








Scalable neutral H₂O₂ electrosynthesis by platinum diphosphide nanocrystals by regulating oxygen reduction reaction pathways

Hui Li^{1,8}, Peng Wen^{2,8}, Dominique S. Itanze¹, Zachary D. Hood^{3,4}, Shiba Adhikari ⁵, Chang Lu ¹, Xiao Ma ¹, Chaochao Dun ⁶, Lin Jiang⁷, David L. Carroll⁶, Yejun Qiu² & Scott M. Geyer ¹

Despite progress in small scale electrocatalytic production of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) using a rotating ring-disk electrode, further work is needed to develop a non-toxic, selective, and stable O₂-to-H₂O₂ electrocatalyst for realizing continuous on-site production of neutral hydrogen peroxide. We report ultrasmall and monodisperse colloidal PtP₂ nanocrystals that achieve H₂O₂ production at near zero-overpotential with near unity H₂O₂ selectivity at 0.27 V vs. RHE. Density functional theory calculations indicate that P promotes hydrogenation of OOH* to H₂O₂ by weakening the Pt-OOH* bond and suppressing the dissociative OOH* to O* pathway. Atomic layer deposition of Al₂O₃ prevents NC aggregation and enables application in a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) with a maximum r(H₂O₂) of 2.26 mmol h⁻¹ cm⁻² and a current efficiency of 78.8% even at a high current density of 150 mA cm⁻². Catalyst stability enables an accumulated neutral H₂O₂ concentration in 600 mL of 3.0 wt% (pH = 6.6).

¹Department of Chemistry, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27106, USA. ²Shenzhen Engineering Lab of Flexible Transparent Conductive Films, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China. ³Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences (CNMS), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA. ⁴Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. ⁵Material Science and Technology Division (MSTD), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA. ⁶Center for Nanotechnology and Molecular Materials, Department of Physics, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, USA. ⁷Institute of Functional Nano and Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, China. ⁸These authors contributed equally: Hui Li, Peng Wen. ✉email: yejunqiu@hit.edu.cn; geyersm@wfu.edu

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is a valuable chemical for a variety of industrial applications, as well as a potential energy carrier alternative to oil or hydrogen in fuel cells. H_2O_2 is currently manufactured by a large-scale indirect anthraquinone process and the under-developed direct synthesis from a H_2 and O_2 mixture¹. The anthraquinone process involves multiple redox reaction steps and requires expensive palladium-based hydrogenation catalysts. Furthermore, energy-intensive distillation for obtaining high concentration H_2O_2 is necessary to minimize transportation and storage costs. Direct synthesis via H_2 and O_2 is more straightforward but potentially explosive. Electrochemical H_2O_2 production through the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in an electrolyzer or fuel cell is an attractive and cost-effective route due to its mild operation conditions, on-site production, and tunable concentration². However, it is still a great challenge to develop efficient and stable electrocatalysts that are selective toward the two-electron ORR.

Incorporation of an efficient and stable catalyst into a proton-exchange membrane electrolyzer or fuel cell is a promising route to commercialization. Many potential ORR electrocatalysts, mainly including carbon-based materials and noble metal-based materials, have been reported for H_2O_2 production in alkaline or acidic electrolyte³. Carbon-based electrocatalysts typically perform well in alkaline solution but show low intrinsic activity and stability in acidic media⁴. Bimetallic noble metal alloys, such as Au–Pd, Pt–Hg, Pd–Hg, and Au–Pt–Ni, catalyze ORR through two-electron pathways with selectivity as high as 95%^{5–7}. The goal of secondary metal incorporation is to change the electronic structure of the primary catalytic site and optimize the binding strength of reaction intermediates⁸. An ideal two-electron ORR electrocatalyst should possess a suitable binding strength for OOH^* (not too strong or too weak) and suppress the O–O bond breakage in OOH^* to O^* . However, stability of the bimetallic alloys is a concern, particularly for medicinal or water treatment applications. Elemental leaching hinders the long-term ORR stability and the leached ingredient (particularly toxic Hg) devalues the H_2O_2 product and increases the separation cost^{9,10}. Apart from the metal alloying strategy, incorporation of non-metal elements such as phosphorus, sulfur, and boron into metals to form multicomponent alloys has been demonstrated to be an attractive and effective way to improve the electrocatalytic activity of metal catalysts^{11–15}. Particularly, our previous work found that electronegative phosphorus (P) was able to regulate the binding strength of intermediates in ORR and improve the four-electron ORR activity for cobalt phosphide¹⁶. Since Pt is the most widely used material for four-electron ORR, it is highly desirable to investigate if P alloying is able to alter the electronic structure of P-rich platinum phosphide and shift the reaction pathway from a four-electron to a two-electron pathway.

Ultrascale nanoparticle catalysts not only have a high surface to volume ratio which reduces cost for precious metal catalysts by increasing the per mass surface area, but also lead to higher exposure of low-coordinated edge sites for improved electrocatalytic activity¹⁷. However, small nanoparticle electrocatalysts are prone to aggregation during long-term electrochemical operation, which lowers surface area, reduces available active sites and consequently causes electrocatalytic activity degradation¹⁸. Encapsulation of nanoparticles in a porous ultrathin shell of a stable metal oxide can largely preserve the catalytic activity while increasing the resistance against aggregation¹⁹. Atomic layer deposition (ALD), a thin-film deposition technique that allows growth of conformal coating through a self-limiting vapor growth process, has been employed to deposit ultrathin metal oxide layers to overcoat and stabilize nanoparticle catalysts for optimizing both activity and durability^{20–22}. Therefore, ALD is of interest to prevent aggregation of ultrascale platinum phosphide

nanocrystals and preserve their ORR activity and selectivity during long-term electrocatalysis.

Generally, the ORR activity of an electrocatalyst is initially evaluated by the rotating-ring disk electrode (RRDE) technique, which provides only an upper limit to the activity and selectivity of two-electron ORR due to rapid transportation of H_2O_2 from disk to ring². In real devices, such as those based on a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) architecture, additional transport factors must be considered. These include the slow diffusion rate of H_2O_2 from the catalyst and gas diffusion layers to the output stream and the chance for further H_2O_2 reduction or chemical decomposition²³. Yamanaka and Wilkinson's groups have reported MEA-based water electrolyzers and fuel cell reactors for production of small amounts of neutral H_2O_2 by continuously feeding gaseous O_2 into the cathodic chamber^{24–27}. However, the long-term stability for efficient O_2 -to- H_2O_2 production at high current levels is still a large challenge due to the severe H_2O_2 accumulation at the interface between ORR catalyst layer and proton-exchange membrane, particularly if there is no solvent flow to remove the concentrated product. To reach a high concentration at a large scale will require incorporation of an efficient two-electron ORR catalyst into a system with sufficient long-term stability in the presence of high H_2O_2 content to allow for continuous recycling of the H_2O_2 product to reach medical level concentrations.

