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We present the results of a search for pair production of a fourth-generation charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ quark $\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ in $\sqrt{s}=1.8 \mathrm{TeV} p \bar{p}$ collisions using $88 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of data obtained with the Collider Detector at Fermilab. We assume that both quarks decay via the flavor-changing neutral current process $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}$ and that the $b^{\prime}$ mass is greater than $m_{Z}+m_{b}$. We studied the decay mode $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow Z^{0} Z^{0} b \bar{b}$ where one $Z^{0}$ decays into $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and the other decays hadronically, giving a signature of two leptons plus jets. An upper limit on the $\sigma_{p \bar{p} \rightarrow b^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \times\left[B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)\right]^{2}$ is established as a function of the $b^{\prime}$ mass. We exclude at $95 \%$ confidence level a $b^{\prime}$ quark with mass between 100 and $199 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ for $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)=100 \%$.

PACS numbers: $13.85 . \mathrm{Rm}, 13.85 . \mathrm{Qk}, 14.65 .-\mathrm{q}$

The standard model (SM) with three generations of quarks and leptons is in excellent agreement with all experimental data available today. There is no strong reason to believe that an extra fermion generation exists. However, the SM does not explain either the fermion family replication or the fermion mass hierarchy. Several models have been proposed to solve shortcomings in the SM specifically through the introduction of extra quarks and leptons. In addition, grand unification, supersymmetry, supergravity, and superstrings predict or can accommodate extra quarks [1]. An extensive discussion of such models can be found in a recent review [2].

In general, flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) processes in the standard model are highly suppressed. However, if a fourth-generation charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ quark $\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ exists and is lighter than both the $t^{\prime}$ [its partner in an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ doublet] and the top quark $(t)$, the charged-current (CC) decays $b^{\prime} \rightarrow t W^{-}$and $b^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime} W^{-}$are kinematically forbidden. The leading charged-current decay mode will then be $b^{\prime} \rightarrow c W^{-}$, which is doubly Cabibbo-suppressed. In this situation loop-induced FCNC decays can dominate [2-4] provided $\left|V_{c b^{\prime}}\right| /\left|V_{t b^{\prime}}\right|$ is less than roughly $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-3}$, depending on the $b^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime}$ masses [4]. If $m_{b^{\prime}}>$ $m_{Z}+m_{b}$, the dominant FCNC decay mode is $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}$ [4] as long as $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b H$ is kinematically suppressed or forbidden [5]. For $m_{t}<m_{b^{\prime}}<m_{t}+m_{W}$, the decay mode $b^{\prime} \rightarrow t W^{*}$ becomes available but is suppressed by threebody phase space, and the $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}$ channel can still dominate over the CC decay for $b^{\prime}$ masses up to about $230 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}[2,6]$.

Several experiments have searched explicitly for $b^{\prime}$ quarks decaying via FCNC [7]. The most stringent limit comes from the D0 Collaboration, which searched in the $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow \gamma g b \bar{b}$ and $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma b \bar{b}$ channels, excluding a $b^{\prime}$ quark mass up to $m_{Z}+m_{b}$ for a FCNC branching fraction larger than $50 \%$ [8]. CDF has excluded a long-lived $b^{\prime}$ quark with mass up to $148 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and a lifetime of $\tau \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{sec}$, assuming $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)=100 \%$ [9]. If the CC decay $b^{\prime} \rightarrow c W^{-}$dominates, the lower mass bound of 128 GeV found in a D 0 top quark search [10] also applies to the $b^{\prime}$ quark [11].

In this Letter, we report on a search for a $b^{\prime}$ quark using $88 \pm 4 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of $p \bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8 \mathrm{TeV}$ collected with the CDF detector from 1994 to 1995 . Fourthgeneration $b^{\prime}$ quarks can be pair-produced in $p \bar{p}$ collisions through $g g$ fusion and $q \bar{q}$ annihilation with the same cross section, for a given mass, as the top quark. We search for pair-produced $b^{\prime}$ quarks decaying via FCNC
into $b Z^{0}$, where one $Z^{0}$ decays into leptons and the other decays hadronically. The signature is two high transverse momentum $\left(p_{T}\right)$ leptons from the $Z^{0}$ decay, two high- $p_{T}$ jets from the second $Z^{0}$, and two $b$ jets whose $p_{T}$ scales with the $b^{\prime}$ mass.

