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#### Abstract

We show that an $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2}+k, k^{2}+k\right)$ is equivalent to a regular Hadamard matrix of order $4 k^{2}$ which is equivalent to an Hadamard matrix of order $4 k^{2}$ with maximal excess.

We find many new $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2}+k, k^{2}+k\right)$ including those for even $k$ when there is an Hadamard matrix of order $2 k$ (in particular all $2 k \leq 210$ ) and $k \in\{1,3,5, \ldots, 29,33, \ldots, 41,45,51$, $\left.53,61, \ldots, 69,75,81,83,89,95,99,625,3^{2 m}, 25 \cdot 3^{2 m}, m \geq 0\right\}$.


## 1. Introduction

An Hadamard matrix of order $n$ is an $n \times n$ matrix $H$ with elements $+1,-1$, satisfying $H^{T} H=H H^{T}=n I_{n}$. The sum of the elements of $H$, denoted by $\sigma(H)$, is called excess of $H$. The maximum excess of $H$, over all Hadamard matrices of order $n$, is denoted by $\sigma(n)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(n)=\max \sigma(H) \quad \text { for all Hadamard matrices of order } n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An equivalent notion is the weight $w(H)$ which is the number of 1 's in $H$, then $\sigma(H)=2 w(H)-n^{2}$ and $\sigma(n)=2 w(n)-n^{2}$, see $[5,10,16,25]$.

Kounias and Farmakis [13] proved that $\sigma(n)=n \sqrt{n}$ when $n=4(2 m+1)^{2}$ thus satisfying the equality of Best's inequality:

$$
\sigma(n) \leq n \sqrt{n}
$$

A regular Hadamard matrix has constant row and column sum. These are discussed by Seberry Wallis [24, pp. 341-346].

A symmetric balanced incomplete block design or $\operatorname{SBIBD}(v, k, \lambda)$ can be defined as a square matrix of order $v$ with entries 0 or 1 , with $k 1$ 's in row and column and the inner product of an pair of distinct rows is $\lambda$. For more detals see Street and Street [17].

An orthogonal design $D=x_{1} A_{1}+x_{2} A_{2}+\cdots+x_{u} A_{u}$ of order $n$ and type $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{u}\right)$, written $O D\left(n ; s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{u}\right)$, on the commuting variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ is a square matrix with entries $0, \pm x_{1}, \ldots, \pm x_{u}$ where $x_{i}$ or $-x_{i}$ occurs $s_{i}$ times in each row and column and distinct rows are formally orthogonal. That is

$$
D D^{T}=\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} s_{j} x_{j}^{2} .
$$

Each $A_{j}$ is a $(0,1,-1)$-matrix satisfying $A_{j} A_{j}^{T}=s_{j} I_{n}$ and is called a weighing matrix of weight $s_{j}$. A weighing matrix of order $n$ and weight $n$ is called an Hadamard matrix.

We define the excess of the orthogonal design $D$ as

$$
\sigma(D)=\sigma\left(A_{1}\right)+\cdots+\sigma\left(A_{u}\right),
$$

where $\sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is the sum of the entries of $A_{i}$, this is equivalent to putting all the variables equal to +1 .

Suitable matrices are matrices with elements +1 and -1 which can be used to replace the variables of $O D s$ to form Hadamard matrices. Of special interest are Williamson type matrices, which are 4 matrices, $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}$ with clements +1 or -1 of order $w$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_{i} W_{j}^{T} & =4 w I_{w} \\
W_{i} W_{j}^{T} & =W_{j} W_{i}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our construction follows that of Hammer, Levingston and Seberry [8] who formed orthogonal designs $O D(4 t ; t, t, t, t)$ and then replaced the variables by suitable matrices.

