

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26090-5

OPEN



Selectivity descriptors for the direct hydrogenation of CO₂ to hydrocarbons during zeolite-mediated bifunctional catalysis

Adrian Ramirez \circ ^{1,4}, Xuan Gong^{2,4}, Mustafa Caglayan \circ ¹, Stefan-Adrian F. Nastase \circ ¹, Edy Abou-Hamad³, Lieven Gevers¹, Luigi Cavallo \circ ¹, Abhishek Dutta Chowdhury \circ ² & Jorge Gascon \circ ¹

Cascade processes are gaining momentum in heterogeneous catalysis. The combination of several catalytic solids within one reactor has shown great promise for the one-step valorization of C1-feedstocks. The combination of metal-based catalysts and zeolites in the gas phase hydrogenation of CO_2 leads to a large degree of product selectivity control, defined mainly by zeolites. However, a great deal of mechanistic understanding remains unclear: metal-based catalysts usually lead to complex product compositions that may result in unexpected zeolite reactivity. Here we present an in-depth multivariate analysis of the chemistry involved in eight different zeolite topologies when combined with a highly active Fe-based catalyst in the hydrogenation of CO_2 to olefins, aromatics, and paraffins. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy and computational analysis demonstrate that the hybrid nature of the active zeolite catalyst and its preferred CO_2 -derived reaction intermediates (CO/ester/ketone/hydrocarbons, i.e., inorganic-organic supramolecular reactive centers), along with 10 MR-zeolite topology, act as *descriptors* governing the ultimate product selectivity.

¹KAUST Catalysis Center (KCC), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia. ² The Institute for Advanced Studies (IAS), Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072 Hubei, P. R. China. ³ Imaging and Characterization Department, KAUST Core Labs, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Adrian Ramirez, Xuan Gong. ¹⁴ email: abhishek@whu.edu.cn; jorge.gascon@kaust.edu.sa

arbon capture and utilization (CCU) is critical to mitigating global warming^{1,2}. The success of the CCU approach relies heavily upon the amount of CO₂ that can be stored in the final products³. In this spirit, the transformation of CO₂ to high-value (C₂₊) hydrocarbons³, using green hydrogen and renewable electricity^{4,5}, has become a worldwide research priority (Fig. 1)^{4–12}. By producing carbon-rich, high volumetric energy density hydrocarbons, the CCU strategy would allow us to severely reduce CO₂ emissions and close the carbon cycle³.

In this context, thermally catalyzed approaches that rely on bi/multifunctional catalysts (comprising a "redox" metallic catalyst and an "acidic" zeolite) have gained a great deal of attention over the last few years^{6,7,12}. The main advantage of such a cascade approach resides in the scope of products: standalone metal catalysts for the hydrogenation of CO₂ produce either C1 products at relatively low conversions per pass (e.g., thermodynamically limited methanol synthesis) or lowvalue C1 products (i.e., methane) or olefinic/paraffinic hydrocarbon mixtures,^{11–15} which require further processing and are usually accompanied by undesired products (i.e., CO/CH_4)^{3,6}. These complex mixtures may, however, be transformed into more interesting products (such as aromatics) upon the addition of a second catalyst, opening the door to direct synthesis of petrochemicals not accessible through standalone metal catalysis¹⁶.

Two main approaches are followed in cascade systems for the hydrogenation of CO_2 : the transformation of CO_2 (i) via reverse water gas shift (RWGS: $CO_2 + H_2 \rightleftharpoons CO + H_2O$) plus Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) on the metal catalysis, followed by oligomerization/cracking/aromatization reactions on the zeolitic component¹⁷⁻²¹, and (ii) using a methanol synthesis catalyst $(CO_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O)^{22-26}$, in combination with the classical methanol-to-hydrocarbon (MTH)²⁷ reaction over the zeolite framework. An important issue related to cascade systems is the high selectivity of undesired CO (often more than half of the total products when the second approach based on methanol is followed). This leads to researchers unfairly excluding CO selectivity data and, thus, portrays an unrealistic catalytic profile⁶. To address this issue, we have recently developed a unique catalyst combination comprising potassium superoxide-doped iron oxide (Fe₂O₃@KO₂) and an acidic zeolite, which results in low selectivities for undesired CO/ $CH_4^{14,17-19}$. The catalytic profile of our standalone $Fe_2O_3@KO_2$ catalyst was in the order of commercial FTS materials¹⁴, where the ultimate selectivity could further be tuned toward desired hydrocarbons (olefins/aromatics) through variation of the zeolite components only^{17–19}. However, to arrive at further performance improvements, it is necessary to better understand the chemistry at play, especially on the zeolite components whose interplay in the overall cascade reaction mechanism is barely touched on the state of the art¹².

To broaden the scope and establish a new state of the art for this CCU approach (Fig. 1), we have studied eight different zeolites (ZSM-5, MOR, SAPO-34, ZSM-22, FER, BETA, ZSM-58, and Y) in combination with the Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyst. We find that the different zeolite topologies can be classified into four distinct groups in terms of selectivity: (i) light olefins (MOR, SAPO-34, ZSM-58, BETA, Y), (ii) paraffins (FER), (iii) long (olefinic) hydrocarbons (ZSM-22), and (iv) aromatics (ZMS-5)³. To trace the origin of such selectivity differences and to unravel complex reaction mechanisms, in-depth advanced magic angle spinning (MAS) solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ssNMR) spectroscopy has been performed on the postreacted zeolite materials. Furthermore, using fully ¹³C isotope-enriched CO₂/CO in the reactant feed increased the sensitivity by >99% (cf. ¹³C-natural abundance: ~1.1%), which allowed us to perform multidimensional ssNMR experiments to decode the structure of zeolite-trapped organics and gain insight into the reactivity of the different frameworks²⁸⁻³¹. To support our ssNMR and catalytic experiments, computational calculations were performed aiming at a finer understanding regarding the stability and involvement in the reaction cycle of the organic-carbonylated species in the zeolite phase. According to our results, selectivity patterns are primarily driven by the extent of consumption of RWGS-derived CO over the zeolite and the formation of carbonylated species (specifically ketenes and its derived ester or (di-)ketone), along with typical hydrocarbons (olefinic/aromatics/paraffins). In a similar fashion as in the MTH process^{27,31–33}, we validate that the in situ formed hybrid inorganic-organic material or supramolecular reactive centers^{34–36}, composed by the inorganic zeolite acid sites and surrounding lattice and its trapped organic compounds -hydrocarbon pool (HCP), is the active catalyst and that reactivity is finally defined by both the zeolite framework topologies and the trapped organics.



Fig. 1 The big picture. Exploring the mitigating potential of carbon capture and utilization (CCU) on climate change, through decoupling chemical production from fossil resources. The potential success of this CCU-based approach heavily relies upon the amount of CO_2 stored in the final products. Herein this work, through the production of carbon-rich high volumetric energy density (C_{2+}) chemicals (olefins, aromatics, and paraffins) from CO_2 , our CCU strategy would allow us to "bend the curve" of global CO_2 emission from "cradle to gate" in the chemical industry, and thus, to take the right step toward a closed-loop anthropogenic carbon cycle.

