



Selective sorption of uranium from aqueous solution by graphene oxide-modified materials

H. Mohamud^{1,2} · P. Ivanov² · B. C. Russell² · P. H. Regan^{2,3} · N. I. Ward¹

Received: 30 September 2017 / Published online: 17 February 2018
© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

Abstract

The effect of competing ions on the sorption behaviour of uranium onto carboxyl-functionalised graphene oxide (COOH-GO) were studied in batch experiments in comparison to graphene oxide (GO) and graphite. The effect of increasing the abundance of select chemical functional groups, such as carboxyl groups, on the selectivity of U sorption was investigated. In the course of the study, COOH-GO demonstrated superior performance as a sorbent material for the selective removal of uranyl ions from aqueous solution with a distribution coefficient of $3.72 \pm 0.19 \times 10^3 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ in comparison to $3.97 \pm 0.5 \times 10^2$ and $2.68 \pm 0.2 \times 10^2 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ for GO and graphite, respectively.

Keywords Sorption · Uranium · Carboxyl-functionalised graphene oxide · Selectivity · ICP-MS

Introduction

Uranium belongs to the actinide series and has three naturally occurring radioisotopes: ^{238}U ($T_{1/2} = 4.468 \times 10^9 \pm 0.005 \text{ y}$), ^{234}U ($T_{1/2} = 2.455 \times 10^5 \pm 0.006 \text{ y}$) and ^{235}U ($T_{1/2} = 7.1 \times 10^8 \pm 0.011 \text{ y}$) with an average abundance of 2.4 mg kg^{-1} in the Earth's crust [1, 2]. The high prevalence of uranium and its radiotoxicity makes it a vital radionuclide to monitor in the environment [3]. Furthermore, numerous studies have highlighted the importance of developing rapid and effective treatment processes for aqueous nuclear waste produced in activities related to the nuclear fuel cycle [4–6]. Existing treatment processes, which are currently used at an industrial scale to remove uranium from aqueous nuclear waste commonly involve ion-exchange, co-precipitation and

solvent extraction [7–10]. However, these processes typically exhibit low selectivity when their distribution coefficients (K_d) are reported. Moreover, they often display slow sorption kinetics for target long-lived radionuclides, especially in the presence of competing ions [11]. Thus, alternative techniques capable of selective and rapid removal of uranium from aqueous solution would be of significant value.

One such technique is sorption which has been widely used due to its ease of operation, simplicity and limited use of solvents [12]. Recent studies have demonstrated that nanomaterials, specifically graphene oxide (GO), outperform traditional sorbent materials, such as bentonite and activated carbon, by exhibiting higher loading capacities and efficiencies for uranium removal [13]. This is believed to be due to the exceptional intrinsic properties of GO, including an extremely high contact surface, plus a wide range of chemical functionalities [14, 15]. As a result, the presence of selective surface functional groups on GO, such as, carboxyls and hydroxyls, enable for the sorption of uranyl species through surface complexation [16]. This has been demonstrated by Li et al. who have reported the use of GO for uranium removal and determined the maximum sorption capacity to be 299 mg g^{-1} at pH 4 [17]. The efficiency of GO for uranium removal has been found to be improved by the addition of larger chelating ligands on the surface of GO. For instance, Wang et al. have shown functionalising GO with amidoxime led to an increased

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-018-5741-4>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ H. Mohamud
h.mohamud@surrey.ac.uk

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Surrey,
Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

² National Physical Laboratory, Hampton Road,
Teddington TW11 OLW, UK

³ Department of Physics, University of Surrey,
Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

sorption capacity of 398.4 mg g^{-1} at pH 6 [18]. In addition, selectivity for uranium removal was found to be enhanced in comparison to GO when the material was exposed to simulated seawater comprising of Mg, Ca, Ba and Sr [18]. Therefore, these results demonstrate the effectiveness of both GO and surface-modified GO for uranium removal. Furthermore, such studies also illustrate the need for additional investigation into the effect of increasing the abundance of complexing groups, such as carboxyls, on the selective removal of uranium.

The aim of this study is to synthesise carboxyl-functionalised graphene oxide materials (COOH-GO) designed with a high affinity towards the sorption of long-lived actinides, focusing on uranium. The sorption behavior of COOH-GO was investigated and compared to GO and graphite, in the form of batch sorption studies, which included studying the effect of pH, contact time and competing ions prior to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis. Moreover, each of the sorbent materials were further analysed using a series of surface characterisation techniques, such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy. In addition, thermogravimetric analysis and a methylene blue colourimetric assay were performed to attain a full characterisation profile of each material to assess their suitability for use in radionuclide sorption, waste processing and immobilisation.

