

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.03.26.009209

# Scrutinizing the SARS-CoV-2 protein information for the designing an effective vaccine encompassing both the T-cell and B-cell epitopes — Source link []

Neha Jain, Uma Shankar, Prativa Majee, Amit Kumar

Institutions: Indian Institute of Technology Indore

Published on: 01 Apr 2020 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Related papers:

- Scrutinizing the SARS-CoV-2 protein information for designing an effective vaccine encompassing both the T-cell and B-cell epitopes.
- Designing a multi-epitope peptide based vaccine against SARS-CoV-2
- Deep survey for designing a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 and its new mutations.
- Engineering a multi epitope vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 by exploiting its non structural and structural proteins.
- Designing a next generation multi-epitope based peptide vaccine candidate against SARS-CoV-2 using computational approaches



# Scrutinizing the SARS-CoV-2 protein information for the designing an effective vaccine encompassing both the T-cell and B-cell epitopes

### Neha Jain<sup>¶</sup>, Uma Shankar<sup>¶</sup>, Prativa Majee<sup>¶</sup>, Amit Kumar\*

Discipline of Biosciences and Biomedical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, Simrol, Indore, 453552, India

 $\P$  These authors contributed equally to this work

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

**Amit Kumar**, Discipline of Biosciences and Biomedical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology-Indore, Indore, Simrol - 453 552, India, Contact - +91-732-430-6771, Email: amitk@iiti.ac.in

#### ABSTRACT

Novel SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) has caused a pandemic condition world-wide and has been declared as public health emergency of International concern by WHO in a very short span of time. The community transmission of this highly infectious virus has severely affected various parts of China, Italy, Spain and USA among others. The prophylactic solution against SARS-CoV-2 infection is challenging due to the high mutation rate of its RNA genome. Herein, we exploited a next generation vaccinology approach to construct a multi-epitope vaccine candidate against SARS-CoV-2 with high antigenicity, safety and efficacy to combat this deadly infectious agent. The whole proteome was scrutinized for the screening of highly conserved, antigenic, non-allergen and non-toxic epitopes having high population coverage that can elicit both humoral and cellular mediated immune response against COVID-19 infection. These epitopes along with four different adjuvants were utilized to construct a multi-epitope vaccine candidate that can generate strong immunological memory response having high efficacy in humans. Various physiochemical analyses revealed the formation of a stable vaccine product having a high propensity to form a protective solution against the detrimental SARS-CoV-2 strain with high efficacy. The vaccine candidate interacted with immunological receptor TLR3 with high affinity depicting the generation of innate immunity. Further, the codon optimization and in silico expression show the plausibility of the high expression and easy purification of the vaccine product. Thus, this present study provides an initial platform of the rapid generation of an efficacious protective vaccine for combating COVID-19.

**Keywords:** Protective vaccine; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; multi-epitope vaccine; Next generation vaccinology; Antigenic epitopes

### **INTRODUCTION:**

The most disastrous outbreak of recent days which have put the world into a pandemic threat involves the novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 or the SARS-CoV-2 or the 2019-nCoV causing the COVID-19 outbreak. According to the situation report published by World Health Organization on March 25th, 2020, 413,467 confirmed cases have been reported globally along with 18,433 deaths affecting over 197 countries world-wide (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019). Due to easy and efficient transportation and migration throughout the world, the virus has spread to 197 countries or areas from its epicenter, i.e. the city of Wuhan, China[1]. The SARS-CoV-2

belongs to the Coronaviridae family being a close relative to the earlier reported Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) virus and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) virus. This virus majorly affects the lower respiratory tract causing pneumonia, fever, dry cough, sore throat, dyspnea like symptoms which resolve spontaneously but certain cases result in fatal complications like severe pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), organ failure, septic shock or pulmonary edema often leading to death[2]. The present situation is getting out of control as we don't have any drug or vaccine to treat this recently emerged virus and supportive treatment and preventive measures are the only ways to restrict COVID-19 outcome[3].

Surfacing of SARS-CoV-2 in China was reported recently on December, 2019 and therefore very limited and naïve information is available regarding its genomic constituency and pathogenesis. Hence, the researchers rely on its close cousin viruses, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV for better understanding of its mode of infection. Phylogenetic analysis have shown that bat-CoV-RaTG13 displays 96.3% sequence similarity while bat-SL-CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21 exhibit about 88% identity with the SARS-CoV-2 virus but the other two pathogenic coronaviruses, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have less sequence identity being merely 79% and 50% respectively[4, 5]. Intriguingly, the basic genomic structure for all these coronaviruses remains the same. The single-stranded positive sense RNA genome of SARS-CoV-2 basically encodes 9860 amino acids translating into several non-structural proteins like replicase (orf1a/b), nsp2, nsp3 and accessory proteins (orf3a,orf7a/b) along with structural proteins including Spike (S), Envelope (E), Membrane (M), and Nucleocapsid (N) proteins[4]. The Spike glycoprotein aids in receptor binding and thereby, helps in the entry of the virus in the host cells, while the Envelope protein and the Membrane protein assist in the virus assembly and packaging of the new virions. On the other hand, the Nucleocapsid protein is essential for the genomic RNA synthesis required for the viral survival and proliferation. The non-structural viral proteins including viral enzymes and other accessory proteins help in the SARS-CoV-2 genome replication and infection[6].

The lessons learnt from the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV outbreak have helped in better understanding of the situation of the new coronavirus epidemic and pushed researchers to develop vaccine or therapeutic solution to succumb the current situation. Previously several animal models including mouse, Syrian hamster, ferrets as well as non-human primate models like rhesus macaque, common marmoset have been used to study SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV and also for the purpose of vaccine development[7-9]. An effective vaccine should robustly activate both the humoral and the cell-mediated immunity to establish strong protection against the pathogen[10]. The antibody generation by B-cell activation as well as acute viral clearance by T-cells along with virus-specific memory generation by CD8+ Tcells are equally important to develop immunity against the coronavirus[11]. In case of respiratory virus like coronaviruses, the mucosal immunity plays an essential role and therefore route of administration of the vaccine is also important in the context of vaccine development. Vaccines designed against previously reported SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV majorly focuses on the Spike protein of virus which consists of S1 and S2 subunits bearing the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the virus and cell fusion machinery respectively. Mutations in the spike protein have also been reported to be responsible for the change in host cell tropism[12]. The S protein is considered most antigenic and thereby can evoke immune responses and generate neutralizing antibodies that can block the virus attachment to the host cells[13]. Other viral proteins which were explored for vaccine development include N protein, E protein and the NSP16 proteins[14-18]. Almost all the platforms for vaccine development for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have been investigated including the lifeattenuated ones, recombinant viruses, sub-unit protein vaccines, DNA vaccines, Viral vectorbased vaccines, nanoparticle-based vaccines, etc. which may form the base for the vaccine designing against the newly emerged SARS-CoV-2[10, 19]. Here we have designed a multiepitope-based vaccine for the SARS-CoV-2 using next generation vaccinology approach where the recently available genome and proteome of the SARS-CoV-2 were maneuvered and a potential vaccine candidate was conceived. Similar strategy was employed previously for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV[20-25] as well as certain findings are reported for the newly emerged SARS-CoV-2[26-28]. While immunoinformatics techniques was utilized by groups to predict the B-cell and cytotoxic T-cell epitopes in the SARS-CoV-2 surface glycoprotein, N protein[27-29], others have utilized the information to design epitope-based vaccine based on the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein[26]. Along with the structural proteins, utilizing the non-structural and accessory proteins for the vaccine development can aid in better development of an efficacious vaccine for long term by neutralizing the mutation rate of this RNA virus. In this study, we explored the whole proteome of SARS-CoV-2 to scrutinize the highly conserved antigenic epitopes for the construction of a multi-epitope vaccine candidate that can effectively elicit both humoral and cellular mediated immune response against COVID-19. The constructed vaccine product has high population coverage and along with adaptive immunity, can as well lead to initiation of innate immune response further enhancing the generation of memory immunity.

With the continuous transmission of the virus across borders and increasing health burden on the global scale, SARS-CoV-2 demands an urgent immunization therapy. As depicted by Shan Lu, the Community Acquired Coronavirus Infection (CACI) caused by this virus can shatter the socio-economic condition worldwide and the development of vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2 should be encouraged to manage the present situation as well as it can serve as a prototype for other coronaviruses[30, 31]. Thereby, our analysis provides a platform for the development of a protective vaccine candidate that can be tested in-vitro and in-vivo and may lead to faster development of an efficient vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHOD**

### 1. Retrieval of SARS-CoV-2 proteome

The complete proteome of latest reported novel Wuhan strain of SARS Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) was downloaded from the Nucleotide database available at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The database was also thoroughly searched for the genomes of other available human infecting strains of Coronavirus till date and was downloaded for further analysis.