Herein, monodisperse colloidal platinum diphosphide nanocrystals (PtP_2 NCs) with a uniform size of 3 nm are directly synthesized by a hot-injection method using platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate and tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine. Unlike ORR catalyzed by Pt NCs which follow a conventional four-electron pathway, the ultrascale PtP_2 NCs proceed through a two-electron pathway with a nearly zero overpotential to initialize the O_2 -to- H_2O_2 reaction and achieve a maximum selectivity of 98.5% at 0.27 V vs. RHE. DFT calculations reveal that changes in electron density and increased Pt atom separation due to P incorporation leads to a weaker adsorption of the key OOH^* intermediate and inhibition of subsequent O–O bond breakage of OOH^* to form the O^* intermediate. The ultrascale PtP_2 NCs are treated by ALD of an alumina overcoat and post-annealing to suppress aggregation and maintain electrocatalytic stability. The resulting catalyst is employed in a PEMFC to achieve a steady neutral H_2O_2 formation rate of $2.26 \text{ mmol h}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and the accumulated H_2O_2 concentration reaches 3 wt% in 65 h and as high as 1.21 M in 600 mL after continuous cycling for 120 h.

Results

Synthesis and characterization. To synthesize ultrascale and highly monodisperse platinum phosphide NCs, platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate and tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine ($(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{P}$) are employed in a hot-injection synthesis to allow for separate control of the nucleation and growth processes. In a typical preparation, 0.3 mmol of platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate (0.118 g) was initially mixed with 8 mL oleylamine (OAm), 0.5 mL oleic acid (OA), and 8 mL octadecene (ODE). Oxygen and impurities were removed by placing the solution under vacuum at 120 °C for 1 h. In a nitrogen atmosphere, the solution was then heated to 220 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. Meanwhile, the P precursor was prepared by placing 1.2 mL $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{P}$ dissolved in hexane (10 wt%) and 1.0 mL ODE under vacuum to remove the hexane at room temperature. The $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{P}$ solution was quickly injected at 220 °C and for 15 min the temperature was maintained. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP–MS) gives an atomic ratio of platinum to phosphorus of 0.498 (Supplementary Table 1), and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) of platinum phosphide NCs shows a similar Pt:P ratio of




Fig. 1 Materials characterization of PtP₂ NCs. **a** TEM image; scale bar, 20 nm. **b** HRTEM image; scale bar, 2 nm, inset is the selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) image; scale bar, 5 1/nm. **c** HAADF-STEM, and **d–f** elemental mapping images of PtP₂ NCs; scale bar, 5 nm. **g** Pt L₃-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and **h** extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of PtP₂ NCs, Pt NCs, and Pt foil.

0.509 (Supplementary Fig. 1), confirming the formation of PtP₂. The XRD pattern of PtP₂ NCs matches the cubic structure of bulk PtP₂ (ICDD PDF: 01-080-2220) (Supplementary Fig. 2). The central Pt atoms are surrounded by 6 phosphorus atoms, which are situated at the corners of a slightly distorted octahedron. Similarly, the phosphorus atoms are surrounded by one phosphorus and three platinum neighbors (Supplementary Fig. 3). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the as-synthesized PtP₂ NCs shows a spherical morphology with average size of 3 ± 0.2 nm (Fig. 1a). A well-resolved lattice fringe with interplane distances of 0.33 nm is observed, corresponding to the (111) crystallographic plane of the cubic PtP₂ (Fig. 1b). The (111) plane, associated with other planes, such as (200), (211), and (222), are shown on the corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) image (inset of Fig. 1b), indicating good crystallinity of the as-synthesized PtP₂ NCs. The well-defined spherical geometry and high monodispersity of the PtP₂ NCs is clearly observed from the high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) image (Fig. 1c). The elemental

mapping of the PtP₂ NCs shows that the Pt and P elements are evenly distributed throughout the whole nanocrystal region (Fig. 1d–f).

X-ray absorption spectroscopy was carried out to reveal the local geometric and electronic structures of PtP₂ and Pt NCs. Figure 1g shows the Pt L₃-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra of PtP₂ NCs, Pt NCs, and Pt foil. The rising edge of PtP₂ NCs shows a positive shift compared with that of Pt NCs and Pt foil due to the increased valence oxidation state after incorporation of P into Pt. This result can be ascribed to an electron density shift from metallic Pt to local P atoms with high electronegativity. The donor-acceptor nature of electron density distribution in PtP₂ NCs is further confirmed by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of Pt 4f (Supplementary Fig. 5). The binding energy of Pt 4f_{7/2} for PtP₂ NCs is positively shifted (0.9 eV) from that of Pt 4f_{7/2} for Pt NCs. This shift is larger than that of conventional metal alloying, suggesting that the stronger electron delocalization in PtP₂ NCs may have greater influence on the intrinsic electronic properties and reaction intermediates

adsorption/desorption behavior²⁸. The intensity of the Pt L₃ white line is a qualitative indicator of electron vacancies in the 5d orbitals of Pt atoms. The white line intensity for PtP₂ NCs is higher than that for Pt NCs and Pt foil, which is attributed to an electron density shift from Pt to P which creates electron vacancies in Pt and increases probability for electron transition from 2p to the unoccupied 5d orbital²⁹. The Fourier transforms of the k³-weighted extended Pt L₃-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra were shown in Fig. 1h. The EXAFS fitting results are summarized in Supplementary Fig. 6 and Table 2. The small peak located at 1.60 Å is assigned to the typical Pt–O bond which is derived from trace amounts of surface platinum oxide. The peaks at 2.25 and 2.85 Å are both attributed to the Pt–P bond, suggests diverse P coordination to the central Pt. Interestingly, no fitting peak is observed within 3.00 Å for the Pt–Pt bond in PtP₂, which is consistent with an expected Pt–Pt distance in the range of 3.6–4.2 Å in the PtP₂ cubic crystal structure. This length difference has significant influence on the intermediate adsorption on the platinum bridge site, which will be discussed in detail later.

Electrochemical ORR performance. The ORR was performed in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution using a RRDE. The potential of the ring is set such that it can oxidize the H₂O₂ produced at the disk electrode, with the resulting current providing a measurement of the level of H₂O₂. For the disk current, the PtP₂ NCs show an onset potential (defined as the potential at which a current density of 0.1 mA/cm² is achieved) of 0.716 V vs. RHE, which is significantly shifted from that of Pt NCs (0.88 V vs. RHE) (Fig. 2a). A remarkable ring current in the potential range of 0.1–0.708 V vs. RHE is observed on PtP₂ NCs, compared to a negligible current measured for the Pt NCs control. The slightly higher onset potential of the PtP₂ NCs than the thermodynamic limit of 0.70 V vs. RHE could potentially be ascribed to a Nernst-related potential shift. The PtP₂ NCs achieve a maximum H₂O₂ selectivity of 98.5% at 0.27 V vs. RHE, and the corresponding electron transfer number (*n*) is 2.03 (Supplementary Fig. 8), while the Pt NCs follow a typical four-electron pathway during ORR process. This indicates that phosphorus incorporation can regulate the electronic structure of surface Pt active sites and therefore alter the ORR pathway. Figure 2b summarizes the mass activity of different electrocatalysts for O₂-to-H₂O₂ conversion, and further details of these calculations are available in Supplementary Table 3. The remarkable mass activity and low overpotential of PtP₂ is superior to most reported electrocatalysts. While it is inferior to the state-of-the-art Pt–Hg and Pd–Hg alloys, replacement of Hg with minimal loss in efficiency is promising since the potential for Hg to leach directly into a medicinal product is a considerable barrier for practical application.

