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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of a solution for the second order system of partial differential equations $\partial_{t} f=\nu \cdot \Delta f+g \cdot \nabla f+h \cdot f+k$ by a Montel space version of Arzelà-Ascoli and bound all Schwartz semi-norms. We find that for the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations the vorticity remains a Schwartz function as long as the classical solution exists. Our approach is not affected by viscosity. It treats the hyperbolic Euler and the parabolic Navier-Stokes equation simultaneously.
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## 1. Introduction

The motion of (incompressible) fluids in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{T}^{n}:=\mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}(n=2,3)$ are described by the Euler $(\nu=0)$ and Navier-Stokes $(\nu>0)$ equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u(x, t) & =\nu \Delta u(x, t)-u \cdot \nabla u(x, t)-\nabla p(x, t)+F(x, t)  \tag{1a}\\
\operatorname{div} u(x, t) & =0 \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x, t_{0}\right)=u_{0}(x) \tag{1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ is the position vector and $t \geq t_{0}$ is the time; $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the initial starting time and without loss of generality $t_{0}=0$. Then $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{t}$ is the velocity field of the fluid, $p$ is the pressure, and $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)^{t}$ are externally applied forces [1]. Reasonable initial conditions [1] are

$$
u_{0}=\left(u_{0,1}, \ldots, u_{0, n}\right)^{t} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { resp. } \quad C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$
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i.e., all $u_{0, i}$ shall be Schwartz functions

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right\}
$$

resp. smooth periodic functions. A physically reasonable solution $u$ and $p$ of (1) must fulfill the smoothness condition

$$
u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, p \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)\right) \quad \text { resp. } \quad C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n} \times[0, \infty)\right)
$$

and the bounded energy condition $\int|u(x, t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<C$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ [1].
With $F=0$, taking the curl of (1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \omega(x, t) & =\nu \Delta \omega(x, t)-u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \omega(x, t)+\omega(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t) \\
\omega\left(x, t_{0}\right) & =\omega_{0}(x):=\operatorname{rot} u_{0}(x) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with the vorticity $\omega(x, t):=\operatorname{rot} u(x, t)(=\operatorname{curl} u(x, t)=\nabla \times u(x, t))$, and we have
$\omega \cdot \nabla u=\left(\omega_{1} \partial_{1}+\omega_{2} \partial_{2}+\omega_{3} \partial_{3}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\partial_{1} u_{1} & \partial_{2} u_{1} & \partial_{3} u_{1} \\ \partial_{1} u_{2} & \partial_{2} u_{2} & \partial_{3} u_{2} \\ \partial_{1} u_{3} & \partial_{2} u_{3} & \partial_{3} u_{3}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\omega_{1} \\ \omega_{2} \\ \omega_{3}\end{array}\right)=\nabla u \cdot \omega$.
More on the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations can be found e.g. in 2-34 and references therein.

In this paper we investigate Schwartz function valued vorticity solutions of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. Since the initial values fulfill $u_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)[1$ it is interesting if the solution $u$ of (1) resp. the vorticity $\omega$ of (2p) stay in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ or how they leave this space.

The spatial decay (asymptotics) of $u$ and $\omega$ has been investigated before. A classical result is that unless the Dobrokhotov-Shafarevich conditions [21] are fulfilled, the spacial decay in $u$ does not decay faster than $O\left(|x|^{-4}\right)$. This especially covers the instantaneous breakdown of $u$ being a Schwartz function. For further studies see e.g. [35-39]. For the vorticity $\omega$ such a spreading was never observed, see e.g. [33, Ch. 4.11]. In [29, Prop. 3.1] it was shown that for the Navier-Stokes equation the vorticity remains a Schwartz function for small times. We also want to mention the works [40-46].

In this paper we show that the vorticity for the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equation remains a Schwartz function as long as the smooth solution exists (Theorem 7.1). Our approach is not affected by the Laplace operator and treats the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations at the same time. It also covers the anisotropic Laplace operator $\nu \cdot \Delta:=\nu_{1} \partial_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\nu_{n} \partial_{n}^{2}$ with $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right) \in$ $[0, \infty)^{n}$.

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. In what follows $\|f\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|$ is the supremumnorm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $x^{\alpha}:=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}, \partial^{\alpha}:=\partial_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$, and $|\alpha|:=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ are multi-index notations with $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$. By $T^{*} \leq \infty$ we denote the maximal time a classical solution of a PDE exists, i.e., the classical solution exists for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$ and $T^{*}$ is maximal with this property. We denote by

$$
C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \mid\left\|\partial^{\alpha} f\right\|_{\infty}<\infty \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right\}
$$

the set of all smooth bounded functions and by

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \mid\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right\}
$$

we denote the set of all Schwartz functions. Here, for functions $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)^{t}$ by $|f|$ we denote $|f|(x):=\sqrt{f_{1}^{2}(x)+\cdots+f_{n}^{2}(x)}$ and $\left\|x^{\alpha} f(x)\right\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|x^{\alpha}\right|$. $|f|(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.

We study (1) and (2) via the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} f(x, t) & =\nu \Delta f(x, t)+g(x, t) \cdot \nabla f(x, t)+h(x, t) \cdot f(x, t)+k(x, t) \\
f(x, 0) & =f_{0}(x), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and the functions $g(x, t)=\left(g_{1}(x, t), \ldots, g_{n}(x, t)\right)^{t}, h(x, t)=\left(h_{i, j}(x, t)\right)_{i, j=1}^{m}$, and $k(x, t)=\left(k_{1}(x, t), \ldots, k_{m}(x, t)\right)^{t}$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are known vector resp. matrix functions.

