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We have performed a search for the flavor-changing neutral-current decays $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$, where $\ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ is either $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, using a sample of $230 \times 10^{6} \Upsilon(4 S) \rightarrow B \bar{B}$ decays collected with the $B A B A R$ detector. We observe no evidence of a signal and measure the upper limit on the isospin-averaged branching fraction to be $\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)<9.1 \times 10^{-8}$ at $90 \%$ confidence level. We also search for the
lepton-flavor-violating decays $B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ and measure an upper limit on the isospin-averaged branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}\right)<9.2 \times 10^{-8}$ at $90 \%$ confidence level.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.051801
PACS numbers: 13.20.He

In the standard model (SM), the decays $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$, where $\ell^{+} \ell^{-}$is either $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, proceed through $b \rightarrow d \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$flavor-changing neutral-current processes (FCNC) that do not occur at tree level. Three amplitudes contribute at leading order: a photon penguin, a $Z$ penguin, and a $W^{+} W^{-}$box diagram. With highly suppressed SM rates, predicted to be $(3.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$ [1], these decays provide a promising means to search for effects of new flavor-changing interactions. Such effects are predicted in a wide variety of models, usually in the context of $b \rightarrow$ $s \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$decays [2-4]. The $b \rightarrow d \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$decay involves quark-flavor transitions different from $b \rightarrow s \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$and thus its measurement constitutes an independent test for new flavor-changing interactions. An experimentally similar but otherwise unrelated process, the lepton-flavorviolating (LFV) decay $B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$, is forbidden in the SM, but can occur in some models beyond the SM, such as theories involving leptoquarks [4]. Earlier searches by other experiments [5] have reached branching fraction upper limits at the $10^{-3}$ level for the FCNC decay and the $10^{-6}$ level for the LFV decays.

In this Letter we report the findings of a search for the decays $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$and $B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ in $208.9 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of data recorded at the $\Upsilon(4 S)$ resonance, corresponding to $(230.1 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{6} B \bar{B}$ decays. The data were collected with the $B A B A R$ detector [6] at the PEP-II storage ring located at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The event selection criteria are optimized using simulated data and data samples independent of those selected as signal. The signal model used for efficiency evaluation of the $\ell^{+} \ell^{-}$modes uses form factors from [7] and amplitudes from [3]. Calculations of the same type have previously been shown by [8] to describe the kinematic distributions of $B \rightarrow K \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$well. The efficiency of the $e \mu$ event selection is estimated using a 3-body phase space model with QED photon radiative corrections.

We reconstruct signal events by combining two oppositely charged leptons ( $e^{+} e^{-}, \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, or $e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ ) with a pion ( $\pi^{ \pm}$or $\pi^{0}$ ). Electron (muon) candidates are required to have a momentum larger than $0.3(0.7) \mathrm{GeV} / c$. We suppress backgrounds due to photon conversions in the $B \rightarrow \pi e^{+} e^{-}$channels by removing $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs with invariant mass less than $30 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. Bremsstrahlung photons from electrons are recovered if the photon has an energy of $E>30 \mathrm{MeV}$ and a direction within a small angular region around the initial electron momentum vector. The identification of electrons (muons) is about $92 \%$ ( $68 \%$ ) efficient on average with a hadron misidentification rate of less than $1 \%(4 \%)$. Charged pion identification is more than $85 \%$ efficient and has a kaon misidentification
rate of less than $5 \%$. Neutral pions are identified as pairs of photons, each having an energy of at least 50 MeV . The invariant mass of the pair is required to satisfy $115<$ $m_{\gamma \gamma}<150 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$.

Correctly reconstructed $B$ decays produce narrow peaks in the distributions of two kinematic variables: the beamenergy substituted mass, $m_{\mathrm{ES}}=\sqrt{E_{b}^{* 2}-\left|p_{B}^{*}\right|^{2}}$, and $\Delta E=$ $E_{B}^{*}-E_{b}^{*}$. Here, $E_{b}^{*}$ is the beam energy and $E_{B}^{*}\left(p_{B}^{*}\right)$ is the energy (momentum) of the reconstructed $B$ meson, evaluated in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame. For signal events the $m_{\text {ES }}$ distribution is centered at the $B$-meson mass and the $\Delta E$ distribution is centered at zero. The mean and width of these distributions are determined from smearing and shifting the values from simulated signal events according to studies of $B^{+} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+}$and $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \pi^{0}$ events in data control samples and simulations. We find the width of $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ to be $2.5(1.8) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ for the $\pi^{ \pm}\left(\pi^{0}\right)$ modes and widths of $\Delta E$ to be $23,50,20$, and 39 MeV for the $\pi^{ \pm} e^{+} e^{-}, \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}, \pi^{ \pm} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, and $\pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$final states, respectively. For events reconstructed as $e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$, we assume the same mean and width as for the corresponding $e^{+} e^{-}$ modes.

