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#### Abstract

Levy's theorem 'A second order parallel symmetric non-singular tensor in a real space form is proportional to the metric tensor' has been generalized by showing that it holds even if one assumes the second order tensor to be parallel (not necessarily symmetric and non-singular) in a real space form of dimension greater than two. Analogous result has been established for a complex space form. It has been shown that an affine Killing vector field in a non-flat complex space form is Killing and aralytic.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1923, Eisenhart [1] proved that if a positive definite Riemannian manifold admits a second order parallel symmetric tensor other than a constant multiple of the metric tensor, then it is reducible. In 1926, Levy [2] proved that a second order parallel symmetric non-singular (with non-vanishing determinant) tensor in a space of constant curvature is proportional to the metric tensor. The purpose of this paper is to present a generalization over Levy's theorem for dimension greater than two in the form of Theorem 1 and its analogue in a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature (also called a complex space form) in the form of Theorem. 2. Using Theorem 2 , it has been proved in Theorem 3 that an affine Killing vector field in a non-flat complex space form is Killing and analytic.

Let $M$ denote an n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold with its metric tensor $g$ of arbitrary signature and Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$. Let $R$ denote the Riemann curvature tensor of $M$. If $h$ is a $(0,2)$-tensor which is parallel with respect to $\nabla$ then we can show easity that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(R(X, Y) Z, W)+h(Z, R(X, Y) W)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. A GENERALIZATION OF LEVY'S 'IHEOREM.

We prosent the following gencralimation over leevy's theorem:
THBOREM 1. A second order parallel tensor in a non-flat real space form of dimension $n>2$ isi proportional to the metric lensor.

PROOF: For a eqal space form $M$ with constant sectional curvature t, we haver

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, Y) Z=k\{p(Y, Z) X \cdot g(X, Z) Y\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $k \neq 0$, by hypothesis. Use of (2.1) in (1.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}(Y, Z) h(X, W)-g(X, Z) h(Y, W) \quad g(Y, W) h(Z, X)-g(X, W) h(Z, Y)-0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contraction at $X$ and $W$ with respect to an orthonormal frame in $M$ provides

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{tr} . H) g(Y, Z)-h(Y, Z)+h(Z, Y)-n h(Z, Y)-0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is a (1,1)-tensor metrically equivalent to h. Anti-symmetrization of (2.3) shows that $h$ is symmetric. Fvontually (2.3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{\operatorname{tr} \cdot H}{n} g \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, tr.H is constant, as $H$ is parallel. Hence (2.4) proves the theorem.
REMARK 1. That the theorem 1 does not hold for $n=2$, can be seen by considering the $2-s p h e r e S^{2}$. It is known that $S^{2}$ carries a Kaehlerian structure (see the beginning of section 3) whose Kaehlerian 2-form is a parallel tensor.

## 3. ANALOGUF OF THEOREM 1 FOR A COMPIEX SPACE FORM.

Before presenting an analogue of Theorem 1 for a complex space-form, we would like to recall the basic structure of a complex space form $M(c)$. $M(c)$ is a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, with its complex structure tensor $J: J^{2}=-1$, Kaehlerian metric $g: g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)$, Kaehlerian 2 -form $a: \Omega(X, Y)=g(X, J Y)$ and the Kaehlerian connection $\nabla: \nabla J=0$.

THHORFM 2. A second order parallel tensor in a non-flat complex space form is a linear combination (with constant coefficients) of the underlying Kaehlerian metric and Kıehlerian 2 -form.

PROOF: For a complex space form $M(c)$, it is known [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) Z=\frac{C}{4}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(J Y, Z) J X-G(J X, Z) J Y+2 g(X, J Y) J Z] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging the value of H from (3.1) into (1.1) and contracting at $X$ arid $W$, provide

$$
\begin{align*}
(\operatorname{tr} . H) g(Y, Z) \cdots h_{1}(Y, Z) & (n+Z) h_{1}(Z, Y)+\operatorname{tr} \cdot(H J) g(J Y, Z)  \tag{3.2}\\
+ & g(H J Y, J Z)+2 g(H J Z, J Y)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Symmetrization and anti-symmetrization of (3.2) yield:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n+3) h_{s}(Y, Z)-3 h_{s}(J Y, J Z)=(\operatorname{tr} . H)_{g}(Y, Z)  \tag{3.3}\\
& (n+1) h_{a}(Y, Z)-h_{a}(J Y, J Z)=(\operatorname{tr} \cdot H J)_{g}(Y, J Z) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $Y, Z$ by $J Y, J \%$ respectively in (3.3) and subtracting the resultant equation from (3.3), provide the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s} \frac{t r}{n} \mathrm{H} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise; replacing $Y, Z$ by $J Y, J Z$ respectively in (3.4) and eliminating $h_{a}(J Y, J Z)$ from the resultant equation and (3.4), provide the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a}=\frac{\operatorname{tr} \cdot(H J)}{n} \Omega \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By summing up (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{1}{n}[(\operatorname{tr} \cdot H) g+(\operatorname{tr} \cdot H J) g] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as both $H$ and $J$ are parallel with respect to $\nabla$; therefore tr. $H$ and tr. HJ are constants. Thus, Equation (3.7) proves the theorem.