To directly compare stability, highly monodispersed Pt–Hg nanocrystals were also synthesized (Supplementary Fig. 9). The PtP₂ NCs show very similar mass activity to the state-of-the-art Pt–Hg NCs (Supplementary Fig. 10), but the leaching of heavy metals is greatly reduced as determined by ICP–MS. The concentration of Hg leached from Pt–Hg NCs is 74.6 × 10³ ppb after ORR for 6 h in 0.1 M HClO₄, which is three orders of magnitude higher than the Pt and P leached from PtP₂ NCs (Supplementary Table 4). This severe leaching phenomenon limits the wide application of H₂O₂ produced by the Pt–Hg nanoparticle electrocatalyst, particularly for medicine or water purification. In general, the chemical stability of bimetallic Pt–Hg alloy catalysts is highly dependent on their synthesis routes and surface properties and further studies need to be done to systematically establish Hg leaching discrepancy between the

discussed Pt–Hg NCs and the reported electrodeposited Pt–Hg nanoparticles⁶.

In situ attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR) was carried out to investigate adsorbed oxygen intermediates on the supported PtP₂ catalyst during ORR in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ (Fig. 2c). As detailed below, the in situ observation of OOH_{ad} and HOOH_{ad} during ORR confirms the associative two-electron pathway for PtP₂. In accordance with previous literature, the bands at 1435 and 1330 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the functional groups of the carbon support, and the bands at 1126 and 1052 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to the ClO₄⁻ species³⁰. The absorption band at 1488 cm⁻¹, present at all applied potentials, is assigned to the O–O stretching mode of adsorbed molecular oxygen (O_{2,ad}). This assignment agrees with previous report that the band of adsorbed O₂ is observed around 1468 cm⁻¹ for Pt/C³¹. The band intensity shows a continuous decrease with increase of overpotential due to O₂ consumption as well as substitution by the newly formed oxygen species (Supplementary Fig. 11a). This band disappears in N₂-saturated solution and shifts to 1406 cm⁻¹ in the ¹⁸O₂ isotope spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 12). The band at 1264 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the O–O stretching mode of adsorbed superoxide (OOH_{ad}), which is consistent with that reported for the Au electrode³². It appears at 0.7 V vs. RHE and increases with increasingly negative potential (Supplementary Fig. 11b), which is consistent with the trend of the H₂O₂ current in the linear sweep voltammograms of PtP₂ NCs. The OOH_{ad} band shows a significant shift to 1193 cm⁻¹ in ¹⁸O₂ (Supplementary Fig. 10), while remaining the same in the deuterated medium (Supplementary Fig. 13). As for Pt NCs, the OOH_{ad} band is initially observed at 0.9 V vs. RHE, consistent with its onset potential of four-electron ORR. Lastly, the band at 1396 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the OOH bending mode of adsorbed hydroperoxide (HOOH_{ad})³³. A slight shift is observed for HOOH_{ad} in ¹⁸O₂ (Supplementary Fig. 12), while the band is not detected in the deuterated medium presumably due to a large shift to lower wavenumber (Supplementary Fig. 13). The HOOH_{ad} band first appears at 0.7 V vs. RHE for PtP₂ NCs, in accordance with the onset in H₂O₂ production.

Figure 2d shows the normalized in situ Pt L₃-XANES spectra of PtP₂ NCs recorded at various ORR potentials in 0.1 M HClO₄. Commercial PtO₂, PtCl₂, and Pt foil were used as references and a linear combination of XANES spectra was fitted to the in situ Pt L₃-edge spectra (Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15). The calculated oxidation states of platinum species in PtP₂ under various potentials are shown in Fig. 2e and summarized in Supplementary Table 5. For the as-prepared PtP₂ NCs, the initial oxidation state of platinum species is calculated to be +3.26, which is attributed to the electron density shift from Pt to P. Under open-circuit potential (OCP) and 0.9 V, a slight change of oxidation state is observed. This is presumably attributed to the formation and dissolution of minor surface oxide based on the small change of white line intensity. At a potential of 0.7 V a negative shift in the absorption edge is observed the average oxidation state decreases to +2.72 since the surface platinum active sites in PtP₂ start to be involved in O₂ activation and H₂O₂ generation. The oxidation state further decreases to +2.25 by 0.5 V and remains the same to 0.3 V. It should be noted that the oxidation state of +2.25 keeps stable at 0.3 V for 6 h of measurement (Supplementary Fig. 16), indicating that the high P content can stabilize Pt^{+2.25} in the PtP₂ for sustaining rapid and stable O₂-to-H₂O₂ conversion.

The inset of Fig. 2d illustrates the changes in the Pt L₃-edge XANES spectra with the in situ potential. Here, Δμ is the value obtained by subtracting the Pt L₃-edge XANES spectrum at 0.54 V from that collected at elevated potentials. The |Δμ| of Pt NCs increase monotonically with potential, while for PtP₂ |Δμ|




Fig. 2 Electrochemical and in situ characterization of PtP₂ NCs. **a** RRDE voltammograms at 1600 rpm in O₂-saturated electrolyte with the disk current density, ring current density, and current density corresponding to hydrogen peroxide obtained from the ring current. **b** Mass activity of different electrocatalysts for H₂O₂ production in acidic electrolyte. **c** In situ ATR-IR spectra and **d** in situ Pt L₃-edge XANES spectra collected on the PtP₂ electrodes at constant potential in O₂-saturated 0.1M HClO₄. Inset in **d** shows the impact of potential on the Pt L₃-edge XANES spectra: $\Delta\mu = \mu(V) - \mu(0.54\text{ V})$. **e** Pt oxidation state in PtP₂ as a functional of applied constant potential.

increases sharply from 0.54–0.7 V but remains unchanged to 0.9 V. The value of $|\Delta\mu|$ is expected to increase with increasing amounts of oxygen species adsorption³⁴. The relatively high $|\Delta\mu|$ for PtP₂ within 0.54–0.7 V indicates a high coverage of oxygen intermediates in this region of intermediate activity, potentially due a rate limiting step beyond generation of the initial oxygen intermediates such as *OOH adsorption.

Theoretical DFT calculation for ORR. Two-electron ORR generally follows two hydrogenation steps for H₂O₂ production, i.e., O₂ → OOH* → H₂O₂, while four-electron ORR proceeds with four successive hydrogenation steps for H₂O generation, i.e., O₂ → OOH* → O* → OH* → H₂O (Fig. 3a). The optimized geometries of OOH*, O*, and OH* intermediates on PtP₂ (111)

are shown in Supplementary Fig. 17. Recent theoretical studies have pointed out that the ORR activity for H₂O₂ production is strongly related to the binding ability of OOH*⁶. Specifically, for an ideal catalyst for H₂O₂ production, the adsorption of OOH* should be neither too strong nor too weak.