Results

To illuminate the effect of zeolite phase on the overall reaction process, it is mandatory to alter the zeolite alone from the Fe₂O₃@KO₂/zeolite-based material, without changing the standalone metal catalyst (see Supplementary Methods and Discussion). An in-depth characterization of standalone Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyst has been earlier reported by us^{14,17-19}, while we refer to Supplementary Information for the additional characterization data on the metallic phase (on both fresh and spent Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalysts) by using Raman (micro)spectroscopy and air-protected capillary single-crystal X-ray diffraction (cf. Supplementary Discussion) as well as the fundamental characterization of zeolites (see Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2, and Supplementary Discussion). These frameworks were selected based on their topological features: four 3D pore networks (ZSM-5, SAPO-34, BETA, and Y), three 2D structures (MOR, FER, and ZSM-58), and one 1D pore (ZSM-22) systems.

Catalysis data. The multifunctional Fe₂O₃@KO₂/zeolite system was assembled by combining the metallic part with zeolites in a dualbed configuration with a mass ratio of 1:1 (Fig. 2, also see Supplementary Methods for experimental details). Under the studied conditions (30 bar, 375 °C, $H_2/CO_2 = 3$, and 10,000 mL $\cdot g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$), the standalone iron catalyst (first column in the left, Fig. 2a) led to a CO₂ conversion of 47% with a selectivity toward light olefins, (C_2-C_9) paraffin, long-chain $(C_5=-C_9=)$ olefin, larger hydrocarbons, and total CO selectivity of 38%, 9%, 30%, 6%, and 17%, respectively¹⁴. When a zeolite is combined with the metallic catalyst, CO selectivity is reduced, reaching its minimum value with BETA zeolite. CO₂ conversion remained unchanged in all cases, showing that, unlike CO, none of these zeolites can activate CO₂^{17,19}. Hydrocarbon distributions in Fig. 2a further illustrate that the light olefin fraction (yellow bars) was slightly increased in most zeolites (MOR, SAPO, ZSM-58, BEA, Y), while ZSM-22, FER, and ZSM-5 enhanced the formation of longer olefins (green bars), paraffins (blue bar), and aromatics (purple bar), respectively. To further emphasize the influence of the zeolite on hydrocarbon distributions, Fig. 2b highlights the selectivity changes on each hydrocarbon components for each zeolite (with respect to the standalone Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyst). The consumption of CO upon the introduction of zeolite could easily be rationalized, as CO selectivity (red bars) is decreased ca. 15%, which indicates the (co-)existence of multiple carbonylated species as reactive intermediates. Similarly, the lighter olefins selectivity is increased by ~15% for most zeolites (Fig. 2b). Surprisingly, only 10 MR zeolites did not follow this trend: ZSM-22, FER, and ZSM-5. Among them, ZSM-22 consumed CO and lighter olefins to yield higher olefins and paraffins, clearly advocating for C-C coupling reactions. Similarly, FER converted light/heavy olefins into paraffins (~128% increase), while ZSM-5 transformed both CO and olefins into aromatics and paraffins.

Given these results, the different zeolites can be classified into four distinct groups: those that incorporate CO to form (i) light olefins (MOR/SAPO-34/ZSM-58/BETA/Y), (ii) long (olefinic) hydrocarbons (ZSM-22), and those where hydrogen transfer is dominant and results in the formation of (iii) paraffins (FER) or (iv) aromatics (ZMS-5). As a representative of these four groups, we have selected MOR, ZSM-22, FER, and ZSM-5 for further mechanistic investigations. Figure 3 displays a detailed hydrocarbon distribution along with a direct comparison to the standalone $Fe_2O_3@KO_2$ catalyst.

Solid-state NMR spectroscopy. Next, ssNMR spectroscopy has been performed on the postreacted zeolite materials after 2 days under reaction conditions (30 bar, $375 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, $H_2/CO_2 = 3$) using ^{13}C

isotope-enriched CO₂ (see Figs. 4 and 5, Supplementary Figs. 3-17, and additional Supplementary Discussion on ssNMR). It is worth highlighting that experiments using ${}^{13}CO_2$ in the literature rely on atmospheric pressure that are far from real operational conditions. A complementary set of ssNMR magnetization transfer techniques has been employed to elucidate the molecular structure of the zeolite-trapped organic species based on their mobility. Strategically, by applying either "scalar" through-bond (cf. ¹H-¹³C insensitive nuclei enhanced by polarization transfer, INEPT)³⁷ or "dipolar" through-space (cf. ¹H-¹³C cross polarization, CP)³⁸ magnetization transfer schemes, both mobile (i.e., species with fast tumbling/rotation around the C-C axis or locally mobile groups) and rigid/limited-mobile (i.e., species physisorbed in/on the zeolite) organics have been distinguished, respectively^{28–31}. Furthermore, direct excitation (DE) has also been applied to detect all chemical species, including species exhibiting intermediate dynamics. This strategy, although conceptualized to spectrally resolve biomolecules with a high or restricted mobility³⁹, has recently been successfully applied to elucidate accurate reaction mechanisms in zeolite catalysis²⁸⁻³¹. In the 1D ¹H-¹³C CP, ¹H-¹³C INEPT, and ¹³C DE ssNMR spectra of the postreacted zeolites (see Supplementary Fig. 3), the following three features were primarily observed: (i) 5-40 ppm aliphatic, (ii) 110-150 ppm (methylated)aromatic/olefinic, and (iii) 180–220 ppm carbonyl moieties^{28–31,40,41}. Upon adopting different magnetization transfer schemes, the nonidentical intensity profile on different samples signifies the influence of "mobility-dependent" host-guest chemistry.

ssNMR experiments targeted to mobile species revealed the existence of paraffins and carbonylated moieties but the absence of unsaturated "C=C bonds" typically found in aromatics and olefins (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figs. 6-11). Aliphatic regions are overwhelmed with the presence of methyl (-CH₃), methylene (-CH₂-), methine (>CH-), and quaternary carbon (>C<) groups, indicative of both linear and branched paraffin-based species (Fig. 4). For example, in ¹³C-¹H correlation spectrum in ZSM-5 (Fig. 4a, b), ethane (Δ : 8.0 (¹³C)/~1.02 (¹H) ppm) and isobutane (•: 26.1 (¹³C)/~1.43(¹H) ppm), typical hydrogen transfer products from shorter olefins, were readily distinguishable^{30,31}. ¹H resonances of these species are again relatively broader than usual, advocating for the heterogeneity of the local environment within zeolite, i.e., a species resides in a different local environment²⁹. While combining ¹³C-¹³C and ¹³C-¹H correlation experiments in ZSM-22 (Fig. 4a, b), additionally, n-butane could be identified as well (O: 24.1 (^{13}C)/2.63(^{1}H) ppm \leftrightarrow 14.5 (¹³C)/1.02 (¹H) ppm). Quaternary carbon groups in ¹³C-¹³C DE experiments (35-45 ppm, Fig. 4a), which do not have any corresponding ¹H resonances (Fig. 4b, d), could not be assigned to any particular structure due to their substituent's spectral crowding. In MOR, at least C4-butane could be identified (O: 24.4 $(^{13}C)/\sim 1.38$ (¹H) ppm \leftrightarrow 14.3 (¹³C)/1.55 (¹H) ppm), where the broader scalar-based ¹H-¹³C correlations imply rigidity of MORtrapped species (Fig. 4c, d). In FER, a cross peak between 26.1 (¹³C)/1.02 (¹H) ppm and 30.3 (¹³C)/2.27 (¹H) ppm in ¹³C-¹³C correlations highlights the presence of (>C₄)-long-chain alkanes (: Fig. 4b, c). Next, in the carbonyl region, a diverse set of resonances have been detected (excluding MOR, see Fig. 4e and Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13). In FER, two organic carbonyl signals at 176.6 and 211.9 ppm exhibited cross peaks with a ¹³C-methyl signal at 19.2 and 29.8 ppm, respectively, which we attributed to acetate group on zeolite Brønsted acid site (BAS) (O: -Si-O(COCH₃)-Al) and acetone (Ω), respectively (Fig. 4e, f)^{28,31,40-43}. The zeolite acetate has also been detected on ZSM-22 (O: 180.2/18.8 ppm, Fig. 4e). An interesting common carbonyl-based cross peak in both ZSM-5 (d: 197.5/23.05 ppm)



