

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Seasonal Characteristics of New Particle Formation and Growth in Urban Beijing

Deng, Chenjuan

2020-07-21

Deng , C , Fu , Y , Dada , L , Yan , C , Cai , R , Yang , D , Zhou , Y , Yin , R , Lu , Y , Li , X , Qiao , X , Fan , X , Nie , W , Kontkanen , J , Kangasluoma , J , Chu , B , Ding , A , Kerminen , V-M , Paasonen , P , Worsnop , D R , Bianchi , F , Liu , Y , Zheng , J , Wang , L , Kulmala , M & Jiang , J 2020 , ' Seasonal Characteristics of New Particle Formation and Growth in Urban Beijing ' , Environmental Science & Technology , vol. 54 , no. 14 , pp. 8547-8557 . <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/332501>

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00808>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Query Link	Query
[1]	Please provide updated publication information for ref 24, if available.
[2]	Please provide updated publication information for ref 40, if available.

Seasonal ~~characteristics~~ Characteristics of ~~new-particle-formation~~ New Particle Formation and ~~growth~~ Growth in ~~urban~~ Urban Beijing

Chenjuan- Deng^{†‡}, Yueyun- Fu^{†‡}, Lubna- Dada^{†‡}, Chao- Yan^{†‡§§}, Runlong- Cai^{†‡}, Dongsen- Yang^{‡‡}, Ying- Zhou^{§§}, Rujing- Yin^{†‡}, Yiqun- Lu^{†‡}, Xiaoxiao- Li^{†‡}, Xiaohui- Qiao^{†‡}, Xiaolong- Fan^{§§}, Wei- Nie[#], Jenni- Kontkanen^{†‡}, Juha- Kangasluoma^{†‡§§}, Biwu- Chu^{†‡§§}, Aijun- Ding[#], Veli-Matti- Kerminen^{†‡}, Pauli- Paasonen^{†‡}, Douglas R.- Worsnop^{†‡∇∇}, Federico- Bianchi^{†‡}, Yongchun- Liu^{§§}, Jun- Zheng^{‡‡}, Lin- Wang^{†‡}, Markku- Kulmala^{*†‡§§}, Jingkun- Jiang^{**†‡}

^{†‡}- State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, [China](#)

^{†‡}- Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System ~~Research/Physics~~, Research Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

^{§§}- Aerosol and Haze Laboratory, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, 100029 Beijing, China

^{‡‡}- Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, 210044 Nanjing, China

^{†‡}- Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention (LAP3), Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, ~~Shanghai~~200433 [Shanghai](#), China

[#]- Joint International Research Laboratory of Atmospheric and Earth System Sciences, School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, 210023 Nanjing, China

^{∇∇}- Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts 01821, [USA](#) United States

*- ~~email~~: Email: markku.kulmala@helsinki.fi

** - ~~email~~: Email: jiangjk@tsinghua.edu.cn

22-06-2020

Abstract

Understanding the atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) process within the global range is important for revealing the budget of atmospheric aerosols and their impacts. We investigated the seasonal characteristics of NPF in the urban environment of Beijing. Aerosol size distributions down to ~~4-1~~ 1 nm and H₂SO₄ concentration were measured during ~~2018-2019~~ 2018-2019. The observed formation rate of 1.5 nm particles ($J_{1.5}$) is significantly higher than those in the clean environment, e.g., ~~Hyytiälä~~; Hyytiälä, whereas the growth rate is relatively lower. Both $J_{1.5}$ and NPF frequency in urban Beijing showed a clear seasonal variation with maxima in winter and minima in summer, while the observed growth rates were generally within the same range around the year. We show that ambient temperature is a governing factor driving the seasonal variation of $J_{1.5}$. In contrast, the condensation sink showed no significant seasonal variation during the NPF periods and the daily maximum H₂SO₄ concentration was slightly higher in summer than ~~that~~ in winter. In all four seasons, condensation of H₂SO₄ and (H₂SO₄) _{n} (amine) _{n} clusters contributes significantly to the growth rates in the sub-3 nm size range, whereas it is less important for the observed growth rates of particles above 3 nm. Therefore, other species are always needed for the growth of larger particles.

Keywords

~~New Particle Formation~~ [new particle formation](#); ~~Growth~~ [growth](#); ~~seasonal variation~~ [Seasonal Variation](#); ~~Temperature Influence~~ [temperature influence](#)

1. Introduction

TOC ArtPlace Figure 1 HerePlace Figure 2 HerePlace Figure 3 HerePlace Figure 4 HerePlace Figure 5 HerePlace Figure 6 HerePlace Figure 7 Here**Supplementary Information**Corresponding AuthorJingkun JiangMarkku KulmalaAtmospheric new particle formation (NPF) refers to the conversion from gaseous precursors to new particles. It is ubiquitous and contributes majorly to the global aerosol ~~population~~ [population](#).^{1–3} Once growing past certain sizes, the newly formed particles can be activated as the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) ~~and thus~~ [and, thus](#), influence cloud formation and global ~~climate~~ [climate](#).^{4,5} It is estimated that around half of global CCN in [the](#) lower troposphere are derived from atmospheric ~~NPF~~ [NPF](#).^{5,6}

To understand the NPF phenomenon within the wide global range, many field studies were conducted throughout the world in both pristine and polluted ~~environments~~ [environments](#).^{1,7,8} Different from relatively clean environments, the concentration of pre-existing aerosols is high in polluted environments, which suppresses NPF by acting as a sink for both condensable vapors and newly formed particles.^{9–11} Despite the strong suppression by the high aerosol loading in polluted environments, intensive NPF events have still been frequently observed in these ~~environments~~ [environments](#).^{8,11–15}

Seasonal characteristics of NPF have been explored in urban ~~Beijing~~ [Beijing](#).^{16,17} However, due to limitations in applied instruments in these long-term studies, there is practically no information about key precursors for new particle formation (e.g., H₂SO₄) and size distributions of sub-3 nm particles. Although the H₂SO₄ concentration was measured in two short-term field campaigns in urban Beijing^{11,18} and its daily maximum concentration ranged from ~~~10⁵~~ to $1.9 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the seasonal variation of the H₂SO₄ concentration in Beijing has not yet been reported. ~~Long-term~~ [The long-term](#) size distribution data for sub-3 nm particles has also been missing from previous studies in Beijing and even rarely reported in other environments. As shown in a recent ~~study~~ [study](#),¹⁹ previously reported particle formation rates for urban Beijing (inferred from ~~large-sized~~ [large-sized](#) particles) were underestimated and sub-3 nm particle size distributions ([PSDs](#)) are needed to accurately quantify these rates.

When evaluating [the](#) particle formation rate from measured data, underestimating coagulation scavenging can lead to significant underestimation of [the](#) particle formation rate, especially for polluted environments and controlled chamber studies having a strong coagulation effect. Cai and Jiang¹⁹ found that the ~~commonly-used~~ [commonly used](#) formulae underestimate the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles in urban Beijing, so they derived an improved formula with a more accurate treatment of the coagulation effect. Also, due to the underestimation of [the](#) coagulation effect, new particle formation rates from ~~CLOUD (Cosmic~~ [the Cosmic Leaving Outdoor Droplets](#)) ~~Droplets (CLOUD)~~ chamber studies on sulfuric acid-dimethylamine ([DMA](#)) nucleation were later corrected to be a factor of 10 faster than previously published ~~results~~ [results](#).²⁰ In addition, Cai et al.²¹ ~~shows~~ [showed](#) that neglecting coagulation scavenging can result in overestimation when ~~evaluating~~ [evaluating the](#) particle growth rate from [the](#) measured data.

Although clear seasonal variations in the frequency of NPF events ~~has~~ [have](#) been observed in urban ~~Beijing~~ [Beijing](#),^{16,17} the factors governing these seasonal variations ~~remains~~ [remain](#) to be revealed. The concentrations of gaseous precursors and [the](#) coagulation scavenging effect of [the](#) pre-existing aerosols are important factors that govern the occurrence and the intensity of NPF. Their synergetic effect on NPF can be evaluated using dimensionless parameters such as L^{22} or L_{FF}^{23} . Using L_{FF} , Cai et al.¹¹ showed that [the](#) pre-existing aerosol surface area governs the occurrence of NPF in Beijing in a short-term campaign. In addition to pre-existing aerosols and H₂SO₄ concentration, other factors, such as ambient temperature and concentration of base molecules, may also affect NPF. Recently, Cai et al.²⁴ showed that the NPF events in urban Beijing are dominated by H₂SO₄-amine nucleation and proposed a new parameter, I , for predicting the occurrence of NPF in environments governed by H₂SO₄-amine nucleation. Factors governing the seasonal variation of NPF in urban Beijing can be explored with the help of this new parameter.

In addition to the formation of new particles, the subsequent growth of these particles and ~~its~~ [their](#) seasonal characteristics are also of great importance. The particle growth rates in the ~~3–25~~ [3–25](#) nm size range were reported to be slightly higher in summer than in other seasons in

Beijing¹⁷. However, the seasonal variation of the observed growth rates in different size ranges has not yet been reported in urban Beijing, especially in the sub-3 nm size range. As a condensable vapor, H₂SO₄ contributes to the particle growth; however, its contribution usually decreases with an increasing particle size^{25–27}. In addition to H₂SO₄, small acid-base clusters can also contribute to the particle growth, especially in the sub-3 nm size range²⁸.

In this study, we report the results of long-term field measurements conducted in urban Beijing during 2018–2019, 2018–2019, including the measurements of particle size distribution down to ~1 nm and H₂SO₄ concentration. We focus on seasonal characteristics of new particle formation rates and growth rates and explore factors governing the observed seasonal characteristics. We also compare the characteristics of NPF in urban Beijing with observations in other atmospheric environments.

2. Methods

2.1. Measurements

The observation site is located on the top of a five-floor building at Beijing University of Chemical Technology (West Campus), Beijing. The sampling inlets are located ~20–20 m above the ground and ~150–150 m to the southwest of a road. The Third Ring Road is ~550–550 m to the east of the site, and as a major road, the traffic is often busy. More details on the site can be found in the study reported by Lu et al.²⁹ and Zhou et al.³⁰. There are no significant stationary emission sources nearby. However, the emission from vehicles may influence the measured aerosols and gaseous pollutants.

The wide-range size distributions of 1 nm–10 μm particles were measured using a prototype diethylene glycol scanning mobility particle spectrometer (DEG-SMPS; 1–7.5–7.5 nm)^{31,32} and a particle size distribution system (PSD; 3 nm–10 μm)³³. The DEG-SMPS was sampled through the northern window of the observation room. The aerosols entering the DEG-SMPS were sampled using a core sampling method with ~100%–100% sampling efficiency³⁴. The DEG-SMPS was equipped with a soft X-ray neutralizer (TSI Inc., model 3088) for aerosol charging and a miniature cylindrical differential mobility analyzer (mini-cyDMA)³² for sub-10 nm aerosol classification. Downstream of the mini-cyDMA, the DEG-UCPC was modified from a commercialized UCPC (TSI Inc., model 3776). The temperatures of the saturator and the condenser of the DEG-UCPC were 71 °C and 20 °C, respectively. The flow rates through the DEG-UCPC capillary and the saturator were 0.25 and 0.75 L min⁻¹, respectively. A commercialized CPC was used to count the particles in the downstream of DEG-UCPC. The DEG-SMPS was calibrated four times a year using a homemade calibration system³¹. The PSD inlet was deployed downstream of a PM₁₀ impactor on the roof. The relative humidity was conditioned to be below 40% using a Nafion dryer (Perma Pure, MD-700-24F-3) on the sampling line. The PSD consists of a TSI aerodynamic particle sizer (TSI Inc., model 3321) and two parallel SMPSs equipped with a TSI nanoDMA (TSI Inc., model 3085) and a TSI longDMA (TSI Inc., model 3081), respectively. The time resolution for particle size distribution measurement was 5 min.