The adsorption configuration of OOH* is determined by the orientation type of the adsorbed oxygen molecule. There are usually two different adsorption configurations, end-on adsorption and side-on adsorption, for O₂ on the catalytic surface of Pt and PtP₂ (Supplementary Fig. 18). For pure Pt, the optimized adsorption energy (E_{ads}) of the end-on configuration is more negative than that of side-on configuration, indicating that the former orientation is more favorable and subsequently that associative hydrogenation prevails over the dissociative reaction pathway. For PtP₂, the adsorption energy of the end-on




Fig. 3 DFT analysis of reaction intermediates. **a** Key oxygen intermediates for PtP₂ and Pt during two-electron and four-electron ORR pathways. OOH* adsorbed on top site of PtP₂ and Pt is compared. **b** Difference between adsorption behavior of OOH* on bridge site of PtP₂ and Pt. **c** Bader charge distribution of PtP₂. **d** Partial density of states (PDOS) for PtP₂ (111) and Pt (111) with adsorbed OOH*. **e** Free-energy diagram for O₂-to-H₂O₂ at 0.70 V. **f** Free-energy diagram for the two-electron and four-electron ORR on PtP₂.

configuration is three times that of side-on configuration, suggests that the side-on adsorption of O₂ is less favored to occur on the PtP₂ surface and therefore the end-on adsorption of OOH* become the dominating configuration. Moreover, the optimized adsorption configuration of O* on PtP₂ (111) is only available on top and bridge sites since simulations of O* adsorbed on hollow sites yielded bridge sites following optimization (Supplementary Fig. 17). By contrast, the O* adsorbed on hollow site on Pt (111) is more stable than that on the top and bridge sites because of strong back electron donation from Pt to O orbitals in the triple bonding state. However, the O₂ molecule and OOH* intermediate adsorbed on hollow site of Pt (111) surface are less favorable, which leads to higher energy barrier for the conversion of OOH* to O* at the hollow site. Figure 3e depicts the free-energy diagram for two-electron O₂-to-H₂O₂ pathway at equilibrium potential of 0.7 V vs. RHE. The OOH* on the typical Au and Pt metal catalysts is too weak and too strong, respectively. The free energy of OOH* (G_{OOH^*}) on top (a), bridge (b), and hollow (c) sites of PtP₂ (111) is 3.39, 3.47, and 3.43 eV, respectively. Compared to Pt, the average free-energy difference compared to the ideal value of 3.52 eV is 0.09 eV, which is less than half that for Pt (0.261 eV). The weaker adsorption of OOH* on PtP₂ (111) can be explained by both electronic and geometric effects resulting from incorporation of P. For the top site of PtP₂ (111), the bond length of Pt-O in PtP₂-OOH* is 2.27 Å, longer

than that in Pt-OOH* (2.08 Å) (Fig. 3a). This effect is attributed to electron delocalization in phosphorus-rich PtP₂ (Fig. 3c). For the bridge site of PtP₂ (111), the average Pt-O bond length is increased because the Pt-Pt distance is larger due to the high degree of P incorporation (Fig. 3b).

A lower overlap among the binding states between Pt_{5d} and O_{2p} is observed when OOH* is adsorbed on PtP₂ (111) compared with Pt (111) (Fig. 3d), leading to a weaker binding strength of OOH* over PtP₂ (111) surface. Figure 3f shows the potential-dependent free-energy diagram for two-electron and four-electron ORR pathways on the PtP₂ (111) surface. At zero electrode potential ($U = 0 \text{ V}$) all elementary steps of the ORR are exothermic. At the equilibrium potential ($U = 1.23 \text{ V}$), based on the free energies of the intermediates species the first two steps are predicted to be rate determining for the four-electron ORR. At an electrode potential of 0.7 V, the free-energy difference between OOH* to H₂O₂ is 0.106 eV compared to 0.180 eV for that of OOH* to O*, consistent with the experimental observation that the adsorbed OOH* preferentially undergoes hydrogenation to form H₂O₂ rather than continuing the dissociation path under a small overpotential. This result can be understood not only by the weakening of the Pt-OOH* bond, but also by the tightening of the O-O bond in OOH* adsorbed to PtP₂ relative to *OOH adsorbed on Pt. For both the top and bridge sites on PtP₂, the O-O bond length of adsorbed OOH* is




Fig. 4 Stabilization of NCs by ALD of Al₂O₃. **a** Disk and ring current stability of PtP₂ and Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 measured at a constant potential of 0.4 V vs. RHE for 60 h. **b** Depiction of Al₂O₃ coating by ALD and subsequent activation. TEM images for **c, d** Al₂O₃/PtP₂ and **e, f** Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600; scale bar, **c, e** 20 nm; **d, f** 3 nm. **g–j** HAADF-STEM image and corresponding elemental mapping for Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600; scale bar, 10 nm. **k** Electrochemical CO stripping tests and **l** Pt L₃-edge XANES spectra of as-prepared samples before and after ORR.

shorter than that on Pt, which is beneficial to suppress the OOH^{*}-to-O^{*} dissociation tendency.

ALD overcoat for stabilization of ultrasmall PtP₂ NCs. Although the ultrasmall PtP₂ NCs gave efficient and selective O₂-to-H₂O₂ conversion, both the disk and ring current quickly decayed and around 60% activity was lost within 60 h at an applied potential of 0.4 V vs. RHE (Fig. 4a). Considering that the

elemental composition, crystalline structure, and surface electronic structure of PtP₂ all remain the same following the stability test (Supplementary Fig. 19), the degradation is ascribed to size instability, which leads to nanocrystal aggregation (Supplementary Fig. 20) and a significant decrease in the electrochemical active surface area (EASA, Supplementary Fig. 21). The left shift of Pt L₃-rising edge of XANES is also attributed to small size nanocrystal aggregation (Fig. 4l). Ultrathin metal oxides by ALD

have been applied to encapsulate the supported nanoparticles and improve the size stability of nanoparticle catalysts^{35,36}. In order to minimize additional electrocatalytic effects from ALD overcoating layer, we chose the relatively inert Al₂O₃ rather than the typical transition metal oxide promoters (e.g., Co₃O₄³⁷, MnO₃³⁸, Fe₂O₃³⁹, and MoO₃⁴⁰). ALD of a thin Al₂O₃ layer was carried out to stabilize the ultrasmall PtP₂ NCs on a commercial carbon support by alternately exposing the sample to cycles of trimethylaluminum (TMA) and water at 175 °C (Fig. 4b). Figure 4c shows that the average size of PtP₂ NCs is increased to 5.2 ± 0.4 nm due to minor thermal aggregation during the mild-ALD process. The Al₂O₃ overcoat thickness is 1.8 ± 0.2 nm after 42 cycles (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 22a). This overcoat thickness is smaller than that expected for the typical ALD growth rate for Al₂O₃ of 1.19 Å cycle⁻¹, ascribed to the site blocking from any hydrophobic organic ligands remaining on the PtP₂ NCs surface (Supplementary Fig. 23). We determined that 42 cycles of ALD Al₂O₃ is required to stabilize PtP₂ NCs and maintain ORR durability, but without further processing the ring current shows a 32.5% decrease compared to the uncoated sample (Supplementary Fig. 24). An observed decrease in EASA is consistent with an expected blocking of active sites by the ALD layer (Supplementary Fig. 25). A balance between increasing the stability and maintaining high activity is achieved by annealing the sample following ALD at 600 °C in N₂ gas for 2 h. The size dispersity and element distribution are well maintained (Fig. 4e, g–i), while the overcoat thickness is diminished (~0.54 nm) (Fig. 4f, Supplementary Fig. 22b) and the EASA and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area is almost restored (Supplementary Fig. 25). The disk and ring current of the annealed sample (Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600) remain the same before and after 60 h of ORR testing in 0.1 M HClO₄ (Fig. 4a), indicating that the Al₂O₃ overcoat and activation can stabilize the PtP₂ NCs, while maintaining open pathways to the NC surface (Supplementary Fig. 26). It should be noted that the O₂-to-H₂O₂ selectivity is nearly the same after the ALD surface modification, indicating that the ultrathin Al₂O₃ overcoating has a negligible geometric effect on the electrocatalytic selectivity of PtP₂ electrode (Supplementary Fig. 27). The Pt L₃-edge XANES and corresponding EXAFS of PtP₂, Al₂O₃/PtP₂, and Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 were compared in Supplementary Fig. 28. No change in the absorption edge (E₀) and Pt–P bond distance was observed, which suggests that the intrinsic electronic structures of PtP₂ were well maintained after ALD coating and annealing treatment. This could be further supported by the Pt 4f XPS results (Supplementary Fig. 29). The slight increase for the white line intensity of XANES attributes to the formation of small amount of platinum oxide during ALD process. To further evaluate the effect of the activated coating on the electrochemical properties, CO desorption (Fig. 4k) was studied by electrochemical CO stripping. Prior to the activation step, the shift in the oxidation peak is lost after Al₂O₃ overcoating and the current decreases suggesting the active surface is blocked. We also note that incorporation of P into Pt facilitates CO desorption, as proven by the negative shift of CO oxidation peak (0.11 V) in the CO stripping test (Fig. 4k).