Fig. 2 Catalytic performance of the Fe₂O₃@KO₂/zeolite bifunctional material catalyzed hydrogenation of CO₂ process. a Comparison of catalytic data using different zeolites. b Detailed illustration of the (net) selectivity increase (or decrease) of individual hydrocarbon groups upon introducing zeolites with respect to the standalone Fe/K catalyst. Reaction condition: 30 bar, 375 °C, $H_2/CO_2 = 3$, and 10,000 mL $\cdot g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ at a time on stream of 48 h.

and ZSM-22 (∂ : 198.9/24.12 ppm) ascribed to diacetyl (CH₃CO-COCH₃, Fig. 4e, f). The simultaneous existence of acetone, acetate, and diacetyl groups strongly suggests the involvement of ketene (CH₂CO) as an active reaction intermediate (vide infra, see Supplementary Fig. 24)^{16,31,44–48}.

While probing rigid molecules (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5), unsaturated (olefins/aromatics) and (branched) saturated hydrocarbons were predominantly distinguishable (i.e., hydrogen-transferred species). Expectedly, paraffins particularly dominated in zeolites ZSM-5 and ZSM-22, where the presence of tetramethylethane (O: 32.5 (13 C)/2.25 (1 H) ppm \leftrightarrow 23.9 (13 C)/1.37 (1 H) ppm, Fig. 5a, b) could be identified^{30,31}. Although a few other correlations (\diamond : 36.5 (13 C)/ $^{2-3}$ (1 H) ppm, Fig. 5a, b), unfortunately, could not be unambiguously assigned to any particular structure, this correlation still confirms the existence of branched alkylated paraffinic backbones. Next, methylated

olefins/aromatics were identified in all zeolites (except FER). For example, one methyl resonance at 19.0 ppm (¹³C)/2.25 ppm (¹H) showed direct cross peaks with at least two ${}^{13}C_{sp2}$ resonances, e.g., 128.6 ([]) ppm in ZSM-5 and 119.4 (•) ppm in MOR (Fig. 5b, c), where both were further correlated to multiple other carbons in the ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ correlation spectra (e.g., \Box : 128.6↔139.1↔146.1 ppm, ■: 119.4↔112.2↔145.9 ppm)²⁹. This is a typical signature of methylated (poly)aromatics and long-chain olefins. Interestingly, the overall line width of the ¹³C-¹H HETCOR spectra is exceptionally broad (Fig. 5e), which also sometimes led to more than one peak for the same resonance, implying local heterogeneity²⁹. However, FER-trapped species are not quite well resolved in CP-based experiments due to their peculiar high mobility features. Hence, the extent of trapped sp² hydrocarbons is seemingly negligible in FER, but more in MOR, consistent with the previous observation in scalar-based measurements. Another striking feature is the presence of methanol (x:



Fig. 3 Detailed hydrocarbon distribution for selected zeolite frameworks in a dual-bed configuration during Fe₂O₃@KO₂/zeolite bifunctional material catalyzed hydrogenation of CO₂ process. a $Fe_2O_3@KO_2/ZSM-22$. b $Fe_2O_3@KO_2/FER$. c $Fe_2O_3@KO_2/MOR$. d $Fe_2O_3@KO_2/ZSM-5$. Reaction condition: 30 bar, 375 °C, $H_2/CO_2 = 3$, and 10,000 mL \cdot g⁻¹ \cdot h⁻¹ at a time on stream of 48 h.

52.1 (¹³C)/3.24 (¹H) ppm) and dimethyl ether (DME, Δ : 63.9 (¹³C)/4.65 (¹H) ppm) in ZSM-5 (Fig. 5f)^{28,40,49}.

Control catalytic experiments and related solid-state NMR spectroscopy. To unravel the influence of RWGS-derived CO during the reaction, we performed additional control experiments with (i) ${}^{12}C_2H_4$ and (ii) ${}^{13}CO + {}^{12}C_2H_4$ under our usual "hydrogen-rich" reaction conditions (Fig. 6) on the standalone zeolites only: MOR, ZSM-22, FER, and ZSM-518,19. In both control experiments (Fig. 6a, b), ZSM-5 fully converted ethylene, whereas MOR/ZSM-22 delivered the lowest conversion. Herein, the purpose of adding ethylene in the reactant feed is to initiate the formation of HCP-based reaction centers within the zeolite pores in the absence of a metallic catalyst²⁷. In the absence of ¹³CO, oligomerization to C_{5+} hydrocarbons and paraffins (green and blue bar in Fig. 6a) is expectedly predominant on all zeolites, while MOR selectively promoted the formation of paraffins with a negligible amount of olefins (Supplementary Fig. 14). Contrary, in the presence of both ¹³CO and ethylene, MOR showed the highest ¹³CO conversion (~4%), while both ZSM-5 and ZSM-22 gave the lowest CO conversion (~1%). The hydrocarbon distribution is consistent with the original reaction results (see Figs. 2, 3, and 6b), which implies that olefins indeed could be derived from CO.

Herein, MOR enhanced the formation of light olefins (yellow bars) at the expense of consuming CO and ZSM-22 improved the formation of heavy olefins (green bars). Similarly, FER and ZSM-5 promoted the formation of paraffins (blue bars) and aromatics (purple bars), respectively. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that zeolites alone can consume CO to control/alter the product selectivity (cf. without any metallic catalyst), through HCP-based mechanisms²⁷. Hence, CO could be considered as an initiator in the current study^{16,47}. Next, 1D ssNMR spectroscopy also revealed similar characteristics (Supplementary Fig. 15), where,

except FER, other DE experiments are significantly broad (Fig. 6c). In this control experiment, the ¹³C-enrichment has selectively been employed on ¹³CO only (not ethylene), and hence, the observed ¹³C signals in 1D DE ssNMR undoubtedly confirms the direct CO incorporation on zeolites. In FER, ¹³CO converted selectively to mobile species, which allowed us to perform 2D correlations experiments (Supplementary Figs. 16 and 17). In Fig. 6d, a carbonyl signal at 177.5 ppm has two clear cross peaks with ¹³C signals at 50.4 and 19.7 ppm, which have corresponding ¹H resonances at 3.36 (–OCH₃) and 2.15 ppm (–CH₃), respectively. This spectral pattern is typical for methyl acetate, which again unequivocally supports ketene-based reactive intermediate during the reaction^{16,31,44–47,50}.

