

SCHRÖDINGER UNCERTAINTY RELATION AND CONVEXITY FOR THE MONOTONE PAIR SKEW INFORMATION

CHUL KI KO AND HYUN JAE YOO

(Received January 15, 2013, revised May 10, 2013)

Abstract. Furuichi and Yanagi showed a Schrödinger uncertainty relation for the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, which is a special monotone pair skew information. In this paper, we give a Schrödinger uncertainty relation based on a monotone pair skew information, and extend the result of Furuichi and Yanagi. Moreover, we show that some monotone pair skew information becomes a metric adjusted skew information and therefore the convexity of it follows from known results.

1. Introduction. Wigner-Yanase skew information

$$(1.1) \quad I_\rho(A) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}((i[\rho^{1/2}, A])^2) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A^2) - \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} A \rho^{1/2} A)$$

was defined in [14]. This quantity can be considered as a kind of the degree for non-commutativity between a quantum state (density matrix) ρ and an observable (self-adjoint operator) A . Here the commutator is defined by $[A, B] = AB - BA$. This quantity was generalized by Dyson as

$$(1.2) \quad I_{\rho, \alpha}(A) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}((i[\rho^\alpha, A])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, A])) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A^2) - \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^\alpha A \rho^{1-\alpha} A), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1],$$

and it is known as the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information. The relations between skew information and uncertainty relation have been studied by many authors. See for example the references [2, 4, 12, 13, 15, 16].

Heisenberg's uncertainty relation for a density matrix ρ and any pair of observables A, B is the following inequality:

$$(1.3) \quad V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

Here the variance $V_\rho(A)$ for ρ and an observable A is defined by $V_\rho(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A^2) - (\operatorname{Tr}(\rho A))^2$. The uncertainty relation is one of the most significant consequences of non-commutativity in quantum mechanics and is a key point in which quantum probability differs from classical probability. The further strong relation was given by Schrödinger:

$$(1.4) \quad V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81P45; Secondary 94A15, 94A17.

Key words and phrases. Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, monotone pair skew information, Schrödinger uncertainty relation, metric adjusted skew information.

Recall that the covariance $\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)$ for ρ and two observables A and B is given by $\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B) = \text{Tr}(\rho AB) - \text{Tr}(\rho A)\text{Tr}(\rho B)$. As a generalized version, Furuichi gave the Schrödinger uncertainty relation for mixed states in [2]:

$$(1.5) \quad U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2,$$

where $U_\rho(A) := \sqrt{V_\rho(A)^2 - (V_\rho(A) - I_\rho(A))^2}$. The correlation measure is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Corr}_\rho(A, B) = \text{Tr}(\rho A^* B) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} A^* \rho^{1/2} B)$$

for any operators A, B . Since $|\text{Im}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2$ for the observables A and B , the inequality (1.5) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(1.7) \quad U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) \geq |\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)|^2.$$

In [6], Furuichi and Yanagi recently gave a generalization of the Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation: for $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$, any density matrix ρ and observables A, B ,

$$(1.8) \quad U_{\rho,\alpha}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha}(B) \geq 4\alpha(1-\alpha)|\text{Corr}_{\rho,\alpha}(A, B)|^2,$$

where $U_{\rho,\alpha}(A) := \sqrt{V_\rho(A)^2 - (V_\rho(A) - I_{\rho,\alpha}(A))^2}$ and the generalized correlation measure is given by

$$(1.9) \quad \text{Corr}_{\rho,\alpha}(A, B) = \text{Tr}(\rho A^* B) - \text{Tr}(\rho^\alpha A^* \rho^{1-\alpha} B).$$

The inequalities are refinements of Heisenberg's uncertainty relation (1.3) because $0 \leq I_{\rho,\alpha}(A) \leq U_{\rho,\alpha}(A) \leq V_\rho(A)$.