This practice for constructing Hadamard matrices derived from extensions due to Baumert-Hall [1] who found the first $O D(12 ; 3,3,3,3)$ and Cooper and (Seberry) Wallis [4] who first introduced $T$-matrices to form $O D(4 t ; t, t, t, t)$. The variables of these $O D$ s are then replaced by Williamson type matrices of order $w$ to form Hadamard matrices of order $4 w t$. These are discussed extensively by Gcramita and Seberry [7, pp. 120-125]. Cohen, Rubie, Koukouvinos, Seberry and Yamada [3] survey the most recent results. This method was also used by Koukouvinos and Kounias [12] to find Hadamard matrices with maximal excess.

## 2. The Equivalence Theorem

Theorem 1. There is an Hadamard matrix of order $n=4 s^{2}$ and maximal excess $n \sqrt{n}=8 s^{3}$ if and only if there is an $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 s^{2}, 2 s^{2}+s, s^{2}+s\right)$.
Proof. If there is an SBIBD, B, with parameters $\left(4 s^{2}, 2 s^{2}+s, s^{2}+s\right)$ then $A=2 B-J$ has elements +1 and -1 . $A$ has $2 s^{2}+s$ elements +1 in each row (and column) and $2 s^{2}-s$ elements -1 in each row (and column). Thus the row (column) sum of each row (column) of $A$ is $2 s^{2}+s-\left(2 s^{2}-s\right)=2 s$. Thus the excess of $A=4 s^{2} \times 2 s=$ $8 s^{3}=$ number of rows (columns) of $A$ times the row (column) sum of $A$.

Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
A A^{T} & =(2 B-J)(2 B-J)^{T} \\
& =4 B B^{T}-2 J B^{T}-2 B J+J^{2} \\
& =\left(s^{2} I+\left(s^{2}+s\right) J\right)-4\left(2 s^{2}+s\right) J+4 s^{2} J \\
& =4 s^{2} I
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $A$ is an Hadamard matrix.
Conversely, let $A$ be an Hadamard matrix of order $n=4 s^{2}$ and maximal excess $8 s^{3}$.

Let the column sum of the $i$ th column of $A$ be $x_{i}$. Then all $x_{i} \geq 0$, otherwise that entire column could be negated giving an Hadamard matrix with greater excess

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} x_{i}=8 s^{3}, \quad x_{i} \geq 0 \text { all } i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the sum of the column sums is the excess. Now let $e$ be the $1 \times n$ matrix of ones. Since $\boldsymbol{A}$ is an Hadamard matrix we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A A^{T}=4 s^{2} I \\
e A A^{T} e^{T}=4 s^{2} e e^{T}=16 s^{4}=\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right)^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=16 s^{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only solution to (2) and (3) is

$$
x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=2 s
$$

[Suppose $x_{i}=2 s+t_{i}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=8 s^{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}=8 s^{3} . \quad \text { So } \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}=0 .
$$

Also

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=16 s^{4}=16 s^{4}+4 s \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}=0
$$

so $t_{i}=0$ for all $i$.]
But this means each column of $A$ has $2 s^{2}+s$ elements +1 and $2 s^{2}-s$ elements -1 . Now, since $A$ is an Hadamard matrix, the columns of $A$ are orthogonal, so if two columns are written

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
1 \ldots 1 & 1 \ldots 1 & -1 \ldots-1 & -1 \ldots-1 \\
\underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{x} & \underbrace{-1 \ldots-1}_{2 s^{2}+s-x} & \underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{2 s^{2}+s-x} & \underbrace{-1 \ldots s^{2}-2\left(2 s^{2}+s-x\right)-x}
\end{array}
$$

where $x, 2 s^{2}+s-x, 2 s^{2}+s-x,-2 s+x$ are the number of columns of each type. Now since the rows are orthogonal

$$
\begin{gathered}
x-\left(2 s^{2}+s-x\right)-\left(2 s^{2}+s-x\right)-2 s+x=0 \\
4 s^{2}+4 s=4 x \\
x=s^{2}+s
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $A$ has $2 s^{2}+s$ elements +1 in each column and $s^{2}+s$ elements +1 in any column overlapping with elements +1 in every other column. A similar argument can be used for the rows. Thus $B=\frac{1}{2}(A+J)$ is an $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 s^{2}, 2 s^{2}+s, s^{2}+s\right)$.

