

# 1 Seasonal and spatial evolution of trihalomethanes in a drinking water 2 distribution system according to the treatment process

3 A. Domínguez-Tello <sup>a,b,c</sup>, A. Arias-Borrego <sup>a,b,c</sup>, T. García-Barrera <sup>a,b,c</sup> \*, J.L. Gómez-Ariza <sup>a,b,c</sup>

4  
5 (a) Department of Chemistry and CC.MM, Faculty of Experimental Science, University of Huelva, Campus de El  
6 Carmen, 21007-Huelva, Spain.

7 (b) International Agrofood Campus of Excellence International CeIA3, University of Huelva, Spain.

8 (c) Research Center of Health and Environment (CYSMA), University of Huelva, Campus de El Carmen, 21007,  
9 Huelva, Spain.

10  
11 (\*) Tamara García-Barrera

12 Email: [tamara.garcia@dqcm.uhu.es](mailto:tamara.garcia@dqcm.uhu.es)

13 Tel. +34 959 219962

14 Fax: +34 959 219942

## 15 **ABSTRACT**

16 This paper comparatively shows the influence of four water treatment processes on the formation of  
17 trihalomethanes (THMs) in a water distribution system. The study was performed from February 2005 to January 2012  
18 with analytical data of 600 samples taken in Aljaraque Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and 16 locations along the water  
19 distribution system (WDS) in the region of Andévalo and the coast of Huelva (southwest Spain), a region with significant  
20 seasonal and population changes. The comparison of results in the four different processes studied indicated a clear link of  
21 the treatment process with the formation of THM along the WDS. The most effective treatment process is preozonation and  
22 activated carbon filtration (P3), which is also the most stable under summer temperatures. Experiments also show low  
23 levels of THMs with the conventional process of preoxidation with potassium permanganate (P4), delaying the chlorination  
24 to the end of the WTP, however this simple and economical treatment process is less effective and less stable than P3. In  
25 this study, strong seasonal variations were obtained (increase of THM from winter- to summer of 1.17 to 1.85 times) and a  
26 strong spatial variation (1.1 to 1.7 times from WTP to end points of WDS) which largely depends on the treatment process  
27 applied. There was also a strong correlation between THMs levels and water temperature, contact time and pH. On the  
28 other hand, it was found that THM formation is not proportional to the applied chlorine dose in the treatment process, but  
29 there is a direct relationship with the accumulated dose of chlorine. Finally, predictive models based on multiple linear  
30 regressions are proposed for each treatment process.

31 **KEYWORDS** Trihalomethanes, water treatment process, distribution system, ozonation, permanganate, disinfection by-  
32 product.

## 33 **1 Introduction**

34 Chlorine and its compounds are the most commonly used disinfectants for water treatment and its popularity is  
35 due to its high oxidizing potential and its high permanence, which provides a minimum level of chlorine residual

36 throughout the distribution system and protects against microbial recontamination (Sadiq and Rodriguez 2004). However,  
37 chlorine reacts with the natural organic matter (NOM) ubiquitous in water to form DBPs (Disinfection by-products) which  
38 are defined as compounds formed from reactions between organic and inorganic matter in water with chemical treatment  
39 agents during the water disinfection process. These compounds can take the form of trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic  
40 acids (HAAs), haloacetonitriles (HANs), haloketones (HKs), haloacetaldehydes (HAs), halonitromethanes (HNMs) and a  
41 host of other halogenated DBPs (Richardson et al. 2007). Trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids are the two most prevalent  
42 classes of DBPs in chlorinated drinking water (Krasner et al. 2006). Traditionally, trihalomethanes have been the most  
43 studied DBPs and have been frequently used as surrogates of these products (Mercier Shanks et al. 2013).

44 Many of these DBPs have been shown to be cytotoxic, genotoxic or cause cancer in laboratory animals, and for  
45 these reasons, they are considered to be of public health concern (Plewa et al. 2002). Some epidemiological studies have  
46 suggested a positive relationship between DBPs exposure and cancer in humans (bladder and colorectal cancers) at a  
47 trihalomethane concentration greater than 50 µg/L (Bove et al. 2007; Villanueva et al. 2007, Rahman et al. 2010, Lee et al.  
48 2013) and adverse reproductive outcomes such as fetal growth retardation, spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, and birth  
49 defects, (Grazuleviciene et al., 2013, Grellier et al., 2010, Levallois et al., 2012, Summerhayes et al., 2012).

50 Identification of disinfection by-products and concern over the possible adverse health effects of these compounds  
51 have promoted considerable research activity in USA and Europe in order to minimize the risk of cancer. The United States  
52 Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Union (98/83/CE)  
53 introduced regulations for THMs in drinking water. In 1979 the USEPA established a maximum concentration of THMs in  
54 drinking water of 100 µg/L. In 1998 the USEPA issued the Stage 1 Disinfectants (D)/DBP Rule, which lowered  
55 permissible levels of THMs to 80 µg/L and regulated for the first time haloacetic acids (HAAs) to 60 µg/L, bromates to 10  
56 µg/L and chlorite to 1000 µg/L. The European Union amended in 2009 the fixed limits for maximum THMs concentration  
57 from 150 to 100 µg/L (98/83/EC; RD140/2003).

58 With stricter regulations for THMs and HAAs, many drinking-water utilities have changed their disinfection  
59 practices to meet the new levels. Frequently, the primary disinfectant is changed from chlorine to the so-called alternative  
60 disinfectant, like ozone. In some cases, chlorine is used as a secondary disinfectant following primary treatment with an  
61 alternative disinfectant, particularly for ozone and chlorine dioxide (Richardson et al. 2007). Chlorine and chloramines  
62 have also been used in conjunction with ozone for this purpose (Badawy et al. 2012).

63 A basic strategy to minimize DPBs is to adapt the potable water treatment for removing organic precursor  
64 compounds. The removal of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is important for maintaining water quality standards,  
65 minimizing concentrations of micropollutants and controlling bacterial regrowth within distribution systems, mainly due to  
66 the elimination of a subcomponent that can act as trihalomethane precursor (Gough et al. 2014; Wen-Hai et al. 2009).

67 On the other hand, THM concentrations can vary significantly throughout the water distribution system (WDS).  
68 Likewise, THM concentrations can be two or six fold higher in tap water than in the treatment plant (Rodríguez and  
69 Sérodes 2001), mainly depending on the reactivity of organic matter in the water, water treatment conditions and contact  
70 time in water mains (Smith et al. 1980). The reactivity of the organic matter changes throughout the year with the lowest  
71 reactivity in winter, increasing in spring and reaching a maximum in autumn (Uyak et al. 2008). Numerous studies have  
72 also demonstrated the influence of operational parameters and water quality in the evolution of THMs in the water  
73 distribution system (organic content, pH, water temperature, bromide ion concentrations, chlorine dose, reaction time, free  
74 residual chlorine) (Chowdhury et al. 2009).

75 In Spain, a country with different climates and heterogeneous quality of water sources, the most used disinfectant  
76 is chlorine (Rodríguez and Sérodes 2004). The Andalusian health regulation (D70/2009) in southern Spain, requires the  
77 presence of free residual chlorine in tap water for consumers from 0.2 to 1 mg/L, to ensure the disinfection of drinking  
78 water, favoring the use of chlorine and training trihalomethanes.

79 In this work we have investigated the influence of four water treatment processes applied in the Aljaraque Water  
80 Treatment Plant (WTP), on the formation of THMs in the water distribution system of the coast of Huelva and “El  
81 Andévalo” area (southwest Spain), from February 2005 to January 2012. The treatment processes studied were:  
82 Conventional treatment with prechlorination (P1), Preoxidation with potassium permanganate, Adsorption with activated  
83 carbon powder and intermediate chlorination (P2), Advanced treatment with preozonation and granular activated carbon  
84 (GAC) filtration (P3) and conventional treatment with potassium permanganate preoxidation (P4).

85 With each of the processes the influence of seasonal variables, operational and water quality, on the formation of  
86 THMs was analyzed, developing a predictive model for each treatment process considering seasonal variation; these  
87 models include the dose of chlorine as sum of dosages of chlorine added to the treated water and subsequent re-  
88 chlorinations. This study shows the great influence of the treatment process on the formation on THMs in water DS and its  
89 spatial and temporal variation.

## 90 2. Materials and methods

### 91 2.1. Description of the Water Treatment Plant and Distribution System.

92 The area of study is the distribution system located at “El Andévalo” on the coast of Huelva (southwest Spain) and  
93 the water treatment plant located at Aljaraque (Alj WTP), a village at 8.6 km from Huelva (Fig. 1). The area is subjected to  
94 important climatic variations during the year, with water temperatures in the range of 5-28 °C. There is also a significant  
95 variation in population during the summer, under the influence of tourism in coastal areas, rising from 67,000 to 160,000  
96 people.

97 Another feature of the distribution system is the long distance between the origin of the treated water (WTP) and  
98 the last point of consumption (86 Km), which causes high residence times in the supply networks and reservoirs, especially  
99 in winter when the consumption is lower. In the studied distribution system there are three sub-systems (areas supplied by  
100 the same water supply infrastructure) with corresponding re-chlorinations (Legay 2011). The combination of these  
101 characteristics constitutes an interesting and challenging aspect of this study.

102 The capacity of Alj WTP is 47,500 m<sup>3</sup>/day of drinking water, which supplies sixteen townships of “El Andévalo”  
103 and Huelva coast. The plant was built in 1990 using a conventional treatment process: prechlorination, coagulation,  
104 flocculation, sedimentation (CFS), rapid sand filtration and post-chlorination. This conventional process plant was modified  
105 in 2008 in order to remove triazines and reduce THM levels in water including adsorption with activated carbon powder,  
106 preoxidation with potassium permanganate, CFS, sand filtration, and post-chlorination. In May 2009 Alj WTP was  
107 modified to adopt advanced treatment processes, which were more versatile allowing different treatment options that  
108 include oxidation with potassium permanganate or ozone, powdered activated carbon, re-mineralization with carbon  
109 dioxide and calcium hydroxide, CFS, rapid sand filtration, intermediate ozonation and granular activated carbon (GAC).

110 In the present study the following treatment procedures were tested: (Fig. 2)

111 P1: February 2005 to July 2007 (Fig. 2.1): Prechlorination (22-45 mg/L NaClO), Coagulation (20-60 mg/L  
112 polyaluminium chloride 10 % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), Flocculation (0.1 mg/L anionic polyacrylamide), Sedimentation (Pulsator), Rapid  
113 Sand Filtration and disinfection (NaClO).

114 P2: March 2008 to April 2009 (Fig. 2.2): Preoxidation (0.3-0.7 mg/L  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ), Adsorption (3-8 mg/L Powdered  
115 Activated Carbon), Coagulation (18-50 mg/L polyaluminium chloride 10 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac),  
116 Sedimentation (Pulsator), intermediate chlorination ( $\text{NaClO}$ ), Rapid Sand Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ). To prevent  
117 the formation of algae in tanks and filters, sodium hypochlorite was applied to the output of the clarifier until a  
118 concentration of 0.5 mg/L free chlorine

119 P3: May 2009 to April 2010 (Fig. 2.3): Pre-ozonation (1-2.5 mg/L  $\text{O}_3$ ), Coagulation (15-45 mg/L polyaluminium  
120 chloride 18 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac), intermediate chlorination ( $\text{NaClO}$ ), sedimentation (Pulsator),  
121 rapid and Filtration, Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ). To avoid the formation of  
122 algae at the Pulsator clarifier and filters intermediate chlorination is applied, maintaining 0.5 mg/L chlorine residual at the  
123 output of clarifier.

124 P4: February 2011 to January 2012 (Fig. 2.4): Preoxidation (0.3-0.7 mg/L  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ), Coagulation (15-45 mg/L  
125 polyaluminium chloride 18 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac), Sedimentation (Pulsator), Rapid Sand  
126 Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ).

127 Sodium hypochlorite was used as a disinfectant in the four treatment processes, applying a dose of 0.8 to 1.2 mg/L  
128 of free residual. The treated water was sent to the Alj WTP reservoir, afterwards the water was pumped to the reservoir  
129 tanks and the intermediate regulator deposits. The distribution system was divided into four sub-systems with intermediate  
130 and final reservoirs, all with rechlorination to maintain free residual chlorine at the tap water, within the range levels  
131 required by regulation (D70/2009).

## 132 2.2. Sampling campaign

133 **Database 1:** Consecutive monthly sampling campaigns were carried out between Feb 2005 and Jan 2012 in 16 locations  
134 along the distribution system (Fig1). The main objective of the use of this database was to investigate the spatial and  
135 seasonal variation of THMs in the water distribution system and its relation to the treatment process applied. For each  
136 treatment process 86 samples were taken (56 distribution system, 14 treated water, 14 raw water) from Feb 05 to Jul 07  
137 with P1 treatment process, Mar 08 to Apr 09 with P2; May 09 to Apr 10 with P3 and Feb 11 to Jan 12 with P4 treatment  
138 process. In summary, a total of 336 samples were collected [(56+14+14) x4=336 samples].

139 The sample points were selected using the following criteria: The selected points should be uniformly distributed  
140 along the network to represent the entire range of residence times observed upstream from the reservoir; they should all be  
141 supplied by the same main pipe or by secondary pipes supplied by this main pipe; the points should be selected in the  
142 network sectors where hydraulic conditions were relatively stables. Moreover, these points must always be accessible  
143 during the same day of the week, approximately at the same time. These sample points should be supplied exclusively by  
144 the reservoir and to represent a short and a long residence time from the outlet of the reservoir (Chanks et al. 2013; Simard  
145 et al. 2011). In response to these different criteria 2-4 sampling points in each location were chosen along the distribution  
146 system (reservoirs and local networks). To minimize errors associated with the residence time variable, sampling points  
147 chosen corresponding to sectors where hydraulic conditions were relatively stable. Sampling was done on the same day of  
148 the week and at the same time of the day to minimize variations due to consumer demand for water.

149 **Database 2:** Similarly monthly sampling campaigns were carried out between Jan 2007 and Jul 2012 in 3 reservoirs and  
150 network points of the distribution system “San Bartolomé” (SB), “Tharsis” (TH) and “Cabezas Rubias” (CR), located at 34,  
151 55 and 71 km respectively from Alj WTP (measured as real distance pipeline). In each sampling monthly campaign 11  
152 samples were taken (2 samples of the treated water, and 3 samples of each reservoir SB, TH, CR). The samples taken were

1 from the exit pipe of the reservoir and 2 at sampling points along the network closest to the reservoir. Samples were collected for six months for each process. Therefore, a total of 264 samples were collected (6x11x4=264 samples).

The aim of this database 2 was to investigate the factors affecting THM formation and develop models in order to adequately consider the THMs variations within WDS. For the statistical analysis, samples were grouped considering the seasonality: 1) Higher Range Temperature (HRT) taken from May to October, with water temperature in the range of 19.3-28.7°C and 2) Lower Range Temperature (LRT) taken during January to April, November and December with water temperature in the range of 9.1-19.3°C.

All samples (database 1 and 2) were sampled following the same pattern: the samples were taken once a month, in the first week of each month, the same day each week, with the same sampling route and the same time assuring the stability of the hydraulic conditions and the representativeness of the samples in the studied system.

Samples were taken at the tap of each sample point after a flow of cold tap water for about 5 minutes to ensure the representativeness of the sample. Temperature, pH, turbidity, conductivity and residual chlorine, were measured “in situ” while dissolved organic carbon, and trihalomethanes species were determined in the laboratory.

For measuring THMs duplicate samples were collected in 125 mL amber glass bottles with teflon-lined screw caps. Bottles were filled completely leaving no headspace; 1.5 mL of a sodium thiosulfate solution 0.1 M was added to each bottle to eliminate any remaining residual chlorine and to stop further THM formation. The samples were stored at 4°C and analyzed within 2 days after collection. If the time between sample collection and analysis exceeded 2 days, samples were stored at -20 °C during a maximum period of 30 days. To ensure the accuracy associated with sample collection, preservation, transport to the laboratory and storage, as well as laboratory procedures were maintained throughout the study period.

During each campaign (database 1 and 2), the following operational parameters of the treatment plant and distribution system were collected: reagent doses applied in WTP, treatment flow, disinfectant dose in re-chlorination, flow rate, water consumption. These data were used to calculate the operational variables.

### 2.3. Analytical methods

Free residual chlorine concentrations were analyzed using DPD (N,N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine) colorimetric method (Standard Method 4500-Cl-G) using a Hanna photometer HI-93711. Turbidity was measured with a HACH 2100P turbidimeter. Water pH was measured with a Metrohm 744 pH-meter equipped with a gel-filled electrode, and conductivity with a Crison CM35 conductivimeter. DOC concentration was determined using a TOC-5000 Shimadzu analyzer, according to the EPA Standard Method 5310C after filtering the samples through 2 µm glass microfiber filter.

#### 2.3.1. Analysis of trihalomethanes

Headspace-solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) technique and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis has been successfully applied to the analysis of THMs in water (Lara-Gonzalo et al. 2008; Antoniou et al. 2006; San Juan et al. 2007; Stack et al. 2000; Valencia et al. 2013, Maia et al. 2014). The analytical method used in this work and the optimization of extraction conditions were based on a previous reported procedure with some modifications (Milton-Moreano et al. 2012; Cho, D.-H., et al. 2003). The extraction was carried out using a carboxen / polydimethylsiloxane fiber (CAR/PDMS 85 µm) purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Before use, each fiber was exposed to injector port of GC under a helium flow and conditioned at 250 °C for 30 minutes to remove contaminants and to stabilize the solid phase. For HS-SPME extraction, 2 ml of sample was transferred to sample vials of 4 ml with a magnetic bar, 250 µl of saturated sodium chloride salt and internal standard (IS) solution. The samples were sealed using a screw cap,

192 containing a PTFE-faced rubber septum. The analytes were extracted at 40 °C for 30 minutes with stirring speed of 250  
193 rpm. When the extraction was complete, the fiber was immediately introduced into the GC injection port to 270 °C during 4  
194 minutes for desorption.

195 The THMs were analyzed into a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph coupled to an ion trap mass spectrometer  
196 Varian Saturn 2000 MS (Varian, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The analytical column was a DB-5ms 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm  
197 (Agilent Technologies). The GC temperature program was 40 °C for 4 minutes, 10 °C/min to 120 °C and hold for 1.5 min,  
198 25 °C/min to 250 °C and hold for 5 min. The total run time was 23.7 min.

199 The injection was conducted in the split mode with a split ratio of 1:10 for 3 min at 220 °C. Helium gas was used  
200 as carrier gas at a constant pressure of 29 kPa and constant flow rate of 1 ml / min. The source and transfer line  
201 temperatures at 250 °C and 180 °C respectively. Electron ionization mass spectra were recorded in scan mode using the  
202 m/z 29-300 at 3.5 scan per s. The ions selected (SIM mode) for identification and quantification of THMs were: CHCl<sub>3</sub>: 47,  
203 83, 85, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br: 83, 85, 129, CHClBr<sub>2</sub>: 79, 127, 129, CHBr<sub>3</sub>: 171, 173, 175 and IS 1,2-dibromopropane: 42, 121, 123  
204 (SIM mode). Each compound was quantified by comparing the relative area of internal standard (IS) to the target ion.

205 Standard solution of THM mixture (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br, CHClBr<sub>2</sub> and CHBr<sub>3</sub>) containing each compound at 100 µg/  
206 µL MeOH were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid Spain) Standard stock and Intermediate solutions at 20 µg/ml and  
207 200 µg/L, respectively were obtained by the dilution of stock standard solution with methanol (Merk Darmstadt, Germany).  
208 Working solutions of THMs were diluted using ultrapure water (18 MΩcm) from a Milli-Q water-purification system  
209 (Millipore, Watford, UK). The internal standard (IS) solution (1,2-dibromopropane) was prepared in methanol at 5 mg/L to  
210 achieve a final concentration of 5 µg/L.

211 For validation of the method the linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), repeatability,  
212 reproducibility and recovery extraction were determined. The linearity of the method was evaluated over standard solutions  
213 prepared with ultrapure water spiked with concentration range from 5 to 100 µg/L of each THMs. The linearity was  
214 examined by plotting calibration curves of the relative area (ratio of the peak areas of the THMs to the peak areas of the  
215 internal standard) versus the concentration of each analyte. Overall, linearity was very good along the whole evaluated  
216 range with (R<sup>2</sup>) ranging from 0.990 to 0.999. The detection and quantification limits were calculated with the data  
217 generated in the linearity studies, as the analyte concentration that corresponds to a signal equal to “a+3Sy” and  
218 “a+10Sy/x” respectively where “a” is the origin ordinate, and “Sy/x” indicates the random error for the slopes. The LOD  
219 were: 1.3, 0.8, 1.1 and 0.9 µg/L and LOQ: 4.2, 2.5, 3.6 and 3.0 µg/L for CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br, CHClBr<sub>2</sub> and CHBr<sub>3</sub>  
220 respectively.

