

Int. J. of Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 2018, vol.23, No.1, pp.251-259 DOI: 10.1515/ijame-2018-0015

Technical note

SELECTED ASPECTS OF CRYOGENIC TANK FATIGUE CALCULATIONS FOR OFFSHORE APPLICATION

J. SKRZYPACZ^{*} and P. JASZAK

Wroclaw University of Science and Technology Faculty of Mechanical and Power Engineering Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego Street 27, 50-370 Wrocław, POLAND E-mails: janusz.skrzypacz@pwr.edu.pl przemysław.jaszak@pwr.edu.pl

The paper presents the way of the fatigue life calculation of a cryogenic tank dedicated for the carriers ship application. The independent tank type C was taken into consideration. The calculation took into account a vast range of the load spectrum resulting in the ship accelerations. The stress at the most critical point of the tank was determined by means of the finite element method. The computation methods and codes used in the design of the LNG tank were presented. The number of fatigue cycles was determined by means of S-N curve. The cumulated linear damage theory was used to determine life factor.

Key words: LNG, tank, fatigue, ship.

1. Introduction

Liquefied natural gas (LNG) becomes more and more popular as an alternative source of energy. In offshore applications it can be used as a fuel to ship engines. In accordance with [1-2], tanks for LNG carriers can be divided onto four categories: integral tanks, membrane tanks, semi-mebrane and independent tanks. It is worth mentioning that depending on the storage pressure, three types of independent tanks can be distinguished: A, B and C. A and B are dedicated for storage pressure under or equal to 0.7 bar and C for pressure over 0.7 up to 20 bars. Due to a very fast vaporing of the LNG in normal conditions, the medium is stored in a special construction tank similar to a thermos. A typical design of such tank consists of two shells: external and internal one, connected together with supports. There is vacuum between the shells that helps to keep natural gas in liquid form. The computation of such tank elements is very complex and vast as well as a large type of loads must be taken into account that correspond to: ship's motions, collision, thrust acting on the tanks in case of ship flooding and many others [3]. In the standards [4-5], there are adequate calculation codes enabling proper design structures but in case of most complex shapes the best tool to design is computational analysis by means of the finite element method. In [6] comprehensive calculations of the C type tank shell structure were made both in accordance with the calculating code and by FE analysis. Besides the shell structures, one of the crucial element is an internal support. There is some technical contradiction in the design of such a structure. On the one hand, the internal support must be durable enough (large cross-sections) but on the other hand, heat fluxes should be minimalized (small crosssections). So in the design of internal supports a compromise between the mentioned demands must be found. A very complex analysis of internal tanks support was presented in [7-11]. Different types of material such as polyamide, PTFE where taken into consideration. Based on the literature review there is no procedure of the calculation of the LNG tanks fatigue life. Therefore the main aim of this paper is to show a fatigue life calculation procedure in the design of LNG tank structures.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed

2. Tank loads determination

Tanks installed on the ship's board have to fulfill rigorous strength requirements. Examples of the load cases required by DNV are presented in Fig.1. Nevertheless critical design points have to be checked by additional fatigue calculations.

| nit state condition | LC1 Yield check | Cylindrical shell | Pt.4 Ch.7 Sec.4 C200 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | Spherical shell | Pt.4 Ch.7 Sec.4 C300 | |
| | | Dished ends | Pt.4 Ch.7 Sec.4 C500 | Tank system self weight Static and dynamic pressure due to |
| | | Shell in way of support | See [3.6] | cargo (ellipsoid) |
| | | Openings and rein- forcements | Pt.4 Ch.7 Sec.4 D300 | — Internal vapour pressure |
| | | Supports | See [3.7] | |
| | LC2 Yield check | Swash bulkhead and ring stiffener if relevant | Pt.3 Ch.1 Sec.4 C300 | Sloshing pressure Internal vapour pressure |
| e li | LC3 Yield and buckling check | Tank | As for LC 1 | — Tank system self weight |
| Ultimat | | Supports | As for LC 1 | Static cargo pressure heeled 30 deg. Internal vapour pressure |
| ~ | LC4 Buckling check | Cylindrical shell | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 I700 | Design external pressure |
| Accident limit state condition | | Spherical shell | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 1800 | |
| | | Dished ends | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 I900 | (— Partial filling) |
| | LC5 Forward collision | Supports | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 A1100 | Tank system self weight Static cargo pressure Longitudinal dynamic cargo pressure (0.5 g) in forward direction |
| | LC6 Aft collision | Supports | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 A1100 | Tank system self weight Static cargo pressure Longitudinal dynamic cargo pressure (0.25 g) in aft direction |
| | LC7 Flooding condition | Flotation supports (tanks located below waterline) | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 A1100 | Empty tank External liquid height in cargo hold up to design water line |
| Tank test | LC8 Tank test | Tank and support | Pt.5 Ch.5 Sec.5 N300 | — Full tank filled with fresh water |

Fig.1. Load cases applied to a tank design for a maritime application in accordance with DNV rules [1].