In Seberry Wallis [24, p: 343] it is pointed out that Goethals and Seidel [9] and Shrikhande and Singh [19] have established:

Theorem 2. If there exists a $B I B D\left(2 k^{2}-k, 4 k^{2}-1,2 k+1, k, 1\right)$ then there exists a symmetric Hadamard matrix with constant diagonal of order $4 k^{2}$.

Morcover Shrikhande [18], [21] has studied these designs and showed they exist for $k=2^{t}, t \geq 1$. They are also known for $k=3,5,6,7$ [7].

In Seberry Wallis [24, pp. 344-346] it is established that symmetric Hadamard matrices with constant diagonal thus exist for $2^{2 t}, t \geq 1,36,100,144,196$ (after Theoem 5.14 of [24]) and using results of (Seberry) Wallis-Whiteman [23] and Szekeres [20] they arc shown to exist with the extra property of regularity for $4 \cdot 5^{2}, 4 \cdot 13^{2}, 4 \cdot 29^{2}, 4 \cdot 51^{2}$, and $4\left(2\left(\frac{p-3}{4}\right)+1\right)^{2}$, for $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ a prime power (after Theorem 5.15 of [24]).

Remark 1. Now a Theorem of Goethals and Seidel [9] (see Geramita and Seberry [8]) tells us that if there is an Hadamard matrix with constant diagonal of order $4 k$ there is a regular symmetric Hadamard matrix with constant diagonal of order $4(2 k)^{2}$. So an Hadamard matrix of order $4 t$ gives a regular symmetric Hadamard matrix of order $4 k^{2}, k=2 t$. In particular known results give these matrices for $2 t \leq 210$.

Remark 2. Now combining these results, and noting that regular symmetric Hadamard matrices with constant diagonal of orders $4 s^{2}$ and $4 t^{2}$ give a regular symmetric Hadamard matrix with constant diagonal with order $4(2 s t)^{2}$, we have them for orders $4 k^{2}$ for
(i) all even $k \leq 210$, all even $2 t$ when there is an Hadamard matrix of order $4 t$;
(ii) $k \in\{1,3,5,9,11,13,15,21,23,25,29,33,35,39,41,45,51,53,63,65,69,75,81$, $83,89,95,99,105,111,113,119,125,131,135,141,153,155,165,173,179,183$, $189,191,209\}$.

We now wish to establish the existence of some of the remaining undecided cases.

We first note a theorem given by Seberry Wallis: [24, p. 280]
Theorem 3. A regular Hadamard matrix $H$ of order $4 k^{2}$ with row sum $\pm 2 k$ exists if and only if there exists an $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2} \pm k, k^{2} \pm k\right)$.

We observe that the stipulation that the row sum is $\pm 2 k$ is unnecessary for if the matrix is regular it must have constant row sum, $x$, say.

Thus $e H^{T}=(x, \ldots, x)$ where $e$ is the $1 \times 4 k^{2}$ matrix of ones. Now $H^{T} H=4 k^{2} I$, so

$$
16 k^{4}=4 k^{2} e e^{T}=e H^{T} H e^{T}=(x, \ldots, x)(x, \ldots, x)^{T}=4 k^{2} x^{2}
$$

Thus $x= \pm 2 k$. The matrix with constant row sum $-2 k$ is the megative of the matrix with constant row sum $2 k$.

We can now combine the results obtained so far as
Theorem 4 (Equivalence Theorem). The following are equivalent:
(i) there exists an Hadamard matrix of order $4 k^{2}$ with maximal excess $\left(8 k^{3}\right)$;
(ii) there exists a regular Hadamard matrix of order $4 k^{2}$;
(iii) there is an $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2}+k, k^{2}+k\right)$ (and its complement the $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}\right.$, $\left.2 k^{2}-k, k^{2}+k\right)$ ).