221 The precision of the method was evaluated by determination of the repeatability and reproducibility analyzing  
222 ultrapure water samples spiked with 5 µg/L of each THMs and presented as relative standard deviations (RSDs). The  
223 repeatability was studied by analyzing seven water samples in one day. The values range of repeatability (RSD) were 6-9  
224 %. The reproducibility refers to the analysis of 12 samples over 3 different days. The value range of reproductibility  
225 obtained was 7-12% (RSD%) The recoveries from spiked samples (% REC, n=5; THM of 5, 50, 100 and 150 µg/L) were  
226 88.9, 91.7, 99.2 and 108.2 % respectively.

### 227 2.3.2. Quality assurance and quality control.

228 Before analyzing samples from each sampling campaign (monthly), the quality of the analytical method was  
229 controlled by introducing four external standards with different concentrations of THMs, verifying the consistency of the  
230 results obtained with the parameters of the analytical method validation (RSD<9 %) and the linearity (R<sup>2</sup>>0.99). All THM



231 concentrations were determined with two replicates samples, checking the precision of the measurements. Blanks were also  
232 used for background correction and checking of other sources of error. At each sampling campaign three white field and three  
233 white spiked with 20 µg/L of each THM were analyzed and were subtracted from the analytical results of THMs in water  
234 samples, to remove the contribution of contamination in laboratory and sampling process.

#### 235 **2.4. Statistical analyses**

236 Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were carried out to determine whether the differences observed between the  
237 average concentrations of DBPs in the different sub-groups of samples, grouped by season, treatment process and spatial  
238 localization were statistically significant. Pearson correlation was conducted to evaluate the statistically significant  
239 variables of the system at the 95 % significance. In order to predict the formation of THMs according the treatment  
240 processes used, four statistical models were developed. For model development, multiple regression analysis was  
241 performed using Minitab 15 software (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA, 2007). The results from the experimental  
242 were compared with the predicted value for the model validation.

### 243 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 244 **3.1. THMs presence at WTP and Distribution Systems**

245 Alj WTP treats the raw water of the Chanza Reservoir. [Table 1](#) summarizes the chemical characteristics of this  
246 water. Raw water used in the treatment processes was taken from Piedras Canal, and comes from Chanza Reservoir. DOC  
247 level was 5.69 mg/L, (min/max: 2.15 to 12.2 mg/L), and the value of UV absorbance at 254 nm was 0.112 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Specific  
248 UV absorbance (SUVA) was 1.58 L/m mg estimated by the ratio of UV absorbance relative to the concentration of DOC  
249 (UV254\*100/DOC). Frequently bromide levels were moderately low (175.15 µg/L), although occasionally measured peak  
250 values in summer were higher than 315 µg/L. The total trihalomethanes formation potential was 145.52 µg/L (min/max:  
251 127.26 to 158.3 µg/L).

252 Absorbance in the ultraviolet range is directly related to hydrophobic/aromatic content of natural organic matter  
253 (NOM) ([Iriarte-Velasco et al. 2007](#)). The SUVA was 1.59 and denotes medium aromatic of NOM contained in the raw  
254 water. The concentrations of THMs in the treated water in each process are shown in [Table 2](#) and [Fig. 3](#). THMs mean  
255 values in the distribution system are shown in [Table 3](#) and [Fig. 4](#). As we can see in [Table 2](#), the lowest concentrations of  
256 THMs are obtained with the process P3 which ranged from 20.58 to 57.6 µg/L with a mean value of 40.94 µg/L. In this  
257 process, ozone oxidizes the organic matter contained in the raw water, removing most of the organic precursors and  
258 preventing their reaction in the subsequent treatment. Likewise, the addition of sodium hypochlorite during the  
259 sedimentation process does not affect significantly the formation of THMs. Finally, GAC stage helps the stability of the  
260 treatment, maintaining low THM values at WTP treated water.

261 With the treatment process P2, the raw water organic matter was oxidized with potassium permanganate, and  
262 activated carbon powder was applied as a coadjuvant of CFS. The use of powdered activated carbon as coadjuvant of CFS  
263 (dose: 10-50 mg/L) favors removal of organic matter, THM and allows coagulant dose reduction ([Álvarez-Uriarte et al.  
264 2010](#)) KMnO<sub>4</sub> and PAC adsorption, can enhance the performance of conventional water treatment processes in removing  
265 DBP precursors ([Wenhai Chu et al. 2012](#)). Preoxidation with ozone or with potassium permanganate benefited the  
266 subsequent coagulation process. Their efficacies were influenced by the oxidant dosage and water quality ([Chen et al.  
267 2007](#)). The results show that using P2 sedimentation process is improved due to the 12 % reduction of the coagulant. Also  
268 CHCl<sub>3</sub> (TCM) decreased using P2, favored by the application of activated carbon powder. However, the decrease of total

269 THM (TTHM) is not significant compared to P4, probably because the dosage of activated carbon is low, and the effect of  
270 chlorination intermediate, by the reaction of chlorine with organic precursors not oxidized in the preoxidation with  
271 permanganate.

272 The process P4 is the simplest and cheapest method, which is based on a preoxidation with potassium  
273 permanganate without using chlorine during the treatment process. The chlorine is applied to the treated water, for  
274 disinfection before distribution system. Despite its simplicity, this process has been successfully applied, with low values of  
275 THM at the effluent of the WTP and distribution system, but on the contrary, it has the disadvantage of a high dispersion  
276 thorough out the distribution system, especially in the summer, as well as an increased proliferation of algae at the Pulsator  
277 clarifier, requiring a periodic cleaning.

278 The conventional process P1, was used in Alj WTP prior to 2008, in this case THMs concentrations obtained were  
279 high (average and maximum values of 112.31 and 146  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) due to the direct application of chlorine to the organic matter  
280 in raw water, where THMs are formed as soon as chlorine is added to water. During the subsequent processes of  
281 sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection, DBPs were formed through a reaction of residual chlorine with precursors in  
282 water. Under the conditions studied, the process P1 is not effective to meet the current regulatory requirements (<100  $\mu\text{g/L}$   
283 TTHM from 2009).

284 On the other hand, the formation of THM species is presented in Tables 2 and 3. In the treated waters outside the  
285 Alj WTP, before distribution,  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (TCM) was the predominant THM compound, representing averaged percentages of  
286 51.5 % (57.84  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 56.2 % (23.48  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 36.41 % (21.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), and 35.27 % (14.44  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) with processes P1, P4, P2, and  
287 P3 respectively. With all the treatment processes,  $\text{CHBrCl}_2$  (BDCM) was found to be the most important brominated THM  
288 but the levels were lower than the maximum acceptable level recommended by the WHO (60  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), mainly for P3 (12.6  
289  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and P4 (11.7  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Lower average levels of  $\text{CHBr}_2\text{Cl}$  (DBCM) were found at P1, P2, P3 and P4: 19.51 % (21.91  
290  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 29.11 % (17.04  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 29.9 % (12.24  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and 12.66 % (5.29  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) respectively. Therefore,  $\text{CHBr}_3$  (TBM) was not  
291 found using the processes P1, P2 and P4.

292 During the chlorination process, bromine as well as chlorine tends to react with NOM to form organo-bromine by-  
293 products. This fact indicates that both bromine-NOM interactions during ozonation and bromine/chlorine-NOM interaction  
294 during chlorination play a very important role in controlling the formation of bromate or halogenated DBPs in water  
295 treatment (Teksoy et al. 2008).

296 The lowest values of TCM, BDCM and DBCM were determined with P3 (preozonation using GAC filtration),  
297 which appears to be the most effective process to remove brominated THM precursors. This fact is probably due to the  
298 adsorption capacity of GAC, which reduces the aliphatic groups responsible for the brominated THMs formation.

299 The distribution of THM species at the water supply was comparable to the treated water outside Alj WTP, but the  
300 levels are slightly higher. Table 3 and Fig. 4 show that the lowest average values of THM in the distribution system were  
301 obtained with the P4 (48.8  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) but with higher dispersion (22.3-98.95  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). The process with less variability was P3  
302 (40.7-82.4  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Likewise, there was an increase of brominated species in the water supply. The greatest increase of  
303 brominated species was observed with P3 process (48.9 %); this may be due to increased pH and bromide in water, due to  
304 the dosage of sodium hypochlorite used for disinfection and subsequent re-chlorinations (Garcia-Villanova et al. 2010, Lin  
305 et al. 2014, Roccaro et al. 2014).

### 306 3.2. Seasonal Variations of THMs



307 High temperatures will generally increase the rate of reaction, so water temperature was significantly correlated  
308 with the ratio of THMs. In addition to the direct effects of temperature, seasonal variations in the characteristics and  
309 composition of organic precursors have also been found to be significant (Brown et al. 2011). The THM occurrence in  
310 urban distribution systems is highly variable with the ambient temperature, but also with the localization. THMs increase  
311 about 1.5 to 2 times from the effluent of the plant to the end. Moreover, when water temperature exceeds 15 °C, spatial  
312 THM variations are particularly high (from 2 to 4 times higher). However, it is difficult to identify the effect of each  
313 operational and water quality parameter on THM occurrence in water utilities, due to the interaction between parameters  
314 (Rodriguez and Serodes 2001).

315 A previous study carried out at the Buyukcekmece water treatment plant in Istanbul City, which use conventional  
316 treatment, showed that THM concentrations vary significantly between finished waters and water at the distribution  
317 network. When water temperature exceeds 24 °C in summer, the THM levels are particularly 1.2 to 1.8 times higher than  
318 finished water, while when water temperature is below 15 °C in the spring and autumn, the measured THM concentrations  
319 at the distribution system were very rarely higher than 100 µg/L (Toroz and Uyack 2005). Equally, a study of Karoom  
320 River water, showed that THM formation potential (THMFP) levels vary significantly from one season to another; when  
321 water temperature exceeds 26 °C in summer, THMFP levels are particularly 1.2 to 1.6 times higher than spring season.  
322 (Fooladvand et al. 2011). Most of the distribution systems studied showed THM seasonal variation between cold and warm  
323 months (Summerhayes et al. 2011, Lee et al. 2013, Charisiadis et al. 2015, Scheili et al. 2015, Uyak et al. 2014), but some  
324 papers did not find any correlation between water temperature and THMs content (El-Shahat et al. 1998; Gallard and Von-  
325 Gunten 2002).

326 In this study, as can be seen in Fig. 5, significant seasonal variations of THMs occurred in the finished water of  
327 Alj WTP with the four processes studied. Levels of THMs were higher during summer and lower in winter. Indeed, the  
328 average levels of THMs measured in the summer months at the distribution system were from 1.17 to 1.85 times higher  
329 than the average levels in the winter months, while the temperature varied from 24.7 °C in summer to 14.3 °C in winter. It  
330 was also observed that the seasonal variations of the water quality can be influenced by the treatment process. Likewise,  
331 while using the processes P1, P2 and P3, THM concentrations increased (1.21, 1.22 and 1.17 times, respectively) from  
332 winter to summer, the seasonal variation is higher with P4 (1.85 times higher in summer). As can be observed, the lowest  
333 seasonal variation occurred with the process P3, which can be related to the better efficiency of organic precursors removal  
334 (ozonation+GAC) of this process against that is used in P4. However, lower THMs concentrations were measured with the  
335 four treatment processes in winter than in summer.

336 On the other hand, we applied ANOVA to the TTHM levels measured during summer and winter and, the results  
337 indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the means of TTHM in both seasonal periods.

### 338 3.3. Spatial Variations of THMs

339 In this study the influence of the distance (measured in kilometers of pipeline) from sampling points to the Alj.  
340 WTP on the formation of THMs were analyzed. As can be observed in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 a positive correlation was been  
341 found between THMs concentration and distance to the Alj WTP, which depends on the treatment process. The results  
342 show that in all treatment processes studied, there are considerable differences between the concentration of THMs in the  
343 treatment plant and THMs at the ends of the distribution system. The formation of THMs in the WTP represents about 90.1

344 %, 65.5 %, 58.2 %, and 69.9 % (P1-P4 respectively) of the THMs found at the end of the distribution system, while the rest  
345 was formed in the pipelines and reservoirs.

346 Depending on the treatment process, average THM levels were from 1.1 to 1.7 times higher at the ends of the  
347 system than in the effluent of the WTP. The range of THMs (span between minimal and maximal values) was lower using  
348 P3. The highest range concentrations of THMs were found in the sampling points located at the ends (North And), in  
349 summer.

350 Applying ANOVA on the distribution of concentrations of TTHM in Coast and “North Andévalo” with the four  
351 processes studied (normal:  $p=0.071>0.05$ ), the existence of significant differences were demonstrated ( $p<0.005$ ) between  
352 the means of TTHM concentration of both groups.

353 The increase of the THMs concentration with the distance may be due to several factors, especially the contact  
354 time, which reaches high levels in the distribution system. It can also significantly affect the addition of hypochlorite in  
355 successive re-chlorination for water disinfection and to maintain the residual chlorine concentration required by  
356 regulations.

### 357 **3.4. Factors affecting THM formation**

#### 358 **3.4.1. Influence of chlorine dose used in the WTP**

359 In this paper we have also analyzed the influence of chlorine dose applied in each treatment process concerning  
360 the formation of THMs in treated water. With conventional treatment process P1 the preoxidation was directly on the raw  
361 water using a high dose of chlorine to maintain 0.5 mg/L of residual chlorine in the decanter. Then in the second stage was  
362 dosed sodium hypochlorite for disinfecting the finished water prior to distribution. Mean total dose of chlorine applied P1  
363 was 5.23 mg/L, resulting in high concentrations of THM (average of 112.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). With the treatment process P2, organic  
364 matter was initially oxidized with  $\text{KMnO}_4$  avoiding chlorine dosage in the first treatment stage, chlorine was dosed into the  
365 decanter to prevent the formation of algae and in the treated water for disinfection prior to distribution. The average dose  
366 used in P2 was 4.66 mg/L. THMs concentrations obtained were lower than P1 (P2 average 58.53  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). In the treatment  
367 process P3, organic matter was oxidized with ozone, chlorine was dosed into the decanter and at treated water for  
368 disinfection prior to distribution. The average total dose of chlorine applied in P3 was 4.63 mg/L and the lowest of THMs  
369 (40.94  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) concentrations were obtained. Finally, in the process P4, organic matter is oxidized with  $\text{KMnO}_4$  avoiding  
370 chlorine dosing during treatment until the final disinfecting before distribution reservoir. Finally, low THMs concentrations  
371 are also obtained with P4 (average: 41.78  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) with an average chlorine dose 2.63 mg/L (Table 4). In the four treatment  
372 processes studied we obtained significant differences of THMs applying similar doses of chlorine. Thus we proved the  
373 nonexistence of correlation between the dose of chlorine in WTP and THMs formation. It was found that the dose of  
374 chlorine in the WTP has less influence on the formation of THMs than the type of oxidation process and chlorine dosing  
375 point.

376 Then, we can conclude that the most effective way to minimize DBP occurrence in drinking water distribution  
377 systems is to remove organic matter before the first chlorination point. This strategy has the advantage of favoring the  
378 control and effectiveness of disinfection downstream and reducing DBP formation, with the associated potential health  
379 risks. According to the above described results, we can suggest that preoxidation of organic matter with non-chlorine  
380 compounds may reduce the occurrence of THMs during the treatment process, as well as a delay of chlorine injection to the  
381 last stage would also contribute.

382 Then, the process P3 (ozonation + CAG) generates the lowest concentration of THMs due to its effective removal  
383 of the organic matter before chlorination, and good results are also obtained with P4 process (KMnO<sub>4</sub>) in which chlorine  
384 injection is delayed.

### 385 3.4.2. Influence and correlation of temperature, pH, DOC and chlorine dose

386 Numerous studies based on field data have demonstrated the influence of operational parameters and water quality  
387 in the evolution of THMs concentration in the distribution system. Studies based on field data are more realistic, however  
388 have limitations due to the difficulty of measuring some parameters and the difficulty of analyzing simultaneously the  
389 changes in the water quality and operational variable occurring in the "real scenario" affected by the conditions of  
390 distribution systems and specially for the treatment processes. Due to the interaction of these and other factors, the results  
391 of studies based on field data do not always match well.

392 Most of studies reported a significant positive correlation of temperature and DOC in the formation of TTHM.  
393 Using Pearson correlation a moderate positive correlation (r: 0.520) and a strong positive correlation (r: 0.927) was  
394 reported by [Toroz and Uyack et al \(2005\)](#), respectively. In the same way [Liu et al \(2015\)](#) found higher variations of THMs  
395 in water at high temperature. Similarly, many studies establishes that water temperature can promote the reaction of  
396 trihalomethanes, although some authors have observed lower correlations with the temperature (r: 0.441) and DOC (r:  
397 0.585), although a higher correlation was found between the residence time and the formation of THMs, especially TCM (r:  
398 0.89) with a nonlinear relationship ([El-Shafy and Grünwald 2000](#)).

399 It has been shown that increases in pH can increase the formation of THMs. [Chowdhury and Champagne \(2008\)](#)  
400 identified pH, temperature and reaction time as significant for THMs formation. [Fooladvand et al. \(2011\)](#) found a  
401 remarkable effect of alkaline pH on THMs formation. A positive correlation has also been found between chlorine dose and  
402 THMs formation. [Abdullah M.P. et al \(2009\)](#) found that a high pH value could increase the THM level. Using Pearson  
403 correlation, a low but significant relationship (r: 0.285) was found between pH and the formation of THM. High pH levels  
404 increase the hydrolysis rate of the THMs precursors and increase the rate of reaction with chlorine. Increasing pH from 6 to  
405 8.5 promote significantly the formation of TTHM. On the other hand, it has also been found a high between pH and the  
406 dose of chlorine. TTHM concentration increases with increasing dose of chlorine, but the change is not directly  
407 proportional to the applied dose of chlorine. ([Fooladvand et al.2011](#); [El-Dib and Rizkak 1995](#)).

408 Recently response surface methodology (RSM) has been employed in several studies at the laboratory scale to  
409 investigate the effect and the interaction between different parameters on THMs and other DBPs formation during water  
410 disinfection. Studies developed through laboratory experiments have been found to be more reliable than field-scale study  
411 because they employ controlled conditions where effects of a particular parameter can be determined by keeping the other  
412 parameter constants or by performing factorial analyses ([Chowdhury et al. 2009](#), [Bezerra et al. 2008](#)). Studies based on  
413 laboratory have clear advantages but not always reflect the changes that occur in the "real scenario" of the WTP and its  
414 distribution system. Therefore both types of studies can be complementary to evaluate the factors affecting the formation of  
415 THMs.

416 [Doederer K et al. \(2014\)](#) applied RSM and concluded that increased temperatures led to higher formation of  
417 THMs, during chlorination, pH was identified as the major influencing factor for most DBP formation, except for TCM for  
418 which the reaction time was the most significant factor. Chlorination at elevated pH led to an increased formation of the  
419 four THMs. [Watson K, et al. \(2015\)](#) studied 18 synthetic waters of differing characteristics found that DOC was the  
420 predominant factor in the formation of TCM. Formation of fully chlorinated DBPs was highly dependent on DOC

421 concentration at the point of disinfection, with high DOC levels being correlated to high chlorinated DBP formation. Molar  
422 yield of THM increased with DOC, bromide and chlorine concentration. [Platikanov et al. \(2010\)](#) found that higher DOM  
423 fraction concentration led to higher THM concentration, an increase of pH corresponding to higher concentration levels of  
424 chloroform and reduced bromoform, higher levels of chlorine dose and temperature produced a rise in the total THM  
425 formation, especially of the chlorinated THMs; higher bromide concentration generates higher concentrations of  
426 brominated THMs.

427 Our study was performed with field data. THM formation was evaluated at three distribution system reservoirs:  
428 San Bartolomé (SB), Tharsis (TH) and Cabezas Rubias (CR) located at 34, 55 and 71 km respectively from Alj WTP. The  
429 samples are grouped considering the seasonality: 1) HRT taken from May to October, with water temperature in the range  
430 of 19.3-28.7 °C and 2) LRT taken during January, February, March, April, November and December with water  
431 temperature in the range of 9.1-19.3 °C.