In [3], for a monotone pair (f, g) of operator monotone functions, Furuichi introduced the (f, g) -skew information by

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &:= \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}((i[f(\rho), A])(i[g(\rho), A])) \\ &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^2) - \text{Tr}(f(\rho)Ag(\rho)A). \end{aligned}$$

For $f(x) = x^\alpha$ and $g(x) = x^{1-\alpha}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), $I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)$ reduces to $I_{\rho,\alpha}(A)$. In [10], for all monotone pair (f, g) of operator monotone functions, which are compatible in logarithmic increase (CLI monotone pair, in short), the present authors extended Yanagi's uncertainty relation in [15]. The purpose of this paper is to obtain a Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation based on the CLI monotone pair skew information satisfying the condition (2.11). We will see that in special cases this result reduces to (1.7) and (1.8).

Next we discuss the convexity for the monotone pair skew information. The convexity of the skew information means that the information content should decrease when two states are mixed. The convexity of the Wigner-Yanase skew information I_ρ was proved by Wigner and Yanase [14], and the convexity of the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information $I_{\rho,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, was remarkably established by Lieb [11]. We study the convexity of the monotone pair skew information in order to see that it is really a physically meaningful information

measure. By using the Morozova-Chentsov function, Hansen gave the notion of metric adjusted skew information on the state space of a quantum system and showed the convexity for the metric adjusted skew information [9]. The Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information turns out to be a metric adjusted skew information as a special case. See [1, 6, 8, 16]. We cannot show the convexity of the monotone pair skew information in general. However, investigating the relations between the monotone pair skew information and the metric adjusted skew information, we can show the convexity of the monotone pair skew information in some cases.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we briefly review some uncertainty relations and state the main result (Theorem 2.3). Then we give some examples showing that it extends the previous results. In Section 3, we show that the monotone pair skew information becomes the metric adjusted skew information in some cases. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

2. Trace inequalities and main result. In this section we briefly review the uncertainty relation for skew informations and state the main result.

Let M_n (resp. $M_{n,sa}$) be the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices (resp. all $n \times n$ self-adjoint matrices). Let D_n be the set of strictly positive elements of M_n and $D_n^1 \subset D_n$ the set of strictly positive density matrices, that is,

$$D_n^1 = \{\rho \in M_n ; \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1, \rho > 0\}.$$

Let $\rho \in D_n^1$ be a fixed density matrix. For any $A \in M_{n,sa}$, define $A_0 := A - \text{Tr}(\rho A)I$, where $I \in M_n$ is the identity matrix. The variance $V_\rho(A)$ for ρ and A is defined by $V_\rho(A) = \text{Tr}(\rho A^2) - (\text{Tr}(\rho A))^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho A_0^2)$.

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we introduce the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information $I_{\rho,\alpha}(A)$ and some other quantities:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\rho,\alpha}(A) &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((i[\rho^\alpha, A_0])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, A_0])) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho A_0^2) - \text{Tr}(\rho^\alpha A_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} A_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{\rho,\alpha}(A) &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\{\rho^\alpha, A_0\}\{\rho^{1-\alpha}, A_0\}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho A_0^2) + \text{Tr}(\rho^\alpha A_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} A_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{\rho,\alpha}(A) &:= \sqrt{V_\rho(A)^2 - (V_\rho(A) - I_{\rho,\alpha}(A))^2} \\ &= \sqrt{I_{\rho,\alpha}(A)J_{\rho,\alpha}(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ is the anti-commutator. In [15], using the quantity $U_{\rho,\alpha}$, Yanagi gave the generalized uncertainty relation of Heisenberg-type:

$$(2.4) \quad U_{\rho,\alpha}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha}(B) \geq \alpha(1 - \alpha)|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

One notes that in the special case of $\alpha = 1/2$, it was shown by Luo [12]. The inequality is a refinement of Heisenberg's uncertainty relation (1.3) in the sense of $0 \leq I_{\rho,\alpha}(A) \leq U_{\rho,\alpha}(A) \leq V_\rho(A)$.

