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Abstract—LCL-filters are a cost-effective solution to mitigate harmonic current content in grid-tie converters. In order to avoid stability problems, the resonance frequency of LCL-filters can be damped with active techniques that remove dissipative elements but increase control complexity. A notch filter provides an effective solution, however tuning the filter requires considerable design effort and the variations in the grid impedance limit the LCL-filter robustness. This paper proposes a straightforward tuning procedure for a notch filter self-commissioning. In order to account for the grid inductance variations, the resonance frequency is estimated and later used for tuning the notch filter. An estimation for the maximum value of the proportional gain to excite the resonance is provided. The resonance frequency is calculated using the Goertzel algorithm, which requires little extra computational resources in the existing control processor. The Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) coefficients are therefore obtained, with less calculations than the running sum implementation and less memory requirements than with the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Thus, the self-commissioning technique is robust to grid impedance variations due to its ability to tune the grid-tie inverter on-site. Finally, the analysis is validated with both simulation and experiments.

Index Terms—Active damping, Autotuning, Converter control, Pulse Width Modulation (PWM).

I. INTRODUCTION

GRID converters use either an inductor or an LCL-filter to mitigate the harmonic current content. It is well known [11]–[3] that using an LCL-filter instead of a simple inductor leads to reduced inductance values and so lower losses and volume. The LCL-filter resonance must be damped in order to avoid stability problems in the current control. For this concern, passive damping methods use series resistors that increase the encumbrances [4] and reduce the overall efficiency [5]. Active damping avoids dissipative elements at the expense of increased control complexity. In both cases large grid impedance variations [6]–[8] and parameter uncertainty [9] can turn the damping ineffective, challenging the LCL-filter stability.

Active damping solution has been thoroughly studied in numerous publications [10]–[24]. An early proposal [10] adds the derivative of the grid current to the converter current control. In [11]–[13], [13]–[15] the feedback of the LCL-filter capacitor current to the voltage reference yields resonance damping. Another method consists in adding the capacitor voltage filtered by a lead-lag network [6], [16], [17] or a high-pass filter [18] to the modulator voltage reference. Robust strategies by using sliding control mode are proposed in [19], [20]. The virtual resistor method [21], [22] modifies the control algorithm to make the system behave as if there was a damping resistor without physically adding it.

Active damping by using a notch filter on the reference voltage for the modulator is simple to implement and does not need additional sensors [23]. Nevertheless, the interactions with the current control loop make the notch filter tuning a difficult task. The notch tuning procedure proposed in [23] uses sophisticated genetic algorithms and the formulas proposed in [24] require numerous trial and error iterations. With the notch frequency properly tuned at the resonance frequency the voltage reference does not contain any component susceptible of exciting the LCL-filter. However, since the grid impedance variations affects the resonance frequency, the notch filter erroneous tuning will compromise the LCL-filter stability.

As the active damping is strongly dependent on the LCL-filter resonance, it is recommended to measure it on-site. The LCL-filter resonance/grid inductance estimation has already been proposed in the literature [25]–[29]. However, it cannot be embedded easily into a non-dedicated platform as the control processor may be overloaded. The estimation of the grid impedance is usually done by injecting signal at a non-characteristic frequency [26]–[29]. In [26] the frequency characteristic of the LCL-filter are used in estimating the grid impedance. In [27] the required Fourier analysis is performed using the running sum implementation of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) to spare memory and calculations.

This paper proposes a simple and straightforward tuning procedure for the notch filter. In order to account for the grid inductance variations and aging passive elements values, the self-commissioning technique provides the ability to tune the grid-tie inverter on-site. The resonance frequency is estimated and later used for tuning the notch filter. An estimation for the maximum value of the proportional gain to excite the
resonance is provided. The LCL-filter resonance frequency will be calculated by using Fourier analysis. In order to reduce the DSP computational and memory requirements the Goertzel algorithm is employed to calculate the Fourier coefficients. The DFT coefficients are therefore obtained, with less calculations than the running sum implementation and less memory requirements than with the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

This paper is organized as follows: §II reviews the current control methods in LCL-filters. §III explains the proposed notch filter tuning procedure. §IV deals with the resonance detection procedure. §V and §VI explain the simulation and experimental results respectively. Finally, conclusions are presented in §VII.

