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#### Abstract

We report the first search for supersymmetric particles via $s$-channel production and decay of smuons or muon sneutrinos at hadronic colliders. The data for the two-muon and two-jets final states were collected by the D0 experiment and correspond to an integrated luminosity of $94 \pm 5 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$. Assuming that $R$ parity is violated via the single coupling $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}$, the number of candidate events is in agreement with expectation from the standard model. Exclusion contours are given in the ( $m_{0}, m_{1 / 2}$ ) and ( $m_{\tilde{\chi}}, m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ ) planes for $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09,0.08$, and 0.07 .
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Events with at least two muons and two hadronic jets in $p \bar{p}$ collisions provide a good sample in which to search for new physics because the contribution from standardmodel (SM) processes to such states is rather small. Any excess in such topologies can be attributed to a signal from $R$-parity violating supersymmetry (SUSY), where $R$ parity is not conserved either in the production or in the decay of sparticles.
$R$ parity of any particle [1] is defined as $R_{p}=$ $(-1)^{3 B+L+2 S}$, where $B, L$, and $S$ are the baryon, lepton, and spin quantum numbers. $R_{p}$ equals +1 for SM particles and -1 for supersymmetric partners. The conservation of $R$ parity is often assumed in experimental searches, because, without that, simultaneous lepton and baryon number violation would lead to rapid proton decay. However, this argument can be circumvented if lepton and baryon number conservation is treated independently.

In supersymmetry, $R$-parity violation $\left(\not R_{p}\right)$ can occur through terms in the superpotential that are trilinear in quark and lepton superfields [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i j k} L_{i} L_{j} \bar{E}_{k}^{c}+\lambda_{i j k}^{\prime} L_{i} Q_{j} \bar{D}_{k}^{c}+\lambda_{i j k}^{\prime \prime} \bar{U}_{i}^{c} \bar{D}_{j}^{c} \bar{D}_{k}^{c} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i, j$, and $k$ are family indices; $L$ and $Q$ are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-doublet lepton and quark superfields; $E, U$, and $D$ are the singlet-lepton, up-quark, and down-quark superfields, respectively.

Such $\not R_{p}$ couplings offer the possibility of producing single supersymmetric particles [2], which is not the case for $R_{p}$-conserving supersymmetric models, in which particles and sparticles are always produced in pairs. Although the $\not R_{p}$ coupling constants are severely constrained by low-energy experimental bounds [3,4], $s$-channel production of sparticles can nevertheless have a substantial cross section at lepton and hadron colliders [5,6].

At $p \bar{p}$ colliders, either a sneutrino ( $\tilde{\nu})$ or a charged slepton ( $\tilde{l}$ ) can be produced in the $s$ channel via $\lambda_{i j k}^{\prime}$ coupling. In most SUSY models, the slepton has two
possible $R_{p}$-conserving gauge decays: either into a chargino $\tilde{\chi}^{ \pm}$or a neutralino $\tilde{\chi}^{0}$. These are favored over $\not R_{p}$ decay because of the small value of the coupling for the latter [5]. Consequently, for a single dominant $\lambda_{i j k}^{\prime}$ coupling, production of a slepton (smuon or muon sneutrino) provides either a chargino or a neutralino, together with either a charged lepton or a neutrino, in the final state.

In this Letter, we consider the resonant production of a muon sneutrino or a smuon via $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}$ coupling which leads to a final state containing at least two muons and two jets. From low-energy measurements, the $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}$ coupling is constrained to be less than $0.06 \times m_{\tilde{d_{R}}} / 100\left(\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right)$ [7], where $m_{\tilde{d}_{R}}$ is the mass of the $\tilde{d}_{R}$ squark. The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is assumed to be the lightest neutralino. We also assume that all sparticles cascade decay into neutralinos, which decay either to leptons and virtual sleptons, or to quarks and virtual squarks, conserving $R$ parity. The virtual objects then decay, respectively, into two quarks or into a quark and a lepton, violating $R$ parity. Ultimately, all SUSY particles of interest in this search transform into two jets and a muon. The decay of the muon sneutrino into a muon and a chargino, and of the smuon into a muon and a neutralino, therefore lead to at least two muons and two jets in the final state. The decay of the smuon into a neutrino and a chargino can also lead to the same topology, but only when the chargino decays into muon $+X$, and for this reason the contribution of that channel is small (less than $5 \%$ of the signal) and neglected in our analysis. The decay of the sneutrino into a neutrino and a neutralino yields only one muon in the final state.