On the other hand, Furuichi and Yanagi gave a Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation by using the correlation measure and $U_{\rho,\alpha}$: for $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$, $\rho \in D_n^1$ and $A, B \in M_{n,sa}$,

$$(2.5) \quad U_{\rho,\alpha}(A)U_{\rho,\alpha}(B) \geq 4\alpha(1-\alpha)|\text{Corr}_{\rho,\alpha}(A, B)|^2.$$

In the case of $\alpha = 1/2$, the inequality (2.5) reduces to the result (1.7) of Furuichi [2], and thus (2.5) is a refinement of Schrödinger uncertainty relation.

To give the further generalized trace inequality, Furuichi [3] introduced the (f, g) -skew information associated to operator monotone functions f and g . In [10], confining to the monotone pair (f, g) , which are compatible in logarithmic increase, we have generalized the uncertainty relation (see (2.10) below). The purpose here is to get a Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation for the monotone pair skew information.

Let us recall the CLI monotone pair (f, g) -skew information from [10].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be nonnegative operator monotone functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$. We call the pair (f, g) a compatible in log-increase, monotone pair (CLI monotone pair, in short) if

- (a) $(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$,
- (b) $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and

$$0 < \inf_{0 < x < 1} \frac{G'(x)}{F'(x)} \leq \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{G'(x)}{F'(x)} < \infty,$$

where $F(x) = \log f(x)$ and $G(x) = \log g(x)$.

Notice that two increasing or decreasing functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ on $(0, 1)$ satisfy the condition (a) in Definition 2.1. It can be easily shown that the pair $f(x) = x^\alpha$ and $g(x) = x^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, is a CLI monotone pair.

For each CLI monotone pair (f, g) we define the (f, g) -skew information $I_{\rho,(f,g)}$ and other quantities.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\rho \in D_n^1$ and (f, g) be a CLI monotone pair. For $A, B \in M_{n,sa}$, we define

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(i[f(\rho), A_0]i[g(\rho), A_0]) \\ &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0^2) - \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)A_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\{f(\rho), A_0\}\{g(\rho), A_0\}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0^2) + \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)A_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.8) \quad U_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) := \sqrt{I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)J_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)}.$$

By the condition (a) in Definition 2.1, $I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) \geq 0$ for $A \in M_{n,sa}$ (for the proof, see (4.3) below). It holds that for $f(x) = x^\alpha$ and $g(x) = x^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $I_{\rho,(f,g)} =$

$I_{\rho,\alpha}, J_{\rho,(f,g)} = J_{\rho,\alpha}$ and $U_{\rho,(f,g)} = U_{\rho,\alpha}$. For each CLI monotone pair (f, g) we let

$$(2.9) \quad \beta_{(f,g)} := \min \left\{ \frac{m}{(1+m)^2}, \frac{M}{(1+M)^2} \right\},$$

where $m = \inf_{0 < x < 1} G'(x)/F'(x)$ and $M = \sup_{0 < x < 1} G'(x)/F'(x)$. In [10], we have generalized the uncertainty relation (2.4) as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad U_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)U_{\rho,(f,g)}(B) \geq \beta_{(f,g)} |\text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)[A, B])|^2, \quad A, B \in M_{n,sa}.$$

In order to get a Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation, we also introduce the (f, g) -correlation measure $\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)$ by

$$\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B) := \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^*B) - \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A^*g(\rho)B)$$

for any $A, B \in M_n$. Note that $I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) = \text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, A)$ and $\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B) = \text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A_0, B_0)$ for $A, B \in M_{n,sa}$.

Now we state our main result. This is a generalization of the inequality (2.5) (see Remark 2.4 below).

THEOREM 2.3. *Let (f, g) be a CLI monotone pair satisfying*

$$(2.11) \quad g(x), f(x)/g(x) \text{ are increasing on } (0, 1).$$

For any $\rho \in D_n^1$, the following inequality

$$(2.12) \quad U_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)U_{\rho,(f,g)}(B) \geq 4\beta_{(f,g)} |\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2, \quad A, B \in M_{n,sa}$$

holds.