The H₂SO₄ concentration was measured by a chemical ionization-atmospheric interface-time-of-flight mass spectrometer (CI-API-ToF, or CIMS; Aerodyne Research Inc.) equipped with a nitrate chemical ionization^{35–37}. Before Apr. April 14, 2018, the H₂SO₄ concentration was measured by a long time-of-flight mass spectrometer (CI-API-LToF) and after that it was measured by a high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometer (CI-API-HToF). Ambient air was taken into the CIMS through the north window. The sampling line was straight stainless-steel tubing with an outer diameter of 3/4 inch. Before and after Apr. April 14, the length of the sampling line was 1.6 m and 1.4 m, respectively, and the sampling flow rate was 8.8 L min⁻¹ and 8.5 L min⁻¹, respectively. The sampling configurations during these two periods were similar to those previously described by Lu et al.²⁹. The H₂SO₄ concentration was calibrated using a homemade calibration system³⁸. The calibration system produces H₂SO₄ from the oxidation of SO₂ by OH radicals. The transmission efficiency of the spectrometer was measured by adding different perfluorinated acids in amounts sufficient to deplete NO₃³⁹. The time resolution for the H₂SO₄ concentration was 5 min.

The meteorological data, including the temperature, ambient pressure, relative humidity, wind speed, and wind direction, were measured by a local weather station (Vaisala, AWS310). Amine concentration was measured using a modified ToF-CIMS (Aerodyne Research, Inc.) from Oct. October 24, 2018 to Mar. March 13, 2019. During this period, the median concentration of the most abundant amine species, dimethylamine (DMA), was 2.7 ppt. The time resolution of the meteorological data and the amine concentration was 5 min.

The long-term field measurement started from ~~Jan.~~ January 2018. In this study, we used the aerosol size distribution data on a total of 234 days in 2018 (~~Jan. 16–Apr. (January 16–April 10, Apr. 29–May April 29–May 17, May 22–Dec. 22–December 26)~~) and a total of 48 days in 2019 summer (June 4–~~Aug. 1–August 31~~), covering winter (~~Dec. –Feb.~~), (~~December–February~~), spring (~~Mar. –May~~), (~~March–May~~), summer (~~Jun. –Aug.~~) (~~June–August~~), and autumn (~~Sep. –Nov.~~) (~~September–November~~). The H₂SO₄ concentration data were available on 131 days in 2018 (~~Jan. 23–Apr. (January 23–April 10, Oct. 20–Dec. October 20–December 26)~~) and 67 days in 2019 summer (~~Jun. 1–Aug. (June 1–August 13)~~). Note that ~~the~~ data from ~~the~~ summer of 2019 were used to complement the analysis of NPF seasonal characteristics in urban Beijing. ~~Data~~ The data from ~~the~~ summer of 2018 were only used to evaluate NPF frequencies.

2.2. ~~Data~~ analysis Analysis

During these measurement periods, we classified all ~~of~~ the days into NPF days, undefined days, and non-NPF days. The classification criteria and examples are described in the ~~Supplementary Information (SI)~~ [Supplementary Information \(SI\)](#).

The particle formation rate quantifies the growth flux through a certain particle diameter, which characterizes the intensity of NPF. In this study, the particle formation rate was calculated using a balance formula by Cai and ~~Jiang~~ Jiang¹⁹, which improves the estimation of coagulation scavenging in the presence of high aerosol loadings:

$$J_k = \frac{dN(d_k, d_u)}{dt} + \sum_{d_g=d_k}^{d_u-1} \sum_{d_i=d_{\min}}^{+\infty} \beta_{(i,g)} N(d_i, d_{i+1}) N(d_g, d_{g+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d_g=d_{\min}}^{d_u-1} \sum_{d_i^3=\max(d_{\min}^3, d_k^3-d_{\min}^3)}^{d_{i+1}^3+d_{g+1}^3 \leq d_u^3} \beta_{(i,g)} N(d_i, d_{i+1}) N(d_g, d_{g+1}) + \left. \frac{dN}{dd_i} \right|_{d_i=d_u} \cdot GR_u \quad 1$$

~~Here~~ Here, J_k is the particle formation rate at size d_k , cm³-s⁻¹, with d_k chosen to be 1.5 nm in this study, m; d_u is the upper size limit of the targeted aerosol population, m; d_{\min} is the smallest particle size detected by particle size spectrometers, m; $N(d_k, d_u)$ is the number concentration of particles from size d_k to d_u , cm⁻³; d_i represents the lower limit of the i th size bin, m; $\beta_{(i,g)}$ is the coagulation coefficient for the collision of two particles with ~~the~~ size of d_i and d_g , cm³-s⁻¹; and GR_u refers to the particle growth rate at size d_u , nm-hnm-h⁻¹.

The particle growth rate, GR, was obtained using the log-normal distribution function ~~method~~ method, which tracks the temporal variation of the representative ~~diameter~~ diameter⁴¹. The growth rates in various particle size ranges, GR₁₋₃, GR₃₋₇, GR₇₋₁₅, and GR₁₅₋₂₅ were calculated separately, where the subscripts indicate the size range (see ~~the~~ example given in Figure S2 in the ~~SI~~ [Supporting Information \(SI\)](#)). Note that since the contribution of coagulation scavenging was not excluded, the obtained particle growth rates are apparent growth rates rather than growth rates resulting solely from the condensation onto individual ~~particles~~ particles⁴².

The coagulation scavenging of particles was characterized using the condensation sink (~~CS~~) (CS), which was calculated using ~~Equation eqs~~ Equation eqs S1 and ~~Equation~~ Equation S2 in the ~~SI~~ [SI](#)⁴¹. The influences of temperature and relative humidity on the diffusivity of H₂SO₄ were ~~corrected~~ corrected^{43,44}.

I is a ~~recently-proposed~~ ~~recently~~ [proposed](#) parameter that predicts the occurrence of atmospheric NPF dominated by H₂SO₄-amine nucleation in the presence of a high aerosol ~~loading~~ loading²⁴. It is defined as

$$I = \frac{\beta^3 [A_{1, \text{tot}}]^4}{CS^2} \eta^4 \quad 2$$

where β is the collision coefficient between two (H₂SO₄)₁(amine)₁ clusters, cm³-s⁻¹; $A_{1, \text{tot}}$ refers to the total concentration of measured H₂SO₄ monomer, cm³; and CS is the condensation sink (note that the enhancement factor of coagulation between clusters due to van der Waals forces was taken into account, and this factor was estimated to be 2.3 and 1.3 for β and CS, respectively), s⁻¹; η is the ratio of the (H₂SO₄)₁(amine)₁ cluster concentration to the total H₂SO₄ monomer concentration given by

$$\eta = \frac{[A_1 B_1]}{[A_1] + [A_1 B_1]} \approx \frac{\beta[B]}{\gamma(T) + CS + \beta[B]} \quad 3$$


here, $[A_1 B_1]$ represents (H₂SO₄)₁(amine)₁ concentration, cm³; $[A_1]$ is the concentration of H₂SO₄ molecules, cm³; $[B]$ indicates the amine concentration, cm³; T is the temperature, K; γ and γ represents the evaporation rate of (H₂SO₄)₁(amine)₁ as a function of T , s⁻¹. Since $[A_1]$ and $[A_1 B_1]$ cannot be directly measured using the current instruments, η was calculated using the rightmost formula in eq 3. Since the

amine concentration was missing in winter and spring of 2018, a constant amine concentration, 2.7 ppt, which is the median of the measured amine concentration, was used when estimating η (see details in the SI). As a result, the analysis based on the indicator J mainly reflects the influence of the H_2SO_4 concentration, CS, and temperature. More details on eqs 2 and 3 are explained in the SI and by Cai et al.²⁴.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Overall Characteristics of NPF in Urban Beijing

During our measurement periods, NPF event days and undefined days covered 37% and 6% of days, respectively. The daily maximum formation rate of 1.5 nm particles, $J_{1.5}$, during NPF periods ranged from 6 to 2200 $\text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$ with a median value of 79 $\text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 1). The values of GR_{1-3} , GR_{3-7} , GR_{7-15} , and GR_{15-25} were in the ranges 0.2–2.4, 0.6–5.2, 1.1–8.0, 0.2–2.4, 0.6–5.2, 1.1–8.0, and 0.4–8.8 $\text{nm}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, respectively, with medians of 0.9, 1.7, 2.8, and 2.9 $\text{nm}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, respectively.




The H_2SO_4 concentration and condensation sink in various atmospheric environments, including Beijing, Nanjing, Shanghai, Hyytiälä, Kent, Brookhaven, and Jungfrauoch. Data points in this figure are the median values and colored by the median formation rate of sub-2 nm particles. The error bars indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The H_2SO_4 concentration and CS in Beijing, Nanjing, Shanghai, and Hyytiälä are from long-term measurements (≥ 7 months). The H_2SO_4 concentration in Kent, Brookhaven, and Jungfrauoch is from short-term measurements (< 3 months). CS in Jungfrauoch is from a long-term measurement. CS in Kent and Brookhaven is from short-term measurements.

In urban Beijing, we observed new particle formation events characterized with a high $J_{1.5}$, high CS, and high H_2SO_4 concentration (Figure 1). The maximum value of $J_{1.5}$ measured during this study exceeded 1000 $\text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$, coinciding with the high H_2SO_4 concentration ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) observed during that NPF event (Feb. (February 4, 2018)). The aerosol loading, characterized by CS, was high in urban Beijing compared to those observed in relatively clean environments (Figure 1). The median value of CS during the NPF periods in urban Beijing was $\sim 0.019 \text{ s}^{-1}$, while it was $\sim 0.0025 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the Finnish boreal forest of Hyytiälä. The high CS in urban Beijing implies that the scavenging loss rates of gaseous precursors, clusters, and newly formed particles are high. The median daily maximum H_2SO_4 concentration during NPF periods in urban Beijing was $\sim 4 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a factor of ~ 4 higher than those in cleaner environments but close to those in other megacities (Figure 1).

Note that after properly correcting for the coagulation scavenging effect using eq 1 and with direct measurement of sub-3 nm particles, $J_{1.5}$ reported in this study is higher than that reported in a previous long-term study in urban Beijing. Even with the same dataset of measured sub-3 nm particles, the formula used in previous studies underestimates the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles (Figure S3 in the SI). This discrepancy is mainly attributed to the fact that underestimating or neglecting the coagulation scavenging effect of small particles will underestimate the formation rate in atmospheric environments with a high aerosol loading. The high values of $J_{1.5}$ observed in this long-term measurement are consistent with the values recently reported in urban Beijing during a short-term field campaign.

From a global perspective, the new particle formation rate in polluted environments appears to be higher than that in relatively clean areas. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the formation rates of 1.5 nm particles in Chinese megacities, such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Nanjing,

are much higher than those in other relatively clean sites, such as the Finnish boreal forest of [Hyytiälä-Hyytiälä](#). The inferred nucleation rates were reported to be very high as well in other polluted megacities, such as Mexico City and Delhi (although the formation rate of $\sim 4.5\text{--}1.5$ nm particles in these places ~~were~~ ~~was~~ not directly ~~measured~~ ~~measured~~).^{12,46,47} In addition, relatively high formation rates of $\sim 4.5\text{--}1.5$ nm particles were observed in Po Valley (Figure 2). Although Po Valley is labeled as a rural site, it is strongly influenced by anthropogenic emissions from the industrial areas and is surrounded by ~~the mountains~~ ~~mountains~~.⁴⁸ Its relatively high CS (~ 0.014 (~ 0.011 ~~s~~ ~~l~~ ~~1~~)) reflects the polluted characteristics of Po Valley.