Our framework is the so-called minimal supergravity model (mSUGRA), which assumes the existence of a grand unified gauge theory and family-universal boundary conditions on the supersymmetry-breaking parameters. We choose the following five parameters that completely define the model: $m_{0}$, the universal scalar mass at the unification scale $M_{X} ; m_{1 / 2}$, the universal gaugino mass at $M_{X} ; A=A_{t}=A_{b}=A_{\tau}$, the trilinear Yukawa coupling at $M_{X}, \operatorname{sgn}(\mu)$, the $\operatorname{sign}$ of the


FIG. 1. Invariant dimuon mass used as an input to the neural network analysis: dotted line, $\tilde{\mu}$ signal; dash-dotted line, $\tilde{\nu}$ signal; solid line, $Z+2$ jets background; dashed line, $t \bar{t}$ background. The number of events is normalized to data luminosity $\left(94 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}\right)$.

Higgsino mixing parameter; and $\tan \beta=\left\langle H_{u}\right\rangle /\left\langle H_{d}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle H_{u}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle H_{d}\right\rangle$ denote the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs fields. The dependence of the cross section on different SUSY parameters can be found in Ref. [5].

The data for this analysis were collected during the 1994-1995 Fermilab Tevatron running, at a center-ofmass energy of 1.8 TeV , and correspond to an integrated luminosity of $94 \pm 5 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$. The D0 detector is described elsewhere [8]. Here, we outline the performance of the components relevant to this analysis. Jets are identified using the energy deposited in the calorimeter and reconstructed with a cone algorithm in pseudorapidity $(\eta)$ and azimuthal angle $(\phi)$ using a radius of 0.5 . The calorimeter covers the region of $|\eta|<4.0$ and provides a resolution for electrons and single hadrons $[\sigma(E) / E]$ of $15 \% / \sqrt{E}$ and $50 \% / \sqrt{E}$, respectively. Muons are detected using both tracking chambers [three layers of proportional drift tubes $(|\eta|<1.7)$, one in front of and two behind magnetized iron toroids], and through ionization deposited in the calorimeter. The muon momentum resolution is $\sigma(1 / p)=0.18(p-2) / p^{2}+0.003$ (with $p$ in $\mathrm{GeV} / c$ ).

Events are required to satisfy a $\mu+$ jet or $\mu \mu+$ jet trigger. The trigger efficiency is $71 \%$ and $50 \%$ for central and forward muons, respectively. Muons are required to have a transverse momentum greater than $8 \mathrm{GeV} / c$, and jets are required to have transverse energy exceeding 15 GeV . We apply additional criteria to select two isolated muons and to eliminate cosmic-ray muons. If there are more than two isolated muons (which happens only rarely), only the two leading muons are used in the ensuing analysis.

The signal topologies were generated with the SUSYGEN Monte Carlo program [9] using the cross sections computed in Refs. [5,6] for a wide range of ( $m_{0}$,

TABLE I. Number of events (expected) for the reference point for signal at $\lambda^{\prime}=0.09$, for the background, and the number observed in the data after making all selections.

| $\tilde{\mu}$ | $3.93 \pm 0.05$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_{\mu}$ | $2.49 \pm 0.04$ |
| Total expected signal | $6.42 \pm 0.06$ |
| $t \bar{t}$ | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ |
| $Z+2$ jets | $0.73 \pm 0.02$ |
| $W W+$ jets | $0.01 \pm 0.00$ |
| Total background | $1.01 \pm 0.02$ |
| Data | 2 |
| C.L. | $97.7 \%$ |

$m_{1 / 2}$ ) masses. For illustration purposes, we choose a reference point in the mSUGRA parameter space: $m_{0}=$ $200 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}, \quad m_{1 / 2}=243 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}, \quad \tan \beta=2, \quad A=0$, and a negative sign for $\mu$. These parameters predict the following sparticle masses: $m_{\tilde{\nu}}=263 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, $m_{\tilde{\mu}}=269 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}, \quad m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}}=207 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}=$ $102 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$. For $\lambda^{\prime}=0.09$, the production cross sections are 1.22 and 3.34 pb for $\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ production, respectively.

The dominant backgrounds are from $t \bar{t}, W W+$ jets, and $Z+2$ jets events. The $t \bar{t}$ background was generated using PYTHIA [10], with a cross section of $5.9 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{pb}$ [11], the $Z+2$ jets background with VECBOS [12], interfaced with the ISAJET fragmentation code [13], and a cross section of $9.7 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{pb}$. The $W W+$ jets background was generated using PYTHIA [10]; it provides a much smaller background than the $t \bar{t}$ and $Z+2$ jets channels. The simulation of the detector was performed using both a full and a parametrized simulation.