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 4. Before going on, we consider some examples.

REMARK 2.4. (a) Let $f(x) = x^\alpha$ and $g(x) = x^\gamma$, $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha < 1$ on $[0, 1]$. The pair (f, g) is a CLI monotone pair satisfying (2.11) and $\beta_{(f,g)} = \alpha\gamma/(\alpha + \gamma)^2$. In particular, if $\gamma = 1 - \alpha$ ($1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$), then $\beta_{(f,g)} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$ and the relation (2.12) reduces to (2.5) (Furuichi and Yanagi's result of [6]).

(b) Let $f(x) = g(x) = (x^\alpha + x^{1-\alpha})/2$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ on $[0, 1]$. The pair (f, g) is a CLI monotone pair satisfying (2.11) and $\beta_{(f,g)} = 1/4$.

(c) Let $f(x) = \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^\alpha$ and $g(x) = \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^\gamma$, $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha < 1$ on $[0, 1]$. Then f and g are operator monotone functions (see [5]). The pair (f, g) is a CLI monotone pair satisfying (2.11) and $\beta_{(f,g)} = \alpha\gamma/(\alpha + \gamma)^2$.

In the following remark, we show that the two uncertainty relations (2.10) and (2.12) are not compatible.

REMARK 2.5. Notice that there is no general ordering between $|\text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)[A, B])|^2$ in (2.10) and $4|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2$ in (2.12). We take $f(x) = g(x) = \sqrt{x}$,

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example was introduced in [2, Remark 2]. Then we have $|\text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)[A, B])|^2 = 0$ and $4|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 = (2 - \sqrt{3})^2$. On the other hand, we take $f(x) = x^{2/3}$, $g(x) = x^{1/3}$,

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can see this example in [3, Counter example 4.1]. Then we have $|\text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)[A, B])|^2 = 1$ and $4|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 = (2 - 3^{2/3} + 3^{1/3})^2/4 \approx 0.46387$. Therefore we can not conclude that one of the inequalities in (2.10) and (2.12) is stronger than the other.

3. Monotone pair skew informations and metric adjusted skew informations. In this section, we investigate the relation between a monotone pair skew information and a metric adjusted skew information. Our aim is to show that some of monotone pair skew informations can be shown as metric adjusted skew informations. Since a metric adjusted skew information is convex with respect to the density matrix, we then show the convexity of the monotone pair skew information $I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)$ for ρ in some cases.

We introduce the notion of quantum Fisher information (see [8] and references therein). We denote by \mathcal{F}_{op} the set of functions $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) h is operator monotone, i.e., $h(A) \geq h(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B \geq 0$ on M_n ,
- (ii) $h(x) = xh(x^{-1})$ for all $x > 0$,
- (iii) $h(1) = 1$.

We have the following examples as elements of \mathcal{F}_{op} (see [7, 8]):

$$h_{RLD}(x) = \frac{2x}{x+1}, \quad h_{SLD}(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}, \quad h_{BKM}(x) = \frac{x-1}{\log x},$$

$$h_{WY}(x) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{2}\right)^2, \quad h_{WYD}(x) = \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{(x-1)^2}{(x^\alpha-1)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

For $h \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$, we define $h(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} h(x)$. We say that h is regular if $h(0) > 0$ and non-regular if $h(0) = 0$. Among the above examples, h_{WYD} , h_{WY} , and h_{SLD} are regular and h_{RLD} and h_{BKM} are non-regular.