Experimental

Reagents and materials

All chemical reagents used were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Poole, UK). As-received natural graphite flakes ($< 45 \mu\text{m}$, grade 230, Asbury Graphite Mill Ltd) were used as the starting material to prepare graphene oxide (GO). For ICP-MS measurements, a 100 mg mL^{-1} stock standard solution of uranium in 2% HNO_3 (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and multi-element standard (MES) solution (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) containing 5000–20,000 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of Mg, Co, Zn, Sr, Pb, Th and U in 2% HNO_3 were used (see Table S1). Solutions were diluted with ultrapure deionised (DI) water obtained using an ELGA purelab flex water purification system (ELGA, Veolia Water, Marlow, UK, $18 \text{ M}\Omega\text{cm}$, $< 5 \text{ ppb}$ Total Organic Carbon). The pH was measured with a digital pH/ISE meter (Orion Star A214, Thermo Scientific, UK)

Preparation of GO

GO was synthesised from natural graphite according to the modified Hummers method [19–21]. Briefly, 1 g of

graphite was added to 120 mL of sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4 , 98%) and 0.5 g of sodium nitrate (NaNO_3 , 99%), which was continuously stirred on a magnetic hot plate at 300 rpm for 1 h and cooled to $20 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using a water bath. Next, 6 g of potassium permanganate (KMnO_4 , 99%) was slowly added and the resulting mixture was left to stir overnight at $35 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. A solution of 10 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , 35%) in 400 mL of ice was next added resulting in a bright yellow precipitate.

For work-up, the remaining precipitate was collected, diluted with 500 mL of 0.5 M hydrochloric acid (HCl, 99%) solution and purified by repeated washing with DI water and centrifugation (4000 rpm, 20 min) until the pH of the supernatant was neutral. To achieve nano-sized flakes of GO, a series of sonication treatments with an ultrasonic bath (Ultrawave U300H) were completed for 1 h and the resulting product was freeze-dried to obtain graphene oxide.

Preparation of COOH-GO

COOH-GO was synthesised by reducing the hydroxyl groups present in GO to carboxyl groups [22, 23]. In a typical procedure, 0.05 g of GO in 50 mL of DI water was sonicated for 30 min. Next, 1.2 g of chloroacetic acid (ClCH_2COOH , 99%) and 1 g of sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99%) were added to the GO solution and sonicated for 3 h. The resulting black COOH-GO mixture was neutralised with 0.5 M HCl solution and purified by repeated washing with DI water and centrifugation (2000 rpm, 10 min). Finally, the resulting product was freeze-dried to obtain carboxylated graphene oxide.

Characterisation techniques

Figure 1 shows the proposed structure of the materials under investigation. Structural analysis was completed by characterising the samples by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy. FTIR spectra of solid powdered samples were recorded on a Cary 670 FTIR spectrometer using attenuated total reflectance (Agilent Technologies, UK). Raman spectra of all samples were obtained on a DXR high resolution Raman microscope (Thermo Scientific, UK) equipped with an Ar laser (irradiation wavelength 532 nm, 10 mW laser power, $0.7 \mu\text{m}$ spot size, $\times 50$ microscope objective, 10 s collection exposure, 32 scans) and an average of three sample spots were selected for study with the data collected analysed using OMNICTM software. Elemental analysis was conducted on a CE440 elemental analyser (Exeter Analytical, USA). Thermal analysis was obtained by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and was carried out on a TGA Q500 (TA Instruments, USA). Samples were placed

into platinum crucibles (1–2 mg) and heated from ambient temperature to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under a N₂ gas flow.

Methylene blue assay

The quantification of the total abundance of carboxyl groups functionalised to graphite, GO and COOH-GO was determined by the published method of Imani et al. and involved the use of a colorimetric-based assay with the dye molecule methylene blue (MB) [24, 25]. Initially, a standard calibration curve of aqueous MB solutions (0.2–5 µg mL⁻¹) was prepared and recorded at 664 nm in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃, 99%) buffer at pH 8. Next for a typical MB assay, 2 µg mL⁻¹ of aqueous MB solution was added to 5 mg of graphite, graphene oxide and carboxylated graphene oxide, respectively and incubated for 15 min. After centrifugation for 5 min at 4500 rpm, 1 mL of the supernatant was collected and then analysed via UV–vis spectrophotometry (Biochrom Libra S80, UK) with the absorbance recorded at 664 nm.

