

Self-Diffusivity, Hydrogen Bonding and Density of different Water Models in Carbon Nanotubes

Journal:	<i>Molecular Simulation</i> / <i>Journal of Experimental Nanoscience</i>
Manuscript ID:	GMOS-2008-0022.R2
Journal:	Molecular Simulation
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Mar-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Alexiadis, Alessio; University of Cyprus Kassinis, Stavros; University of Cyprus
Keywords:	carbon nanotubes, confined water, molecular dynamics

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Self-Diffusivity, Hydrogen Bonding and Density of different Water Models in Carbon Nanotubes

Alessio Alexiadis and Stavros Kassinos*

University of Cyprus, 75 Kallipoleos St, PO Box 20537 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

Abstract

In this paper, the density, hydrogen bonding and self-diffusivity of water confined in carbon nanotubes are investigated. Molecular dynamics is used to simulate a large variety of nanotubes with various water models. Our results produce, for the first time, the complete trend of these properties from narrow nanotubes, where water shows particularly anomalous behaviour, to large ones where its characteristics are similar to those of bulk.

Keywords: carbon nanotubes, confined water, molecular dynamics

1 Introduction

Nanotechnology will probably have an enormous impact on our future and the number of applications related to this discipline is expected to grow exponentially in the next decades. Among all the nanotechnology related materials, carbon nanotubes (CNT) play a key role in many envisaged cases and deserve the closest attention from the scientific community. Experimental evidence, for instance, suggests that CNTs can be internalized into living cells without toxic effects and shuttle various cargoes across the cellular membrane, opening a new route for medicine delivery and cancer therapy [1, 2]. A key step for this novel nanomedicine technology consists in delivering small amounts of aqueous solutions through the hollow interior of CNTs. When water is confined within small nanotubes, however, its properties, structure and dynamics change dramatically and differ considerably from those of bulk (see for instance [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]). The goal of this work is to use molecular dynamics for gaining further insights into some of the properties of water confined in CNTs. The following three aspects can be considered as the main original contributions of this work to the literature: comparison among different water models, comparison between flexible and rigid carbon nanotubes and investigation of water's physical properties for a wide range of nanotube sizes.

The use of any water model available in the literature for simulating H₂O molecules in confined space is, at least in theory, not correct since these models were proposed for bulk water. Furthermore, no model can simulate correctly all the characteristics of bulk water. Even the most used and tested models, usually, give good approximations of certain properties at the expense of others [8]. For this reason, we believe that the best practice for classic MD simulations of water in CNTs is to compare the results obtained with different models since, at least until adequate experimental analysis is available, there are no means to conclude that any particular model is more reliable than the others.

Concerning the comparison between rigid and flexible CNTs, it must be noted that in the majority of articles the water/CNTs system is simulated using rigid nanotubes. Only in a few exceptions, AMBER or, more rarely, the similar CHARMM parameters were used. Jakobtorweihen et al., 2005 [9] found that, in the case of CH₄, the flexibility of small CNTs can affect certain physical properties of the fluid like the self-diffusion coefficient. This happens, however, only in the case of very low loadings ($P < 0.05$ bar), while, under conditions similar to the ones investigated in this paper, the results are

*Corresponding author: kassinos@ucy.ac.cy

basically identical. An analogous comparison for water in CNTs was, however, missing and, thus, it was included in this paper.

Finally, the wide range of CNTs used in this work extends considerably the previous analysis, which was in most cases based on small armchair or zigzag nanotubes. Only a few publications (e.g. [5]) took into account diameters up to 20 Å, but a complete trend, from small diameters to bulk-like conditions, requires larger nanotubes (up to 55 Å). Thanks to these complete trends, we could highlight the discontinuities in the behaviour of water density and self-diffusivity in CNTs as it is discussed further on.