#### 2. Antigenicity prediction in the Coronavirus proteome

For the antigenic analysis of the proteome of the COVID-19 strain, VaxiJen v2.0 server available at <u>http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/vaxiJen/VaxiJen/VaxiJen.html</u> [32]. For antigenicity prediction of the Proteins of SARS-CoV-2 with higher accuracy, Virus model available at the VaxiJen server with a threshold of 0.4 was utilized. Proteins with a VaxiJen score  $\geq 0.4$  were taken for epitope prediction analysis.

### 3. Selection of MHC I and MHC II alleles

MHC class I and II alleles were selected on the basis of their occurrence worldwide. We focused specially on the countries which are severely affected by the deadly SARS-CoV-2 strain. For MHC class I, following 14 HLA alleles HLA-A01:01, HLA-A02:01, HLA-A02:03, HLA-A02:06, HLA-A03:01, HLA-A11:01, HLA-A24:02, HLA-A31:01, HLA-A33:01, HLA-B07:02, HLA-B35:01, HLA-B51:01, HLA-B54:01, HLA-B58:01 were used that cover 89.84 % of the total world population as predicted by The Allele frequency Net Database [33]. For MHC II, DRB1\_0101, DRB1\_0301, DRB1\_0401, DRB1\_0405, DRB1\_0701, DRB1\_0802, DRB1\_0901,

DRB1\_1101, DRB1\_1201, DRB1\_1302, DRB1\_1501, HLA-DPA10103-DPB10101, HLA-DPA10201-DPB10201, HLA-DPA10301-DPB10301, HLA-DQA10101-DQB10201, HLA-DQA10301-DQB10301, HLA-DQA10401-DQB10401, HLA-DQA10501-DQB10501, HLA-DQA10102-DQB10202, HLA-DQA10402-DQB10402 covering 99.71 % world population were used for the epitope screening.

### 4. Helper T cell epitope prediction

Various online servers were explored for the accurate prediction of Helper T Lymphocytes (HTL) epitopes. Firstly, the antigenic proteins were analyzed by using NetMHCIIPan 3.2 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHCIIPan/) [34]. The server provides the epitope predictions for three human MHC class II isotypes that includes HLA-DR, HLA-DP and HLA-DQ. We explored NetMHCIIPan server for HTL epitope predictions for the selected 20 MHC class II alleles. The length for the HTL epitopes was kept 15 mer. The server predicts the binding affinity of the epitopes with the respective HLA allele and provides an IC<sub>50</sub> (in nanoMolar) and %Rank for each MHC class II allele – epitope pair which can be utilized for initial screening of the potential HTL epitopes. The IC<sub>50</sub> and %Rank were then utilized to screen the probable strong HTL binders from the weak binders and non-binders.

The predicted strong binders for each HLA allele were further analyzed by MHCII binding prediction tool available at **IEDB** server (http://tools.immuneepitope.org/mhcii/) [35] and only the epitopes with rank  $\leq 1$  were taken for further analysis. Thereafter, the selected epitopes were checked for their antigenicity VaxiJen allergenicity by using server, by AlgPred (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/algpred/submission.html) [36] and toxicity by ToxinPred (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/toxinpred/motif\_scan.php) [37]. Only the epitopes with VaxiJen score  $\geq 0.4$  and predicted to be non-toxic and non-allergens, were taken for further screening. The best HLA- non-allergenic epitope pair with the highest VaxiJen score was taken for constructing the multi-epitope vaccine.

### 5. Cytotoxic T cell epitope prediction

For Cytotoxic T cell (CTL) epitope prediction, NetMHCPan 4.0 [38]based on artificial neural network (ANN) was utilized for the 14 selected HLA class I molecules. The predicted strong binders were then checked for their antigenicity by using VaxiJen server. Further, immunogenicity of the epitopes was checked using

class Ι Immunogenicity tool available at **IEDB** server (http://tools.iedb.org/immunogenicity/) [39] and for the allergenicity, the AllerTop v. 2.0 (https://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/AllerTOP/method.html) was used [40]. Thereafter, the non-allergenic strong binders with a positive immunogenicity score checked for their toxic nature by utilizing the ToxinPred server were (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/toxinpred/motif scan.php).

### 6. Epitope Conservation analysis

The presence of selected best epitopes in all the reported human infecting SARScoronavirus strain were checked by using Epitope Conservancy Analysis tool of IEDB (<u>http://tools.iedb.org/conservancy/</u>) [41]. Only the epitopes having 100% conservation were used for multi-epitope vaccine construction.

### 7. Molecular interaction of the HLA-epitope pair

The MHC class I ad class II molecules were downloaded from RCSB PDB database (https://www.rcsb.org/). Those that were not available were in PDB database were retrieved from pHLA database (<u>https://www.phla3d.com.br/</u>) [42]. The structures of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins were constructed using I-TASSER (<u>https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/</u>) [43]and HTL and CTL epitopes were mapped and their structures were retrieved using PyMol tool. For the molecular interaction analysis of the predicted best HLA-epitope pairs for both MHC class I and class II alleles, ClusPro protein-protein docking tool (<u>https://cluspro.org/login.php</u>) [44] was utilized.

# 8. B-Cell epitope prediction

ABCpred tool based on artificial neural network was explored for B-cell epitope prediction (<u>https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/abcpred/index.html</u>) [45]. For higher accuracy, the threshold for the prediction was kept 0.90. The predicted epitopes were checked for their antigenicity using VaxiJen server, allergenicity by using AlgPred and toxicity by ToxinPred server.

# 9. Designing of Vaccine construct

For constructing a multi-epitope vaccine construct, the selected best HTL, CTL and B-cell epitopes were joined by using GPGPG, AAG and KK linkers respectively. For

the better immunogenic response, four adjuvants namely,  $\beta$ -defensin, universal memory T cell helper peptide (TpD), PADRE sequence and a M cell ligand were added by using EAAAK linker into the vaccine construct.

### **10.** Antigenicity and allergenicity analysis of the vaccine construct:

The antigenicity of the vaccine construct, VaxiJen server was exploited. For the allergenicity analysis of the vaccine construct, three different tools namely AlgPred, AllerTop and AllergenFP v.1.0 (<u>http://ddg-pharmfac.net/AllergenFP/index.html</u>) [46]were utilized.

### 11. Population coverage of the vaccine construct

To check the population coverage of the vaccine construct, Population coverage tool available at IEDB (<u>http://tools.iedb.org/population/</u>) [47] server was utilized. The HLA class I and class II alleles in the final construct were entered in the tool and the population coverage of the alleles were calculated for the top 26 countries that are severely affected by the SARS-COV-2 virus. The analysis was performed for HLA I and HLA II separately as well as in combination.

#### 12. Physiochemical property analysis of the multi-epitope vaccine construct

Expasy's ProtParam (<u>https://web.expasy.org/protparam/</u>) [48] was explored for the physiochemical properties evaluation of the vaccine construct. ProtParam evaluates the peptide sequence and provides the molecular weight, theoretical pI, extinction coefficient, estimated half-life, instability index, and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY). Solubility of the construct was calculated using SolPro tool available at SCRATCH protein predictor server (<u>http://scratch.proteomics.ics.uci.edu/</u>) [49].

#### 13. Vaccine construct structure prediction and validation

Secondary structure of the multi-epitope vaccine construct was predicted using SOPMA(<u>https://npsa-</u>

prabi.ibcp.fr/cgibin/npsa\_automat.pl?page=/NPSA/npsa\_sopma.html) [50] and PSI-PRED (<u>http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/</u>) server [51]. For the tertiary structure prediction of the vaccine construct Robetta server (<u>http://robetta.bakerlab.org/</u>) based on ab initio and homology modelling was utilized [52]. The predicted structure was refined by using 3D Refine (<u>http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/3Drefine/</u>) [53]and further by GalaxyRefine (<u>http://galaxy.seoklab.org/cgi-bin/submit.cgi?type=REFINE</u>) [54]. The structures were evaluated by constructing Ramachandran plot using RAMPAGE (http://mordred.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~rapper/rampage.php) and the quality was assessed using ERRAT server (<u>https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.edu/ERRAT/</u>).

### 14. Standard molecular dynamics of the vaccine construct

The refined modelled structure of the multi-epitope vaccine construct was further evaluated for its stability in the real environment by simulating it in a water sphere using NAMD-standard molecular dynamics tool (https://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/namd/) by using parallel processors. The required structure files (.psf) were generated by psfgen using Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) tool v.1.9.3 by utlizing CHARMM force fields for proteins. Initially, a 10,000 steps energy minimization was performed followed by subsequent heating the system from 0 K to 310 K. Thereafter, a 20 ns standard molecular dynamics was performed and trajectory DCD file generated was used to evaluate RMSD. The change in kinetic, potential and total energy was evaluated for these 20 ns simulation using VMD.