The primary sources of background are random combinations of particles from $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}(q=u, d, s, c)$ and from $Y(4 S) \rightarrow B \bar{B}$ decays. These combinatorial backgrounds typically arise from pairs of semileptonic decays of $B$ and $D^{(*)}$ mesons. Additionally, there is background from events that are peaking in $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ and $\Delta E$ as they have the same topology as signal events. These events include $B \rightarrow J / \psi \pi \quad\left(J / \psi \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right), \quad B^{ \pm} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{ \pm} \quad$ or $\quad B^{ \pm} \rightarrow$ $K^{ \pm} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$(with $K^{ \pm}$misidentified as $\pi^{ \pm}$), and $B \rightarrow \pi h h$ (with two hadrons $h=K^{ \pm}, \pi^{ \pm}$misidentified as muons).

Contributions from $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ processes are reduced by exploiting the difference between the spherical track distribution in $B \bar{B}$ events and the jetlike structure of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ events. We consider events for which the ratio of second to zeroth Fox-Wolfram moments $R_{2}$ is less than 0.5 . Further suppression by a factor of $\sim 45$ is obtained by constructing a Fisher discriminant from the following four quantities [9] defined in the center-of-mass frame: $R_{2}$, $\left|\cos \theta_{\text {thr }}\right|$ where $\theta_{\text {thr }}$ is the angle between the thrust axis of the signal particles and that of the remaining particles in the event, $\left|\cos \theta_{B}\right|$ where $\theta_{B}$ is the angle of the $B$ candidate's momentum vector with respect to the beam axis, and the ratio of second- to zeroth-order Legendre moments [10].

Combinatorial background from $B \bar{B}$ events is reduced by a factor of $\sim 3$ by using a likelihood ratio composed of [9] the missing energy of the event (computed from all charged tracks and neutral energy clusters), the vertex fit
probability of all tracks from the $B$ candidate, the vertex fit probability of the two leptons, and $\cos \theta_{B}$. Missing energy provides the strongest suppression of these events, which typically contain energetic neutrinos from at least two semileptonic $B$ or $D^{(*)}$ meson decays.

We veto events that have a dilepton invariant mass consistent with the $J / \psi$ resonance $\left(2.90<m_{e^{+}} e^{-}<\right.$ 3.20 $\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and $3.00<m_{\mu^{+} \mu^{-}}<3.20 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ ) or with the $\psi(2 S)$ resonance $\left(3.60<m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<3.75 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right)$. For electron modes, the vetoes are applied to $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$computed both with and without bremsstrahlung recovery. When a lepton radiates or is mismeasured, $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$may shift to values below the charmonium mass, with $\Delta E$ shifting downward accordingly. Therefore, we veto events that lie in linearly dependent $\Delta E-m_{\ell \ell}$ bands, whose widths are determined from simulation, similar to the technique applied in [8]. For $e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ modes, we use the same vetoes as for the $e^{+} e^{-}$modes. In modes with muons, in order to veto events with tracks that are consistent with hadronic decays $D \rightarrow K \pi$ or $D \rightarrow \pi \pi$, we require $m_{\ell \ell}$ and $m_{\pi \ell}$ to lie outside the range $1.84-1.89 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ when the $\ell$ is assigned the mass of a $\pi$ or $K$. For the $\pi^{0}$ modes, the range for $m_{\pi \ell}$ is increased to $1.79-1.94 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$.

The events removed by the charmonium vetoes are kinematically similar to signal events and serve as large control samples for studying signal shapes, selection efficiencies, and systematic errors. The branching fraction of $B \rightarrow$ $J / \psi \pi$ is also extracted from the control sample and found to be in agreement with the current world average [11]. We also select a control sample of $B^{+} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+}$events to measure the efficiencies and systematic uncertainties of lepton identification and the Fisher and likelihood selection.

We extract the signal yield by counting events within a signal region defined as $\pm 2 \sigma$ around the mean values of the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ and $\Delta E$ distributions expected for signal events, and comparing observed event counts with estimations of the remaining background in the same region, summarized in Table I.

To determine the peaking background from hadronic $B \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi$ or $B \rightarrow K \pi \pi$ events, we select a control sample where one track is required to pass hadron identification in place of muon identification. This selects hadronic $B$ decays where the remaining track that passes the muon selection is a misidentified hadron. Each event is further
weighted by the probability that one more hadron is misidentified as a muon. The expected contribution to the $B \rightarrow$ $\pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$signal region is extracted from a one-dimensional fit to the distribution of $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ for events that pass the $\Delta E$ signal selection.