The Morozova-Chentsov function c associated with $h \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad c(x, y) = \frac{1}{yh(xy^{-1})} \quad x, y > 0.$$

In [9], for a Morozova-Chentsov function c associated with a regular monotone function h , the corresponding metric adjusted skew information is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad I_\rho^c(A) := \frac{h(0)}{2} \text{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)i[\rho, A]), \quad A \in M_{n,sa},$$

where L_ρ and R_ρ are respectively the left and right multiplication operators by ρ : $L_\rho(A) = \rho A$ and $R_\rho(A) = A\rho$, and they are positive definite and mutually commuting. The Wigner-Yanase skew information I_ρ is the metric adjusted skew information associated with h_{WY} and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information $I_{\rho,\alpha}$ is the metric adjusted skew information associated with h_{WYD} . The following result was shown by Hansen.

THEOREM 3.1. ([9, Theorem 3.7]) *Let c be a Morozova-Chentsov function associated with a regular monotone function h . For each $A \in M_{n,sa}$ the metric adjusted skew information $I_\rho^c(A)$ defined in (3.2) is a convex function of ρ .*

Cai and Hansen [1] introduced a metric adjusted skew information associated with a non-regular monotone metric by setting

$$(3.3) \quad I_\rho^c(A) := \text{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)i[\rho, A]), \quad A \in M_{n,sa}.$$

This type of metric adjusted skew information is unbounded and can no longer be extended from the state manifold to the state space. The following was proven by Cai and Hansen.

THEOREM 3.2. ([1, Theorem 5.1]) *Let h be a non-regular function in \mathcal{F}_{op} with corresponding Morozova-Chentsov function c . For each $A \in M_{n,sa}$ the metric adjusted skew information $I_\rho^c(A)$ defined in (3.3) is a convex function of ρ .*

We would like to find the relation between the (f, g) -skew information and the metric adjusted skew information. Consider the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $M_n \times M_n$ given by $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^*B)$, $A, B \in M_n$. For a CLI monotone pair (f, g) , the (f, g) -skew information in (4.3) can be expressed as

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(i[f(\rho), A]i[g(\rho), A]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle i[f(\rho), A], i[g(\rho), A] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle i(f(L_\rho) - f(R_\rho))(A), i(g(L_\rho) - g(R_\rho))(A) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle A, (i(f(L_\rho) - f(R_\rho)))^* (i(g(L_\rho) - g(R_\rho))) (A) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle i[\rho, A], ((i(L_\rho - R_\rho))^{-1})^* (i(f(L_\rho) - f(R_\rho)))^* \\ &\quad (i(g(L_\rho) - g(R_\rho))) (i(L_\rho - R_\rho))^{-1} (i[\rho, A]) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)i[\rho, A]), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad c(x, y) = \frac{(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y))}{(x - y)^2}.$$

Now we want to find, if possible, a function $h \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad h(xy^{-1}) = \frac{1}{yc(x, y)} = \frac{y(x/y - 1)^2}{f(y)g(y)(f(x)/f(y) - 1)(g(x)/g(y) - 1)}.$$

In the following we consider some examples where the monotone pair (f, g) is related to a function $h \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ by the relation (3.6). In these cases therefore, by Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, the corresponding (f, g) -skew information $I_{\rho,(f,g)}$ is convex in state variables ρ .

EXAMPLE 3.3. If f and g satisfy the conditions

$$f(y)g(y) = y, \quad \frac{f(x)}{f(y)} = l_1(xy^{-1}), \quad \frac{g(x)}{g(y)} = l_2(xy^{-1})$$

for some functions l_1, l_2 , then it is not hard to see that $f(x) = ax^\alpha$ and $g(x) = a^{-1}x^{1-\alpha}$ for some $a > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this case, the (f, g) -skew information is the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and equals the metric adjusted skew information related to the regular monotone function $h_{WYD}(x) = \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{(x-1)^2}{(x^\alpha-1)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}$. Indeed, by (3.2) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(i[f(\rho), A]i[g(\rho), A]) \\ &= \frac{h_{WYD}(0)}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)(i[\rho, A])), \end{aligned}$$