# 15. Interaction analysis of Vaccine construct with immune system molecules and there MD analysis

To check the interaction of the multi-epitope vaccine construct with two immunoreceptors, TLR-3 and TLR-8, ClusPro docking server was used and the resultant best complexes were then simulated for 20 ns in a water sphere using NAMD.

# 16. Codon optimization and In silico cloning

For the expression and isolation of the constructed multi-epitope vaccine in *Escherichia coli* K12 satrain, the construct was first converted to cDNA using Reverse translate tool available at Expasy server. The resultant DNA was further optimized for enhanced protein expression by using JCAT server [55]. Finally, the cDNA construct was inserted into the pET28a (+) vector using *HindIII* and *BamHI* restriction sites.

# RESULTS

1. Retrieval of structural and non-structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and their antigenicity analysis.

The complete proteome of the Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (nucleotide accession number - NC\_045512.2) was retrieved from the NCBI database. SARS-CoV-2 proteome consists of a polyprotein ORF1ab and several structural proteins. ORF1ab encodes for various non-structural proteins including Host translation inhibitor nsp1, RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RnRp), Helicase, Guanine-N7 methyltransferase etc. that plays a critical role in the virus multiplication and survival inside the host. Structural proteins include Spike glycoprotein, Envelope protein, membrane protein and nucleocapsid protein. The Fasta sequences of all these proteins were retrieved from NCBI and used for epitope screening (Table 1). All the sequences were analyzed for their antigenic nature by using VaxiJen server which is based upon auto cross covariance (ACC) transformation. VaxiJen classifies the proteins into antigens and non-antigens solely based on the physiochemical properties and is sequence alignment independent. The ACC score threshold for the virus model is kept 0.4 that increases the prediction accuracy. All the SARS-CoV-2 proteins except NSP16 (2'-O-ribose methyltransferase) resulted in the ACC score higher than 0.4 depicting the antigenic nature of the viral proteome (Table 1). All the antigenic proteins were further screened for the presence of HTL, CTL and B-cell epitopes by using various tools and databases (Figure 1).

# 2. Epitope screening revealed the presence of high affinity HTL, CTL and B-cell epitopes in the antigenic proteome of SARS-CoV-2

T- Lymphocytes play a central role in activating the cell mediated innate and adaptive immune response against the foreign particles. As well they are the sole players in generating immunological memory that provides long lasting immune response. Thus, the vaccinology approach revolves around the screening of proteome for the high affinity Helper T Lymphocytes (HTL) and Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes (CTLs) epitopes that can activate  $T_H$  and  $T_C$  cells. The epitopes for the activation of HTLs and CTLs are processed and presented by various human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I and class II molecules. The HLA-epitope binding steps are crucial and have high specificity and are thus considered as one of the main focus for vaccine designing. HLA shares large diversity due to the presence of several alleles in the human genome but their occurrence is not uniform world-wide. Few of the alleles are present throughout the world while some are restricted to only specific regions. Recent reports and literature survey lead us to identify the massively affected regions by COVID-19, namely China, Italy, Spain, Germany, USA, Iran, France, South Korea, Switzerland, UK, Netherlands, Austria, Belgium, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Canada, Malaysia, Australia,

Portugal, and Japan. The presence of various epitopes with different HLA-binding specificities leads to greater population coverage as the frequency of HLA allele's expression varies with the varying ethnicities worldwide. Henceforth, fourteen HLA class I and Twenty class II alleles were selected on the basis of their occurrence in these countries. Individually, HLA class I covers 89.84 % world population, while HLA class II covers 99.71 %.

HTLs act as the central mediators of immune response and coordinates with B lymphocytes, T<sub>C</sub> cells and macrophages via signaling through interleukins and cytokines synthesis. HTLs recognize the epitopes presented on MHCII biding cleft. Herein, NetMHCPanII server was utilized for predicting 15 mer HTL epitopes having high binding affinity to the selected 20 MHC II alleles. Only the strong binders for the respective HLA alleles were selected with a percentile rank of  $\leq 1$ . The selected epitopes were further analyzed using another MHC II prediction tool available at IEDB server and filtered on the basis of percentile rank. The resultant epitopes were checked for their antigenicity, allergenicity and toxicity. Finally, the best HLA-epitope pairs with the highest antigenicity and were non-allergen and non-toxic were used for multi-epitope vaccine construct. On analysis, we received, 2 best HLA-epitope pairs each for Helicase and RdRp. Three best HLA-epitope pairs were obtained for non-structural protein 2 (NSP2) and Spike glycoprotein (S) and one pair each for membrane glycoprotein (M), ORF3a and ORF8 proteins. In summary, non-toxic, non-allergenic epitopes with high binding affinity and antigenicity were obtained for 15 MHC class II alleles and their respective epitopes were used for multi-epitope vaccine construct. Best binding epitopes for the remaining 5 MHC II alleles were either nonantigenic, or were allergens and thus were excluded (Supplementary Table S1).

CTLs eliminate foreign particles thereby helping in pathogen clearance and are required for maintaining the cellular integrity. MHC class I molecules represent the epitopes to the CTLs which after activation perform cytotoxic activities. Here, we used NetMHCpan v.4 for the mining of CTL epitopes that have high binding affinity with the 14 class I molecules. The strong binders obtained from NetMHCpan server were then analyzed for their immunogenicity by using class I Immunogenicity tool. Depending upon the amino acid composition, properties and their position in the epitope, class I immunogenicity tool predicts the immunogenicity of a MHC class I – epitope complex. Higher the score depicts higher immunogenicity and vice-versa. Here, all the epitopes with positive score were taken and analyzed for antigenicity, allergenicity and toxicity. Finally, the epitopes with highest antigenicity, non-allergen and non-toxic were selected for the vaccine construction. Upon

analysis, 3 HLA-epitope pairs were obtained for ORF3a protein, 2 pairs for membrane protein, nucleo-capsid protein, and spike glycoprotein each while one HLA-epitope pair each for NSP2, NSP4, Envelope protein, and ORF8 (Supplementary Table S2). Overlapping sequences were merged into one or both MHC class I and class II binding epitopes

Apart from cellular mediated immunity, the humoral immune response mediates pathogen clearance in the antibody dependent manner. Hence the proteome of SARC-CoV-2 was further scanned for linear B-cell epitopes by using ABCPred server. For higher selectivity and sensitivity, the threshold of ABCPred was kept 0.9. The predicted epitopes were checked for their antigenicity, allergenicity and toxicity and the best epitopes were taken for further consideration. On the basis of above criteria, we received one B-cell epitope each for Nucleocapsid, Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN), ORF3a, ORF7a, and Surface glycoprotein (Supplementary Table S3). The locations of the selected epitopes in the respective protein structures are represented by Figure 2. Overall, the antigenic 13 HTL and 12 CTL epitopes having highest affinity for the respective HLA alleles and 5 B-cell epitopes that are non-allergenic, non-toxic and can generate a potential immune response were selected for incorporation into the multi-epitope vaccine construct.

#### 3. The screened epitopes shared high conservancy within the Coronovirus family.

The presence of conserved epitopes in a vaccine can lead to an effective immunization against all the strains of the pathogen. Thus, the selected HTL, CTL and BCL epitopes were analyzed for their conservancy among the various human infecting strains of coronavirus. Interestingly, all the selected epitopes were 100 % conserved throughout the coronavirus family and this can lead to a robust vaccine development.

# 4. Molecular interaction analysis depicts the strong interaction of T-cell epitopes and HLA molecules

MHC class I and class II alleles have a specific binding groove where the peptide binds and are presented on the cell surface for immune system activation. The protein structures of SAR-CoV-2 were modelled using I-Tasser (Supplementary Figure S1). To check the molecular interaction of our selected CTL and HTL epitopes with their respective HLA alleles, we performed protein-protein docking using ClusPro. HLA alleles were taken as receptors and epitopes as ligands. ClusPro performs the interaction analysis by first generating billions of conformers eventually leading to rigid target based molecular docking. The resultant docked complexes are then clustered based on RMSD and lowest energy finally

leading to energy minimization. The cartoon representation of molecular interaction between HLA alleles and epitopes are shown in Figure xx. Interaction analysis revealed favorable interaction of both CTL and HTL epitopes with the HLA allele's epitope binding grooves. These epitopes will be presented to the  $T_C$  and  $T_H$  cells and would lead to immune response against the vaccine. (Supplementary Figure S2)

# 5. Population coverage analysis shows the broad range spectrum of the constructed vaccine

Population coverage of the vaccines depends upon the interaction of the epitopes with the number of HLA alleles that are then represented to  $T_C$  and  $T_H$  cells that elicit the immune response. Depending upon the ethnicity, the expression of HLA alleles varies throughout the world. Thus to check the population coverage of the vaccine construct, presence of selected HLA alleles were analyzed in the 26 severely affected countries by COVID-19 around the world. Individually, MHC class I alleles covered on an average 91.22 % of world population while MHC class II alleles covered 99.70 % (Figure 3A & B). As our vaccine construct harbors HTL epitopes for 15 MHC class II and CTL epitopes for 14 MHC class I alleles, the occurrence of these HLA alleles depicted the population coverage of >99% throughout the world. Five countries including United States and France showed 100% population coverage while  $\geq$ 95% of population was covered in rest countries except United Kingdom depicting the broad range spectrum of the constructed vaccine (Figure 3C).

