



Selection of reliable reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR gene expression analysis in Jute (*Corchorus capsularis*) under stress treatments

Xiaoping Niu¹, Jianmin Qi^{1*}, Gaoyang Zhang^{1,2}, Jiantang Xu¹, Aifen Tao¹, Pingping Fang¹ and Jianguang Su^{3*}

¹ Key Laboratory for Genetics, Breeding and Multiple Utilization of Crops, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, ² College of Life Sciences, Shangrao Normal University, Shangrao, China, ³ Institute of Bast Fiber Crops, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changsha, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ashraf El-Kereamy, University of California Cooperative Extension, Kern county, USA

Reviewed by:

Qingshun Quinn Li, Western University of Health Sciences, USA M. Teresa Sanchez-Ballesta, Institute of Food Science, Technology and Nutrition - Spanish National Research Council, Spain

*Correspondence:

Jianmin Qi qijm863@163.com; Jianguang Su jgsu@vip.163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Technical Advances in Plant Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 07 August 2015 Accepted: 28 September 2015 Published: 14 October 2015

Citation:

Niu X, Qi J, Zhang G, Xu J, Tao A, Fang P and Su J (2015) Selection of reliable reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR gene expression analysis in Jute (Corchorus capsularis) under stress treatments. Front. Plant Sci. 6:848. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00848 To accurately measure gene expression using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR), reliable reference gene(s) are required for data normalization. Corchorus capsularis, an annual herbaceous fiber crop with predominant biodegradability and renewability, has not been investigated for the stability of reference genes with qRT-PCR. In this study, 11 candidate reference genes were selected and their expression levels were assessed using gRT-PCR. To account for the influence of experimental approach and tissue type, 22 different jute samples were selected from abiotic and biotic stress conditions as well as three different tissue types. The stability of the candidate reference genes was evaluated using geNorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper programs, and the comprehensive rankings of gene stability were generated by aggregate analysis. For the biotic stress and NaCl stress subsets, ACT7 and RAN were suitable as stable reference genes for gene expression normalization. For the PEG stress subset, UBC, and DnaJ were sufficient for accurate normalization. For the tissues subset, four reference genes TUBB, UBI, EF1 α , and RAN were sufficient for accurate normalization. The selected genes were further validated by comparing expression profiles of WRKY15 in various samples, and two stable reference genes were recommended for accurate normalization of gRT-PCR data. Our results provide researchers with appropriate reference genes for qRT-PCR in C. capsularis, and will facilitate gene expression study under these conditions.

Keywords: jute (Corchorus capsularis), qRT-PCR, reference genes, gene expression, abiotic and biotic stress

INTRODUCTION

With the increase of global environmental awareness, more and more people are actively purchasing goods made from ecologically friendly materials. Unfortunately, many of these materials are intrinsically unrecyclable, including many of the predominantly used polymers. However, many natural fibers such as jute and kenaf possess properties that are comparable to more traditional composites, including stiffness, flexibility, impact resistance, and elasticity

1

(Sydenstricker et al., 2003). The overlap between natural fiber properties and those of traditional, reinforced composites are underscored by their environmentally friendly nature. For instance, fiber components are biodegradable, renewable, and result in low energy consumption. Collectively, these characteristics lend natural fibers to being logical substitutes for non-renewable synthetic fibers (Oksman et al., 2003; Corrales et al., 2007).

Jute (Corchorus capsularis L.) is an annual herbaceous fiber crop. It is found predominantly in Southeast Asia and is the second cheapest and most commercially available fiber crop, whereby it provides a biodegradable and renewable lignocellulose fiber. Jute is a promising and featured fiber material among many value-added industrial products, due in large part to its high luster, moisture absorption properties, ability for rapid water loss, and easy breakdown. Furthermore, jute has much potential for use in the industrial production of packaging materials (Sydenstricker et al., 2003). With the recent and increasing interest in jute, work has been conducted to better understand its physiological and biochemical properties (Corrales et al., 2007; del Río et al., 2009; Defoirdt et al., 2010). At a molecular level, several studies have also been done to examine relevant molecular markers (e.g., SSR, ISSR, RAPD, and AFLP) (Qi et al., 2003a,b; Basu et al., 2004; Roy et al., 2006; Mir et al., 2008, 2009), ESTs, stress response factors (Alam et al., 2010), and a transformation system (Chattopadhyay et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013). However, many of these previous experiments used single or multiple gene expression analysis via classical RT-PCR and/or Northern blot (Alam et al., 2010). Only a handful of studies have used quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) to determine the expression pattern of functional jute genes (Zhang et al., 2013, 2014).

As of this writing, qRT-PCR provides the most efficient, sensitive, low cost, and reproducible method for accurate and rapid detection and quantification of mRNA transcription levels for a given gene of interest (Bustin, 2002). However, several factors including RNA integrity, reverse transcription efficiency, cDNA quality, sample amount, and/or extraneous tissue and cell activities can significantly influence the accuracy of gene expression (Bustin, 2002; Huggett et al., 2005). To lessen these problems, one or more reference genes are required to account for the variance between samples and/or reactions. To this end, the transcription level of an ideal reference gene should remain constant across different tissues, treatments, and developmental stages (Gutierrez et al., 2008). A number of commonly used housekeeping genes (e.g., β -actin, elongation factor 1 α , 18S ribosomal RNA, and polyubiquitin) have been used, but results indicated that their expression levels are somewhat unstable. Thus, their use as internal reference genes should be taken with some caution under a given set of experimental conditions (Gutierrez et al., 2008). Taken together, these inconsistencies highlight the need to evaluate the stability of candidate reference genes under the relevant experimental conditions prior to using for gene expression normalization by qRT-PCR.

In recent years, an increasing number of stable reference genes have been studied in several systems, including eukaryotic elongation factor 1-alpha ($EF1\alpha$) and F-box family protein

(FBX) in Arabidopsis thaliana; 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), ubiquitin5 (UBQ5), and eukaryotic elongation factor 1-alpha (eEF1a) in Oryza sativa (Czechowski et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2006; Remans et al., 2008; Narsai et al., 2010); catalytic subunit of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), actin 4 (ACT4), ubiquitin 14 (UBQ14), F-box family protein (FBX6), clathrin adaptor complexes medium subunit family protein (MZA), and polypyrimidine tract-binding protein homolog (PTB) in Gossypium hirsutum (Artico et al., 2010). Furthermore, Zhang et al. and Saraiva et al. both found that $EF1\alpha$ could also be used as a reference gene in Triticum aestivum (Paolacci et al., 2009; Long et al., 2010) as well as in Glycine max (Jian et al., 2008; Libault et al., 2008). Additionally, suitable reference genes for use in gene expression analysis have also been methodically identified for a variety of species, such as Saccharum officinarum (Ling et al., 2014), Litchi chinensis (Zhong et al., 2011), Litsea cubeba (Lin et al., 2013), Citrus sinensis (Mafra et al., 2012), Coffea ssp. (Cruz et al., 2009), Solanum tuberosum (Nicot et al., 2005), Musa acuminata (Chen et al., 2011), Vitis vinifera (Reid et al., 2006), Helianthus annuus (Fernandez et al., 2011), Nicotiana tabacum (Schmidt and Delaney, 2010; Liu et al., 2012), and Linum usitatissimum (Huis et al., 2010). However, no systematic validation of reference genes has been performed in jute (Corchorus capsularis), which prevents further studies on this species at the functional gene expression and transcriptome profile analysis levels.