2. Comparison of (a) the formation rate of sub-2 nm particles, J , and (b) the particle growth rate, GR_{7-15} , in various environments, including Beijing (this study), [Nanjing](#)¹⁴, [Shanghai](#)¹⁵, [Po Valley](#)⁴⁸, [Ozarks](#)⁶⁵, [Hyytiälä](#)⁶⁶, [Jungfrauoch](#)⁶³, and [Chacaltaya](#)⁵¹. Urban, rural, and mountain environments are divided by dash lines. Data from long-term measurements (≥ 7 months) are shown by red color and the data from short-term field campaigns (< 3 months) by black color. Circles represent median values. The left and right edges of bars are 25% and 75% percentiles, respectively, except for Po Valley that indicate 5% and 95% percentiles, respectively, and for [Hyytiälä](#) that indicate one standard deviation. $J_{1.5}$ is used for Beijing. $J_{1.4}$, $J_{1.7}$, $J_{1.6}$, and J_1 are used for Nanjing, Shanghai, Po Valley, and Ozark forest, respectively. J_2 is used for [Hyytiälä](#), [Jungfrauoch](#), and [Chacaltaya](#). GR_{7-15} , GR_{3-20} , and GR_{5-25} are used for Beijing, Nanjing, and Ozarks forest, respectively. GR_{7-25} is used for Shanghai and [Hyytiälä](#). GR_{7-20} is used for Po Valley, [Jungfrauoch](#), and [Chacaltaya](#).

Despite the high formation rate of $\sim 4.5\text{--}1.5$ nm particles, the observed growth rate in urban Beijing was relatively lower. The median value of GR_{7-15} was 2.8 ~~nm h~~ ~~nm h~~ ~~l~~ ~~1~~, which is lower than the median GR in many other environments (Figure 2). Such low GR, together with high CS, in urban [Beijing](#), may explain the observations that newly formed particles in urban Beijing sometimes cannot grow into very large sizes, although the formation rate is high (see ~~an~~ ~~the~~ example in Figure S2). Note that uncertainties may be introduced when using different methods to estimate the observed GR. In addition, the observed GR is not equivalent to the condensational GR of an individual particle because the coagulation scavenging effect is not excluded when estimating the observed GR using the log-normal distribution function ~~method~~ ~~method~~.^{42,49}


3.2. Seasonal Variations of NPF Frequency and Formation Rate in Urban Beijing

The occurrence of NPF in urban Beijing had a strong seasonal variation (Figure 3). In winter, spring, summer, and autumn of 2018, the NPF frequencies were 51.4%, 25.4%, 16.7%, and 30.2%, respectively. This is generally consistent with the previous observations in urban Beijing in the years of 2004¹⁶ and 2008⁵⁰. For these years, the NPF frequency was also the highest in winter, whereas it was the lowest in summer. The lowest NPF frequency in summer was also observed in [Shanghai](#)¹⁵. In [Chacaltaya](#), however, the NPF frequency was found to be the highest in summer, whereas the lowest in winter.⁵¹ Similarly, in [Hyytiälä](#), the NPF event was often found to be the lowest in winter.⁵²⁻⁵⁴



3-. The frequency of NPF days and undefined days during each month in urban Beijing for the years of 2018 (this study), 2008, ¹⁷; and 2004, ¹⁶; respectively. Black, grey, and blue colors indicate the frequencies of NPF days for the years of 2004, 2008, and 2018, respectively. White color indicates the frequencies of undefined days. Note that the year of 2004 is from March 2004 to February 2005 as reported by Wu et al. ¹⁶.

Similar to the observed variation of the NPF frequency in urban Beijing, the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles was much higher in winter than that in summer (Figure 4a). The seasonal cycle of formation rates is consistent with that observed previously in Beijing, ^{16,17}; although J_3 rather than $J_{1.5}$ was reported in those studies. The formation rate of 1.5 nm particles was also found to be the lowest in summer in Shanghai, ¹⁵. In Hyytiälä, Hyytiälä, however, $J_{1.5}$ was estimated to be slightly higher in summer than in other seasons (although $J_{1.5}$ was estimated from a parametrization assuming heteromolecular nucleation between H_2SO_4 and oxidized organics), ⁵³.




4-. Seasonal variations of (a) the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles ($J_{1.5}$), (b) the H_2SO_4 concentration during NPF periods and non-NPF periods, (c) the condensation sink (CS) during NPF periods and non-NPF periods, and (d) ambient temperature. The colored and white box in (b) and (c) represent values during NPF periods and non-NPF periods, respectively. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The black line inside the box represents mean values. The circles and triangles indicate median values. CS values are the average during the NPF period on NPF days or 9:00-16:00 on non-NPF days. Daily maximum values of $J_{1.5}$, H_2SO_4 concentration (both on NPF days and non-NPF days), and temperature are used in (a), (b), and (d), respectively.

The seasonal variations of the H_2SO_4 concentration and CS in urban Beijing are very different from those of the NPF frequency and $J_{1.5}$. The H_2SO_4 concentration showed no obvious difference during NPF periods and non-NPF periods (Figure 4b). Instead, the daily maximum H_2SO_4 concentration was slightly higher in summer than that in winter. The seasonal variation of the H_2SO_4 concentration is different from those observed in Shanghai and Hyytiälä, where H_2SO_4 concentration was high in winter ¹⁵ and spring, ⁵³; respectively. In addition, CS showed no remarkable seasonal variation during the NPF periods in urban Beijing (Figure 4c). This is similar to observations made in Shanghai, ¹⁵. The median value of CS during the NPF periods in urban Beijing was 0.019 s^{-1} , approximately 3 times lower than the median CS value during non-NPF days (0.057 s^{-1}), further confirming that high pre-existing aerosols are able to suppress the occurrence of NPF. ¹¹.

Interestingly, the ambient temperature had an opposite seasonal cycle to that of the NPF frequency and $J_{1.5}$ in urban Beijing. Similar to other places, the ambient temperature in urban Beijing was the highest in summer and the lowest in winter (Figure 4d).

To explain the observed seasonal variation of $J_{1.5}$, we investigated the seasonal variation of the indicator I . The seasonal variation of the indicator I generally agreed with that of $J_{1.5}$ (see [Figure 4a](#) and [Figure 5](#)). This indicator is a function of the H_2SO_4 concentration, CS, and ambient temperature. Comparing I values estimated using both the measured temperatures and a constant temperature (275 K) during NPF periods, we found that the seasonal variation of the indicator I was mainly attributed to the seasonal variation of the ambient temperature (Figure 5).



5- Seasonal variations of the indicator, I , estimated at a constant ambient temperature of 275 K and the measured ambient temperature during NPF periods, respectively. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The black line inside the box represents the mean values. The dot and square markers indicate median values. Daily maximum values for I are used in this figure.


Based on the behavior of I , it seems likely that the seasonal variation of $J_{1.5}$ in urban Beijing was mainly driven by the seasonal variation of ambient temperature. H_2SO_4 -amine nucleation governs new particle formation in urban [Beijing](#).^{24,40} The evaporation rate of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ clusters is a function of temperature (see [Equation S3](#) and [Equation S4](#) in the SI). In summer, the evaporation rate was the highest, whereas the ratio $\frac{n_1}{n}$ of the $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ cluster concentration to the total H_2SO_4 monomer concentration was the lowest (Figure S4 in the SI). The low values of $\frac{n_1}{n}$ indicate that although the H_2SO_4 monomer concentration was high and CS was low in summer, it was still difficult for an NPF event to occur due to a high evaporation rate of H_2SO_4 -amine clusters. Previous chamber studies reported that H_2SO_4 -amine nucleation is rather insensitive to the temperature at high amine concentrations.⁵⁵ However, the above evidence and analysis in urban Beijing indicates that the temperature should be taken into account because amine concentrations in urban Beijing are not high enough to make the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles insensitive to cluster evaporation.²⁴

Note that when estimating the values of I in Figure 5, a constant amine concentration of 2.7 ppt was used.^{24,40} When including the variations of amine concentration during the five-month measurement, ambient temperature still remained the main factor governing the seasonal variation of I values (see Figure S5 and related discussions in the SI). Nevertheless, long-term measurements of amines and other gaseous precursors are needed to further evaluate their contributions to the observed seasonal variations. Different from urban Beijing, the seasonal variation of the new particle formation rate in [Hyytiälä](#) was reported to be strongly related to the concentration variations of H_2SO_4 and organic compounds.⁴⁵ Evidence from previous chamber studies and ambient measurements indicate that the nucleation process of H_2SO_4 molecules and oxidized organics are able to explain new particle formation in [Hyytiälä](#).⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ The differences in nucleation mechanisms and the governing factors possibly lead to the observed different seasonal variations of NPF frequency and formation rate in different places.

3.3- Seasonal Variations of Growth Rate in Urban Beijing


Despite the clear seasonal variations in the NPF frequency and $J_{1.5}$ in urban Beijing, the observed GRs in various size ranges were generally within the same range for all of the four seasons (Figure 6). Previous studies also reported no significant seasonal variations in the growth rate of nucleation mode particles.^{16,17} Here, we further showed that the growth rates of sub-3 nm particles in four seasons are in the similar range, though with some variations. Similar to Beijing, the observed GR in Shanghai showed no clear seasonal variation, although the observed GR in Shanghai was higher than that in [Beijing](#).¹⁵ In [Hyytiälä](#), the observed GR was often

found to be the highest in summer, which is most likely related to the high abundance of biogenic volatile organic compounds at that time of the year.⁴⁵ It is interesting to point out that the GR increases with an increasing particle size in urban Beijing, as reported previously.⁴⁰ A similar feature has been observed in a number of other sites as well, such as Hyytiälä,⁴¹ Shanghai,¹⁵ Po Valley,⁴⁸ and Chacaltaya.⁵¹ This has been suggested to be caused by a reduced Kelvin effect with an increasing particle size.⁵⁹



6. Seasonal variations of the growth rates in various size ranges on NPF days. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The black line inside the box represents the mean values. The square markers indicate median values.

For all four seasons in urban Beijing, the condensation of H_2SO_4 and $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_{m/n}(\text{amine})_{m/n}$ clusters ($n \leq 4$) contribute significantly to the observed GR of sub-3 nm particles with their minor seasonal variations (Figure 7a). Assuming kinetic condensation, the growth rate due to the condensation of H_2SO_4 and its clusters ($\text{GR}_{\text{calculated}}$) can be calculated as a function of particle size⁶⁰ (see the SI for details). As shown in Figure 7a, the ratios of the calculated GR_{1-3} over the observed GR_{1-3} in all four seasons are close to 1, indicating that the condensation of H_2SO_4 and its clusters contribute significantly to the growth of particles in the sub-3 nm size range. These ratios are generally in the same range, though with some seasonal variations possibly related to the abundance of H_2SO_4 and its clusters in different seasons (Figure 4b) and the variations in the observed GR_{1-3} (Figure 6). The yearly data in urban Beijing also supports that the condensation of H_2SO_4 and stabilized H_2SO_4 -base clusters contribute significantly to the measured GR for sub-3 nm particles (Figure S6a in the SI). This was observed in Shanghai¹⁵ and in some controlled chamber conditions²⁸ as well. Note that there are uncertainties in both the calculated GR and the observed GR, especially in the sub-3 nm size range. For instance, the observed GR in the sub-3 nm size range is influenced by the estimation method, and thus, has an impact on the ratios of the calculated GR_{1-3} over the observed GR_{1-3} . As shown in Figure S6b, a recently corrected appearance time method²¹ gave higher values of the observed GR_{1-3} than the log-normal distribution function method, thus, lower ratios. Nevertheless, results from both methods support that the condensation of H_2SO_4 and its clusters contribute significantly to the growth of particles in the sub-3 nm size range.