### 6. Designing of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct

An ideal vaccine should harbor conserved epitopes, have multi-valency and can elicit both cellular and humoral mediated immune response in the host. A subunit vaccine contains minimal elements that are antigenic and required for the stimulation of prolonged protective or therapeutic immune response. In the recent times, various reports have shown the construction of multi subunit vaccine by utilizing highly antigenic HTL, CTL and BCL epitopes. Herein, we constructed a multi-epitope vaccine candidate by combining 13 HTL, 12 CTL and 4 BCL epitopes that were highly conserved, antigenic, non-toxic and non-allergens (Figure 4).

In the recent times, various small peptides are been explored that acts as an adjuvant and can potentiate the multi-epitope vaccine mediated immune response by activating humoral immunity. Taking this into consideration, apart from the conserved epitopes, four adjuvants were also added so as to boost the protective immune response against SARS-CoV- 2. β-defensins are antimicrobial peptides that acts as chemoattractant for the immature dendritic cells and T-lymphocytes and induces their maturation.[56] A 45 amino acid long GIINTLQKYYCRVRGGRCAVLSCLPKEEQIGKCSTRGRKCCRRKK is well reported for its anti-microbial activity and was added at the vaccine construct.  $CD_{4+}$  T cells are the key regulators of humoral immunity as they assist B-cells in effective antibody class switching and their affinity maturation. Universal memory T helper cell peptide (TpD) is a chimeric peptide derived from tetanus and diphtheria toxoids and has a high promiscuity to interact with wide range of MHC class II alleles. Presence of a TpD in the vaccine construct leads to an effective T<sub>h</sub> cell activation and enhances the immunogenicity of the vaccine. Similarly, a universal Pan DR epitope (PADRE) has been reported to enhance potency of long multiepitope by activating CD4+ T helper cells and helps in generating high titer IgG antibodies.[57] Another small peptide CTGKSC act as an M cell ligand ad enhances the adsorption of oral vaccines from the intestinal membrane barrier.[58] Hence for enhanced immune response and transportation, these four adjuvants were added to the multi-epitope vaccine construct. The adjuvants and epitopes were arranges in the following order: βdefensins - HTLs - TpD - CTLs - PADRE - BCL - CTGKSC. To preserve independent immunogenic activities, various linkers were used for combining the adjuvants and epitopes. EAAAK was used to join the adjuvants, HTL, CTL and BCL epitopes with each other as they effectively separate various domains in multi-domain proteins. GPGPG linkers were used to join HTL epitopes, while AAY linkers were used for combining CTLs. GPGPG and AAY linkers enhances the recognition of the vaccine constructs by MHC I and MHC II machineries. Similarly, KK linkers were utilized for combining B-cell epitopes. KK linker acts as target for Cathepsin-B that separates the epitopes before MHC class II mediated antigen presentation to the Th0 cells. Overall, six EAAAK, 12 GPGPG, 11 AAY and 3 KK linkers were added to the subunit vaccine making it a 602 amino acid long vaccine construct (Figure 4).

# 7. Physiochemical properties, antigenicity, allergenicity, and toxicity analysis revealed the efficacy and safety of the predicted vaccine construct

Analysis of the physiochemical properties of a multi-subunit vaccine helps in analyzing the optimal immune response of the vaccine and its stability inside the host. ProtParam was utilized for accessing the molecular weight, pI, stability and grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY) constant of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct. Molecular weight

of the vaccine construct was observed to be 65.3 kDa while pI value was 10.06. Instability index of 31.93 (<40) depicted the stable nature of the vaccine construct while the estimated half-life in mammalian reticulocytes was observed to be >30 hours. GRAVY constant of 0.213 depicted the hydrophilicity of the vaccine construct and was predicted to be soluble with a probability of `70 % as predicted by SolPro (Table 2). Antigenicity is a preliminary requisite of a successful vaccine candidate. A vaccine construct must possess both immunogenicity and antigenicity to elicit the humoral and cell-mediated immune response. Upon analyzing the vaccine construct sequence in VaxiJen server, the constructed vaccine was found to be antigenic in nature with an overall prediction score of 0.6199. The score signifies the antigenic potential of the vaccine construct that may have the potential to evoke the immune response inside the host. On allergenicity analysis, all the three tools AlgPred, AllergenFP and AllerTop supported the non-allergenic nature of the vaccine construct while toxicity analysis revealed the non-toxic behavior of the construct (Table 2). In summary, the constructed epitope was observed to be stable, soluble, antigenic, non-allergenic and non-toxic.

# 8. Structure modelling and validation results in the construction of feasible 3D structure of the vaccine construct.

Secondary and tertiary structure helps in the functional annotation of the multi-epitope vaccines. It also helps in analyzing the interaction of this vaccine construct with the immunological receptors like TLRs. Secondary structure of the protein was analyzed by using SOPMA and PSIPRED server that revealed the presence of ~40 % alpha helix, ~20 %  $\beta$ -sheet, ~30 % coils and ~6 %  $\beta$  turns in the vaccine construct (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S3). Tertiary structure of the vaccine was predicted by threading based homology modelling using Robetta server (Figure 6A). Ramachandran plot analysis of the modelled structure revealed the presence of 95.5 % residues in the most favoured regions and 4.5 % in the additionally allowed regions (Figure 6B). Quality factor was analyzed by using ERRAT2 server and was obtained to be 90.47 depicting a good modelled structure (Figure 6C). To further refine the modelled structure, 3D refine and GalaxyRefine were utilized that leads to 97.4 % residues in the most favoured region and 2.6 % residues in the additionally allowed region. The quality factor of the refined structure was observed to be 94.29% depicting the improvement of the modelled structure (Figure 6D-F).

# 9. Structure dynamics simulation of the vaccine construct depicts the stability of the modelled vaccine construct

Refined structure of the model construct was further check for its stability in real environment by simulating it for 20 ns in a water sphere. 10,000 steps energy minimization was performed to minimize the potential energy of the system. Unnecessary or false geometry of the protein structures are repaid by performing energy minimization resulting in a more stable stoichiometry. Before energy minimization, the potential energy was observed to be -447451.2005 Kcal/mol. After 10,000 steps the protein was minimized with a potential energy of -676040.4692 kcal/mol (Figure 7A). The system was subsequently heated from 0 K to 310 K and then 10 ns molecular dynamic simulation was performed. The temperature remained constant throughout the simulation process (Figure 7B). The DCD trajectory file was analysed for analysing the movement of the atoms during the simulation course and RMSD was calculated. Upon analysing the RMSD of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine construct, it was observed that, the system gained equilibrium at ~4 ns and then remained constant till 10 ns depicting the stability of the vaccine construct (Figure 7C). Furthermore, upon analysing the change in kinetic, potential and total energy of the system, it was observed that after a quick initial change in all the three, they remained constant throughout the simulation further strengthening the stability of the vaccine construct (Figure 7D-F). Furthermore, the bond energy, VdW energy, dihedral and improper dihedral energy analysis revealed no change throughout the 10 ns dynamics simulation. Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) analysis revealed the rigidness of the atoms in vaccine construct with a slight mobility observed at ~ 120 and 501 residues (Supplementary Figure S4). Thus, simulation analysis revealed the stability of the vaccine construct in the real environment. The refined vaccine construct structure was therefore used for interaction analysis with immunological receptor TLR3.

# 10. Interaction of vaccine construct with immunological receptor depicts the strong feasible binding

Protein – protein docking of immune receptor TLR3 and the constructed vaccine was carried out using ClusPro server. In total 30 TLR3-vaccine construct complexes were generated. The fifth model with the lowest energy weighted score of -1427.4 was considered as best TLR3-vaccine complex (Figure 8 A-B and Supplementary Table S4). The vaccine construct interacted with the ligand binding grove of TLR-3 generating a strong TLR3-vaccine construct complex. The stability of the complex was further analyzed by performing 10ns

standard molecular dynamics simulation studies using NAMD suite. The 10,000 steps energy minimization of the complex lead to the generation of a minimized energy complex with energy of -756791.5601 Kcal/mol (Figure 8C). After subsequent heating the system from 0 K to 310 K, the temperature was kept constant throughout (Figure 8D). Energy plots depicted no major changes throughout the simulation (Figure 8E-G and Supplementary Figure S5). RMSF analysis of the complex showed a mobility region at ~740<sup>th</sup> residue and ~1100 residue of the TLR3-vaccine complex (Figure 8H). On trajectory analysis, the RMSD plot was constructed that revealed the major deviations during the initial 1.5 ns, thereafter the system remained constant till 10 ns.