Fatigue loads are a result of ship motions on the waves. The vessel hull is subjected to three linear accelerations and three rotation (angular accelerations). The values of the accelerations depend on the hull's length and shape, location of the center of gravity and center of buoyancy, cursing speed and waves.



Fig.2. Accelerations acting on the ship due to movement.

For independent tanks type C, design accelerations can be calculated as dimensionless in accordance with the following formulas [1]

 $a_z = \pm a_0 \sqrt{1 + (5.3 - \frac{45}{L})^2 (\frac{x}{L} + 0.05)^2 (\frac{0.6}{C})^{\frac{3}{2}}},$

vertical

transv

total

$$a_{\mu} = \pm a_{0,\nu} \left[0.6 + 2.5 \left(\frac{x}{z} + 0.05 \right)^{2} + \kappa \left(1 + 0.6 \frac{\kappa z}{z} \right)^{2} \right], \qquad (2.2)$$

erse
$$a_y = \pm a_0 \sqrt{0.6 + 2.5 \left(\frac{x}{L} + 0.05\right)^2 + \kappa \left(1 + 0.6 \frac{\kappa z}{B}\right)^2}$$
, (2.2)

longitudinal $a_x = \pm a_0 \sqrt{0.06 + A^2 - 0.25A}$, (2.3)

$$a_t = \left(a_x^{\ \ 2} + a_y^{\ \ 2} + a_z^{\ \ 2}\right)^{\ \ 0.5}.$$
(2.4)

It is worth mentioning that a_z does not include the component of static mass, a_y includes the static components in the transverse direction due to rolling, a_x includes the static components in the longitudinal direction due to pitching and a_t is the total acceleration that corresponds to the load P_0 on probability level $Q=10^{-8}$.

In accordance with calculated accelerations, the load spectrum can be determined as the most probable largest load spectrum which can occur once per $1 \cdot 10^8$ waves encountered on the North Atlantic. The load spectrum can be expressed by a number of 8 fatigue loads with a certain number of cycles and probability. A graphical representation of the load spectrum is presented in Fig.3, in pursuance of the following formulas [12]

$$P_i = [(17-2i)/16]P_o \tag{2.5}$$

$$n_i = 0.9 \cdot 10^i \tag{2.6}$$

where

i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

(2.1)



Fig.3. Long term wave-induced load spectrum [2].

3. Fatigue calculations

The fatigue calculations should be made for 6 load cases:

- LC1 due to a_y+g (in positive transverse direction)
- LC2 due to $-a_y+g$ (in negative transverse direction)
- LC3 due to a_x+g (in positive longitudinal direction)
- LC4 due to $-a_x+g$ (in negative longitudinal direction)
- LC5 due to a_z+g (in positive vertical direction)
- LC6 due to $-a_z+g$ (in negative vertical direction)

According to the results of the calculations, the amplitude stresses in every direction can be determined [4]:

• fully reversed cycle

$$\Delta \sigma_{long} = abs(\sigma_{long, pos}) + abs(\sigma_{long, neg}),$$

$$\Delta \sigma_{trv} = abs(\sigma_{trvg, pos}) + abs(\sigma_{trv, neg}),$$

• one side cycle

$$\Delta \sigma_{vert} = abs(\sigma_{vert, pos}) - abs(\sigma_{vert, neg}).$$

The combined stress range was calculated, based on the formula

$$\Delta \sigma_{comb} = \sqrt{\Delta \sigma_{long}^2 + \Delta \sigma_{trv}^2 + \Delta \sigma_{vert}^2} .$$
(3.1)

The fatigue life can be calculated based on the S-N fatigue approach, under the assumption of linear cumulative damage. The accumulated fatigue damage may be determined by means of formula [4, 5]

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{N_i} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \left(\Delta \sigma_i\right)^m \le \eta.$$
(3.2)

___*

Different tank filling ratio may be taken into account during calculations. In this case, the total stress can be expressed as [5]

$$\sigma_{total,FR} = FR^* \sigma_{I,100\%} + \sigma_{int\,eria} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\sigma_{int eria}$$
- stress due to inertia forces (weight of the tank), determined by FE, $\sigma_{1, 100\%}$ - stress due to weight of the 100% level liquid

 $\sigma_{1,100\%} = \sigma_{total,100\%} - \sigma_{int\,eria}$.