Batch sorption experiments

The sorption of U onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO materials was investigated in batch experiments as illustrated in Fig. 2. To test the effect of pH, a series of 10 mL U solutions (10 µg mL⁻¹) were prepared in 15 mL centrifuge tubes, which were pH adjusted from pH 1–13 with 0.01–1.00 M solutions of HNO₃ and NaOH, respectively. For contact time studies, the pH was adjusted to pH 4 with 0.01 M HNO₃ and timed aliquots were collected from 5 to 140 min. In a typical sorption experiment, an initial aliquot was taken of the prepared solutions to determine the initial concentration of U. This was then subsequently followed by the addition of 10 mg of sorbent material to the pH-adjusted suspensions. The samples were shaken, left for 24 h to equilibrate and a final sample aliquot was collected.

To test the effect of competing ions, the sorption experiment was repeated with 10 mL of diluted multi-element standard (MES) solutions (see Table S1) at pH 4.

The U and MES concentrations in both the initial and final aliquots collected for the single and multi-component batch studies were analysed using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) (Agilent 8800, Agilent Technologies, UK) [26]. The instrument was fitted with a quartz double-pass spray chamber and a MicroMist nebuliser (Glass Expansion, Melbourne, Australia) and nickel sample and skimmer cones (Crawford Scientific, South Lanarkshire, UK). The instrument was tuned daily using a mixed 1 µg mL⁻¹ standard tuning solution.

The percentage of U and other elements of interest sorbed onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO in the batch studies was determined by Eq. (1) with the corresponding distribution co-efficient, K_d , determined by Eq. (2).

$$\text{Sorption}(\%) = 100 - \left(\frac{\text{CPSf}}{\text{CPSi}} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$K_d(\text{mLg}^{-1}) = \left(\frac{\text{CPSi} - \text{CPSf}}{\text{CPSf}} \right) \times \left(\frac{V}{m} \right) \quad (2)$$

where CPSi refers to the initial counts per second detected prior to the addition of the sorbent sample by ICP-MS, and CPSf refers to the final counts per second detected. V refers to the volume of standard solution used (U or MES) in mL and m refers to the mass of sorbent material used in mg.

Results and discussion

Structural analysis

FTIR spectroscopy was used to identify key surface functional groups present in graphite, GO and COOH-GO. As depicted in Fig. 3a, graphite had no significant characteristic absorption peaks identified.

GO exhibited characteristic absorption peaks at 3407.3 cm⁻¹ due to O–H stretching. Moreover, C=O stretches and C–O–C stretches were found at the following adsorption bands, 1733.2 and 1027.8 cm⁻¹, respectively [27, 28]. Further treatment of GO with chloroacetic acid led to the introduction of a new COOH adsorption band at 1644 cm⁻¹ [24]. The discovery of this new adsorption

Fig. 1 The proposed chemical structures of **a** graphite, **b** GO and **c** COOH-GO [20, 22]




Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the single-component batch studies completed for the sorption of U onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO





Fig. 3 Surface functionalities identified onto **a** graphite, **b** GO and **c** COOH-GO samples by FTIR spectroscopy

band in conjunction with an enhanced O–H absorption peak at 3320.3 cm^{-1} demonstrates the successful introduction of a greater abundance of COOH groups to the surface of graphene oxide to produce COOH-GO.

Raman spectroscopy is a common technique used to analyse carbon-based materials e.g., carbon nanotubes, graphene and fullerenes [29, 30]. In this study, the technique was used to compare the varying degree of functionalisation attributed to graphite, to that of as-prepared GO and COOH-GO (Fig. 4b, c) [31]. In addition, the intensity ratio between the D and G band (I_D/I_G) was also

evaluated to monitor the number of sp^2 i.e., aromatic domains present in the samples [32, 33]. The Raman spectra of GO and COOH-GO showed the presence of two characteristic bands associated with aromatic hydrocarbon materials: a strong signal for the D band at 1350.6 and 1348.8 cm^{-1} , plus an intense signal for the G band at 1585.6 and 1578.5 cm^{-1} , respectively.

The increase in signal observed in the D band for GO and COOH-GO indicates the successful transformation of the sp^3 domains, typically present in graphite, into sp^2 domains [33]. The corresponding I_D/I_G ratios also confirms




Fig. 4 Raman spectra of **a** graphite, **b** GO and **c** COOH-GO with their corresponding I_D/I_G ratios. Values reported as mean \pm SD where $n = 3$

this finding with the value increasing from 0.13 ± 0.04 for graphite to 0.91 ± 0.03 and 1.13 ± 0.06 for GO and COOH-GO, respectively. Thus, demonstrating the effective introduction of new surface chemical functional groups to GO and COOH-GO.

