an equality. Indeed, let  $h$  be an element in  $X$  with  $\|h\|_X = 1$ . For each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we define the sequence  $\{h_j^{(m)}\}$  by  $h_j^{(m)} = \operatorname{sgn} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} h$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , and  $h_j^{(m)} = 0$  for  $j = m+1, m+2, \dots$ . Then we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h_j^{(m)} = 0$  and  $\|\{h_j^{(m)}\}\|_{c(X)} = 1$ . Thus

$$\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} h_j^{(m)} \right\|_X = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}|,$$

which yields the desired result. Since  $B$  satisfies (A-4), for every  $\{f_j\} \in c(X)$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j$ , and by the uniform boundedness principle there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that

$$\sup \{a_n^{(\lambda)}; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\} = \sup \{\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\|; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\} < \infty,$$

and (A-3) is proved. Therefore (A-4) implies (A-1), (A-2) and (A-3), and the proof is complete.

If, for an infinite real or complex matrix  $A = (a_{nm})$ ,  $(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}) = (a_{nm})$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ , then from Proposition 5 we obtain a generalization of the classical theorem of Silverman-Toeplitz on the regularity of the method of summability by  $A$  to an arbitrary Banach space setting. Let  $0 < a < b \leq 1$ . Then  $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); a \leq \lambda \leq b\}$ ,  $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$  being defined by (14), is regular, and so by Proposition 5 it satisfies (A-4). Let  $0 \leq c < d < \infty$ . Then  $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); c \leq \lambda \leq d\}$ ,  $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$  being defined by (15), is regular and thus it satisfies (A-4).

Let  $\{L_n\}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in  $B[X]$  having the expansions

$$(16) \quad L_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_n(j) P_j,$$

and let  $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of infinite matrices of scalars such that for each  $n, \lambda, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}| < \infty$ . For each  $n, \lambda$  we define the operator  $A_{n,\lambda}$  of  $X$  into itself by

$$(17) \quad A_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} L_m,$$

which is a multiplier operator such that

$$(18) \quad A_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j, \quad \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \zeta_m(j).$$

Thus all the results obtained in Section 3 are applicable to linear approximation processes  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  of multiplier operators defined by (17), having the expansions (18) with (16). In particular, we have the following.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\{U_n\}$  be as in Theorem 2. Let  $\{L_n\}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in  $B[X]$  having the expansions (16), and let  $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of infinite matrices of non-negative real numbers such that for each  $n, \lambda, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 1$ . Assume that  $P \neq Z$ , where  $P = \{j \in Z; \zeta_n(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N\}$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  of positive real numbers which is  $B$ -summable to zero and a sequence  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin P$  such that  $\zeta_n(j) - 1 = \theta_n \phi_j$  for all  $n \in N, j \in Z$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{A}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , where  $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \theta_m$ , and*

$$S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \phi] \sim V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi].$$

**PROOF.** For all  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$  and all  $j \in Z$ , we have

$$(19) \quad \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1 = \theta_{n,\lambda} \phi_j,$$

from which it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear approximation process on  $X$ , since  $\{P_j\}$  is fundamental and

$$\sup \{ \|A_{n,\lambda}\|_{B[X]}; n \in N, \lambda \in A \} \leq \sup_n \|L_n\|_{B[X]} < \infty.$$

Also, (19) implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (S-2) with  $Q_{n,\lambda} = I$ . Thus the desired result follows from Corollary 2.

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $\{L_n\}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in  $B[X]$  having the expansions (16) with the additional assumption that  $\zeta_n(j) = 0$  whenever  $|j| > n$ , and let  $B$  be as in Theorem 5 with the additional assumption that it satisfies (A-1). Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  of positive real numbers converging to zero and a sequence  $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\phi_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \notin P$ ,  $P$  being as in Theorem 5, such that  $\zeta_n(j) - 1 = \theta_n \phi_j$  for all  $n \in N$  and all  $j \in Z$ .*

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is saturated with order  $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ , where  $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \theta_m$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \phi] \sim V[X; \{L_n\}, \phi]$ .

PROOF. Since  $B$  is regular, by Proposition 5 for  $X = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\{\theta_n\}$  is  $B$ -summable to zero. Therefore the claim of the corollary follows from Theorem 5.

Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $L_{2\pi}^1$  such that  $\sup_n \|b_n\|_1 < \infty$ . Then, for each  $n, \lambda$  we have

$$(20) \quad B_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} (b_j * I) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} b_j \right) * I,$$

which is a multiplier operator in  $B[X]$ , and so all the results obtained are applicable to linear approximation processes  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ , where each operator  $B_{n,\lambda}$  is defined by (20). In particular, in view of Theorems 4 and 5, we have the following.

THEOREM 6. Suppose that (3) is satisfied and  $\tau_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \in Q$ , where

$$Q = \{j \in Z; \beta_n(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N\}, \quad Q \neq Z$$

and

$$\beta_n(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} b_n(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt \quad (n \in N, j \in Z).$$

Let  $B$  be as in Theorem 5. Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  of positive real numbers which is  $B$ -summable to zero such that for some  $r \in N$ ,  $\beta_n(j) - 1 = \rho_n \tau_j^r$  for all  $n \in N$  and all  $j \in Z$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is saturated with order  $(\rho_{n,\lambda})$ , where  $\rho_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \rho_m$ , and

$$S[X; \mathcal{B}] = D(\widetilde{G}^r) = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}].$$

COROLLARY 6. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be as above with the additional assumption that each  $b_n$  is non-negative. Suppose that (3) is satisfied and  $\tau_j \neq 0$  whenever  $j \in Q$ ,  $Q$  being as in Theorem 6.

(i) Let  $B$  as in Theorem 5. If the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is satisfied with  $\rho_n = \widehat{b}_n(0) - \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{b}_n(1))$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.

(ii) Let  $B$  as in Corollary 5. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 0$ , where  $\rho_n = \widehat{b}_n(0) - \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{b}_n(1))$  and for some  $r \in N$ ,  $\beta_n(j) - 1 = \rho_n \tau_j^r$  for all  $n \in N$  and all  $j \in Z$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.

REMARK 5. For each  $n \in N, \lambda \in A$  let  $b_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} b_m$ . Then, applying Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 of [17], we have the following statements (i) and (ii), which include the corresponding results of Remark 2

of [17] for the almost convergence.

(i) If  $\{b_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  is an approximate identity ([17; Definition 2]), then  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_{n,\lambda} * I; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  is a linear approximation process on  $X$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $B$  is positive and each  $b_n$  is non-negative. If  $\{\hat{b}_n(0)\}$  and  $\{\hat{b}_n(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))\}$  are  $B$ -summable to one and zero, respectively, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear approximation process on  $X$ . Furthermore, applying Theorem 4 of [17] we have a quantitative version of (ii) which estimates the rate of convergence for the methods of  $B$ -summability.

These results are applicable to the methods of  $B$ -summability of the above-mentioned examples (1°), (2°) and (3°), respectively.

Now as examples of multiplier operators considered in Corollary 5, let us mention the following:

(5°) The typical mean operator  $R_n^\kappa$  of order  $\kappa > 0$  is defined by

$$R_n^\kappa = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - (|j|/(n+1))^\kappa\} P_j$$

(cf. [5]). Suppose that  $\{R_n^\kappa\}$  is uniformly bounded and let  $A_{n,\lambda}$  be defined by (17) with  $L_m = R_m^\kappa$ . Then we have:

(i) Let  $B$  as in Theorem 5. If  $\{1/(n+1)^\kappa\}$  is  $B$ -summable to zero, then the family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$  is saturated with order  $(\sum_{m=0}^\infty a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}/(m+1)^\kappa)$ , and  $S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \{-|j|^\kappa\}] \sim V[X; \{R_n^\kappa\}, \{-|j|^\kappa\}]$ .

(ii) Let  $B$  as in Corollary 5. Then the conclusion of (i) holds.

(6°) Let  $\delta = \{\delta_n\}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers and let  $\kappa > 0$ . We define the operator  $S_n^{(\delta;\kappa)}$  by

$$S_n^{(\delta;\kappa)} = (1/(\delta_n + 1))(\delta_n S_n + R_n^\kappa),$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the  $n$ -th partial sum operator, i.e.,  $S_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n P_j$ . It is easily seen that

$$S_n^{(\delta;\kappa)} = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - |j|^\kappa / ((\delta_n + 1)(n + 1)^\kappa)\} P_j,$$

which reduces to the arithmetic mean operator  $(S_n + \sigma_n)/2$  of  $S_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  for  $\delta = \{1\}$  and  $\kappa = 1$ . Statements analogous to parts (i) and (ii) of (5°) may be derived for the sequences  $\{S_n^{(\delta;\kappa)}\}$ .