In the present study, 11 genes were selected as candidate reference genes for evaluation expression stability in jute: 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), actin (ACT), actin 7 (ACT7), chaperone protein dnaJ (DnaJ), eukaryotic elongation factor 1-alpha ($EF1\alpha$), ras-related small GTP-binding protein (RAN), alpha-tubulin ($TUB\alpha$), beta-tubulin ($TUB\beta$), ubiquitinconjugating enzyme like protein (UBC), ubiquitin extension protein (Imai et al., 2014) and ubiquitin (UBQ). We then sought to reveal which reference gene(s) were the best option for gene expression qRT-PCR analysis of jute under various experimental treatments using three available statistical algorithms, geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002), NormFinder (Andersen et al., 2004), and BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al., 2004). Finally, we validated the expression levels of the transcription factor CcWRKY15 using the selected reference gene(s). The results from this work will facilitate future studies on gene expression as well as foster a better understanding of how novel genes function in the molecular mechanisms of jute biological and/or physiological processes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Treatments

Jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) variety Huangma 108 was used for all experimental treatment groups. To ensure disease-free materials, seeds were rinsed under running water for 10 min and sterilized with 5% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min. They were then washed three times with sterile water before germinated on filter paper that had been saturated with water in complete darkness at 28°C. After 3 days, seedlings were grown in the greenhouse in 1/4 Hoagland solution under a 16/8-h light/dark cycle at $30/26^{\circ}$ C (day/night). Seedlings were assessed at the 3–5 leaf stage and the most consistent were used for (i) the abiotic and biotic stress groups or for (ii) harvesting of different plant tissues (e.g., root, stem, and leaf).

For the salinity and drought treatments, seedlings were subjected to 200 mM sodium chloride and 15% (w/v) PEG6000, respectively, and harvested at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h. For the biotic treatment, seedlings were inoculated with 2 ml (10^6 spores per ml) of *Colletotrichum siamense* spores in suspension previously described by Ma et al. (2009) and then sampled at 0, 1, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h. Tissues from the roots, stems, and leaves were collected from plants in the 3–5 leaf stage that had grown well under the experimental conditions. All samples were harvested from three replicate plants, giving a total of 25 samples comprised of 14 abiotic and eight biotic stress treatment samples and three tissue-specific samples. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until RNA extraction.

Total RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from approximately 100 mg fresh leaf tissue using the OMEGA isolation kit (R6827-01, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The genomic DNA contamination was eliminated using RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa, Japan) and RNA sample quality was then determined using the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Thermo Scientific). RNA integrity was assessed by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. Finally, RNA samples with an A_{260}/A_{280} ratio between 1.9 and 2.1 and an A_{260}/A_{230} ratio greater than 2.0 were used for further analysis. Subsequently, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg total RNA in a volume of 20 µl using the PrimeScript[®] RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Japan) by following the manufacturer's protocol. All final cDNA samples were diluted 10-fold for subsequent quantitative real-time RT-PCR reactions. Samples were stored at -20° C until use.

Primer Design, Verification of PCR Products, and qRT-PCR

A total of 11 candidate reference genes, including 18S rRNA (FJ527599), ACT(GU207477), ACT7 (GH985158), DnaJ (GR463675), EF1α (GH985217), RAN (FK826494), TUBα (GH985177), TUBβ (JK743820), UBC (GR463733), UBI (GH985256), and UBQ (FK826547), were identified after performing a TBLASTN among jute expressed sequence the tags (ESTs) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucest/?term=jute). Primers were designed according to these potential reference gene sequences using Primer 3 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/primer3/) and based on the following criteria: GC content 45-80%, melting temperatures 58-62°C, primer lengths 18-24 bp, and amplicon lengths 100-250 bp (See Table 1 for detailed primer information).

To check the specificity of the amplicon, all primer pairs were initially tested via standard RT-PCR using the Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Japan) and the amplification product of each gene was verified by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. Realtime amplification reactions were performed with the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System using SYBR® Premix Ex TagTM (TaKaRa, Japan). Reactions were prepared in a $20 \,\mu l$ volume containing the following: 2 µl cDNA template, 0.4 µl of each amplification primer, 0.4 µl ROX Reference Dye II, 10 µl 2x SYBR Premix Ex Taq[™], and 6.8µl dH₂O. Amplifications were performed with an initial 30s step of 95°C followed by 40 denaturation cycles at 95°C for 5s and primer annealing at 60°C for 34s. The melting curve ranged from 60 to 95°C and temperature was increased in increments of 0.2°C every 10s for all PCR products. ABI Prism Dissociation Curve Analysis Software was used to confirm the occurrence of specific amplification peaks. All qRT-PCR reactions were carried out in triplicate with template-free negative controls being performed in parallel.

Statistical Analyses of Gene Expression Stability

To select a suitable reference gene, three publicly available software packages, geNorm (version 3.5), NormFinder and BestKeeper, were used to analyze the stability of each reference gene. All analyses using these packages occurred according to the manufacturers' instructions. For geNorm and NormFinder algorithms, the raw Ct values from the gRT-PCR were transformed into the relative expression levels using the following formula: $E^{-\Delta Ct}$, where ΔCt equaled each corresponding Ct value minus the minimum Ct value. Then, the relative expression values were imported into geNorm and NormFinder to analysis gene expression stability. For BestKeeper analysis, the Ct value was used as input data to calculate the coefficient of variation (CV) and the standard deviation (SD). The comprehensive rankings of the best reference genes were obtained by integrating the results of three algorithms. To validate the reliability of the selected reference genes, we analyzed the relative expression levels of the transcription factor *CcWRKY15* in all tested samples. Additionally, a standard curve was generated from a 10-fold dilution of cDNA in a qRT-PCR assay using Microsoft Excel 2003. The PCR efficiency (E) and the regression coefficient (R^2) were calculated using the slope of the standard curve according to the equation $E = [10^{-(1/\text{slope})} - 1] \times 100\%$. All other multiple comparisons were performed using the statistical analysis software SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., USA).

RESULTS

qRT-PCR Data of Candidate Reference Genes

A total of 11 candidate reference genes, including 18S *rRNA*, *ACT*, *ACT7*, *DnaJ*, *EF1* α , *RAN*, *TUB* α , *TUB* β , *UBC*, *UBI*, and *UBQ*, were identified and assessed under abiotic stress (NaCl stress and drought stress), biotic stress (*Colletotrichum siamense*) and in different tissues in this study. For each gene, the specificity of the designed primers was verified using agarose gel electrophoresis and the subsequent presence of a single band with the expected size (Figure S1), and further confirmed by