7. The ratio of the calculated growth rate ($\text{GR}_{\text{calculated}}$) over the observed growth rate ($\text{GR}_{\text{observed}}$) in four seasons for various size ranges: (a) 1.5–3 nm, (b) 3–7 nm, (c) 7–15 nm, and (d) 15–25 nm. $\text{GR}_{\text{calculated}}$ estimated using the condensation of H_2SO_4 and $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_{m/n}(\text{amine})_{m/n}$ clusters ($n \leq 4$). The geometric mean value of $\text{GR}_{\text{calculated}}$ in each size range was used to calculate the ratio of $\text{GR}_{\text{calculated}}/\text{GR}_{\text{observed}}$. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively.

The black line inside the box represents the mean values. The dot markers indicate median values.

For all of the four seasons in urban Beijing, however, the condensation of H₂SO₄ and its clusters is less important for the growth rate of particles larger than 3 nm (Figure 7b-d), indicating the contributions of other species. In all seasons, the ratios of the calculated GR over the observed GR decreased as the particle size increased and are less than 25% for particles greater than 7 nm. Similar to the observed GR, there is no strong seasonal variations in these ratios. Other species, such as extremely low and low volatile compounds, ammonium and nitric acid, possibly contribute to the growth of these larger particles.⁴⁰ In Hyytiälä, for instance, extremely low-volatility organic compounds were found to be sufficient to explain the observed GR in larger size ranges.²⁷ As indicated by Figures 6 and 7, their total contribution to the observed GR in urban Beijing has no significant seasonal variation. To further investigate these gas species and their contributions, revealing that the chemical compositions of nanoparticles as they grow will be very helpful. Instruments such as the thermal desorption chemical ionization mass spectrometer (TDCIMS)⁶¹ will be needed to directly measure the compositions of nanoparticles in this size range. It is also crucial to further understand the formation and physicochemical properties of low-volatility gas precursors (in addition to H₂SO₄ and its clusters).

With the measurement of aerosol size distributions down to ~1 nm, sulfuric acid, amines, and their clusters, we addressed the seasonal characteristics of new particle formation and its governing factors in the urban environment of Beijing. In contrast to the negligible influence of temperature on the H₂SO₄-amine nucleation in chamber studies at high amine concentrations,⁵⁵ a strong temperature effect was observed under atmospheric conditions in urban Beijing. Low-atmospheric temperature favors the stability of H₂SO₄-amine clusters, and thus, the formation of new particles. Accordingly, the formation of 1.5 nm particles in urban Beijing showed a clear seasonal variation with maxima in winter and minima in summer. This may have implications on new particle formation within the global range since both seasonal variations and vertical variations in atmospheric temperature occur throughout the troposphere. Considering this effect in global models may lead to better estimate the budget of atmospheric aerosols and their impacts.⁵ In contrast, the growth rates of both sub-3 nm particles and larger particles showed little seasonal variation in urban Beijing. While the condensation of H₂SO₄ and H₂SO₄-amine clusters contribute significantly to the growth of sub-3 nm particles in urban Beijing, its contribution to the observed growth rates decreases rapidly as the particle size increases. This emphasizes the need to search for other condensing species that account for the growth of larger particles. In addition, the strong coagulation effect and the rich mixture of various gas precursors in the urban environment may have profound influences on particle growth, which need to be further addressed.

Supporting information

The supporting information is available free of charge on the ACS Publication website.

It includes the classification of NPF, non-NPF, and undefined days (Figure S1); An example for evaluating the observed growth rate (Figure S2); The calculation of the condensation sink (Eq. S1 and Eq. S2); The comparison of the formation rate of 1.5 nm particles estimated using two formulae (Figure S3); Details on the indicator I ; The calculation of the evaporation rate (Eq. S3 and Eq. S4); The evaporation rate and η as a function of temperature in four seasons (Figure S4); More information on the measurement of amines and the influence of seasonal variation of amine concentration (Figure S5); The calculation of the growth rate due to the condensation of H₂SO₄ and its clusters (Eq. S5, Eq. S6, Eq. S7 and Eq. S8); The influence of seasonal variations of amine concentration (Fig. S5); The ratio of the calculated growth rate (GR_{calculated}) over the observed growth rate (GR_{observed}) in various size ranges estimated using two different methods during these measurement periods (Fig. S6) (PDF)

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC0209503), the National Science Foundation of China (21876094 & 91643201) and 91643201, and Samsung PM_{2.5} SRP are acknowledged. This project has received funding from the ERC advanced grant No. Advanced Grant no. 742206, the European Union's Horizon 2020 research, and from the

Academy of Finland (Center of Excellence project no. 27204 and project no. 316114). We thank Zhengning Xu and Yuliang Liu for their help in sulfuric acid data in Nanjing.

References

- 1Kulmala M.- ~~Vehkamäki~~[Vehkamäki](#) H.- ~~Petäjä~~[Petäjä](#) T.- Dal Maso M.- Lauri A.- Kerminen V. M.- Birmili W.- McMurry P. H.- Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations— ~~Journal~~ [of J. Aerosol Science](#) ~~Sci.~~ 2004- 35- 2- 143- 176- 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2003.10.003.
- 2Zhang R.- Khalizov A.- Wang L.- Hu M.- Xu W.- Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in the atmosphere- ~~Chem Rev~~[Chem. Rev.](#) 2012- 112- 3- 1957- 2011- 10.1021/cr2001756.
- 3Makkonen R.- Asmi A.- Kerminen V. M.- Boy M.- Arneth A.- Hari P.- Kulmala M.- Air pollution control and decreasing new particle formation lead to strong climate warming- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2012- 12- 3- 1515- 1524- 10.5194/acp-12-1515-2012.
- 4Kerminen V.-M.- Lihavainen H.- Komppula M.- Viisanen Y.- Kulmala M.- Direct observational evidence linking atmospheric aerosol formation and cloud droplet activation- ~~Geophysical Research Letters~~[Geophys. Res. Lett.](#) 2005- 32- 14- - L14803- 10.1029/2005GL023130.
- 5Merikanto J.- Spracklen D. V.- Mann G. W.- Pickering S. J.- Carslaw K. S.- Impact of nucleation on global CCN- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2009- 9- 21- 8601- 8616- 10.5194/acp-9-8601-2009.
- 6Dunne E. M.- Gordon H.- ~~Kärten~~[Kürten](#) A.- Almeida J.- Duplissy J.- Williamson C.- Ortega I. K.- Pringle K. J.- Adamov A.- Baltensperger U.- ~~et al.~~ Global atmospheric particle formation from CERN CLOUD measurements- ~~Science~~[Science](#) 2016- 354- 6316- 1119- 1124- 10.1126/science.aaf2649.
- 7Kerminen V.-M.- Chen X.- Vakkari V.- ~~Petäjä~~[Petäjä](#) T.- Kulmala M.- Bianchi F.- Atmospheric new particle formation and growth: review of field observations- ~~Environmental Research Letters~~[Environ. Res. Lett.](#) 2018- 13- 10- - 103003- 10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c.
- 8Chu B.- Kerminen V.-M.- Bianchi F.- Yan C.- ~~Petäjä~~[Petäjä](#) T.- Kulmala M.- Atmospheric new particle formation in China- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2019- 19- 1- 115- 138- 10.5194/acp-19-115-2019.
- 9McMurry P. H.- Friedlander S. K.- New particle formation in the presence of an aerosol- ~~Atmospheric~~[Atmos. Environ. Environment](#) 1979- 13- 12- 1635- 1651- 10.1016/0004-6981(79)90322-6.
- 10Kerminen V.-M.- Pirjola L.- Kulmala M.- How significantly does coagulation limit atmospheric particle production?- ~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres~~ [Geophys. Res.: Atmos.](#) 2001- 106- D20- 24119- 24125- 10.1029/2001JD000322.
- 11Cai R.- Yang D.- Fu Y.- Wang X.- Li X.- Ma Y.- Hao J.- Zheng J.- Jiang J.- Aerosol surface area concentration: a governing factor in new particle formation in Beijing- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2017- 17- 20- 12327- 12340- 10.5194/acp-17-12327-2017.
- 12Iida K.- Stolzenburg M. R.- McMurry P. H.- Smith J. N.- Estimating nanoparticle growth rates from size-dependent charged fractions: Analysis of new particle formation events in Mexico City- ~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres~~ [Geophys. Res.: Atmos.](#) 2008- 113- D5- - D05207- 10.1029/2007JD009260.
- 13Xiao S.- Wang M. Y.- Yao L.- Kulmala M.- Zhou B.- Yang X.- Chen J. M.- Wang D. F.- Fu Q. Y.- Worsnop D. R.- Wang L.- Strong atmospheric new particle formation in winter in urban Shanghai, China- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2015- 15- 4- 1769- 1781- 10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015.
- 14Yu H.- Zhou L.- Dai L.- Shen W.- Dai W.- Zheng J.- Ma Y.- Chen M.- Nucleation and growth of sub-3 nm particles in the polluted urban atmosphere of a megacity in China- ~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics~~[Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#) 2016- 16- 4- 2641- 2657- 10.5194/acp-16-2641-2016.

15 Yao L., Garmash O., Bianchi F., Zheng J., Yan C., Kontkanen J., Junninen H., Mazon S. B., Ehn M., Paasonen P., Sipila M., Wang M., Wang X., Xiao S., Chen H., Lu Y., Zhang B., Wang D., Fu Q., Geng F., Li L., Wang H., Qiao L., Yang X., Chen J., Kerminen V. M., Petaja T., Worsnop D. R., Kulmala M., Wang L. Atmospheric new particle formation from sulfuric acid and amines in a Chinese megacity- [Science](#) 2018- 361- 6399- 278- 281- 10.1126/science.aao4839.

16 Wu Z., Hu M., Liu S., Wehner B., Bauer S., ~~Maßling~~ [Massling](#) A., Wiedensohler A., ~~Petäjä~~ [Petäjä](#) T., Dal Maso M., Kulmala M. New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-year data set- [Journal of Geophys. Res.](#) of ~~Geophysical Research~~ 2007- 112- D9- - D09209- 10.1029/2006JD007406.

17 Wang Z. B., Hu M., Sun J. Y., Wu Z. J., Yue D. L., Shen X. J., Zhang Y. M., Pei X. Y., Cheng Y. F., Wiedensohler A. Characteristics of regional new particle formation in urban and regional background environments in the North China Plain- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2013- 13- 24- 12495- 12506- 10.5194/acp-13-12495-2013.

18 Zheng J., Hu M., Zhang R., Yue D., Wang Z., Guo S., Li X., Bohn B., Shao M., He L., Huang X., Wiedensohler A., Zhu T. Measurements of gaseous H₂SO₄ by AP-ID-CIMS during CARE Beijing 2008 Campaign- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2011- 11- 15- 7755- 7765- 10.5194/acp-11-7755-2011.

19 Cai R., Jiang J. A new balance formula to estimate new particle formation rate: reevaluating the effect of coagulation scavenging- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2017- 17- 20- 12659- 12675- 10.5194/acp-17-12659-2017.

20 Kürten Kürten A., Li C., Bianchi F., Curtius J., Dias A., Donahue N. M., Duplissy J., Flagan R. C., Hakala J., Jokinen T., Kirkby J., Kulmala M., Laaksonen A., Lehtipalo K., Makhmutov V., Onnela A., Rissanen M. P., Simon M., ~~Sipilä~~ [Sipilä](#) M., Stozhkov Y., ~~Tröstl~~ [Tröstl](#) J., Ye P., McMurry P. H. New particle formation in the sulfuric acid-dimethylamine-water system: reevaluation of CLOUD chamber measurements and comparison to an aerosol nucleation and growth model- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2018- 18- 2- 845- 863- 10.5194/acp-18-845-2018.

21 Cai R., Li C., He X. C., Deng C., Lu Y., Yin R., Yan C., Wang L., Jiang J., Kulmala M., Kangasluoma J. Impacts of coagulation on the appearance time method for sub-3 nm particle growth rate evaluation and their corrections- [Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.](#) 2020- 2020- 1- 24. [10.5194/acp-2020-398](#).