### 11. cDNA was optimized for the optimal expression of the vaccine product

For the insertion of the vaccine construct into a plasmid vector, the 602 amino acid long protein sequence was reverse translated to cDNA of 1806 nucleotide length. The expression system of the expression host varies with each other and the cDNA needs to be adapted as per the host codon usage. For the optimal expression of the vaccine product in *Escherichia coli K12* host, the resultant cDNA was codon optimized according using JCAT server. Also, during optimization, rho-independent transcription terminator and prokaryotic ribosomal binding sites were avoided in middle of the cDNA sequence so as to generate an optimal and complete protein expression. Further, for inserting the construct in the cloning vector, the cleavage sites of *BamHI* and *HindIII* were also avoided. The CAI value (codon adaptation index) of the cDNA before adaptation was observed to be 0.5379 and a GC content of 59.52 % (Figure 9A). After adaptation, the CAI score of the improved sequence was increased to 0.946 with 52.54 % GC content (Figure 9B and Supplementary data S1). The enhanced CAI score depicts the presence of most abundant codons in *Escherichia coli* K12. The adapted cDNA sequence was used for *In silico* cloning purpose.

#### 12. Expression of Multi-epitope vaccine construct in Escherichia coli by In silico cloning

*Escherichia coli K12* strain was selected as a host for cloning purpose as the expression and purification of multi-epitope vaccines are easier in this bacterium. pET28a(+) expression vector was cleaved using *BamHI* and *HindIII* restriction enzyme and the cDNA was inserted near the ribosome binding site using Snapgene. 6X histidine tag was added at the 3' end for the isolation and purification of the vaccine construct (Figure 9C).

### DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2 that causes COVID-19 has recently emerged as one of the deadliest pathogens severely affecting humans worldwide. The SARS-CoV-2 is highly contagious virus with a high mortality rate especially in immunocompromised and elderly persons. Vaccines are the utmost need of the time to succumb the rising infections due to COVID-19 and represent the best way to combat infectious diseases in the community. Conventional vaccine development methods are time-consuming, laborious and expensive and in this regard, the in-silico immuno-informatics approach have proved to a boon[59]. Moreover, the availability of large number of efficient tools to predict the immuno-determinants, and large database of information facilitate and accelerate this whole process of vaccine designing[60]. Multiepitope vaccines are designed by using the most antigenic conserved part of the pathogen's proteins that makes them more effective and can help in combating the high mutation rate in RNA viruses. These epitope-based vaccines have added advantages of being safe, stable, highly specific, cost-curtailing, easy to produce in bulk, and provides option to manipulate the epitopes for designing of a better vaccine candidate[61]. This method of vaccine development has proved to be promising enough for different microbial diseases including both bacterial and viral[25, 62-67].

Herein, we applied reverse vaccinology approach for designing of a multi-epitope vaccine that can efficiently elicit humoral and cellular mediated immune response against SARS-CoV-2 viral infection. Coronaviruses are the viruses containing one of the largest RNA genomes encoding several accessory proteins, non-structural proteins and the structural proteins. Generation of humoral and cellular mediated memory immunity leads to fast and long lasting immune response in case of viral infection. In our vaccine development process against the SARS-CoV-2, the antigenic viral proteins were screened for the most immunogenic and antigenic B-cell and T-cell epitopes that could efficiently evoke the immune response. For the generation of most efficacies vaccine, successful recognition of epitopes by various HLA alleles is a preliminary requisite. Therefore, 14 HLA I and 20 HLA II alleles were selected that covers the maximum population in the severely affected countries throughout the world. The screened epitopes were further checked for allergenicity and toxicity inside the host. This screened epitopes covered fifteen various antigenic proteins of SARS-CoV-2 including various non-structural [nsp2, nsp4, nsp-6, Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN), etc.], structural (E, M, N and S) and accessory proteins (ORF3a, ORF7a and ORF8). Inclusion of all these proteins makes it a potent and effective vaccine candidate against the SARS-CoV-2 virus. The protein – peptide docking of the HLA alleles

and selected epitopes showed the efficient binding in the epitope binding cleft of HLA structure. The population coverage analysis of the vaccine based on the presence of epitopes for the HLA alleles showed the broad range of the vaccine construct covering >99% of world population. Adjuvants are the small peptides that when added along with the antigenic vaccine enhances the immunogenic response. Considering this fact, four different adjuvants were added to the multi-epitope vaccine construct that enhanced the innate and adaptive immune response and helped in better transportation through the intestinal membrane barrier. Vaccine construct was observed to be antigenic, non-allergenic and non-toxic making it a potent vaccine candidate against SARS-CoV-2. The physiochemical analysis of the construct revealed the stable nature of the vaccine. The solubility analysis of the multi-epitope vaccine construct revealed ~70 % of solubility in an overexpressed state which is in admissible limits and is required for purification and other functional analysis.

Secondary structure prediction revealed the predominance of alpha helix while the tertiary structure obtained from threading provides the spatial arrangement of amino-acid in space. The obtained modelled structure was further refined that increased its overall quality. To check the interaction of the vaccine construct with the immune receptors, protein-protein docking was performed. Various immune receptors like Toll-like receptors (TLRs) act as sensor proteins that help in recognizing and differentiating self and non-self molecules and cells. They help in recognizing molecular patterns on the surface of foreign particles like viruses, bacteria and fungi and elicit immune response against these infectious agents. TLR3 acts as a nucleic acid sensor and helps in recognizing RNA and DNA viruses inside the human body and establishes a protective role against this infectious agents[68]. In SARS-CoV infection, TLR3 signaling contributes to the innate immune response against the viral infection[69]. Thus agonist of TLR3,  $\beta$ -defensin that also acts as an adjuvant was added to the vaccine construct. Also, the molecular interaction analysis of the vaccine construct with the TLR3 resulted into a stable complex formation that was energetically favorable. RSMD analysis of the vaccine construct and the vaccine construct-TLR3 complex depicted the stable nature of both the systems in the real environment.

Finally, codon optimization was performed for the maximal expression of multiepitope vaccine product in *Escherichia coli K12*. Overall, herein, an integrated next generation vaccinology approach was used to design a potential antigenic multi-epitope subunit vaccine which may combat the COVID-19 with high specificity and efficacy.

#### CONCLUSION

The emergence of novel strain of Corona virus, SARS-CoV-2 has become one of the most detrimental pathogen world-wide. With its high contagious nature and no effective vaccines and drugs, it has become a pandemic throughout. Herein, we explored next generation vaccinology approach for designing multi-epitope vaccine construct. The proteins of SARS-CoV-2 were screened for highly conserved, antigenic, non-allergen and non-toxic HTL, CTL and BCL epitopes. Molecular interaction analysis revealed the high propensity of interaction of the predicted epitopes with HLA alleles. Further *in-silico* analysis revealed the formation of generation of both humoral and cellular mediated immune response. Various physiochemical analyses revealed the formation of stable and efficacious vaccine candidate with a population coverage of >99 % world-wide. Finally, *in-silico* analysis was performed so as to easily express and purify the multi-epitope vaccine construct in a bacterial host. Thus, the present study scrutinizes the antigenic proteins of SARS-CoV-2 for designing and highly efficacious protective vaccine candidate that can elicit both humoral and cellular mediated memory response and provides a starting platform for *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* analysis for the development of protective and prophylactic solution against COVID-19.

# **Supplementary Information:** Supplementary Figure S1-S5, Supplementary Table S1-S4 and Supplementary Data S1

### **Author Contributions**

Data conceptualization and methodology was performed by AK. *In silcio* prediction were performed by NJ, US and PM. Analysis was performed by NJ. NJ and PM collectively wrote the manuscript. A.K. did the review and editing.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Computer server facility provided by Dr. Parimal Kar at IIT, Indore. NJ acknowledges the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Govt. of India, New Delhi, while US and PM acknowledges Ministry of Human Resource and Development, Govt. of India, New Delhi and for respective Ph.D. research fellowships.

### References

[1] Wells CR, Sah P, Moghadas SM, Pandey A, Shoukat A, Wang Y, et al. Impact of international travel and border control measures on the global spread of the novel 2019 coronavirus outbreak. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2020.

[2] Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). International journal of surgery (London, England). 2020;76:71-6.

[3] Yang Y, Peng F, Wang R, Guan K, Jiang T, Xu G, et al. The deadly coronaviruses: The 2003 SARS pandemic and the 2020 novel coronavirus epidemic in China. Journal of autoimmunity. 2020:102434.