Gene	Gene description	Arabidopsis ortholog locus	Primer sequence F/R (5'-3')	Product size(bp)	Efficiency (%)	R2	Mean Ct	SD	CV (%)
18S rRNA	18S ribosomal RNA	AT3G41768	CTACGTCCCTGCCCTTTGTA	175	90.1	0.997	16.85	0.78	4.63
			GGTTCACCTACGGAAACCTTG						
ACT	Actin	AT3G12110	CATTACCATTGGGGCAGAAC	168	113.7	0.991	25.75	1.31	5.09
			GAGCCACCACTGAGGACAAT						
ACT7	Actin 7	AT5G09810	ACAATTGGAGCAGAGCGTTT	166	99.52	0.997	22.24	0.92	4.14
			TAGACCCACCGCTAAGCACT						
DnaJ	Chaperone	AT3G07590	TGTATGCACCGAGGAAAATG	154	104.1	0.999	22.22	0.75	3.38
	protein dnaJ		GTGGAAAAATCGTTGGCAAT						
EF1α	Elongation	AT1G07940	GAAGAAGGACCCATCTGGTG	130	104.2	0.990	18.28	0.94	5.14
	factor 1-alpha		TCCACAAAACCGCAATGTAA						
RAN	Ras-related small	AT5G59840	GCCATGCCGATAAGAACATT	167	99.52	0.996	26.37	1.29	4.89
	GTP-binding protein		GTGAAGGCAGTCTCCCACAT						
ΤUΒα	Alpha-tubulin	AT4G14960	AATGCTTGCTGGGAGCTTTA	213	98.21	0.988	28.43	2.15	7.56
			GTGGAATAACTGGCGGTACG						
ΤUΒβ	Beta-tubulin	AT2G29550	CTGGTTCCTCTTCCTCACCA	201	108.9	0.999	22.40	1.07	4.78
			ACAAGATGTTCAGGCGTGTG						
UBC	Ubiquitin-conjugating	AT3G52560	CTGCCATCTCCTTTTTCAGC	150	108.6	0.992	21.20	1.13	5.33
	enzyme like protein		CGAGTGTCCGTTTTCATTCA						
UBI	Ubiquitin extension	AT2G47110	CCACTCTCCACCTTGTCCTC	158	108.9	0.989	21.44	0.62	2.89
	protein		CAGCCTCTGAACCTTTCCAG						
UBQ	Ubiquitin	AT5G20620	TCTTTGCAGGGAAGCAACTT	219	96.49	0.997	20.13	1.54	7.65
			CTGCATAGCAGCAAGCTCAC						
WRKY15	DNA-binding	AT2G23320	CTTGGACAGCGTTTTCTTCC	128	99.46	0.996	-	-	-
	Protein WRKY15		TGAATGGTTTTGGTGCAGAC						

TABLE 1 Pri	imer sequences an	d amplicon	characteristics	of the 11	candidate interna	I control genes
---------------	-------------------	------------	-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------------

R², regression coefficient; SD, standard deviation; CV, co-variance.



the presence of a single peak in the melting curve analysis, which was done prior to performing qRT-PCR (Figure S2). As described in **Table 1**, the amplicon size ranged from 130 to 219 bp. The PCR efficiency (E) was greater than 90% and varied

from 90.1% (18S *rRNA*) to 113.7% (*ACT*), and the regression coefficient (R^2) ranged from 0.988 (*TUB* α) to 0.999 (*DnaJ* and *TUB* β) (**Table 1**).

To evaluate stability of the reference genes across all experimental samples, the transcript abundances of the 11 candidate reference genes were detected by their mean Ct values. The Ct values of these candidates varied from 15.22 to 31.28, with the majority falling between 20.13 and 24.40 (Figure 1). Across all samples, 18S rRNA was the most abundantly expressed gene, with the lowest average Ct \pm SD (16.85 \pm 0.78), followed by EF1 α (18.28 \pm 0.94), UBQ (20.13 \pm 1.54), UBC (21.20 \pm 1.13), UBI (21.44 \pm 0.62), DnaJ (22.22 \pm 0.75), ACT7 (22.24 \pm 0.92), and TUB β (22.40 \pm 1.07). TUB α was found to have the lowest level of expression of any of the genes tested, with a mean Ct \pm SD of 28.43 \pm 2.15, followed by RAN (26.37 \pm 1.29) and ACT (25.75 \pm 1.31) (Table 1). Small co-variance (CV) of the Ct value indicates that a given gene is more stably expressed. Among these 11 candidate reference genes, UBQ showed the greatest variation with CV value of 7.65%, whereas both UBI (2.89%) and DnaJ (3.38%) showed the least variation in their expression levels across all tested samples. The ranking of gene stability by CV was as follows: UBI > DnaJ > ACT7 > 18S rRNA > $TUB\beta > RAN > ACT > UBC > TUB\alpha > UBQ$ (Table 1). Collectively, these results indicate that the transcript levels of the candidate reference genes varied across different experimental

samples. Thus, it is essential to screen the most appropriate reference genes in jute in order to normalize gene expression analysis.

Stability Analysis of Reference Genes by geNorm

A geNorm-based analysis was carried out to determine which candidate reference gene(s) would be optimal in each of the

tested samples sets. As shown in **Figure 2**, genes were ranked according to their M values. Since a lower M value indicates increased stability, *RAN*, and *ACT7* were determined to be the most stable reference genes in total samples. In contrast, *TUB* α and *ACT* were the least stable reference genes. For each subset of the treatment, the top two reference genes for qRT-PCR normalization were *ACT7* and *RAN* in biotic stress subset, *TUB* β , and *UBI* in different tissues, *EF1* α and *RAN* for NaCl stress, and



FIGURE 2 | Expression stability of 11 candidate genes in jute as calculated by geNorm. Mean expression stability (M) was calculated following stepwise exclusion of the least stable gene in biotic stress samples, tissue samples, NaCI- and PEG-treated samples and all samples. The least stable genes are on the left and the most stable genes on the right.

EF1 α and *UBC* for drought stress. In general, the most stable genes across all experimental samples were *RAN* and *ACT7*, while *TUB* α , *ACT*, and 18S *rRNA* were the least stable (**Figure 2**).

The pairwise variation (V_n) between normalization factors (NF_n) calculated by the geNorm algorithm also determines the optimal number of reference genes for accurate normalization. A cut-off value of V_{n/n+1} < 0.15 (Vandesompele et al., 2002) indicates that an additional reference gene makes no significant contribution to the normalization. As depicted in **Figure 3**, the V_{2/3} values in biotic stress, NaCl stress, and PEG stress subsets were below 0.15, which indicated that two reference genes (*ACT7* and *RAN* for biotic subset; *EF1* α and *RAN* for NaCl subset; and *EF1* α and *UBC* for drought subset) were sufficient for accurate normalization. In the tissue subset, four reference genes (*TUB* β , *UBI*, *EF1* α , and *RAN*) were needed for accurate normalization, as the V_{4/5} value was lower than 0.15. When the total samples were taken into account, the V3/4 value (0.132) was lower than the cutoff value of 0.15, which indicated that three genes (*ACT7*,

RAN, and *DnaJ*) were suitable for all samples in this study (**Figure 3**).

Stability Analysis of Reference Genes by Normfinder

The NormFinder approach was used to determine the stability of reference genes based on inter- and intra-group variance in expression. As shown in **Table 2**, similar results were generated by NormFinder, which predicted *ACT7* and *RAN* to be the two most stably expressed normalization factors in both biotic and total subsets. For the NaCl and PEG treated samples, *ACT7* and *RAN* performed as the best reference genes by the NormFinder analysis, while *ACT7* was ranked the second in NaCl subset, and *ACT7* and *RAN* the fifth and third in PEG subset by the geNorm analysis. For the tissue subset, the four most stable genes, $TUB\beta$, *EF1* α , *RAN*, and *UBI* determined by NormFinder were ranked the first, second, third and first by geNorm algorithm, respectively (**Table 2; Figure 2**).



FIGURE 3 | Determination of the optimal number of reference genes for normalization by pairwise variation (V) using geNorm. The pairwise variation (V_n/V_{n+1}) was calculated between normalization factors NF_n and NF_{n+1} by geNorm to determine the optimal number of reference genes for qRT-PCR data normalization.

TABLE 2 | Expression stability of candidate reference genes as calculated by Normfinder.