REMARK 6. The Cesàro mean operator  $\sigma_n^\kappa$  of order  $\kappa > -1$  is defined by

$$\sigma_n^\kappa = (1/A_n^{(\kappa)}) \sum_{j=-n}^n A_{n-|j|}^{(\kappa)} P_j, \quad A_n^{(\kappa)} = \binom{n + \kappa}{n}$$

(cf. [5]). Obviously,  $\sigma_n^0 = S_n$  and  $\sigma_n^1 = \sigma_n$ . Note that  $\{\sigma_n^\kappa\}$  converges strongly to  $I$  if and only if it is uniformly bounded.

In view of Proposition 5, we make the following remark on Example (2°).

REMARK 7. Let  $\{q_n^{(\lambda)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ ;  $\lambda \in A$  be a family of sequences of non-negative real numbers such that  $q_0^{(\lambda)} > 0$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ , and let  $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); \lambda \in A\}$ , where each entry  $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$  is defined by (13). Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $B$  is regular;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^{(\lambda)} / Q_n^{(\lambda)} = 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in A$ ;
- (iii)  $B$  satisfies (A-4).

By this result we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_X = 0$  implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left( 1/A_n^{(\kappa)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{(\kappa-1)} f_j - f \right\|_X = 0$$

uniformly in  $\kappa \in (0, a]$ ,  $0 < a < \infty$ .

As another example of the application of Proposition 5, we consider a modification of the Cesàro mean operators for sequences in  $X$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of elements in  $X$ , and let

$$C_n^\kappa = (1/A_n^{(\kappa)}) \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{(\kappa)} f_j, \quad \kappa > -1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, by Proposition 5, we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^\kappa - f\|_X = 0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^{\kappa+\rho} - f\|_X = 0$  uniformly in  $\rho \in [a, b]$ ,  $0 < a < b < \infty$ . In particular, if  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n = f$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^\rho = f$  uniformly in  $\rho \in [a, b]$ .

Next we shall consider the case where  $X$  is a homogeneous Banach subspace of  $L_{2\pi}^1$ . For the definition and examples of such spaces, see [17] (cf. [9; p. 14], [18; p. 206]). Defining the sequence  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by  $P_j(f)(t) = \hat{f}(j)e^{ijt}$ , it is obvious that  $\{P_j\}$  is a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $B[X]$ , since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_n(g) - g\|_X = 0$  whenever  $g$  belongs to  $X$  by [9; Theorems 2.11 and 2.12]. Consequently, under this setting all the results obtained in this paper are applicable to homogeneous Banach spaces  $X$ .

Besides, in connection with the methods of  $B$ -summability in homogeneous Banach subspaces  $X$  of  $L_{2\pi}^1$  we recast Part (ii) of Remark 5 by the test functions as follows:

Let  $B$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be as in Part (ii) of Remark 5 and let  $u_0(t) = 1$ ,  $u_1(t) = \sin t$  and  $u_2(t) = \cos t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\{b_n * f\}$  is  $B$ -summable to  $f$  for every  $f \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $\{b_n * u_j\}$  is  $B$ -summable to  $u_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ ;
- (iii)  $\{\hat{b}_n(0)\}$  and  $\{\hat{b}_n(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))\}$  are  $B$ -summable to one and zero,



respectively.

This immediately follows from [17; Theorem 5] and the equivalence of (i) and (ii) extends King and Swetits [10; Theorem 5] on the almost convergence for sequences of positive convolution integral operators on  $C_{2\pi}$ , the Banach space of all  $2\pi$ -periodic, real-valued continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ , to the more general methods of  $B$ -summability in homogeneous Banach subspaces  $X$  of  $L^1_{2\pi}$ .

Finally, we shall consider the case where  $X$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a closed orthonormal system in  $X$ , that is, a sequence of elements in  $X$  such that the linear subspace of  $X$  spanned by  $\{e_n\}$  is dense in  $X$  and  $\langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$  for all  $n, m \geq 0$ , where  $\delta_{n,m}$  is Kronecker's symbol. Defining the sequence  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  by  $P_j(f) = \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j$  for  $j \geq 0$  and  $P_j(f) = 0$  for  $j < 0$ , it is seen that  $\{P_j\}$  is a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $B[X]$  (cf. [5; Remark in Sec. 2], [17; Remark 8], [19; Sec. 4 of Chapter I]). Consequently, under this setting all the results obtained in this paper are applicable to the saturation problems in Hilbert spaces  $X$ .