Thermal analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to determine the thermal stability of graphite, GO and COOH-GO. Figure 5a illustrates the exceptional thermal stability of graphite with minimal weight loss observed after thermal treatment to 900 °C [34, 35]. The TGA plot of GO indicates that its thermal decomposition occurs primarily through a three-step process [20, 36]. Initially, minimal weight loss is observed from ambient temperature to 130 °C due to the loss of water. This is then followed by a second loss from 180 to 280 °C, which is attributed to the loss of oxygen containing functional groups, such as, hydroxyl and carboxyl groups.

Finally, the third decomposition step observed was from 400 to 790 °C, which was due to the loss of the carbonyl groups present in the sp^2 carbon network. Figure 5c shows the TGA plot of COOH-GO and illustrates that its thermal decomposition occurs through a similar mechanism as that for GO. However, a sharper loss was observed from 180 to 280 °C, which implies that a greater number of oxygen-containing functional groups, such as, carboxyl groups are present in COOH-GO. This finding agrees well with the reported literature and illustrates the success of the chloroacetic acid/NaOH treatment in introducing carboxyl groups to the surface of the COOH-GO material [37].




Fig. 5 TGA plots of a graphite, b GO and c COOH-GO

Quantitative analysis of carboxyl groups

A methylene blue (MB) colourimetric assay was performed to quantitatively determine the carboxyl content of graphite, GO and COOH-GO (Fig. 6). The assay works on the principle that methylene blue reduces to leucomethylene blue in the presence of samples containing COOH groups [24]. This results in a colour change, which can be monitored by UV–vis spectrophotometry. As a result, the total amount of COOH groups ($\mu\text{mol mg}^{-1}$) present in each sample was determined by measuring the absorbance value of the sample after the addition of MB and comparing that to the absorbance value recorded for the reagent blank ($2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$).

Table 1 highlights the results for the elemental analysis and the MB assay of graphite, GO and COOH-GO. The results showed that, for each sample preparation procedure, the COOH-content increased from $0.0006 \pm 0.0002 \mu\text{mol mg}^{-1}$ for graphite to $0.103 \pm 0.003 \mu\text{mol mg}^{-1}$ for COOH-GO. This data supports the experimental results obtained for the other complementary characterisation techniques i.e., FTIR and TGA analysis.

Uranium sorption studies

Effect of pH

Solution acidity can strongly affect radionuclide speciation and therefore has a significant impact on the sorption process and efficiency of the sorbent materials. Thus, for this study, the effect of pH on the retention of U by graphite, GO and COOH-GO, was evaluated over a pH range from 1 to 13 (Fig. 7a, b). The general trend observed for the three sorbent materials, was for increased U sorption between pH 2 and 11, which is consistent with data previously reported [38, 39].

Of particular note is the trend observed at pH 1, with the K_d values (mL g^{-1}) and sorption (%) attained for U increasing in the following order for the sorbent materials investigated: COOH-GO > GO > graphite. This suggests that the presence of carboxyl groups had an impact on U sorption at significantly low pH levels. This is most likely due to the negatively charged surface of COOH-GO and GO [40] initiating electrostatic interactions with the positively charged U(VI) species, UO_2^{2+} , typically found in solution at low pH which has been reported by Xie et al. [38].

In contrast, at pH 10 and higher, it was observed that the performance of all three sorbent materials decreased considerably. This was believed to be due to the formation of negatively charged and stable uranyl carbonate complexes




Fig. 6 **a** Combined absorbance spectra of MB solutions with increasing concentrations (0.2–5.0 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). **b** Calibration curve of MB at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 664 \text{ nm}$

e.g., $[\text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3]^{4-}$ in solution, which has been previously observed to adversely impact U sorption for similar sorbent systems [41, 42]. Thus, it is likely that electrostatic

repulsion between the negative U(VI) species and the negatively charged material surface was observed at high pH conditions.