Scalable neutral H₂O₂ electrosynthesis. Having developed a stable and selective electrocatalyst for O₂-to-H₂O₂ conversion, we further incorporated our catalyst into a practical polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) to synthesize a neutral H₂O₂ solution at high concentration and volume. Figure 5a shows a schematic diagram of a PEMFC using commercial Pt/C anode for H₂ oxidation, Nafion 117 membrane for proton transportation and elimination of gas crossover, and our Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 cathode for two-electron ORR. The details of the experimental setup

and operation are shown in Supplementary Fig. 31. The Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 catalyst ink was spray coated onto the carbon gas-diffuse layer (GDL) and hot pressed with the Nafion membrane to form the MEA. The overall MEA is compactly connected and no voids are observed between the interfaces (Fig. 5b, c). The Pt, P, and Al elements are well distributed across the MEA (Fig. 5d–f). The optimized conditions (e.g., mass loading, catalyst support, water flow rate, and operation temperature) for H₂O₂ production in PEMFC are summarized in Supplementary Table 6. The optimized mass loading of Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 is determined to be 0.8 mg cm⁻² (Supplementary Fig. 32), as lower loading leads to kinetics loss and higher loading causes high O₂ gas mass transport resistance⁴¹. The current efficiency (CE%) and H₂O₂ production rate (r(H₂O₂)) at 50 mA cm⁻² is 60.8% and 0.57 mmol h⁻¹ cm⁻², respectively. A high CE is achieved despite the more challenging kinetics for H₂O₂ production at neutral conditions compared to the commonly used acidic conditions. At high current density, cathode flooding is typically observed in carbon-based GDE due to the loss of hydrophobicity during PEMFC operation⁴². For the PEMFC with 20 wt% teflon-treated GDL, the operating current density can be increased up to 125 mA cm⁻² with significantly improved r(H₂O₂) (1.51 mmol h⁻¹ cm⁻²) compared to that with the non-teflon-treated GDE (Supplementary Fig. 33). Both the highest CE% and r(H₂O₂) are achieved at a water flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹ (Supplementary Fig. 34). Increasing the water flow rate within 2–10 mL min⁻¹ is beneficial to remove the generated H₂O₂ and minimize its thermochemical decomposition and/or further electroreduction²⁷. However, further increasing the water flow rate to 20 mL min⁻¹ reduces the CE% and r(H₂O₂), which is ascribed to the O₂ mass transport being poor under high volume water flow. The optimized temperature is only 40 °C. Increasing operating temperature would improve proton conductivity in the MEA, but the dominant effect is to increase H₂O₂ thermochemical decomposition²⁵. Overall, the optimized operation conditions of PEMFC for O₂-to-H₂O₂ are catalyst loading of 0.8 mg cm⁻², 20 wt% teflon-treated carbon GDL, water carrier flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹, and operation temperature of 40 °C. A maximum r(H₂O₂) of 2.26 mmol h⁻¹ cm⁻² is obtained with the PEMFC operating at 150 mA cm⁻² with a highest CE of 78.8% (Fig. 5g). The difference in CE for O₂-to-H₂O₂ in RRDE and MEA system is attributed by the transport efficiency of H₂O₂ away from the electrode surface². In the RRDE, the small amount of generated H₂O₂ molecules are rapidly transported away from the disk electrode and oxidized at the ring electrode, leading to a low steady-state surface H₂O₂ concentration. By contrast, the H₂O₂ molecules produced at the catalyst/membrane interface in the MEA system need a longer time to diffuse through the catalyst layer and gas diffusion layer before removal into the water stream, which causes a higher local concentration of H₂O₂ at the vicinity of catalyst surface and increases the possibility of further H₂O₂ reduction²⁷. Moreover, nearly no degradation of the H₂O₂ concentration (14.7 mmol L⁻¹, pH = 6.8) is observed at a constant potential of 0.4 V in 120 h (Fig. 5h). The compact interfaces for both cathode/electrolyte and anode/electrolyte are well maintained after 120 h of measurement (Supplementary Fig. 35). For comparison, a Pt–Hg NC-based MEA was fabricated in the same way and run in the PEMFC under the same conditions. The concentration of leached Hg is 5.9 × 10³ ppb in neutral H₂O₂ catholyte solution (Supplementary Table 7), and approximately 38% degradation for H₂O₂ concentration was observed after 6 h of PEMFC operation without recycling of the product (Supplementary Fig. 36). To obtain a neutral H₂O₂ solution with high concentration, the product was recycled to run through the catalyst multiple times, following an initial 1 h run to accumulate 600 mL H₂O₂ solution. Under recycling the accumulated H₂O₂ concentration is increased with the operation time and approaches to 1.21 mol L⁻¹ for 120 h. It should be noted that a




Fig. 5 Performance of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC). **a** Schematic diagram of PEMFC for O_2 -to- H_2O_2 production with product recycling. **b, c** Cross-sectional SEM images; scale bar, **b** 100 μm ; **c** 20 μm . **d** Line-scan elemental distribution, and **e, f** elemental mapping of Al_2O_3/PtP_2 -600 based MEA; scale bar, 50 μm . **g** Current efficiency and H_2O_2 production rate as a function of current density under optimized conditions. **h** Time-dependent neutral H_2O_2 concentration measured at a constant potential of 0.4 for 120 h. The accumulated H_2O_2 concentration in 600 mL when the product is continuously cycled through the system. Concentration reaches a metric value of 3.0 wt% after 65 h (inset of Fig. 5h).