The total accumulated fatigue damage D_{total} taking into consideration changeable fluid level inside the tank, may be calculated according to the formula

$$D_{total} = f_{op} * (f_{FR90} * D_{FR90} + f_{FR70} * D_{FR70} + f_{FR50} * D_{FR50} + f_{FR20} * D_{FR20}).$$
(3.4)

4. Example of the calculations

The calculation against fatigue was performed for the LNG tank type C, installed on a ferry board. The tank consists of two vessels: internal where LNG is stored and external that is a vacuum jacket. The structure of the tank is presented in Fig.4. The most critical place is the weld connection between the internal support elements and internal tank.



Fig.4 Design of the LNG tank: a) general view, b) view without outer jacket.

The calculations of fatigue life of the inner support elements will be made based on the S-N fatigue approach under the assumption of linear cumulative damage [13]. The assumptions for the calculations are as follows:

- S-N curve D in air was selected, where: $m_1=3$, $\log_{1}=12.164$ (N<10⁷ cycles), $m_2=5$, $\log_{2}=15.606$ 1. $(N>10^7 \text{ cycles}) - \text{Fig.5.}$
- Applied loads are due to accelerations only combined with gravity. 2.
- 3. Acceleration components were defined as separate load cases.
- Dynamic loads and number of the cycles due to wave will be estimated according to formulas 4. (2.5)-(2.6)

 $P_i = P_0 * (17-2i)/16, \quad n_i = 0.9 * 10i,$ where i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. 5. Load P_0 (load on probability level $Q=10^{-8}$) will be estimated as: $P_0 = w^*a_0$ where

 $w = 29000 \ kg$ – weight of the liquid and pressure vessel (weight carried by internal supports); $a_t = (a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2)^{-0.5}$ - total design acceleration $a_x = 3.6 \ m/s^2$, $a_y = 12.5 \ m/s^2$, $a_z = 5.67 \ m/s^2$.

6. Accelerations for P_i load were determined under the following assumptions

 $a_i = P_i / w$.

- 7. Stress for every load will be determined by FE.
- 8. The utilization factor was estimated as 0.1, what corresponds to DFF = 10 and design life = 20 years. The value of this factor was determined under the assumption of a low probability level of a superficial break Fig.6.



Fig.5. S-N curves in air [13].

| DEE | Design life in years | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| DFF | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 50 |
| 1 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.67 | 0.40 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.20 |
| 3 | 1.33 | 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.13 |
| 5 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| 10 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.04 |

Fig.6. Utilization factors η as a function of Design Fatigue Factor DFF and design life [13].

The examples of numerical calculations were presented in Figs 7-8. Similar calculations were made for the other load cases and tank filling ratio. A summarization of the calculations for *100*% filling ratio (FR) is shown in Tabs 1-2.

| Equivalent Stress_internal_supports | |
|---|--|
| Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress | |
| Unit: MPa | |
| Time: 1 | |
| 2014-07-07 09:47 | |
| 109,36 Max 97,208 85,057 72,907 60,756 48,606 36,456 24,305 12,155 0,0045269 Min | |
| | |
| | |

Fig.7. General view on the stress distribution at the internal support wings for LC1 (FR 100%).



Fig.8. Area of maximal stress concentration on the left wing for LC1 (Fr 100%).

Table 1. Summary of the stress calculations for 100% tank filling.

| | LC1 - LC2 | LC3 - LC4 | LC5 - LC6 | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| σ_{pos} [MPa] | 109 | 3.,6 | 57.5 | | |
| σ_{neg} [MPa] | 35 | 39.8 | 16.1 | | |
| $\Delta\sigma$ [MPa] | 144 | 74.4 | 41.4 | | |
| $\Delta \sigma_{total}$ [MPa] | | 167.3165 | | | |

| Block | $a [m/s^2]$ | i | ni | σ[MPa] | logN | Ni | ni/Ni |
|-------|-------------|---|----------|--------|--------|-------------------|------------------|
| a0 | 14.03 | 0 | 1 | 167.31 | 5.494 | <i>3.116E+05</i> | <i>3.209E-06</i> |
| a1 | 13.15 | 1 | 9 | 156.83 | 5.578 | <i>3.782E+05</i> | 2.380E-05 |
| a2 | 11.40 | 2 | 90 | 135.92 | 5.764 | 5.809E+05 | 1.549E-04 |
| a3 | 9.64 | 3 | 900 | 115.01 | 5.982 | <i>9.589E</i> +05 | 9.385E-04 |
| a4 | 7.89 | 4 | 9000 | 94.099 | 6.243 | 1.751E+06 | 5.141E-03 |
| a5 | 6.14 | 5 | 90000 | 73.188 | 6.571 | <i>3.721E+06</i> | 2.419E-02 |
| a6 | 4.38 | 6 | 900000 | 52.277 | 7.014 | 1.034E+07 | 8.706E-02 |
| a7 | 2.63 | 7 | 9000000 | 31.366 | 8.124 | 1.329E+08 | 6.770E-02 |
| a8 | 0.88 | 8 | 90000000 | 10.455 | 10.509 | <i>3.231E+10</i> | 2.786E-03 |
| SUMA | | | | | | | 0.188 |