We now consider the Hilbert space  $L^2(E)$  of all measurable, square integrable functions on  $E$ , where  $E$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Recall that the inner product in this space is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_E f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \quad (f, g \in L^2(E)).$$

We close with the following concrete examples of closed orthonormal systems  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $L^2(E)$ .

(I) *Jacobi system.* Let  $E = [-1, 1]$  and  $\alpha > -1, \beta > -1$ . Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) = h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \left\{ \frac{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + 1) \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \right\}^{1/2}$$

and  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$  is the Jacobi polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter IV]):

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} (1-t)^{-\alpha} (1+t)^{-\beta} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \{(1-t)^{n+\alpha} (1+t)^{n+\beta}\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{j} \binom{n+\beta}{n-j} \left\{ \left( \frac{t-1}{2} \right)^{n-j} \left( \frac{t+1}{2} \right)^j \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following particular selections  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  carry special names.

$\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$ : Legendre system.

$\alpha = -1/2, \beta = -1/2$ : Chebyshev system of the first kind.

$\alpha = 1/2, \beta = 1/2$ : Chebyshev system of the second kind.

$\alpha = \beta$ : Ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) system.

(II) *Laguerre system*. Let  $E = [0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha > -1$ . Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \{n!/\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\}^{1/2} \exp(-t/2)t^{\alpha/2}L_n^{(\alpha)}(t),$$

where  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(t)$  is the Laguerre polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter V]):

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(t) &= (1/n!) \exp(t)t^{-\alpha} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \{\exp(-t)t^{n+\alpha}\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} (-t)^j / j!. \end{aligned}$$

(III) *Hermite system*. Let  $E = \mathbf{R}$ , and let

$$e_n(t) = (2^n n!)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4} \exp(-t^2/2) H_n(t),$$

where  $H_n(t)$  is the Hermite polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter V]):

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(t) &= (-1)^n \exp(t^2) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \exp(-t^2) \\ &= n! \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \{(-1)^j / (j!(n-2j)!)\} (2t)^{n-2j}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 8. The ultraspherical, Laguerre and Hermite systems in  $L^p(E)$  are similarly considered for various values of  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and we omit the details (cf. [5], [8], [21]).

(IV) *Bessel system*. Let  $E = (0, 1)$  and  $\nu > -1$ . Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\nu)}(t) = (2t)^{1/2} J_\nu(\mu_n t) / J_{\nu+1}(\mu_n),$$

where  $J_\nu(t)$  is the Bessel function (of the first kind), i.e.,

$$J_\nu(t) = (t/2)^\nu \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{(-1)^j / (j! \Gamma(\nu + j + 1))\} (t/2)^{2j}$$

and  $\{\mu_n\}$  is the sequence of positive zeros of  $J_\nu(t)$ , arranged in ascending order of magnitude (cf. [20; Sec. 1.7.1], [21]). It should be noted that the Bessel series converge in  $L^p(0, 1)$  whenever  $\nu \geq -1/2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  ([21; Theorem 4.1]), which establishes the convergence of Dini series in the same spaces ([21; Theorem 7.1]).

(V) *Haar system*. Let  $E = [0, 1]$  and let  $\{e_n\}$  be the sequence of Haar functions on  $E$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(t) &= \chi_E(t), \\ e_n(t) &= 2^{m/2} \{\chi_{[0,1]}(2^{m+1}t - 2n + 2) - \chi_{[0,1]}(2^{m+1}t - 2n + 1)\}, \\ &\quad (n = 2^m + j, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_F(t)$  denotes the characteristic function of the interval  $F$ . It should be noted that the Haar series converge in  $L^p(0, 1)$  whenever  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (cf. [19; pp. 13-16]).

(VI) *Walsh system.* Let  $E = [0, 1]$ . The Rademacher functions are defined by

$$r_0(t) = \chi_{[0, 1/2)}(t) - \chi_{[1/2, 1)}(t), \quad r_0(t+1) = r_0(t), \quad r_n(t) = r_0(2^n t) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let  $\{e_n\}$  be the sequence of Walsh functions on  $E$  defined as follows:

$$e_0(t) = 1, \quad e_n(t) = r_{n_1}(t)r_{n_2}(t) \cdots r_{n_m}(t), \\ n = 2^{n_1} + 2^{n_2} + \cdots + 2^{n_m}, \quad n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_m \geq 0.$$

It should be noted that the Walsh system is orthogonal, fundamental and total in  $L^p(0, 1)$  whenever  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (cf. [19; pp. 396-406]).