432 The flow diagram for the system studied was WTP ► SB Reservoir ► TH Reservoir ► CR Reservoir. There is  
433 automatic re-chlorination with automatic equipment for measuring residual chlorine and automatic dosing of sodium  
434 hypochlorite in each reservoir, which ensures the concentration of free residual chlorine in the water reservoir within the  
435 value range assigned (chlorine values of 1-1.2 mg/L maintaining chlorine range of 0.2-0.8 mg/L in the consumers tap, as  
436 required by regulation). Statistical analysis using Pearson correlation showed no correlation ( $r: 0.17-0.23$ ) between chlorine  
437 dose applied to each rechlorination process and the presence of THMs in water distribution system; however a clear  
438 correlation with the total chlorine applied (sum of doses of chlorine added) was observed ( $r: 0.578-0.865$ ).

439 After that, using Pearson correlation method we have assessed the influence and correlation of the accumulated  
440 dose (D) of disinfectant chlorine applied in treatment and re-chlorination ( $D = \text{sum of doses of chlorine added output from}$   
441  $\text{the WTP and subsequent re-chlorinations to the sampling point}$ ), contact time in the distribution system (t) temperature (T),  
442 DOC and pH of the water on the formation of THMs in distribution system of Alj WTP in the samples collected at SB, TH  
443 and CR reservoirs, with the two groups of temperatures studied, HRT and LRT according to the water temperature. ([Table](#)  
444 [5 and 6](#)). The statistical analysis showed a very good positive correlation between the temperature and TTHM with the  
445 highest incidence at high temperature range (HRT: 19.3 to 28.7°C). We have also found a direct positive relation between  
446 the water pH and the formation of THM with the four studied processes. On the other hand, re-chlorination favors the  
447 increase of water pH ( $r: 0.545-0.932$ ) and finally, THM concentration was also found to be positively correlated with the  
448 accumulated dose of chlorine by successive re-chlorination effect.

449 By contrast, a moderate correlation of organic matter such as DOC with THM formation has been found, but there  
450 is a certain correlation between the decrease in DOC and the accumulated doses of chlorine, which is more important at  
451 higher temperature range ( $r: -0.577, -0.741, -0.666$  and  $-0.703$  in P1, P2, P3 and P4.).

### 452 3.4.3. Residence time

453 The residence time of water in reservoirs is calculated considering the flow of water supplied to each population  
454 nucleus by assuming complete mixing inside the reservoirs. In Alj WTP distribution system there was significant seasonal  
455 differences in water consumption of populations due to high summer temperatures and the increase in the population living  
456 in the tourist resorts of the coast. Increased water consumption in summer implies a reduction of average residence times in  
457 the water reservoirs located at “El Andévalo” and Cost of 30.3 % and 66 %, respectively over the winter. The reduction of  
458 the residence times in the reservoirs favors the reduction of chlorine dose and pH, and consequently the reduction of the

THMs levels. This effect is opposite to that occurring due to the increase of temperature in summer. In Alj WTP supply high residence times were measured in winter (13.7 days in the farthest reservoir).

Finally, according to the results obtained in the four treatment processes, using Pearson correlation a strong correlation between the residence time and THM in the two periods analyzed ( $r$ : 0.882-0.998) was found. The increase of THM concentrations was a nonlinear relationship which can be expressed as a potential function of residence time.

### 3.5. Modelling

Different modelling approaches have been used to establish relations between water quality and operational parameters with DBP concentrations in water. Most of the reported models have used DOC (or TOC), disinfectant dose, pH, temperature, and reaction time as the explanatory parameters. (Chowdhury et al. 2009; Uyak et al. 2005; Rodriguez et al. 2000; Serodes et al. 2003)

Using THMs occurrence data and operational data from Database 2 four models were investigated to predict THMs presence in the distribution system, according to the treatment process applied. To evaluate the statistical significant variables, multiple regression analysis was applied, using Minitab statistical software. Simple variables are selected that can be measured in the reservoirs with automatic instruments, directly or indirectly, in order to facilitate the implementation and practical application of the model to the control of water quality through supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) and remote control. The explanatory variables with high significance ( $p < 0.05$ ) considered in the model were temperature °C (T), dissolved organic carbon mg/L (DOC), water pH (pH) and accumulated dose of chlorine, mg/L (D). In each treatment process, values have been divided into two seasonal groups (HRT and LRT) according to the water temperature. In this way it is possible to get better correlations and lower error with a single model for the entire period.

The model chosen was a logarithmic regression  $y = K (x)^a (z)^b \dots (m)^n$  where “y” denotes the variable to be modelled, in this case TTHM levels; “x,z...m” denote the explanatory variables, a,b,...m represent the statistical coefficients to be estimated, and “K” is a constant term. The results of the regression analysis of the models are presented in Table 7 and it was found to be statistically significant for all variables ( $p < 0.05$ ). In general, the statistical determination coefficient of the models  $R^2$  and the standard error of the estimates appeared satisfactory. In addition the comparison of measured and modeled THM values with  $R^2$  value of 0.968 to 0.830 is satisfactory.

In full-scale study, the quantification of certain operational parameters suffers from uncertainties. A number of interventions have been implemented to reduce the effect of these uncertainties on the quality of the developed model.

During the study period, the CFS process was controlled. Each week Jar-Test was performed to adjust the dose of coagulant, flocculant and oxidant. Decanting process was controlled to prevent flocs leakage; during the study period the decanted water turbidity remained less than 0.7 NTU. Good practices on treatment processes were applied; involvement and experience of the management company was crucial. At all times stable process conditions were maintained, avoiding sampling during process occasional fluctuations.

Sodium hypochlorite was used in the disinfection process; the concentration of chlorine in the solution decreases with storage time and temperature, therefore it was taken into account the concentration of chlorine in sodium hypochlorite (150-123 gr/L) for calculating the accumulated dose of chlorine and chlorine dose. The residence time of water in reservoirs is calculated daily considering the flow of water supplied to each population nucleus by assuming complete mixing inside the reservoirs. The residence time was the weekly average, in stable conditions. The range of application of

496 the model is determined by the characteristics of the study site T: (12.7-28.7); D: (2.48-9.7); pH: (6.87-8.08); COD: (2.13-  
497 3.58)

498 The developed model can be used as a basic tool to choose the water treatment process to apply, according to the  
499 explanatory variables and considering treatment cost. As an example, with the application of the P3 model to data collected  
500 in July, THMs values were 25.6, 45.1, 63.4 and 45.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in WTP, SB, TH and CR, respectively. By applying the P4  
501 model to the same data, THMs values were 57.5, 80.9, 105.6 and 89.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . In relation to these results it can be predicted  
502 that the most appropriate treatment process applied in the month of July was P3 despite its higher cost (pre-ozonation: 4.70  
503  $\text{€}/1000\text{m}^3$ ); the P4 process would not be appropriate in the month of July because Tharsis reservoir exceeds the legal limit  
504 of THM (100  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). If the same comparative analysis was performed with data from the month of November, low levels of  
505 THMs were obtained with both processes with no significant differences between them. Therefore, in November the P4  
506 process would be the most appropriate treatment for their lower cost (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ :  $\text{€ } 2.48/1000 \text{ m}^3$ ).  
507

#### 508 4. Conclusions

509 In this paper we investigated the influence of four different treatment processes on the seasonal and spatial  
510 occurrence of THMs in a water distribution system. The results can be summarized in the following items:

- 511 • Significant seasonal variations of THMs in the distribution system have been found; in this way THMs  
512 measured in summer were 1.17-1.85 times higher than that in winter.
- 513 • Significant spatial variations of THMs have also been found, ranging from 1.1 to 1.7 times higher at the  
514 end of distribution system than in WTP treated water.
- 515 • Both spatial and seasonal variations are strongly related to effectiveness of organic precursors removal  
516 process.
- 517 • The advanced process P3 (preozonation, CFS, Sand Filtration and GAC filtration) was the most effective  
518 and stable treatment process, getting the lowest values of THMs with less influence of seasonal and  
519 spatial variation. In conventional process P4 (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ , CFS, Sand Filtration) low concentrations of  
520 THMs were obtained in the distribution system. This process has advantages of simplicity and low cost,  
521 but has disadvantages associated to the high presence of THMs in summer and proliferation of algal  
522 blooms in the decanter. The keys for this process (P4) are the optimization of oxidation treatment and the  
523 delay of the chlorination. The treatment process P2 (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ , PAC, CFS and Sand Filtration)  
524 improved the sedimentation, reducing the coagulant dose (12 %) and allowing a good removal of TCM,  
525 although the THM decreasing is not lower than that in conventional process P4 and P3. THMs values  
526 obtained with conventional treatment P1 were too high, due to the direct application of pre-chlorination  
527 on the organic matter in raw water; therefore the process P1 is not recommended.
- 528 • No correlation was found between the dose of chlorine applied in the water treatment plant and level of  
529 THMs in distribution system, however good correlation of the chlorine accumulated dose ( $D = \text{sum of}$   
530  $\text{chlorine dose added in WTP and re-chlorinations along WDS}$ ) was found. This fact has not been reported  
531 previously.
- 532 • A direct relationship of the temperature and pH of the water with the level of THMs in the distribution  
533 system was found. In addition was checked that increasing the residence time increases concentration of  
534 THM.



- 535
- 536
- 537
- 538
- 539
- 540
- Predictive models were developed for each treatment process with simple variables that can be measured in the reservoirs with automatic instruments: accumulated dose of chlorine (D), temperature (T), pH and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). In each treatment process, values have been divided into two seasonal groups (HRT and LRT) according to the water temperature. The comparison of measured and modeled THM values (with R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.968 to 0.830) was satisfactory. This fact corroborates the seasonal influence in the occurrence of THMs.
- 541
- Based on the results obtained may be recommended the advanced treatment process P3 (Preozonation, CFS, Sand filtration and GAC) as the most effective and stable to maintain a controlled and lower formation of THMs in drinking water. A good practice to minimize the formation of THMs is the effective removal of organic matter before the first chlorination point. It is also advisable to use predictive models to select the suitable process according to the characteristics of the water distribution system and environmental conditions, in order to minimize THMs levels and treatment cost.
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545
- 546

547 **Ethical Statement**

548

549 The authors manifest that manuscript has not been submitted to other journal and the results have not published

550 previously. Consent to submit has been received explicitly from all co-authors and no have conflict of interest.

551

552 **Acknowledgements**

553 The authors wish to express their appreciation to the plant managers GIAHSA (Gestión Integral del Agua de

554 Huelva S.A.) and employees for their help and cooperation in facilitating the collection of water samples during this study.

555 This work was supported by the project CTM2012-38720-C03-01 from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and

556 Competitiveness (MINECO), and by projects P009-FQM-4659 and P12-FQM-0442 from the Regional Ministry of

557 Economy, Innovation, Science and Employment (Andalusian Government, Spain).

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569 **References**

570

- 571 Abdullah, Md.P., Yee L.F., Ata S., Abdullah A., Ishak B., Abidin K.N.Z. (2009). The study of interrelationship between  
572 raw water quality parameters, chlorine demand and the formation of disinfection by-products. *Physics and*  
573 *Chemistry of the Earth*, 34 (13-16), 806-81.
- 574 Álvarez-Uriarte, J.I., Iriarte-Velasco, U., Chimenó-Alanís, N., González-Velasco, J.R. (2010). The effect of mixed oxidant  
575 and powdered activated carbon on the removal of natural organic matter. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 181(1-3),  
576 426-31.
- 577 Andalusia Government (2009) Decree 70/2009. March 31<sup>th</sup>, Regulation of Health Surveillance and Water Quality for  
578 Human Consumption of Andalusia. BOJA 73.
- 579 Antoniou, C.V., Koulouraki, E.E., Diamadopoulou, E. (2006). Determination of chlorinated volatile organic compounds in  
580 water and municipal wastewater using headspace–solid phase microextraction–gas chromatography. *Journal of*  
581 *Chromatography A*, 1132, 310-14.
- 582 Badawy, M.I., Gad-Allah T.A., Ali M.E., Yoon Y. (2012). Minimization of the formation of disinfection by-products.  
583 *Chemosphere*, 89, 235-40.
- 584 Bezerra, M.A., Santelli, R.E., Oliveira, E.P., Villar, L.S., Escalera, L.A. (2008) Document Response surface methodology  
585 (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta* 76 (5), 965-977.
- 586 Bove, G.E., Rogerson, P.A., Vena J.E. (2007). Case control study of the geographic variability of exposure to disinfectant  
587 by-products and risk for rectal cancer. *International Journal of Health Geographapycs*, 6, 18.
- 588 Brown, D., Bridgeman, J., West, J.R. (2011). Predicting chlorine decay and THM formation in water supply systems.  
589 *Reviews Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 10, 79-99.
- 590 Charisiadis, P., Andra, S. S., Makris, K. C., Christophi, C. A., Skarlatos, D., Vamvakousis, V., et al. (2015). Spatial and  
591 seasonal variability of tap water disinfection by-products within distribution pipe networks. *Science of The Total*  
592 *Environment*, (506–507), 26-35.
- 593 Chen, C., Zhang, X., He, W., Lu, W., Han, H. (2007). Comparison of seven kinds of drinking water treatment processes to  
594 enhance organic material removal. A pilot test. *Science of Total Environment*, 382(1), 93-102.
- 595 Cho, D.-H., Kong, S.-H., Oh, S.-G. (2003). Analysis of trihalomethanes in drinking water using headspace-SPME  
596 technique with gas chromatography. *Water Research* 37(2), 402–408
- 597 Chowdhury, S., Champagne, P., McLellan, P.J. (2009). Models for predicting disinfection by-product (DBP) formation in  
598 drinking waters: A chronological review. *Science of Total Environment*, 407(14), 4189-206.
- 599 Chowdhury S., Champagne P., (2008). An investigation on parameters for modeling THMs formation. *Global Nest*  
600 *Journal*, 10(1), 80-91.
- 601 Doederer, K., Gernjaka, W., Weinbergh, H.S., Farré, M.J. (2014) Factors affecting the formation of disinfection by-  
602 products during chlorination and chloramination of secondary effluent for the production of high quality recycled  
603 water. *Water Researh*, 48(1), 218-228
- 604 El-Dib, M.A., Ali. R., (1995). THMs Formation during chlorination of raw Nile River water. *Water Research*, 29(1), 375-  
605 78.
- 606 El-Shafy, M.A., Grünwald, A. (2000). THM formation in water supply in south Bohemia, Czech Republic. *Water*  
607 *Research*, 34(13), 3453-59.

608 El-Shahat, M.F., Abdel-Halim, S.H., Hassan, G.A. (1998). Evaluation of trihalomethanes in water treatment plants'Outputs  
609 in Cairo, Egypt during 1991 to 1993. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 60(3), 502-506

610 European Commission (1998) European Directive 98/83/EC 3rd of November 1998 related to quality water for human  
611 consumption. Off J Eur Comm L 330/32, 5.12.1998

612 Fooladvand, M., Ramanvandi, B., Zandi, K., Ardestani, M. (2011). Investigation of trihalomethanes formation potential in  
613 Karoon River water, Iran. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 178, 63-71.

614 Gallard, H., Von-Gunten, U. (2002). Chlorination of natural organic matter: Kinetics of chlorination and of THM  
615 formation. *Water Research*, 36(1), 65-74.

616 Garcia-Villanova, R.J., Dantas-Leite, M., Vilani-Oliveira, M., Hernández-Hierro, J.M., De-Castro, S., García, C.H. (2010).  
617 Occurrence of bromate, chlorite and chlorate in drinking water disinfected with hypochlorite reagents. Tracing  
618 their origins. *Science of Total Environment*, 408(12), 2616-20.

619 Gough, R., Holliman, P.J., Willis, N., Feeman, C. (2014). Dissolved organic carbon and trihalomethane precursor removal  
620 at a UK upland water treatment works. *Science of Total Environment*, 468-469, 228-39.

621 Grazuleviciene, R., Kapustinskiene, V., Vencloviene, J., Buinauskiene, J., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J. (2013) Risk of congenital  
622 anomalies in relation to the uptake of trihalomethane from drinking water during pregnancy *Occupational and*  
623 *Environmental Medicine*, 70 (4) 274–282.

624 Grellier, J., Bennett, J., Patelarou, E., Smith, R.B., Toledano, M.B., Rushton, L., Briggs, D.J., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.  
625 (2010). Exposure to disinfection by-products, fetal growth, and prematurity: a systematic review and meta-analysis  
626 *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 21 (3), 300–313

627 Iriarte-Velasco, U., Alvarez-Uriarte, J.I., González-Velasco, J.R. (2007). Removal and structural changes in natural organic  
628 matter in a Spanish water treatment plant using nascent chlorine. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 57(1),  
629 152-60.

630 Krasner, S.W., Weinberg, H.S., Richardson, S.D., Pastor, S.J., Chinn, R., Scrimanti, M.J., et al. (2006). Occurrence of a  
631 new generation of disinfection by-products. *Environmental Science and Technology* 40(23), 7175–85.

632 Lara-Gonzalo, A., Sanchez-Uría, J.E., Segovia-García, E., Sanz-Medel, A. (2008). Critical comparison of automated purge  
633 and trap and solid-phase microextraction for routine determination of volatile organic compounds in drinking  
634 waters by GC–MS. *Talanta* 2008, 74(5), 1455-62.

635 Lee, J.; Kim, E.-S.; Roh, B.-S.; Eom, S.-W.; Zoh, K.-D., (2013). Occurrence of disinfection by-products in tap water  
636 distribution systems and their associated health risk. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185(9), 7675-  
637 7691.

638 Legay, C., Rodriguez, M.J., Miranda-Moreno, L., Sérodes, J.B., Levallois, P. (2011). Multi-level modelling of chlorination  
639 by-product presence in drinking water distribution systems for human exposure assessment purposes.  
640 *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 178(1-4), 507-24.

641 Levallois, P., Gingras, S., Marcoux, S., Legay, C., Catto, C., Rodriguez, M., Tardif, R. (2012). Maternal exposure to  
642 drinking-water chlorination by-products and small-for-gestational-age neonates *Epidemiology*, 23(2) (2012), 267

643 Lin, T., Wu, S., Chen, W. (2014) Formation potentials of bromate and brominated disinfection by-products in bromide-  
644 containing water by ozonation. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 21(24), 13987-14003.

645 Liu, B., & Reckhow, D. A. (2015). Disparity in disinfection byproducts concentration between hot and cold tap water.  
646 *Water Research*, 70(0), 196-204.

647 Maia, R., Correia M., Brás I.M., Beleza M. (2014). Optimization of HS-SPME analytical conditions using factorial design  
648 for trihalomethanes determination in swimming pool water samples, *Microchemical Journal*, 112, 164-71.

649 Mercier Shanks, C., Sérodes, J.-B., & Rodriguez, M. J. (2013). Spatio-temporal variability of non-regulated disinfection  
650 by-products within a drinking water distribution network. *Water Research*, 47(9), 3231-3243.

651 Moreano-Rosero, M., Aguirre, M., Pezo, D., Taborda, G., Dussán, C., Nerin, C. (2012). Solventless Microextraction  
652 Techniques for Determination of Trihalomethanes by Gas Chromatography in Drinking Water. *Journal of water,  
653 air and soil pollution*, 223(2), 667-78.

654 Mouly, D., Joulin, E., Rosin, C., Beaudeau, P., Zeghnoun, A., Olszewski-Ortar, A. et al. (2010). Variations in  
655 trihalomethane levels in three French water distribution systems and the development of a predictive model. *Water  
656 Research* (10), 5168-79.

657 Platikanov, S., Tauler, R., Rodrigues, P.M.S.M., Pereira, D., Esteves da Silva, J.C.G. (2010) Factorial analysis of the  
658 trihalomethane formation in the reaction of colloidal, hydrophobic, and transphilic fractions of DOM with free  
659 chlorine. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 17(8), 1389-1400.

660 Plewa, M.J., Kargalioglu, Y., Vankerk, D., Minear, R.A., Wagner, E.D. (2002). Mammalian cell cytotoxicity and  
661 genotoxicity analysis of drinking water disinfection by-products. *Environmental and molecular mutagenesis*  
662 40(2), 134-42.