H_2O_2 concentration of 3.0 wt% (pH = 6.6) in 600 mL is achieved after 65 h, sufficient for medical sterilization, chemical synthesis, and food processing. The saturation in the accumulated H_2O_2 concentration is attributed to competing thermochemical and/or electrochemical degradation pathways of H_2O_2 . To elucidate the degradation pathways, the electrolysis under recycled operation was conducted in an O_2 -free 1 M H_2O_2 solution at the same conditions in PEMFC. The time-dependent H_2O_2 concentration is shown in Supplementary Fig. 37. At open-circuit voltage, the decrease of H_2O_2 concentration is mainly caused by thermochemical reduction and only 48% H_2O_2 remains after 60 h recycling. At 0.4 V vs. RHE, both the thermochemical and electrochemical reduction processes contribute to H_2O_2 degradation. Notably, the electrochemical reduction rate of H_2O_2 -to- H_2O is much slower than that of thermochemical reduction, which significantly reduces the consumption of produced H_2O_2 and leads to high saturation levels of H_2O_2 accumulation during electrolyte recycling. A similar recycling strategy for making high concentration H_2O_2 solution from O_2 electroreduction in PEMFC was also demonstrated in previous work²⁷.

In summary, monodisperse colloidal platinum diphosphide (PtP_2) NCs applied as efficient two-electron ORR electrocatalysts. The PtP_2 NCs require a near-zero overpotential for H_2O_2 initialization and achieve a maximum O_2 -to- H_2O_2 selectivity of 98.5% at 0.27 V vs. RHE. DFT results suggest that weakened binding of the OOH^* intermediate and inhibition of subsequent

O–O breakage of OOH^* compared to pure Pt. A PEMFC with Al_2O_3/PtP_2 -600 as the cathode catalyst achieves a maximum r (H_2O_2) of 2.26 $\text{mmol h}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a CE up to 78.8%, and sufficient stability to achieve an accumulated neutral H_2O_2 concentration of 1.21 mol L^{-1} by 120 h. This work provides insight into the development of efficient and stable electrocatalysts for selective production of neutral H_2O_2 in a practical device.

Methods

Chemicals and materials. Oleylamine (OAm, tech. 70%), oleic acid (OA, tech. 90%), 1-octadecene (ODE, tech. 90%), Nafion 117 solution, Teflon dispersion, and commercial Pt/C (20 wt%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate was from Alfa Aesar. Tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine ($(Me_3Si)_3P$) was purchased from Strem Chemicals. Commercial carbon black, GDL, and Nafion 117 membrane were obtained from FuelCellStore.

Synthesis of platinum diphosphide (PtP_2) NCs. A solution of platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate (0.3 mmol), OAm (8 mL), OA (0.5 mL), and ODE (8 mL) was placed into a round bottom flask with a stir bar and degassed at 120 °C under vacuum for 1 h. To prepare for injection of the P source, the solution was then heated to 220 °C under nitrogen. Meanwhile, the P precursor was prepared by placing 1.2 mL $(Me_3Si)_3P$ dissolved in hexane (10 wt%) and 1.0 mL ODE under vacuum to remove the hexane at room temperature. The $(Me_3Si)_3P$ solution was quickly injected at 220 °C and for 15 min the temperature was maintained. To facilitate Ostwald ripening, during cooling the system was kept at 120 °C for 10 min prior to cooling to room temperature. To purify, the precipitate was collected following centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 6 min and redispersed with hexane. To further purify the NCs, aggregation was induced with a 1:6:1 (v:v:v) hexanes:acetone:methanol solution followed by centrifuged and resuspension

with hexane and this procedure was then repeated for a total of four times. The final suspension yield a solution with NC density by weight of 2 mg/mL. For comparison, Pt NCs were synthesized in a similar co-heating way without (Me₃Si)₃P injection. For the synthesis of colloidal Pt–Hg NCs, platinum(II) 2,4-pentanedionate (0.2 mmol), and mercury (II) chloride (0.2 mmol), OAm (4 mL), and ODE (4 mL) were added into a 25 mL reaction flask. The solution was degassed at 120 °C for 1 h and then heated to 200 °C where it was held for 2 h. To facilitate Ostwald ripening, during cooling the system was kept at 100 °C for 10 min prior to cooling to room temperature.

Materials characterizations. Elemental analysis was performed using ICP–MS (Teledyne Leeman Labs). A Bruker D2 Phaser was used to collect X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu K α radiation. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed with a Tecnai G2 F20 microscope at 200 or 300 kV. STEM was done using a JEOL JEM 2200FS STEM/TEM microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV equipped with a CEOS probe corrector (Heidelberg, Germany). For EDS a Bruker–AXS silicon drift detector was used. An Autosorb-iQ from Quantachrome was used to find the BET surface area from nitrogen adsorption isotherms. XPS was performed with a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD, UK, and the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV was used for calibration. In situ and ex situ Pt L₃-edge XAFS measurements were made at the beamline 14W1 in Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF), China. PtO₂, PtCl₂, and Pt foil were used for linear combination fitting to calculate the Pt valence oxidation state. XANES calculations were done using the FEFF8.2 code and the multiple-scattering method. The experimental absorption coefficients are reported as normalized absorption following background subtraction.

Catalyst inks and electrode preparation. The NCs were combined with an equal amount by weight of Ketjen carbon (C) and sonicated for 1 h (5 mL of 2 mg mL⁻¹ NC solution with 10 mg of C support). To remove excess ligands, the hexane was allowed to evaporate and the catalyst was maintained at 60 °C for 2 h in acetic acid (8 mL). This solution was then centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 rpm following addition of 8 mL of ethanol. The collected precipitate was underwent an additional two cycles of the acetic acid treatment. The final catalyst ink (2 mg mL⁻¹) was composed of the purified NCs and isopropanol, water, and Nafion 117 solution (v:v:v = 2:4:0.05). A rotating disk electrode (RDE) with a glassy carbon core was polished by a 0.5 and 0.05 μ m alumina powder and rinsed with deionized water. Unless specified, 20 μ L of catalyst ink was drop deposited on the glassy carbon working electrode (OD = 6 mm, MTI34 series) with a loading of 0.2 mg cm⁻².

Electrochemical measurements. For ORR, all tests were done using a conventional three electrode electrochemical cell with a Biopotentiostat Model AFCBP1 (Pine Instrument Company). The cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments were performed in N₂- or O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ at room temperature with a scan rate of 10 mV/s. RDE and rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) tests were conducted in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ with a scan rate of 10 mV/s. The RRDE voltammograms were performed with a glassy carbon disk electrode and a Pt ring electrode. Pt foil and Ag/AgCl were used as counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. Flow of O₂ was maintained into the electrolyte during the entire ORR process to ensure the O₂/H₂O equilibrium. The disk electrode was scanned at a rate of 10 mV/s at 1600 rpm, and the Pt ring electrode potential was fixed at 1.5 V vs. RHE. The hydrogen peroxide yield (%H₂O₂) and electron transfer number (*n*) were calculated by the following equations:

$$\%H_2O_2 = 200 \frac{i_r/N}{i_d + i_r/N} = 200 \frac{i_r}{Ni_d + i_r}, \quad (1)$$

$$n = 4 \frac{i_d}{i_d + i_r/N} = 4 \frac{Ni_d}{Ni_d + i_r}, \quad (2)$$

where *i_d* and *i_r* are the disk and ring currents, respectively. *N* is the Pt ring current collection efficiency. The *N* value in our system was calibrated in 0.1 M HClO₄ with a 10 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆ electrolyte and is approximately 0.25 (Supplementary Fig. 38). For the CO stripping test, the electrodes were initially immersed in the CO-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ by purging with 10 wt% CO in N₂ gas for 30 min and then set to 0.10 V vs. RHE for 15 min to form a CO adsorption layer on the catalyst surface. Then the electrolyte was purged by N₂ gas for 10 min to remove the remaining CO in solution. The CO stripping CVs were obtained in a potential range of 0–1.2 V with a scan rate of 20 mV/s. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was performed on a Reference 600 (Gamry Instrument Inc.) with the working electrode biased at OCP, while sweeping the frequency from 10⁵ to 0.1 Hz with a 10 mV AC dither. To determine the electrochemical capacitance, CV scans in the non-faradaic potential region were conducted and the capacitive current was obtained at the middle potential value for each scan rate. CV was carried out in a nitrogen-purged 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆/0.1 M HClO₄ solution with platinum foil as the counter electrode. EASA values were calculated using the Randles–Sevcik equation⁴³:

$$I_p = (2.36 \times 10^5) n^{3/2} A D^{1/2} C v^{1/2}, \quad (3)$$

where *I_p* is peak current (A), *n* = 1, *D* = 4.34 × 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹, *A* is the EASA (cm²), *C* is the concentration of potassium ferricyanide (5 × 10⁻⁶ mol cm⁻²), and *v* is the scan rate (5 mV s⁻¹). Conversion from vs. Ag/AgCl to vs. RHE was done by adding 0.197 + 0.059 × pH.

For in situ ATR-FTIR measurements, a diamond-like carbon was coated onto a Si wafer (5 × 8 × 1 mm³) to prepare the internal reflection element (IRE). The coated IRE was ultrasonicated for 2 min with 30% concentrated H₂SO₄ followed by rinsing with DI water before experiments. A 50 μ L of 2 mg mL⁻¹ catalyst ink (no Nafion binder) was dropcast on the IRE and dried under air at room temperature. A glassy carbon paper was placed on top of the catalyst layer for good electrical contact. Glassy carbon rod connected to the IRE, Pt gauze, and Ag/AgCl in 3 M KCl were used as the working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. An FTIR spectrometer with a MCT detector was used for the in situ ATR-FTIR measurements. Solutions were saturated either with O₂ for ORR or with Ar as a control. Gamry Reference 600 potentiostat during recording of the IR spectra.

ALD Al₂O₃ overcoat for stabilization. The ALD Al₂O₃ overcoat for supported PtP₂ was grown in a GEMSTAR-6 atomic layer deposition (ALD) system using trimethylaluminum (TMA) and distilled water (H₂O) at 175 °C. The precursors were kept in the chamber for 2.2 s and a 28 s purge was used. To ensure the deposition occurred with typical growth per cycle, a silicon wafer with native oxide was included alongside the sample as a control and the Al₂O₃ thickness on the wafer was determined by the X-ray reflectivity. After 42 cycles, the overcoated PtP₂ was activated at 600 °C for 2 h in tube furnace under N₂ gas flow (Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600). For comparison, the pure Al₂O₃ thin film with around 2 nm thickness on silicon was fabricated by ALD for 20 cycles.

Scalable H₂O₂ production in fuel cell. The MEA for testing the activity in a H₂–O₂ fuel cell was prepared using Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 catalyst on GDL as cathode, Pt/C catalyst on GDL as anode, and Nafion 117 membrane. To prepare the cathode, a catalyst ink composed of Al₂O₃/PtP₂-600 dispersed in a water–ethanol mixture with ionomer (Nafion solution, 5 wt%) was sprayed on Teflon-treated or non-Teflon-treated GDL. Anode was prepared with commercial Pt/C (20 wt%) catalyst in the same manner as the cathode. The catalyst loading amount for cathode and anode is 0.8 mg_{PtP₂} cm⁻² and 0.3 mg_{Pt} cm⁻², respectively. A hot press (120 °C and 40 MPa for duration of 5 min) was used to press the components together with a Nafion 117 membrane. The MEA was then assembled in a single fuel cell consisting of 4 cm² serpentine flow fields. Humidification of the MEA was performed for 60 min by flowing N₂ with 100% relative humidity at a cell temperature of 80 °C. The flow rates for H₂ and O₂ gases are 150 and 200 mL min⁻¹, respectively. The flow rate of external neutral water was controlled by a peristaltic pump. The pure water flow through the cathode chamber is beneficial for the removal of generated H₂O₂ molecules and to decrease the thermochemical decomposition and/or further electroreduction of H₂O₂. In the product recycling mode of operation, initially the system is run for 1 h run to accumulate 600 mL H₂O₂ solution which is then continuously cycled back through the system without any separation of the H₂O₂. All the conditions, such as mass loading, catalyst support, water flow rate, and operation temperature, were optimized for H₂O₂ production in the PEMFC. For quantitative analysis of H₂O₂ concentration, the interaction of the H₂O₂ with a modified iodate solution was monitored with the UV–vis spectroscopy method⁴⁴. Briefly, solution A for the I₃⁻ method consisted of 33 g of KI, 1 g of NaOH, and 0.1 g of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate diluted to 500 mL with water. The solution was stirred for ~10 min to dissolve the molybdate. Solution A was kept in the dark to inhibit the oxidation of I⁻. Solution B, an aqueous buffer, contained 10 g of KHP per 500 mL. The pH was measured using a pH meter. Equal weights of A and B was subsequently mixed, followed by addition of the H₂O₂ solution. The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at a maximum wavelength of 351 nm.

Based on the obtained concentration of flow H₂O₂ solution, the CE of H₂O₂ production can be calculated by the following equation:

$$CE\% = \frac{2FQC}{I} \times 100\%, \quad (4)$$

where *F* is Faraday's constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), *Q* is the water flow rate (L s⁻¹), *C* is the H₂O₂ concentration (mol L⁻¹), and *I* is the current (A). The corresponding H₂O₂ production rate can be expressed as following equation:

$$r(H_2O_2) = 3600QC/A, \quad (5)$$

where *A* is the MEA area (4 cm²). Full details of experimental procedures can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 14 October 2019; Accepted: 6 July 2020;