where $c(x, y) = \frac{(x^\alpha - y^\alpha)(x^{1-\alpha} - y^{1-\alpha})}{\alpha(1-\alpha)(x-y)^2}$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. For $1 \leq a$ and $e^{-a} < k < 1$, let $kI \leq \rho \in D_n^1$ and $f(x) = a + \log x$ and $g(x) = 2x$ on $[k, 1]$. The functions f and g satisfy conditions in Definition 2.1 and (2.11). The (f, g) -skew information equals the metric adjusted skew information I_ρ^c for the Morozova-Chentsov function c related to the non-regular monotone function $h_{BKM}(x) = (x-1)/\log x$. Indeed, by (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(i[f(\rho), A]i[g(\rho), A]) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)(i[\rho, A])), \end{aligned}$$

where $c(x, y) = (\log x - \log y)/(x - y)$.

EXAMPLE 3.5. For $0 < 3/2a < k < 1$, let $kI \leq \rho \in D_n^1$ and $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = a - 1/x$ on $[k, 1]$. The functions f and g satisfy conditions in Definition 2.1 and (2.11). The (f, g) -skew information equals the metric adjusted skew information I_ρ^c for the Morozova-Chentsov function c related to the non-regular monotone function $h_{RLD}(x) = 2x/(x+1)$. Indeed, by (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(i[f(\rho), A]i[g(\rho), A]) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(i[\rho, A]c(L_\rho, R_\rho)(i[\rho, A])), \end{aligned}$$

where $c(x, y) = (x+y)/2xy$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.3. In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2.3. We adopt a similar method used in [10].

Let $\rho = \sum_l \lambda_l |\phi_l\rangle\langle\phi_l| \in D_n^1$, $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq 1$, where $\{\phi_l\}_{l=1}^n$ is an orthonormal set in \mathbf{C}^n and $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho) = \sum_l \lambda_l = 1$. Let (f, g) be a CLI monotone pair satisfying (2.11). By a

simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0B_0) &= \sum_l f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)\langle A_0\phi_l, B_0\phi_l \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{l,m} f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)\langle \phi_m, B_0\phi_l \rangle \langle A_0\phi_l, \phi_m \rangle \\
 (4.1) \quad &= \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)a_{lm}b_{ml} + f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_m)a_{ml}b_{lm}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_l f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)a_{ll}b_{ll}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)B_0) &= \sum_{l,m} f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_m)\langle \phi_m, B_0\phi_l \rangle \langle A_0\phi_l, \phi_m \rangle \\
 (4.2) \quad &= \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_m)a_{lm}b_{ml} + f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_l)a_{ml}b_{lm}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_l f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)a_{ll}b_{ll}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in M_{n,sa}$, where $a_{ml} = \langle \phi_m, A_0\phi_l \rangle$ and $b_{ml} = \langle \phi_m, B_0\phi_l \rangle$. From (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0^2) - \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)A_0) \\
 &= \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m) - f(\lambda_l))(g(\lambda_m) - g(\lambda_l))|a_{ml}|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad J_{\rho,(f,g)}(A) &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0^2) + \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)A_0) \\
 &\geq \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m) + f(\lambda_l))(g(\lambda_m) + g(\lambda_l))|a_{ml}|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad \text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B) &= \text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A_0, B_0) \\
 &= \text{Tr}(f(\rho)g(\rho)A_0B_0) - \text{Tr}(f(\rho)A_0g(\rho)B_0) \\
 &= \sum_{l \neq m} f(\lambda_m)(g(\lambda_m) - g(\lambda_l))a_{ml}b_{lm}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 2.3, we use the following lower bound of a function coming from a CLI monotone pair (f, g) :