Table 1 Elemental analysis of graphite, GO and COOH-GO and the quantification of carboxyl groups (COOH) based on the MB assay

Sample	Elemental analysis ^a		$M_{\text{COOH}} (\mu\text{mol mg}^{-1})^b$
	C(%)	O(%)	
Graphite	97.5 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 1.5	0.0006 ± 0.0002
GO	55.8 ± 0.6	42.6 ± 2.4	0.059 ± 0.008
COOH-GO	75.3 ± 0.3	23.6 ± 0.8	0.103 ± 0.003

^aValues reported as mean \pm SD where $n = 2$

^bValues reported as mean \pm SD where $n = 5$

Overall, the optimal condition for U sorption was at pH 3, with GO being the best performing sorbent material, reporting a K_d value of $1.8 \pm 0.11 \times 10^5 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ and $98.7 \pm 1.3\%$ U sorption. Furthermore, COOH-GO and graphite displayed K_d values of $3.8 \pm 0.17 \times 10^3$ and $1.1 \pm 0.04 \times 10^4 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$, respectively with the U sorption for COOH-GO and graphite being 88.9 ± 1.9 and $94.3 \pm 1.7\%$, respectively. These results indicate that each of the sorbent materials are suitable for the removal of uranium in solution and are consistent with the performance typically observed for commercial ion-exchange resins [43].




Fig. 7 **a** Effect of pH on K_d values and **b** U sorption onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO (Experimental conditions: U concentration = $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, mass of adsorbent = 10 mg, volume = 10 mL, pH 1–13)




Fig. 8 Effect of contact time on U sorption onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO. (Experimental conditions: U concentration = $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, mass of adsorbent = 10 mg, volume = 10 mL, pH 4)

Effect of contact time

A series of time-controlled studies were performed on graphite, GO and COOH-GO from contact times of 5–140 min at pH 4. Figure 8 illustrates the rapid kinetics of the sorption process for all of the materials studied, with 77.3 ± 1.2 – $84.9 \pm 1.1\%$ U sorption observed within 5 min. These results compare well with those reported in the literature [17] and illustrates that the time required to reach equilibrium is 80 min with over $93.4 \pm 2.1\%$ U sorption attainable for COOH-GO.

Effect of competing ions

The selective removal of U was investigated by exposing graphite, GO and COOH-GO to a multi-element standard (MES) solution comprising of Mg, Co, Zn, Sr, Pb and Th. It was found that the performance of the graphite and GO sorbent materials were adversely impacted by the presence of competing ions (MES study) with the K_d values decreasing considerably from $1.17 \pm 0.084 \times 10^4$ to $2.68 \pm 0.2 \times 10^2 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ for graphite (Fig. 9a). For GO, the K_d values decreased from $2.4 \pm 0.07 \times 10^4$ to $3.97 \pm 0.5 \times 10^2 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$, respectively.

In contrast, it was seen that COOH-GO was the only sorbent material to consistently retain U. The reported K_d values for COOH-GO remained steady, decreasing from $4.11 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$ to $3.72 \pm 0.19 \times 10^3 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ after competing ions had been introduced into aqueous solution. This indicates that the presence of carboxyl groups on the surface of the COOH-GO material may influence selectivity towards U [44].

The effect on U sorption (%) by the presence of competing ions was also determined. Figure 9b reveals that COOH-GO has a higher selectivity towards the actinides present in the multi-element tracer solutions with over $65.9 \pm 2.7\%$ of U retained in comparison to $38.9 \pm 1.2\%$ for GO and $25.1 \pm 1.9\%$ for graphite. While, Th was consistently retained by both GO and COOH-GO at over 99.8%, which is in agreement with previously published studies [45, 46]. As a result, it can be seen that the consistently high K_d and actinide sorption (%) values shown by COOH-GO makes it a promising sorbent material for selectively removing U from contaminated aqueous nuclear waste.




Fig. 9 a Effect on uranium selectivity by the presence of competing ions using graphite, GO and COOH-GO. b Sorption percentage of U over Mg, Co, Zn, Sr, Pb and Th onto graphite, GO and COOH-GO.

(Experimental conditions: U concentration = $50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, mass of adsorbent = 10 mg, volume = 10 mL, pH 4)




Fig. 10 U sorption isotherm for GO and COOH-GO. (Experimental conditions: U concentration = 0.1–60 mg mL⁻¹, mass of adsorbent = 10 mg, volume = 10 mL, pH 4)

Sorption isotherms

Sorption isotherms of GO and COOH-GO (Fig. 10) were also investigated by varying the U concentration from 0.1 to 60 mg mL⁻¹ and determining the subsequent U capacity. These results were further analysed and characterised by plotting Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models as depicted in Figs. S1 and S2. It was found from these plots that the Langmuir isotherm model fits the sorption data best. This implies that U sorption by GO and COOH-GO occurs mainly by the formation of a monolayer of U on the sorbent material [13].