To show the existence of solutions of (4) we split it into the following four simpler parts and glue them together in a Trotter type 47] fashion.
Example 1.1 (heat equation). Let $\nu>0$ and for all $t>0$ let $\Theta_{\nu, t}(x):=$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \nu t}\right)$ be the heat kernel. Then for $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t):=\left(\Theta_{\nu, t} * f_{0}\right)(x)=\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} f_{0}(x-y) \cdot \Theta_{\nu, t}(y) \mathrm{d} y \quad \in C^{1}([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

solves the initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} f(x, t) & =\nu \cdot \Delta f(x, t) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty) \\
f(x, 0) & =f_{0}(x) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- 

In higher dimensions for the anisotropic Laplace operator $\nu \cdot \Delta=\nu_{1} \partial_{1}^{2}+$ $\cdots+\nu_{n} \partial_{n}^{2}$ we set $\Theta_{\nu, t}:=\Theta_{\nu_{1}, t}^{(1)} \cdots \Theta_{\nu_{n}, t}^{(n)}$ where $\Theta_{\nu_{i}, t}^{(i)}$ is the one-dimensional heat kernel acting resp. depending only on the $x_{i}$-coordinate.

Example 1.2 (transport equation). Let $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t):=f_{0}\left(x+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \quad \in C^{1}([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} f(x, t) & =g(t) \cdot \nabla f(x, t) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty) \\
f(x, 0) & =f_{0}(x) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note, that if $g$ also depends on $x$, then the previous simple formula does not hold but serves for the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t) \approx f_{0}\left(x+\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \in C^{1}([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small times $t$.

Example 1.3 ("stretching equation"). Let $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C([0, \infty), C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t):=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} h(x, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \cdot f_{0}(x) \quad \in C^{1}([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} f(x, t) & =h(x, t) \cdot f(x, t) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty) \\
f(x, 0) & =f_{0}(x) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Example 1.4 ("addition equation"). Let $k \in C\left([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ ) and $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t)=f_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} k(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \in C^{1}([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

solves the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} f(x, t) & =k(x, t) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty) \\
f(x, 0) & =f_{0}(x) & & \text { on } \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Each of the initial value problems $\partial_{t} f=A_{i} f, i=1, \ldots, 4$, in Examples 1.1 to 1.4 gives a time-evolution or in higher dimensions at least an approximate time evolution $f(x, t)=E_{i}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}$. In all four time evolutions, when $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then also $f=E_{i}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right) f_{0} \in C([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))$. Our aim is to show that (4) with $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ also possesses such a Schwartz valued solution and to give explicit bounds on all semi-norms. The four (approximate) solutions $E_{i}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}$ will be glued together in the Trotter fashion 47] by using a Schwartz valued version of the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem (Lemma 2.1), i.e.,

$$
f(\cdot, t)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} E_{4}\left(t, \frac{N-1}{N} t\right) \cdots E_{1}\left(t, \frac{N-1}{N} t\right) E_{4}\left(\frac{N-1}{N} t, \frac{N-2}{N} t\right) \cdots E_{1}\left(\frac{1}{N} t, 0\right) f_{0}
$$

where the convergence is controlled in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give for completeness of the paper the Schwartz function valued version of the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem (Lemma 2.1). In Section 3 the family $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of approximate solutions of (4) is defined. In Section 4 the cover $\operatorname{cov}_{R} f$ of a function $f$ is introduced, i.e., $|f| \leq \operatorname{cov}_{R} f$. It is used in Section 5 to prove the main theorem (Theorem 5.1). Theorem 5.1 is applied in Section 6 to Burgers' equation and in Section 7 to the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations.

## 2. The Schwartz Function Valued Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem

A set $M \subset \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is bounded if for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ there are $C_{\alpha, \beta}>0$ with

$$
\sup _{f \in M}\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty
$$

$\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a complete Montel space and every bounded set is relatively compact.

In the proof of the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem it is crucial that the continuous functions are (real- or) complex-valued to apply the Bolzano-Weierstraß Theorem since $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ have the Heine-Borel property: Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence resp. bounded and closed sets are compact. But every Montel space also has the Heine-Borel property, i.e., the classical proof of the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem 48 50, see e.g. [51, pp. 85-86], can be literally used for $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. While this was known before, for the sake of completeness of the paper and to make it self-contained we briefly state and prove the result.

Lemma $2.1\left(\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right.$-valued version of Arzelà-Ascoli). Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, T>0$, and $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$. Assume that
(i) $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T]}\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{x}^{\beta} f_{N}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$, and
(ii) $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equi-continuous, i.e., for all $\varepsilon>0$ exists $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
|t-s|<\delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\|f_{N}(x, t)-f_{N}(x, s)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon
$$

Then $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $C\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove the result for $m=1$. Then it holds in one component of $f_{N}$ and by choosing subsequences it holds in all components.