2 Numerical Method

Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations at 300K (Langevin thermal bath) and 1 atm (Nosé-Hoover Langevin Piston) were carried out using the NAMD 2.6 software package, which was developed by the Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group in the Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign [10], running in parallel on a 32 processors AMD-Opteron cluster. Long range forces were modelled by a Lennard-Jones (L-J) potential with a cut-off distance of 1.0 nm. The values of σ_{C-C} and ϵ_{C-C} used in the simulations were respectively 3.4 Å and 0.086 kcal mol⁻¹ (AMBER force field). The σ_{O-O} and ϵ_{O-O} parameters, on the other hand, depend on the water model used and are reported in Table 1 (together with other model details like the OH bond distance r_{OH} , the hydrogen q_H and oxygen q_O charges and the HOH bond angle θ_{HOH}). Interatomic L-J potentials were calculated according to the Lorentz-Berthelot rule and Particle Mesh Ewald was used to compute electrostatic forces.

Simulations involving flexible water or flexible nanotubes also require the modelling of intramolecular forces. The relative potentials of these forces are approximated by NAMD on the basis of the following equation

$$U_{intramolecular} = U_{stretch} + U_{angle} + U_{dihedral}. \quad (1)$$

Each contribution to $U_{intramolecular}$ is further modelled according to

$$U_{stretch} = k_{bond}(r - r_0)^2, \quad (2)$$

$$U_{angle} = k_{angle}(\theta - \theta_0)^2, \quad (3)$$

and

$$U_{dihedral} = k_{dihed.}(1 + \cos(n\phi - \phi_0))^2. \quad (4)$$

$U_{stretch}$ represents the force applied when the bond is stretched from its initial position r_0 to the new position r ; U_{angle} models the force exerted when the angle θ between two bonds changes with respect to its initial angle θ_0 ; $U_{dihedral}$ describes the force that atoms separated by three covalent bonds exert where they are subject to a torsion angle ϕ . The values of these parameters for the CNT and the flexible water models are reported in Table 2. NAMD 2.6 uses a harmonic approximation of the bond potential, which is correct only when the displacement of the carbon atoms with respect to the equilibrium distance does not exceed a certain value [10]. When this happens, more sophisticated many-body potentials (e.g. [11]) must be taken into account. In a previous paper [12], we showed that in the case of H₂O/CNT systems the displacement is of the order of 0.01 Å and the harmonic approximation can be applied¹.

In all the simulations, the CNTs are surrounded by a water box in order to let the H₂O spontaneously enter the nanotubes². In Table 3, the type of (n, m) CNT, the diameter d , chirality θ , length L , dimension of relative water boxes (Z_{BOX} and XY_{BOX}) and number N_{H_2O} of water molecules used in

¹The consequences of the use of flexible nanotubes under various pressure conditions and different bonding potentials in water/CNT systems is investigated in the works of Longhurst and Quirke [13, 14, 15]

²In this work, properties calculated by letting water molecules enter spontaneously the nanotube are sometimes called 'natural' in order to distinguish from those obtained with a prescribed number of molecules in the nanotube.

1 the simulations carried out with model '0' (see Table 4) are reported. Simulations of the nanotubes
2 marked with an asterisk were repeated using different combinations of water model and nanotube
3 rigidity (see Table 4, models 1, 2, 3, 4, 5). A time step of 2 fs was employed for simulations with rigid
4 bonds, while in the case of flexible water the time step was reduced to 1 fs. The system was initially
5 equilibrated for 1 ns, and then simulated for other 4-5 ns. Atoms trajectories, used in the subsequent
6 statistical analysis of the results, were saved each 1 ps. Independence of the results from the size of
7 the water box, length of the nanotubes, cut-off parameter and equilibration time was verified.
8
9

10 11 **3 Results**

12 In the following sections, density, hydrogen bonding and self-diffusivity are derived from the atoms
13 trajectories calculated during the MD simulations listed in Table 3. There is a remarkable change
14 of these properties especially for water confined within small nanotubes. If we increase the CNT
15 diameter, on the other hand, the numerical value of the specific property goes asymptotically towards
16 the bulk value calculated with the corresponding water model (e.g. [16, 17, 18, 19]).
17
18
19