22 McMurry P. H., Fink M., Sakurai H., Stolzenburg M. R., Mauldin R. L., Smith J., Eisele F., Moore K., Sjostedt S., Tanner D., Huey L. G., Nowak J. B., Edgerton E., Voisin D. A criterion for new particle formation in the sulfur-rich Atlanta atmosphere- [J. Geophys. Res.](#) ~~Geophys. Res.~~ 2005- 110- D22- 1- 10- 10.1029/2005JD005901.

23 Kuang C., Riipinen I., Sihto S. L., Kulmala M., McCormick A. V., McMurry P. H. An improved criterion for new particle formation in diverse atmospheric environments- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2010- 10- 17- 8469- 8480- 10.5194/acp-10-8469-2010.

24 Cai R., Yan C., Yang D., Yin R., Lu Y., Deng C., Fu Y., Ruan J., Li X., Kontkanen J., Zhang Q., Kangasluoma J., Ma Y., Hao J., Worsnop D. R., Bianchi F., Paasonen P., Kerminen V. M., Liu Y., Wang L., Zheng J., Kulmala M., Jiang J. [Sulfuric Acid-Amine Nucleation in the \[AQ1\] Urban Atmospheric Environment](#) ~~acid-amine nucleation in the urban atmospheric environment.~~ 2020- , [under review.\(under review\)](#).

25 Smith J. N., Moore K. F., Eisele F. L., Voisin D., Ghimire A. K., Sakurai H., McMurry P. H. Chemical composition of atmospheric nanoparticles during nucleation events in Atlanta- [Journal of Geophys. Res.](#) of ~~Geophysical Research~~ 2005- 110- D22- - D22S03- 10.1029/2005JD005912.

26 Boy M., Kulmala M., Ruuskanen T. M., Pihlatie M., Reissell A., Aalto P. P., Keronen P., Maso M. D., Hellen H., Hakola H. *et al.* Sulphuric acid closure and contribution to nucleation mode particle growth- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) 2005- 5- 4- 863- 878- 10.5194/acp-5-863-2005.

27 Ehn M., Thornton J. A., Kleist E., Sipila M., Junninen H., Pullinen I., Springer M., Rubach F., Tillmann R., Lee B., Lopez-Hilfiker F., Andres S., Acir I.

H.- Rissanen- M.- Jokinen- T.- Schobesberger- S.- Kangasluoma- J.- Kontkanen- J.- Nieminen- T.- Kurten- T.- Nielsen- L. B.- Jorgensen- S.- Kjaergaard- H. G.- Canagaratna- M.- Maso- M. D.- Berndt- T.- Petaja- T.- Wahner- A.- Kerminen- V. M.- Kulmala- M.- Worsnop- D. R.- Wildt- J.- Mentel- T. F.- A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol- *Nature*- 2014- 506- 7489- 476- [9479](#)- [10.1038/nature13032](#).

28Lehtipalo- K.- Rondo- L.- Kontkanen- J.- Schobesberger- S.- Jokinen- T.- Sarnela- N.- Kurten- A.- Ehrhart- S.- Franchin- A.- Nieminen- T.- Riccobono- F.- Sipila- M.- Yli-Juuti- T.- Duplissy- J.- Adamov- A.- Ahlm- L.- Almeida- J.- Amorim- A.- Bianchi- F.- Breitenlechner- M.- Dommen- J.- Downard- A. J.- Dunne- E. M.- Flagan- R. C.- Guida- R.- Hakala- J.- Hansel- A.- Jud- W.- Kangasluoma- J.- Kerminen- V. M.- Keskinen- H.- Kim- J.- Kirkby- J.- Kupc- A.- Kupiainen-Maatta- O.- Laaksonen- A.- Lawler- M. J.- Leiminger- M.- Mathot- S.- Olenius- T.- Ortega- I. K.- Onnela- A.- Petaja- T.- Praplan- A.- Rissanen- M. P.- Ruuskanen- T.- Santos- F. D.- Schallhart- S.- Schnitzhofer- R.- Simon- M.- Smith- J. N.- Trostl- J.- Tsagkogeorgas- G.- Tome- A.- Vaattovaara- P.- Vehkamaki- H.- Vrtala- A. E.- Wagner- P. E.- Williamson- C.- Wimmer- D.- Winkler- P. M.- Virtanen- A.- Donahue- N. M.- Carslaw- K. S.- Baltensperger- U.- Riipinen- I.- Curtius- J.- Worsnop- D. R.- Kulmala- M.- The effect of acid-base clustering and ions on the growth of atmospheric nano-particles- *Nat Commun*- 2016- 7- [41594](#)- [11594](#)- [10.1038/ncomms11594](#).

29Lu- Y.- Yan- C.- Fu- Y.- Chen- Y.- Liu- Y.- Yang- G.- Wang- Y.- Bianchi- F.- Chu- B.- Zhou- Y.- *et al.* A proxy for atmospheric daytime gaseous sulfuric acid concentration in urban Beijing- *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*- 2019- 19-3- 1971- 1983- [10.5194/acp-19-1971-2019](#).

30Zhou- Y.- Dada- L.- Liu- Y.- Fu- Y.- Kangasluoma- J.- Chan- T.- Yan- C.- Chu- B.- Daellenbach- K. R.- Bianchi- F.- Kokkonen- T. V.- Liu- Y.- Kujansuu- J.- Kerminen- V. M.- [Petäjä](#)- T.- Wang- L.- Jiang- J.- Kulmala- M.- Variation of size-segregated particle number concentrations in wintertime Beijing- *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*- 2020- 20- 2- 1201- 1216- [10.5194/acp-20-1201-2020](#).

31Jiang- J.- Chen- M.- Kuang- C.- Attoui- M.- McMurry- P. H.- Electrical Mobility Spectrometer Using a Diethylene Glycol Condensation Particle Counter for Measurement of Aerosol Size Distributions Down to 1 nm- *Aerosol Science and Technology*- 2011- 45- 4- 510- 521- [10.1080/02786826.2010.547538](#).

32Cai- R.- Chen- D.-R.- Hao- J.- Jiang- J.- A miniature cylindrical differential mobility analyzer for sub-3 nm particle sizing- *Journal of Aerosol Science*- 2017- 106- 111- 119- [10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.01.004](#).

33Liu- J.- Jiang- J.- Zhang- Q.- Deng- J.- Hao- J.- A spectrometer for measuring particle size distributions in the range of 3 nm to 10 μm - *Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering*- 2016- 10- 1- 63- 72- [10.1007/s11783-014-0754-x](#).

34Fu- Y.- Xue- M.- Cai- R.- Kangasluoma- J.- Jiang- J.- Theoretical and experimental analysis of the core sampling method: Reducing diffusional losses in aerosol sampling line- *Aerosol Science and Technology*- 2019- 53- 7- 793- 801- [10.1080/02786826.2019.1608354](#).

35Eisele- F.- Tanner- D.- Measurement of the gas phase concentration of H₂SO₄ and methane sulfonic acid and estimates of H₂SO₄ production and loss in the atmosphere- *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*- 1993- 98- D5- 9001- 9010- [10.1029/93JD00031](#).

36Bertram- T. H.- Kimmel- J. R.- Crisp- T. A.- Ryder- O. S.- Yatavelli- R. L. N.- Thornton- J. A.- Cubison- M. J.- Gonin- M.- Worsnop- D. R.- A field-deployable, chemical ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer- *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*- 2011- 4- 7- 1471- 1479- [10.5194/amt-4-1471-2011](#).

37Jokinen- T.- [Sipilä](#)- M.- Junninen- H.- Ehn- M.- Lönn- G.- Hakala- J.- [Petäjä](#)- T.- Mauldin- R. L.- Kulmala- M.- Worsnop- D. R.- Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-API-TOF- *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*- 2012- 12- 9- 4117- 4125- [10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012](#).

38Kürten- A.- Rondo- L.- Ehrhart- S.- Curtius- J.- Calibration of a chemical ionization mass spectrometer for the measurement of gaseous sulfuric acid- *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*- 2012- 116- 24- 6375- 6386- [10.1021/jp212123n](#).

- 39Heinritzi- M.- Simon- M.- Steiner- G.- Wagner- A. C.- Kürten- A.- Hansel- A.- Curtius- J.- Characterization of the mass dependent transmission efficiency of a CIMS— [Atmospheric Measurement Techniques](#)— [mos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. Discussions](#)— 2015- 8- 11- 1449- 1460- 10.5194/amtd-8-11369-2015.
- 40Yan, C.; Dada, L.; Dallenbach, K.; Kontkanen, J.; Yin, R.; Lu, Y.; Yang, D.; Fu, Y.; Deng, C.; Baalbaki, R.; Schervish, M.; Cai, J.; Cai, R.; Bloss, M.; Chan, T.; Chen, T.; Chen, Q.; Hakala, S.; Chen, X.; Chen, Y.; Chu, B.; Du, W.; Ezhova, E.; Fan, X.; Feng, Z.; Foreback, B.; Garmash, O.; Guo, Y.; He, X.; Heikkinen, L.; Hussein, T.; Jarvi, L.; Jokinen, T.; Junninen, H.; Kangasluoma, J.; Kokkonen, T.; Kurppa, M.; Lehtinen, K.; Li, C.; Li, H.; Li, H.; Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Ma, Q.; Nie, W.; Paasonen, P.; Pileci, R.; Qiao, X.; Rantala, P.; Rusanen, A.; Sarnela, N.; Simonen, P.; Stolzenburg, D.; Tilgner, A.; Tuovinen, S.; Vakkari, V.; Wang, L.; Wang, S.; Wang, W.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Xue, M.; Yang, D.; Yang, G.; Yao, L.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, Y.; Zheng, F.; Zeng, X.; Zhou, Y.; Zhouhui, L.; Kujansuu, J.; Petaja, T.; Zhang, S.; Ma, Y.; Ge, M.; He, H.; Donahue, N. M.; Worsnop, D. R.; Kerminen, V. M.; Ding, A.; Zheng, J.; Wang, L.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, J.; Bianchi, F.; Kulmala, M.; [The Birth of AQ2 Haze](#)— [birth of haze](#)—, 2020—, [under review \(under review\)](#)—.
- 41Kulmala- M.- Petaja- T.- Nieminen- T.- Sipila- M.- Manninen- H. E.- Lehtipalo- K.- Dal Maso- M.- Aalto- P. P.- Junninen- H.- Paasonen- P.- Riipinen- I.- Lehtinen- K. E.- Laaksonen- A.- Kerminen- V. M.- Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles— [Nat Protoc](#)— [Nat. Protoc.](#)— 2012- 7- 9- 1651- [671667](#)- 10.1038/nprot.2012.091.
- 42Stolzenburg- M. R.- McMurry- P. H.- Sakurai- H.- Smith- J. N.- Mauldin- R. L.- Eisele- F. L.- Clement- C. F.- Growth rates of freshly nucleated atmospheric particles in Atlanta— [Journal of Geophysical Research](#)— [Geophys. Res.](#)— 2005—, 110-D22- [S05](#)—, [S05](#). 10.1029/2005JD005935.
- 43Fuller- E. N.- Schettler- P. D.- Giddings- J. C.- New method for prediction of binary gas-phase diffusion coefficients— [Industrial & Engineering Chemistry](#)— [Ind. Eng. Chem.](#)— 1966- 58- 5- 18- 27- 10.1021/ie50677a007.
- 44Hanson- D.- Eisele- F.- Diffusion of H₂SO₄ in humidified nitrogen: Hydrated H₂SO₄— [The H₂SO₄ Journal of Physical Chemistry](#)— [J. Phys. Chem. A](#)— 2000- 104- 8- 1715- 1719- 10.1021/jp993622j.
- 45Nieminen- T.- Asmi- A.- Maso- M. D.- Aalto- P. P.- Keronen- P.- Petaja- T.- Kulmala- M.- Kerminen- V. M.- Trends in atmospheric new-particle formation: 16 years of observations in a boreal-forest environment— [Boreal Environment Research](#)— [Environ. Res.](#)— 2014- 19- 1- 191- 214
- 46Kulmala- M.- [Petaja](#)— [Petaja](#)— T.- [Mönkkönen](#)— [Mönkkönen](#)— P.- Koponen- I. K.- Dal Maso- M.- Aalto- P. P.- Lehtinen- K. E. J.- Kerminen- V. M.- On the growth of nucleation mode particles: source rates of condensable vapor in polluted and clean environments— [Atmos. Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#)— [Chem. Phys.](#)— 2005- 5- 2- 409- 416- 10.5194/acp-5-409-2005.
- 47Mönkkönen- P.- Koponen- I. K.- Lehtinen- K. E. J.- Hämeri- K.- Uma- R.- Kulmala- M.- Measurements in a highly polluted Asian mega city: observations of aerosol number size distribution, modal parameters and nucleation events— [Atmos. Atmos. Chem. Phys.](#)— [Chem. Phys.](#)— 2005- 5- 1- 57- 66- 10.5194/acp-5-57-2005.
- 48Kontkanen- J.- [Järvinen](#)— [Järvinen](#)— E.- Manninen- H. E.- Lehtipalo- K.- Kangasluoma- J.- Decesari- S.- Gobbi- G. P.- Laaksonen- A.- [Petaja](#)— [Petaja](#)— T.- Kulmala- M.- High concentrations of sub-3nm clusters and frequent new particle formation observed in the Po Valley, Italy, during the PEGASOS 2012 campaign— [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#)— [mos. Chem. Phys.](#)— 2016- 16- 4- 1919- 1935- 10.5194/acp-16-1919-2016.
- 49Leppä- J.- Anttila- T.- Kerminen- V. M.- Kulmala- M.- Lehtinen- K. E. J.- Atmospheric new particle formation: real and apparent growth of neutral and charged particles— [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#)— [mos. Chem. Phys.](#)— 2011- 11- 10- 4939- 4955- 10.5194/acp-11-4939-2011.
- 50Wang- Z. B.- Hu- M.- Yue- D. L.- Zheng- J.- Zhang- R. Y.- Wiedensohler- A.- Wu- Z. J.- Nieminen- T.- Boy- M.- Evaluation on the role of sulfuric acid in the mechanisms of new particle formation for Beijing case— [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#)— [mos. Chem. Phys.](#)— 2011- 11- 24- 12663- 12671- 10.5194/acp-11-12663-2011.
- 51Rose- C.- Sellegri- K.- Velarde- F.- Moreno- I.- Ramonet- M.- Weinhold- K.- Krejci- R.- Ginot- P.- Andrade- M.- Wiedensohler- A.- Laj- P.- Fre