Rank	Bio	Biotic		Tissue		CI	PE	G	Total		
	Gene	Stability	Gene	Stability	Gene	Stability	Gene	Stability	Gene	Stability	
1	RAN	0.142	TUBβ	0.112	ACT7	0.040	RAN	0.053	RAN	0.116	
2	ACT7	0.357	EF1a	0.126	RAN	0.167	ACT7	0.173	ACT7	0.262	
3	EF1a	0.499	RAN	0.136	UBQ	0.190	DnaJ	0.206	EF1a	0.349	
4	DnaJ	0.545	UBI	0.320	EF1a	0.236	UBC	0.262	DnaJ	0.410	
5	UBQ	0.583	ACT7	0.383	TUBα	0.325	EF1a	0.264	UBQ	0.487	
6	UBI	0.650	DnaJ	0.603	UBC	0.366	UBI	0.292	UBI	0.492	
7	18S <i>rRNA</i>	0.734	UBQ	0.673	DnaJ	0.366	ΤυΒβ	0.376	ΤυΒβ	0.616	
8	TUBβ	0.869	UBC	0.846	TUBβ	0.472	UBQ	0.404	UBC	0.660	
9	UBC	0.882	TUBα	0.992	UBI	0.490	ΤυΒα	0.543	ΤUΒα	0.749	
10	ΤυΒα	1.079	18S rRNA	1.882	18S rRNA	0.744	18S rRNA	0.557	18S rRNA	0.830	
11	ACT	1.257	ACT	2.409	ACT	1.288	ACT	0.702	ACT	1.262	

Stability Analysis of Reference Genes by Bestkeeper

The BestKeeper program was used to evaluate the stabilities of reference genes based on the coefficient of variance (CV) and the standard deviation (SD) of the average Ct values. The most stable genes were identified as those that exhibit the lowest CV and SD (CV \pm SD), and genes with SD greater than 1 were considered unacceptable and should be excluded (Chang et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2014). In the biotic stress subset, 18S rRNA (2.96 \pm 0.51) and UBI (2.39 \pm 0.52) had lowest CV \pm SD values, and showed remarkably stable expression. In the NaCland PEG-treated subset, TUB α had the lowest CV \pm SD values of 0.79 \pm 0.24 and 0.96 \pm 0.29, respectively, and showed the most stable expression. In the total samples subset, UBI (2.32 \pm 0.50) and 18S *rRNA* (3.76 \pm 0.63) were identified as the two best reference genes for normalization. These results are inconsistent with those acquired from the geNorm and NormFinder methods (Figure 2; Tables 2, 3). In the tissue samples subset, the most stable reference genes identified by BestKeeper were UBI (1.98 \pm 0.42) and TUB β (2.03 \pm 0.43). This result is consistent with the results obtained from geNorm and NormFinder analyses (Figure 2; Tables 2, 3).

Comprehensive Stability Analysis of Reference Genes

To acquire a consensus result of the best reference genes, three algorithms rankings of the stability were integrated, generating a comprehensive ranking according to the geometric mean of three rankings (Xiao et al., 2014). The comprehensive rankings were shown in **Table 4**: *RAN* and *ACT7* were ranked as the top two stable reference genes in the biotic stress subset, NaCl stress subset and total samples subset; *UBC* and *DnaJ* were the two most stable genes in the PEG stress subset; *TUBβ* and *UBI* were the most stable genes, followed by $EF1\alpha$ and RAN in the tissue subset (**Table 4**). Taken the number of reference genes to use suggested by geNorm and the comprehensive rankings into consideration, the most stable and least stable combination of reference genes in each subset was shown in **Table 5**.

Reference Genes Validation

To validate the selected reference genes, the relative expression levels of the target gene, CcWRKY15 under different experimental conditions were evaluated using qRT-PCR. CcWRKY15 is a homolog of AtWRKY15, which is known to be a central regulator in the response to oxidative stress and pathogenic infection (Vanderauwera et al., 2012). Thus, it would be expected to have similar expression patterns as AtWRKY15 under abiotic and biotic stress conditions. However, a substantial divergence can occur in its relative transcript abundance when normalized to different kinds of reference genes. We therefore used the most stable reference genes found in each subset (ACT7 and RAN for Biotic stress and NaCl stress; UBC and DnaJ for PEG stress) either singly or in combination, and the least stable reference gene (ACT or $TUB\alpha$), to perform a qRT-PCR analysis. Results showed that in accordance with the behavior of AtWRKY15 previously described in A. thaliana, the CcWRKY15 functions as a negative regulator and was induced by salt-stress, oxidative-stress, and pathogenic infections (C. siamense) in jute (Figure 4). In addition, we also examined the reference gene (EF1 α and UBC for abiotic stress; TUB β for fungal stress) selected by Ferdou et al. (2015) in NaCl stress, PEG stress, and fungal stress subsets. The results showed that $EF1\alpha$ could sever as a stable reference gene for normalization but UBC unstable under NaCl stress condition (Figure 4A). For the PEG stress subset, the relative expression folds of CcWRKY15 normalized by $EF1\alpha$ were slightly decreased compared to the stable genes UBC and DnaJ (Figure 4B). For the biotic stress subset, reference gene $TUB\beta$ was not as stable as that described by Ferdou et al. (2015), conversely, similar to the expression pattern of the worst gene $TUB\alpha$ (Figure 4C). Our tissue type analysis revealed that the transcript abundance of CcWRKY15 was the highest in the leaf, followed by the stem and then the root (p < 0.01) (Figure 4D). Contrastingly, when the least stable reference gene was used as normalization factor, the expression level of CcWRKY15 was significantly overestimated (p < 0.01). For example, the relative expression folds of *CcWRKY15* were approximately eight-fold (p < 0.01) higher than that of ACT7, RAN, or their combination at 4 h

Rank	E	Biotic		Tissue			NaCl			PEG			Total		
	Gene	SD	CV	Gene	SD	cv	Gene	SD	cv	Gene	SD	cv	Gene	SD	CV
1	18S rRNA	0.51	2.96	UBI	0.42	1.98	TUBα	0.24	0.79	TUBα	0.29	0.96	UBI	0.50	2.32
2	UBI	0.52	2.39	TUBβ	0.43	2.03	UBC	0.27	1.30	DnaJ	0.31	1.44	18S rRNA	0.63	3.76
3	ACT7	0.56	2.47	DnaJ	0.59	2.60	UBI	0.33	1.58	UBC	0.35	1.71	DnaJ	0.64	2.89
4	DnaJ	0.59	2.58	RAN	0.6	2.24	RAN	0.41	1.59	UBI	0.40	1.87	ACT7	0.72	3.22
5	RAN	0.61	2.18	UBQ	0.65	3.23	UBQ	0.43	2.25	18S rRNA	0.4	2.41	EF1α	0.72	3.92
6	UBQ	0.66	2.98	UBC	0.95	4.66	EF1α	0.44	2.41	TUBβ	0.41	1.86	TUBβ	0.78	3.48
7	EF1α	0.75	4.01	ΤUΒα	0.97	4.11	TUBβ	0.47	2.09	UBQ	0.42	2.17	UBC	0.81	3.81
8	ACT	0.86	3.25	EF1α	1.00	5.70	DnaJ	0.48	2.18	ACT	0.43	1.68	ACT	0.94	3.64
9	UBC	1.06	4.79	18S rRNA	1.03	6.28	ACT7	0.55	2.48	RAN	0.48	1.87	RAN	1.15	4.35
10	ΤUΒα	1.13	4.32	ACT7	1.13	5.27	18S rRNA	0.59	3.43	ACT7	0.49	2.19	UBQ	1.32	6.54
11	TUBβ	1.17	5.04	ACT	1.50	6.37	ACT	0.81	3.15	EF1α	0.56	3.09	ΤUΒα	2.66	9.37
11	ιυσβ	1.17	5.04	ACI	1.50	0.37	AUT	0.81	3.15	EFIQ	0.56	3.09	ιυβα	2.66	

TABLE 3 | Expression stability of candidate reference genes as calculated by BestKeeper.