Let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset[0, T]$ be a dense countable subset such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $k(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{1 \leq k \leq k(\varepsilon)}\left|t-t_{k}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

Let $t \in[0, T]$. Since $\left\{f_{N}(\cdot, t)\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded set in the complete Montel space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it has a convergent subsequence. Let $\left(N_{1, i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left(f_{N_{1, i}}\left(\cdot, t_{1}\right)\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Take a subsequence $\left(N_{2, i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left(N_{1, i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\left(f_{N_{2, i}}\left(\cdot, t_{2}\right)\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Hence, by the diagonal process of choice we get a subsequence $\left(f_{N_{i}}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $N_{i}:=N_{i, i}$ which converges in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $t_{k}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. By the equi-continuity of $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ there is a $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $|t-s|<\delta$ implies $\left\|f_{N}(x, t)-f_{N}(x, s)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, for every $t \in[0, T]$ there exists a $k$ with $k \leq k(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-f_{N_{j}}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq & \left\|f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-f_{N_{i}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|f_{N_{i}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)-f_{N_{j}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& +\left\|f_{N_{j}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)-f_{N_{j}}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \\
\leq & 2 \varepsilon+\left\|f_{N_{i}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)-f_{N_{j}}\left(x, t_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-f_{N_{j}}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \varepsilon$ and since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary we have $\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-f_{N_{j}}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty}=0$. So for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the sequence
$f_{N_{i}}(x, \cdot)$ converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ to a continuous function $f(x, \cdot)$. Hence by construction $f\left(\cdot, t_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $t_{k}$ dense in $[0, T]$. But

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup _{s \in[0, T], N \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N}(x, s)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ implies $f(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$.

## 3. The Approximate Solutions $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{N}}$

Definition 3.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T>0$. A decomposition $\mathcal{Z}_{N}$ of $[0, T]$ is a set $\mathcal{Z}_{N}=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right\}$ with $t_{0}=0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=T$ and we set $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}:=\max _{i=1, \ldots, N}\left|t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right|$.

We use the following type of functions.
Definition 3.2. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T>0$. We denote by

$$
C^{d}\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

all functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we have $f(x, \cdot) \in C^{d}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$,
(ii) $f(\cdot, t), \partial_{t} f(\cdot, t), \ldots, \partial_{t}^{d} f(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, and
(iii) $\partial_{t}^{i} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T], \mathbb{R}\right)$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, d$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.

We will always denote by $\partial_{t}$ the time derivative and we will therefore abbreviate the spatial derivatives $\partial^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{\alpha}$. (iii) implies by the Theorem of Schwarz that the order of the derivatives $\partial_{t}, \partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}$ can be arbitrary.

Definition 3.3. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the following time evolutions $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{4}$ for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ :
(i) Let $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right) \in[0, \infty)^{n}$. Then we define

$$
E_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}:=\Theta_{\nu, t-t_{0}} * f_{0}
$$

with $\Theta_{\nu, t}:=\Theta_{\nu_{1}, t}^{(1)} \cdots \Theta_{\nu_{n}, t}^{(n)}$ where $\Theta_{\nu_{i}, t}^{(i)}$ is the one-dimensional heat kernel acting resp. depending only on the $x_{i}$-coordinate.
(ii) For $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ we define

$$
E_{2}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}:=f_{0}\left(x+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) .
$$

(iii) For $h=\left(h_{i, j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{m} \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}\right)\right)$ we define

$$
E_{3}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}:=\left(1+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} h(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \cdot f_{0}
$$

(iv) For $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ we define

$$
E_{4}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}:=f_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

We see that the $E_{i}$ 's are (approximate) time evolutions $\partial_{t} f=A_{i} f$ with respect to the operators $A_{1}=\nu \cdot \Delta, A_{2}=g \cdot \nabla$, the multiplication operator $A_{3}=h \cdot$, and the addition operator $A_{4}=+k$. By the Trotter approach 47] as an approximate solution of $\partial_{t} f=\left(A_{1}+\cdots+A_{4}\right) f$ we can therefore take
$E_{4}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{3}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{2}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{4}\left(t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}\right) \cdots E_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right) f_{0}$.
Definition 3.4. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, T>0, f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and let $\nu, g, h$, and $k$ be as in Definition 3.3. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{Z}_{N}$ be a decomposition of $[0, T]$. We define the functions $f_{N}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ piece-wise on each interval $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ by the following:
(i) For $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ we set

$$
f_{N}(\cdot, t):=E_{4}\left(t, t_{0}\right) E_{3}\left(t, t_{0}\right) E_{2}\left(t, t_{0}\right) E_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f_{0}
$$

(ii) For $t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ with $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ we set

$$
f_{N}(\cdot, t):=E_{4}\left(t, t_{i}\right) E_{3}\left(t, t_{i}\right) E_{2}\left(t, t_{i}\right) E_{1}\left(t, t_{i}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{i}\right)
$$

The following ensures that we can apply the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem to the family $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$.
Lemma 3.5. $f_{N} \in C\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $C^{1}$ in $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$.
Proof. For all $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $t \geq t^{\prime} \geq 0$ we have $E_{j}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, $j=1, \ldots, 4$, and from Definition 3.3 we also have $C^{1}$ in $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$.

## 4. The Cover of a Function

For the approximate solutions $f_{N}$ 's we need to bound all semi-norms

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

to apply the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem. To handle these extensive calculations, we introduce the $\operatorname{cover}^{\operatorname{cov}}{ }_{R} f$ of a function $f$, i.e., $\left|f_{N}(\cdot, t)\right| \leq \operatorname{cov}_{R} f_{N}(\cdot, t)$.