Overall reaction process. To "connect the dots," based on the aforementioned results, a $Fe_2O_3@KO_2/zeolite-mediated reaction pathway is proposed in Figs. 7 and 8.$

Initially, the iron phase of Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyzes RWGS reaction to produce CO from the reactant feed $(CO_2 + H_2)$ (Fig. 7a)^{14,17–19,21}. Herein, the role of KO_2 is to enhance the adsorption and activation of CO₂ (Fig. 7b), as we have previously evaluated¹⁴. Upon exposure to our reactant feed, KO₂ was transformed to (well-characterized) potassium carbonate phase¹⁴, facilitating the FTS process via a tandem mechanism. In addition, Raman (micro)spectroscopy and air-protected capillary singlecrystal X-ray diffraction studies were performed on both fresh and spent Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalysts (Supplementary Figs. 18-20; also see Supplementary Discussion), which revealed that the fresh metallic catalyst was constituted by y-Fe2O3 (maghemite) and potassium carbonate phases, while the spent catalyst was a complex mixture of χ -Fe₅C₂ (the active FTS phase of the catalyst), y-Fe₂O₃, and numerous K-based inorganic carbonyl salts, including potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃), potassium bicarbonate (KHCO₃), and potassium formate (KOOCH). Furthermore,



Fig. 4 Identification of postreacted zeolite-trapped mobile molecules by 2D MAS solid-state NMR spectroscopy. ¹³C-¹³C correlations in the aliphatic regions on postreacted zeolites **a** ZSM-5 and ZSM-22 as well as **c** MOR and FER. **b** ¹H-¹³C INEPT HETCOR and **d** ¹³C-¹H HSQC spectra of the aliphatic region from all four postreacted zeolites. **e** ¹³C-¹³C correlations in the carbonyl regions on postreacted zeolites FER, ZSM-5, and ZSM-22, highlighting the presence of ester and ketones (*: spinning sidebands on ZSM-5). See Supplementary Fig. 12 for the ssNMR spectra of these chemisorbed carbonylated species to verify the respective assignments. **f** Identified molecular scaffolds. To probe mobile ¹³C-¹H correlations, "through-bond" scalar magnetization transfer was used to polarize the carbons (in **b** and **d**), whereas in the ¹³C-¹³C correlation spectra, the carbons were polarized through direct excitation, and ¹³C-¹³C mixing was achieved through proton-driven spin diffusion (PDSD) (in **a**, **c**, and **e**). Spectra of trapped products obtained on respective postreacted zeolites after the hydrogenation of fully isotope-enriched ¹³CO₂ in the reactant feed (¹³CO₂ at 30 bar, 375 °C, H₂/¹³CO₂ = 3, and 10,000 mL · g⁻¹ · h⁻¹ at a time on stream of 48 h). The respective full-range spectra, as well as experimental details, are included in Supplementary Information (MAS magic angle spinning, HETCOR HETeronuclear CORrelation spectroscopy, INEPT insensitive nuclei enhanced by polarization transfer, HSQC heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy).



Fig. 5 Identification of postreacted zeolite-trapped rigid molecules by 2D MAS solid-state NMR spectra. a ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ and b ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ correlations in the aliphatic regions on postreacted zeolites ZSM-5 and ZSM-22. The identification of methylated aromatic/olefinic species via **c** and **d** ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ correlations in zeolites ZSM-5, ZSM-22, and MOR. **f** ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ correlations highlighting methoxy region only, confirming the presence of methanol/DME. Identified molecular scaffolds were represented in symbols (MAS magic angle spinning). Herein, for the ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ correlation spectra (in **b**, **e**, and **f**) "through-space" dipolar cross polarization was used to polarize the carbons, whereas in the ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ correlation spectra, the carbons were polarized either through cross polarization (in **a**) or direct excitation (in **c** and **d**) and ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ mixing was achieved through proton-driven spin diffusion. Spectra of trapped products obtained on respective postreacted zeolites after the hydrogenation of fully isotope-enriched ${}^{13}CO_2$ in the reactant feed (${}^{13}CO_2$ at 30 bar, 375 °C, H₂/ ${}^{13}CO_2 = 3$, and 10,000 mL ${}^{-1}C{}^{-1}$ at a time on stream of 48 h). Respective full-range spectra and experimental details are included in Supplementary Information.

based on the aforementioned analysis, the interconversion of K₂CO₃ and KHCO₃/KOOCH under our reaction conditions was demonstrated to lead to methanol/DME under hydrogenation conditions (Figs. 5f and 7b)⁵¹. Therefore, methanol could be produced during the hydrogenation of inorganic carbonates over Fe₂O₃@KO₂^{10,21,51} and/or as a part of CO-insertion mechanism (Fig. 7c, d) (vide infra). Moreover, alcohols have long been hypothesized to be chain initiators during the Fe mediated FTS process, based on ¹⁴C-radiotracer experiments^{52,53}. On this note, the absence of methanol in controlled experiments further confirms the hypothesis that methanol indeed was formed on Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyst under FTS condition (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 17). During FTS-based chain initiation over the iron catalyst, the activation of CO could happen either via dissociative/ carbide pathway (i.e., Biloen-Sachtler mechanism)^{53,54} and nondissociative/CO-insertion pathway (i.e., Pichler-Schulz mechanism) (Fig. 7c)^{53,55}. Among them, the carbide pathway is the most accepted mechanism to date, while in this work, we have provided significant evidence supporting the CO-insertion pathway as well⁵³. Similarly, both carbide and CO-insertion mechanisms were operational during the chain growth to produce FTS products (Fig. 7d). Since the dual-bed system is implemented, the entire gas stream (CO, methanol, and typical FTS-derived hydrocarbons) has been passed to the zeolite phase from the metallic phase. Interestingly, it should be emphasized again that organic-carbonylated species were identified exclusively on the

zeolite phase (i.e., lower-catalyst bed; see Fig. 4, Supplementary Discussion, and Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13), whereas K-based inorganic carbonylated salts were detected only on the metallic phase (i.e., upper-catalyst bed; Supplementary Figs. 12, 13, and 18–20). Upon developing a carbonylative environment, a part of FTS hydrocarbons converted to zeolite acetate/methyl acetate, diacetyl, and acetone (with ketene being a potential reactive intermediate^{16,28,31,44–47,56}) (Supplementary Fig. 24 and Fig. 8 below). Next, the formation of shorter olefins was initiated by the FTS process, which is further improved by MOR via additional consumption of RWGS-derived CO.

Next, the zeolite phase governs the ultimate product selectivity during the reaction, as was emphasized throughout the manuscript. In the HCP route, shorter olefins oligomerized in ZSM-22 to produce longer (olefinic) hydrocarbons, while hydrogen transfer reactions led to paraffins and aromatics in FER and ZSM-5, respectively. In addition, in ZSM-5, relatively wider straight channels endorse the cyclization of oligomerized compounds and subsequent formation of aromatics, which is nonattainable on FER or ZSM- $22^{27,30,57}$. Due to the molecular size of zeolite pores and the numerous topologies available, the structure of the hydrocarbons can be "molded" to a specific type. Olsbye et al. performed a comprehensive study at 400 °C and 80% methanol conversion to demonstrate that 1D large pore zeolites, such as ZSM-22 (TON with 10-ring elliptical channel) and ZSM-23 (MTT with 10-ring teardrop channel), could deliver C₅₊



Fig. 6 A summary of control experiments. The catalytic performance of the standalone zeolite-mediated conversion of **a** (12 C)ethylene and **b** fully enriched 13 CO and (12 C)ethylene at 30 bar, 375 °C, and 10,000 mL \cdot g⁻¹ · h⁻¹ under hydrogen-rich environment at a time on stream of 2 h (i.e., without any Fe₂O₃@KO₂ phase) (also see Supplementary Fig. 14). **c** 1D 13 C direct excitation MAS solid-state NMR spectra on all four zeolites, collected after the control experiments involving both 13 CO and 12 C₂H₄ in the reactant feed. Due to the selective isotope enrichment of 13 CO in the reactant feed, DE experiments can only be correlated to the 13 CO consumption during catalysis. The broad nature of DE experiments (except FER) implying that 13 CO predominantly converted to the rigid species only (also see Supplementary Figs. 15 and 16 for details, MAS magic angle spinning). **d** The spectral identification of methyl acetate was observed on the postreacted FER zeolite via 13 C- 13 C correlation spectra, where the carbons were polarized through direct excitation and 13 C- 13 C mixing was achieved through proton-driven spin diffusion (PDSD) (also see Supplementary Fig. 17). The respective full-range spectra, as well as experimental details, are included in Supplementary Information.