II. CURRENT CONTROL OF LCL-FILTER GRID CONVERTER

Fig. 1 shows the considered three phase LCL-filter based grid converter. The resonance frequency of the LCL-filter is:

$$\omega_{res} = 2\pi f_{res} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_f} \left( \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{L_g} \right)} \quad (1)$$

Fig. 2 shows the usual cascade control structure of the grid converter in the dq-frame. The active damping is achieved by the notch filter on the reference voltage for the modulator. Fig. 2 also shows the estimation block of the resonance frequency to tune the notch filter. In the low frequency range ($\omega << \omega_{res}$) the capacitor branch can be neglected and the LCL-filter behaves like an equivalent inductor with inductance $L_{eq} = L + L_g$ and resistance $R_{eq} = R + R_g$ respectively [2]. Hence, the PI controllers for the converter current, the one sensed in this paper is organized as follows: §II reviews the current control methods in LCL-filters. §III explains the proposed notch filter tuning procedure. §IV deals with the resonance detection procedure. §V and §VI explain the simulation and experimental results respectively. Finally, conclusions are presented in §VII.

III. NOTCH FILTER ACTIVE DAMPING

The generic transfer function of an analog notch filter is given as [24]:

$$N_n(s) = \left( \frac{s^2 + 2Dz\omega_nfs + \omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2Dp\omega_nfs + \omega_n^2} \right)^n \quad (4)$$

where $\omega_n$ is the notch frequency, $D_z$ and $D_p$ are the damping factors for the complex conjugates poles and zeros respectively and $n$ is the number of sections. The open-loop transfer function of the converter current control in Fig. 2 for the continuous-time domain and without considering the notch filter is:

$$G_{ol}(s) = G_{PI}(s)G_q(s)G(s) \quad (5)$$

where $G(s)$ is the transfer function relating the converter voltage $v$ and current $i$, $G_q(s)$ models the computational and PWM delays, and finally $G_{PI}(s)$ is the PI controller tuned as previously explained. The frequency that corresponds to $-180^\circ$ phase-shift for the open-loop transfer function is near the resonance frequency [16]. In order to obtain stability with a positive gain margin the resonant peak should be below unity (0 dB). Therefore, with the inclusion of a notch filter $N_n(s)$ in cascade to the PI controller output it should result in:

$$|G_{ol}(s)N_n(s)|_{s=j\omega_{res}} < 1 \quad (0 \ dB) \quad (6)$$

and the condition for stability is $D_z/D_p < |G_{ol}(s)|^{-1}_{s=j\omega_{res}}$.

As a digital implementation will be used for the notch filter, absolute cancellation at the resonance frequency, $|N_n(j\omega_{res})| = 0$ (\infty dB), is possible by setting $D_z = 0$ and $\omega_n = \omega_{res}$. Hence, there will be no component present in the voltage reference able to excite the LCL-filter resonance and (6) is always fulfilled. In order to preserve the null amplitude when discretizing (4) the bilinear transformation [4] (Tustin method) with pre-warping at $\omega = \omega_n$ must be used.

For frequencies much lower than $\omega << \omega_f$ the behavior of the notch filter with $D_z = 0$ can be approximated to $n$ first order systems with the time constant $\tau = 2D_p/\omega_n$ and using the Taylor series the amplitude and phase are approximately:

$$|N_n(s)| \approx -\frac{40}{\ln(10)}nD_p^2\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2 \quad (7)$$
intrusion in the low frequency region according to (7). Hence, increasing additional computations. Selecting \( n \) be adjusted to result in a phase margin reduction \( \tan \) mating to the presence of proportional gain increased overshoot that may not be acceptable. Reducing the margin leads to a reduction in the damping factor and so an \( f \omega/\omega \) (3) due to the phase delay of margin will be inferior to that of the low frequency model that of the low frequency model in (3). Conversely, the phase compromise between the robustness and computational burden Fig. 3. However, increasing the number of sections \( \omega \) acceptable overshoot at the price of reducing the bandwidth margin \( \Delta \) sections \( \omega \) is very little affected by \( \Delta \) \( \omega \) is the crossover frequency (3) taking into account \( \Delta \) \( \omega \) \( \omega \) \( \omega \) \( \omega \) \( \omega \) is small and moreover can be compensated by the gain reduction in (11).

IV. ESTIMATION OF THE LCL-FILTER RESONANCE FREQUENCY

When a large grid impedance variation occurs, the resonance frequency changes and the notch filter is tuned at an erroneous frequency. The notch filter is not able to cancel the voltage reference components susceptible of exciting the LCL-filter and stability will be compromised. Hence, to account for the grid inductance variations, and also with variations due to aging of the passive elements, it is proposed to estimate the resonance frequency firstly and later to use it for tuning the notch filter, see Fig. 2. For this aim the resonance is excited in a controlled manner and its frequency is identified in the spectrum by using Fourier analysis [26].