20 21 **3.1 Density**

22 The modification of the density is probably the most evident effect of the confinement of water within
23 CNTs. Both molecular simulations and experiments [20] show that water in the proximity of CNT
24 walls assumes a stratified structure, which modifies the organization of water molecules within the
25 nanotube. In the majority of works (e.g. [3, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]), however, density is fixed during
26 the simulation. This is usually done in order to calculate only water molecules located inside the
27 nanotube, thus avoiding the simulation of the external bath. Only a few articles (e.g. [7, 26]) provide
28 insights into the 'natural density' of water by taking into account the spontaneous entrance of water
29 molecules in small CNTs from an external bath. Hanasaki et al. [5, 27, 28] used an alternative method
30 called fluidized piston model (FPM), which may provide information on the density as well; the range
31 of their investigation, however, does not go beyond 28 Å.
32

33 Figure 1 shows our results (further details on density can be found in a previous paper [12]). The
34 density is calculated on the basis of the empty nanotube volume, which is not $(\pi/6)Ld^2$, but $(\pi/6)L(d-$
35 $\sigma_{CO})^2$ in order to take into account the occupancy of carbon atoms. The difference among results
36 obtained with different models does not go beyond 5.3% with the only exception of the (10,3) CNT
37 (13.5%). The use of flexible or rigid nanotubes does not change much the results as comparison
38 between 'model 0' and 'model 1' or between 'model 4' and 'model 5' shows. Simulations with flexible
39 water, on the other hand, produce higher densities as comparison between 'model 0' and 'model 3'
40 illustrates. The most notable features in Figure 1 is the presence of a discontinuity in the results at
41 small diameters. The line labelled 'single-file' indicates the narrowest nanotubes, which can contain
42 only a single file of water molecules (Figure 2). In this case, if the size is reduced, for instance, from
43 (6,6) to (8,2), the number of molecules per nanotube length does not change very much. The volume
44 of the nanotube, however, decreases more significantly and, consequently, the density is augmented.
45 When the diameter is in the 'layered mode' (region indicated with 'waters layers' in Figure 1), on the
46 other hand, the arrangement of water molecules near the walls assumes the typical layered structure [3],
47 which has a higher void fraction and, consequently, lower density. If we augment further the diameter,
48 the distance between the walls and the core of the nanotube increases and the water molecules located
49 at the centre of the CNT behave like in bulk. The density, consequently, grows asymptotically towards
50 the bulk value as the diameter increases, as Figure 1 shows.
51
52
53
54
55

56 57 **3.2 Hydrogen Bonding**

58 The hydrogen bond (HB) is a special type of attractive interaction that exists between an electronega-
59 tive atom and a hydrogen atom bonded to another electronegative atom. Since many thermodynamical
60 properties of water depend on the HB, it is worth investigating this parameter in the case of CNTs

(see also [3, 4, 21] for a smaller range of nanotubes). The average number of HB per molecule in bulk water varies from 2.3 to 3.8 [18, 29] according to the water model and the definition of HB. In this paper, the geometric definition [30] is used. The following three conditions must be satisfied in order to have a HB.

1. The distance between the oxygens of both molecules has to be smaller than a certain threshold value R_{OO} ;
2. the distance between the oxygen of the acceptor molecule and the hydrogen of the donor has to be lower than a certain threshold value R_{OH} ;
3. the bond angle between the O-O direction and the molecular O-H direction of the donor, where H is the hydrogen which forms the bond, has to be lower than a certain threshold value ϕ .

Within this definition, different values of R_{OO} , R_{HO} and ϕ have been employed in the literature. We used $R_{OO} = 3.3 \text{ \AA}$, $R_{HO} = 2.4 \text{ \AA}$ and $\phi = 30^\circ$ as done in Gordillo and Martí [3], but other choices (i.e. [4] or [21]) are equally possible.

In Figure 3, the average number of HBs for water molecule (N_H) resulting from our simulations is reported. The SPC-SPC/E family gives a higher number of N_H with respect to the TIP3P family. Within the same family, however, flexible water models have higher N_H than rigid models. The differences, however, are all within 10%. The labels ‘single-file’ and ‘water layers’ were kept in Figure 3 for comparison with the analogous Figures 1, 5 and 6, although in this circumstance the separation between these two zones is not as clear as in the other cases.