This result was also observed by Best [1].
We now wish to consider the undecided cases. First we look at a known family of Williamson matrices.

## 3. Matrices of Order $4 \boldsymbol{q}^{2}, 2 q^{2}-1$ a Prime Power

We show that if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ is a prime power, $p=2 q^{2}-1$, then the Hadamard matrix found as in Hammer, Levingston and Seberry [10, p. 244] with excess $2(p+1)(x+y), p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ has

$$
\sigma(2(p+1))>2(p+1)(x+y)
$$

Since $p=x^{2}+y^{2}=2 q^{2}-1$ the excess is $4 q^{2}(x+y)$ and the order is $4 q^{2}$. But an Hadamard matrix of order $4 q^{2}$ has maximal excess $8 q^{3}$. So we consider $x+y$. Now $x=\left(2 q^{2}-1-y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ so $E=x+y$ is maximal for $\frac{d E}{d x}=0$ or $x=y$. But that means

$$
x=y=\left(q^{2}-0.5\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

As $x$ is an integer this means $x=y<q$ so $x+y<2 q$ and the excess $2(p+1)(x+y)<8 q^{3}$. So this method cannot give maximal excess for matrix orders $4 q^{2}$.

In some cases the construction gives quite high excess. The results are tabulated in Table 1.

Table 1

| $q$ | $2 q^{2}-1=x^{2}+y^{2}$ (prime) | Hadamard ordet <br> $=4 q^{2}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Maximal Excess } \\ =4 q^{2}\end{array}\right)$ | Found Excess <br> $=4 q^{2}(x+y)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | $3607=96^{2}+49^{2}$ | $4.43^{2}$ | $4.43^{2} .86$ | $4.43^{2} .85$ |
| 49 | $4801=24^{2}+65^{2}$ | $4.49^{2}$ | $4.49^{2} .98$ | $4.49^{2} .89$ |
| 59 | $6961=20^{2}+81^{2}$ | $4.59^{2}$ | $4.59^{2} .118$ | $4.59^{2} .101$ |
| 69 | $9521=40^{2}+89^{2}$ | $4.69^{2}$ | $4.69^{2} .138$ | $4.69^{2} .129$ |
| 73 | $10657=64^{2}+81^{2}$ | $4.73^{2}$ | $4.73^{2} .146$ | $4.73^{2} .145$ |
| 85 | $14449=7^{2}+120^{2}$ | $4.85^{2}$ | $4.85^{2} .170$ | $4.85^{2} .127$ |
| 87 | $15137=41^{2}+116^{2}$ | $4.7^{2}$ | $4.87^{2} .174$ | $4.87^{2} .157$ |
| 91 | $16561=81^{2}+100^{2}$ | $4.91^{2}$ | $4.91^{2} .182$ | $4.91^{2} .181$ |

## 4. The Hammer - Levingston - Seberry Construction Revisited

Hammer, Levingston and Seberry [10] suggested (following Cooper and (Seberry) Wallis [4]) using 4 circulant (or type 1) matrices of order $t, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$, with entries $0,+1,-1$ row sums $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ respectively satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } \sum_{i=1}^{4} X_{i} X_{i}^{T}=t I_{t}, \\
\text { (ii) } X_{i} J=x_{i} J, \\
\text { (iii) } X_{i} * X_{J}=0, i \neq j . \\
\text { (iv) } \sum_{i=1}^{4} X_{i} \text { is a }(1,-1) \text {-matrix, } \\
\text { (v) } x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}=t .
\end{array}\right.
$$

These matrices are called $T$-matrices.
This means $\sigma\left(X_{i}\right)$, the excess of $X_{i}$ is $t x_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, because each $X_{i}$ is circulant (or type $1=$ block circulant).

Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}$ be commuting variables and

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
-y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4} \\
y_{2} & y_{1} & y_{4} & -y_{3} \\
y_{3} & -y_{4} & y_{1} & y_{2} \\
y_{4} & y_{3} & -y_{2} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left(u_{i j}\right), \quad V=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4} \\
y_{2} & -y_{1} & -y_{4} & y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{4} & -y_{1} & -y_{2} \\
y_{4} & -y_{3} & y_{2} & -y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left(v_{i j}\right) .
$$

Now we can form $A_{i}$ by either choosing

$$
A_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} u_{i k} X_{k}, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

or

$$
A_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} v_{i k} X_{k}, \quad i=1,2,3,4 .
$$

$A_{i}$ will be circulant (or type 1) according as $X_{i}$ is circulant (or type 1).
Now the elements of $A_{i}$ are variables, so the excess is a linear expression in $x_{i}$ (constants) and $y_{i}$ (variables). Depending on which coefficients are used (the $u_{i k}$ or $v_{i k}$ ) the excesses of the $A_{i}$ will be:

Case 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=\left(-y_{1} x_{1}+y_{2} x_{2}+y_{3} x_{3}+y_{4} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{2}\right)=\left(y_{2} x_{1}+y_{1} x_{2}+y_{4} x_{3}-y_{3} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{3}\right)=\left(y_{3} x_{1}-y_{4} x_{2}+y_{1} x_{3}+y_{2} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{4}\right)=\left(y_{4} x_{1}+y_{3} x_{2}-y_{2} x_{3}+y_{1} x_{4}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=\left(y_{1} x_{1}+y_{2} x_{2}+y_{3} x_{3}+y_{4} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{2}\right)=\left(y_{2} x_{1}-y_{1} x_{2}-y_{4} x_{3}+y_{3} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{3}\right)=\left(y_{3} x_{1}+y_{4} x_{2}-y_{1} x_{3}-y_{2} x_{4}\right) t \\
& \sigma\left(A_{4}\right)=\left(y_{4} x_{1}-y_{3} x_{2}+y_{2} x_{3}-y_{1} x_{4}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-A_{1} & A_{2} R & A_{3} R & A_{4} R \\
A_{2} R & A_{1} & A_{4}^{T} R & -A_{3}^{T} R \\
A_{3} R & -A_{4}^{T} R & A_{1} & A_{2}^{T} R \\
A_{4} R & A_{3}^{T} R & -A_{2}^{T} R & A_{1}
\end{array}\right], \\
& H=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & A_{2} R & A_{3} R & A_{4} R \\
-A_{2} R & A_{1} & A_{4}^{T} R & -A_{3}^{T} R \\
-A_{3} R & -A_{4}^{T} R & A_{1} & A_{2}^{T} R \\
-A_{4} R & A_{3}^{T} R & -A_{2}^{T} R & A_{1}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the back diagonal matrix and $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ are circulant matrices (or type 1).

Now if the matrices from Case 1 are used in $G$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(O D) & =2 \sigma\left(A_{1}\right)+2 \sigma\left(A_{2}\right)+2 \sigma\left(A_{3}\right)+2 \sigma\left(A_{4}\right) \\
& =2 t\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}\right)\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Call this case $1 G$. If the matrices from Case 1 are used in $H$ we get

$$
\sigma(O D)=4 \sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=4 t\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}\right)\left[\begin{array}{r}
-x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Call this case 1 H .
If the matrices of Case 2 are used in $G$ we get

$$
\sigma(O D)=2 t\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}\right)\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Call this Case 2G. While if the matrices from Case 2 are used in $H$ we get

$$
\sigma(O D)=4 t\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Call this Case 2H.
Case $1 H$ is never used as for positive $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ (which can always be assumed as a row or matrix with negative row sum or excess can be just negated to get a row or matrix with positive row sum or excess).