663 Rahman, M.B., Driscoll, T., Cowie, C., Armstrong, B.K. (2010). Disinfection by-products in drinking water and colorectal  
664 cancer: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 39(3), 733-745.

665 Roccaro, P., Korshin, G. V., Cook, D., Chow, C. W. K., & Drikas, M. (2014). Effects of pH on the speciation coefficients  
666 in models of bromide influence on the formation of trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. *Water Research*, 62(0),  
667 117-126.

668 Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., Demarini, D.M. (2007) Occurrence, genotoxicity, and  
669 carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: A review and roadmap for  
670 research. *Mutation Research-reviews in mutation research* 636(1-3), 178-242.

671 Rodríguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J. (2004). Behavior of trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids in a drinking water distribution  
672 system. *Water Research* 38(20), 4367-82.

673 Rodriguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J., Morin, M. (2000). Estimation of water utility compliance with trihalomethane regulations  
674 using modelling approach. *Journal Water Supply: Research and Technology* 49(2), 57-73.

675 Rodríguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J. (2001). Spatial and temporal evolution of trihalomethanes in three water distribution  
676 systems. *Water Research*, 35(6), 1572-86.

677 Sadiq, R., Rodriguez, M.J. (2004). Disinfection by-products DBPs in drinking water and predictive models for their  
678 occurrence: A review. *Science of Total Environment*, 321(1-3), 21-46.

679 San-Juan, P.M., Carrillo, J.D., Tena, M.T. (2007). Fibre selection based on an overall analytical feature comparison for the  
680 solid-phase microextraction of trihalomethanes from drinking water. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1139(1), 27-  
681 35.

682 Sérodes, J.B., Rodriguez, M.J., Li, H.M., Bouchard, C. (2003). Occurrence of THMs and HAAs in experimental chlorinated  
683 waters of the Quebec City area (Canada). *Chemosphere* 51(4), 253-63.

684 Shanks, C.M., Sérodes, J.B., Rodriguez, M.J. (2003). Spatio-temporal variability of non-regulated disinfection by-products  
685 within a drinking water distribution network. *Water Research* 47(9), 3231-43.

686 Scheili, A., Rodriguez, M. J., & Sadiq, R. (2015). Seasonal and spatial variations of source and drinking water quality in  
687 small municipal systems of two Canadian regions. *Science of The Total Environment*, 508(0), 514-524,

688 Simard, A., Pelletier, G., Rodriguez, M. (2011). Water residence time in a distribution system and its impact on disinfectant  
689 residuals and trihalomethanes, *Journal of water supply research and technology-aqua*, 60 (6), 375-390.

690 Smith, V.L., Cech, I., Brown, J.H., Dogdan, G.F. (1980). Temporal Variations in Trihalomethane Content of drinking  
691 water. *Environmental Science & Technology* 14(2), 190-196.

692 Spanish Minister of Presidency (2003). Royal Decree 140/2003. February 7<sup>th</sup>, sanitation criteria of the human consumption  
693 water. BOE n°45 21/02/2003.

694 Stack, M.A., Fitzgerald, G., O'Connell, S., James, K.J. (2000). Measurement of trihalomethanes in potable and recreational  
695 waters using solid phase micro extraction with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Chemosphere* 41(11),  
696 1821-26.

697 Summerhayes, R.J., Morgan, G.G., Edwards, H.P., Lincoln, D., Earnest, A., Rahman, B., Beard, J.R., (2012). Exposure to  
698 trihalomethanes in drinking water and small-for-gestational-age births *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 23 (1),  
699 15–22.

700 Summerhayes, R. J., Morgan, G. G., Lincoln, D., Edwards, H. P., Earnest, A., Rahman, M. B., et al. (2011). Spatio-  
701 temporal variation in trihalomethanes in New South Wales. *Water Research*, 45(17), 5715-5726.

702 Teksoy, A., Alkan, U., Baskaya, H. S. (2008). Influence of the treatment process combinations on the formation of THM  
703 species in water. *Separation and purification technology*, 61(3), 447-54.

704 Toroz, I., Uyak, V. (2005). Seasonal variations of trihalomethanes (THMs)in water distribution networks of Istanbul City.  
705 *Desalination* 176 (1-3), 127–41.

706 United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2013). Basic information about disinfection byproducts in  
707 drinking water: total trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids, bromate, and chlorite.  
708 [water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/disinfectionbyproducts.cfm](http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/disinfectionbyproducts.cfm). Accessed 19 Feb 2014

709 Uyak, V., Ozdemir, K., Toroz, I. (2008). Seasonal variations of disinfection by-product precursors profile and their removal  
710 through surface water treatment plants. *Science of the total environment* 390(2-3), 417-24.

711 Uyak, V., Soyly, S., Tropal, T., Karapinar, N., Ozdemir, K., Ozaydin, S., Avsar, E. (2014). Spatial and Seasonal Variations  
712 of Disinfection Byproducts (DBPs) in Drinking Water Distribution Systems of Istanbul City, Turkey.  
713 *Environmental Forensics*, 15(2) 190-205

714 Uyak, V., Toroz, I., Meric, S. (2005). Monitoring and modeling of trihalomethanes (THMs) for a water treatment plant in  
715 Istanbul. *Desalination* 2005 (1-3),176, 91-101.

716 Valencia, S., Marín, J., Restrepo, G. (2013) Method for trihalomethane analysis in drinking water by solid-phase  
717 microextraction with gas chromatography and mass spectrometry detection; *Water Science & Technology: Water  
718 Supply*, 13 (2) 499-506

719 Villanueva, C.M., Gagniere, B., Monfort, C., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Cordier, S. (2007) Sources of variability in levels and  
720 exposure to trihalomethanes. *Environmental Research*, 103 (2), 211-20.

721 Watson, K., Farré, M.J., Birt, J., McGree, J., & Knight, N. (2015). Predictive models for water sources with high  
722 susceptibility for bromine-containing disinfection by-product formation: implications for water treatment.  
723 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(3), 1963-1978.

724 Wen-Hai, C., Nai-Yun, G., Yang, D., Bing-Zhi, D. (2009). Formation of chloroform during chlorination of alanine in  
725 drinking water. *Chemosphere* 77 (10), 1346–135.

726 Wen-Hai, C., Nai-Yun, G., Yang, D., Templeton, M.R., Yin, D. (2011). Impacts of drinking water pretreatments on the  
727 formation of nitrogenous disinfection by-products; *Bioresource Technology* 102(24), 11161–11166.

728 World Health Organisation. (2011). Guidelines for drinking water quality. Trihalomethanes (bromoform,  
729 bromodichloromethane, chloroform, dibromochloromethane), 427–430. .

730 Ye, B., Wang, W., Yang, L., Wei, J., (2009). Factors influencing disinfection by-products formation in drinking water of  
731 six cities in China, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 171(1-3), 147-152.

732

733

734 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

735 **Figure 1.** WTP Aljaraque supply zone

736 **Figure 2.** Aljaraque Water Treatment Plant

737 **Figure 3.** THMs in treated water according the treatment process

738 \* Average value TTHM

739 **Figure 4.** THMs in Water Distribution Sytem according the treatment process

740 \* Average value TTHM

741 **Figure 5.** Seasonal variation of THMs in Water Distribution System according the treatment process

742 Average temperature water °C (standard deviation): 1. Spring 20.37 °C (2.37), 2. Summer 24.7 °C (1.67), 3. Fall 18.6 °C  
743 (3.28), 4. Winter 14.3 °C (2.22).

744 \* Average value TTHM

745 **Figure 6.** Spatial variation of THMs in Distribution System according to the Treatment Process.

746 1.Coast r: 23km; 2.South And. r: 45km; 3.North And. r: 88km.

747 \* Average value TTHM

748

749 **Figure 7.** Spatial evolution of THMs in Distribution System, according to distance from WTP.

750

751



752 **TABLES**

753 **Table 1.** Raw water quality parameters.

Parameters	Unit	Mean	Min-max
Turbidity	NTU	8.56 (6.42)	0.7-28.5
pH	---	7.01 (0.25)	6.5-7.56
TDS	mg/L	224 (32.22)	167-290
Conductivity	µs/cm	208.33 (30.41)	125-266
Temperature	°C	18.39 (3.94)	8.6-25.5
Total Hardness	mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L	112.02 (9.7)	88.5-134.75
Ca	mg/L	9.29 (2.21)	5.6-14.19
Mg	mg/L	6.78 (1.71)	5.3-9.65
DOC	mg/L	5.69 (2.7)	2.15-12.2
UV <sub>254</sub>	cm <sup>-1</sup>	0.112 (0.032)	0.069-0.190
Bromide	µg/L	175.15 (177)	<50-315
THMFP	µg/L	145.52 (11.86)	127.26-158.3

754 Standard deviation in parenthesis

755

756 **Table 2.** THM value at finished water in Water Aljaraque Treatment Plant

WTP		TTHM	TCM	BDCM	DBC	TBM
<b>P1</b> (N=14)	Mean (SD)	112.31 (19.74)	57.84 (21.48)	32.58 (11.74)	21.91 (8.23)	ND
	Median	108.55	60	34.2	18.2	ND
	Min-max	69.00-146.00	17.00-91.1	9.00-52.00	11.6-41.00	ND
	% total THMs		51.5 %	29.01 %	19.51 %	
<b>P2</b> (N=14)	Mean (SD)	58.53 (16.27)	21.31 (8.1)	20.19 (4.96)	17.04 (5.49)	ND
	Median	57	19.15	19.4	15.25	ND
	Min-max	29.8-81.4	9.5-35.00	10.30-26.80	10.10-30.10	ND
	% total THMs		36.41 %	34.45 %	29.11 %	
<b>P3</b> (N=14)	Mean (SD)	40.94 (12.10)	14.44 (9.04)	12.44 (4.69)	12.24 (4.92)	1.82(3.03)
	Median	44.15	14.75	12.6	12.2	ND
	Min-max	20.28-57.6	ND-28.9	6.50-22.00	5.00-19.7	ND-7.8
	% total THMs		35.27 %	30.39 %	29.9 %	4.45 %
<b>P4</b> (N=14)	Mean (SD)	41.78 (12.60)	23.48 (7.59)	13.01 (5.95)	5.29 (4.01)	ND
	Median	38.41	22.6	11.7	6.4	ND
	Min-max	26.6-68.5	11.23-34.9	6.3-29.4	0-11.63	ND
	% total THMs		56.2 %	31.14 %	12.66 %	

757 Standard deviation in parenthesis

758 **Table 3.** THM value at supply water. Distribution System.

SUPPLY		TTHM	TCM	BDCM	DBC	TBM
<b>P1</b> (N=56)	Mean (SD)	115.21 (17.44)	63.78 (19.25)	33.20 (8.52)	18.45 (7.84)	ND
	Median	113.8	71	32.8	17.1	ND
	Min-max	79-146	13-105.9	15-53	0-49	ND
	% total THMs		55.36 %	28.82 %	16.01 %	
<b>P2</b> (N=56)	Mean (SD)	81.99 (16.38)	35.72 (11.06)	27.16 (5.15)	18.77 (5.46)	0.33 (1.46)
	Median	81.9	36.6	26.8	18.9	ND
	Min-max	48.9-122	18.9-62.2	16.3-40.8	6.6-28.7	ND-7.9
	% total THMs		43.57 %	33.13 %	22.89 %	0.41 %
<b>P3</b> (N=56)	Mean (SD)	64.42 (11.28)	25.35 (9.52)	21.02 (5.62)	14.96 (6.81)	3.48 (4.99)
	Median	66.3	25.2	21	13.8	<DL
	Min-max	40.7-82.4	7.9-46.8	6.4-34.3	ND-27.5	ND-17.3
	% total THMs		39.35 %	32.63 %	23.22 %	5.4 %
<b>P4</b> (N=56)	Mean (SD)	48.80 (18.05)	27.34 (12.29)	14.34 (8.48)	7.12 (6.92)	ND
	Median	45.7	22.7	12.35	6.75	ND
	Min-max	22.3-98.95	ND-65.38	ND-34.2	ND-26.7	ND
	% total THMs		56.04 %	23.39 %	14.59 %	

759 Standard deviation in parenthesis

760 **Table 4.** Influence Dose chlorine in WTP.

WTP		Chlorine dose	DOC	TTHM	Oxidation
P1 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	5.23 (1.03)	3.78 (0.98)	112.31 (19.74)	Pre-chlorine
	Min-max	3.09-4.2	2.3-5.8	69.00-146.00	
P2 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	4.66 (1.25)	3.75 (0.59)	58.53 (16.27)	Pre-KMnO <sub>4</sub> Inter-chlor.
	Min-max	2.97-6.54	2.7-4.5	29.8-81.40	
P3 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	4.63 (0.53)	2.93 (0.55)	40.94 (12.10)	Pre-Ozone Inter-chlor.
	Min-max	3.40-5.57	2.2-3.7	20.28-57.6	
P4 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	2.63 (0.29)	3.98 (0.77)	41.78 (12.60)	Pre-KMnO <sub>4</sub>
	Min-max	2.11-3.25	2.0-4.9	26.6-68.5	

761 Standard deviation in parenthesis

762 Chlorine Dose: (mg/L) chlorine

763 DOC (mg/L): Dissolved organic carbon, in treated water

764 **Table 5.** Average Value TTHM, Temperature (T), pH, DOC, accumulate dose (D) and contact time (t)

		HRT							LRT					
		L (Km)	t (day)	T °C	pH	DOC mg/L	D mg/L	TTHM µg/L	t (day)	T °C	pH	DOC mg/L	D mg/L	TTHM µg/L
P1	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.07	7.20	3.58	5.54	123.73	0.00	15.53	7.58	3.4	5.39	107.83
	SB	34	0.93	23.57	7.57	3.57	6.20	126.53	1.22	15.60	7.60	3.43	5.40	108.14
	TH	55	2.44	24.07	7.65	3.23	6.91	129.01	3.29	16.00	7.63	3.22	6.03	131.98
	CR	71	7.57	24.13	7.80	3.13	9.36	133.53	9.94	15.97	7.80	3.03	7.53	124.79
P2	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.55	7.40	3.47	5.30	43.55	0.00	13.38	7.35	4.03	4.83	56.75
	SB	34	0.91	24.73	7.67	3.27	6.25	79.17	1.21	12.67	7.55	3.73	5.27	61.40
	TH	55	2.40	25.07	7.83	3.20	7.06	95.47	3.2	13.17	7.80	3.56	5.83	73.67
	CR	71	7.53	25.00	8.08	3.05	9.70	89.23	9.91	14.07	7.98	3.00	7.42	72.03
P3	Alj WTP	0	0.00	25.47	7.30	2.90	4.68	38.43	0.00	13.38	7.28	2.95	4.58	36.82
	SB	34	0.91	26.57	7.43	2.60	5.48	45.87	1.19	13.67	7.40	2.80	4.43	47.80
	TH	55	2.39	28.13	7.57	2.40	7.08	61.27	3.15	14.27	7.53	2.63	5.04	53.20
	CR	71	7.46	27.50	7.77	2.13	9.59	58.93	9.89	16.00	7.80	2.43	6.60	62.57
P4	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.93	6.87	3.38	2.78	37.29	0.00	16.83	6.74	4.58	2.48	26.42
	SB	34	0.90	23.87	6.93	3.10	3.46	53.53	1.19	16.50	6.87	3.84	3.00	27.88
	TH	55	2.37	24.43	7.15	2.77	4.26	64.73	3.14	17.03	6.88	3.21	3.62	33.53
	CR	71	7.46	25.07	7.37	2.50	6.58	64.14	9.88	17.77	7.12	2.87	5.13	35.30

766 Alj WTP: Treated water WTP outside; SB: San Bartolomé Reservoir; TH: Tharsis Reservoir; CR: Cabezas Rubias  
767 Reservoir.

768 \*HRT: Higher Range Temperature: (May,Jun,Jul,Aug,Sep,Oct).

769 \*LRT: Lower Range Temperature: (Jan,Feb,Mar,Abr,Nov,Dec).

770 D: Dose chlorine: accumulate dose chlorine distribution system.

771 **Table 6.** Correlation Matrix.

		HRT				LRT			
		P1	P2	P3	P4	P1	P2	P3	P4
THM-T	r	0.649	0.732	0.817	0.861	0.927	0.751	0.705	0.642
	p	0.022	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.0001	0.005	0.001	0.024
THM-pH	r	0.880	0.805	0.871	0.815	0.618	0.862	0.871	0.746
	p	0.0001	0.002	0.0001	0.001	0.032	0.0001	0.0001	0.005
THM-DOC	r	-0.902	-0.626	-0.757	-0.656	0.704	-0.578	-0.584	-0.856
	p	0.0001	0.03	0.004	0.021	0.011	0.049	0.046	0.0001
THM-D	r	0.581	0.628	0.677	0.745	0.658	0.578	0.704	0.865
	p	0.047	0.029	0.016	0.005	0.021	0.049	0.011	0.0001
pH-D	r	0.545	0.916	0.925	0.932	0.586	0.891	0.757	0.764
	p	0.047	0.001	0.0001	0.001	0.023	0.0001	0.004	0.004
T-D	r	0.377	0.392	0.643	0.806	0.636	0.337	0.645	0.484
	p	0.226	0.208	0.024	0.002	0.026	0.284	0.023	0.111

773 r: correlation coephicent, p: pearson coephicent.

774 HRT: May,Jun,Jul,Aug,Sep,Oct; LRT: Jan,Feb,Mar,Apr,Nov,Dic.

775 D: Dose chlorine: accumulate dose chlorine distribution system.

776 **Table 7.** Model summary

	<i>K</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> adj	p	s	R <sup>2*</sup>
P1; HRT	104.71	-0.118	-0.348	-0.0147	0.505	0.939	0.904	0.0001	0.0067	0.891
P2; HRT	4.26x10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.63	-1.98	-1.10	9.60	0.929	0.888	0.0001	0.0488	0.957
P3; HRT	2.51x10 <sup>-12</sup>	3.27	-0.555	-0.884	10.9	0.970	0.952	0.0001	0.0251	0.915
P4; HRT	2.69x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.33	-0.193	-0.027	0.04	0.864	0.786	0.004	0.0534	0.892
P1; LRT	9.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.95	-0.288	-0.045	0.413	0.894	0.833	0.002	0.0212	0.891
P2; LRT	1.94x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.186	-0.146	-0.863	6.84	0.986	0.979	0.0001	0.0001	0.968
P3; LRT	1.74x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.40	0.961	-0.385	7.65	0.804	0.748	0.007	0.0050	0.893
P4; LRT	12.59	0.624	-0.226	0.323	-0.51	0.835	0.741	0.007	0.0361	0.830

777 *Model: TTHM = K (T)<sup>a</sup> (DOC)<sup>b</sup> (D)<sup>c</sup> (pH)<sup>d</sup>*

778 R<sup>2</sup>/R<sup>2</sup> adj; correlation coefficient model and adjusted.

779 p: level of significance

780 s: standard errors

781 R<sup>2\*</sup>: correlation coefficient between measured and predicted THMs.

# 1 Seasonal and spatial evolution of trihalomethanes in a drinking water 2 distribution system according to the treatment process

3 A. Domínguez-Tello <sup>a,b,c</sup>, A. Arias-Borrego <sup>a,b,c</sup>, T. García-Barrera <sup>a,b,c</sup> \*, J.L. Gómez-Ariza <sup>a,b,c</sup>

4  
5 (a) Department of Chemistry and CC.MM, Faculty of Experimental Science, University of Huelva, Campus de El  
6 Carmen, 21007-Huelva, Spain.

7 (b) International Agrofood Campus of Excellence International CeiA3, University of Huelva, Spain.

8 (c) Research Center of Health and Environment (CYSMA), University of Huelva, Campus de El Carmen, 21007,  
9 Huelva, Spain.