aliphatics products without any aromatics⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. To produce aromatics with 10-member-ring zeolites, ZSM-5 (MFI 3D 10-ring channel with cross sections) is more appropriate³⁰, as also demonstrated in the current study. Furthermore, an additional factor necessary to focus on when explaining product shape selectivity, apart from the overall zeolite topology, is the structure of the individual pores forming the zeolite. Recent studies demonstrated that the sinusoidal channel of H-ZSM-5 favors the olefin/paraffin cycle, whereas the straight channel facilitates the production of aromatics^{27,30,57}, which is also evidenced by the higher selectivity of ZSM-5 toward aromatics and paraffins in our experiments. Moreover, theoretical calculations conducted on a wide range of hydrocarbons and zeolites, including those previously mentioned, highlighted that the diffusion rate of hydrocarbons through zeolite pores is dependent on the channel's height, width, and shape^{61,62}, i.e., the molecular fit. Furthermore, validating that product outflow is dependent on the structural inhibition imposed by the molecular size and shape of the zeolite pores and the crucial role of topology as a product shape selectivity descriptor. Based on our results, both larger and smaller pores are not able to form the required transition states under our reaction conditions. Specifically, 8 MR (CHA, DDR) and 12 MR (MOR, BEA, FAU) are not providing the right fit under the given conditions in our system, whereas 10 MR zeolites (MFI, TON, FER) are the only ones inducing the right confinement effects leading to oligomerization and further production of hydrocarbons, as previously investigated in various zeolite catalysis (Fig. 7e)^{63,64}.

Although the zeolite lattice ensures the necessary space to form a specific hydrocarbon, several studies showed that zeolites with identical topologies can perform differently due to an uneven acid site strength^{58,65,66}. In addition to acid strength, acid site density was proven to influence the shape and reactivity of the "hydrocarbons pool" and thus, the overall product distribution^{58,67,68}. Recently, Chowdhury et al. demonstrated the formation of (MTH-like) shorter olefins and (olefinic and aromatic) HCP species exclusively from acetyl group over H-SAPO-34 catalyst, without any involvement of methanol³¹. Similarly, acetone/ketones are already reported to initiate the formation of initials C-C bonds and HCP species over zeolite^{69,70}. Therefore, these HCP-based organic species could independently initiate the formation of hydrocarbons (via promoting C–C bond couplings) $^{27,28,31-33}$ and together with the acidity within the zeolite are considered analogous to "hybrid inorganic-organic supramolecular reaction centers"34-36, similarly encountered in MTH chemistry^{27,31-33}, and hence, act as "descriptors" to regulate the final product selectivity (Fig. 7f).

Theoretical analysis of zeolite phase chemistry. Mechanistically, the most unique feature is the spectroscopic identification of multiple organic-carbonylated species (Fig. 4e), which could





e Void shape and size effect f Post-FTS zeolite-phase catalysis



Fig. 7 The proposed mechanistic pathway for the Fe₂O₃@KO₂/zeolite catalyzed hydrogenation of CO₂, highlighting the efficacy of our multifunctional system. The reaction sequence on **a** iron and **b** potassium phase of Fe₂O₃@KO₂ material implying the significance of tandem activation of CO₂ in this work. FTS-based **c** chain initiation and **d** chain propagation steps through both carbide and CO-insertion pathways; also known as Biloen-Sachtler mechanism (greenish background) and Pichler-Schulz mechanism (bluish background), respectively. **e** Simplified illustration highlighting the concepts of "molecular fit" and "containment effect" in zeolite catalysis. **f** A simplified relationship sketch between hydrocarbons/carbonylates (including CO) and final product selectivity for all zeolites, highlighting the significance of both supramolecular reaction centers and topology on controlling the product selectivity. (RWGS reverse water gas shift reaction, FTS Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis, HCP hydrocarbon pool).

directly be linked to ketenes, an intermediate recently attracting attention for its influential role in zeolite catalysis^{16,28,31,44–47}. However, the direct spectral identification of ketene requires highly sophisticated analytical techniques (as reported elsewhere^{16,44}), and impossible to identify on zeolites. Ketenes could easily be physisorbed on BAS of zeolite to produce surface-acetate species (i.e., a protonated ketene, $CH_2CO + H^+ \rightarrow CH_3CO^+)^{31}$, with a large energy gain of >50 kJ/mol and a minimal energy barrier of ≤ 17 kJ/mol⁴⁶. Therefore, such a quick equilibrium toward acetate, along with ketene's low steady-state concentrations at high reaction temperatures, forbids its direct spectroscopic identification upon zeolite. Not only acetate, acetone and diacetyl could also be reversibly accessible from ketenes at high temperature⁴⁸. Herein, diacetyl

is the direct C–C bond-forming product from acetate/ketene (cf. conceptually similar to "CO-dimerization pathway")⁵.

The above hypotheses are further confirmed by means of computational calculations (Table 1, Fig. 8, and Supplementary Figs. 21–28; also see Supplementary Discussion for further indepth analysis). Depending on the orientation of ketene to the zeolite acid site, small differences in the adsorption energies, relative to the more stable adsorption configuration via the C moiety, were calculated (-3 to 12 kJ/mol). This ensures a broader scope of reaction routes for ketene, via the C or O atom (see Supplementary Figs. 23 and 24). We observed that ketene bonding is slightly more exothermic via the carbon center adsorption; indicating a preference for the formation of acetyl



Fig. 8 Illustration of proposed formation routes of carbonylated intermediates. a The formation of surface acetate and methyl acetate from surfacemethoxy species and two acetone production routes: **b** by direct conversion of ketene via keto-enol tautomerization as well as **c** initial hydrogenation of ketene to acetaldehyde and diacetyl from acetone, conducted on FER models, with reaction energies (in violet) presented as $-(E_r)$ in kJ/mol.

Table 1 Summary of energetic observables.						
	ZSM-22 [T1]	ZSM-5 [T12]	ZSM-5 [T6]	MOR [T1]	FER [T3]	FER [T1]
-E _{ads}						
СО	49	49	49	45	49	37
Ketene (O)	167	160	167	155	163	159
Ketene (C)	175	171	164	164	175	165
Methyl acetate	144	144	138	127	138	135
Diacetyl	134	141	111	120	132	124
Acetone	147	141	110	127	126	134
-Ebond						
Z-COCH ₃	995	931	919	927	966	965
-E _r						
Ketene + Z-H ⁺ \rightarrow Z-COCH ₃	31	46	44	37	31	47

Adsorption energies (E_{ads}) of molecular species to the BAS of zeolites, of the heterolytic dissociation energy of the zeolite-acetyl bond (E_{bond}), and reaction energy (E_r) to form acetyl –Z-COCH₃ from proton addition to ketene, presented in kJ/mol, with ketene models as ketene bonded to the active site via oxygen (O) or carbon (C). For simplicity, all energetic observables are presented as: -(E_{ads}), -(E_{bond}), -(E_r).