[4] Han Q, Lin Q, Jin S, You L. Coronavirus 2019-nCoV: A brief perspective from the front line. Journal of Infection. 2020.

[5] Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. The Lancet. 2020;395:565-74.[6] Khan S, Siddique R, Shereen MA, Ali A, Liu J, Bai Q, et al. The emergence of a novel coronavirus

(SARS-CoV-2), their biology and therapeutic options. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2020.

[7] Gretebeck LM, Subbarao K. Animal models for SARS and MERS coronaviruses. Current opinion in virology. 2015;13:123-9.

[8] Sutton TC, Subbarao K. Development of animal models against emerging coronaviruses: From SARS to MERS coronavirus. Virology. 2015;479-480:247-58.

[9] Li K, McCray PB, Jr. Development of a Mouse-Adapted MERS Coronavirus. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ). 2020;2099:161-71.

[10] Du L, Tai W, Zhou Y, Jiang S. Vaccines for the prevention against the threat of MERS-CoV. Expert review of vaccines. 2016;15:1123-34.

[11] Enjuanes L, Zuñiga S, Castaño-Rodriguez C, Gutierrez-Alvarez J, Canton J, Sola I. Chapter Eight -Molecular Basis of Coronavirus Virulence and Vaccine Development. In: Ziebuhr J, editor. Advances in Virus Research: Academic Press; 2016. p. 245-86.

[12] Lu G, Wang Q, Gao GF. Bat-to-human: spike features determining 'host jump' of coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and beyond. Trends in microbiology. 2015;23:468-78.

[13] Du L, He Y, Zhou Y, Liu S, Zheng BJ, Jiang S. The spike protein of SARS-CoV--a target for vaccine and therapeutic development. Nature reviews Microbiology. 2009;7:226-36.

[14] Regla-Nava JA, Nieto-Torres JL, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, Fernandez-Delgado R, Fett C, Castano-Rodriguez C, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronaviruses with mutations in the E protein are attenuated and promising vaccine candidates. Journal of virology. 2015;89:3870-87.

[15] Das D, Kammila S, Suresh MR. Development, characterization, and application of monoclonal antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. Clinical and vaccine immunology : CVI. 2010;17:2033-6.

[16] Liu J, Wu P, Gao F, Qi J, Kawana-Tachikawa A, Xie J, et al. Novel immunodominant peptide presentation strategy: a featured HLA-A\*2402-restricted cytotoxic T-lymphocyte epitope stabilized by intrachain hydrogen bonds from severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. Journal of virology. 2010;84:11849-57.

[17] Menachery VD, Gralinski LE, Mitchell HD, Dinnon KH, 3rd, Leist SR, Yount BL, Jr., et al. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 16 Is Necessary for Interferon Resistance and Viral Pathogenesis. mSphere. 2017;2.

[18] Almazan F, DeDiego ML, Sola I, Zuniga S, Nieto-Torres JL, Marquez-Jurado S, et al. Engineering a replication-competent, propagation-defective Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus as a vaccine candidate. mBio. 2013;4:e00650-13.

[19] Yong CY, Ong HK, Yeap SK, Ho KL, Tan WS. Recent Advances in the Vaccine Development Against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus. Frontiers in microbiology. 2019;10:1781.

[20] Chen YZ, Liu G, Senju S, Wang Q, Irie A, Haruta M, et al. Identification of SARS-COV spike protein-derived and HLA-A2-restricted human CTL epitopes by using a new muramyl dipeptidederivative adjuvant. International journal of immunopathology and pharmacology. 2010;23:165-77.

[21] Chan PK, Ma S, Ngai SM. Identification of T-cell epitopes of SARS-coronavirus for development of peptide-based vaccines and cellular immunity assessment methods. Hong Kong medical journal = Xianggang yi xue za zhi. 2011;17 Suppl 6:26-30.

[22] Ibrahim HS, Kafi SK. A Computational Vaccine Designing Approach for MERS-CoV Infections. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ). 2020;2131:39-145.

[23] Tahir Ul Qamar M, Saleem S, Ashfaq UA, Bari A, Anwar F, Alqahtani S. Epitope-based peptide vaccine design and target site depiction against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus: an immune-informatics study. Journal of translational medicine. 2019;17:362.

[24] Shi J, Zhang J, Li S, Sun J, Teng Y, Wu M, et al. Epitope-Based Vaccine Target Screening against Highly Pathogenic MERS-CoV: An In Silico Approach Applied to Emerging Infectious Diseases. PloS one. 2015;10:e0144475.

[25] Srivastava S, Kamthania M, Kumar Pandey R, Kumar Saxena A, Saxena V, Kumar Singh S, et al. Design of novel multi-epitope vaccines against severe acute respiratory syndrome validated through multistage molecular interaction and dynamics. Journal of biomolecular structure & dynamics. 2019;37:4345-60.

[26] Bhattacharya M, Sharma AR, Patra P, Ghosh P, Sharma G, Patra BC, et al. Development of epitope-based peptide vaccine against novel coronavirus 2019 (SARS-COV-2): Immunoinformatics approach. Journal of Medical Virology. 2020;n/a.

[27] Baruah V, Bose S. Immunoinformatics-aided identification of T cell and B cell epitopes in the surface glycoprotein of 2019-nCoV. J Med Virol. 2020;92:495-500.

[28] Ahmed SF, Quadeer AA, McKay MR. Preliminary Identification of Potential Vaccine Targets for the COVID-19 Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) Based on SARS-CoV Immunological Studies. Viruses. 2020;12.

[29] Grifoni A, Sidney J, Zhang Y, Scheuermann RH, Peters B, Sette A. A Sequence Homology and Bioinformatic Approach Can Predict Candidate Targets for Immune Responses to SARS-CoV-2. Cell host & microbe. 2020.

[30] Lu S. Timely development of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. Emerging microbes & infections. 2020;9:542-4.

[31] Pang J, Wang MX, Ang IYH, Tan SHX, Lewis RF, Chen JI, et al. Potential Rapid Diagnostics, Vaccine and Therapeutics for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): A Systematic Review. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020;9.

[32] Doytchinova IA, Flower DR. VaxiJen: a server for prediction of protective antigens, tumour antigens and subunit vaccines. BMC bioinformatics. 2007;8:4.

[33] González-Galarza FF, Takeshita LY, Santos EJ, Kempson F, Maia MHT, Silva ALSd, et al. Allele frequency net 2015 update: new features for HLA epitopes, KIR and disease and HLA adverse drug reaction associations. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43:D784-D8.

[34] Karosiene E, Rasmussen M, Blicher T, Lund O, Buus S, Nielsen M. NetMHCIIpan-3. 0, a common pan-specific MHC class II prediction method including all three human MHC class II isotypes, HLA-DR, HLA-DP and HLA-DQ. Immunogenetics. 2013;65:711-24.

[35] Sidney J, Assarsson E, Moore C, Ngo S, Pinilla C, Sette A, et al. Quantitative peptide binding motifs for 19 human and mouse MHC class I molecules derived using positional scanning combinatorial peptide libraries. Immunome research. 2008;4:2.

[36] Saha S, Raghava G. AlgPred: prediction of allergenic proteins and mapping of IgE epitopes. Nucleic acids research. 2006;34:W202-W9.

[37] Gupta S, Kapoor P, Chaudhary K, Gautam A, Kumar R, Raghava GP. Peptide toxicity prediction. Computational Peptidology: Springer; 2015. p. 143-57.

[38] Jurtz V, Paul S, Andreatta M, Marcatili P, Peters B, Nielsen M. NetMHCpan-4.0: improved peptide–MHC class I interaction predictions integrating eluted ligand and peptide binding affinity data. The Journal of Immunology. 2017;199:3360-8.

[39] Calis JJ, Maybeno M, Greenbaum JA, Weiskopf D, De Silva AD, Sette A, et al. Properties of MHC class I presented peptides that enhance immunogenicity. PLoS computational biology. 2013;9.[40] Dimitrov I, Flower DR, Doytchinova I. AllerTOP-a server for in silico prediction of allergens. BMC

bioinformatics: BioMed Central; 2013. p. S4.

[41] Bui H-H, Sidney J, Li W, Fusseder N, Sette A. Development of an epitope conservancy analysis tool to facilitate the design of epitope-based diagnostics and vaccines. BMC bioinformatics. 2007;8:361.

[42] Liu T, Pan X, Chao L, Tan W, Qu S, Yang L, et al. Subangstrom accuracy in pHLA-I modeling by Rosetta FlexPepDock refinement protocol. Journal of chemical information and modeling. 2014;54:2233-42.

[43] Zhang Y. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. BMC bioinformatics. 2008;9:40.[44] Comeau SR, Gatchell DW, Vajda S, Camacho CJ. ClusPro: a fully automated algorithm for protein–protein docking. Nucleic acids research. 2004;32:W96-W9.