10  
11 (\*) Tamara García-Barrera

12 Email: [tamara.garcia@dqcm.uhu.es](mailto:tamara.garcia@dqcm.uhu.es)

13 Tel. +34 959 219962

14 Fax: +34 959 219942

## 15 **ABSTRACT**

16 This paper comparatively shows the influence of four water treatment processes on the formation of  
17 trihalomethanes (THMs) in a water distribution system. The study was performed from February 2005 to January 2012  
18 with analytical data of 600 samples taken in Aljaraque Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and 16 locations along the water  
19 distribution system (WDS) in the region of Andévalo and the coast of Huelva (southwest Spain), a region with significant  
20 seasonal and population changes. The comparison of results in the four different processes studied indicated a clear link of  
21 the treatment process with the formation of THM along the WDS. The most effective treatment process is preozonation and  
22 activated carbon filtration (P3), which is also the most stable under summer temperatures. Experiments also show low  
23 levels of THMs with the conventional process of preoxidation with potassium permanganate (P4), delaying the chlorination  
24 to the end of the WTP, however this simple and economical treatment process is less effective and less stable than P3. In  
25 this study, strong seasonal variations were obtained (increase of THM from winter- to summer of 1.17 to 1.85 times) and a  
26 strong spatial variation (1.1 to 1.7 times from WTP to end points of WDS) which largely depends on the treatment process  
27 applied. There was also a strong correlation between THMs levels and water temperature, contact time and pH. On the  
28 other hand, it was found that THM formation is not proportional to the applied chlorine dose in the treatment process, but  
29 there is a direct relationship with the accumulated dose of chlorine. Finally, predictive models based on multiple linear  
30 regressions are proposed for each treatment process.

31 **KEYWORDS** Trihalomethanes, water treatment process, distribution system, ozonation, permanganate, disinfection by-  
32 product.

## 33 **1 Introduction**

34 Chlorine and its compounds are the most commonly used disinfectants for water treatment and its popularity is  
35 due to its high oxidizing potential and its high permanence, which provides a minimum level of chlorine residual

36 throughout the distribution system and protects against microbial recontamination (Sadiq and Rodriguez 2004). However,  
37 chlorine reacts with the natural organic matter (NOM) ubiquitous in water to form DBPs (Disinfection by-products) which  
38 are defined as compounds formed from reactions between organic and inorganic matter in water with chemical treatment  
39 agents during the water disinfection process. These compounds can take the form of trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic  
40 acids (HAAs), haloacetonitriles (HANs), haloketones (HKs), haloacetaldehydes (HAs), halonitromethanes (HNMs) and a  
41 host of other halogenated DBPs (Richardson et al. 2007). Trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids are the two most prevalent  
42 classes of DBPs in chlorinated drinking water (Krasner et al. 2006). Traditionally, trihalomethanes have been the most  
43 studied DBPs and have been frequently used as surrogates of these products (Mercier Shanks et al. 2013).

44 Many of these DBPs have been shown to be cytotoxic, genotoxic or cause cancer in laboratory animals, and for  
45 these reasons, they are considered to be of public health concern (Plewa et al. 2002). Some epidemiological studies have  
46 suggested a positive relationship between DBPs exposure and cancer in humans (bladder and colorectal cancers) at a  
47 trihalomethane concentration greater than 50 µg/L (Bove et al. 2007; Villanueva et al. 2007, Rahman et al. 2010, Lee et al.  
48 2013) and adverse reproductive outcomes such as fetal growth retardation, spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, and birth  
49 defects, (Grazuleviciene et al., 2013, Grellier et al., 2010, Levallois et al., 2012, Summerhayes et al., 2012).

50 Identification of disinfection by-products and concern over the possible adverse health effects of these compounds  
51 have promoted considerable research activity in USA and Europe in order to minimize the risk of cancer. The United States  
52 Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Union (98/83/CE)  
53 introduced regulations for THMs in drinking water. In 1979 the USEPA established a maximum concentration of THMs in  
54 drinking water of 100 µg/L. In 1998 the USEPA issued the Stage 1 Disinfectants (D)/DBP Rule, which lowered  
55 permissible levels of THMs to 80 µg/L and regulated for the first time haloacetic acids (HAAs) to 60 µg/L, bromates to 10  
56 µg/L and chlorite to 1000 µg/L. The European Union amended in 2009 the fixed limits for maximum THMs concentration  
57 from 150 to 100 µg/L (98/83/EC; RD140/2003).

58 With stricter regulations for THMs and HAAs, many drinking-water utilities have changed their disinfection  
59 practices to meet the new levels. Frequently, the primary disinfectant is changed from chlorine to the so-called alternative  
60 disinfectant, like ozone. In some cases, chlorine is used as a secondary disinfectant following primary treatment with an  
61 alternative disinfectant, particularly for ozone and chlorine dioxide (Richardson et al. 2007). Chlorine and chloramines  
62 have also been used in conjunction with ozone for this purpose (Badawy et al. 2012).

63 A basic strategy to minimize DPBs is to adapt the potable water treatment for removing organic precursor  
64 compounds. The removal of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is important for maintaining water quality standards,  
65 minimizing concentrations of micropollutants and controlling bacterial regrowth within distribution systems, mainly due to  
66 the elimination of a subcomponent that can act as trihalomethane precursor (Gough et al. 2014; Wen-Hai et al. 2009).

67 On the other hand, THM concentrations can vary significantly throughout the water distribution system (WDS).  
68 Likewise, THM concentrations can be two or six fold higher in tap water than in the treatment plant (Rodríguez and  
69 Sérodes 2001), mainly depending on the reactivity of organic matter in the water, water treatment conditions and contact  
70 time in water mains (Smith et al. 1980). The reactivity of the organic matter changes throughout the year with the lowest  
71 reactivity in winter, increasing in spring and reaching a maximum in autumn (Uyak et al. 2008). Numerous studies have  
72 also demonstrated the influence of operational parameters and water quality in the evolution of THMs in the water  
73 distribution system (organic content, pH, water temperature, bromide ion concentrations, chlorine dose, reaction time, free  
74 residual chlorine) (Chowdhury et al. 2009).

75 In Spain, a country with different climates and heterogeneous quality of water sources, the most used disinfectant  
76 is chlorine (Rodríguez and Sérodes 2004). The Andalusian health regulation (D70/2009) in southern Spain, requires the  
77 presence of free residual chlorine in tap water for consumers from 0.2 to 1 mg/L, to ensure the disinfection of drinking  
78 water, favoring the use of chlorine and training trihalomethanes.

79 In this work we have investigated the influence of four water treatment processes applied in the Aljaraque Water  
80 Treatment Plant (WTP), on the formation of THMs in the water distribution system of the coast of Huelva and “El  
81 Andévalo” area (southwest Spain), from February 2005 to January 2012. The treatment processes studied were:  
82 Conventional treatment with prechlorination (P1), Preoxidation with potassium permanganate, Adsorption with activated  
83 carbon powder and intermediate chlorination (P2), Advanced treatment with preozonation and granular activated carbon  
84 (GAC) filtration (P3) and conventional treatment with potassium permanganate preoxidation (P4).

85 With each of the processes the influence of seasonal variables, operational and water quality, on the formation of  
86 THMs was analyzed, developing a predictive model for each treatment process considering seasonal variation; these  
87 models include the dose of chlorine as sum of dosages of chlorine added to the treated water and subsequent re-  
88 chlorinations. This study shows the great influence of the treatment process on the formation on THMs in water DS and its  
89 spatial and temporal variation.

## 90 2. Materials and methods

### 91 2.1. Description of the Water Treatment Plant and Distribution System.

92 The area of study is the distribution system located at “El Andévalo” on the coast of Huelva (southwest Spain) and  
93 the water treatment plant located at Aljaraque (Alj WTP), a village at 8.6 km from Huelva (Fig. 1). The area is subjected to  
94 important climatic variations during the year, with water temperatures in the range of 5-28 °C. There is also a significant  
95 variation in population during the summer, under the influence of tourism in coastal areas, rising from 67,000 to 160,000  
96 people.

97 Another feature of the distribution system is the long distance between the origin of the treated water (WTP) and  
98 the last point of consumption (86 Km), which causes high residence times in the supply networks and reservoirs, especially  
99 in winter when the consumption is lower. In the studied distribution system there are three sub-systems (areas supplied by  
100 the same water supply infrastructure) with corresponding re-chlorinations (Legay 2011). The combination of these  
101 characteristics constitutes an interesting and challenging aspect of this study.

102 The capacity of Alj WTP is 47,500 m<sup>3</sup>/day of drinking water, which supplies sixteen townships of “El Andévalo”  
103 and Huelva coast. The plant was built in 1990 using a conventional treatment process: prechlorination, coagulation,  
104 flocculation, sedimentation (CFS), rapid sand filtration and post-chlorination. This conventional process plant was modified  
105 in 2008 in order to remove triazines and reduce THM levels in water including adsorption with activated carbon powder,  
106 preoxidation with potassium permanganate, CFS, sand filtration, and post-chlorination. In May 2009 Alj WTP was  
107 modified to adopt advanced treatment processes, which were more versatile allowing different treatment options that  
108 include oxidation with potassium permanganate or ozone, powdered activated carbon, re-mineralization with carbon  
109 dioxide and calcium hydroxide, CFS, rapid sand filtration, intermediate ozonation and granular activated carbon (GAC).

110 In the present study the following treatment procedures were tested: (Fig. 2)

111 P1: February 2005 to July 2007 (Fig. 2.1): Prechlorination (22-45 mg/L NaClO), Coagulation (20-60 mg/L  
112 polyaluminium chloride 10 % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), Flocculation (0.1 mg/L anionic polyacrylamide), Sedimentation (Pulsator), Rapid  
113 Sand Filtration and disinfection (NaClO).



114 P2: March 2008 to April 2009 (Fig. 2.2): Preoxidation (0.3-0.7 mg/L  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ), Adsorption (3-8 mg/L Powdered  
115 Activated Carbon), Coagulation (18-50 mg/L polyaluminium chloride 10 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac),  
116 Sedimentation (Pulsator), intermediate chlorination ( $\text{NaClO}$ ), Rapid Sand Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ). To prevent  
117 the formation of algae in tanks and filters, sodium hypochlorite was applied to the output of the clarifier until a  
118 concentration of 0.5 mg/L free chlorine

119 P3: May 2009 to April 2010 (Fig. 2.3): Pre-ozonation (1-2.5 mg/L  $\text{O}_3$ ), Coagulation (15-45 mg/L polyaluminium  
120 chloride 18 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac), intermediate chlorination ( $\text{NaClO}$ ), sedimentation (Pulsator),  
121 rapid and Filtration, Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ). To avoid the formation of  
122 algae at the Pulsator clarifier and filters intermediate chlorination is applied, maintaining 0.5 mg/L chlorine residual at the  
123 output of clarifier.

124 P4: February 2011 to January 2012 (Fig. 2.4): Preoxidation (0.3-0.7 mg/L  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ), Coagulation (15-45 mg/L  
125 polyaluminium chloride 18 %  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), Flocculation (0.6 mg/L polydadmac), Sedimentation (Pulsator), Rapid Sand  
126 Filtration and disinfection ( $\text{NaClO}$ ).

127 Sodium hypochlorite was used as a disinfectant in the four treatment processes, applying a dose of 0.8 to 1.2 mg/L  
128 of free residual. The treated water was sent to the Alj WTP reservoir, afterwards the water was pumped to the reservoir  
129 tanks and the intermediate regulator deposits. The distribution system was divided into four sub-systems with intermediate  
130 and final reservoirs, all with rechlorination to maintain free residual chlorine at the tap water, within the range levels  
131 required by regulation (D70/2009).

## 132 2.2. Sampling campaign

133 **Database 1:** Consecutive monthly sampling campaigns were carried out between Feb 2005 and Jan 2012 in 16 locations  
134 along the distribution system (Fig1). The main objective of the use of this database was to investigate the spatial and  
135 seasonal variation of THMs in the water distribution system and its relation to the treatment process applied. For each  
136 treatment process 86 samples were taken (56 distribution system, 14 treated water, 14 raw water) from Feb 05 to Jul 07  
137 with P1 treatment process, Mar 08 to Apr 09 with P2; May 09 to Apr 10 with P3 and Feb 11 to Jan 12 with P4 treatment  
138 process. **In summary, a total of 336 samples were collected [(56+14+14) x4=336 samples].**

139 The sample points were selected using the following criteria: The selected points should be uniformly distributed  
140 along the network to represent the entire range of residence times observed upstream from the reservoir; they should all be  
141 supplied by the same main pipe or by secondary pipes supplied by this main pipe; **the points should be selected in the**  
142 **network sectors where hydraulic conditions were relatively stables.** Moreover, these points must always be accessible  
143 during the same day of the week, approximately at the same time. These sample points should be supplied exclusively by  
144 the reservoir and to represent a short and a long residence time from the outlet of the reservoir (Chanks et al. 2013; Simard  
145 et al. 2011). **In response to these different criteria 2-4 sampling points in each location were chosen along the distribution**  
146 **system (reservoirs and local networks). To minimize errors associated with the residence time variable, sampling points**  
147 **chosen corresponding to sectors where hydraulic conditions were relatively stable. Sampling was done on the same day of**  
148 **the week and at the same time of the day to minimize variations due to consumer demand for water.**

149 **Database 2:** Similarly monthly sampling campaigns were carried out between Jan 2007 and Jul 2012 in 3 reservoirs and  
150 network points of the distribution system “San Bartolomé” (SB), “Tharsis” (TH) and “Cabezas Rubias” (CR), located at 34,  
151 55 and 71 km respectively from Alj WTP (measured as real distance pipeline). In each sampling monthly campaign 11  
152 samples were taken (2 samples of the treated water, and 3 samples of each reservoir SB, TH, CR). The samples taken were

153 1 from the exit pipe of the reservoir and 2 at sampling points along the network closest to the reservoir. Samples were  
154 collected for six months for each process. **Therefore, a total of 264 samples were collected (6x11x4=264 samples).**

155 The aim of this database 2 was to investigate the factors affecting THM formation and develop models in order to  
156 adequately consider the THMs variations within WDS. For the statistical analysis, samples were grouped considering the  
157 seasonality: 1) Higher Range Temperature (HRT) taken from May to October, with water temperature in the range of 19.3-  
158 28.7°C and 2) Lower Range Temperature (LRT) taken during January to April, November and December with water  
159 temperature in the range of 9.1-19.3°C.

160 **All samples (database 1 and 2) were sampled following the same pattern: the samples were taken once a month, in  
161 the first week of each month, the same day each week, with the same sampling route and the same time assuring the  
162 stability of the hydraulic conditions and the representativeness of the samples in the studied system.**

163 Samples were taken at the tap of each sample point after a flow of cold tap water for about 5 minutes to ensure the  
164 representativeness of the sample. Temperature, pH, turbidity, conductivity and residual chlorine, were measured “in situ”  
165 while dissolved organic carbon, and trihalomethanes species were determined in the laboratory.

166 For measuring THMs duplicate samples were collected in 125 mL amber glass bottles with teflon-lined screw caps.  
167 Bottles were filled completely leaving no headspace; 1.5 mL of a sodium thiosulfate solution 0.1 M was added to each  
168 bottle to eliminate any remaining residual chlorine and to stop further THM formation. The samples were stored at 4°C and  
169 analyzed within 2 days after collection. If the time between sample collection and analysis exceeded 2 days, samples were  
170 stored at -20 °C during a maximum period of 30 days. **To ensure the accuracy associated with sample collection,  
171 preservation, transport to the laboratory and storage, as well as laboratory procedures were maintained throughout the study  
172 period.**

173 During each campaign (database 1 and 2), the following operational parameters of the treatment plant and  
174 distribution system were collected: reagent doses applied in WTP, treatment flow, disinfectant dose in re-chlorination, flow  
175 rate, water consumption. These data were used to calculate the operational variables.

## 176 **2.3. Analytical methods**

177 Free residual chlorine concentrations were analyzed using DPD (N,N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine) colorimetric  
178 method (Standard Method 4500-Cl-G) using a Hanna photometer HI-93711. Turbidity was measured with a HACH 2100P  
179 turbidimeter. Water pH was measured with a Metrohm 744 pH-meter equipped with a gel-filled electrode, and conductivity  
180 with a Crison CM35 conductivimeter. DOC concentration was determined using a TOC-5000 Shimadzu analyzer,  
181 according to the EPA Standard Method 5310C after filtering the samples through 2 µm glass microfiber filter.

### 182 **2.3.1. Analysis of trihalomethanes**

183 **Headspace-solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) technique and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-  
184 MS) analysis has been successfully applied to the analysis of THMs in water (Lara-Gonzalo et al. 2008; Antoniou et al.  
185 2006; San Juan et al. 2007; Stack et al. 2000; Valencia et al. 2013, Maia et al. 2014). The analytical method used in this  
186 work and the optimization of extraction conditions were based on a previous reported procedure with some modifications  
187 (Milton-Moreano et al. 2012; Cho, D.-H., et al. 2003). The extraction was carried out using a carboxen /  
188 polydimethylsiloxane fiber (CAR/PDMS 85 µm) purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Before use, each fiber was exposed to  
189 injector port of GC under a helium flow and conditioned at 250 °C for 30 minutes to remove contaminants and to stabilize  
190 the solid phase. For HS-SPME extraction, 2 ml of sample was transferred to sample vials of 4 ml with a magnetic bar, 250  
191 µl of saturated sodium chloride salt and internal standard (IS) solution. The samples were sealed using a screw cap,**

192 containing a PTFE-faced rubber septum. The analytes were extracted at 40 °C for 30 minutes with stirring speed of 250  
193 rpm. When the extraction was complete, the fiber was immediately introduced into the GC injection port to 270 °C during 4  
194 minutes for desorption.

195 The THMs were analyzed into a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph coupled to an ion trap mass spectrometer  
196 Varian Saturn 2000 MS (Varian, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The analytical column was a DB-5ms 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm  
197 (Agilent Technologies). The GC temperature program was 40 °C for 4 minutes, 10 °C/min to 120 °C and hold for 1.5 min,  
198 25 °C/min to 250 °C and hold for 5 min. The total run time was 23.7 min.

199 The injection was conducted in the split mode with a split ratio of 1:10 for 3 min at 220 °C. Helium gas was used  
200 as carrier gas at a constant pressure of 29 kPa and constant flow rate of 1 ml / min. The source and transfer line  
201 temperatures at 250 °C and 180 °C respectively. Electron ionization mass spectra were recorded in scan mode using the  
202 m/z 29-300 at 3.5 scan per s. The ions selected (SIM mode) for identification and quantification of THMs were: CHCl<sub>3</sub>: 47,  
203 83, 85, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br: 83, 85, 129, CHClBr<sub>2</sub>: 79, 127, 129, CHBr<sub>3</sub>: 171, 173, 175 and IS 1,2-dibromopropane: 42, 121, 123  
204 (SIM mode). Each compound was quantified by comparing the relative area of internal standard (IS) to the target ion.

205 Standard solution of THM mixture (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br, CHClBr<sub>2</sub> and CHBr<sub>3</sub>) containing each compound at 100 µg/  
206 µL MeOH were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid Spain) Standard stock and Intermediate solutions at 20 µg/ml and  
207 200 µg/L, respectively were obtained by the dilution of stock standard solution with methanol (Merk Darmstadt, Germany).  
208 Working solutions of THMs were diluted using ultrapure water (18 MΩcm) from a Milli-Q water-purification system  
209 (Millipore, Watford, UK). The internal standard (IS) solution (1,2-dibromopropane) was prepared in methanol at 5 mg/L to  
210 achieve a final concentration of 5 µg/L.

211 For validation of the method the linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), repeatability,  
212 reproducibility and recovery extraction were determined. The linearity of the method was evaluated over standard solutions  
213 prepared with ultrapure water spiked with concentration range from 5 to 100 µg/L of each THMs. The linearity was  
214 examined by plotting calibration curves of the relative area (ratio of the peak areas of the THMs to the peak areas of the  
215 internal standard) versus the concentration of each analyte. Overall, linearity was very good along the whole evaluated  
216 range with (R<sup>2</sup>) ranging from 0.990 to 0.999. The detection and quantification limits were calculated with the data  
217 generated in the linearity studies, as the analyte concentration that corresponds to a signal equal to “a+3Sy” and  
218 “a+10Sy/x” respectively where “a” is the origin ordinate, and “Sy/x” indicates the random error for the slopes. The LOD  
219 were: 1.3, 0.8, 1.1 and 0.9 µg/L and LOQ: 4.2, 2.5, 3.6 and 3.0 µg/L for CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>2</sub>Br, CHClBr<sub>2</sub> and CHBr<sub>3</sub>  
220 respectively.

221 The precision of the method was evaluated by determination of the repeatability and reproducibility analyzing  
222 ultrapure water samples spiked with 5 µg/L of each THMs and presented as relative standard deviations (RSDs). The  
223 repeatability was studied by analyzing seven water samples in one day. The values range of repeatability (RSD) were 6-9  
224 %. The reproducibility refers to the analysis of 12 samples over 3 different days. The value range of reproductibility  
225 obtained was 7-12% (RSD%) The recoveries from spiked samples (% REC, n=5; THM of 5, 50, 100 and 150 µg/L) were  
226 88.9, 91.7, 99.2 and 108.2 % respectively.