3.3 Self-Diffusion

Liu and Wang [24, 23] calculated the self-diffusivity (D) of water in armchair nanotubes from (8,8) to (16,16) at fixed densities (from 0.875 to 1.25 gcm^{-3}) by means of the Einstein equation [31]

$$D = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2kt} \langle |r(t) - r(0)|^2 \rangle \quad (5)$$

where $r(t)$ is the position of the centre of mass of water molecules at time t , k is the dimensionality of the system. Striolo et al. [32], on the other hand, studied water diffusion in long and narrow carbon nanotubes at low density taking into account the possibility of non-Fickian behaviour

$$D \propto \frac{|r(t) - r(0)|^2}{\Delta t^\alpha} \quad (6)$$

with $\alpha = 1$ in case of Fickian diffusivity, $\alpha = 0.5$ in case of ‘single-file’ diffusivity and $\alpha = 2$ in case of ‘ballistic’ diffusivity. Striolo et al. [32] and later Mukherjee et al. [33] showed that water molecules initially undergo ballistic diffusion, which, at long times, changes to Fickian. In fact, water molecules exhibit strong correlations due to hydrogen bonding. Therefore, they are highly coordinated like in ballistic mode but, at the same time, in small nanotubes, they move in a mono-dimensional fashion, which would result in single-file diffusion. The compromise between these two opposite situations results in the apparent Fickian diffusivity observed. Our work differs from those previous investigation since ‘natural’ density instead of fixed density is assumed in the CNTs.

In Figure 4, the average square molecular displacement $\Delta r^2(t) = \langle (r(t) - r(0))^2 \rangle$ in the x, y and z directions and for a (14,0) nanotube is shown³. Linear fit of $\ln(\Delta r(t))$ in the axial z -direction shows that, in the particular case of Figure 4, $\alpha = 0.89$. The radial x - and y -directions, on the other hand cannot be fitted by eq. 6 and after a certain time Δr^2 reaches a plateau. This is understandable, if we take into account the fact that the displacement is limited by the nanotube wall and that, as a consequence, water molecules cannot move beyond the value of d . In Figure 5, the parameter α is

³The initial time $t = 0$ begins after the statistical steady state is achieved. The molecules, moreover, are followed until they begin to exit the nanotube (approximately 500 ps in Figure 4).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

calculated from the trajectories obtained in our simulations. Also in this case, results coming from different models have a similar trend. Striolo et al. [32] reported that in long nanotubes the diffusion mechanism initially is ballistic and only after a certain time it changes to Fickian. The initial ballistic step, however, was not observed in our simulations. In our work, in fact, the concentration of water is higher and, unlike Striolo et al. [32], there is not formation of water clusters, which play an important role in the initial ballistic phase⁴.

In the case of self-diffusion D , Figure 6 shows that different models yield different values of D . This fact, however, is consistent with results of bulk water diffusivity coming from comparisons among different water models [17, 19]⁵. Both Figure 5 and Figure 6 have the discontinuous behaviour already seen in Figure 1. In the single-file mode the diffusion exponent α increases when the diameter decreases, while in the layered mode the opposite happens. This circumstance is not immediately understandable since single-file arrangements tend to have $\alpha = 0.5$, if there is no additional interaction between the molecules. Water molecules form hydrogen bonds, which can enhance coordination and, consequently, the value of α , but, according to Figure 3, N_H decreases at low diameters. The fact that must be noted, however, is that the lower the diameter the more ‘straight’ the water-file (see Figure 2). This circumstance tends to favour HBs with orientation parallel to the nanotube axis and, therefore, coordination in this direction. In bulk, in fact, N_H is almost 4, but water molecules are pulled or pushed isotropically by their HBs without any preferential direction in space. In small nanotubes, on the other hand, N_H is between 1 and 2, but it acts mainly on the z-axis favouring coordination, hence α , in this direction.

4 Conclusions

Water properties (i.e. density, hydrogen bonding and self-diffusivity) in carbon nanotubes have been investigated by means of molecular dynamics. Some of these properties were also investigated by other authors, but only for a small range of the nanotube diameters and a complete profile of their behaviour has never been presented so far. Our results show that these properties follow two different, and in certain cases opposite, trends according to the geometrical disposition of water molecules inside the nanotube. The critical diameter is found at approximately 10 Å. For $d < 10$ Å, in fact, H₂O molecules form a single-file geometry, while for $d > 10$ Å a layered water structure appears. In this work, furthermore, it was shown that various water models produce similar trends, while the use of rigid or flexible nanotubes was shown to have no practical consequences on the final results.