Backgrounds from $B$ decays to final states with real leptons are estimated from high-statistics samples of simulated events. $B \rightarrow K \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$events are the largest peaking background component for the $\pi^{+} e^{+} e^{-}$mode, but are shifted towards lower $\Delta E$ than signal and fall outside the signal region. $B \rightarrow \rho \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$events contribute even less, since the reconstructed $B$ mesons are missing a pion. Background from charmonium resonances are found to be negligible.

The expected number of combinatorial background events is extracted from a two-dimensional, unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ and $\Delta E$ in a sideband defined by $5.2<m_{\mathrm{ES}}<5.2724 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and $|\Delta E|<$ $0.25 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, i.e., below the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ value expected for signal $B$ events. The signal-region yield is obtained from extrapolation of this fit into the signal region. This procedure has been validated by studies of simulated background events and data events in the $e \mu$ channel where no signal-like events are expected. The background probability distribution function (PDF) is modeled as the product of an ARGUS function [12] for $m_{E S}$ and an exponential function for $\Delta E$. The slopes and normalization are floating in the fit. Average biases in the background central value and its uncertainty were corrected for, based on a study of a large ensemble of simulated experiments generated from the background PDF obtained from data. The corrections amount to $35 \%$ in the low-statistics $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$channel and $<10 \%$ in all others.

Systematic uncertainties due to the background estimates are summarized in Table I. The uncertainty in the combinatorial-background estimate is determined by varying the fit parameters by $\pm 1 \sigma$ of the best fit. We also consider the effect of using alternative PDF parametrizations on the background estimates, and use the computed differences to bound the systematic uncertainty. Alternatives considered include a PDF that is correlated in $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ and $\Delta E$ via a linear $\Delta E$ dependence in the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ slope parameter, and PDFs for which the $\Delta E$ shape is a linear or quadratic polynomial. For peaking background with real leptons the uncertainty is dominated by limited

TABLE I. Number of background events with associated systematic uncertainties expected in the signal region.

|  | $\pi^{+} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $\pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $\pi^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $\pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $\pi^{+} e \mu$ | $\pi^{0} e \mu$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{ES}}-\Delta E$ fit | $0.84 \pm 0.24$ | $0.43 \pm 0.23$ | $0.90 \pm 0.25$ | $0.23 \pm 0.20$ | $1.55 \pm 0.34$ | $1.22 \pm 0.43$ |
| $m_{\mathrm{ES}}-\Delta E$ correlations | $\pm 0.02$ | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.17$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| $\Delta E$ shape | $\pm 0.02$ | $\pm 0.01$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.02$ | $\pm 0.31$ | $\pm 0.24$ |
| Peaking $\left(\ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)$ | $0.057 \pm 0.016$ | $0.009 \pm 0.003$ | $0.032 \pm 0.008$ | $0.005 \pm 0.001$ | $0.0 \pm 0.001$ | $0.0 \pm 0.001$ |
| Peaking (hadronic) | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $0.027 \pm 0.033$ | $0.035 \pm 0.022$ | $0.0 \pm 0.02$ | $0.0 \pm 0.02$ |
| Total | $0.90 \pm 0.24$ | $0.44 \pm 0.23$ | $0.96 \pm 0.29$ | $0.27 \pm 0.20$ | $1.55 \pm 0.49$ | $1.22 \pm 0.50$ |

knowledge [11] of the branching fractions for these processes, and for hadronic $B$ peaking background the uncertainty is dominated by the control sample statistics from which it is derived.