species through the reaction between ketene and zeolitic Brønsted protons^{16,31,44,45}. The presence of acetate species in both ZSM-22 and FER could be rationalized in terms of its higher bonding energy than others. The relatively higher instability of any carbonylates in H-MOR[T1] implies that the reaction equilibrium would be slightly more shifted toward CO, consistent with both spectroscopic and control experiments (Figs. 4e and 6b). In addition to the ketene, CO insertion has emerged as an alternative route based on energetics involved. As previously reported and will be further discussed (vide infra), CO insertion to surface methoxy (reaction energy, $E_r = 93$ kJ/mol)⁵⁰ is more exothermic than ketene conversion ($E_r \sim 40$ kJ/mol) on ZSM-5[T12], with more detailed explanations of these observations presented in the computational subsection of Supplementary Discussion. Our

theoretical investigations also indicated the possibility of a competitive adsorption equilibrium between acetone, methyl acetate, and diacetyl, also further detailed in in the computational subsection of Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Fig. 25. Owing to their similar stability, the selective experimental observation in our ssNMR investigation may indicate preferential diffusion or confinement effects of certain carbonylates, emphasizing the direct relevance of host–guest chemistry during catalysis.

To further clarify the chemistry inside the zeolite pores, several reaction routes describing the formation of the carbonylated intermediates were analyzed from a thermodynamic perspective. Since FER stabilized a broad range of carbonylated species while having a small number of acid sites to dominate the adsorption

process, the main focus on determining the favorable energetics involved in producing the main intermediates was based on the FER[T1] models. As presented in Fig. 8a, both the formation of surface acetate from surface-methoxy species ($E_r = 96 \text{ kJ/mol}$) and methyl acetate from surface acetate ($E_r = 95 \text{ kJ/mol}$) are thermodynamically favored, as previously reported 47,50. In the case of acetone formation, two reaction routes starting from ketene were proposed. The first starts with the endothermic formation of methylated ketene ($E_r = -56 \text{ kJ/mol}$; Fig. 8b), followed by exothermic hydrogenation ($E_r = 279 \text{ kJ/mol}$; Fig. 8b) and isomerization ($E_r = 69 \text{ kJ/mol}$; Fig. 8b). The second route starts with the hydrogenation of ketene to acetaldehyde ($E_r =$ 135 kJ/mol; Fig. 8c) and further methylation ($E_r = 144$ kJ/mol; Fig. 8c) to acetone, both steps occurring with a considerably high energy release. Additional carbonylation of acetone to diacetyl was also shown to be a viable reaction route ($E_r = 18 \text{ kJ/mol}$; Fig. 8c). Since all intermediates are formed through exothermic reaction routes, the pathway of Fig. 8c is expected to be highly feasible and further contribute to the initiation of C_{2-4} hydrocarbons production^{34,71,72}. Nevertheless, considering the high temperatures at which this reaction is performed, the pathway of Fig. 8b represents another mechanistic option, contributing to the complexity of the intermediates in the reaction pool.31,69,70.

Discussion

The combination of metal catalysis and different zeolite frameworks opens the door to a wide range of petrochemical products from the direct hydrogenation of CO₂. In this work, we have rationalized the influence of the zeolite framework by building a complete catalytic database involving eight different zeolites in combination with a Fe₂O₃@KO₂ catalyst. As a result, four different selectivity patterns can be derived specific to zeolite topology features: light olefins (MOR, SAPO-34, ZSM-58, BETA, Y) and to a greater selectivity improvement toward paraffins (FER and ZMS-5), long olefinic hydrocarbons (ZSM-22), and aromatics (ZMS-5). The main influence on product selectivity is observed to be determined by 10 MR zeolites (TON, FER, and MFI), as opposed to smaller pores 8 MR (CHA, DDR) and larger pores 12 MR (MOR, BEA, FAU) zeolites, as 10 MR zeolites are known to induce the optimum confinement effects leading to oligomerization and conversion of the hydrocarbons pool. An in-depth spectroscopic and computational analysis along with experiments with fully enriched ¹³CO₂ and ¹³CO collectively revealed that such preferential origin of selectivity could also be attributed to the formation of carbonylated species (including RWGS-derived CO or further CO-derived ketene/ketone/ester) that together with the "inorganic" zeolite form HCP-based catalytic centers that further activate the outflow of compounds from the iron phase. Interestingly, numerous organic-carbonylated intermediates have been spectroscopically identified, possibly for the first time during zeolite-mediated thermal CO₂ catalysis. The hybrid nature of the active zeolite catalyst, i.e., the inorganic-organic supramolecular reactive centers along with zeolite topologies, are shown to act as product selectivity descriptors, in the current study, and are expected to be used to design the next generation catalyst applied for the adsorption and conversion of CO₂ into different industry relevant materials.

Methods

The chemicals, zeolites, and gases were purchased from Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Zeolyst, ACS materials, and CK Isotopes Limited. Catalytic tests were executed in a 16 channel Flowrence[®] from Avantium, connected to Agilent 7890B gas chromatographic system for the analysis. Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were recorded on a Micromeritics Asap 2420 at 77 K. The temperatureprogrammed ammonia desorption (NH₃-TPD) experiments were carried out in an AMI-200ip Catalyst Characterization System (Altamira) equipped with TCD. All ¹H and ¹³C related (both 1D and 2D) MAS ssNMR spectroscopic experiments were performed on Bruker AVANCE III spectrometers operating at 400 MHz frequency for ¹H using a conventional double resonance 3.2 mm CPMAS HX probe (CP). ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are reported with respect to the external reference adamantane. ²⁷Al MAS ssNMR experiments were carried out on a 900 MHz Bruker AVANCE IV 21.1 T spectrometers quipped with 3.2 mm CPMAS probes, where chemical shifts were externally referenced to Al(NO3)3. All NMR measurements were performed at room temperature (298 K) and MAS frequency of 16 or 20 kHz (unless specified otherwise in the figure captions). All NMR spectra were processed and analyzed using Bruker TopSpin 4.0. Raman spectra were recorded using a confocal Raman microscope WITec Apyron equipped with 532 and 633 nm laser lines. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements for air sensitive catalyst were conducted using a Bruker D8 Venture single-crystal diffractometer equipped with a PHOTON II area detector and an IµS microfocus source (set to 50 kV, 1 mA) providing an Mo Ka radiation (λ K1 = 0.70930 Å, λ K2 = 0.71359 Å). Computational calculations were conducted using Vienna ab initio Simulation Package^{73,74}, Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional with Grimme's dispersion correction (PBE-D3)⁷¹, and a plane-wave basis set of the projector-augmented-wave method⁷². The adsorption energy (Eads) of an adsorbate (CO, ketene, methyl-acetate, acetone and diacetyl), bonding energy (E_{bond}) and reaction energy (E_r) are calculated as follows: $E_{ads} = E_{[ZeOH+Sorbate]} - E_{[ZeOH]} - E_{[Sorbate]}$ (1) where, $E_{[ZeOH]} E_{[Sorbate]}$ and $E_{[ZeOH+Sorbate]}$ are the total energy of the zeolite sorbent, the neutral gas-phase sorbate and the combined guest-host system, respectively, each in their optimised geometry. The bonding energy (Ebond) for a zeolite bonded moiety (surface-acetate) was calculated as: $E_{bond} = E_{[ZeO+moiety]} - E_{[ZeO-]} - E_{[moiety+]}$ (2) where, $E_{[ZeO-]}$, $E_{[moiety+]}$ and $E_{[ZeO+moiety]}$ are the total energy of the deprotonated (i.e., anionic) zeolite, the cationic gas-phase moiety and the combined guest-host system, respectively, each in their optimised geometry; $E_r = E_{[PR]} - E_{[R]}(3)$ where, $E_{[PR]}$ and E_[R] are the absolute energies of the product and reactant states, each in their optimized geometry. For simplicity, all energetic observables are discussed and presented as -(Eads), -(Ebond), -(Er). Finally, we refer to Supplementary Methods in Supplementary Information for a detailed description.