TABLE 4 | Expression stability ranking of the 11 candidate reference genes.

Method	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
(A) RANKING ORDER U	INDER BIOTIC	STRESS (BE	TTER-GO	OD-AVERAGI	E)						
NormFinder	RAN	ACT7	EF1α	DnaJ	UBQ	UBI	18S rRNA	TUBβ	UBC	TUBα	ACT
geNorm	ACT7/RAN		DnaJ	UBQ	EF1α	UBI	18S rRNA	UBC	TUBβ	ΤUΒα	ACT
BestKeeper	18S <i>rRNA</i>	UBI	ACT7	DnaJ	RAN	UBQ	EF1α	ACT	UBC	ΤUΒα	TUBβ
Comprehensive ranking	RAN	ACT7	DnaJ	18S rRNA	UBI	EF1α	UBQ	UBC	TUBβ	ACT	TUBα
(B) RANKING ORDER U	INDER DIFFEF	RENT TISSUE	S (BETTE	R-GOOD-AVE	RAGE)						
NormFinder	TUBβ	EF1α	RAN	UBI	ACT7	DnaJ	UBQ	UBC	ΤUΒα	18S <i>rRNA</i>	ACT
geNorm	TUBβ/UBI		EF1α	RAN	ACT7	UBQ	DnaJ	UBC	ΤUΒα	18S rRNA	ACT
BestKeeper	UBI	TUBβ	DnaJ	RAN	UBQ	UBC	ΤUΒα	EF1α	18S rRNA	ACT7	ACT
Comprehensive ranking	TUBβ	UBI	EF1α	RAN	DnaJ	UBQ	ACT7	UBC	ΤUΒα	18S rRNA	ACT
(C) RANKING ORDER U	JNDER NACL	STRESS (BET	TER-GOC	D-AVERAGE							
NormFinder	ACT7	RAN	UBQ	EF1α	ΤUΒα	UBC	DnaJ	TUBβ	UBI	18S rRNA	ACT
geNorm	EF1α/RAN		ACT7	DnaJ	UBC	UBI	UBQ	ΤUΒα	ΤUΒβ	18S <i>rRNA</i>	ACT
BestKeeper	ΤUΒα	UBC	UBI	RAN	UBQ	EF1α	ΤUΒβ	DnaJ	ACT7	18S <i>rRNA</i>	ACT
Comprehensive ranking	RAN	ACT7	EF1α	ΤUΒα	UBC	UBQ	UBI	DnaJ	ΤUΒβ	18S rRNA	ACT
(D) RANKING ORDER U	JNDER PEG ST	FRESS (BETT	ER-GOOD	-AVERAGE)							
NormFinder	RAN	ACT7	DnaJ	UBC	EF1α	UBI	ΤUΒβ	UBQ	ΤUΒα	18S rRNA	ACT
geNorm	EF1α/UBC		UBI	RAN	DnaJ	ACT7	ΤUΒβ	UBQ	ΤUΒα	18S rRNA	ACT
BestKeeper	ΤUΒα	DnaJ	UBC	UBI	18S rRNA	TUBβ	UBQ	ACT	RAN	ACT7	EF1α
Comprehensive ranking	UBC	DnaJ	RAN	UBI	EF1α	TUBα	ACT7	TUBβ	UBQ	18S rRNA	ACT
(E) RANKING ORDER U	INDER TOTAL	SAMPLES (B	ETTER-G	OOD-AVERAG	GE)						
NormFinder	RAN	ACT7	EF1α	DnaJ	UBQ	UBI	ΤUΒβ	UBC	ΤUΒα	18S rRNA	ACT
geNorm	ACT7/RAN		DnaJ	UBQ	EF1α	UBI	UBC	TUBβ	18S rRNA	ΤUΒα	ACT
BestKeeper	UBI	18S rRNA	DnaJ	ACT7	EF1α	TUBβ	UBC	ACT	RAN	UBQ	TUBα
Comprehensive ranking	ACT7	RAN	DnaJ	UBI	EF1a	UBQ	18S rRNA	TUBβ	UBC	ACT	TUBα

TABLE 5 | Best combination of reference genes based on the geNorm and comprehensive rankings in each subset.

Biotic		Tis	Tissue		NaCl		EG	Total		
Most	Least	Most	Least	Most	Least	Most	Least	Most	Least	
RAN	TUBα	ΤυΒβ	ACT	RAN	ACT	UBC	ACT	ACT7	TUBα	
ACT7		UBI		ACT7		DnaJ		RAN		
		EF1α						DnaJ		
		RAN								

under NaCl stress, when *ACT* was used as normalization factor (**Figure 4A**).

DISCUSSION

There has been a surge in interest for environment-friendly materials and jute fiber—used as reinforcement component—has been widely used in the textile, papermaking, automotive, and aerospace industries. Given its popularity, the need for the application of new genomic tools has become increasingly important (Sydenstricker et al., 2003; Corrales et al., 2007). New technologies such as qRT-PCR now make it possible to understand the molecular mechanisms underpinning the commercially important traits of jute. qRT-PCR is an essential tool that can be used in studies of target gene expression patterns. Although past studies have used 18S *rRNA* as a

reference gene for examining the functions of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase and caffeoyl-CoA 3-O-methyltransferase in jute (C. capsularis) (Zhang et al., 2013, 2014), and in the most recent study were 7 reference genes tested under abiotic and biotic stress in the other jute species Corchorus olitorius (Ferdou et al., 2015), little information is available on the systematic exploration and validation of a set of suitable reference genes in jute (C. capsularis). In addition, it has been reported that ribosomal RNA genes are not adequate reference genes due to their high transcription abundance. Ultimately, this could lead to experimental error when normalizing genes with weak expression (Jain et al., 2006; Niu et al., 2014). Comparing our results to that of Ferdou et al. (2015), the stability values of three out of seven reference genes (EF1 α and UBC for abiotic stress; $TUB\beta$ for biotic stress) were significantly lower in our experiment. For instance, when UBC was used as reference



Leaves were collected from 3-week-old seedlings subjected to salt- and PEG-stress after 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h of treatment. (C) Leaves were collected from 3-week-old seedlings subjected to biotic stress (C. siamense) after 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h of treatment. (D) Different tissue types were collected from 3-week-old seedlings. * indicates statistically significant ($\rho < 0.05$); ** indicates greatly statistically significant ($\rho < 0.01$).

gene, *CcWRKY15* had a 5.0-fold higher expression value at 24 h under NaCl stress condition (**Figure 4A**); when *TUB* β as an internal reference, *CcWRKY15* had a 6.0 times higher at 24 h after inoculation (**Figure 4C**). Additionally, *TUB* β was found to be one of the least stable genes in our study. These expression stability differences might be a result of different species/cultivars analyzed in the compared experiment conditions. It is consistent with the previous studies that the stability of reference genes is not only cultivar/species specific but may also be tissue specific and influenced by the different experimental treatments (Nicot et al., 2005; Štajner et al., 2013; Zhuang et al., 2015). This is also the primary reason for validating the stability of reference genes for a specific genotype and/or experimental treatment.