Systematic uncertainties due to the signal efficiency include charged-particle tracking efficiency ( $0.8 \%$ per lepton, $1.4 \%$ per charged hadron) and identification ( $0.7 \%$ per electron pair, $1.9 \%$ per muon pair, $0.5 \%$ per pion), neutral pion efficiency ( $3 \%$ ), the Fisher and likelihood selection ( $1.4 \%$ for all modes involving electrons, $1.7 \%$ for $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $1.9 \%$ for $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$), and signal simulation statistics $(0.1 \%)$. A systematic uncertainty in signalregion selection efficiency arises from the uncertainty in the mean and width of the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}$ and $\Delta E$ distributions determined from charmonium control samples. This contributes a total uncertainty of $0.7 \%$ for charged modes for which a high-statistics sample of $B^{+} \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+}$events is used, and a total of $7 \%$ uncertainty for neutral modes for which a small statistics sample of $B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi \pi^{0}$ events is used. For the electron modes, we vary the amount of the bremsstrahlung tail in the $\Delta E$ distribution, introducing a systematic uncertainty of $1 \%-1.4 \%$. The number of $B \bar{B}$ events in the data sample is known to a precision of $1.1 \%$. Additional systematic uncertainties for the efficiency result from the choice of the form-factor model and the relative magnitudes of the $b \rightarrow d \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$amplitudes, which affect the distribution of four-momentum transfer $q^{2}=m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}^{2}$ of the signal. We evaluate these systematics from the spread in efficiencies when using alternative form-factor models [13], and when varying the Wilson coefficients in the amplitudes by a factor of $\pm 2$. The former uncertainty varies from $1.1 \%$ for $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} e^{+} e^{-}$to $7.3 \%$ for $B^{0} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$; the latter uncertainty varies from $0.3 \%$ for $B^{0} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$to $1.2 \%$ for $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} e^{+} e^{-}$. For the $e \mu$ modes we use the spread in efficiency when applying two alternative theoretical models for these decays, which amounts to $17 \%$ ( $19 \%$ ) for the $\pi^{ \pm(0)}$ mode. The total systematic uncertainties of the signal efficiencies are $4 \%(9 \%), 6 \%$ ( $11 \%$ ) and $17 \%$ ( $21 \%$ ) for $\pi^{ \pm(0)} e e, \pi^{ \pm(0)} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, and $\pi^{ \pm(0)} e \mu$ modes, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of events from data in the $m_{\mathrm{ES}}-\Delta E$ plane. The rectangles in the plots indicate the signal regions. Three $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$candidates and one $B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ candidate are observed in the signal regions, which is consistent with the expected background. In Table II we calculate the branching fraction upper limits at $90 \%$ confidence level (C.L.) using a frequentist method that takes systematic uncertainties and their correlations into account. We follow the algorithm of [14], but differ from it in that we assume Gaussian distribution truncated at zero for the systematic uncertainties in signal sensitivity and background expectation. We combine modes and determine the $e-\mu$-averaged branching fractions to be $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)<1.2 \times 10^{-7} \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\pi^{0} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)<1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ at $90 \%$ C.L., where charged con-


FIG. 1 (color online). $\quad m_{\mathrm{ES}}-\Delta E$ distributions for events selected in each mode. The rectangles indicate the signal regions.
jugate modes are implied. Defining the isospinaveraged branching fraction $\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right) \equiv \mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\pi^{+} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)=2\left(\tau_{B^{+}} / \tau_{B^{0}}\right) \mathcal{B}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)$, where the different $B$-meson lifetimes $\tau_{B}[11]$ are taken into account, we find the combined upper limit

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)<9.1 \times 10^{-8} \text { at } 90 \% \text { C.L. }
$$

This is about a factor three above the nominal SM prediction [1]. We similarly compute the combined limit for the $e \mu$ modes of

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}\right)<9.2 \times 10^{-8} \text { at } 90 \% \text { C.L. }
$$

In conclusion, we have presented the result of a search for $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$using a sample of $(230.1 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{6} B \bar{B}$ pairs produced at the $\mathrm{Y}(4 S)$ resonance. No excess of events

TABLE II. The observed yields, number of expected background events, signal efficiency, and branching fraction ( $\mathcal{B}$ ) upper limit (U.L.) at $90 \%$ C.L. in units of $10^{-7}$. The upper limits for combined modes are also given.

| Mode | Observed <br> events | Expected <br> background | Signal <br> efficiency | $\mathcal{B}$ U.L. <br> 90\% C.L. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} e^{+} e^{-}$ | 1 | $0.90 \pm 0.24$ | $(7.1 \pm 0.3) \%$ | 1.8 |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ | 0 | $0.44 \pm 0.23$ | $(5.7 \pm 0.5) \%$ | 1.4 |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | 1 | $0.96 \pm 0.29$ | $(4.7 \pm 0.3) \%$ | 2.8 |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | 1 | $0.27 \pm 0.20$ | $(3.1 \pm 0.3) \%$ | 5.1 |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ | 1 | $1.55 \pm 0.49$ | $(6.3 \pm 1.1) \%$ | 1.7 |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ | 0 | $1.22 \pm 0.50$ | $(3.7 \pm 0.8) \%$ | 1.4 |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| $B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ |  |  | 0.91 |  |
| $B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ |  | 0.92 |  |  |

is observed in the signal regions, and at $90 \%$ confidence limit we measure the upper limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)<$ $9.1 \times 10^{-8}$, which is within a factor three of SM expectations. We also measure the upper limit of the lepton-flavorviolating branching fractions to be $\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow \pi e^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}\right)<$ $9.2 \times 10^{-8}$.
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