A detailed description of the CDF detector can be found elsewhere [12]. We briefly describe the components most relevant for this analysis. Inside a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field, the silicon vertex detector (SVX), the vertex time projection chamber (VTX), and the central tracking chamber (CTC) provide tracking information. The SVX, positioned immediately outside the beampipe and inside the VTX, consists of four layers of silicon microstrip detectors and covers $|z|<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ [13]. It provides precise track reconstruction in the plane transverse to the beam and is used to identify secondary vertices from the decay of $b$ hadrons. The VTX is used to measure the position of the primary interaction vertex along the $z$ axis. The CTC is a cylindrical drift chamber that covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta|<1.1$ and consists of 84 layers that are grouped in nine alternating superlayers of axial and stereo wires. Outside the solenoid, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, arranged in a projective tower geometry, surround the tracking volume and are used to identify electrons and jets over the range $|\eta|<4.2$. The electron energy is measured in the central electromagnetic calorimeter (CEM) $(|\eta|<1.1)$ and the end-plug electromagnetic calorimeter (PEM) ( $1.1<|\eta|<2.4$ ). Outside the calorimeters, three systems of drift chambers in the region $|\eta|<1.0$ provide muon identification.

We select events satisfying a high- $p_{T}$ lepton trigger, containing a well-identified muon or electron in the central region, whose primary vertex is within 60 cm of the nominal interaction position. A trigger that requires one jet with $E_{T}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$, in addition to the lepton, is also used for muon events. Inclusive $Z^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$and $Z^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ samples are selected by requiring one primary lepton that satisfies tight lepton identification cuts and a second lepton satisfying loose identification cuts [14]. Dielectron events are selected by requiring at least one tight electron with transverse energy $E_{T}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ in the CEM and a second loose electron with $E_{T}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$ in either the CEM or PEM calorimeters. Dimuon events are required to have one tight muon with transverse momentum $p_{T}>20 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ in the central region and a second loose muon with $p_{T}>10 \mathrm{GeV} / c$. A calorimeter isolation cut is imposed on the second lepton. We accept events if the reconstructed $e e$ or $\mu \mu$ invariant mass is between 75 and
$105 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. After this selection there are 6287 (2940) Z ${ }^{0}$ events remaining in the electron (muon) data sample.
In order to optimize our sensitivity to a $b^{\prime}$ quark signal we make a jet selection that depends on the $b^{\prime}$ mass being considered. Hadronic jets are selected using a clustering algorithm [15] with a cone size of $\Delta R=\sqrt{\Delta \eta^{2}+\Delta \phi^{2}}=0.4$. Each event is required to have at least three jets within $|\eta|<2.0$, two of which with $E_{T}>15 \mathrm{GeV}$. For $b^{\prime}$ masses above $120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, the third jet is required to have $E_{T}>15 \mathrm{GeV}$. For $m_{b^{\prime}} \leq 120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, the $E_{T}$ requirement on the third jet is relaxed to $E_{T}>7 \mathrm{GeV}$ since the $b$ jets for $b^{\prime}$ masses near the $m_{Z}+m_{b}$ threshold have low momentum. We define the variable $\sum E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ as the summed transverse energy of jets with $E_{T}>15 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2.0$ and require this quantity to be larger than $m_{b^{\prime}} c^{2}-60 \mathrm{GeV}$. Figure 1 shows the $\sum E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ distribution for $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$events passing the three-jet requirement for $b^{\prime}$ masses above $120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. Also shown are the distributions expected from SM background and from a $b^{\prime}$ quark with a mass of $150 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ (see below).

We further require at least one jet to be tagged as a $b$ quark by the SVX $b$-tagging algorithm developed for the top quark analysis [16]. The number of events passing each major selection criterion for each leptonic channel is shown in Table I. One $\mu \mu$ event passes all our selection criteria for $m_{b^{\prime}} \leq 120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. This event has a third jet with $E_{T}=8.3 \mathrm{GeV}$ which fails the third-jet $E_{T}$ requirement for larger $b^{\prime}$ masses.
The signal acceptance and detection efficiencies are estimated from a combination of data and Monte Carlo simulation. We have generated $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow b Z^{0} \bar{b} Z^{0}$ Monte Carlo samples for different $b^{\prime}$ masses between 100 and $210 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ using the HERWIG program [17] with


FIG. 1. $\sum E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ distribution for events with at least 3 jets with $E_{T}>15 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2$, before the $b$-tagging requirement. The expected SM background is shown shaded. The expected signal event distribution for a $b^{\prime}$ quark mass of $150 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ is shown as a solid line. The vertical dashed line represents the $\sum_{T} E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ cut for this specific $b^{\prime}$ mass. Events to the right of this line are accepted.