Definition 4.1. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq 0$. We introduce the cover $\operatorname{cov}_{R}$ of $a$ Schwartz function $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ as the map

$$
\operatorname{cov}_{R}: \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\left(\operatorname{cov}_{R} f\right)(x):=\max _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|y\|_{2} \geq\|x\|_{2}-R}\|f(y)\|_{2}
$$

The cover has the following general properties.
Lemma 4.2. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, R, R^{\prime} \geq 0, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. The cover cov has the following general properties:
(i) $\operatorname{cov}_{R} f$ is non-negative, radial symmetric, decreases with increasing $\|x\|_{2}$, and fulfills $|f| \leq \operatorname{cov}_{0} f$.
(ii) If $|f| \leq\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, then $\operatorname{cov}_{R} f \leq \operatorname{cov}_{R} f^{\prime}$.
(iii) $\operatorname{cov}_{R} f \leq \operatorname{cov}_{R+R^{\prime}} f$.
(iv) $\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(a f+b f^{\prime}\right) \leq|a| \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{R} f+|b| \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{R} f^{\prime}$.
(v) $\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(\operatorname{cov}_{R^{\prime}} f\right)=\operatorname{cov}_{R+R^{\prime}} f$.
(vi) For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $C_{d}>0$ be such that $|f|(x) \leq \frac{C_{d}}{1+\|x\|_{2}^{d}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{cov}_{0} f\right)(x) \leq \frac{C_{d}}{1+\|x\|_{2}^{d}}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(vii) For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $C_{d}>0$ be such that $|f(x)| \leq \frac{C_{d}}{1+\|x\|_{2}^{d}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{cov}_{R} f\right)(x) \leq \frac{C_{d}}{1+r^{d}} \quad \text { with } \quad r:=\max \left\{0,\|x\|_{2}-R\right\}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proof. (i)-(iv): Follows immediately from the Definition 4.1.
(v): By (i) we have that $\operatorname{cov}_{R^{\prime}} f$ is radial symmetric and decreases with increasing $\|x\|_{2}$. Hence, for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there is a $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq\|x\|_{2}-R^{\prime}$ such that $\left|f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left(\operatorname{cov}_{R^{\prime}} f\right)(x)$. But in $\operatorname{cov}_{R+R^{\prime}} f$ we have the restriction $\|y\|_{2} \geq$ $\|x\|_{2}-R-R^{\prime}$, i.e., a larger range for $y$ and hence the inequality holds.
(vi): Since $a_{d}(x):=\frac{C_{d}}{1+\|x\|_{2}^{d}}$ is non-negative, radial symmetric, and decreases with increasing $\|x\|_{2}$ we have $\operatorname{cov}_{0} a_{d}=a_{d}$ and since $|f| \leq a_{d}$ we have that (ii) implies $\operatorname{cov}_{0} f \leq \operatorname{cov}_{0} a_{d}=a_{d}$.
(vii): Set $a_{d}(x):=\frac{C_{d}}{1+\|x\|_{2}^{d}}$. Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{cov}_{R} f\right)(x) \stackrel{(\mathrm{v})}{=} \operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(\operatorname{cov}_{0} f\right) \stackrel{(\mathrm{vi})}{\leq} \operatorname{cov}_{R} a_{d}
$$

and since $a_{d}$ is non-negative, radial symmetric, decreases with increasing $\|x\|_{2}$ we have $\operatorname{cov}_{R} a_{d}=\frac{C_{d}}{1+r^{d}}$ with $r:=\max \left\{0,\|x\|_{2}-R\right\}$.

We use the cover cov to bound the Schwartz semi-norms for the approximate time evolution from $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{4}$. Hence, we collect in the following the special properties connected to the $E_{i}$ 's.

Lemma 4.3. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, R, R^{\prime} \geq 0, t_{0}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \geq t_{0}$, and $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Let $\nu$, $g$, $h$, and $k$ be as in Definition 3.3. The cover cov has the following special properties:
(i) $\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(E_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f\right) \leq E_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{R} f$.
(ii) With $G\left(t, t_{0}\right):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|g(\cdot, s)\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s$ we get

$$
\left|E_{2}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f\right| \leq \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)} f .
$$

(iii) With $H\left(t, t_{0}\right):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|h(\cdot, s)\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s$ we have

$$
\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(E_{3}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f\right) \leq\left(1+H\left(t, t_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{R} f
$$

(iv) $\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(E_{4}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f\right) \leq \operatorname{cov}_{R} f+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \operatorname{cov}_{R} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s$.

Proof. (i): Follow immediately from the fact that $E_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)$ is the convolution with the non-negative heat kernel $\Theta_{\nu, t-t_{0}}$.
(ii): Since $E_{2}\left(t, t_{0}\right)$ is a translation, each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|x\|_{2}=r$ is moved to some $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq r+G\left(t, t_{0}\right)$ which proves the inequality.
(iii): Follows immediately from taking the supremum of $1+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} h(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s$.
(iv): We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(E_{4}\left(t, t_{0}\right) f\right)=\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(f+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
& \text { Lem. }\stackrel{4.2}{\leq} \mathrm{iv}) \\
& \operatorname{cov}_{R} f+\operatorname{cov}_{R}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
& \text { Lem. }\stackrel{4.2}{\leq} \mathrm{iv}) \\
& \operatorname{cov}_{R} f+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \operatorname{cov}_{R} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to bound all semi-norms in the Schwartz space. Hence, we also have to look at derivatives of the approximate solutions $f_{N}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Let $\nu$, $g$, $h$, and $k$ be as in Definition 3.3. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, t) & :=E_{4}(t, 0) E_{3}(t, 0) E_{2}(t, 0) E_{1}(t, 0) f_{0} \\
& =\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} h(x, s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\left(\Theta_{\nu, t} * f_{0}\right)\left(x+\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) \mathrm{d} s\right)+\int_{0}^{t} k(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =(1+H) \cdot F(x+G)+K
\end{aligned}
$$