Table 2 The parameters for the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models of U sorption onto GO and COOH-GO

Sample	Langmuir			Freundlich		
	Q_{\max} (mg g ⁻¹)	K_L (mL mg ⁻¹)	R^2	K_F (mL mg ⁻¹)	n	R^2
GO	142.25	0.527	0.9913	4.856	1.823	0.9125
COOH-GO	169.20	1.310	0.9809	6.384	3.800	0.9299

Table 3 Comparison of the U sorption capacities of GO and COOH-GO with other sorbent materials

Sorbents	Experimental conditions	Q_{\max} (mg g ⁻¹)	Reference
COOH-GO	pH = 4, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 80	169.2	This study
GO	pH = 4, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 80	142.3	This study
GO	pH = 5, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 60	122.4	[47]
Reduced GO	pH = 4, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = n/a	74.1	[39]
Cyclodextrin-modified GO	pH = 5, $T = 288$ K, equilibrium time (min) = n/a	97.3	[48]
Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)	pH = 5, $T = 318$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 60	39.5	[49]
Activated carbon	pH = 3, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 180	28.3	[50]
Silica-coated Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles	pH = 6, $T = 293$ K, equilibrium time (min) = 180	52.4	[51]

Table 2 shows that the maximum sorption capacity (Q_{\max}) of GO and COOH-GO at pH 4 were 142.25 and 169.20 mg g⁻¹, respectively. The capacities obtained demonstrate that COOH-GO is more effective in extracting U from solution than GO and the results attained are comparable to those typically observed in GO-based sorbent materials (Table 3). Moreover, the Q_{\max} value for GO and COOH-GO are considerably higher than those observed for other common sorbent materials such as carbon nanotubes and activated carbon.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the capabilities of carboxyl-functionalised graphene oxide (COOH-GO) sorbent materials for selectively removing uranium from aqueous solution. The distribution coefficient is considerably higher than that observed for graphene oxide (GO) and graphite, with a value of $3.72 \pm 0.19 \times 10^3$ mL g⁻¹ under optimal pH conditions. Moreover, COOH-GO has a higher sorption capacity for U ($Q_{\max} = 169.20$ mg g⁻¹) and shows a greater selectivity towards U with $65.9 \pm 2.7\%$ retained in the presence of competing ions in comparison to the $38.9 \pm 1.2\%$ observed for GO. These enhanced values are likely due to the effect of the presence of selective surface groups, such as, carboxyls. Surface functionalisation analysis of the sorbent materials was performed by FTIR, Raman, TGA and MB colourimetric techniques. The results collected confirmed that sample preparation via the modified Hummers method and chloroacetic acid/NaOH treatment lead to a greater abundance of COOH surface groups being present on GO and COOH-GO.

Future work will involve the completion of reusability studies in real sample matrices and desorption studies to test the efficiency of COOH-GO. It is believed these additional studies will prove to be beneficial in further demonstrating the suitability of this sorbent material for selective actinide removal from aqueous solutions.