### 227 **2.3.2. Quality assurance and quality control.**

228 Before analyzing samples from each sampling campaign (monthly), the quality of the analytical method was  
229 controlled by introducing four external standards with different concentrations of THMs, verifying the consistency of the  
230 results obtained with the parameters of the analytical method validation (RSD<9 %) and the linearity (R<sup>2</sup>>0.99). All THM

concentrations were determined with two replicates samples, checking the precision of the measurements. Blanks were also used for background correction and checking of other sources of error. At each sampling campaign three white field and three white spiked with 20 µg/L of each THM were analyzed and were subtracted from the analytical results of THMs in water samples, to remove the contribution of contamination in laboratory and sampling process.

#### 2.4. Statistical analyses

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were carried out to determine whether the differences observed between the average concentrations of DBPs in the different sub-groups of samples, grouped by season, treatment process and spatial localization were statistically significant. Pearson correlation was conducted to evaluate the statistically significant variables of the system at the 95 % significance. In order to predict the formation of THMs according the treatment processes used, four statistical models were developed. For model development, multiple regression analysis was performed using Minitab 15 software (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA, 2007). The results from the experimental were compared with the predicted value for the model validation.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. THMs presence at WTP and Distribution Systems

Alj WTP treats the raw water of the Chanza Reservoir. Table 1 summarizes the chemical characteristics of this water. Raw water used in the treatment processes was taken from Piedras Canal, and comes from Chanza Reservoir. DOC level was 5.69 mg/L, (min/max: 2.15 to 12.2 mg/L), and the value of UV absorbance at 254 nm was 0.112 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Specific UV absorbance (SUVA) was 1.58 L/m mg estimated by the ratio of UV absorbance relative to the concentration of DOC (UV254\*100/DOC). Frequently bromide levels were moderately low (175.15 µg/L), although occasionally measured peak values in summer were higher than 315 µg/L. The total trihalomethanes formation potential was 145.52 µg/L (min/max: 127.26 to 158.3 µg/L).

Absorbance in the ultraviolet range is directly related to hydrophobic/aromatic content of natural organic matter (NOM) (Iriarte-Velasco et al. 2007). The SUVA was 1.59 and denotes medium aromatic of NOM contained in the raw water. The concentrations of THMs in the treated water in each process are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3. THMs mean values in the distribution system are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 4. As we can see in Table 2, the lowest concentrations of THMs are obtained with the process P3 which ranged from 20.58 to 57.6 µg/L with a mean value of 40.94 µg/L. In this process, ozone oxidizes the organic matter contained in the raw water, removing most of the organic precursors and preventing their reaction in the subsequent treatment. Likewise, the addition of sodium hypochlorite during the sedimentation process does not affect significantly the formation of THMs. Finally, GAC stage helps the stability of the treatment, maintaining low THM values at WTP treated water.

With the treatment process P2, the raw water organic matter was oxidized with potassium permanganate, and activated carbon powder was applied as a coadjuvant of CFS. The use of powdered activated carbon as coadjuvant of CFS (dose: 10-50 mg/L) favors removal of organic matter, THM and allows coagulant dose reduction (Álvarez-Uriarte et al. 2010) KMnO<sub>4</sub> and PAC adsorption, can enhance the performance of conventional water treatment processes in removing DBP precursors (Wenhai Chu et al. 2012). Preoxidation with ozone or with potassium permanganate benefited the subsequent coagulation process. Their efficacies were influenced by the oxidant dosage and water quality (Chen et al. 2007). The results show that using P2 sedimentation process is improved due to the 12 % reduction of the coagulant. Also CHCl<sub>3</sub> (TCM) decreased using P2, favored by the application of activated carbon powder. However, the decrease of total

269 THM (TTHM) is not significant compared to P4, probably because the dosage of activated carbon is low, and the effect of  
270 chlorination intermediate, by the reaction of chlorine with organic precursors not oxidized in the preoxidation with  
271 permanganate.

272 The process P4 is the simplest and cheapest method, which is based on a preoxidation with potassium  
273 permanganate without using chlorine during the treatment process. The chlorine is applied to the treated water, for  
274 disinfection before distribution system. Despite its simplicity, this process has been successfully applied, with low values of  
275 THM at the effluent of the WTP and distribution system, but on the contrary, it has the disadvantage of a high dispersion  
276 thorough out the distribution system, especially in the summer, as well as an increased proliferation of algae at the Pulsator  
277 clarifier, requiring a periodic cleaning.

278 The conventional process P1, was used in Alj WTP prior to 2008, in this case THMs concentrations obtained were  
279 high (average and maximum values of 112.31 and 146  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) due to the direct application of chlorine to the organic matter  
280 in raw water, where THMs are formed as soon as chlorine is added to water. During the subsequent processes of  
281 sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection, DBPs were formed through a reaction of residual chlorine with precursors in  
282 water. Under the conditions studied, the process P1 is not effective to meet the current regulatory requirements (<100  $\mu\text{g/L}$   
283 TTHM from 2009).

284 On the other hand, the formation of THM species is presented in Tables 2 and 3. In the treated waters outside the  
285 Alj WTP, before distribution,  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (TCM) was the predominant THM compound, representing averaged percentages of  
286 51.5 % (57.84  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 56.2 % (23.48  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 36.41 % (21.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), and 35.27 % (14.44  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) with processes P1, P4, P2, and  
287 P3 respectively. With all the treatment processes,  $\text{CHBrCl}_2$  (BDCM) was found to be the most important brominated THM  
288 but the levels were lower than the maximum acceptable level recommended by the WHO (60  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), mainly for P3 (12.6  
289  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and P4 (11.7  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Lower average levels of  $\text{CHBr}_2\text{Cl}$  (DBCM) were found at P1, P2, P3 and P4: 19.51 % (21.91  
290  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 29.11 % (17.04  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 29.9 % (12.24  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and 12.66 % (5.29  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) respectively. Therefore,  $\text{CHBr}_3$  (TBM) was not  
291 found using the processes P1, P2 and P4.

292 During the chlorination process, bromine as well as chlorine tends to react with NOM to form organo-bromine by-  
293 products. This fact indicates that both bromine-NOM interactions during ozonation and bromine/chlorine-NOM interaction  
294 during chlorination play a very important role in controlling the formation of bromate or halogenated DBPs in water  
295 treatment (Teksoy et al. 2008).

296 The lowest values of TCM, BDCM and DBCM were determined with P3 (preozonation using GAC filtration),  
297 which appears to be the most effective process to remove brominated THM precursors. This fact is probably due to the  
298 adsorption capacity of GAC, which reduces the aliphatic groups responsible for the brominated THMs formation.

299 The distribution of THM species at the water supply was comparable to the treated water outside Alj WTP, but the  
300 levels are slightly higher. Table 3 and Fig. 4 show that the lowest average values of THM in the distribution system were  
301 obtained with the P4 (48.8  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) but with higher dispersion (22.3-98.95  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). The process with less variability was P3  
302 (40.7-82.4  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Likewise, there was an increase of brominated species in the water supply. The greatest increase of  
303 brominated species was observed with P3 process (48.9 %); this may be due to increased pH and bromide in water, due to  
304 the dosage of sodium hypochlorite used for disinfection and subsequent re-chlorinations (Garcia-Villanova et al. 2010, Lin  
305 et al. 2014, Roccaro et al. 2014).

### 306 3.2. Seasonal Variations of THMs

307 High temperatures will generally increase the rate of reaction, so water temperature was significantly correlated  
308 with the ratio of THMs. In addition to the direct effects of temperature, seasonal variations in the characteristics and  
309 composition of organic precursors have also been found to be significant (Brown et al. 2011). The THM occurrence in  
310 urban distribution systems is highly variable with the ambient temperature, but also with the localization. THMs increase  
311 about 1.5 to 2 times from the effluent of the plant to the end. Moreover, when water temperature exceeds 15 °C, spatial  
312 THM variations are particularly high (from 2 to 4 times higher). However, it is difficult to identify the effect of each  
313 operational and water quality parameter on THM occurrence in water utilities, due to the interaction between parameters  
314 (Rodriguez and Serodes 2001).

315 A previous study carried out at the Buyukcekmece water treatment plant in Istanbul City, which use conventional  
316 treatment, showed that THM concentrations vary significantly between finished waters and water at the distribution  
317 network. When water temperature exceeds 24 °C in summer, the THM levels are particularly 1.2 to 1.8 times higher than  
318 finished water, while when water temperature is below 15 °C in the spring and autumn, the measured THM concentrations  
319 at the distribution system were very rarely higher than 100 µg/L (Toroz and Uyack 2005). Equally, a study of Karoom  
320 River water, showed that THM formation potential (THMFP) levels vary significantly from one season to another; when  
321 water temperature exceeds 26 °C in summer, THMFP levels are particularly 1.2 to 1.6 times higher than spring season.  
322 (Fooladvand et al. 2011). Most of the distribution systems studied showed THM seasonal variation between cold and warm  
323 months (Summerhayes et al. 2011, Lee et al. 2013, Charisiadis et al. 2015, Scheili et al. 2015, Uyak et al. 2014), but some  
324 papers did not find any correlation between water temperature and THMs content (El-Shahat et al. 1998; Gallard and Von-  
325 Gunten 2002).

326 In this study, as can be seen in Fig. 5, significant seasonal variations of THMs occurred in the finished water of  
327 Alj WTP with the four processes studied. Levels of THMs were higher during summer and lower in winter. Indeed, the  
328 average levels of THMs measured in the summer months at the distribution system were from 1.17 to 1.85 times higher  
329 than the average levels in the winter months, while the temperature varied from 24.7 °C in summer to 14.3 °C in winter. It  
330 was also observed that the seasonal variations of the water quality can be influenced by the treatment process. Likewise,  
331 while using the processes P1, P2 and P3, THM concentrations increased (1.21, 1.22 and 1.17 times, respectively) from  
332 winter to summer, the seasonal variation is higher with P4 (1.85 times higher in summer). As can be observed, the lowest  
333 seasonal variation occurred with the process P3, which can be related to the better efficiency of organic precursors removal  
334 (ozonation+GAC) of this process against that is used in P4. However, lower THMs concentrations were measured with the  
335 four treatment processes in winter than in summer.

336 On the other hand, we applied ANOVA to the TTHM levels measured during summer and winter and, the results  
337 indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the means of TTHM in both seasonal periods.

### 338 3.3. Spatial Variations of THMs

339 In this study the influence of the distance (measured in kilometers of pipeline) from sampling points to the Alj.  
340 WTP on the formation of THMs were analyzed. As can be observed in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 a positive correlation was been  
341 found between THMs concentration and distance to the Alj WTP, which depends on the treatment process. The results  
342 show that in all treatment processes studied, there are considerable differences between the concentration of THMs in the  
343 treatment plant and THMs at the ends of the distribution system. The formation of THMs in the WTP represents about 90.1



344 %, 65.5 %, 58.2 %, and 69.9 % (P1-P4 respectively) of the THMs found at the end of the distribution system, while the rest  
345 was formed in the pipelines and reservoirs.

346 Depending on the treatment process, average THM levels were from 1.1 to 1.7 times higher at the ends of the  
347 system than in the effluent of the WTP. The range of THMs (span between minimal and maximal values) was lower using  
348 P3. The highest range concentrations of THMs were found in the sampling points located at the ends (North And), in  
349 summer.

350 Applying ANOVA on the distribution of concentrations of TTHM in Coast and “North Andévalo” with the four  
351 processes studied (normal:  $p=0.071>0.05$ ), the existence of significant differences were demonstrated ( $p<0.005$ ) between  
352 the means of TTHM concentration of both groups.

353 The increase of the THMs concentration with the distance may be due to several factors, especially the contact  
354 time, which reaches high levels in the distribution system. It can also significantly affect the addition of hypochlorite in  
355 successive re-chlorination for water disinfection and to maintain the residual chlorine concentration required by  
356 regulations.

### 357 **3.4. Factors affecting THM formation**

#### 358 **3.4.1. Influence of chlorine dose used in the WTP**

359 In this paper we have also analyzed the influence of chlorine dose applied in each treatment process concerning  
360 the formation of THMs in treated water. With conventional treatment process P1 the preoxidation was directly on the raw  
361 water using a high dose of chlorine to maintain 0.5 mg/L of residual chlorine in the decanter. Then in the second stage was  
362 dosed sodium hypochlorite for disinfecting the finished water prior to distribution. Mean total dose of chlorine applied P1  
363 was 5.23 mg/L, resulting in high concentrations of THM (average of 112.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). With the treatment process P2, organic  
364 matter was initially oxidized with  $\text{KMnO}_4$  avoiding chlorine dosage in the first treatment stage, chlorine was dosed into the  
365 decanter to prevent the formation of algae and in the treated water for disinfection prior to distribution. The average dose  
366 used in P2 was 4.66 mg/L. THMs concentrations obtained were lower than P1 (P2 average 58.53  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). In the treatment  
367 process P3, organic matter was oxidized with ozone, chlorine was dosed into the decanter and at treated water for  
368 disinfection prior to distribution. The average total dose of chlorine applied in P3 was 4.63 mg/L and the lowest of THMs  
369 (40.94  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) concentrations were obtained. Finally, in the process P4, organic matter is oxidized with  $\text{KMnO}_4$  avoiding  
370 chlorine dosing during treatment until the final disinfecting before distribution reservoir. Finally, low THMs concentrations  
371 are also obtained with P4 (average: 41.78  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) with an average chlorine dose 2.63 mg/L (Table 4). In the four treatment  
372 processes studied we obtained significant differences of THMs applying similar doses of chlorine. Thus we proved the  
373 nonexistence of correlation between the dose of chlorine in WTP and THMs formation. It was found that the dose of  
374 chlorine in the WTP has less influence on the formation of THMs than the type of oxidation process and chlorine dosing  
375 point.

376 Then, we can conclude that the most effective way to minimize DBP occurrence in drinking water distribution  
377 systems is to remove organic matter before the first chlorination point. This strategy has the advantage of favoring the  
378 control and effectiveness of disinfection downstream and reducing DBP formation, with the associated potential health  
379 risks. According to the above described results, we can suggest that preoxidation of organic matter with non-chlorine  
380 compounds may reduce the occurrence of THMs during the treatment process, as well as a delay of chlorine injection to the  
381 last stage would also contribute.

382 Then, the process P3 (ozonation + CAG) generates the lowest concentration of THMs due to its effective removal  
383 of the organic matter before chlorination, and good results are also obtained with P4 process (KMnO<sub>4</sub>) in which chlorine  
384 injection is delayed.

### 385 3.4.2. Influence and correlation of temperature, pH, DOC and chlorine dose

386 Numerous studies based on field data have demonstrated the influence of operational parameters and water quality  
387 in the evolution of THMs concentration in the distribution system. Studies based on field data are more realistic, however  
388 have limitations due to the difficulty of measuring some parameters and the difficulty of analyzing simultaneously the  
389 changes in the water quality and operational variable occurring in the "real scenario" affected by the conditions of  
390 distribution systems and specially for the treatment processes. Due to the interaction of these and other factors, the results  
391 of studies based on field data do not always match well.

392 Most of studies reported a significant positive correlation of temperature and DOC in the formation of TTHM.  
393 Using Pearson correlation a moderate positive correlation (r: 0.520) and a strong positive correlation (r: 0.927) was  
394 reported by Toroz and Uyack et al (2005), respectively. In the same way Liu et al (2015) found higher variations of THMs  
395 in water at high temperature. Similarly, many studies establishes that water temperature can promote the reaction of  
396 trihalomethanes, although some authors have observed lower correlations with the temperature (r: 0.441) and DOC (r:  
397 0.585), although a higher correlation was found between the residence time and the formation of THMs, especially TCM (r:  
398 0.89) with a nonlinear relationship (El-Shafy and Grünwald 2000).

399 It has been shown that increases in pH can increase the formation of THMs. Chowdhury and Champagne (2008)  
400 identified pH, temperature and reaction time as significant for THMs formation. Fooladvand et al. (2011) found a  
401 remarkable effect of alkaline pH on THMs formation. A positive correlation has also been found between chlorine dose and  
402 THMs formation. Abdullah M.P. et al (2009) found that a high pH value could increase the THM level. Using Pearson  
403 correlation, a low but significant relationship (r: 0.285) was found between pH and the formation of THM. High pH levels  
404 increase the hydrolysis rate of the THMs precursors and increase the rate of reaction with chlorine. Increasing pH from 6 to  
405 8.5 promote significantly the formation of TTHM. On the other hand, it has also been found a high between pH and the  
406 dose of chlorine. TTHM concentration increases with increasing dose of chlorine, but the change is not directly  
407 proportional to the applied dose of chlorine. (Fooladvand et al.2011; El-Dib and Rizkak 1995).

408 Recently response surface methodology (RSM) has been employed in several studies at the laboratory scale to  
409 investigate the effect and the interaction between different parameters on THMs and other DBPs formation during water  
410 disinfection. Studies developed through laboratory experiments have been found to be more reliable than field-scale study  
411 because they employ controlled conditions where effects of a particular parameter can be determined by keeping the other  
412 parameter constants or by performing factorial analyses (Chowdhury et al. 2009, Bezerra et al. 2008). Studies based on  
413 laboratory have clear advantages but not always reflect the changes that occur in the "real scenario" of the WTP and its  
414 distribution system. Therefore both types of studies can be complementary to evaluate the factors affecting the formation of  
415 THMs.

416 Doederer K et al. (2014) applied RSM and concluded that increased temperatures led to higher formation of  
417 THMs, during chlorination, pH was identified as the major influencing factor for most DBP formation, except for TCM for  
418 which the reaction time was the most significant factor. Chlorination at elevated pH led to an increased formation of the  
419 four THMs. Watson K, et al. (2015) studied 18 synthetic waters of differing characteristics found that DOC was the  
420 predominant factor in the formation of TCM. Formation of fully chlorinated DBPs was highly dependent on DOC

concentration at the point of disinfection, with high DOC levels being correlated to high chlorinated DBP formation. Molar yield of THM increased with DOC, bromide and chlorine concentration. Platikanov et al. (2010) found that higher DOM fraction concentration led to higher THM concentration, an increase of pH corresponding to higher concentration levels of chloroform and reduced bromoform, higher levels of chlorine dose and temperature produced a rise in the total THM formation, especially of the chlorinated THMs; higher bromide concentration generates higher concentrations of brominated THMs.

Our study was performed with field data. THM formation was evaluated at three distribution system reservoirs: San Bartolomé (SB), Tharsis (TH) and Cabezas Rubias (CR) located at 34, 55 and 71 km respectively from Alj WTP. The samples are grouped considering the seasonality: 1) HRT taken from May to October, with water temperature in the range of 19.3-28.7 °C and 2) LRT taken during January, February, March, April, November and December with water temperature in the range of 9.1-19.3 °C.

The flow diagram for the system studied was WTP ► SB Reservoir ► TH Reservoir ► CR Reservoir. There is automatic re-chlorination with automatic equipment for measuring residual chlorine and automatic dosing of sodium hypochlorite in each reservoir, which ensures the concentration of free residual chlorine in the water reservoir within the value range assigned (chlorine values of 1-1.2 mg/L maintaining chlorine range of 0.2-0.8 mg/L in the consumers tap, as required by regulation). Statistical analysis using Pearson correlation showed no correlation ( $r$ : 0.17-0.23) between chlorine dose applied to each rechlorination process and the presence of THMs in water distribution system; however a clear correlation with the total chlorine applied (sum of doses of chlorine added) was observed ( $r$ : 0.578-0.865).

After that, using Pearson correlation method we have assessed the influence and correlation of the accumulated dose (D) of disinfectant chlorine applied in treatment and re-chlorination ( $D$  = sum of doses of chlorine added output from the WTP and subsequent re-chlorinations to the sampling point), contact time in the distribution system (t) temperature (T), DOC and pH of the water on the formation of THMs in distribution system of Alj WTP in the samples collected at SB, TH and CR reservoirs, with the two groups of temperatures studied, HRT and LRT according to the water temperature. (Table 5 and 6). The statistical analysis showed a very good positive correlation between the temperature and TTHM with the highest incidence at high temperature range (HRT: 19.3 to 28.7°C). We have also found a direct positive relation between the water pH and the formation of THM with the four studied processes. On the other hand, re-chlorination favors the increase of water pH ( $r$ : 0.545-0.932) and finally, THM concentration was also found to be positively correlated with the accumulated dose of chlorine by successive re-chlorination effect.