Without the notch filter, the inductor resistances \( R \) and \( R_g \) provide normally passive damping which is sufficient to achieve stability for very low \( K_g \) in the PI controller but so little that resonance excitation will result in a large oscillation. Considering again \( G_{ol}(s) \) in (5) and taking into account the inductor resistances, as again the phase for the resonance frequency is near \(-180^\circ\), the condition for stability resulting in a positive gain margin is:

\[
|G_{ol}(s)|_{s=j\omega_{res}} = |G_{pf}(s)G_d(s)G(s)|_{s=j\omega_{res}} < 1 \text{ (0 dB)}
\]  

(12)

Neglecting the computational and PWM delays and assuming null the integral action of the PI controller at \( \omega_{res} \), after some algebraic manipulation and neglecting small terms, the maximum proportional gain which results in stable control is:

\[
K_{pre} = R + R_g \left( \frac{L}{L_g} \right)^2
\]  

(13)

This estimation (13) can be used as a guideline to gradually increase \( K_p \) until exciting the resonance but without turning the system unstable. Once the presence of the resonance is evidenced its frequency is calculated by using the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). In order to spare computational resources, memory requirements and code complexity the Goertzel algorithm is used to calculate the DFT coefficients for
identifying the resonance frequency. The Goertzel algorithm is only more efficient than the FFT [30] for calculating $M$ DFT coefficients when $M < \log_2 N$ with $N$ the number of samples, which is not required to be a power of 2. However, its implementation is very simple, see Fig. 4. In order to calculate the $k$ coefficient of the DFT, the DSP simply samples the converter current $i$ and passes it through an IIR filter [30]:

$$Q[n] = i(nT_s) + 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right)Q[n-1] - Q[n-2] \quad (14)$$

where $N$ is the number of samples. After $N$ samples the DFT module is calculated as [31]:

$$|I[k]|^2 = Q^2[N] + Q^2[N-1] - 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right)Q[N]Q[N-1] \quad (15)$$

Hence, unlike the FFT, it is not needed to store $N$ samples in the memory. Note that, as the phase is not needed, more computations are saved when using (15) requiring only real additions and multiplications. The process is repeated $M$ times, one per each of the necessary DFT coefficients, and the algorithm execution time will be $t_e = N \cdot M \cdot T_s$. As the resonance frequency is clearly predominant it is easily detected. The spectral leakage is not problematic and a rectangular window, with resolution $2f_s/N$, can be used. The Goertzel algorithm for module calculation allows non-integer values of $k = f_k \cdot N \cdot T_s$ [32], with $f_k$ the corresponding frequency, so arbitrary samples of the discrete time Fourier transform (DTFT) [30] can be calculated, see Fig. 5. Thus, $M$ can be selected to obtain resolution equal to $|f_{res}^{max} - f_{res}^{min}|/M$ with $f_{res}^{max}$ and $f_{res}^{min}$ the maximum and minimum expected resonance frequencies respectively.

If the grid impedance is inductive (no power factor correction capacitor connected to the secondary of the feeding converter), once the resonance frequency is known the grid inductance value can be calculated by simply using the formula of the $LCL$-filter resonance. The Goertzel algorithm can also be used for the Fourier analysis in estimating the grid impedance on-line by injecting periodically a signal at a non-characteristic frequency.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

Table I contains the parameters for the simulations (using Matlab/Simulink). The inductor core losses and the capacitor ESR were not considered and the power switches were ideal. Without including the notch filter for active damping the system is only stable for small values of $K_d$. According to (13), the maximum proportional gain resulting in stability is $K_d = 2$. According to the root locus shown in Fig. 6, it is $K_d = 2.5$ and, thus, (13) results in a conservative estimation. However, in practice both estimations will be conservative as the capacitor ESR and inductor core losses were not taken into account.

Fig. 7 shows the root loci in the $z$-plane of the overall system with notch filter for $n = 2$ when varying the passive elements of the $LCL$-filter. In Fig. 7a the inductance $L$ varies between 60% and 200% of the rated value. The system is stable for $L > 61\%$ and remains stable for large values. In Fig. 7b the capacitance $C_f$ varies between 70% and 200%. The system is stable for filter capacitor values in the interval $73\% < C_f < 181\%$. Finally, in Fig. 7 the inductance $L_g$ varies between 20% and 300%. For small values of $L_g$ the overall system is stable, as it is close to the $L$-filter case, up to $L_g > 210\%$ where instability occurs. It can also be seen that for increases in $L_g$ the low frequency poles result in more damping and so lower GM as predicted by (3).