quent nucleation events at the high altitude station of Chacaltaya (5240 m a.s.l.), Bolivia— [Atmospheric Environmentmos. Environ.](#) - 2015- 102- 18- 29- 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.015.

52Dal Maso- M.- Kulmala- M.- Riipinen- I.- Wagner- R.- Hussein- T.- Aalto- P. P.- Lehtinen- K. E.- Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland— [Boreal Environment Research Environ. Res.](#) - 2005- 10- 5- 323

53Dada— L.— Paasonen— P.— Nieminen— T.— Buenrostro Mazon— S.— Kontkanen— J.— [PeräkyläPeräkylä](#)— O.— Lehtipalo— K.— Hussein— T.— [PetäjäPetäjä](#)— T.— Kerminen— V.- M.— [BäckBäck](#)— J.— Kulmala— M.— Long-term analysis of clear-sky new particle formation events and nonevents in [HyytiäläHyytiälä](#)— [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physicsmos. Chem. Phys.](#) - 2017- 17- 10- 6227- 6241- 10.5194/acp-17-6227-2017.

54Nieminen- T.- Kerminen- V.-M.- [PetäjäPetäjä](#) - T.- Aalto- P. P.- Arshinov- M.- Asmi- E.- Baltensperger- U.- Beddows- D. C. S.- Beukes- J. P.- Collins- D.- Ding- A.- Harrison- R. M.- Henzing- B.- Hooda- R.- Hu- M.- [HörrakHörrak](#) - U.- [KivekäsKivekäs](#) - N.- Komsaare- K.- Krejci- R.- Kristensson- A.- Laakso- L.- Laaksonen- A.- Leaitch- W. R.- Lihavainen- H.- Mihalopoulos- N.- [NémethNémeth](#) - Z.- Nie- W.- [O'DowdC. Dowd](#) - G.- Salma- J.- Sellegri- K.- Svenningsson- B.- Swietlicki- E.- Tunved- P.- Ulevicius- V.- Vakkari- V.- Vana- M.- Wiedensohler- A.- Wu- Z.- Virtanen- A.- Kulmala- M.- [et al.](#) Global analysis of continental boundary layer new particle formation based on long-term measurements— [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physicsmos. Chem. Phys.](#) - 2018- 18-19- 14737- 14756- 10.5194/acp-18-14737-2018.

55Almeida— J.— Schobesberger— S.— Kurten— A.— Ortega— I. K.— Kupiainen-Maatta— O.— Praplan— A. P.— Adamov— A.— Amorim— A.— Bianchi— F.— Breitenlechner— M.— David— A.— Dommen— J.— Donahue— N. M.— Downard— A.— Dunne— E.— Duplissy— J.— Ehrhart— S.— Flagan— R. C.— Franchin- A.- Guida- R.- Hakala- J.- Hansel- A.- Heinritzi- M.- Henschel- H.- Jokinen- T.- Junninen- H.- Kajos- M.- Kangasluoma- J.- Keskinen— H.— Kupc— A.— Kurten— T.— Kvashin— A. N.— Laaksonen- A.- Lehtipalo- K.- Leiminger- M.- Leppä- J.- Loukonen- V.- Makhmutov- V.- Mathot- S.- McGrath- M. J.- Nieminen- T.- Olenius- T.- Onnela- A.- Petaja- T.- Riccobono- F.- Riipinen- I.- Rissanen- M.- Rondo- L.- Ruuskanen- T.- Santos- F. D.— Sarnela— N.— Schallhart— S.— Schnitzhofer— R.— Seinfeld— J. H.- Simon- M.- Sipila- M.- Stozhkov- Y.- Stratmann- F.- Tome- A.- Trostl- J.- Tsagkogeorgas- G.- Vaattovaara- P.- Viisanen- Y.- Virtanen- A.- Vrtala- A.- Wagner- P. E.- Weingartner- E.- Wex- H.- Williamson- C.- Wimmer- D.- Ye- P.- Yli-Juuti- T.- Carslaw- K. S.- Kulmala- M.- Curtius- J.- Baltensperger- U.- Worsnop- D. R.- Vehkamäki- H.- Kirkby- J.- Molecular understanding of sulphuric acid-amine particle nucleation in the atmosphere— [Nature](#) - 2013- 502- 7471- 359- [63363](#)- 10.1038/nature12663.

56Schobesberger- S.- Junninen- H.- Bianchi- F.- Lonn- G.- Ehn- M.- Lehtipalo- K.- Dommen- J.- Ehrhart- S.- Ortega- I. K.- Franchin- A.- Nieminen- T.- Riccobono- F.- Hutterli- M.- Duplissy- J.- Almeida- J.- Amorim- A.- Breitenlechner- M.- Downard- A. J.— Dunne— E. M.— Flagan— R. C.— Kajos- M.- Keskinen- H.- Kirkby- J.- Kupc- A.- Kurten- A.- Kurten- T.- Laaksonen- A.- Mathot- S.- Onnela- A.- Praplan- A. P.— Rondo— L.— Santos— F. D.- Schallhart- S.- Schnitzhofer- R.- Sipila- M.- Tome- A.- Tsagkogeorgas- G.- Vehkamäki- H.- Wimmer- D.- Baltensperger- U.- Carslaw- K. S.- Curtius- J.- Hansel- A.- Petaja- T.- Kulmala- M.- Donahue- N. M.- Worsnop- D. R.- Molecular understanding of atmospheric particle formation from sulfuric acid and large oxidized organic molecules— [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.AProc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](#) - 2013- 110- 43- 17223- [817228](#)- 10.1073/pnas.1306973110.

57Metzger- A.- Verheggen- B.- Dommen- J.- Duplissy- J.- Prevot- A. S.- Weingartner- E.- Riipinen- I.- Kulmala- M.- Spracklen- D. V.- Carslaw- K. S.- Baltensperger- U.- Evidence for the role of organics in aerosol particle formation under atmospheric conditions— [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.AProc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](#) - 2010- 107- 15- 6646- [516651](#)- 10.1073/pnas.0911330107.

58Lehtipalo- K.- Yan- C.- Dada- L.- Bianchi- F.- Xiao- M.- Wagner- R.- Stolzenburg- D.- Ahonen- L. R.- Amorim- A.- Baccarini- A. J. S.- [a- Multicomponent new particle formation from sulfuric acid, ammonia, and biogenic vapors. Multicomponent new particle formation from sulfuric acid, ammonia, and biogenic vaporsSci. Adv.](#) - 2018- 4-12- -eaau5363. [10.1126/sciadv.aau5363](#).

- 59Kuang C.- Chen M.- Zhao J.- Smith J.- McMurry P. H.- Wang J.- Size and time-resolved growth rate measurements of 1 to 5 nm freshly formed atmospheric nuclei- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*- 2012- 12- 7- 3573- 3589- 10.5194/acp-12-3573-2012.
- 60Stolzenburg D.- Simon M.- Ranjithkumar A.- Kürten Kürten A.- Lehtipalo K.- Gordon H.- Nieminen T.- Pichelstorfer L.- He X. C.- Brilke S.- Xiao M.- Amorim A.- Baalbaki R.- Baccarini A.- Beck L.- Bräkling Bräkling S.- Caudillo Murillo L.- Chen D.- Chu B.- Dada L.- Dias A.- Dommen J.- Duplissy J.- El Haddad I.- Finkenzeller H.- Fischer L.- Gonzalez Carracedo L.- Heinritzi M.- Kim C.- Koenig T. K.- Kong W.- Lamkaddam H.- Lee C. P.- Leiminger M.- Li Z.- Makhmutov V.- Manninen H. E.- Marie G.- Marten R.- Müller Müller T.- Nie W.- Partoll E.- Petäjä Petäjä T.- Pfeifer J.- Philippov M.- Rissanen M. P.- Rörup Rörup B.- Schobesberger S.- Schuchmann S.- Shen J.- Sipilä Sipilä M.- Steiner G.- Stozhkov Y.- Tauber C.- Tham Y. J.- Tomé Tomé A.- Vazquez-Pufleau M.- Wagner A. C.- Wang M.- Wang Y.- Weber S. K.- Wimmer D.- Wlasits P. J.- Wu Y.- Ye Q.- Zauner-Wieczorek M.- Baltensperger U.- Carslaw K. S.- Curtius J.- Donahue N. M.- Flagan R. C.- Hansel A.- Kulmala M.- Volkamer R.- Kirkby J.- Winkler P. M.- Enhanced growth rate of atmospheric particles from sulfuric acid- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*- *Chem. Phys. Discuss.*- 2019- 2019- 1- 17- [10.5194/acp-2019-755](#).
- 61Smith J. N.- Moore K. F.- McMurry P. H.- Eisele F. L.- Atmospheric Measurements of Sub-20 nm Diameter Particle Chemical Composition by Thermal Desorption Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry- [Aerosol Science and Technology](#) *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*- 2004- 38- 2- 100- 110- 10.1080/02786820490249036.
- 62Yu H.- Hallar A. G.- You Y.- Sedlacek A.- Springston S.- Kanawade V. P.- Lee Y. N.- Wang J.- Kuang C.- Mcgraw R. L.- *et al.* Sub-3 nm Particles Observed at the Coastal and Continental Sites in the United States- [Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres](#) *Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*- 2014- 119-2- 860- 879- [10.1002/2013JD020841](#).
- 63Boulon J.- Sellegri K.- Venzac H.- Picard D.- Weingartner E.- Wehrle G.- Collaud Coen M.- Büttikofer Büttikofer R.- Flückiger Flückiger E.- Baltensperger U.- Laj P.- New particle formation and ultrafine charged aerosol climatology at a high altitude site in the Alps (Jungfraujoch, 3580 m a.s.l., Switzerland)- [Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics](#) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*- 2010- 10- 19- 9333- 9349- 10.5194/acp-10-9333-2010.
- 64Bianchi F.- Trostl J.- Junninen H.- Frege C.- Henne S.- Hoyle C. R.- Molteni U.- Herrmann E.- Adamov A.- Bukowiecki N.- Chen X.- Duplissy J.- Gysel M.- Hutterli M.- Kangasluoma J.- Kontkanen J.- Kürten A.- Manninen H. E.- Munch S.- Perakyla O.- Petaja T.- Rondo L.- Williamson C.- Weingartner E.- Curtius J.- Worsnop D. R.- Kulmala M.- Dommen J.- Baltensperger U.- New particle formation in the free troposphere: A question of chemistry and timing- [Science](#) *Science*- 2016- 352- 6289- 1109- [10.1126/science.aad5456](#).
- 65Yu H.- Ortega J.- Smith J. N.- Guenther A. B.- Kanawade V. P.- You Y.- Liu Y.- Hosman K.- Karl T.- Seco R.- Geron C.- Pallardy S. G.- Gu L.- Mikkilä Mikkilä J.- Lee S.-H.- New Particle Formation and Growth in an Isoprene-Dominated Ozark Forest: From Sub-5 nm to CCN-Active Sizes- [Aerosol Science and Technology](#) *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*- 2014- 48- 12- 1285- 1298- 10.1080/02786826.2014.984801.
- 66Vana M.- Komsaare K.- Hörrak Hörrak U.- Mirme S.- Nieminen T.- Kontkanen J.- Manninen H. E.- Petäjä Petäjä T.- Noe S. M.- Kulmala M.- Characteristics of new-particle formation at three SMEAR stations- [Boreal Environment Research](#) *Environ. Res.*- 2016- 21- 3- 345- 362