Data availability

All data that support the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information or from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Received: 2 May 2021; Accepted: 6 September 2021; Published online: 08 October 2021

References

- Mac Dowell, N., Fennell, P. S., Shah, N. & Maitland, G. C. The role of CO₂ capture and utilization in mitigating climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 7, 243–249 (2017).
- Ramirez, A., Sarathy, S. M. & Gascon, J. CO₂ derived e-fuels: research trends, misconceptions, and future directions. *Trends Chem.* 2, 785–795 (2020).
- Kätelhön, A., Meys, R., Deutz, S., Suh, S. & Bardow, A. Climate change mitigation potential of carbon capture and utilization in the chemical industry. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 166, 11187–11194 (2019).
- Centi, G., Quadrelli, E. A. & Perathoner, S. Catalysis for CO₂ conversion: a key technology for rapid introduction of renewable energy in the value chain of chemical industries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 6, 1711–1731 (2013).
- Handoko, A. D., Wei, F., Jenndy, Yeo, B. S. & Seh, Z. W. Understanding heterogeneous electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction through operando techniques. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 922–934 (2018).
- Dokania, A., Ramirez, A., Bavykina, A. & Gascon, J. Heterogeneous catalysis for the valorization of CO2: Role of bifunctional processes in the production of chemicals. ACS Energy Lett. 4, 167–176 (2019).
- Zhou, W. et al. New horizon in C1 chemistry: breaking the selectivity limitation in transformation of syngas and hydrogenation of CO₂ into hydrocarbon chemicals and fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48, 3193–3228 (2019).
- Prieto, G. Carbon dioxide hydrogenation into higher hydrocarbons and oxygenates: thermodynamic and kinetic bounds and progress with heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysis. *ChemSusChem* 10, 1056–1070 (2017).
- Zhang, Q., Yu, J. & Corma, A. Applications of zeolites to C1 chemistry: recent advances, challenges, and opportunities. *Adv. Mater.* 32, 2002927 (2020).
- Álvarez, A. et al. Challenges in the greener production of formates/formic acid, methanol, and DME by heterogeneously catalyzed CO₂ hydrogenation processes. *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 9804–9838 (2017).
- González-Garay, A. et al. Plant-to-planet analysis of CO₂-based methanol processes. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 12, 3425–3436 (2019).
- De, S., Dokania, A., Ramirez, A. & Gascon, J. Advances in the design of heterogeneous catalysts and thermocatalytic processes for CO₂ utilization. ACS Catal. 10, 14147–14185 (2020).

ARTICLE

- Ramirez, A., Gevers, L., Bavykina, A., Ould-Chikh, S. & Gascon, J. Metal organic framework-derived iron catalysts for the direct hydrogenation of CO₂ to short chain olefins. ACS Catal. 8, 9174–9182 (2018).
- Ramirez, A. et al. Tandem conversion of CO₂ to valuable hydrocarbons in highly concentrated potassium iron catalysts. *ChemCatChem* 11, 2879–2886 (2019).
- 15. Choi, Y. H. et al. Carbon dioxide Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: a new path to carbon-neutral fuels. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **202**, 605–610 (2017).
- 16. Jiao, F. et al. Selective conversion of syngas to light olefins. *Science* **351**, 1065–1068 (2016).
- Ramirez, A. et al. Effect of zeolite topology and reactor configuration on the direct conversion of CO₂ to light olefins and aromatics. ACS Catal. 9, 6320–6334 (2019).
- Dokania, A. et al. Acidity modification of ZSM-5 for enhanced production of light olefins from CO₂. J. Catal. 381, 347–354 (2020).
- Ramirez, A. et al. Coated sulfated zirconia/SAPO-34 for the direct conversion of CO₂ to light olefins. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 10, 1507–1517 (2020).
- Wei, J. et al. Directly converting CO₂ into a gasoline fuel. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15174 (2017).
- Khan, M. K. et al. Selective conversion of carbon dioxide into liquid hydrocarbons and long-chain α-olefins over Fe-amorphous AlO_x bifunctional catalyst. ACS Catal. **10**, 10325–10338 (2020).
- Gao, P. et al. Direct conversion of CO₂ into liquid fuels with high selectivity over a bifunctional catalyst. *Nat. Chem.* 9, 1019–1024 (2017).
- Cheng, K. et al. Bifunctional catalysts for one-step conversion of syngas into aromatics with excellent selectivity and stability. *Chem* 3, 334–347 (2017).
- 24. Li, Z. et al. Highly selective conversion of carbon dioxide to aromatics over tandem catalysts. *Joule* **3**, 570–583 (2019).
- Wang, Y. et al. Rationally designing bifunctional catalysts as an efficient strategy to boost CO₂ hydrogenation producing value-added aromatics. ACS Catal. 9, 895–901 (2019).
- Ni, Y. et al. Selective conversion of CO₂ and H₂ into aromatics. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 3457 (2018).
- Yarulina, I., Chowdhury, A. D., Meirer, F., Weckhuysen, B. M. & Gascon, J. Recent trends and fundamental insights in the methanol-to-hydrocarbons process. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 398–411 (2018).
- Chowdhury, A. D. et al. Initial carbon-carbon bond formation during the early stages of the methanol-to-olefin process proven by zeolite-trapped acetate and methyl acetate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 15840–15845 (2016).
- Çağlayan, M. et al. Initial carbon-carbon bond formation during the early stages of methane dehydroaromatization. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 59, 16741–16746 (2020).
- Fu, D. et al. Elucidating zeolite channel geometry-reaction intermediate relationships for the methanol-to-hydrocarbon process. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 59, 20024–20030 (2020).
- Chowdhury, A. D. et al. Bridging the gap between the direct and hydrocarbon pool mechanisms of the methanol-to-hydrocarbons process. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 8095–8099 (2018).
- Svelle, S. et al. Conversion of methanol into hydrocarbons over zeolite H-ZSM-5: ethene formation is mechanistically separated from the formation of higher alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 14770–14771 (2006).
- Bailleul, S. et al. A supramolecular view on the cooperative role of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites in zeolites for methanol conversion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 14823–14842 (2019).
- Song, W., Haw, J. F., Nicholas, J. B. & Heneghan, C. S. Methylbenzenes are the organic reaction centers for methanol-to-olefin catalysis on HSAPO-34 [12]. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 10726–10727 (2000).
- Wang, C. et al. Direct detection of supramolecular reaction centers in the methanol-to-olefins conversion over zeolite H-ZSM-5 by 13C-27Al solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 2507–2511 (2016).
- Haw, J. F. & Marcus, D. M. Well-defined (supra)molecular structures in zeolite methanol-to-olefin catalysis. *Top. Catal.* 34, 41–48 (2005).
- Morris, G. A. & Freeman, R. Enhancement of nuclear magnetic resonance signals by polarization transfer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 101, 760–762 (1979).
- Pines, A., Gibby, M. G. & Waugh, J. S. Proton-enhanced nuclear induction spectroscopy. A method for high resolution nmr of dilute spins in solids. J. Chem. Phys. 56, 1776–1777 (1972).
- Andronesi, O. C. et al. Determination of membrane protein structure and dynamics by magic-angle-spinning solid-state NMR spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 12965–12974 (2005).
- Wang, C. et al. Extra-framework aluminum-assisted initial C-C bond formation in methanol-to-olefins conversion on zeolite H-ZSM-5. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 10197–10201 (2018).
- Fang, H., Zheng, A., Chu, Y. & Deng, F. 13C Chemical shift of adsorbed acetone for measuring the acid strength of solid acids: A theoretical calculation study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114, 12711–12718 (2010).