Table 2. Accumulated fatigue damage D for 100% tank filling.

The total accumulated fatigue damage D was calculated for different filling ratios in accordance with formula (3.4). The result was 0.055 what is lower than the allowable value of 0.1.

 $D_{total} = 0.85*(0.25*0.14+0.25*0.074+0.25*0.036+0.25*0.01)=0.055.$

5. Summary

The article presents a procedure of the fatigue calculations of LNG tanks in the offshore application, based on DNV recommendations. The critical weld connections located especially in an inaccessible area must be cheeked extremely carefully with the assumption of a low level of probability of the coating break (large value of DFF). It is possible to take into account a changeable fluid level inside a tank. The best method for stress determination is the finite element method. As an example, the calculations of a real tank were presented.

Nomenclature

- a intercept of the design S-N curve with the log N axis
- a_0 nominal acceleration in m/s^2
- a_x combined dynamic horizontal longitudinal acceleration in m/s^2
- a_y combined dynamic horizontal traversal acceleration in m/s^2
- a_z combined dynamic vertical acceleration in m/s^2
- a_t total acceleration in m/s^2
- B breadth of the hull
- C_B block coefficient
- D accumulated fatigue damage
- *FR* considered filling ratio
 - k number of stress blocks
- L total length of the ship
- m negative inverse slop of the S-N curve
- n_i number of stress cycles in stress block I
- N_i number of cycles to failure at constant stress range $\Delta \sigma_I$
- P_o load on probability level Q=10e-8
- η utilization factor = 1/DFF (Design Fatigue Factor)
- κ_z coefficient that depends on metacentric height

References

- [1] Strength Analysis of Independent Type C Tanks. Classification Notes No. 31.13, DNV, 2013.
- [2] Rules for Classification of Ships / High Speed, Light Craft and Naval Surface Craft. New Buildings Machinery and Systems - Main Class. Pressure Vessels, DNV, JANUARY 2012.
- [3] Senjanović I. (2006): Structure design of cargo tanks in river liquefied gas carriers. International Design Conference DESIGN 2006 Dubrovnik Croatia.
- [4] Fatigue Assessment of Ship Structures. Classification Notes, No.30.7, DNV, 2010.
- [5] Plus Fatigue Assessment of Ship Structures. Classification Notes, No.34.2, DNV, 2010.
- [6] Yao Y. and Zhongyun G. (2015): *The structure design of type-C independent tank on LNG ship.* The 2015 Word Congress on Advances in Structural Engineering and Mechanics, Incheon, Korea.
- [7] Czyżycki W. (2015): Modeling of heat flow through multilayer internal supports of cryogenic vessels. Technical Transactions – Mechanics Y.112, z. 2-M, pp.27-34.
- [8] Lisowski E., Czyżycki W. and Łazarczyk K. (2010): Simulation and experimental research of internal supports in mobile cryogenic tanks. – Technical Transactions – Mechanics, R.107, z. 2-M, pp.175-184.
- [9] Lisowski E. and Czyżycki W. (2011): *Transport and storage of LNG in container tanks*. Journal of KONES Powertrain and Transport, vol.18, No.3.
- [10] Lisowski E., Czyżycki W. and Łazarczyk K. (2010): Using of polyamide in construction of supporting blocks of cryogenic tanks on example of LNG container. – Archives of Foundry Engineering, ISSN (1897-3310), vol.10, Special Issue 3/2010.
- [11] Lisowski E. and Łazarczyk K. (2010): Using of Solid Works system in design and simulation research of cryogenic tanks supports. – Technical Transactions – Mechanics, R.108, z/4-M-2, pp349-358.
- [12] Rules for Classification of Ships. Newbuildings Special Service and Type Additional Class.. Liquefied gas Carriers, DNV, JANUARY 2012.
- [13] Recommended Practice RP-C203: Fatigue design of offshore steel structures DNVGL-RP-0005:2014-06.

Received: July 17, 2017 Revised: December 4, 2017