MRSD0 $0^{\prime}$ structure functions [18]. One $Z^{0}$ is required to decay into muons or electrons while the other is allowed to decay through any available decay channel. The CLEO QQ Monte Carlo program [19] is used to model the decays of $b$ hadrons. These events are passed through a simulation of the CDF detector and are subjected to the same selection requirements as the data.
The electron trigger efficiency is determined from data to be $(92 \pm 1) \%$, while the muon trigger efficiency per event $(82 \pm 4) \%$ is obtained from a combination of data and simulation. The efficiencies of the lepton identification cuts are determined using a $Z^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$data sample with an unbiased selection on one of the leptons. The $Z^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$and $Z^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$geometric and kinematic acceptance was obtained from the HERWIG Monte Carlo program. The total $Z^{0}$ detection efficiency times acceptance, including the isolation efficiency, is $(41 \pm 3) \%$ for $e^{+} e^{-}$and $(30 \pm 3) \%$ for $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and is nearly independent of the $b^{\prime}$ mass.

The event $b$-tag efficiency rises with $m_{b^{\prime}}$ from $17 \%$ for $m_{b^{\prime}}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ to values between $50 \%$ and $57 \%$ for masses above $150 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. The total acceptance times efficiency, not including the $B\left(Z \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)$, increases from $1.7 \%(1.6 \%)$ to $14 \%(11 \%)$ for the electron (muon) channel as $m_{b^{\prime}}$ increases from 100 to $210 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ (Table II). This increase is due to the fact that a more massive $b^{\prime}$ leads to a more central event with more energetic jets in which, in addition, the $b$-tag algorithm is more efficient.
The dominant systematic uncertainties on the acceptance times efficiency arise from the jet energy scale and gluon radiation [14]. By varying parameters in the Monte Carlo simulation we estimate that the systematic uncertainty due to the jet energy scale in the electron (muon) channel is $16 \%$ ( $14 \%$ ) for $m_{b^{\prime}}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and less than $13 \%$ for higher masses. The presence of gluon radiation increases the jet multiplicity and therefore increases the efficiency of the three-jet requirement. This effect is more pronounced at low $b^{\prime}$ mass because the $b$ quarks from low-mass $b^{\prime}$ decay are produced near threshold and therefore are detected with low efficiency. We estimate the systematic uncertainty due to this effect to be $19 \%$ ( $18 \%$ ) in the electron (muon) channel for $m_{b^{\prime}}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, and less than $9 \%$ for a heavier $b^{\prime}$. Other important systematic uncertainties arise from the $b$-tag efficiency ( $10 \%$ ), parton distribution function (5\%), total integrated luminosity

TABLE I. Events observed in data after each main selection requirement in both the electron and the muon channels.

| $m_{b^{\prime}}$ <br> 3 | $Z^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$ |  |  | $Z^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right)$ | 3 jets | $\sum E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ | $b$-tag | 3 jets | $\sum E_{T}^{\text {jets }}$ | $b$-tag |
| 100 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 29 | 1 |
| 120 | 34 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 1 |
| 140 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| 160 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| 180 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| 200 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 |

TABLE II. Total acceptance (A) times efficiency $(\epsilon)$ and relative systematic uncertainties ( $\delta_{\text {total }}$ ) in the electron and muon channels, $95 \%$ C.L. upper limit on the pair-production cross section times the branching ratio of $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}$ squared, and theoretical pairproduction cross section [20].