- Li, B. et al. Insight into dimethyl ether carbonylation reaction over mordenite zeolite from in-situ solid-state NMR spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. C 117, 5840–5847 (2013).
- Cheung, P., Bhan, A., Sunley, G. J. & Iglesia, E. Selective carbonylation of dimethyl ether to methyl acetate catalyzed by acidic zeolites. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 45, 1617–1620 (2006).
- Hemberger, P., Van Bokhoven, J. A., Pérez-Ramírez, J. & Bodi, A. New analytical tools for advanced mechanistic studies in catalysis: photoionization and photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 10, 1975–1990 (2020).
- Chowdhury, A. D. & Gascon, J. The curious case of ketene in zeolite chemistry and catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 14982–14985 (2018).
- Rasmussen, D. B. et al. Ketene as a reaction intermediate in the carbonylation of dimethyl ether to methyl acetate over mordenite. Angew. *Chem. Int. Ed.* 54, 7261–7264 (2015).
- Plessow, P. N. & Studt, F. Unraveling the mechanism of the initiation reaction of the methanol to olefins process using ab Initio and DFT calculations. ACS Catal. 7, 7987–7994 (2017).
- Christensen, M. & Konnov, A. A. Laminar burning velocity of diacetyl + air flames. Further assessment of combustion chemistry of ketene. *Combust. Flame* 178, 97–110 (2017).
- Wu, X. et al. Evolution of C-C bond formation in the methanol-to-olefins process: from direct coupling to autocatalysis. ACS Catal. 8, 7356–7361 (2018).
- Liu, Y. et al. Formation mechanism of the first carbon-carbon bond and the first olefin in the methanol conversion into hydrocarbons. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 5723–5726 (2016).
- Kar, S., Goeppert, A. & Prakash, G. K. S. Integrated CO₂ capture and conversion to formate and methanol: connecting two threads. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 52, 2892–2903 (2019).
- Hall, W. K., Kokes, R. J. & Emmett, P. H. Mechanism studies of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. the addition of radioactive methanol, carbon dioxide and gaseous formaldehyde. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 2983–2989 (1957).
- Davis, B. H. Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis: reaction mechanisms for iron catalysts. *Catal. Today* 141, 25–33 (2009).
- Biloen, P., Helle, J. N. & Sachtler, W. M. H. Incorporation of surface carbon into hydrocarbons during Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: mechanistic implications. J. Catal. 58, 95–107 (1979).
- Pichler, H. & Schulz, H. Neuere Erkenntnisse auf dem Gebiet der Synthese von Kohlenwasserstoffen aus CO und H₂. *Chem. Ing. Tech.* 42, 1162–1174 (1970).
- Blyholder, G. & Emmett, P. H. Fischer-Tropsch synthesis mechanism studies. The addition of radioactive ketene to the synthesis gas. J. Phys. Chem. 63, 962–965 (1959).
- 57. Rojo-Gama, D. et al. A straightforward descriptor for the deactivation of zeolite catalyst H-ZSM-5. *ACS Catal.* **7**, 8235–8246 (2017).
- Olsbye, U. et al. Conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons: how zeolite cavity and pore size controls product selectivity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 51, 5810–5831 (2012).
- Rojo-Gama, D. et al. Time- and space-resolved study of the methanol to hydrocarbons (MTH) reaction-influence of zeolite topology on axial deactivation patterns. *Faraday Discuss.* **197**, 421–446 (2017).
- Etemadi, S. Catalytic investigations of zeolite based methanol to hydrocarbons catalysts. Master thesis from the Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Norway. Online available at https://www.duo.uio.no/handle/10852/44868 (2015).
- Smit, B. & Maesen, T. L. M. Towards a molecular understanding of shape selectivity. *Nature* 451, 671–678 (2008).
- 62. Van Speybroeck, V. et al. Advances in theory and their application within the field of zeolite chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 44, 7044–7111 (2015).
- Jones, A. J., Zones, S. I. & Iglesia, E. Implications of transition state confinement within small voids for acid catalysis. J. Phys. Chem. C 118, 17787–17800 (2014).
- Janda, A., Vlaisavljevich, B., Lin, L. C., Smit, B. & Bell, A. T. Effects of zeolite structural confinement on adsorption thermodynamics and reaction kinetics for monomolecular cracking and dehydrogenation of n-butane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138, 4739–4756 (2016).
- Bleken, F. et al. The effect of acid strength on the conversion of methanol to olefins over acidic microporous catalysts with the CHA topology. *Top. Catal.* 52, 218–228 (2009).
- Knott, B. C. et al. Consideration of the aluminum distribution in zeolites in theoretical and experimental catalysis research. ACS Catal. 8, 770–784 (2018).
- Di Iorio, J. R., Nimlos, C. T. & Gounder, R. Introducing catalytic diversity into single-site chabazite zeolites of fixed composition via synthetic control of active site proximity. ACS Catal. 7, 6663–6674 (2017).
- Nastase, S. A. F. et al. Mechanistic insight into the framework methylation of H-ZSM-5 for varying methanol loadings and Si/Al ratios using first-principles molecular dynamics simulations. ACS Catal. 10, 8904–8915 (2020).

- Song, W., Nicholas, J. B. & Haw, J. F. A persistent carbenium ion on the methanol-to-olefin catalyst HSAPO-34: acetone shows the way. J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 4317–4323 (2001).
- Xu, T., Haw, J. F. & Munson, E. J. Toward a systematic chemistry of organic reactions in zeolites: in situ NMR studies of ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1962–1972 (1994).
- Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S. & Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 132, 154104 (2010).
- 72. Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 59, 1758–1775 (1999).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. Comput. *Mater. Sci.* 6, 15–50 (1996).
- Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquidmetalamorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium. *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 14251–14269 (1994).

Acknowledgements

This project has received financial supports from the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (Saudi Arabia), the start-up research grant from the Institute for Advanced Studies (IAS), Wuhan University (China), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 22050410276 to A.D.C.). The authors also acknowledge Mr. Ye Yiru (IAS, Wuhan, China) and Dr. Serhii Vasylevskyi (KAUST, Saudi Arabia) for their support during figures' preparation and Raman measurements, respectively. A.D.C. also conveys sincere thanks to Dr. Alessandra Lucini Paioni for her advice in this work.

Author contributions

J.G. and A.D.C. conceived the research ideas and supervised the overall project. A.R. and A.D.C. designed the experiments. A.R. performed the catalytic experiments. A.D.C. and E.A.-D. performed NMR experiments, and X.G. and A.D.C. did data analysis. M.C. did all other characterization. S.-A.N. and L.C. performed and supervised the computational analysis. L.G. worked with reactor and catalytic testing. A.D.C., X.G., A.R., S.-A.N., and J.G. cowrote the original draft. All authors discussed the results as well as contributed and commented on the different versions of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26090-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Abhishek Dutta Chowdhury or Jorge Gascon.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Jaehoon Kim and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021