By contrast, a moderate correlation of organic matter such as DOC with THM formation has been found, but there is a certain correlation between the decrease in DOC and the accumulated doses of chlorine, which is more important at higher temperature range ( $r$ : -0.577, -0.741, -0.666 and -0.703 in P1, P2, P3 and P4.).

### 3.4.3. Residence time

The residence time of water in reservoirs is calculated considering the flow of water supplied to each population nucleus by assuming complete mixing inside the reservoirs. In Alj WTP distribution system there was significant seasonal differences in water consumption of populations due to high summer temperatures and the increase in the population living in the tourist resorts of the coast. Increased water consumption in summer implies a reduction of average residence times in the water reservoirs located at “El Andévalo” and Cost of 30.3 % and 66 %, respectively over the winter. The reduction of the residence times in the reservoirs favors the reduction of chlorine dose and pH, and consequently the reduction of the

THMs levels. This effect is opposite to that occurring due to the increase of temperature in summer. In Alj WTP supply high residence times were measured in winter (13.7 days in the farthest reservoir).

Finally, according to the results obtained in the four treatment processes, using Pearson correlation a strong correlation between the residence time and THM in the two periods analyzed ( $r$ : 0.882-0.998) was found. The increase of THM concentrations was a nonlinear relationship which can be expressed as a potential function of residence time.

### 3.5. Modelling

Different modelling approaches have been used to establish relations between water quality and operational parameters with DBP concentrations in water. Most of the reported models have used DOC (or TOC), disinfectant dose, pH, temperature, and reaction time as the explanatory parameters. (Chowdhury et al. 2009; Uyak et al. 2005; Rodriguez et al. 2000; Serodes et al. 2003)

Using THMs occurrence data and operational data from Database 2 four models were investigated to predict THMs presence in the distribution system, according to the treatment process applied. To evaluate the statistical significant variables, multiple regression analysis was applied, using Minitab statistical software. Simple variables are selected that can be measured in the reservoirs with automatic instruments, directly or indirectly, in order to facilitate the implementation and practical application of the model to the control of water quality through supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) and remote control. The explanatory variables with high significance ( $p < 0.05$ ) considered in the model were temperature °C (T), dissolved organic carbon mg/L (DOC), water pH (pH) and accumulated dose of chlorine, mg/L (D). In each treatment process, values have been divided into two seasonal groups (HRT and LRT) according to the water temperature. In this way it is possible to get better correlations and lower error with a single model for the entire period.

The model chosen was a logarithmic regression  $y = K (x)^a (z)^b \dots (m)^n$  where “y” denotes the variable to be modelled, in this case TTHM levels; “x,z...m” denote the explanatory variables, a,b,...m represent the statistical coefficients to be estimated, and “K” is a constant term. The results of the regression analysis of the models are presented in Table 7 and it was found to be statistically significant for all variables ( $p < 0.05$ ). In general, the statistical determination coefficient of the models  $R^2$  and the standard error of the estimates appeared satisfactory. In addition the comparison of measured and modeled THM values with  $R^2$  value of 0.968 to 0.830 is satisfactory.

In full-scale study, the quantification of certain operational parameters suffers from uncertainties. A number of interventions have been implemented to reduce the effect of these uncertainties on the quality of the developed model.

During the study period, the CFS process was controlled. Each week Jar-Test was performed to adjust the dose of coagulant, flocculant and oxidant. Decanting process was controlled to prevent flocs leakage; during the study period the decanted water turbidity remained less than 0.7 NTU. Good practices on treatment processes were applied; involvement and experience of the management company was crucial. At all times stable process conditions were maintained, avoiding sampling during process occasional fluctuations.

Sodium hypochlorite was used in the disinfection process; the concentration of chlorine in the solution decreases with storage time and temperature, therefore it was taken into account the concentration of chlorine in sodium hypochlorite (150-123 gr/L) for calculating the accumulated dose of chlorine and chlorine dose. The residence time of water in reservoirs is calculated daily considering the flow of water supplied to each population nucleus by assuming complete mixing inside the reservoirs. The residence time was the weekly average, in stable conditions. The range of application of

the model is determined by the characteristics of the study site T: (12.7-28.7); D: (2.48-9.7); pH: (6.87-8.08); COD: (2.13-3.58)

The developed model can be used as a basic tool to choose the water treatment process to apply, according to the explanatory variables and considering treatment cost. As an example, with the application of the P3 model to data collected in July, THMs values were 25.6, 45.1, 63.4 and 45.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in WTP, SB, TH and CR, respectively. By applying the P4 model to the same data, THMs values were 57.5, 80.9, 105.6 and 89.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . In relation to these results it can be predicted that the most appropriate treatment process applied in the month of July was P3 despite its higher cost (pre-ozonation: 4.70  $\text{€}/1000\text{m}^3$ ); the P4 process would not be appropriate in the month of July because Tharsis reservoir exceeds the legal limit of THM (100  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). If the same comparative analysis was performed with data from the month of November, low levels of THMs were obtained with both processes with no significant differences between them. Therefore, in November the P4 process would be the most appropriate treatment for their lower cost (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ :  $\text{€ } 2.48/1000 \text{ m}^3$ ).

#### 4. Conclusions

In this paper we investigated the influence of four different treatment processes on the seasonal and spatial occurrence of THMs in a water distribution system. The results can be summarized in the following items:

- Significant seasonal variations of THMs in the distribution system have been found; in this way THMs measured in summer were 1.17-1.85 times higher than that in winter.
- Significant spatial variations of THMs have also been found, ranging from 1.1 to 1.7 times higher at the end of distribution system than in WTP treated water.
- Both spatial and seasonal variations are strongly related to effectiveness of organic precursors removal process.
- The advanced process P3 (preozonation, CFS, Sand Filtration and GAC filtration) was the most effective and stable treatment process, getting the lowest values of THMs with less influence of seasonal and spatial variation. In conventional process P4 (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ , CFS, Sand Filtration) low concentrations of THMs were obtained in the distribution system. This process has advantages of simplicity and low cost, but has disadvantages associated to the high presence of THMs in summer and proliferation of algal blooms in the decanter. **The keys for this process (P4) are the optimization of oxidation treatment and the delay of the chlorination.** The treatment process P2 (pre- $\text{KMnO}_4$ , PAC, CFS and Sand Filtration) improved the sedimentation, reducing the coagulant dose (12 %) and allowing a good removal of TCM, although the THM decreasing is not lower than that in conventional process P4 and P3. THMs values obtained with conventional treatment P1 were too high, due to the direct application of pre-chlorination on the organic matter in raw water; therefore the process P1 is not recommended.
- **No correlation was found between the dose of chlorine applied in the water treatment plant and level of THMs in distribution system, however good correlation of the chlorine accumulated dose (D= sum of chlorine dose added in WTP and re-chlorinations along WDS) was found. This fact has not been reported previously.**
- **A direct relationship of the temperature and pH of the water with the level of THMs in the distribution system was found. In addition was checked that increasing the residence time increases concentration of THM.**

- 535
- 536
- 537
- 538
- 539
- 540
- Predictive models were developed for each treatment process with simple variables that can be measured in the reservoirs with automatic instruments: accumulated dose of chlorine (D), temperature (T), pH and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). In each treatment process, values have been divided into two seasonal groups (HRT and LRT) according to the water temperature. The comparison of measured and modeled THM values (with R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.968 to 0.830) was satisfactory. This fact corroborates the seasonal influence in the occurrence of THMs.
  - Based on the results obtained may be recommended the advanced treatment process P3 (Preozonation, CFS, Sand filtration and GAC) as the most effective and stable to maintain a controlled and lower formation of THMs in drinking water. A good practice to minimize the formation of THMs is the effective removal of organic matter before the first chlorination point. It is also advisable to use predictive models to select the suitable process according to the characteristics of the water distribution system and environmental conditions, in order to minimize THMs levels and treatment cost.
- 541
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545
- 546

547 **Ethical Statement**

548

549 The authors manifest that manuscript has not been submitted to other journal and the results have not published

550 previously. Consent to submit has been received explicitly from all co-authors and no have conflict of interest.

551

552 **Acknowledgements**

553 The authors wish to express their appreciation to the plant managers GIAHSA (Gestión Integral del Agua de

554 Huelva S.A.) and employees for their help and cooperation in facilitating the collection of water samples during this study.

555 This work was supported by the project CTM2012-38720-C03-01 from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and

556 Competitiveness (MINECO), and by projects P009-FQM-4659 and P12-FQM-0442 from the Regional Ministry of

557 Economy, Innovation, Science and Employment (Andalusian Government, Spain).

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568



569 **References**

570

571 **Abdullah, Md.P., Yee L.F., Ata S., Abdullah A., Ishak B., Abidin K.N.Z. (2009). The study of interrelationship between**  
572 **raw water quality parameters, chlorine demand and the formation of disinfection by-products. *Physics and***  
573 ***Chemistry of the Earth*, 34 (13-16), 806-81.**

574 **Álvarez-Uriarte, J.I., Iriarte-Velasco, U., Chimenó-Alanís, N., González-Velasco, J.R. (2010). The effect of mixed oxidant**  
575 **and powdered activated carbon on the removal of natural organic matter. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 181(1-3),**  
576 **426-31.**

577 **Andalusia Government (2009) Decree 70/2009. March 31<sup>th</sup>, Regulation of Health Surveillance and Water Quality for**  
578 **Human Consumption of Andalusia. BOJA 73.**

579 **Antoniou, C.V., Koulouraki, E.E., Diamadopoulou, E. (2006). Determination of chlorinated volatile organic compounds in**  
580 **water and municipal wastewater using headspace–solid phase microextraction–gas chromatography. *Journal of***  
581 ***Chromatography A*, 1132, 310-14.**

582 **Badawy, M.I., Gad-Allah T.A., Ali M.E., Yoon Y. (2012). Minimization of the formation of disinfection by-products.**  
583 ***Chemosphere*, 89, 235-40.**

584 **Bezerra, M.A., Santelli, R.E., Oliveira, E.P., Villar, L.S., Escalera, L.A. (2008) Document Response surface methodology**  
585 **(RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta* 76 (5), 965-977.**

586 **Bove, G.E., Rogerson, P.A., Vena J.E. (2007). Case control study of the geographic variability of exposure to disinfectant**  
587 **by-products and risk for rectal cancer. *International Journal of Health Geographapycs*, 6, 18.**

588 **Brown, D., Bridgeman, J., West, J.R. (2011). Predicting chlorine decay and THM formation in water supply systems.**  
589 ***Reviews Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 10, 79-99.**

590 **Charisiadis, P., Andra, S. S., Makris, K. C., Christophi, C. A., Skarlatos, D., Vamvakousis, V., et al. (2015). Spatial and**  
591 **seasonal variability of tap water disinfection by-products within distribution pipe networks. *Science of The Total***  
592 ***Environment*, (506–507), 26-35.**

593 **Chen, C., Zhang, X., He, W., Lu, W., Han, H. (2007). Comparison of seven kinds of drinking water treatment processes to**  
594 **enhance organic material removal. A pilot test. *Science of Total Environment*, 382(1), 93-102.**

595 **Cho, D.-H., Kong, S.-H., Oh, S.-G. (2003). Analysis of trihalomethanes in drinking water using headspace-SPME**  
596 **technique with gas chromatography. *Water Research* 37(2), 402–408**

597 **Chowdhury, S., Champagne, P., McLellan, P.J. (2009). Models for predicting disinfection by-product (DBP) formation in**  
598 **drinking waters: A chronological review. *Science of Total Environment*, 407(14), 4189-206.**

599 **Chowdhury S., Champagne P., (2008). An investigation on parameters for modeling THMs formation. *Global Nest***  
600 ***Journal*, 10(1), 80-91.**

601 **Doederer, K., Gernjaka, W., Weinbergh, H.S., Farré, M.J. (2014) Factors affecting the formation of disinfection by-**  
602 **products during chlorination and chloramination of secondary effluent for the production of high quality recycled**  
603 **water. *Water Researh*, 48(1), 218-228**

604 **El-Dib, M.A., Ali. R., (1995). THMs Formation during chlorination of raw Nile River water. *Water Research*, 29(1), 375-**  
605 **78.**

606 **El-Shafy, M.A., Grünwald, A. (2000). THM formation in water supply in south Bohemia, Czech Republic. *Water***  
607 ***Research*, 34(13), 3453-59.**

608 El-Shahat, M.F., Abdel-Halim, S.H., Hassan, G.A. (1998). Evaluation of trihalomethanes in water treatment plants'Outputs  
609 in Cairo, Egypt during 1991 to 1993. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 60(3), 502-506

610 European Commission (1998) European Directive 98/83/EC 3rd of November 1998 related to quality water for human  
611 consumption. Off J Eur Comm L 330/32, 5.12.1998

612 Fooladvand, M., Ramanvandi, B., Zandi, K., Ardestani, M. (2011). Investigation of trihalomethanes formation potential in  
613 Karoon River water, Iran. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 178, 63-71.

614 Gallard, H., Von-Gunten, U. (2002). Chlorination of natural organic matter: Kinetics of chlorination and of THM  
615 formation. *Water Research*, 36(1), 65-74.

616 Garcia-Villanova, R.J., Dantas-Leite, M., Vilani-Oliveira, M., Hernández-Hierro, J.M., De-Castro, S., García, C.H. (2010).  
617 Occurrence of bromate, chlorite and chlorate in drinking water disinfected with hypochlorite reagents. Tracing  
618 their origins. *Science of Total Environment*, 408(12), 2616-20.

619 Gough, R., Holliman, P.J., Willis, N., Feeman, C. (2014). Dissolved organic carbon and trihalomethane precursor removal  
620 at a UK upland water treatment works. *Science of Total Environment*, 468-469, 228-39.

621 Grazuleviciene, R., Kapustinskiene, V., Vencloviene, J., Buinauskiene, J., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J. (2013) Risk of congenital  
622 anomalies in relation to the uptake of trihalomethane from drinking water during pregnancy *Occupational and*  
623 *Environmental Medicine*, 70 (4) 274–282.

624 Grellier, J., Bennett, J., Patelarou, E., Smith, R.B., Toledano, M.B., Rushton, L., Briggs, D.J., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.  
625 (2010). Exposure to disinfection by-products, fetal growth, and prematurity: a systematic review and meta-analysis  
626 *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 21 (3), 300–313

627 Iriarte-Velasco, U., Alvarez-Urriarte, J.I., González-Velasco, J.R. (2007). Removal and structural changes in natural organic  
628 matter in a Spanish water treatment plant using nascent chlorine. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 57(1),  
629 152-60.

630 Krasner, S.W., Weinberg, H.S., Richardson, S.D., Pastor, S.J., Chinn, R., Scrimanti, M.J., et al. (2006). Occurrence of a  
631 new generation of disinfection by-products. *Environmental Science and Technology* 40(23), 7175–85.

632 Lara-Gonzalo, A., Sanchez-Uría, J.E., Segovia-García, E., Sanz-Medel, A. (2008). Critical comparison of automated purge  
633 and trap and solid-phase microextraction for routine determination of volatile organic compounds in drinking  
634 waters by GC–MS. *Talanta* 2008, 74(5), 1455-62.

635 Lee, J.; Kim, E.-S.; Roh, B.-S.; Eom, S.-W.; Zoh, K.-D., (2013). Occurrence of disinfection by-products in tap water  
636 distribution systems and their associated health risk. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185(9), 7675-  
637 7691.

638 Legay, C., Rodriguez, M.J., Miranda-Moreno, L., Sérodes, J.B., Levallois, P. (2011). Multi-level modelling of chlorination  
639 by-product presence in drinking water distribution systems for human exposure assessment purposes.  
640 *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 178(1-4), 507-24.

641 Levallois, P., Gingras, S., Marcoux, S., Legay, C., Catto, C., Rodriguez, M., Tardif, R. (2012). Maternal exposure to  
642 drinking-water chlorination by-products and small-for-gestational-age neonates *Epidemiology*, 23(2) (2012), 267

643 Lin, T., Wu, S., Chen, W. (2014) Formation potentials of bromate and brominated disinfection by-products in bromide-  
644 containing water by ozonation. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 21(24), 13987-14003.

645 Liu, B., & Reckhow, D. A. (2015). Disparity in disinfection byproducts concentration between hot and cold tap water.  
646 *Water Research*, 70(0), 196-204.



647 Maia, R., Correia M., Brás I.M., Beleza M. (2014). Optimization of HS-SPME analytical conditions using factorial design  
648 for trihalomethanes determination in swimming pool water samples, *Microchemical Journal*, 112, 164-71.

649 Mercier Shanks, C., Sérodes, J.-B., & Rodriguez, M. J. (2013). Spatio-temporal variability of non-regulated disinfection  
650 by-products within a drinking water distribution network. *Water Research*, 47(9), 3231-3243.

651 Moreano-Rosero, M., Aguirre, M., Pezo, D., Taborda, G., Dussán, C., Nerin, C. (2012). Solventless Microextraction  
652 Techniques for Determination of Trihalomethanes by Gas Chromatography in Drinking Water. *Journal of water,  
653 air and soil pollution*, 223(2), 667-78.

654 Mouly, D., Joulin, E., Rosin, C., Beaudeau, P., Zeghnoun, A., Olszewski-Ortar, A. et al. (2010). Variations in  
655 trihalomethane levels in three French water distribution systems and the development of a predictive model. *Water  
656 Research* (10), 5168-79.

657 Platikanov, S., Tauler, R., Rodrigues, P.M.S.M., Pereira, D., Esteves da Silva, J.C.G. (2010) Factorial analysis of the  
658 trihalomethane formation in the reaction of colloidal, hydrophobic, and transphilic fractions of DOM with free  
659 chlorine. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 17(8), 1389-1400.

660 Plewa, M.J., Kargalioglu, Y., Vankerk, D., Minear, R.A., Wagner, E.D. (2002). Mammalian cell cytotoxicity and  
661 genotoxicity analysis of drinking water disinfection by-products. *Environmental and molecular mutagenesis*  
662 40(2), 134-42.

663 Rahman, M.B., Driscoll, T., Cowie, C., Armstrong, B.K. (2010). Disinfection by-products in drinking water and colorectal  
664 cancer: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 39(3), 733-745.

665 Roccaro, P., Korshin, G. V., Cook, D., Chow, C. W. K., & Drikas, M. (2014). Effects of pH on the speciation coefficients  
666 in models of bromide influence on the formation of trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. *Water Research*, 62(0),  
667 117-126.

668 Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., Demarini, D.M. (2007) Occurrence, genotoxicity, and  
669 carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: A review and roadmap for  
670 research. *Mutation Research-reviews in mutation research* 636(1-3), 178-242.

671 Rodríguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J. (2004). Behavior of trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids in a drinking water distribution  
672 system. *Water Research* 38(20), 4367-82.

673 Rodriguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J., Morin, M. (2000). Estimation of water utility compliance with trihalomethane regulations  
674 using modelling approach. *Journal Water Supply: Research and Technology* 49(2), 57-73.

675 Rodríguez, M.J., Sérodes, B.J. (2001). Spatial and temporal evolution of trihalomethanes in three water distribution  
676 systems. *Water Research*, 35(6), 1572-86.

677 Sadiq, R., Rodriguez, M.J. (2004). Disinfection by-products DBPs in drinking water and predictive models for their  
678 occurrence: A review. *Science of Total Environment*, 321(1-3), 21-46.

679 San-Juan, P.M., Carrillo, J.D., Tena, M.T. (2007). Fibre selection based on an overall analytical feature comparison for the  
680 solid-phase microextraction of trihalomethanes from drinking water. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1139(1), 27-  
681 35.

682 Sérodes, J.B., Rodriguez, M.J., Li, H.M., Bouchard, C. (2003). Occurrence of THMs and HAAs in experimental chlorinated  
683 waters of the Quebec City area (Canada). *Chemosphere* 51(4), 253-63.

684 Shanks, C.M., Sérodes, J.B., Rodriguez, M.J. (2003). Spatio-temporal variability of non-regulated disinfection by-products  
685 within a drinking water distribution network. *Water Research* 47(9), 3231-43.

686 Scheili, A., Rodriguez, M. J., & Sadiq, R. (2015). Seasonal and spatial variations of source and drinking water quality in  
687 small municipal systems of two Canadian regions. *Science of The Total Environment*, 508(0), 514-524,

688 Simard, A., Pelletier, G., Rodriguez, M. (2011). Water residence time in a distribution system and its impact on disinfectant  
689 residuals and trihalomethanes, *Journal of water supply research and technology-aqua*, 60 (6), 375-390.