5 Acknowledgments

This work has been performed under the UCY-CompSci project, a Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge (TOK-DEV) grant (contract No. MTKD-CT-2004-014199) funded by the CEC under the 6th Framework Program.

References

- [1] P. Cherukuri, S. M. Bachilo, S. H. Litovsky, and R. B. Weisman, “Near-infrared fluorescence microscopy of single-walled carbon nanotubes in phagocytic cells,” *Journal Of The American Chemical Society*, vol. 126, pp. 15638–15639, Dec. 2004.

⁴It must be also considered that in our case the nanotubes length and, consequently, the average residence time of a water molecule in the CNT is shorter than in Striolo et al. [32].

⁵Figure 6 compares quantities with different units since the value of α is variable and therefore only a qualitative comparison can be made. Even though the variation of α is relatively small, that data in Figure 6 show higher noise with respect to the previous figures. This is due to the fact that small errors in α are reflected exponentially in D .

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- [2] N. W. S. Kam, T. C. Jessop, P. A. Wender, and H. J. Dai, "Nanotube molecular transporters: Internalization of carbon nanotube-protein conjugates into mammalian cells," *Journal Of The American Chemical Society*, vol. 126, pp. 6850–6851, June 2004.
 - [3] M. C. Gordillo and J. Martí, "Hydrogen bond structure of liquid water confined in nanotubes," *Chemical Physics Letters*, vol. 329, pp. 341–345, Oct. 2000.
 - [4] R. J. Mashl, S. Joseph, N. R. Aluru, and E. Jakobsson, "Anomalously immobilized water: A new water phase induced by confinement in nanotubes," *Nano Letters*, vol. 3, pp. 589–592, May 2003.
 - [5] I. Hanasaki and A. Nakatani, "Flow structure of water in carbon nanotubes: Poiseuille type or plug-like?," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 124, p. 144708, Apr. 2006.
 - [6] L. L. Huang, L. Z. Zhang, Q. Shao, J. Wang, L. H. Lu, X. H. Lu, S. Y. Jiang, and W. F. Shen, "Molecular dynamics simulation study of the structural characteristics of water molecules confined in functionalized carbon nanotubes," *Journal Of Physical Chemistry B*, vol. 110, pp. 25761–25768, Dec. 2006.
 - [7] X. Y. Zhou and H. J. Lu, "The structure and dynamics of water inside armchair carbon nanotube," *Chinese Physics*, vol. 16, pp. 335–339, Feb. 2007.
 - [8] M. Chaplin, "Water structure and behavior." <http://www.lsbu.ac.uk/water>, last visited 16 May 2007.
 - [9] S. Jakobtorweihen, M. G. Verbeek, C. P. Lowe, F. J. Keil, and B. Smit, "Understanding the loading dependence of self-diffusion in carbon nanotubes," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 95, p. 044501, July 2005.
 - [10] J. C. Phillips, R. Braun, W. Wang, J. Gumbart, E. Tajkhorshid, E. Villa, C. Chipot, R. D. Skeel, L. Kale, and K. Schulten, "Scalable molecular dynamics with namd," *Journal Of Computational Chemistry*, vol. 26, pp. 1781–1802, Dec. 2005.
 - [11] D. W. Brenner, "Empirical potential for hydrocarbons for use in simulating the chemical vapor-deposition of diamond films," *Physical Review B*, vol. 42, pp. 9458–9471, Nov. 1990.
 - [12] A. Alexiadis and S. Kassinos, "Influence of water model and nanotube rigidity on the density of water in carbon nanotubes," *Chemical Engineering Science (Accepted March 2008)*, 2008.
 - [13] M. J. Longhurst and N. Quirke, "Pressure dependence of the radial breathing mode of carbon nanotubes: The effect of fluid adsorption," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 98, p. 145503, Apr. 2007.
 - [14] M. J. Longhurst and N. Quirke, "The environmental effect on the radial breathing mode of carbon nanotubes in water," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 124, p. 234708, June 2006.
 - [15] M. J. Longhurst and N. Quirke, "The environmental effect on the radial breathing mode of carbon nanotubes. ii. shell model approximation for internally and externally adsorbed fluids," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 125, p. 184705, Nov. 2006.
 - [16] W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey, and M. L. Klein, "Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 926–935, 1983.
 - [17] M. Levitt, M. Hirshberg, R. Sharon, K. E. Laidig, and V. Daggett, "Calibration and testing of a water model for simulation of the molecular dynamics of proteins and nucleic acids in solution," *Journal Of Physical Chemistry B*, vol. 101, pp. 5051–5061, June 1997.
 - [18] J. Zielkiewicz, "Structural properties of water: Comparison of the spc, spce, tip4p, and tip5p models of water," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 123, p. 104501, Sept. 2005.