If each of the variables $y_{i}$ is replaced by 1 we get the excesses
$4 t\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right), \quad 4 t\left(-x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right), \quad 8 t x_{1}, \quad 4 t\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)$, respectively. So the excess of the corresponding Hadamard matrix of order $4 t$ is

$$
\sigma(4 t) \geq 4 t \max \left(2 x_{1}, x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right) .
$$

Where $x_{i}$ is the row sum of the $T$-matrices.
Example 1. Suppose that $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$ are the circulant matrices of order 9 with first rows

$$
(110100000),(0010-10000),(00000100-1),(0000001-10)
$$

Then $x_{1}=3, x_{2}=0, x_{3}=0, x_{4}=0$ and

$$
\sigma(36) \geq 36 \max (6,3+0+0+0)=216=36 \sqrt{36}
$$

So we in fact have the matrix of order 36 with maximal excess.
Now instead of replacing $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}$ by 1 we replace them by suitable matrices (for example Williamson matrices) $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}$ of order $w$ with row and column sums $a, b, c, d$ respectively where

$$
e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_{i} W_{i}^{T}\right) e^{T}=w\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}\right)=4 w e e^{T}=4 w^{2}
$$

$e$ being the $1 \times w$ matrix of 1 s .
So

$$
\sigma\left(W_{1}\right)=a w, \quad \sigma\left(W_{2}\right)=b w, \quad \sigma\left(W_{3}\right)=c w, \quad \sigma\left(W_{4}\right)=d w
$$

and

$$
\sigma(4 t w)=2 t w\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c  \tag{case1G}\\
d
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\sigma(4 t w)=4 t w\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a & b & c & d
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{r}
-x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right] \\
\sigma(4 t w)=2 t w\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c
\end{array}\right]  \tag{case2G}\\
d
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Example 2. Suppose that $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$ are as in Example 1. Then $x_{1}=3$, $x_{2}=x_{3}=x_{4}=0$.Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma(36 w)=54 w(-a+b+c+d) \\
& \sigma(36 w)=-108 w a \\
& \sigma(36 w)=54 w(a+b+c+d) \\
& \sigma(36 w)=108 w a
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\sigma(36 w)=-108 w a \quad \text { (case } 1 H)
$$

(case $2 H$ )
We now observe that if

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then

$$
W_{1}=W_{2}=W_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
J & B & -B \\
-B & J & B \\
B & -B & J
\end{array}\right] \quad W_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B & B & B \\
B & B & B \\
B & B & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_{i} W_{i}^{T}=36 I, W_{i} W_{j}^{T}=W_{j} W_{i}^{T}$, and the row sums are $a=b=c=d=3$.
Thus $\sigma(36 \cdot 9) \geq \max (54 \cdot 9 \cdot 6,54 \cdot 9 \cdot 12,108 \cdot 9 \cdot 3)$ from cases $1 G, 2 G$ and $2 H$ respectively i.e.

$$
\sigma(4 \cdot 9 \cdot 9) \geq 8 \cdot 3^{6}=9 \cdot 36 \sqrt{9 \cdot 36}
$$

So we have the Hadamard matrix with maximal excess.
This method is that used by Koukouvinos and Kounias [12] (but not quite in this form) to construct their maximal excess Hadamard matrices. For convenience we state these results as a theorem.

Theorem 5. Suppose there are Williamson type matrices of order $w$ and row sums $a, b, c, d$. Suppose there are $T$-matrices of order $t$ and row sums $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ then the excess of the Hadamard matrix of order $4 w t$ formed from these matrices satisfies (writing $\mathbf{A}$ for $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c\end{array}\right)$ ) and $\mathbf{X}$ for $\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right)^{T}$.)

$$
\sigma(4 w t) \geq \max \left(4 w t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}, 2 w t \mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{X}, 2 w t \mathbf{A}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{X}\right)
$$

## 5. Some Numerical Results

We have seen that we can extablish the existence of $S B I B D$ s and regular Hadamard matrices by looking for Hadamard matrices with maximal excess.

First we note that Koukouvinos and Kounias [12] have shown:
Theorem 6. Hadamard matrices of order $4 k^{2}$ with maximal excess exist for $k=1$, $3, \ldots, 13, \ldots, 17,19,21, \ldots, 25,33,37$.