with $F:=\Theta_{\nu, t} * f_{0}, H:=\int_{0}^{t} h(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s, G:=\int_{0}^{t} g(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s, G_{j}:=\int_{0}^{t} g_{j}(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s$, and $K:=\int_{0}^{t} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s$. Then for $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have

$$
\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} f(x, t)=\left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G)+\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} K
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G)  \tag{11}\\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+o(d-1, t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $o(d-1, t)$ is the set of functions $\int_{0}^{t}\{d e r i v a t i v e s ~ o f ~ h o r ~ g\} \cdot \Theta_{\nu, t} *\left(\partial^{\gamma} f_{0}\right)$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and $|\gamma| \leq d-1$, i.e., the growth in $t$ is at most linear.
Proof. We prove (11) by induction over $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
$\underline{d=0}$ : Clear, since 10 = 11 .
$\overrightarrow{d \rightarrow d}+1$ : Assume 111 holds for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Let $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d+1} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F \\
& =\partial_{i_{d+1}}\left[\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right] \\
& =\partial_{i_{d+1}}\left[\left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G)\right. \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& \left.+\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} K+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+o(d-1, t)\right] \\
& =\underbrace{\left(\partial_{i_{d+1}} H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{d+1}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G)}_{\in \circ(d, t)} \\
& +\left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot \sum_{j_{d+1}=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{j_{d+1}, i_{d+1}}+\partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{j_{d+1}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\partial_{j_{d+1}} \partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \underbrace{\partial_{i_{d+1}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G)}_{\in o(d, t)} \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \quad \underbrace{}_{i_{r} G_{j_{r}}} \cdot \sum_{j_{d+1}=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{j_{d+1}, i_{d+1}}+\partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{j_{d+1}}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=\partial_{i_{G} G} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(1+\partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{\left.i_{d+1}\right)=\partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right) \text { for } j_{j_{2+1}=i_{d+1}} ;} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { for } j_{d+1} \neq i_{d+1}
\end{array}\right. \\
=\partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot \partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{j_{d+1}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \times\left(\partial_{j_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} K+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+o(d-1, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \underbrace{\left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot\left(1+\partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{i_{d+1}}\right)}_{=1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d+1} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\sum_{j_{d+1} \neq i_{d+1}}^{n} \underbrace{\left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot \partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{j_{d+1}}}_{=\partial_{i_{d+1}} G_{j_{d+1}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)} \cdot\left(\partial_{j_{d+1}} \partial_{i_{d}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} K+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+o(d, t) \\
= & \left(1+H+\sum_{r=1}^{d+1} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{i_{r}}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{d+1} \sum_{j_{r} \neq i_{r}} \partial_{i_{r}} G_{j_{r}} \cdot\left(\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{r+1}} \partial_{j_{r}} \partial_{i_{r-1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} F\right)(x+G) \\
& +\partial_{i_{d+1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{1}} K+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+o(d, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves for $d+1$ and hence by induction for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

## 5. The Existence of a Schwartz Function Valued Solution

The next result is the main theorem of this article. It completely solves the Schwartz function regularity problem of (4) with explicit bounds for the Schwartz function semi-norms $\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x, t)\right\|_{\infty}$

Theorem 5.1. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right) \in[0, \infty)^{n}$. Furthermore, let $g \in C^{d}\left([0, \infty), C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$, $h \in C^{d}\left([0, \infty), C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}\right)\right)$, and $k \in C^{d}\left([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$. Set $H\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\|h(\cdot, s)\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s, G\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right):=$ $\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\|g(\cdot, s)\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s$, and $G^{\prime}\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\|\nabla g(\cdot, s)\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s$ for all $t_{1} \geq t_{0} \geq 0$. For $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} f & =\nu \cdot \Delta f+g \cdot \nabla f+h \cdot f+k \\
f(\cdot, 0) & =f_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

has a solution $f \in C^{d+1}\left([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ with the covers

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial^{\beta} f(\cdot, t)\right| \leq B_{|\beta|}(\cdot, t):=\exp \left(H(t, 0)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}(t, 0)\right) \\
& \times E_{1}(t, 0) \operatorname{cov}_{G(t, 0)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{0}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(H(t, s)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}(t, s)\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \times E_{1}(t, s) \operatorname{cov}_{G(t, s)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\bar{B}_{d-1}(\cdot, t)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\|x\|_{2}^{|\alpha|} \cdot B_{|\beta|}(x, t) \quad<\infty
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and $t \geq 0$. Therein, $\bar{B}_{d}(\cdot, t)$ is a linear combination of bounds $B_{j}$ for $j \leq d-1$ with coefficients as integrals over $\partial^{\gamma} g$ and $\partial^{\gamma} h$ with $|\gamma| \leq d$.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, T>0$, and $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}$ be a decomposition of $[0, T]$. Take the $f_{N}$ 's from Definition 3.4 i.e., $f_{N} \in C\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right.$ by Lemma 3.5 .