$$(4.6) \quad \min_{x,y \in [0,1]} L(x, y) \geq 4\beta_{(f,g)},$$

where $L(x, y) = \frac{(f(x)^2 - f(y)^2)(g(x)^2 - g(y)^2)}{(f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y))^2}$ and $\beta_{(f,g)}$ is defined in (2.9). See the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [10].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. By (2.11) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)| &\leq \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m) + f(\lambda_l))(g(\lambda_m) - g(\lambda_l))|a_{lm}||b_{lm}| \\ &\leq \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_m) - f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l))|a_{lm}||b_{lm}| \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in M_{n,sa}$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\beta_{(f,g)}|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 &\leq 4\beta_{(f,g)}\left(\sum_{l < m} |f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_m) - f(\lambda_l)g(\lambda_l)||a_{lm}b_{lm}|\right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{l < m} \sqrt{(f(\lambda_m)^2 - f(\lambda_l)^2)(g(\lambda_m)^2 - g(\lambda_l)^2)}|a_{lm}b_{lm}|\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\beta_{(f,g)}|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 &\leq \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m) - f(\lambda_l))(g(\lambda_m) - g(\lambda_l))|a_{ml}|^2 \\ (4.7) \quad &\quad \times \sum_{l < m} (f(\lambda_m) + f(\lambda_l))(g(\lambda_m) + g(\lambda_l))|b_{lm}|^2 \\ &\leq I_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)J_{\rho,(f,g)}(B) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$4\beta_{(f,g)}|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 \leq I_{\rho,(f,g)}(B)J_{\rho,(f,g)}(A).$$

Hence by multiplying the above two inequalities, we have

$$4\beta_{(f,g)}|\text{Corr}_{\rho,(f,g)}(A, B)|^2 \leq U_{\rho,(f,g)}(A)U_{\rho,(f,g)}(B). \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. CAI AND F. HANSEN, Metric-adjusted skew information: Convexity and restricted forms of superadditivity, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 93 (2010), 1–13.
- [2] S. FURUICHI, Schrödinger uncertainty relation with Wigner-Yanase skew information, *Phys. Rev. A.* 82 (2010), no. 3, 034101, 3 pp.
- [3] S. FURUICHI, Inequalities for Tsallis relative entropy and generalized skew information, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 59 (2011), 1143–1158.
- [4] S. FURUICHI, K. YANAGI AND K. KURIYAMA, Trace inequalities on a generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 356 (2009), 179–185.
- [5] T. FURUTA, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008), no. 5-6, 972–980.
- [6] S. FURUICHI AND K. YANAGI, Schrödinger uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase skew information and metric adjusted correlation measure, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 388 (2012), 1147–1156.
- [7] P. GIBILISCO AND T. ISOLA, Uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information, *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* 59 (2007), 147–159.
- [8] P. GIBILISCO, D. IMPARATO AND T. ISOLA, Uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information. II, *J. Math. Phys.* 48 (2007), 072109, 25 pp.
- [9] F. HANSEN, Metric-adjusted skew information, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105 (2008), 9909–9916.

- [10] C. K. KO AND H. J. YOO, Uncertainty relation associated with a monotone pair skew information, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 383 (2011), 208–214.
- [11] E. H. LIEB, Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture, *Advances in Math.* 11 (1973), 267–288.
- [12] S. LUO, Heisenberg uncertainty relation for mixed states, *Phys. Rev. A* 72 (2005), no. 4, 042110, 3 pp.
- [13] S. LUO AND Q. ZHANG, On skew information, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 50 (2004), 1778–1782; S. Luo and Q. Zhang, Correction to “On skew information”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 51 (2005), 4432.
- [14] E. P. WIGNER AND M. M. YANASE, Information content of distribution, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 49 (1963), 910–918.
- [15] K. YANAGI, Uncertainty relation on Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 365 (2010), 12–18.
- [16] K. YANAGI, Metric adjusted skew information and uncertainty relation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 380 (2011), 888–892.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE
YONSEI UNIVERSITY
134 SINCHON-DONG, SEODAEMUN-GU
SEOUL 120-749
KOREA

E-mail address: kochulki@yonsei.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
HANKYONG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
327 JUNGANGRO, ANSEONG-SI
GYEONGGI-DO 456-749
KOREA

E-mail address: yoohj@hknu.ac.kr