Supplementary Information

Seasonal characteristics of new particle formation and growth in urban Beijing

Chenjuan Deng¹, Yueyun Fu¹, Lubna Dada², Chao Yan^{2,3}, Runlong Cai¹, Dongsen Yang⁴, Ying Zhou³, Rujing Yin¹, Yiqun Lu⁵, Xiaoxiao Li¹, Xiaohui Qiao¹, Xiaolong Fan³, Wei Nie⁶, Jenni Kontkanen², Juha Kangasluoma^{2,3}, Biwu Chu^{2,3}, Aijun Ding⁶, Veli-Matti Kerminen², Pauli Paasonen², Douglas R. Worsnop^{2,7}, Federico Bianchi², Yongchun Liu³, Jun Zheng⁴, Lin Wang⁵, Markku Kulmala^{2,3,}, Jingkun Jiang^{1,*}*

¹State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing

²Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

³Aerosol and Haze Laboratory, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, 100029 Beijing, China

⁴Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, 210044 Nanjing, China

⁵Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention (LAP3), Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

⁶Joint International Research Laboratory of Atmospheric and Earth System Sciences, School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, 210023 Nanjing, China


⁷Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts 01821, USA

9 pages

6 figures


27 **1. Classification of NPF days, non-NPF days and undefined days**

28 A typical NPF day is characterized as that there is a burst in the sub-3 nm particle number
29 concentration ($N_{\text{sub-3}}$) and subsequent growth of these newly formed particles (usually lasts for
30 several hours), e.g., the event shown in Fig. S1(a). If the burst of sub-3 nm particles is not
31 followed by further particle growth, the day is classified as an undefined day (e.g., Fig. S1(b)).
32 When neither the burst of sub-3 nm particles nor the subsequent growth of newly formed
33 particles were observed, it was classified into a non-NPF day (e.g., Fig. S1(c)).



34

35 **Figure S1.** Typical particle size distributions of (a) a NPF day (Feb. 12, 2018), (b) an undefined
36 day (Oct. 31, 2018), and (c) a non-NPF day (Nov. 26, 2018). The colored pixels represent
37 aerosol number size distribution functions, $dN/d\log d_p$.



38

39 **Figure S2.** Lognormal fitting for estimating the observed growth rate on a NPF day (Feb. 14,
40 2018). Black dots are the representative diameter obtained through lognormal fitting to the
41 measured aerosol size distributions during NPF periods. Black lines are obtained by linearly
42 fitting the black dots. GR is calculated as the slope of the fitted black line, i.e., the gradient of the
43 representative diameter as a function of time.

44 2. Calculation of the condensation sink


45 The condensation sink (CS) characterizes the condensing vapor sink caused by pre-existing
46 aerosols and can be calculated using the method reported in Kulmala et al.¹,

$$CS = 2\pi D \sum_{d_p} \beta_{m,d_p} d_p N_{d_p} \quad (S1)$$

47 where D is the diffusion coefficient of H_2SO_4 , $m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$; d_p is the particle geometric mean diameter
48 for the size bin, m ; N_{d_p} is the concentration of particles in the size bin, m^{-3} ; β_m is the
49 transition-regime correction factor²,

$$\beta_m = \frac{1 + K_n}{1 + 1.677K_n + 1.333K_n^2} \quad (S2)$$

50 where K_n is the Knudsen number, $K_n = 2\lambda/d_p$.



51

52 **Figure S3.** The formation rate of 1.5 nm particles estimated using two formulae, i.e., $J_{1.5,C}$ and
53 $J_{1.5,K}$ were estimated using the equation (1) in Cai and Jiang³ and the equation (10) in Kulmala et
54 al.¹, respectively. Note that the formula in Kulmala et al.¹ was often used by previous studies to
55 estimate the formation rate in urban Beijing^{4,5}.

56 3. The indicator I for H_2SO_4 -amine nucleation

57 Derived from the kinetic model⁶, I is an indicator to predict the occurrence of NPF dominated by
58 H_2SO_4 -amine nucleation. It is a simplified form of the modeled formation rate of H_2SO_4
59 tetramers (see the SI in Cai et al.⁶). Reasonable approximations were made when deriving this
60 indicator. Due to these approximations, I can be considered as an empirical indicator instead of
61 the simulated formation rate. In urban Beijing, NPF days and non-NPF days can be well
62 distinguished using this indicator⁶.

63 When estimating I using Eq. (2), the evaporation rate of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ cluster, γ , can be
 64 estimated from the collision rates and the Gibbs free energy of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ formation^{6,7},


$$\gamma(T) = \frac{\beta_{A_1B_1} p}{k_b T} \exp \left\{ \frac{\Delta_f G_{m,A_1B_1}^\theta(T)}{RT} \right\} \quad (\text{S3})$$

65 where $\gamma(T)$ is the evaporate rate, s^{-1} ; $\beta_{A_1B_1}$ is the collision rate between the H_2SO_4 molecule and
 66 the amine molecule, $\text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; p is the atmospheric pressure, Pa; k_b is the Boltzmann constant,
 67 $\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$; T is the atmospheric temperature, K; R is the ideal gas constant, $\text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$;
 68 $\Delta_f G_{m,A_1B_1}^\theta(T)$ is the molar Gibbs free energy of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ formation at temperature T ,
 69 $\text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. It can be calculated from the standard molar free energy of formation of
 70 $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ at 298.15 K as shown in Eq. S4,

$$\frac{\Delta_f G_{m,A_1B_1}^\theta(T)}{T} = \frac{\Delta_f G_{m,A_1B_1}^\theta(T_0)}{T_0} - \int_{T_0}^T \frac{\Delta_f H_m^\theta}{T} d\bar{T} \quad (\text{S4})$$

71 where $T_0=298.15$ K; $\Delta_f H_m^\theta$ is the standard molar enthalpy of formation of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$,
 72 $\text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta_f G_{m,A_1B_1}^\theta(T_0)$ is assumed to be -14.0 $\text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ in this study, which is fitted to the
 73 measured data in urban Beijing in a previous study⁶. Note that although this value is close to the
 74 latest quantum chemistry calculation results⁸, there might be noticeable uncertainties in the
 75 calculated evaporation rates when $T > 300$ K because of lacking experimental data.

76 When estimating β and CS needed in Eq. (3) to calculate η , the enhancement factors of
 77 coagulation due to van der Waals forces were taken into account. They were estimated using the
 78 method from Chan and Mozurkewich⁹, which includes the effect of enhanced collision rates
 79 through van der Waals forces^{10,11}. The Hamaker constant was assumed to be 6.4×10^{-20} J ¹². The
 80 enhancement factors for β and CS were estimated to be 2.3 and 1.3, respectively. The value of
 81 2.3 has been shown to be consistent with the results obtained using atomistic simulation¹³.



82
 83 **Figure S4.** The evaporation rate (left) and the ratio of the $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_1(\text{amine})_1$ cluster concentration
 84 to the total H_2SO_4 monomer concentration, η , as a function of atmospheric temperature in


85 various seasons. Blue cross, green asterisk, red circle, and black dot markers represent data in
86 winter, spring, summer, and autumn, respectively.

87 4. The influence of seasonal variations of amine concentration

88 A broad range of amines were measured using a modified Aerodyne high resolution time-of-
89 flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-CIMS)¹⁴. It also measures ammonia and
90 amides. The ion chemistry is based on the proton-transfer reaction (PTR) between
91 amines/ammonia and hydronium ions ($\text{H}_3\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{n=0,1,\dots}$). The construction, operation, and
92 calibration of the instrument have been previously reported¹⁴. The concentrations of ammonia,
93 three most abundant amines (methylamine (MA), dimethylamine (DMA), and trimethylamine
94 (TMA)) and two amides were calibrated using a temperature-controlled U-shaped glass tube and
95 the corresponding commercial permeation tubes. Note that the measured concentration of
96 C2-amines was assumed to be the DMA concentration, which is most likely dominating in urban
97 atmospheric environment over its isomer, ethylamine¹⁵.

98 Atmospheric amine concentrations in urban Beijing have not been reported before, and
99 observations of amine concentrations are also limited in other atmospheric environments around
100 the world¹⁶⁻²⁰. In our studies, the concentrations of amines were continuously measured for
101 approximately 5 months. DMA was the most abundant one, with a median concentration of 2.7
102 ppt. Due to its abundancy and strong ability to stabilize H_2SO_4 clusters, DMA was shown to be
103 the major stabilizing species in urban Beijing⁶. Hence, when estimating the indicator I , “amine”
104 represents DMA and its concentration was set to be the median value of 2.7 ppt.

105 If we categorized five-month data into different seasons, the median DMA concentration in
106 autumn (Oct. 24, 2018 – Nov. 30, 2018), winter (Dec. 1, 2018 – Feb. 28, 2019) and spring (Mar.
107 1, 2019 – Mar. 13, 2019) were ~ 4.1 , 1.5, and 0.7 ppt, respectively. The range of 0.7-4.1 ppt was
108 used to test the influence of amine concentration on the value of I . As shown in Fig. S5, the
109 influence of amine concentration on I is less significant than ambient temperature.



110

111 **Figure S5.** Seasonal variations of the indicator, I , estimated at a constant ambient temperature of
112 275 K and the measured ambient temperature during NPF periods, respectively. Daily maximum
113 values for I were used in this figure. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and
114 75th percentiles, respectively. The black line inside the box represents the mean values. The dot,

115 triangle and square markers indicate median values. The light blue shadow area represents the
 116 variation range of I values estimated with the amine concentration in the range of 0.7-4.1 ppt.