We look at the family $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and want to use Lemma 2.1 to find an accumulation point $f$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N} \rightarrow 0$. Since $T>0$ is arbitrary, it is sufficient that we bound the semi-norms at $t=T$. Additionally, since $\left|\partial^{\beta} f_{N}\right|(\cdot, T) \leq \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\partial^{\beta} f_{N}\right)(\cdot, T)$ we have

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N}(x, T)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\partial^{\beta} f_{N}\right)(x, T)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

We will proceed via induction over $b=|\beta| \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
$\underline{b=0}$ : We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N}\right)\right| \\
& \text { Lem. } 4.2(\mathrm{i}) \\
& \leq \quad \operatorname{cov}_{0} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Dfn. }}{=} \quad \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{4}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \ldots E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right) \\
& \text { Lem. } 4.3 \text { (iv) } \\
& \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{3}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \ldots E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \text { Lem. } 4.3 \text { (iii) } \\
& \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{2}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \text { Lem. } 4.3 \text { (ii) } \\
& \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)} E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Lem. 4.3 (i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \cdot E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

and applying Definition 3.4 and Lemma 4.3 (i-iv) on the time interval $\left[t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}\right]$ in the same way gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq \quad & \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-2}\right)\right) \cdot E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-2}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-2}\right)} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-2}\right) \\
& +\exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \cdot \int_{t_{N-2}}^{t_{N-1}} E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \operatorname{cov}{ }_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

and proceeding gives finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq \quad & \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right)\right) \cdot E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right)} f_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{N} \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-i}\right)\right) \cdot \int_{t_{N-1-i}}^{t_{N-i}} E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-i}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-i}\right)} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges by Riemann integration for $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N} \rightarrow 0$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
\rightarrow \quad B_{0}(x, T)= & \exp (H(T, 0)) \cdot E_{1}(T, 0) \operatorname{cov}_{G(T, 0)} f_{0}  \tag{13}\\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \exp (H(T, s)) \cdot E(T, s) \operatorname{cov}_{G(T, s)} k(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2 vi) and (vii) we have that

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot f_{N}(x, T)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\|x\|_{2}^{|\alpha|} \cdot B_{0}(x, T)<\infty
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.
$\underline{d \rightarrow d+1}$ : Assume for all $i=0, \ldots, d$ we have bounds $B_{i}$ with

$$
\left|\partial^{\beta} f_{N}(x, T)\right| \leq B_{|\beta|}(x, T)
$$

such that

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N}(x, T)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\|x\|_{2}^{|\alpha|} \cdot B_{|\beta|}(x, T)<\infty
$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\beta| \leq d$. We show that such a bound $B_{d+1}$ also exists.

From Lemma 4.4 we have that $\partial^{\beta} f\left(\cdot, t_{N}\right)$ gives an induction from $t_{i}$ to $t_{i-1}$ where the derivative $\partial^{\beta}$ applies to $f_{N}$ again, gives contributions of order $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}$,
contributions from lower derivatives linear in $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}$. In the limit $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N} \rightarrow 0$ by Riemann integration 13 the $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}$ contributions vanish and the $o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right)$ contributions become a sum over the covers $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{d}$. Hence, to shorten the calculations, we only $\operatorname{drag} \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)$ and $o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right)$ through the calculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}:|\beta|=b}\left|\partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N}\right)\right| \\
& \text { Lem. } 4.2 \text { (i) } \\
& \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N}\right)\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Def.. }}{=} \quad \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} E_{4}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \ldots E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Lem. }}{\stackrel{4.3}{\leq}(\mathrm{iv})} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} E_{3}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \ldots E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \stackrel{\text { Lem. 4.4 }}{\leq}\left(1+H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)+b \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{2}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +b(b-1) \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{2}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)+o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right) \\
& \stackrel{b+b(b-1)=b^{2}}{=}\left(1+H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{cov}_{0} E_{2}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)+o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Lem.4.3 }}{\leq}\left(1+H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \times E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)+o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right) \\
& 1+\underset{\leq}{y \leq e^{y}} \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \times E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N}} \operatorname{cov}_{0}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)+o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and proceeding with this on each interval $\left[t_{i}, t_{i-1}\right]$ we finally get

$$
\leq \quad \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \times E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{0}\right)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{N}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp \left(H\left(t_{N}, t_{N+1-i}\right)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}\left(t_{N}, t_{N+1-i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{t_{N-i}}^{t_{N+1-i}} E_{1}\left(t_{N}, t_{N+1-i}\right) \operatorname{cov}_{G\left(t_{N}, t_{N+1-i}\right)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}^{2}\right)+o\left(d, \Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges for $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\Delta \mathcal{Z}_{N} \rightarrow 0$ by Riemann integration to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \quad \exp \left(H(T, 0)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}(T, 0)\right) \cdot E_{1}(T, 0) \operatorname{cov}_{G(T, 0)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} f_{0}\right) \\
&+ \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left(H(T, s)+b^{2} \cdot G^{\prime}(T, s)\right) \\
& \quad \times E_{1}(T, s) \operatorname{cov}_{G(T, s)}\left(\max _{\beta} \partial^{\beta} k(\cdot, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
&+ \bar{B}_{d}(\cdot, T)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the bound $B_{d+1}$ with

$$
\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N}(\cdot, T)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot B_{d+1}(x)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\beta|=d+1$. In summary, we have shown that for the family $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $[0, T]$ Lemma 2.1 (i) is fulfilled.

It remains to show that condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 is fulfilled. Since all $f_{N}$ are piece-wise differentiable, it is sufficient to show that $\partial_{t} f_{N}$ is bounded. But this follows immediately from the bounds $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots$ and hence there exists a constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\partial_{t} f_{N}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L<\infty
$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $f_{N}$ are all Lipschitz in $t \in[0, T]$ with a Lipschitz constant independent on $N, x$, and $t$. Condition (ii) in Lemma 2.1 is then fulfilled since Lipschitz continuity implies equi-continuity.