COROLLARY. The only symmetric (anti-symmetric) parallel tensor of type (0,2) in a non-flat complex space form is the Kaehlerian metric (the Kaehlerian 2-form) up to a constant multiple.

REMARK 2. The anti-symmetric case of the above corollary agrees well with the following result [3]: `In a compact Kaehlerian space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c>0$, we have $B_{2 \ell}=1, B_{2 \ell+1}=0$ for $\left.0 \leq 2 \ell, 2 \ell+1 \leq n\right)^{\prime}$. Taking $=1$, the second Betti number $B_{2}=1$ for a compact $M(c)$ with $c>0$. Thus the only harmonic 2 -form in such a space is the Kaehlerian 2 -form $\Omega$ (Note that $\nabla \Omega=0$ implies $d \Omega=0$ and $\delta \Omega=0$, that is, $\Omega$ is harmonic).

THEOREM 3. An affine Killing vector field in a non-flat complex space form is Killing and analytic.

PROOF: If $\xi$ is an affine Killing vector field in a non-flat $M(c)$, then the Lie-derivative $L_{\xi} g$ of the metric tensor $g$ is a second order parallel tensor. A direct application of the symmetric case of the corollary to Theorem 2, shows that $\mathrm{L}_{\xi \mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{ag}$ (a being a constant). The last equation implies that $\mathrm{L}_{\xi}$ Ric $=0 \quad$ (Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of $M(c)$ ). Now, we know [3] that $M(c)$ is an Finstein space, that is, Ric $=\frac{n+2}{4} \mathrm{cg}$. Taking the lie-derivatives of both sides atong $\xi$ and noting $c \neq 0$, obtain $L_{\xi} g-0$. Hence $\zeta$ is Killing. To prove the remaining part, we first observe the identity [4]:

$$
\left(\mathrm{L}_{\xi} \nabla_{X} \mathrm{~J}-\nabla_{X} \mathrm{~L}_{\xi} \mathrm{J}-\nabla_{[\xi, X]} \mathrm{J}\right) Y=\left(\mathrm{L}_{\xi} \nabla\right)(X, J Y)-J\left(\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\xi} \nabla\right)(X, Y)\right)
$$

But $\nabla_{X} J=0$ and $L_{\xi} \nabla=0$ and therefore the above identity implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} L_{\xi}{ }^{J}-0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\xi$ is Killing, it follows from the relation: $a(Y, Z)=g(J Y, Z)$, that

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \mathrm{I}_{\xi} \Omega\right)(Y, Z)=g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \mathrm{I}_{\xi} \mathrm{J}\right) Z\right)
$$

The last equation; together with (3.8), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{x} L_{\xi} \Omega=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Omega$ is anti-symmetric and therefore, so is $L_{\xi} \Omega$. In view of (3.9) and the anti-symmetric case of the corollary to Theorem 2 , we obtain $L_{\xi} \Omega=b \Omega$ (b is constant). Using the above relation we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\xi} \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{bJ} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $L_{\xi}\left(J^{2} Y\right)=\left(L_{\xi} J\right)(J Y)+J\left(\left(L_{\xi} J\right) Y\right)+J^{2}\left(L_{\xi} Y\right)$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{L}_{\xi} \mathrm{J}\right)(J Y)+J\left(\left(\mathrm{I}_{\xi} \mathrm{J}\right) Y\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use of (3.10) and (3.11) readily gives $b=0$. Consequently (3.10) reduces to $L_{k} J=$ 0 . Hence $\xi$ is an analytic vector field [3]. This completes the proof.

REMARK 3. In Theorem 3 we have proved that a Killing vector field in a non-flat complex space form is analytic vector field of $J$. One can compare this result with the following result of Yano [3]: 'A Killing vector field in a compact Kaehler space is analytic'. Our result assumes the vector field to be just affine Killing and proves it to be Killing and analytic in a complex space form (not necessarily compact), whereas Yano's result proves a Killing vector to be analytic if the space is compact Kaehler (not necessarily of constant holomorphic sectional curvature).
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