Published online: 06 August 2020

References

1. Yi, Y., Wnag, L., Li, G. & Guo, H. A Review on Research progress in the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from hydrogen and oxygen: noble-metal catalytic method, fuel-cell method and plasma method. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **6**, 1593–11610 (2016).
2. Yang, S. et al. Toward the decentralized electrochemical production of H₂O₂: a focus on the catalysis. *ACS Catal.* **8**, 4064–4081 (2018).
3. Jiang, Y. et al. Selective electrochemical H₂O₂ production through two-electron oxygen electrochemistry. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**, 1801909 (2018).
4. Lu, Z. et al. High-efficiency oxygen reduction to hydrogen peroxide catalysed by oxidized carbon materials. *Nat. Catal.* **1**, 156–162 (2018).
5. Jirkovsky, J. S. et al. Single atom hot-spots at Au-Pd nanoalloys for electrocatalytic H₂O₂ production. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 19432–19441 (2011).
6. Siahrostami, S. et al. Enabling direct H₂O₂ production through rational electrocatalyst design. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 1137–1143 (2013).
7. Zheng, Z., Ng, Y. H., Wang, D. W. & Amal, R. Epitaxial growth of Au-Pt-Ni nanorods for direct high selectivity H₂O₂ production. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 9949–9955 (2016).
8. Greeley, J. et al. Alloys of platinum and early transition metals as oxygen reduction electrocatalysts. *Nat. Chem.* **1**, 552–556 (2009).
9. Mayrhofer, K. J. J., Hartl, K., Juhart, V. & Arenz, M. Degradation of carbon-supported Pt bimetallic nanoparticles by surface segregation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 16348–16349 (2009).
10. Gray, J. E. et al. In vitro studies evaluating leaching of mercury from mine waste calcine using simulated human body fluids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44**, 4782–4788 (2010).
11. Xiao, P., Chen, W. & Wang, X. A review of phosphide-based materials for electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **5**, 1500985 (2015).
12. Yan, B. et al. Surface restructuring of nickel sulfide generates optimally coordinated active sites for oxygen reduction catalysis. *Joule* **1**, 600–612 (2017).
13. Li, H. et al. Earth-abundant iron diboride (FeB₂) nanoparticles as highly active bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **7**, 1700513 (2017).
14. Sheng, Y. et al. Electrocatalytic production of H₂O₂ by selective oxygen reduction using earth-abundant cobalt pyrite (CoS₂). *ACS Catal.* **9**, 8433–8442 (2019).
15. Jirkovsky, J. S., Bjorling, A. & Ahlberg, E. Reduction of oxygen on dispersed nanocrystalline CoS₂. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 24436–24444 (2012).
16. Li, H. et al. Colloidal cobalt phosphide nanocrystals as trifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting powered by a zinc–air battery. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1705796 (2018).
17. Shao, M., Peles, A. & Shoemaker, K. Electrocatalysis on platinum nanoparticles: particle size effect on oxygen reduction reaction activity. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 3714–3719 (2011).
18. Cao, M., Wu, D. & Cao, R. Recent advances in the stabilization of platinum electrocatalysts for fuel-cell reactions. *ChemCatChem* **6**, 26–45 (2013).
19. Zhang, Q., Lee, I., Ge, J., Zaera, F. & Yin, Y. Surface-protected etching of mesoporous oxide shells for the stabilization of metal nanocatalysts. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **20**, 2201–2214 (2010).
20. Lu, J., Elam, J. W. & Stair, P. C. Synthesis and stabilization of supported metal catalysts by atomic layer deposition. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **46**, 1806–1815 (2013).
21. Lu, J. et al. Coking- and sintering-resistant palladium catalysts achieved through atomic layer deposition. *Science* **335**, 1205–1208 (2012).
22. Zhang, B. & Qin, Y. Interface tailoring of heterogeneous catalysts by atomic layer deposition. *ACS Catal.* **8**, 10064–10081 (2018).
23. Chen, Z. et al. Development of a reactor with carbon catalysts for modular-scale, low-cost electrochemical generation of H₂O₂. *React. Chem. Eng.* **2**, 239–245 (2017).
24. Yamanaka, I., Onizawa, T., Takenaka, S. & Otsuka, K. Direct and continuous production of hydrogen peroxide with 93% selectivity using a fuel-cell system. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **42**, 3653–3655 (2003).
25. Yamanaka, I. & Murayama, T. Neutral H₂O₂ synthesis by electrolysis of water and O₂. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47**, 1900–1902 (2008).
26. Yamanaka, I., Tazawa, S., Murayama, T., Ichihashi, R. & Hanaizumi, N. Catalytic synthesis of neutral H₂O₂ solutions from O₂ and H₂ by a fuel cell reaction. *ChemSusChem* **1**, 988–990 (2008).
27. Li, W., Bonakdarpour, A., Gyenge, E. & Wilkinson, D. Drinking water purification by electrosynthesis of hydrogen peroxide in a power-producing PEM fuel cell. *ChemSusChem* **6**, 2137–2143 (2013).
28. Xu, J. et al. Carbon dioxide electroreduction into syngas boosted by a partially delocalized charge in molybdenum sulfide selenide alloy monolayers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 9121–9125 (2017).
29. Becknell, N. et al. Atomic structure of Pt₃Ni nanoframe electrocatalysts by in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 15817–15824 (2015).
30. Li, X. & Gewirth, A. A. Oxygen electroreduction through a superoxide intermediate on Bi-modified Au surfaces. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 5252–5260 (2005).
31. Nayak, S., McPherson, I. J. & Vincent, K. A. Adsorbed intermediates in oxygen reduction on platinum nanoparticles observed by in situ IR spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 12855–12858 (2018).
32. Diaz-Morales, O., Calle-Vallejo, F., Munck, C. D. & Koper, M. T. M. Electrochemical water splitting by gold: evidence for an oxide decomposition mechanism. *Chem. Sci.* **4**, 2334–2343 (2013).
33. Nayak, S., Biedermann, P. U., Stratmann, M. & Erbe, A. A mechanistic study of the electrochemical oxygen reduction on the model semiconductor n-Ge(100) by ATR-IR and DFT. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 5771–5781 (2013).
34. Jia, Q. et al. Roles of Mo surface dopants in enhancing the ORR performance of octahedral PtNi nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* **18**, 798–804 (2018).
35. Cao, K., Cai, J., Liu, X. & Chen, R. Catalysts design and synthesis via selective atomic layer deposition. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* **36**, 010801 (2018).
36. Cao, K., Cai, J., Shan, B. & Chen, R. Surface functionalization on nanoparticles via atomic layer deposition. *Sci. Bull.* **65**, 678–688 (2020).
37. Zhang, J. et al. Origin of synergistic effects in bicomponent cobalt oxide-platinum catalysts for selective hydrogenation reaction. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4166 (2019).
38. Yang, N. et al. Rh-MnO interface sites formed by atomic layer deposition promote syngas conversion to higher oxygenates. *ACS Catal.* **7**, 5746–5757 (2017).
39. Singh, J. A. et al. Area-selective atomic layer deposition of metal oxides on noble metals through catalytic oxygen activation. *Chem. Mater.* **30**, 663–670 (2018).
40. Asundi, A. S. et al. Understanding structure-property relationships of MoO₃-promoted Rh catalysts for syngas conversion to alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 19655–19668 (2019).
41. Zalitis, C. M., Kramer, D. & Kucernak, A. R. Electrocatalytic performance of fuel cell reactions at low catalyst loading and high mass transport. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 4329–4340 (2013).
42. Lee, M. & Huang, X. Development of a hydrophobic coating for the porous gas diffusion layer in a PEM-based electrochemical hydrogen pump to mitigate anode flooding. *Electrochem. Commun.* **100**, 39–42 (2019).
43. Allen, J. & Bard, L. R. F. *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*. (Wiley, New York, NY, 2000).
44. Klassen, N. V., Marchington, D. & McGowan, H. C. E. H₂O₂ determination by the I₃⁻ method and by KMnO₄ titration. *Anal. Chem.* **66**, 2921–2925 (1994).

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by Wake Forest University, the Center for Functional Materials at Wake Forest University, National Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (2018A030313182), and Shenzhen Bureau of Science, Technology and Innovation Commission (JCYJ 20170811154527927). A portion of this research was conducted at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility. This work benefited from the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF).

Author contributions

H.L. and P.W. synthesized the NCs. H.L., P.W., Z.H., C.L., S.A., and C.D. performed the physical characterizations. H.L., W.P., and X.M. performed all the electrochemical measurements. D.I. performed the DFT calculation. H.L., L.J., D.L.C., Y.Q., and S.M.G. designed the experiments and prepared the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17584-9>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.Q. or S.M.G.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Sungeun Yang and other, anonymous, reviewers for their contributions to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020