Since conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1 are fulfilled $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact. Hence, there exists a subsequence $\left(N_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{N_{i}}$ converges on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ to a function $f \in C\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.
We now show that the accumulation point $f$ solves 4 and is in $C^{d+1}$ in $t$, i.e., $f \in C^{d+1}\left([0, T], \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$. By Definition 3.4 of the $f_{N}$ we have that each $f_{N}$ is piece-wise differentiable in $t$ and taking the derivative $\partial_{t} f_{N}$ we find

$$
\| x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{t} \partial^{\beta} f_{N_{i}}(x, t)-x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta}\left[\nu \Delta f(x, t)+(g(x, t) \cdot \nabla) f(x, t) \quad l \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\quad+h(x, t) \cdot f(x, t)+k(x, t)] \|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0 \tag{15}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$. Hence, with $\alpha=\beta=0$ we have that $f$ solves (4) and for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the function $G(t)=f(x, t)$ is continuous in $t$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\alpha=\beta=0$, then (14) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x, t) \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_{i}}(x, t) \tag{16a}
\end{align*}
$$

since the $f_{N_{i}}$ are piece-wise differentiable $\partial_{t} f_{N_{i}}(x, t)$ is Riemann integrable in $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \partial_{t} f_{N_{i}}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (14) implies we can interchange integration and the limit $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{0}^{t} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{t} f_{N_{i}}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{16c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then 15 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{\nu \Delta f(x, s)+(g(x, s) \cdot \nabla) f(x, s)+h(x, s) \cdot f(x, s)+k(x, s)}_{\text {integrand (I) }} \mathrm{d} s \tag{16d}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Since $g, h$, and $k$ are $C^{d}$ in $t$ and $f$ is $C^{0}$, we have that the integrand (I) is $C^{0}$ in $t$. Hence, $f$ is an integral over a $C^{0}$ function and therefore $C^{1}$ in $t$. Continuing this argument we see that $f$ is $C^{d+1}$ in $t$ since the integrand (I) is at least $C^{d}$ in $t$.

Since $T>0$ was arbitrary, $\left\{f_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ has an accumulation point $f$ for all $T>0$ and all accumulation points fulfill (4) with $f$ is $C^{d+1}$ in $t$.

Remark 5.2. In the standard approach via weak solutions and Sobolev theory one usually works with spaces $L^{p}\left([0, T], L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right), 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, or more generally $L^{p}([0, T], X), X$ a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. Then $L^{p}([0, T], X)$ is equipped with the $L^{p}$-norm $\|F\|_{L^{p}}$ of $F(t):=\|f(\cdot, t)\|_{X}$ and therefore it is also a Banach space. For regularity in $t$ one then has additional work to do.

But in our approach we do not work in a Banach space $X$ but in a Montel space, i.e., we do not have a single norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ but a family of semi-norms, here $\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(x, t)\right\|_{\infty}$. The convergence of our approximation is 14 , i.e., uniform on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ for all derivatives. (14) takes care of all spatial derivatives and therefore by 16 also of the time derivatives. We demonstrated this explicitly in (16) for clarity but this argument also follows from [52, Thm. 7.17].
Remark 5.3. From (12) we see that $\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} f(\cdot, t)\right\|_{\infty}$ depend only on $\left\|x^{\gamma} \cdot \partial^{\delta} f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$ for all $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\gamma| \leq|\alpha|$ and $|\delta| \leq|\beta|$. Hence, weaker conditions on the initial value $f_{0}$ is possible since $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is dense in any $W^{p, k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $p \in[1, \infty)$.

## 6. Burgers' Equation

For Burgers' equation we have from Theorem 5.1 the following.
Theorem 6.1. Let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exist maximal $T_{1}, T_{2}>0$ such that Burgers' equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u & =-u \cdot \partial_{x} u  \tag{17}\\
u(\cdot, 0) & =u_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

has a unique classical solution $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right)$. $\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ is the maximal interval such that $u \in C\left(\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right), C_{b}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right)$.
Proof. The $C_{b}^{\infty}$ solution of Burgers' equation is unique, i.e., there exists a maximal time interval $\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ such that $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right), C_{b}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right)$. Set $n=m=1, \nu=0, g=u, h=0$, and $k=0$ in (4). Then Theorem 5.1 shows that there exists a $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right)$ that solves (4). By uniqueness of $u$ from Burgers' equation we have $f=u$.

Since for Burgers' equation we have $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ we can in theory calculate all moments of $u$ for all times $t \in\left(-T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$. The simplicity of 17) allows us to calculate the time-dependent moments explicitly.