In this study, we used three publicly available programs, geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002), NormFinder (Andersen et al., 2004), and BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al., 2004), to evaluate the expression stability of 11 candidate reference genes in a total of 25 jute samples taken from different tissues and experimental treatment groups. We found different rankings for the selected genes after comparison to the ranking of the candidates generated by the three algorithms (**Figure 2**; **Tables 2**, **3**). This apparent divergence probably reflects the discrepancies in the three

statistical algorithms to calculate stability. NormFinder takes the inter- and intra-group variations into account, and combines them into a stability value, and finally ranks the top genes with minimal inter- and intra-group variation. In contrast, geNorm identifies two reference genes with the highest degree of similarity in expression profile and the lowest intra-group variation (Andersen et al., 2004; Jian et al., 2008; Cruz et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012). As for BestKeeper, this program determines the stability ranking of the reference genes based on the coefficient of variance (CV) and the standard deviation (SD) values. The most stable genes are identified as those that exhibit the lowest CV \pm SD values (Chang et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2014). Similar methods have been used in previous studies of different species, such as Salicornia europaea (Huang et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2014), Populus euphratica (Wang et al., 2014), and Cynodon dactylon (Chen et al., 2014). Thus, referring to the previous studies (Štajner et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2014), the integrated results were obtained from three programs, leading to a more comprehensive ranking and better accuracy for each gene (Table 4).

Of the top three reference genes defined by three algorithms in the total samples subset, *ACT7* and *RAN* were found to be the best candidates for the biotic stress and NaCl stress subsets. The strong performance of ACT7 in jute (C. capsularis) was consistent with the results obtained in the developmental stage series of G. max (Jian et al., 2008), during grape berry development of V. vinifera (Reid et al., 2006), and across different tissues and cold-treated samples of Platycladus orientalis (Chang et al., 2012). However, this gene performed poorly in studies of O. sativa (Jain et al., 2006), S. officinarum (Ling et al., 2014), and S. tuberosum (Nicot et al., 2005), suggesting that the expression levels of reference genes are variable among different species. RAN was the other most stable reference gene found in the present study. It has also been shown to be the best performer across different tissue and hormone treatment samples of M. acuminata (Chen et al., 2011) as well as in the leaf or plant growth regulator treatment samples of C. melo (Kong et al., 2014). DnaJ showed relatively stable expression and ranked third across all samples (Figure 2; Tables 3, 4). This gene has also been recommended as the best reference gene in different tissues and NaCl-treated samples of *P. orientalis* (Chang et al., 2012).

However, the data presented show that the candidate reference genes EF1 α , UBC, UBI, UBQ, and TUB β were moderately expressed and had variable rankings in this study. For example, $EF1\alpha$ was ranked first by geNorm analysis and was stably expressed in NaCl- and PEG-treated samples, but was shown to be the fourth in NaCl stress subset analyzed by NormFinder; the fifth in PEG stress subset by NormFinder and Comprehensive ranking. Previously, $EF1\alpha$ showed stable expression during abiotic and biotic stress in O. sativa (Jain et al., 2006), L. chinensis (Zhong et al., 2011), S. tuberosum (Nicot et al., 2005), and C. sativus (Wan et al., 2010), but was shown as an unsatisfactory reference gene in T. aestivum (Paolacci et al., 2009), G. max (Jian et al., 2008), N. benthamiana (Liu et al., 2012), and P. orientalis (Chang et al., 2012). UBC, an ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme gene, was ranked first in the PEG stress subset, which was also the optimal reference gene under NaCl- and PEG-treated conditions in P. orientalis (Chang et al., 2012) and C. olitorius (Ferdou et al., 2015); however, it was not the best choice for normalization in the different tissues of bamboo (Fan et al., 2013). UBQ were relatively weakly expressed across all the experimental samples according to the three programs and comprehensive analyses, but were the optimal reference genes for developmental stages and under NaCl- and PEG-treated conditions in P. orientalis (Chang et al., 2012). As previously noted, $TUB\beta$ was the most stable reference gene across various developmental stages of G. max (Jian et al., 2008), leaf senescence system of H. annuus (Fernandez et al., 2011), and among different tissues and PEGtreated samples of P. orientalis (Chang et al., 2012). In this study, similarly, all of the algorithms ranked $TUB\beta$ in the first position, indicating that $TUB\beta$ was the optimal choice as an internal control gene for different tissues investigated in jute (C. capsularis). UBI, another stable reference gene, showed relatively small variation in tissues subset, which was also the most stable reference gene in different tissues of *C. sativus* (Wan et al., 2010). In different tissues subset, in addition to expression of $TUB\beta$ and UBI, the expression of $EF1\alpha$ and RAN was also stable and therefore they were considered as the suitable reference genes (Figure 2; Table 4).

Interestingly, the commonly used reference genes $TUB\alpha$, 18S rRNA, and ACT performed poorly and were not suitable for

most of the experimental conditions. Several studies have shown similar results. For example, $TUB\alpha$ was found to be unstable as reference gene in the developmental stage in tomato (Expósito-Rodríguez et al., 2008) and in different flax tissue (Huis et al., 2010). 18S *rRNA* was considered as the least reliable reference gene in viral-infected *N. benthamiana* (Liu et al., 2012) and under different conditions in *C. sativus* (Wan et al., 2010). *ACT* was proved unsuitable for normalization in different flax tissues (Huis et al., 2010), during abiotic and biotic stress in *S. tuberosum* (Nicot et al., 2005), and in viral-infected *N. benthamiana* (Liu et al., 2012). Taken together, these findings indicate that large numbers of experimental data on gene expression should be acquired to investigate the transcript stability of commonly used reference genes under different experimental conditions.

To further validate the feasibility of the reference genes screened in this study, we analyzed the transcription profiles of the WRKY domain gene CcWRKY15, a homolog AtWRKY15 of A. thaliana. AtWRKY15 has been shown to be a key regulator of plant growth and salt/osmotic stress responses in A. thaliana (Vanderauwera et al., 2012). In this study, the expression of CcWRKY15 was normalized using the most stable reference genes in each subset both singly and combined as well as a least stable gene as an internal control (Figure 4). Our results showed that expression of CcWRKY15 was negatively induced by NaCland PEG-treated stress (Figures 4A,B) and was significantly increased after 24 h of inoculation treatment (Figure 4C) (p <0.01). By comparing the expression pattern of CcWRKY15 with that reported in A. thaliana (Vanderauwera et al., 2012), a supported result was found. Therefore, the results obtained from this study are credible. Moreover, we compared the results from the jute species C. olitorius selected by Ferdou et al. (2015) under the same conditions, the results showed great differences between them. These results underscore the fact that inappropriate utilization of reference genes without validation may generate bias in the analysis and lead to misinterpretation of qRT-PCR data.