Acknowledgements HM acknowledges financial support in the form of an iCASE studentship from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) awarded to the National Physical Laboratory and the University of Surrey. PHR acknowledges partial support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC, grant ST/L005743/1) and the National Measurement Office.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Holden NE (1981) The uranium half-lives: a critical review. Brookhaven National Laboratory Report, BNL-NCS-51320
- Rosenberg E, Pinson G, Tsosie R (2016) Uranium remediation by ion exchange and sorption methods: a critical review. *Johnson Matthey Technol Rev* 60:59–77
- Bhalara PD, Punetha D, Balasubramanian K (2014) A review of potential remediation techniques for uranium(VI) ion retrieval from contaminated aqueous environment. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2:1621–1634
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2011) Guidance on the scope of and exemptions from the radioactive substances legislation in the UK (England and Wales)
- Davies P, Walton S, Ashley S, Tzalenchuk A, Williams C, Wiper P (2017) The potential applications of graphene (and related compounds) relevant to the NDA's decommissioning mission, NS4145-500-005, Issue 3
- Fesenko S, Jacob P, Ulanovsky A, Chupov A, Bogdevich I, Sanzharova N, Kaskparov V, Panov A, Zhuchenka Y (2013) Justification of remediation strategies in the long term after the Chernobyl accident. *J Environ Radioact* 119:39–47
- Maiti TC, Kaye JH, Kozelisky AE (1992) Sequential separation of Pu, Np, U and Am from highly radioactive Handford waste by ion-exchange methods. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem* 161:533–540
- Duff MC, Coughlin JU, Hunter DB (2002) Uranium co-precipitation with iron oxide minerals. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 66:3533–3547
- Kumar JR, Kim J-S, Lee J-Y, Yoon H-S (2011) A brief review on solvent extraction of uranium from acidic solutions. *Sep Purif Rev* 40:77–125
- Choppin GR, Morgenstern A (2000) Radionuclide separations in radioactive waste disposal. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem* 243:45
- Katsoyiannis IA, Zouboulis AI (2013) Removal of uranium from contaminated drinking water: a mini review of available treatment methods. *Desalin Water Treat* 51:2915–2925
- Yu S, Wang X, Tan X, Wang X (2015) Sorption of radionuclides from aqueous systems onto graphene oxide-based materials: a review. *Inorg Chem Front* 2:593–612
- Romanchuk AY, Slesarev AS, Kalmykov SN, Kosynkin DV, Tour JM (2013) Graphene oxide for effective radionuclide removal. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 15:2321–2327
- Wang S, Li L, Zhang X, Qiu J, Weeks BL (2013) Reduced graphene oxide-linked stacked polymer forests for high energy-density supercapacitor. *Nano Energy* 2:628–635
- Lonkar SP, Deshmukh YS, Abdala AA (2015) Recent advances in chemical modifications of graphene. *Nano Res* 8:1039–1074
- Wu Q-Y, Lan J-H, Wang C-Z, Xiao C-L, Zhao Y-L, Wei Y-Z, Chai Z-F, Shi W-Q (2014) Understanding the bonding nature of uranyl ion and functionalized graphene: a theoretical study. *J Phys Chem A* 118:2149–2158
- Li Z, Chen F, Yuan L, Liu Y, Zhao Y, Chai Z, Shi W (2012) Uranium(VI) adsorption on graphene oxide nanosheets from aqueous solutions. *Chem Eng J* 210:539–546
- Wang F, Li H, Liu Q, Li Z, Li R, Zhang H, Liu L, Emelchenko GA, Wang J (2016) A graphene oxide/amidoxime hydrogel for enhanced uranium capture. *Sci Rep* 6:19367
- Hummers WS, Offeman RE (1958) Preparation of graphitic oxide. *J Am Chem Soc* 80:1339
- Jasim DA, Lozano N, Kostarelos K (2016) Synthesis of few-layered, high-purity graphene oxide sheets from different graphite sources for biology. *2D Mater* 3:014006
- Li D, Müller MB, Gilje S, Kaner RB, Wallace GG (2008) Processable aqueous dispersions of graphene nanosheets. *Nat Nano* 3:101–105
- Yu S, Liu J, Zhu W, Hu Z-T, Lim T-T, Yan X (2015) Facile room-temperature synthesis of carboxylated graphene oxide-copper sulfide nanocomposite with high photodegradation and disinfection activities under solar light irradiation. *Sci Rep* 5:16369
- Sun X, Liu Z, Welsher K, Robinson JT, Goodwin A, Zaric S, Dai H (2008) Nano-graphene oxide for cellular imaging and drug delivery. *Nano Res* 1:203–212
- Imani R, Emami SH, Faghihi S (2015) Nano-graphene oxide carboxylation for efficient bioconjugation applications: a quantitative optimization approach. *J Nanopart Res* 17:88
- Nguyen KC (2012) Quantitative analysis of COOH-terminated alkanethiol SAMs on gold nanoparticle surfaces. *Adv Nat Sci Nanosci Nanotechnol* 3:04500
- Russell BC, Croudace IW, Warwick PE (2015) Determination of ^{133}Cs and ^{137}Cs in environmental samples: a review. *Anal Chim Acta* 890:7–20
- Marcano DC, Kosynkin DV, Berlin MJ, Sinitskii A, Sun Z, Slesarev A, Alemany LB, Lu W, Tour JM (2010) Improved synthesis of graphene oxide. *ACS Nano* 4:4806–4814
- Dreyer DR, Park S, Bielawski CW, Ruoff RS (2010) The chemistry of graphene oxide. *Chem Soc Rev* 39:228–240
- Ferrari AC, Basko DM (2013) Raman spectroscopy as a versatile tool for studying the properties of graphene. *Nat Nano* 8:235–246
- Bokobza L, Bruneel J-L, Couzi M (2014) Raman spectroscopy as a tool for the analysis of carbon-based materials (highly oriented pyrolytic graphite, multilayer graphene and multiwall carbon nanotubes) and of some of their elastomeric composites. *Vib Spectrosc* 74:57–63
- Wang G, Yang J, Park J, Gou X, Wang B, Liu H, Yao J (2008) Facile synthesis and characterization of graphene nanosheets. *J Phys Chem C* 112:8192–8195
- Cançado LG, Jorio A, Ferreira EHM, Stavale F, Achete CA, Capaz RB, Moutinho MVO, Lombardo A, Kulmala T, Ferrari AC (2011) Quantifying defects in graphene via Raman spectroscopy at different excitation energies. *Nano Lett* 11:3190–3196
- Ni Z, Wang Y, Yu T, Shen Z (2008) Raman spectroscopy and imaging of graphene. *Nano Res* 1:273–291