We use a neural network to discriminate signal from background in our analysis [14], and we cross-check this with a more standard sequential analysis at several points of the SUSY parameter space. The following quantities are used as inputs to the neural network: the scalar sum of the transverse energies of the two leading jets, the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the two leading muons,

TABLE II. Systematic uncertainties on signal and background, and the number of expected events, with their statistical and systematic errors.

| Source | Signal | $t \bar{t}$ | $Z+2$ jets |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jet energy scale | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| High $p_{T}^{\mu}$ efficiency | $1 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Cross section | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Trigger simul. | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Luminosity | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Fast/full simul. | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total events | 6.42 | 0.27 | 0.73 |
| Overall statistics | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.01$ | $\pm 0.02$ |
| Overall systematics | $\pm 0.80$ | $\pm 0.09$ | $\pm 0.10$ |

the distance in $(\eta, \phi)$ space between the two muons, the dimuon mass, the $(\eta, \phi)$ distance between the most energetic muon and its nearest jet, the aplanarity and sphericity of the two leading muons, and the two leading jets in the laboratory frame [15]. For example, Fig. 1 shows the distribution in dimuon mass, which is one of the most sensitive inputs into the analysis.

The output of the neural network is obtained separately for the sneutrino and the smuon channels. The signal-over-background ratio for the neural network is optimal for an output cutoff of 0.0 for the $\tilde{\nu}$ and -0.10 for the $\tilde{\mu}$ analysis.

For the reference point, $6.42 \pm 0.06 \tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ events are expected. The estimated background of $1.01 \pm 0.02$ events is consistent with the two events observed in


FIG. 2 (color online). Exclusion contours at the $95 \%$ C.L. in the ( $m_{0}, m_{1 / 2}$ ) plane. The top figure shows the exclusion contours for $\tan \beta=2, \lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09,0.08$, and 0.07 . The bottom figure shows the exclusion contour for $\tan \beta=5$, but only for $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09$, because the smaller couplings do not provide a region of $95 \%$ C.L. exclusion.
data. The details of the background estimate are given in Table I, with the quoted uncertainties being only statistical.

The systematic errors are shown in Table II. The uncertainties due to jet energy scale and the measurement of the muon $p_{T}$ are deduced by varying the jet $E_{T}$ and muon $p_{T}$ by 1 standard deviation. We use a fast version of the detector simulation for most of the SUSY points, and the systematic error associated with this procedure is also given in Table II. The last three lines give the final results for the number of events, the overall statistical error, and the overall systematic error. Using a Bayesian method to


FIG. 3 (color online). Exclusion contours at the $95 \%$ C.L. in the ( $m_{\tilde{\mu}} / m_{\tilde{\nu}}, m_{\chi^{+}}$) plane. The top figure is for $\tan \beta=2$, and three values of $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}$, while the bottom figure is for $\tan \beta=5$ and $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09$. We give all contour plots as a function of the sneutrino mass. Because, for any given set of parameters, the sneutrino mass is very close to the smuon mass, the smuon contour plots lie very close to the sneutrino results and are therefore not shown.
calculate the level of exclusion [16], our specific reference point is rejected at the $97.7 \%$ C.L. for $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09$.
To set exclusion contours, we scan the ( $m_{0}, m_{1 / 2}$ ) plane for three values of the coupling constant $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09$, $0.08,0.07$, two values of $\tan \beta=2,5$, all for $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu)=$ -1 . For $\lambda^{\prime} \geq 0.09$, the coupling $100 \lambda^{\prime} / m_{\tilde{d}_{R}}$ is almost completely excluded by earlier experiments [7] in our domain of sensitivity in $m_{\tilde{d}_{R}}$. The resulting exclusion contours at the 95\% C.L. are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 in the ( $m_{0}, m_{1 / 2}$ ) plane. The most interesting feature is the exclusion of $m_{1 / 2}$ values up to $260 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ for $\tan \beta=2$ and $\lambda_{211}^{\prime}=0.09$, and the exclusion of $\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ with masses up to $280 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$.

For low values of $m_{0}$ and $m_{1 / 2}$, the smuon mass is close to the chargino or neutralino mass, the $p_{T}$ spectrum of the muons is soft, and the search is inefficient. For $\mu>0$ and higher values of $\tan \beta$, the sensitivity of our reach is expected to decrease due to the fact that the photino component of the LSP becomes small, resulting in the decrease of the branching fraction of the LSP into muons. In addition, charginos and neutralinos become light, resulting in events with softer muons and jets that fail the kinematic requirements.

To conclude, a search for single smuon and single muon sneutrino production in the mSUGRA model with $R$-parity violation has been performed for the first time at the Tevatron. We exclude $m_{1 / 2}$ values up to 260 GeV (the excluded value of $m_{1 / 2}$ depends on the value of $m_{0}$ ) and sneutrino and smuon masses up to 280 GeV . The excluded domain in the ( $m_{0}, m_{1 / 2}$ ) plane extends the region excluded using the dielectron channel [17].
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