[45] Saha S, Raghava GPS. Prediction of continuous B-cell epitopes in an antigen using recurrent neural network. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics. 2006;65:40-8.

[46] Dimitrov I, Naneva L, Doytchinova I, Bangov I. AllergenFP: allergenicity prediction by descriptor fingerprints. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:846-51.

[47] Bui H-H, Sidney J, Dinh K, Southwood S, Newman MJ, Sette A. Predicting population coverage of T-cell epitope-based diagnostics and vaccines. BMC bioinformatics. 2006;7:153.

[48] Gasteiger E, Hoogland C, Gattiker A, Wilkins MR, Appel RD, Bairoch A. Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server. The proteomics protocols handbook: Springer; 2005. p. 571-607.

[49] Cheng J, Randall AZ, Sweredoski MJ, Baldi P. SCRATCH: a protein structure and structural feature prediction server. Nucleic acids research. 2005;33:W72-W6.

[50] Geourjon C, Deleage G. SOPMA: significant improvements in protein secondary structure prediction by consensus prediction from multiple alignments. Bioinformatics. 1995;11:681-4.[51] McGuffin LJ, Bryson K, Jones DT. The PSIPRED protein structure prediction server.

Bioinformatics. 2000;16:404-5.

[52] Kim DE, Chivian D, Baker D. Protein structure prediction and analysis using the Robetta server. Nucleic acids research. 2004;32:W526-W31.

[53] Bhattacharya D, Nowotny J, Cao R, Cheng J. 3Drefine: an interactive web server for efficient protein structure refinement. Nucleic acids research. 2016;44:W406-W9.

[54] Heo L, Park H, Seok C. GalaxyRefine: protein structure refinement driven by side-chain repacking. Nucleic acids research. 2013;41:W384-W8.

[55] Grote A, Hiller K, Scheer M, Münch R, Nörtemann B, Hempel DC, et al. JCat: a novel tool to adapt codon usage of a target gene to its potential expression host. Nucleic acids research. 2005;33:W526-W31.

[56] Ferris LK, Mburu YK, Mathers AR, Fluharty ER, Larregina AT, Ferris RL, et al. Human betadefensin 3 induces maturation of human Langerhans cell–like dendritic cells: an antimicrobial peptide that functions as an endogenous adjuvant. Journal of Investigative Dermatology. 2013;133:460-8.

[57] Alexander J, del Guercio M-F, Maewal A, Qiao L, Fikes J, Chesnut RW, et al. Linear PADRE T Helper Epitope and Carbohydrate B Cell Epitope Conjugates Induce Specific High Titer IgG Antibody Responses. The Journal of Immunology. 2000;164:1625-33.

[58] Fievez V, Plapied L, Plaideau C, Legendre D, des Rieux A, Pourcelle V, et al. In vitro identification of targeting ligands of human M cells by phage display. International journal of pharmaceutics. 2010;394:35-42.

[59] De Groot AS, Moise L, McMurry JA, Martin W. Epitope-based Immunome-derived vaccines: a strategy for improved design and safety. Clinical Applications of Immunomics: Springer; 2009. p. 39-69.

[60] Soria-Guerra RE, Nieto-Gomez R, Govea-Alonso DO, Rosales-Mendoza S. An overview of bioinformatics tools for epitope prediction: Implications on vaccine development. Journal of Biomedical Informatics. 2015;53:405-14.

[61] Li X, Guo L, Kong M, Su X, Yang D, Zou M, et al. Design and evaluation of a multi-epitope peptide of human metapneumovirus. 2015;58:403-12.

[62] Majee P, Jain N, Kumar AJJoBS, Dynamics. Designing of a multi-epitope vaccine candidate against Nipah virus by in silico approach: A putative prophylactic solution for the deadly virus. 2020:1-39.

[63] Wei J-c, Huang Y-z, Zhong D-k, Kang L, Ishag H, Mao X, et al. Design and evaluation of a multi-epitope peptide against Japanese encephalitis virus infection in BALB/c mice. 2010;396:787-92.
[64] Herd KA, Mahalingam S, Mackay IM, Nissen M, Sloots TP, Tindle RWJJov. Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte epitope vaccination protects against human metapneumovirus infection and disease in

mice. 2006;80:2034-44. [65] Nezafat N, Eslami M, Negahdaripour M, Rahbar MR, Ghasemi YJMB. Designing an efficient multi-epitope oral vaccine against Helicobacter pylori using immunoinformatics and structural vaccinology approaches. 2017;13:699-713.

[66] Dorosti H, Eslami M, Negahdaripour M, Ghoshoon MB, Gholami A, Heidari R, et al. Vaccinomics approach for developing multi-epitope peptide pneumococcal vaccine. 2019;37:3524-35.

[67] Chatterjee N, Ojha R, Khatoon N, Prajapati VKJIjobm. Scrutinizing Mycobacterium tuberculosis membrane and secretory proteins to formulate multiepitope subunit vaccine against pulmonary tuberculosis by utilizing immunoinformatic approaches. 2018;118:180-8.

[68] Lester SN, Li K. Toll-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity. Journal of molecular biology. 2014;426:1246-64.

[69] Totura AL, Whitmore A, Agnihothram S, Schäfer A, Katze MG, Heise MT, et al. Toll-Like Receptor 3 Signaling via TRIF Contributes to a Protective Innate Immune Response to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. mBio. 2015;6:e00638-15.

# Table 1. List of SARS-CoV proteins used for antigenicity prediction using VaxiJen server. The antigenic proteins with Antigenicity Score $\geq 0.4$ were taken for epitope screening

NCBI accession number		SARS-CoV-2 proteins	Antigenicity score	Antigenicity prediction
QHD43415_1		Host translation inhibitor (nsp1)	0.4064	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_2		Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2)	0.4034	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_3		Papain-like proteinase	0.5142	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_4		Non-structural protein 4 (nsp4)	0.4575	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_5	OPE1ab	Proteinase	0.4159	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_6	OKFIAD	Non-structural protein 6 (nsp6)	0.5813	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_7		Non-structural protein 7 (nsp7)	0.4167	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_8		Non-structural protein 8 (nsp8)	0.4008	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_9		Non-structural protein 9 (nsp9)	0.6476	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_1		Non-structural protein 10 (nsp10)	0.4039	Probable ANTIGEN

QHD43415_1	RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp)	0.4064	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_1	Helicase (Hel)	0.448	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_1	Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN)	0.4138	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_1	Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease (NendoU)	0.5554	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43415_1	2'-O-methyltransferase (2'-O-MT)	0.38	Probable NON-ANTIGEN
QHD43416	Surface glycoprotein	0.4646	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43417	ORF3a	0.4945	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43418	ORF4 (E Protein)	0.6025	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43419	Membrane Protein	0.5102	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43420	ORF6	0.6131	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43421	ORF7a	0.6441	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43422	ORF8	0.6502	Probable ANTIGEN
QHD43423	Nucleocapsid Protein	0.5059	Probable ANTIGEN
QHI42199	ORF10	0.7185	Probable ANTIGEN

Table 2. The results of physiochemical properties, antigenicity, allergenicity, and toxicity analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct.

Antigenicity Prediction using VaxiJen		liction using VaxiJen	0.6199 (Probable ANTIGEN ).		
	Allertop		PROBABLE NON-ALLERGEN The nearest protein is: UniProtKB accession number Q2RB59 defined as non-allergen		
Allegenicity analysis	AllergenFP		PROBABLE NON-ALLERGEN The protein with the highest Tanimoto similarity index 0.84 is: UniProtKB accession number P0084		
	AlgPred prediction	Prediction by mapping of IgE epitope	The protein sequence does not contain experimentally proven IgE epitope		
		MAST RESULT	No Hits found NON ALLERGEN		

		Prediction by SVM method based on amino acid composition	Score=-1.0824782 [Threshold=-0.4] NON ALLERGEN		
		BLAST Results of ARPS :	No Hits found NON ALLERGEN		
		Prediction by Hybrid Approach	NON ALLERGEN		
Тс	oxicity Analys	is Using ToxinPred	Non-Toxin		
Physiochemical properties		Number of amino acids	602		
		Molecular weight	65323.19 Dalton		
		Theoretical pI	10.06		
			30 hours (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro).		
analysis using	ProtParam	Estimated half-life	>20 hours (yeast, in vivo).		
			>10 hours (Escherichia coli, in vivo).		
		Instability index	The instability index (II) is computed to be 31.93 This classifies the protein as stable.		
		Grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY)	0.213		
Solubility and SolF	alysis using Pro	Predicted Solubility upon Overexpression	SOLUBLE with probability 0.699016		

# **Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of next generation vaccinology approach used for the prediction of Multi-epitope vaccine construct for SARS-CoV-2.