34. Jiang W, Nadeau G, Zaghib K, Kinoshita K (2000) Thermal analysis of the oxidation of natural graphite—effect of particle size. *Thermochim Acta* 351:85–93
35. Oh YJ, Yoo JJ, Kim YI, Yoon JK, Yoon HN, Kim JH, Park SB (2014) Oxygen functional groups and electrochemical capacitive behavior of incompletely reduced graphene oxides as a thin-film electrode of supercapacitor. *Electrochim Acta* 116:118–128
36. El-Khodary S, El-Enany G, El-Okr M, Ibrahim M (2014) Preparation and characterization of microwave reduced graphite oxide for high-performance supercapacitors. *Electrochim Acta* 150:269–278
37. Li J, Liu D, Li B, Wang J, Han S, Liu L, Wei H (2014) A bio-inspired nacre-like layered hybrid structure of calcium carbonate under the control of carboxyl graphene. *Cryst Eng Comm* 17:520–525
38. Xie Y, Helvenston EM, Shuller-Nickles LC, Powell BA (2016) Surface complexation modeling of Eu(III) and U(VI) interactions with graphene oxide. *Environ Sci Technol* 50:1821–1827
39. Sun Y, Yang S, Chen Y, Ding C, Cheng W, Wang X (2015) Adsorption and desorption of U(VI) on functionalized graphene oxides: a combined experimental and theoretical study. *Environ Sci Technol* 49:4255–4262
40. Ding Z, Ma H, Chen Y (2014) Interaction of graphene oxide with human serum albumin and its mechanism. *RSC Adv* 4:55290–55295
41. Ivanov P, Griffiths T, Bryan ND, Bozhikov G, Dmitriev S (2012) The effect of humic acid on uranyl sorption onto bentonite at trace uranium levels. *J Environ Monit* 14:2968–2975
42. Wang Y, Liu X, Huang Y, Hayat T, Alsaedi A, Li J (2017) Interaction mechanisms of U(VI) and graphene oxide from the perspective of particle size distribution. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem* 311:209–217
43. Ladeira A, Renato Gonçalves C (2007) Influence of anionic species on uranium separation from acid mine water using strong base resins. *J Hazard Mater* 148:499–504
44. Franczyk TS, Czerwinski KR, Raymond KN (1992) Stereog-nostic coordination chemistry. 1. The design and synthesis of chelators for the uranyl ion. *J Am Chem Soc* 114:8138–8146
45. Li F, Yang Z, Weng H, Chen G, Lin M, Zhao C (2018) High efficient separation of U(VI) and Th(IV) from rare earth elements in strong acidic solution by selective sorption on phenanthroline diamide functionalized graphene oxide. *Chem Eng J* 332:340–350
46. Pan N, Li L, Ding J, Li S, Wang R, Jin Y, Wang X, Xia C (2016) Preparation of graphene oxide-manganese dioxide for highly efficient adsorption and separation of Th(IV)/U(VI). *J Hazard Mater* 309:107–115
47. Liu S, Li S, Zhang H, Wu L, Sun L, Ma J (2016) Removal of uranium (VI) from aqueous solution using graphene oxide and its amine-functionalized composite. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem* 309:607–614
48. Song W, Shao D, Lu S, Wang X (2014) Simultaneous removal of uranium and humic acid by cyclodextrin modified graphene oxide nanosheets. *Sci China Chem* 57:1291–1299
49. Fafous II, Dawoud JN (2012) Uranium (VI) sorption by multi-walled carbon nanotubes from aqueous solution. *Appl Surf Sci* 259:433–440
50. Mellah A, Chegrouche S, Barkat M (2016) The removal of uranium(VI) from aqueous solutions onto activated carbon: kinetic and thermodynamic investigations. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 296:434–441
51. Fan FL, Qin Z, Bai J, Rong W-D, Fan F-Y, Tian W, Wu X-L, Wang Y, Zhao L (2012) Rapid removal of uranium from aqueous solutions using magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ composite particles. *J Environ Radioact* 106:40–46