The same stable intervals when varying the passive elements were calculated for $n = 1 \div 3$ in the notch filter and are shown in Table II. In addition, the number of needed multiplications, additions and registers is shown in Table II assuming each section implemented as a second order direct form II structure. It can be seen that the active damping method is robust.
Fig. 7. Root locus in the z-plane of the overall system for notch filter with \( n = 2 \) when varying the passive elements: a) converter inductor \( L \), b) filter capacitor \( C_f \) and c) grid inductor \( L_g \).

Fig. 6. Root locus for the undamped system.

enough for small variations in the parameters. Moreover, the robustness increases for increasing \( n \), as expected from the previous analysis, at the price of increased calculations. The robustness for \( n = 2 \) is much higher than for \( n = 1 \) and little lower than for \( n = 3 \). Hence, selecting \( n = 2 \) results in a proper trade-off between robustness and computational burden.

Fig. 8 shows the Bode plots for the converter current control with no damping, the notch filter \((\Delta PM = 15^\circ)\) and the notch filter \((\Delta PM = 15^\circ)\) with \( K_p \) reduction for limiting the overshoot. From Fig. 8 it can be seen that the resonant peak is completely canceled by the notch filter. As the module of \( G_{ol}(s) (5) \) is very limitedly affected by \( N_n(s) \), the phase gain crossover frequencies for the case of no damping and the case with the notch filter are very close and the considered approximations are all valid. The \( K_p \) reduction for 4% overshoot was 65% coherent with the lower bound (11).

Fig. 9 shows the grid and converter currents during the self-commissioning sequence of the notch filter for active damping in the \( LCL \)-filter based grid converter. Initially the notch filter is not connected and the proportional gain is increased to excite the resonance. The resonance frequency is estimated by using the Goertzel algorithm, which calculates

| Table II |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \( n \) | \% \( L \) | \% \( C_f \) | \% \( L_g \) | Mults. | Adds. | Regs. |
| 1 | [66,-] | [73,173] | [-,169] | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 2 | [61,-] | [73,181] | [-,210] | 10 | 8 | 4 |
| 3 | [61,-] | [73,181] | [-,225] | 15 | 12 | 6 |
VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental set-up has the same parameters as in Table I and is shown Fig. 11. It consists of one 2.2 kW Danfoss FC302 converter connected to the grid through an isolating transformer whose leakage inductance is $L_g$. The DC-link is established by a Delta Elektronika DC-power source and the control algorithm has been implemented in a dSPACE DS1103 real time system.

Fig. 12 shows an oscilloscope screen capture for the converter current and its Fourier spectrum when the resonance is being excited. The Goertzel algorithm with $N = 100$ and $M = 300$ takes 3.75 s and detects the resonance frequency variations within the span of 1700-2900 Hz, see Fig. 13. The detected resonance frequency is 2695 Hz according to the Goertzel algorithm implemented in the dSpace systems, see Fig. 13, and 2699 Hz according to oscilloscope FFT, see Fig. 12. Once the resonance frequency is detected all the parameters of the notch filter are calculated and upon connection the active damping starts, see Fig. 14, when the oscillations are effectively damped.

The Goertzel algorithm cannot detect the resonance when the notch filter is properly tuned as the converter current has no harmonic content at the resonance frequency. However, as it consumes very low computational resources, the Goertzel algorithm can continue running online for monitoring purposes. If there is a resonance frequency variation, the notch filter will not be properly tuned anymore. Current components at the new resonance frequency will appear and the Goertzel algorithm will early detect them (before the system trips) and will order to re-tune the notch filter.
Fig. 13. Frequency swept (dashed line) for detecting the resonance frequency (full line) using the Goertzel algorithm.

Fig. 14. Oscilloscope screen capture for the grid current (upper channel) and capacitor voltage (lower channel) upon the connection of the notch filter.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a self-commissioning technique for active damping that provides the ability to tune on-site the grid-tie inverter. The provided formulas for tuning the notch filter are straightforward and require no trial-and-error iterations. The notch filter results in robust active damping for medium variations in the resonance frequency due to rated parameter inaccuracies or component aging. Detecting the resonance frequency by using the Goertzel algorithm requires reduced computational and memory resources in the control processor. For large grid inductance variations, the self-commissioning procedure detects the new resonance frequency and re-tunes the the notch filter appropriately. Hence, the proposed procedure results in a robust solution for active damping of the LCL-filter based grid-tie converter and allows to exploit the attractive features of the notch filter method, simple implementation and no extra sensors.
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