690 Smith, V.L., Cech, I., Brown, J.H., Dogdan, G.F. (1980). Temporal Variations in Trihalomethane Content of drinking  
691 water. *Environmental Science & Technology* 14(2), 190-196.

692 Spanish Minister of Presideny (2003). Royal Decree 140/2003. February 7<sup>th</sup>, sanitation criteria of the human consumption  
693 water. BOE n°45 21/02/2003.

694 Stack, M.A., Fitzgerald, G., O'Connell, S., James, K.J. (2000). Measurement of trihalomethanes in potable and recreational  
695 waters using solid phase micro extraction with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Chemosphere* 41(11),  
696 1821-26.

697 Summerhayes, R.J., Morgan, G.G., Edwards, H.P., Lincoln, D., Earnest, A., Rahman, B., Beard, J.R., (2012). Exposure to  
698 trihalomethanes in drinking water and small-for-gestational-age births *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 23 (1),  
699 15–22.

700 Summerhayes, R. J., Morgan, G. G., Lincoln, D., Edwards, H. P., Earnest, A., Rahman, M. B., et al. (2011). Spatio-  
701 temporal variation in trihalomethanes in New South Wales. *Water Research*, 45(17), 5715-5726.

702 Teksoy, A., Alkan, U., Baskaya, H. S. (2008). Influence of the treatment process combinations on the formation of THM  
703 species in water. *Separation and purification technology*, 61(3), 447-54.

704 Toroz, I., Uyak, V. (2005). Seasonal variations of trihalomethanes (THMs)in water distribution networks of Istanbul City.  
705 *Desalination* 176 (1-3), 127–41.

706 United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2013). Basic information about disinfection byproducts in  
707 drinking water: total trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids, bromate, and chlorite.  
708 [water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/disinfectionbyproducts.cfm](http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/disinfectionbyproducts.cfm). Accessed 19 Feb 2014

709 Uyak, V., Ozdemir, K., Toroz, I. (2008). Seasonal variations of disinfection by-product precursors profile and their removal  
710 through surface water treatment plants. *Science of the total environment* 390(2-3), 417-24.

711 Uyak, V., Soyly, S., Tropal, T., Karapinar, N., Ozdemir, K., Ozaydin, S., Avsar, E. (2014). Spatial and Seasonal Variations  
712 of Disinfection Byproducts (DBPs) in Drinking Water Distribution Systems of Istanbul City, Turkey.  
713 *Environmental Forensics*, 15(2) 190-205

714 Uyak, V., Toroz, I., Meric, S. (2005). Monitoring and modeling of trihalomethanes (THMs) for a water treatment plant in  
715 Istanbul. *Desalination* 2005 (1-3),176, 91-101.

716 Valencia, S., Marín, J., Restrepo, G. (2013) Method for trihalomethane analysis in drinking water by solid-phase  
717 microextraction with gas chromatography and mass spectrometry detection; *Water Science & Technology: Water*  
718 *Supply*, 13 (2) 499-506

719 Villanueva, C.M., Gagniere, B., Monfort, C., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Cordier, S. (2007) Sources of variability in levels and  
720 exposure to trihalomethanes. *Environmental Research*, 103 (2), 211-20.

721 Watson, K., Farré, M.J., Birt, J., McGree, J., & Knight, N. (2015). Predictive models for water sources with high  
722 susceptibility for bromine-containing disinfection by-product formation: implications for water treatment.  
723 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(3), 1963-1978.

724 Wen-Hai, C., Nai-Yun, G., Yang, D., Bing-Zhi, D. (2009). Formation of chloroform during chlorination of alanine in  
725 drinking water. *Chemosphere* 77 (10), 1346–135.

726 Wen-Hai, C., Nai-Yun, G., Yang, D., Templeton, M.R., Yin, D. (2011). Impacts of drinking water pretreatments on the  
727 formation of nitrogenous disinfection by-products; *Bioresource Technology* 102(24), 11161–11166.

728 World Health Organisation. (2011). Guidelines for drinking water quality. Trihalomethanes (bromoform,  
729 bromodichloromethane, chloroform, dibromochloromethane), 427–430. .

730 Ye, B., Wang, W., Yang, L., Wei, J., (2009). Factors influencing disinfection by-products formation in drinking water of  
731 six cities in China, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 171(1-3), 147-152.

732

733

## 734 FIGURE CAPTIONS

735 **Figure 1.** WTP Aljaraque supply zone

736 **Figure 2.** Aljaraque Water Treatment Plant

737 **Figure 3.** THMs in treated water according the treatment process

738 \* Average value TTHM

739 **Figure 4.** THMs in Water Distribution System according the treatment process

740 \* Average value TTHM

741 **Figure 5.** Seasonal variation of THMs in Water Distribution System according the treatment process

742 Average temperature water °C (standard deviation): 1. Spring 20.37 °C (2.37), 2. Summer 24.7 °C (1.67), 3. Fall 18.6 °C  
743 (3.28), 4. Winter 14.3 °C (2.22).

744 \* Average value TTHM

745 **Figure 6.** Spatial variation of THMs in Distribution System according to the Treatment Process.

746 1.Coast r: 23km; 2.South And. r: 45km; 3.North And. r: 88km.

747 \* Average value TTHM

748

749 **Figure 7.** Spatial evolution of THMs in Distribution System, according to distance from WTP.

750

751

752 **TABLES**753 **Table 1.** Raw water quality parameters.

Parameters	Unit	Mean	Min-max
Turbidity	NTU	8.56 (6.42)	0.7-28.5
pH	---	7.01 (0.25)	6.5-7.56
TDS	mg/L	224 (32.22)	167-290
Conductivity	µs/cm	208.33 (30.41)	125-266
Temperature	°C	18.39 (3.94)	8.6-25.5
Total Hardness	mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L	112.02 (9.7)	88.5-134.75
Ca	mg/L	9.29 (2.21)	5.6-14.19
Mg	mg/L	6.78 (1.71)	5.3-9.65
DOC	mg/L	5.69 (2.7)	2.15-12.2
UV <sub>254</sub>	cm <sup>-1</sup>	0.112 (0.032)	0.069-0.190
Bromide	µg/L	175.15 (177)	<50-315
THMFP	µg/L	145.52 (11.86)	127.26-158.3

754 Standard deviation in parenthesis

755

756 **Table 2.** THM value at finished water in Water Aljaraque Treatment Plant

WTP		TTHM	TCM	BDCM	DBC	TBM
P1 (N=14)	Mean (SD)	112.31 (19.74)	57.84 (21.48)	32.58 (11.74)	21.91 (8.23)	ND
	Median	108.55	60	34.2	18.2	ND
	Min-max	69.00-146.00	17.00-91.1	9.00-52.00	11.6-41.00	ND
	% total THMs		51.5 %	29.01 %	19.51 %	
P2 (N=14)	Mean (SD)	58.53 (16.27)	21.31 (8.1)	20.19 (4.96)	17.04 (5.49)	ND
	Median	57	19.15	19.4	15.25	ND
	Min-max	29.8-81.4	9.5-35.00	10.30-26.80	10.10-30.10	ND
	% total THMs		36.41 %	34.45 %	29.11 %	
P3 (N=14)	Mean (SD)	40.94 (12.10)	14.44 (9.04)	12.44 (4.69)	12.24 (4.92)	1.82(3.03)
	Median	44.15	14.75	12.6	12.2	ND
	Min-max	20.28-57.6	ND-28.9	6.50-22.00	5.00-19.7	ND-7.8
	% total THMs		35.27 %	30.39 %	29.9 %	4.45 %
P4 (N=14)	Mean (SD)	41.78 (12.60)	23.48 (7.59)	13.01 (5.95)	5.29 (4.01)	ND
	Median	38.41	22.6	11.7	6.4	ND
	Min-max	26.6-68.5	11.23-34.9	6.3-29.4	0-11.63	ND
	% total THMs		56.2 %	31.14 %	12.66 %	

757 Standard deviation in parenthesis

758 **Table 3.** THM value at supply water. Distribution System.

SUPPLY		TTHM	TCM	BDCM	DBC	TBM
P1 (N=56)	Mean (SD)	115.21 (17.44)	63.78 (19.25)	33.20 (8.52)	18.45 (7.84)	ND
	Median	113.8	71	32.8	17.1	ND
	Min-max	79-146	13-105.9	15-53	0-49	ND
	% total THMs		55.36 %	28.82 %	16.01 %	
P2 (N=56)	Mean (SD)	81.99 (16.38)	35.72 (11.06)	27.16 (5.15)	18.77 (5.46)	0.33 (1.46)
	Median	81.9	36.6	26.8	18.9	ND
	Min-max	48.9-122	18.9-62.2	16.3-40.8	6.6-28.7	ND-7.9
	% total THMs		43.57 %	33.13 %	22.89 %	0.41 %
P3 (N=56)	Mean (SD)	64.42 (11.28)	25.35 (9.52)	21.02 (5.62)	14.96 (6.81)	3.48 (4.99)
	Median	66.3	25.2	21	13.8	<DL
	Min-max	40.7-82.4	7.9-46.8	6.4-34.3	ND-27.5	ND-17.3
	% total THMs		39.35 %	32.63 %	23.22 %	5.4 %
P4 (N=56)	Mean (SD)	48.80 (18.05)	27.34 (12.29)	14.34 (8.48)	7.12 (6.92)	ND
	Median	45.7	22.7	12.35	6.75	ND
	Min-max	22.3-98.95	ND-65.38	ND-34.2	ND-26.7	ND
	% total THMs		56.04 %	23.39 %	14.59 %	

759 Standard deviation in parenthesis

760 **Table 4.** Influence Dose chlorine in WTP.

WTP		Chlorine dose	DOC	TTHM	Oxidation
P1 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	5.23 (1.03)	3.78 (0.98)	112.31 (19.74)	Pre-chlorine
	Min-max	3.09-4.2	2.3-5.8	69.00-146.00	
P2 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	4.66 (1.25)	3.75 (0.59)	58.53 (16.27)	Pre-KMnO <sub>4</sub> Inter-chlor.
	Min-max	2.97-6.54	2.7-4.5	29.8-81.40	
P3 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	4.63 (0.53)	2.93 (0.55)	40.94 (12.10)	Pre-Ozone Inter-chlor.
	Min-max	3.40-5.57	2.2-3.7	20.28-57.6	
P4 (N=28)	Mean (SD)	2.63 (0.29)	3.98 (0.77)	41.78 (12.60)	Pre-KMnO <sub>4</sub>
	Min-max	2.11-3.25	2.0-4.9	26.6-68.5	

761 Standard deviation in parenthesis

762 Chlorine Dose: (mg/L) chlorine

763 DOC (mg/L): Dissolved organic carbon, in treated water

764 **Table 5.** Average Value TTHM, Temperature (T), pH, DOC, accumulate dose (D) and contact time (t)

		HRT							LRT					
		L (Km)	t (day)	T °C	pH	DOC mg/L	D mg/L	TTHM µg/L	t (day)	T °C	pH	DOC mg/L	D mg/L	TTHM µg/L
P1	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.07	7.20	3.58	5.54	123.73	0.00	15.53	7.58	3.4	5.39	107.83
	SB	34	0.93	23.57	7.57	3.57	6.20	126.53	1.22	15.60	7.60	3.43	5.40	108.14
	TH	55	2.44	24.07	7.65	3.23	6.91	129.01	3.29	16.00	7.63	3.22	6.03	131.98
	CR	71	7.57	24.13	7.80	3.13	9.36	133.53	9.94	15.97	7.80	3.03	7.53	124.79
P2	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.55	7.40	3.47	5.30	43.55	0.00	13.38	7.35	4.03	4.83	56.75
	SB	34	0.91	24.73	7.67	3.27	6.25	79.17	1.21	12.67	7.55	3.73	5.27	61.40
	TH	55	2.40	25.07	7.83	3.20	7.06	95.47	3.2	13.17	7.80	3.56	5.83	73.67
	CR	71	7.53	25.00	8.08	3.05	9.70	89.23	9.91	14.07	7.98	3.00	7.42	72.03
P3	Alj WTP	0	0.00	25.47	7.30	2.90	4.68	38.43	0.00	13.38	7.28	2.95	4.58	36.82
	SB	34	0.91	26.57	7.43	2.60	5.48	45.87	1.19	13.67	7.40	2.80	4.43	47.80
	TH	55	2.39	28.13	7.57	2.40	7.08	61.27	3.15	14.27	7.53	2.63	5.04	53.20
	CR	71	7.46	27.50	7.77	2.13	9.59	58.93	9.89	16.00	7.80	2.43	6.60	62.57
P4	Alj WTP	0	0.00	22.93	6.87	3.38	2.78	37.29	0.00	16.83	6.74	4.58	2.48	26.42
	SB	34	0.90	23.87	6.93	3.10	3.46	53.53	1.19	16.50	6.87	3.84	3.00	27.88
	TH	55	2.37	24.43	7.15	2.77	4.26	64.73	3.14	17.03	6.88	3.21	3.62	33.53
	CR	71	7.46	25.07	7.37	2.50	6.58	64.14	9.88	17.77	7.12	2.87	5.13	35.30

766 Alj WTP: Treated water WTP outside; SB: San Bartolomé Reservoir; TH: Tharsis Reservoir; CR: Cabezas Rubias  
767 Reservoir.

768 \*HRT: Higher Range Temperature: (May,Jun,Jul,Aug,Sep,Oct).

769 \*LRT: Lower Range Temperature: (Jan,Feb,Mar,Abr,Nov,Dec).

770 D: Dose chlorine: accumulate dose chlorine distribution system.

771 **Table 6.** Correlation Matrix.

		HRT				LRT			
		P1	P2	P3	P4	P1	P2	P3	P4
THM-T	r	0.649	0.732	0.817	0.861	0.927	0.751	0.705	0.642
	p	0.022	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.0001	0.005	0.001	0.024
THM-pH	r	0.880	0.805	0.871	0.815	0.618	0.862	0.871	0.746
	p	0.0001	0.002	0.0001	0.001	0.032	0.0001	0.0001	0.005
THM-DOC	r	-0.902	-0.626	-0.757	-0.656	0.704	-0.578	-0.584	-0.856
	p	0.0001	0.03	0.004	0.021	0.011	0.049	0.046	0.0001
THM-D	r	0.581	0.628	0.677	0.745	0.658	0.578	0.704	0.865
	p	0.047	0.029	0.016	0.005	0.021	0.049	0.011	0.0001
pH-D	r	0.545	0.916	0.925	0.932	0.586	0.891	0.757	0.764
	p	0.047	0.001	0.0001	0.001	0.023	0.0001	0.004	0.004
T-D	r	0.377	0.392	0.643	0.806	0.636	0.337	0.645	0.484
	p	0.226	0.208	0.024	0.002	0.026	0.284	0.023	0.111

773 r: correlation coephicent, p: pearson coephicent.

774 HRT: May,Jun,Jul,Aug,Sep,Oct; LRT: Jan,Feb,Mar,Apr,Nov,Dic.

775 D: Dose chlorine: accumulate dose chlorine distribution system.

776 **Table 7.** Model summary

	<i>K</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> adj	p	s	R <sup>2*</sup>
P1; HRT	104.71	-0.118	-0.348	-0.0147	0.505	0.939	0.904	0.0001	0.0067	0.891
P2; HRT	4.26x10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.63	-1.98	-1.10	9.60	0.929	0.888	0.0001	0.0488	0.957
P3; HRT	2.51x10 <sup>-12</sup>	3.27	-0.555	-0.884	10.9	0.970	0.952	0.0001	0.0251	0.915
P4; HRT	2.69x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.33	-0.193	-0.027	0.04	0.864	0.786	0.004	0.0534	0.892
P1; LRT	9.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.95	-0.288	-0.045	0.413	0.894	0.833	0.002	0.0212	0.891
P2; LRT	1.94x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.186	-0.146	-0.863	6.84	0.986	0.979	0.0001	0.0001	0.968
P3; LRT	1.74x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.40	0.961	-0.385	7.65	0.804	0.748	0.007	0.0050	0.893
P4; LRT	12.59	0.624	-0.226	0.323	-0.51	0.835	0.741	0.007	0.0361	0.830

777 *Model: TTHM = K (T)<sup>a</sup> (DOC)<sup>b</sup> (D)<sup>c</sup> (pH)<sup>d</sup>*


778 R<sup>2</sup>/R<sup>2</sup> adj; correlation coefficient model and adjusted.

779 p: level of significance


780 s: standard errors

781 R<sup>2\*</sup>: correlation coefficient between measured and predicted THMs.

Figure 1  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.1.tif](#)







PACI-10 and PACI-18: Polyaluminium chloride 10% and 18%

PDAD: polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride      PAM: polyacrylamide

*CFS*: Coagulation - Flocculation - Sedimentation

*CF- Int. Chlr- S*: Coagulation - Flocculation - Intermediate chlorination - Sedimentation

*SF*: Sable filtration      *GAC*: Granular Activate Carbon      *Disinf.*: Disinfection

Fig.2 Aljaraque Water Treatment Plant.



Figure 3  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.3.tif](#)




Figure 4  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.4.tif](#)




Figure 5  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.5.tif](#)




Figure 6  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.6.tif](#)





Figure 7  
[Click here to download Figure: Fig.7.tif](#)





## **REVIEW OF ARTICLE:**

### **“Seasonal and spatial evolution of trihalomethanes in a drinking water distribution system according to the treatment process”**

- Internal standard should be used to measure the relative responses of other method analytes and surrogates that are components of the same solution. The internal standard must be an analyte that is not a sample component. Monitoring the IS response (peak area or peak height) of all samples during each analysis day is necessary.  
Internal Standard (IS) 1,2-dibromopropane was used as internal standard in the validation and sample analysis of trihalomethanes. The text of the work was modified in relation to this point, including the use of internal standard (IS). (lines 192, 203, 209, 214, 215).
- While sampling field duplicates should be collected at the same time and place under identical circumstances and treated exactly the same throughout field and laboratory procedures. Analyses give a measure of the precision associated with sample collection, preservation and storage, as well as with laboratory procedures.  
We modified the text 2.2. Sampling campaign to express more clearly the process of sampling and to show quality assurance sampling associated with the quality of analytical results. (lines 133-176)
- Limit of Quantification (LOQ) should be calculated along with LOD for the samples.  
The performance of the analytical method was included in the text (LOD, LOQ, repeatability, reproducibility and recovery extraction). (lines 211-220)
- To examine the factors affecting TTHMs formation potential different up-to-date models (Response Surface Methodology) should be used for better understanding of the viewers.  
We modified the text including recent works using RSM based on laboratory data to evaluate the factors affecting the TTHMs formation and their interactions. (lines 386-426).
- Different optimization studies (optimizing amounts of salt, sample volume, extraction time and temperature, desorption time and temperature etc.) should also be discussed to some extent.  
The extraction conditions of THMs with HS SPME are based on previous publications from other authors with some small changes performed in our laboratory (lines 186-188).
- Quality Control Sample (QCS) should be taken which contains method analytes in a solvent which is used to fortify reagent water or environmental samples. The QCS is obtained from a source external to the laboratory, and is used to check laboratory performance with externally prepared test materials.  
We have modified the text including quality control procedure followed during the study to monitor the quality of analytical results. (lines 227-234)

- Specific Gas Chromatography System, detector and column should also be mentioned with specifications.

The text was modified. The analytical method was expressed in more detail (lines 195-204).

- Schematic Diagram showing different treatment process in the Water Treatment Plant (WTP) should be drawn for better understanding.

Figure 2 have been modified in order a better understanding of Water Treatment Plant.

- Graphs showing comparison between TTHMs concentration and seasonal, spatial variation should be included to get a clear picture.

Figs 5 and 6 in the manuscript intend to explain changes in concentration in the different treatment processes (P1, P2, P3 and P4) in relation to seasons and spatial location of Distribution systems, respectively, in order to establish the influence of these components in the formation of TTHM. However, to clarify the discussion an additional Fig. 7 has been added, which collects the TTHM variations in relation to the distance in Km.

- Recommendations should be given for further improvement of the Water Treatment Plant (WTP).

We modified the text including recommendations based on the results. (lines 540-545)

- Comprehensive interventions should also be mentioned.

We have included in the text comprehensive interventions. (484-495)