**Figure 2. HTL, CTL and BCL epitopes in SARS-CoV-2 proteome.** Location of selected Helper T-Lymohocytes epitopes (Blue), Cytotoxic T-Lymphcytes epitopes (Red) and B Cell Lymphocytes epitopes in the three dimensional structures of the antigenic proteins of SARS-CoV-2.

**Figure 3. Population Coverage of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct.** (A & B) The population coverage of HLA class I and class II alleles for whom the best antigenic epitopes were screened in various countries severely affected by COVID-19 in the world. (C) Population coverages of the multi-epitope vaccine construct based on the coverages of HLA class I and class II alleles in combination in various countries.

**Figure 4. Designing SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct**. The Sequence of the multi-epitope vaccine construct with HTLs, CTLs and BCL epitopes along with various adjuvants and linkers. Schematic representation of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct.

**Figure 5. Secondary Structure prediction of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct.** A. The sequence of the vaccine construct along with the predicted secondary structure. B. The overall percentage of various secondary structures in the vaccine construct as predicted by SOPMA server. C Pictorial representations of various secondary structures in the multi-epitope vaccine construct. D. Propensity of occurrence of various secondary structures according to the residues in the vaccine construct. The secondary structure at a particular residue was predicted by the height of the peak.

**Figure 6. Tertiary Structure and validation of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct.** (A) Represents the modelled structure of the vaccine construct where HTL epitopes are depicted by Salmon Pink color, CTL by Orange, BCL epitopes by Cyan, and adjuvants by Blue. (B & C) Ramachandran plot and ERRAT plot generated for the modelled vaccine construct. (D) The modelled (Cyan) and refined structure (Red) of the SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct depicting the changes in the structure before and after refinement. (E&F) Ramachandran plot and ERRAT plot generated for the refined structure of the vaccine construct.

Figure 7. Standard molecular Dynamics analysis of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct. (A) Representation of change in potential energy vs time steps(in ns) during energy minimization of the vaccine construct. (B)Temperature Vs Time steps showing the constant temperature throughout 10 ns simulation study. (C) RMSD Vs TS depicting the root mean square deviation of the atoms of Multi-epitope vaccine construct during the 10 ns dynamic simulation. (D – F) Energy plots representing Kinetic, potential and total energy w.r.t. TS for the Vaccine construct system in a water sphere.

Figure 8. Molecular interaction analysis of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct with a immunological receptor Human TLR3. (A & B) Representation of TLR3-vaccine construct in ribbon (A) and surface form (Blue Human TLR3 ad Green- multi-epitope vaccine construct). (C) Representation of change in potential energy vs time steps (in ns) of the TLR3-vaccine construct during energy minimization. (D)Temperature Vs Time steps showing the constant temperature throughout 10 ns simulation study. (E – G) Energy plots representing Kinetic, potential and total energy w.r.t. TS for the Vaccine construct system in a water sphere. (H) RMSF Vs TS for the residues of TLR3-vaccine construct complex (I) RMSD Vs TS depicting the root mean square deviation of the atoms of TLR3-vaccine construct during the 10 ns dynamic simulation.

**Figure 9.** Codon optimization and *In silico* cloning of the cDNA of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine construct. Representation of CAI score of the cDNA construct of SARS-CoV-2 multi-epitope vaccine before adaptation (A) and after adaptation (B). (C) Pictorial representation of SARS-CoV-2-multi-epitope vaccine construct plasmid that can be used for the expression and purification of the vaccine product inside *Escherichia coli*.







**ORF1ab Papain-like proteinase** 

Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN)

**ORF1ab** [Helicase (Hel)]



Multi-epitope Vaccine construct (602 amino acid)

GIINTLQKYYCRVRGGRCAVLSCLPKEEQIGKCSTRGRKCCRRKKEAAAKRTLSYYKLGASQRVAGPGPGFKLSYGIATVREVLSGPG PGFKLSYGIATVREVLSGPGPGGFMGRIRSVYPVASPGPGPGGISQYSLRLIDAMMFTSGPGPGITFDNLKTLLSLREVGPGPGPN MLRIMASLVLARKGPGPGPMMLRIMASLVLARKGPGPGYFNMVYMPASWVMRIGPGPGLVYFLQSINFVRIIMGPGPGSKWYIR VGARKSAPLGPGPGQPYRVVVLSFELLHAAPATVCGGPGPGPTNFTISVTTEILPVEAAAK*ILMQYIKANSKFIGIPMGLPQSIALSS LMVAQ*EAAAKRFLYIIKLIAAYKLIFLWLLWAAYLSPRWYFYYLAAYKLNEEIAIIAAYFLAHIQWMVAAYHVTFFIYNKAAYINFVRII MRAAYLPFGWLIVGAAYLAFVVFLLVAAYKWYIRVGARAAYVVFLHVTYVAAYFPREGVFVSEAAAK*AKFVAAWTLKAAA*EAAAK TRRIRGGDGKMKDLSPKKYACWHHSIGFDYVYNPKKQGEIKDATPSDFVRATKKGVSVITPGTNTSNQVAEAAAK*CTGKSC* 



	10	20	30	40	50	60	10
	1	1	1	1	1	1	
GIINTL	QKYYCRVRG	GRCAVLSCLF	KEEQIGKCS	RGRKCCRRKK	EAAAKRTLS	YYKLGASQRVA	GPGPG
hhhhhh	hheeeett	ceeeeecco	ttcccceeco	ccccccchh	hhhhhhhhe	eeetcccccc	ccccc
FKLSYG	IATVREVLS	GPGPGFKLS	GIATVREVLS	SGPGPGGFMGR	IRSVYPVAS	PGPGPGGISQY	SLRLI
ceeec	hhhhhhhc	ccccceeee	chhhhhhhh	cccccceehh	hceeeecc	cccccccchh	hhhhh
DAMMET	SGPGPGITF		EVGPGPGPN	ILRIMASLVLA	RKGPGPGPN	MLRIMASLVLA	RKGPG
hheeec	ccccccce	hhhhheehh	occccccct	hhhhhhhee	tccccccc	hhhhhhhhhh	tcccc
PGYFNM	VYMPASWVM	RIGPGPGLVY	FLQSINFVR	IMGPGPGSKW	YIRVGARKS	APLGPGPGQPY	RVVVL
ccceee	eeccceee	eccctteee	eehhhceeee	eecccttcce	eeeeecccc	ccccccccce	eeeee
SFELLH	AAPATVCGG	PGPGPTNFT	SVTTEILPVE	EAAAKILMQYI	KANSKFIGI	PMGLPQSIALS	SLMVA
ehhhht	cccceeecc	ccccceee	eeccccch	hhhhhhhhh	htttceeec	ccccchhhhh	hhhhh
QEAAAK	RFLYIIKLI	AAYKLIFLWL	LWAAYLSPR	VYFYYLAAYKL	NEEIAIIAA	YFLAHIQWMVA	AYHVT
hhhhhh	hhheehhhh	hhhhheeht	hhhhccctth	neeeehhhhhh	hhhhhhhhh	hhhhhhhhhh	hhtee
FFIYNK	AAYINFVRI	IMRAAYLPFO	WLIVGAAYLA	FVVFLLVAAY	KWYIRVGAR	AAYVVFLHVTY	VAAYF
eeeect	hhhhhhhh	hhhttcctt	eeeehhhhhh	hhhhhhhhh	hhheettcc	hheeeeehhh	hhhec
PREGVF	VSEAAAKAK	FVAAWTLKAA	AEAAAKTRR	RGGDGKMKDL	SPKKYACWH	HSIGFDYVYNF	KKQGE
tttcee	ehhhhhhhh	hhhhhhhh	hhhhhhhhh	eccccchhho	ctthhhhhh	hhetceeect	ttttc
IKDATP	SDFVRATKK	GVSVITPGTN	TSNQVAEAAA	AKCTGKSC			

Α

Alpha helix	(Hh)	:	250	is	41.53%
3 <sub>10</sub> helix	(Gg)	:	0	is	0.00%
Pi helix	(Ii)	:	0	is	0.00%
Beta bridge	(Bb)	:	0	is	0.00%
Extended strand	(Ee)	:	126	is	20.93%
Beta turn	(Tt)	:	40	is	6.64%
Bend region	(Ss)	:	0	is	0.00%
Random coil	(Cc)	:	186	is	30.90%
Ambiguous states	(?)	:	(	) is	0.00%
Other states		:	0	is	0.00%

в







Total number of residues 602

95.5%
4.5%
0 0.0%
0 0.0%







Total number of residues 602

 Residues in most favoured regions [A,B,L]
 97.4%

 Residues in additional allowed regions [a,b,l,p]
 2.6%

 Residues in generously allowed regions [~a,~b,~l,~p]
 0.0%

 Residues in disallowed regions
 0.00%



Overall quality factor\*\*: 94.298