Combining the results of Remark 2 with this theorem and using the Equivalence Theorem we get many more matrices with maximal excess.

Yamada [26, Section 3] has shown there are Hadamard matrices
(i) of order $4 \cdot 3^{2 m}$ and excess $8 \cdot 3^{3 m}$
(ii) of order $2^{2 t} \cdot 5^{2}$ and excess $2^{3 t} \cdot 5^{3}$.

This means there are Hadamard matrices with maximal excess for orders $4 \cdot 27^{2}$ and $4.81^{2}$.

In Geramita and Seberry [8, p. 175] the $T$-matrices to construct an $O D(4 \cdot 61$; $61,61,61,61$ ) with row sums $6,5,0,0$ are given. This gives an excess of either $4 \cdot 61\left(6 y_{3}+5 y_{4}\right)$ or $2 \cdot 61\left(y_{1}+11 y_{2}+y_{3}+11 y_{4}\right)$. Now $121=11^{2}+0^{2}$ is a prime power so there are Williamson matrices of order $n=\frac{121+1}{2}=61$, with row sums $1,11,1,11$. Thus there is an Hadamard matrix of order $4 \cdot 61^{2}$ with excess $2 \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 4 \cdot 61$.

Now the sequences $\left\{10_{11}\right\},\{0111111-1-11-11-1\}$ can be used for $A$ or $B$ and $\{1010-1010-101\},\{01010-101010\}$ can be used for $C$ or $D$ in Yang's construction [27] to form $T$-matrices of order 69. Depending on the order the matrices are used we can get $T$-matrices of row sums $6,5,2,2$ or $7,40,2$ or $6,1,4,4$ and order 69 . We use the $T$-matrices with row sums $6,5,2,2$.

For $t=25$ use the $T$-matrices given in Geramita and Seberry [8, p. 175] which give an $O D(100 ; 25,25,25,25)$ and which have row sums $5,0,0,0$. This gives an excess of $50\left(5 y_{1}+5 y_{2}+5 y_{3}+5 y_{4}\right)=2 \cdot 5^{3}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}\right)$. Now as Yamada remarks [26, section 3] there are Williamson matrices of order $n=3^{2 m}$ with row sums $3^{m}, 3^{m}, 3^{m}, 3^{m}$. So there are Hadamard matrices of order $4 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 3^{2 m}$ with maximal excess $8 \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 3^{3 m}$. There are also (see [24, p. 389] Williamson matrices of order $n=25$ with row sums $5,5,5,5$. So there are Hadamard matrices of order $4 \cdot 5^{4}$ with maximal excess $8 \cdot 5^{6}$.

Lemma 7. There are Hadamard matrices of order $100 \cdot 3^{2 m}, m \geq 0$ and maximal excess $1000 \cdot 3^{3 m}$. There are Hadamard matrices of order $4 \cdot 5^{4}$ and maximal excess 8. $5^{6}$.

## 6. Summarizing

Theorem 8. Hadamard matrices of order $4 k^{2}$ with maximal excess exist for
(i) $k$ even $k \leq 210$, or an Hadamard matrix of order $2 k$ exists,
(ii) $k \in\left\{1,3,5, \ldots, 29,33, \ldots, 41,45,51,53,61, \ldots, 69,75,81,83,89,95,99,625,3^{2 m}\right.$, $\left.5^{2} \cdot 3^{2 m}, m \geq 0\right\}$.
This means that regular Hadamard matrices of order $4 k^{2}$ and $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2} \pm k\right.$, $\left.k^{2} \pm k\right)$ also exist for these $k$ values.
Remark. Koukouvinos, Kounias and Seberry have subsequently, in "Further Hadamard matrices with maximal excess and new $\operatorname{SBIBD}\left(4 k^{2}, 2 k^{2}+k, k^{2}+k\right) "$ Utilitas Math. (to appear) extended (ii) to include

$$
k \in\{31,43,49,55,57,85,87,91,93,115,117\}
$$
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