CONCLUSION

We present here a systematic attempt to validate a set of candidate reference genes for the normalization of gene expression using qRT-PCR in jute (C. capsularis) under abiotic (salt and drought) and biotic (Colletotrichum siamense) stress conditions as well as across different tissue types. The expression stability of the 11 candidates was analyzed by the three applications (geNorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper), and their results were furtherly integrated into a comprehensive ranking based on the geometric mean. For gene expression study under biotic stress and NaCl stress, we recommend ACT7 and RAN to normalize the qRT-PCR data. For gene expression study under PEG stress, UBC, and DnaJ are the two most suitable reference genes in C. capsularis. For the study of gene expression in the different tissues, $TUB\beta$, UBI, $EF1\alpha$, and RAN are recommended as the best reference genes for normalization. In addition, the two least stable reference genes 18S rRNA and ACT should be carefully used for normalization. Furthermore, the feasibility of the reference genes screened was further confirmed by comparing the expression pattern between

CcWRKY15 and *AtWRKT15*, and the selected reference genes can perform significantly better in gene expression normalization. In particular, the reference genes selected in current study will facilitate the future work on gene expression studies in *C. capsularis*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the 948 project of the Agricultural Department of China (2013-Z70), the National Bast Fiber

REFERENCES

- Alam, M. M., Sharmin, S., Nabi, Z., Mondal, S. I., Islam, M. S., Bin Nayeem, S., et al. (2010). A putative leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase of jute involved in stress response. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 28, 394–402. doi: 10.1007/s11105-009-0166-4
- Andersen, C. L., Jensen, J. L., and Ørntoft, T. F. (2004). Normalization of realtime quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5245–5250. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0496
- Artico, S., Nardeli, S. M., Brilhante, O., Grossi-de-Sa, M. F., and Alves-Ferreira, M. (2010). Identification and evaluation of new reference genes in Gossypium hirsutum for accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:49. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-49
- Basu, A., Ghosh, M., Meyer, R., Powell, W., Basak, S. L., and Sen, S. K. (2004). Analysis of genetic diversity in cultivated jute determined by means of SSR markers and AFLP profiling. *Crop Sci.* 44, 678–685. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2004.6780
- Bustin, S. A. (2002). Quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR): trends and problems. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 29, 23–39. doi: 10.1677/jme.0.0290023
- Chang, E., Shi, S., Liu, J., Cheng, T., Xue, L., Yang, X., et al. (2012). Selection of reference genes for quantitative gene expression studies in Platycladus orientalis (Cupressaceae) Using real-time PCR. *PLoS ONE* 7:e33278. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033278
- Chattopadhyay, T., Roy, S., Mitra, A., and Maiti, M. K. (2011). Development of a transgenic hairy root system in jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) with gusA reporter gene through Agrobacterium rhizogenes mediated co-transformation. *Plant Cell Rep.* 30, 485–493. doi: 10.1007/s00299-010-0957-y
- Chen, L., Zhong, H. Y., Kuang, J. F., Li, J. G., Lu, W. J., and Chen, J. Y. (2011). Validation of reference genes for RT-qPCR studies of gene expression in banana fruit under different experimental conditions. *Planta* 234, 377–390. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1410-3
- Chen, Y., Tan, Z., Hu, B., Yang, Z., Xu, B., Zhuang, L., et al. (2014). Selection and validation of reference genes for target gene analysis with quantitative RT-PCR in leaves and roots of bermudagrass under four different abiotic stresses. *Physiol Plant.* doi: 10.1111/ppl.12302
- Corrales, F., Vilaseca, F., Llop, M., Girones, J., Méndez, J. A., and Mutje, P. (2007). Chemical modification of jute fibers for the production of green-composites. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 144, 730–735. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.103
- Cruz, F., Kalaoun, S., Nobile, P., Colombo, C., Almeida, J., Barros, L. M. G., et al. (2009). Evaluation of coffee reference genes for relative expression studies by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Mol. Breed.* 23, 607–616. doi: 10.1007/s11032-009-9259-x
- Czechowski, T., Stitt, M., Altmann, T., Udvardi, M. K., and Scheible, W. R. (2005). Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 5–17. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.063743
- Defoirdt, N., Biswas, S., De Vriese, L., Tran, L. Q. N., van Acker, J., Ahsan, Q., et al. (2010). Assessment of the tensile properties of coir, bamboo and jute fibre. *Comp. A* 41, 588–595. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2010.01.005

Germplasm Resources Project of China (K47NI201A), the National Bast Fiber Research System of China (CARS-19–E06), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31471549).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2015. 00848

- del Río, J. C., Rencoret, J., Marques, G., Li, J., Gellerstedt, G., Jimenez-Barbero, J., et al. (2009). Structural characterization of the lignin from jute (*Corchorus capsularis*) Fibers. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 10271–10281. doi: 10.1021/jf900815x
- Expósito-Rodríguez, M., Borges, A. A., Borges-Pérez, A., and Pérez, J. A. (2008). Selection of internal control genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR studies during tomato development process. *BMC Plant Biol.* 8:131. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-131
- Fan, C., Ma, J., Guo, Q., Li, X., Wang, H., and Lu, M. (2013). Selection of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). *PLoS ONE* 8:e56573. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056573
- Ferdou, A. S., Islam, M. T., Alam, S. S., and Khan, H. (2015). Identification of stable reference gene for quantitative PCR in jute under different experimental conditions: an essential assessment for gene expression analysis. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 9, 646–655.
- Fernandez, P., Di Rienzo, J. A., Moschen, S., Dosio, G. A., Aguirrezábal, L. A., Hopp, H. E., et al. (2011). Comparison of predictive methods and biological validation for qPCR reference genes in sunflower leaf senescence transcript analysis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 30, 63–74. doi: 10.1007/s00299-010-0944-3
- Gutierrez, L., Mauriat, M., Guénin, S., Pelloux, J., Lefebvre, J. F., Louvet, R., et al. (2008). The lack of a systematic validation of reference genes: a serious pitfall undervalued in reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis in plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 6, 609–618. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00346.x
- Huang, L., Yan, H., Jiang, X., Yin, G., Zhang, X., Qi, X., et al. (2014). Identification of candidate reference genes in perennial ryegrass for quantitative RT-PCR under various abiotic stress conditions. *PLoS ONE* 9:e93724. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093724
- Huggett, J., Dheda, K., Bustin, S., and Zumla, A. (2005). Real-time RT-PCR normalisation; strategies and considerations. *Genes Immun.* 6, 279–284. doi: 10.1038/sj.gene.6364190
- Huis, R., Hawkins, S., and Neutelings, G. (2010). Selection of reference genes for quantitative gene expression normalization in flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:71. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-71
- Imai, T., Ubi, B. E., Saito, T., and Moriguchi, T. (2014). Evaluation of reference genes for accurate normalization of gene expression for real time-quantitative PCR in Pyrus pyrifolia using different tissue samples and seasonal conditions. *PLoS ONE* 9:e86492. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086492
- Jain, M., Nijhawan, A., Tyagi, A. K., and Khurana, J. P. (2006). Validation of housekeeping genes as internal control for studying gene expression in rice by quantitative real-time PCR. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 345, 646–651. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.04.140
- Jian, B., Liu, B., Bi, Y., Hou, W., Wu, C., and Han, T. (2008). Validation of internal control for gene expression study in soybean by quantitative real-time PCR. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 9:59. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-9-59
- Kong, Q., Yuan, J., Niu, P., Xie, J., Jiang, W., Huang, Y., et al. (2014). Screening suitable reference genes for normalization in reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR analysis in melon. *PLoS ONE* 9:e87197. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087197
- Libault, M., Thibivilliers, S., Bilgin, D. D., Radwan, O., Benitez, M., Clough, S. J., et al. (2008). Identification of four soybean reference genes for gene expression normalization. *Plant Genome J.* 1, 44. doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2008.02.0091