Theorem 6.2. Let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Then for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the timedependent moments $s_{k, p}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} u(x, t)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x$ of the solution $u$ of Burgers' equation (17) are

$$
s_{k, p}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{s_{k-i, p+i}(0)}{i!} \cdot t^{i} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(p+j) \cdot(k-j)}{1+(p+j)^{2}} \quad \in \mathbb{R}[t]
$$

Proof. We proceed via induction over $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
$k=0$ : We have

$$
\partial_{t} s_{0, p}(t)=\partial_{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=-p \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t)^{p} \cdot \partial_{x} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x
$$

with partial integration since $u(\cdot, t)$ is a Schwartz function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left[u(x, t)^{p}\right] \cdot u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t)^{p} \cdot \partial_{x} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =-p \cdot \partial_{t} s_{0, p}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $\partial_{t} s_{0, p}(t)=0$ and therefore $s_{0, p}(t)=s_{0, p}(0)$.
$\underline{k-1} \rightarrow k$ : We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} s_{k, p}(t) & =\partial_{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} \cdot u(x, t)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-p \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} \cdot u(x, t)^{p} \cdot \partial_{x} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(x^{k} \cdot u(x, t)^{p}\right) \cdot u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =p \cdot k \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k-1} \cdot u(x, t)^{p+1} \mathrm{~d} x+p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} \cdot u(x, t)^{p} \cdot \partial_{x} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =p \cdot k \cdot s_{k-1, p+1}(t)-p^{2} \cdot \partial_{t} s_{k, p}(t) \\
& =\frac{p \cdot k}{1+p^{2}} \cdot s_{k-1, p+1}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and solving this induction gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{k, p}(t) & =s_{k, p}(0)+\frac{p \cdot k}{1+p^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} s_{k-1, p+1}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \\
& =s_{k, p}(0)+\frac{p \cdot k}{1+p^{2}} \int_{0}^{t}\left[s_{k-1, p+1}(0)+\frac{(p+1)(k-1)}{1+(p+1)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} s_{k-2, p+2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau_{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau_{1} \\
& \vdots \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{s_{k-i, p+i}(0)}{i!} \cdot t^{i} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(p+j) \cdot(k-j)}{1+(p+j)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the statement.
In Burgers' equation as a transport equation when $u_{0} \geq 0$ then the classical solution remains non-negative. But from the moments in Theorem 6.2 we observe the following.

Example 6.3. For $p=1$ we have the following three explicit time-dependent moments from Theorem 6.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x & =s_{0,1}(t)
\end{aligned}=s_{0,1}(0), ~ \begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x \cdot u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x & =s_{1,1}(t)
\end{aligned}=s_{1,1}(0)+s_{0,2}(0) \cdot t, ~=s_{2,1}(t)=s_{2,1}(0)+s_{1,2}(0) \cdot t+\frac{2 s_{0,3}(0)}{5} \cdot t^{2} .
$$

For the function

$$
u_{0}(x):= \begin{cases}1+x & \text { for } x \in[-1,0] \\ 1-x & \text { for } x \in[0,1] \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

we have $s_{0,1}(0)=1, s_{1,1}(0)=0, s_{0,2}(0)=\frac{2}{3}, s_{2,1}(0)=\frac{1}{6}, s_{1,2}(0)=0, s_{0,3}=\frac{1}{2}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-t)^{2} \cdot u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=L_{s(t)}\left((x-t)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{6}-\frac{2}{15} t^{2} \quad \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \quad-\infty . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{0} \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ using a mollifier we get $u_{0}^{\varepsilon}:=S_{\varepsilon} * u_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. We can chose by continuity of the $s_{p, k}(0)$ on $\varepsilon$ an $\varepsilon>0$ small such that the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in 18 remains negative. Hence, non-negativity in the assumed classical solution is not preserved, i.e., we have a finite breakdown. ○

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 2$. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=u^{k} \cdot \partial_{x} u \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

multiply 19 with $k \cdot u^{k-1}$ to get $\partial_{t}\left(u^{k}\right)=u^{k} \cdot \partial_{x}\left(u^{k}\right)$. This is Burgers' equation with $v=u^{k}$. If $u_{0} \geq 0$ we can allow $k \in[1, \infty)$ in (19).

## 7. Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations

By Beale-Kato-Majda [16] the classical solutions of the Euler and the NavierStokes equations $u$ and $\omega$ exist as long as $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty}<\infty$. A finite breakdown in time can therefore be observed through a breakdown of $\|\omega\|_{\infty}$. For the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations we have from Theorem 5.1 the following.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\nu \in[0, \infty), u_{0} \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_{0}=0$ and $\omega_{0}:=$ $\operatorname{rot} u_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, and $T^{*}>0$ be maximal such that $u$ is the solution of the Euler $(\nu=0)$ resp. Navier-Stokes $(\nu>0)$ equation (2) with $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty}<\infty$ for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$, i.e., the unique smooth solution $u$ exists for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$. Then $\omega \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$.

Proof. The (vorticity formulation of the) Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations have a unique smooth solution $u, \omega \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right), C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. Set $n=m=3$, $g=u, h=\nabla u$, and $k=0$ in (4). Then by Theorem 5.1 we have a solution $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. But by the uniqueness of $\omega$ we have $f=\omega$.

A breakdown in $\|\omega\|_{\infty}$ provides $T^{*}<\infty$ [16]. Now by Theorem 7.1 a breakdown in any $\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} \omega^{\gamma}\right\|_{\infty}$ or $\left\|x^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta} \omega^{\gamma}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$ with $p \in[1, \infty), \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3}$, and $\omega^{\gamma}:=\omega_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \cdot \omega_{2}^{\gamma_{2}} \cdot \omega_{3}^{\gamma_{3}}, \gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \neq 0$, also provides $T^{*}<\infty$. By Remark 5.3 weaker conditions on $\omega_{0}$ are possible. Unfortunately, similar calculations as in Theorem 6.2 or Example 6.3 for Burgers' equation are not yet accessible to us for the Euler or Navier-Stokes equations. With $k=\operatorname{rot} F \in C^{\infty}\left([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ in Theorem 5.1 we have that Theorem 7.1 also holds with external forces.
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