117 5. Calculation of the calculated growth rate due to H_2SO_4 and clusters condensation

118 The growth rate due to the condensation of species (H_2SO_4 and $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_n(\text{amine})_n$ clusters ($n \leq$
 119 4)) can be calculated using the following equation,

$$GR = k_{coll} C_v [(d_p^3 + d_v^3)^{1/3} - d_p] \quad (\text{S5})$$

120 where d_p and d_v represent the particle and vapor diameter, respectively, m; k_{coll} represents the
 121 kinetic collision coefficient between particle and vapor, $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; V_v is the volume of vapor
 122 molecule, m^3 ; C_v is the concentration of condensing species, m^{-3} . The concentrations of
 123 $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_n(\text{amine})_n$ clusters ($n \leq 4$) are derived using the simplified kinetic model in Cai et al.⁶.
 124 The accommodation coefficient is assumed to be unity. A collision enhancement of neutral
 125 vapors and particles due to attractive London-van-der-Waals forces is used when estimating k_{coll} ⁹,
 126 ¹¹,

$$k_{coll}(d_v, d_p) = k_K \left(\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{k_K}{k_D} \right)^2} \right) - \frac{k_K}{k_D} \quad (\text{S6})$$

127 where k_K and k_D are the kinetic collision rates for the free molecule and continuum regime,
 128 respectively, and can be calculated as follows,


$$k_K = \frac{\pi}{8} (d_v + d_p)^2 \left(\frac{8kT}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m_v} + \frac{1}{m_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(\infty) \quad (\text{S7})$$

$$k_D = 2\pi (d_v + d_p) (D_v + D_p) E(0) \quad (\text{S8})$$

129 where m_v and m_p are the mass of the single condensing species and particle, respectively, kg; D_v
 130 and D_p are diffusion coefficient of condensing species and particles, respectively, $\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. $E(\infty)$
 131 and $E(0)$ are collision enhancement factors due to London-van-der-Waals forces and can be
 132 found in Chan and Mozurkewich⁹.

133

134



135

136 **Figure S6.** The ratio of the calculated growth rate ($GR_{\text{calculated}}$) over the observed growth rate
 137 (GR_{observed}) in various size range during these measurement periods. GR_{observed} reported in (a) was
 138 estimated using the log-normal distribution function method. GR_{observed} reported in (b) was
 139 estimated using the corrected appearance time method²¹ in (b), respectively. Their differences
 140 indicate the influences in the observed GR caused by the estimation methods. $GR_{\text{calculated}}$
 141 estimated using the condensation of H_2SO_4 and $(H_2SO_4)_n(\text{amine})_n$ clusters ($n \leq 4$). The geometric
 142 mean value of $GR_{\text{calculated}}$ in each size range was used to calculate the ratio of $GR_{\text{calculated}}/GR_{\text{observed}}$.
 143 The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The
 144 black line inside the box represents the mean values. The dot and square markers indicate median
 145 values.

146

147 Reference

- 148 1. Kulmala, M.; Petaja, T.; Nieminen, T.; Sipila, M.; Manninen, H. E.; Lehtipalo, K.; Dal
 149 Maso, M.; Aalto, P. P.; Junninen, H.; Paasonen, P.; Riipinen, I.; Lehtinen, K. E.; Laaksonen, A.;
 150 Kerminen, V. M., Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles. *Nat Protoc*
 151 **2012**, *7*, (9), 1651-67.
- 152 2. Fuchs, N. A.; Sutugin, A. G., HIGH-DISPERSED AEROSOLS. In *Topics in Current*
 153 *Aerosol Research*, Hidy, G. M.; Brock, J. R., Eds. Pergamon: 1971; p 1.
- 154 3. Cai, R.; Jiang, J., A new balance formula to estimate new particle formation rate:
 155 reevaluating the effect of coagulation scavenging. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2017**, *17*,
 156 (20), 12659-12675.
- 157 4. Wu, Z.; Hu, M.; Liu, S.; Wehner, B.; Bauer, S.; Maßling, A.; Wiedensohler, A.; Petäjä,
 158 T.; Dal Maso, M.; Kulmala, M., New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of
 159 a 1-year data set. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **2007**, *112*, (D9), D09209.
- 160 5. Wang, Z. B.; Hu, M.; Sun, J. Y.; Wu, Z. J.; Yue, D. L.; Shen, X. J.; Zhang, Y. M.; Pei, X.
 161 Y.; Cheng, Y. F.; Wiedensohler, A., Characteristics of regional new particle formation in urban
 162 and regional background environments in the North China Plain. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
 163 *Physics* **2013**, *13*, (24), 12495-12506.
- 164 6. Cai, R.; Yan, C.; Yang, D.; Yin, R.; Lu, Y.; Deng, C.; Fu, Y.; Ruan, J.; Li, X.;
 165 Kontkanen, J.; Zhang, Q.; Kangasluoma, J.; Ma, Y.; Hao, J.; Worsnop, D. R.; Bianchi, F.;
 166 Paasonen, P.; Kerminen, V. M.; Liu, Y.; Wang, L.; Zheng, J.; Kulmala, M.; Jiang, J., Sulfuric
 167 acid-amine nucleation in the urban atmospheric environment. **2020. (under review)**.
- 168 7. McGrath, M. J.; Olenius, T.; Ortega, I. K.; Loukonen, V.; Paasonen, P.; Kurtén, T.;
 169 Kulmala, M.; Vehkamäki, H., Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code: a flexible method for

- 170 solution of the birth-death equations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2012**, *12*, (5), 2345-
171 2355.
- 172 8. Myllys, N.; Chee, S.; Olenius, T.; Lawler, M.; Smith, J. J. T. J. o. P. C. A., Molecular-
173 Level Understanding of Synergistic Effects in Sulfuric Acid–Amine–Ammonia Mixed Clusters.
174 **2019**, *123*, (12), 2420-2425.
- 175 9. Chan, T. W.; Mozurkewich, M. J. J. o. a. s., Measurement of the coagulation rate constant
176 for sulfuric acid particles as a function of particle size using tandem differential mobility
177 analysis. **2001**, *32*, (3), 321-339.
- 178 10. Kürten, A.; Li, C.; Bianchi, F.; Curtius, J.; Dias, A.; Donahue, N. M.; Duplissy, J.;
179 Flagan, R. C.; Hakala, J.; Jokinen, T.; Kirkby, J.; Kulmala, M.; Laaksonen, A.; Lehtipalo, K.;
180 Makhmutov, V.; Onnela, A.; Rissanen, M. P.; Simon, M.; Sipilä, M.; Stozhkov, Y.; Tröstl, J.;
181 Ye, P.; McMurry, P. H., New particle formation in the sulfuric acid–dimethylamine–water
182 system: reevaluation of CLOUD chamber measurements and comparison to an aerosol
183 nucleation and growth model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2018**, *18*, (2), 845-863.
- 184 11. Stolzenburg, D.; Simon, M.; Ranjithkumar, A.; Kürten, A.; Lehtipalo, K.; Gordon, H.;
185 Nieminen, T.; Pichelstorfer, L.; He, X. C.; Brilke, S.; Xiao, M.; Amorim, A.; Baalbaki, R.;
186 Baccarini, A.; Beck, L.; Bräkling, S.; Caudillo Murillo, L.; Chen, D.; Chu, B.; Dada, L.; Dias,
187 A.; Dommen, J.; Duplissy, J.; El Haddad, I.; Finkenzeller, H.; Fischer, L.; Gonzalez Carracedo,
188 L.; Heinritzi, M.; Kim, C.; Koenig, T. K.; Kong, W.; Lamkaddam, H.; Lee, C. P.; Leiminger, M.;
189 Li, Z.; Makhmutov, V.; Manninen, H. E.; Marie, G.; Marten, R.; Müller, T.; Nie, W.; Partoll, E.;
190 Petäjä, T.; Pfeifer, J.; Philippov, M.; Rissanen, M. P.; Rörup, B.; Schobesberger, S.;
191 Schuchmann, S.; Shen, J.; Sipilä, M.; Steiner, G.; Stozhkov, Y.; Tauber, C.; Tham, Y. J.; Tomé,
192 A.; Vazquez-Pufleau, M.; Wagner, A. C.; Wang, M.; Wang, Y.; Weber, S. K.; Wimmer, D.;
193 Wlasits, P. J.; Wu, Y.; Ye, Q.; Zauner-Wieczorek, M.; Baltensperger, U.; Carslaw, K. S.;
194 Curtius, J.; Donahue, N. M.; Flagan, R. C.; Hansel, A.; Kulmala, M.; Volkamer, R.; Kirkby, J.;
195 Winkler, P. M., Enhanced growth rate of atmospheric particles from sulfuric acid. *Atmos. Chem.*
196 *Phys. Discuss.* **2019**, *2019*, 1-17.
- 197 12. Hamaker, H. C., The London—van der Waals attraction between spherical particles.
198 *Physica* **1937**, *4*, (10), 1058-1072.
- 199 13. Halonen, R.; Zapadinsky, E.; Kurtén, T.; Vehkamäki, H.; Reischl, B., Rate enhancement
200 in collisions of sulfuric acid molecules due to long-range intermolecular forces. *Atmospheric*
201 *Chemistry and Physics* **2019**, *19*, (21), 13355-13366.
- 202 14. Zheng, J.; Ma, Y.; Chen, M.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, L.; Khalizov, A. F.; Yao, L.; Wang, Z.;
203 Wang, X.; Chen, L., Measurement of atmospheric amines and ammonia using the high resolution
204 time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometry. *Atmospheric Environment* **2015**, *102*, 249-
205 259.
- 206 15. VandenBoer, T. C.; Petroff, A.; Markovic, M. Z.; Murphy, J. G., Size distribution of
207 alkyl amines in continental particulate matter and their online detection in the gas and particle
208 phase. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2011**, *11*, (9), 4319-4332.
- 209 16. Ge, X.; Wexler, A. S.; Clegg, S. L., Atmospheric amines – Part I. A review. *Atmospheric*
210 *Environment* **2011**, *45*, (3), 524-546.
- 211 17. Grönberg, L.; Lökvist, P.; Jönsson, J. Å., Measurement of aliphatic amines in ambient
212 air and rainwater. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *24*, (10), 1533-1540.
- 213 18. Hanson, D. R.; McMurry, P. H.; Jiang, J.; Tanner, D.; Huey, L. G., Ambient Pressure
214 Proton Transfer Mass Spectrometry: Detection of Amines and Ammonia. *Environmental Science*
215 *& Technology* **2011**, *45*, (20), 8881-8888.
- 216 19. Yu, H.; Lee, S.-H., Chemical ionisation mass spectrometry for the measurement of
217 atmospheric amines. *Environmental Chemistry* **2012**, *9*, (3).
- 218 20. You, Y.; Kanawade, V. P.; de Gouw, J. A.; Guenther, A. B.; Madronich, S.; Sierra-
219 Hernández, M. R.; Lawler, M.; Smith, J. N.; Takahama, S.; Ruggeri, G.; Koss, A.; Olson, K.;
220 Baumann, K.; Weber, R. J.; Nenes, A.; Guo, H.; Edgerton, E. S.; Porcelli, L.; Brune, W. H.;
221 Goldstein, A. H.; Lee, S. H., Atmospheric amines and ammonia measured with a chemical

222 ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **2014**, *14*, (22),
223 12181-12194.
224 21. Cai, R.; Li, C.; He, X. C.; Deng, C.; Lu, Y.; Yin, R.; Yan, C.; Wang, L.; Jiang, J.;
225 Kulmala, M.; Kangasluoma, J., Impacts of coagulation on the appearance time method for sub-3
226 nm particle growth rate evaluation and their corrections. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* **2020**,
227 *2020*, 1-24.

228