- Lin, L., Han, X., Chen, Y., Wu, Q., and Wang, Y. (2013). Identification of appropriate reference genes for normalizing transcript expression by quantitative real-time PCR in Litsea cubeba. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 288, 727–737. doi: 10.1007/s00438-013-0785-1
- Ling, H., Wu, Q., Guo, J., Xu, L., and Que, Y. (2014). Comprehensive selection of reference genes for gene expression normalization in sugarcane by real time quantitative rt-PCR. *PLoS ONE* 9:e97469. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097469
- Liu, D., Shi, L., Han, C., Yu, J., Li, D., and Zhang, Y. (2012). Validation of reference genes for gene expression studies in virus-infected *Nicotiana benthamiana* using quantitative real-time PCR. *PLoS ONE* 7:e46451. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046451
- Long, X. Y., Wang, J. R., Ouellet, T., Rocheleau, H., Wei, Y. M., Pu, Z. E., et al. (2010). Genome-wide identification and evaluation of novel internal control genes for Q-PCR based transcript normalization in wheat. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74, 307–311. doi: 10.1007/s11103-010-9666-8
- Ma, B. C., Tang, W. L., Ma, L. Y., Li, L. L., Zhang, L. B., Zhu, S. J., et al. (2009). The role of chitinase gene expression in the defense of harvested banana against anthracnose disease. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 134, 379–386.
- Mafra, V., Kubo, K. S., Alves-Ferreira, M., Ribeiro-Alves, M., Stuart, R. M., Boava, L. P., et al. (2012). Reference genes for accurate transcript normalization in citrus genotypes under different experimental conditions. *PLoS ONE* 7:e31263. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031263
- Mir, R. R., Banerjee, S., Das, M., Gupta, V., Tyagi, A. K., Sinha, M. K., et al. (2009). Development and characterization of large-scale simple sequence repeats in jute. *Crop Sci.* 49, 1687–1694. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.10.0599
- Mir, R. R., Rustgi, S., Sharma, S., Singh, R., Goyal, A., Kumar, J., et al. (2008). A preliminary genetic analysis of fibre traits and the use of new genomic SSRs for genetic diversity in jute. *Euphytica* 161, 413–427. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9597-x
- Narsai, R., Ivanova, A., Ng, S., and Whelan, J. (2010). Defining reference genes in Oryza sativa using organ, development, biotic and abiotic transcriptome datasets. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:56. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-56
- Nicot, N., Hausman, J. F., Hoffmann, L., and Evers, D. (2005). Housekeeping gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 2907–2914. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri285
- Niu, J., Zhu, B., Cai, J., Li, P., Wang, L., Dai, H., et al. (2014). Selection of reference genes for gene expression studies in Siberian Apricot (*Prunus sibirica* L.) Germplasm using quantitative real-time PCR. *PLoS ONE* 9:e103900. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103900
- Oksman, K., Skrifvars, M., and Selin, J. F. (2003). Natural fibres as reinforcement in polylactic acid (PLA) composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 63, 1317–1324. doi: 10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00103-9
- Paolacci, A. R., Tanzarella, O. A., Porceddu, E., and Ciaffi, M. (2009). Identification and validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 10:11. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-11
- Pfaffl, M. W., Tichopad, A., Prgomet, C., and Neuvians, T. P. (2004). Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: bestKeeper-Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 26, 509–515. doi: 10.1023/B:BILE.0000019559.84305.47
- Qi, J. M., Zhou, D. X., Wu, W. R., Lin, L. H., Fang, P. P., and Wu, J. M. (2003b). The application of RAPD technology in genetic diversity detection of Jute. *Yi Chuan Xue Bao* 30, 926–932.
- Qi, J., Zhou, D., Wu, W., Lin, L., Wu, J., and Fang, P. (2003a). Application of ISSR technology in genetic diversity detection of jute. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao* 14, 1473–1477.
- Reid, K. E., Olsson, N., Schlosser, J., Peng, F., and Lund, S. T. (2006). An optimized grapevine RNA isolation procedure and statistical determination of reference genes for real-time RT-PCR during berry development. *BMC Plant Biol.* 6:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-6-27
- Remans, T., Smeets, K., Opdenakker, K., Mathijsen, D., Vangronsveld, J., and Cuypers, A. (2008). Normalisation of real-time RT-PCR gene expression measurements in Arabidopsis thaliana exposed to increased metal concentrations. *Planta* 227, 1343–1349. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0706-4

- Roy, A., Bandyopadhyay, A., Mahapatra, A. K., Ghosh, S. K., Singh, N. K., Bansal, K. C., et al. (2006). Evaluation of genetic diversity in jute (Corchorus species) using STMS, ISSR and RAPD markers. *Plant Breeding* 125, 292–297. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2006.01208.x
- Schmidt, G. W., and Delaney, S. K. (2010). Stable internal reference genes for normalization of real-time RT-PCR in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) during development and abiotic stress. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 283, 233–241. doi: 10.1007/s00438-010-0511-1
- Štajner, N., Cregeen, S., and Javornik, B. (2013). Evaluation of reference genes for RT-qPCR expression studies in hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) during infection with vascular pathogen verticillium albo-atrum. *PLoS ONE* 8:e68228. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068228
- Sydenstricker, T. H. D., Mochnaz, S., and Amico, S. C. (2003). Pull-out and other evaluations in sisal-reinforced polyester biocomposites. *Polym. Test.* 22, 375–380. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9418(02)00116-2
- Vanderauwera, S., Vandenbroucke, K., Inzé, A., van de Cotte, B., Mühlenbock, P., De Rycke, R., et al. (2012). AtWRKY15 perturbation abolishes the mitochondrial stress response that steers osmotic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 20113–20118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1217516109
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3:research0034.1–0034.11. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034
- Wan, H., Zhao, Z., Qian, C., Sui, Y., Malik, A. A., and Chen, J. (2010). Selection of appropriate reference genes for gene expression studies by quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction in cucumber. *Anal. Biochem.* 399, 257–261. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2009.12.008
- Wang, H. L., Chen, J. H., Tian, Q., Wang, S., Xia, X. L., and Yin, W. L. (2014). Identification and validation of reference genes for Populus euphratica gene expression analysis during abiotic stresses by quantitative real-time PCR. *Physiol. Plant.* 152, 529–545. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12206
- Xiao, X., Ma, J., Wang, J., Wu, X., Li, P., and Yao, Y. (2014). Validation of suitable reference genes for gene expression analysis in the halophyte Salicornia europaea by real-time quantitative PCR. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:788. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00788
- Zhang, G., Qi, J., Xu, J., Niu, X., Zhang, Y., Tao, A., et al. (2013). Overexpression of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase gene could increase cellulose content in Jute (*Corchorus capsularis L.*). *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 442, 153–158. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.11.053
- Zhang, G., Zhang, Y., Xu, J., Niu, X., Qi, J., Tao, A., et al. (2014). The CCoAOMT1 gene from jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) is involved in lignin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Gene* 546, 398–402. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014. 05.011
- Zhong, H. Y., Chen, J. W., Li, C. Q., Chen, L., Wu, J. Y., Chen, J. Y., et al. (2011). Selection of reliable reference genes for expression studies by reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR in litchi under different experimental conditions. *Plant Cell Rep.* 30, 641–653. doi: 10.1007/s00299-010-0992-8
- Zhuang, H., Fu, Y., He, W., Wang, L., and Wei, Y. (2015). Selection of appropriate reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in Oxytropis ochrocephala Bunge using transcriptome datasets under abiotic stress treatments. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:475. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00475

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Niu, Qi, Zhang, Xu, Tao, Fang and Su. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.