We make the following final remark.

REMARK 9. Let  $\mathbf{R}^d$  denote the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space with elements  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  and inner product

$$x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_d y_d.$$

Let  $T^d$  be the  $d$ -dimensional torus and  $Z^d$  the set of all lattice points in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , i.e., the  $d$ -fold Cartesian product of  $Z$ . Let  $L^p(T^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $C(T^d)$  be the Banach spaces of all  $p$ -th power Lebesgue integrable functions and continuous functions on  $T^d$  which are  $2\pi$ -periodic in each coordinate variable with standard norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  defined by

$$\left\{ (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{T^d} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \max \{|f(x)|; x \in T^d\},$$

respectively. Now it is easy to state a strict  $d$ -dimensional analogue of homogeneous Banach subspaces of  $L_{2\pi}^1 (= L^1(T^1))$  and  $L^p(T^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $C(T^d)$  are such spaces, respectively (cf. [18; p. 206]). Let  $X$  be a homogeneous Banach space of  $L^1(T^d)$ . Then the total, fundamental sequence  $\{P_j\}_{j \in Z^d}$  of mutually orthogonal projections in  $B[X]$  is naturally induced from the Fourier coefficients of  $f \in X$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(m) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{T^d} f(x) \exp(-im \cdot x) dx, \quad m \in Z^d,$$

and we omit the details. Concerning the Fourier series expansions in association with spherical harmonics in the spaces  $L^p(S^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $C(S^d)$ , where  $S^d$  denotes the surface of the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , one may consult [5; Sec. 8.4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. BELL, Order summability and almost convergence, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 548-552.
- [2] H. BERENS, Interpolationsmethoden zur Behandlung von Approximationsprozessen auf Banachräumen, Lecture Notes in Math. 64, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1968.
- [3] P. L. BUTZER AND H. BERENS, Semi-Groups of Operators and Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1967.
- [4] P. L. BUTZER AND R. J. NESSEL, Fourier Analysis and Approximation, Vol. I, Birkhäuser, Basel, and Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [5] P. L. BUTZER, R. J. NESSEL AND W. TREBELS, On summation processes of Fourier expansions in Banach spaces. I. Comparison theorems, Tôhoku Math. J. 24 (1972), 127-140; II. Saturation theorems, *ibid.*, 551-569.
- [6] R. A. DEVORE, The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators, Lecture Notes in Math. 293, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
- [7] J. FAVARD, Sur l'approximation des fonctions d'une variable réelle. In: Analyse Harmonique (Colloques Internat. Centre Nat. Rech. Sci. 15). Éditions Centre Nat. Rech. Sci. 1949; pp. 97-110.
- [8] R. GOPALAN, Approximation operators on Banach spaces of distributions, Tôhoku Math. J. 26 (1974), 285-303.
- [9] Y. KATZNELSON, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, John Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [10] J. P. KING AND J. J. SWETITS, Positive linear operators and summability, Austral. J. Math. 11 (1970), 281-290.
- [11] G. G. LORENTZ, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [12] I. J. MADDOX, On strong almost convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 85 (1979), 345-350.
- [13] S. M. MAZHAR AND A. H. SIDDIQI, On  $F_A$ -summability and  $A_B$ -summability of a trigonometric sequence, Indian J. Math. 9 (1967), 461-466.
- [14] R. N. MOHAPATRA, Quantitative results on almost convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators, J. Approximation Theory 20 (1977), 239-250.
- [15] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Saturation of positive linear operators, Tôhoku Math. J. 28 (1976), 239-243.
- [16] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Saturation of bounded linear operators, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), 69-81.
- [17] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Quantitative theorems on linear approximation processes of convolution operators in Banach spaces, Tôhoku Math. J. 33 (1981), 109-126.
- [18] H. S. SHAPIRO, Topics in Approximation Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 187, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
- [19] I. SINGER, Bases in Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1970.
- [20] G. SZEGÖ, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1959.
- [21] G. M. WING, The mean convergence of orthogonal series, Amer. J. Math. 72 (1950), 792-807.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 RYUKYU UNIVERSITY  
 NAHA, OKINAWA 903  
 JAPAN