|  | $Z^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$ |  | $Z^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{b^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right)$ | $(A \times \epsilon)(\%)$ | $\delta_{\text {total }}(\%)$ | $(A \times \epsilon)(\%)$ | $\delta_{\text {total }}(\%)$ | $\sigma \times B_{95 \% \text { C.L. }}^{2}(\mathrm{pb})$ | $\sigma_{\text {theory }}(\mathrm{pb})$ |
| 100 | 1.7 | 29 | 1.6 | 27 | 37 | 102 |
| 110 | 4.6 | 21 | 4.2 | 21 | 11 | 61.6 |
| 120 | 7.6 | 20 | 6.4 | 19 | 6.5 | 38.9 |
| 130 | 8.2 | 19 | 6.8 | 19 | 3.8 | 25.4 |
| 140 | 9.9 | 19 | 8.3 | 19 | 3.1 | 16.9 |
| 150 | 11 | 19 | 9.2 | 19 | 2.8 | 11.7 |
| 160 | 12 | 19 | 9.8 | 19 | 2.6 | 8.16 |
| 170 | 12 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 2.5 | 5.83 |
| 180 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 2.4 | 4.21 |
| 190 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 19 | 2.4 | 3.06 |
| 200 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 19 | 2.4 | 2.26 |
| 210 | 14 |  |  | 19 | 2.3 | 1.68 |

( $4.1 \%$ ), lepton identification efficiency ( $4 \%$ for electrons, $5 \%$ for muons), isolation efficiency (4\%), and trigger efficiency ( $1 \%$ for electrons, $5 \%$ for muons). The total uncertainty on the acceptance times efficiency is shown as a function of $b^{\prime}$ mass in Table II.

The only non-negligible background is from $Z^{0}$ events with associated QCD hadronic jets. This background is estimated using a combination of the VECBOS [21] and HERWIG Monte Carlo programs. VECBOS calculates the leading-order matrix elements for $Z^{0}+$ three partons events using the MRSD0' structure functions [22]. A partial higher-order correction to the tree-level diagrams is obtained by including gluon radiation and hadronic fragmentation using HERWIG. These $Z^{0}$ events are then passed through a simulation of the CDF detector. We estimate the $b$-tag rate in $Z^{0}$ plus jet events directly from data using a technique developed for the top analysis [23]. We apply the $b$-tag rates measured in an inclusive jet sample to the $Z^{0}+$ jets events that pass all the


FIG. 2. The $95 \%$ confidence level upper limit on $p \bar{p} \rightarrow b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} X$ production cross section times the $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}$ branching ratio squared (solid). The dashed curve shows the predicted $\sigma_{p \bar{p} \rightarrow b^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \times\left[B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)\right]^{2}$ with the NLO production cross section from Ref. [20] and $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)=1$.
other selection criteria. This method overestimates the background because the inclusive jet sample contains heavy-quark contributions that are not present in $Z^{0}+$ jets events. We expect approximately two background events for $m_{b^{\prime}} \leq 120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and less than one event for $m_{b^{\prime}}>120 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, in agreement with the number of events observed in the data.

Under the assumption that the observed $\mu \mu$ event is from signal, that is, without subtracting background, we obtain a conservative $95 \%$ confidence level upper limit on the $\sigma_{p \bar{p} \rightarrow b^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \times\left[B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)\right]^{2}$. The limit is presented as a function of the $b^{\prime}$ mass in Table II. We have used a Bayesian method to calculate the limit and treat the number of expected signal events as a Poisson distribution convoluted with a Gaussian systematic uncertainty. Using the theoretical next-to-leading-order (NLO) $b^{\prime}$ pair production cross section [20] and assuming that $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z^{0}\right)$ is $100 \%$, we exclude at $95 \%$ confidence level $b^{\prime}$ masses from 100 to $199 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, as shown in Fig. 2. This search is also sensitive to other $b^{\prime}$ decay channels such as $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b H$ or $b^{\prime} \rightarrow c W^{-}$as long as $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z\right)$ is not negligible, since the hadronic decays of the $H$ or $W$ are kinematically similar to those of the $Z$. The acceptance for $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow b \bar{b} Z H$ is 1.7 to 0.5 times the acceptance for $b^{\prime} \overline{b^{\prime}} \rightarrow b \bar{b} Z Z$, depending on the Higgs and $b^{\prime}$ masses and not including the $B\left(Z \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)$. However, if we conservatively assume no sensitivity to these decay modes, we exclude a $b^{\prime}$ mass from 104 to 152 GeV for $B\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow b Z\right) \geq 50 \%$.
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