- 1
2 [19] Y. J. Wu, H. L. Tepper, and G. A. Voth, "Flexible simple point-charge water model with improved
3 liquid-state properties," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 124, p. 024503, Jan. 2006.
- 4
5 [20] J. L. Rivera, C. McCabe, and P. T. Cummings, "Layering behavior and axial phase equilibria of
6 pure water and water plus carbon dioxide inside single wall carbon nanotubes," *Nano Letters*,
7 vol. 2, pp. 1427–1431, Dec. 2002.
- 8
9 [21] J. Wang, Y. Zhu, J. Zhou, and X. H. Lu, "Diameter and helicity effects on static properties of
10 water molecules confined in carbon nanotubes," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, vol. 6,
11 no. 4, pp. 829–835, 2004.
- 12
13 [22] L. L. Huang, Q. Shao, L. H. Lu, X. H. Lu, L. Z. Zhang, J. Wang, and S. Y. Jiang, "Helicity
14 and temperature effects on static properties of water molecules confined in modified carbon
15 nanotubes," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, vol. 8, no. 33, pp. 3836–3844, 2006.
- 16
17 [23] Y. C. Liu and Q. Wang, "Transport behavior of water confined in carbon nanotubes," *Physical
18 Review B*, vol. 72, p. 085420, Aug. 2005.
- 19
20 [24] Y. C. Liu, Q. Wang, and X. F. Li, "A diffusion model for the fluids confined in micropores,"
21 *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 122, p. 044714, Jan. 2005.
- 22
23 [25] J. Martí and M. C. Gordillo, "Time-dependent properties of liquid water isotopes adsorbed in
24 carbon nanotubes," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 114, pp. 10486–10492, June 2001.
- 25
26 [26] G. Hummer, J. C. Rasaiah, and J. P. Noworyta, "Water conduction through the hydrophobic
27 channel of a carbon nanotube," *Nature*, vol. 414, pp. 188–190, Nov. 2001.
- 28
29 [27] I. Hanasaki and A. Nakatani, "Hydrogen bond dynamics and microscopic structure of confined
30 water inside carbon nanotubes," *Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 124, p. 174714, May 2006.
- 31
32 [28] I. Hanasaki and A. Nakatani, "Fluidized piston model for molecular dynamics simulations of
33 hydrodynamic flow," *Modelling And Simulation In Materials Science And Engineering*, vol. 14,
34 pp. S9–S20, July 2006.
- 35
36 [29] W. L. Jorgensen and J. D. Madura, "Temperature and size dependence for monte-carlo simula-
37 tions of tip4p water," *Molecular Physics*, vol. 56, pp. 1381–1392, Dec. 1985.
- 38
39 [30] A. Luzar and D. Chandler, "Hydrogen-bond kinetics in liquid water," *Nature*, vol. 379, pp. 55–57,
40 Jan. 1996.
- 41
42 [31] A. Einstein, "Eine neue bestimmung der moleküldimensionen," *Annalen der Physik*, vol. 19,
43 pp. 289–305, 1906.
- 44
45 [32] A. Striolo, "The mechanism of water diffusion in narrow carbon nanotubes," *Nano Letters*, vol. 6,
46 pp. 633–639, Apr. 2006.
- 47
48 [33] B. Mukherjee, P. K. Maitib, C. Dasgupta, and S. A. K., "Strong correlations and fickian water
49 diffusion in narrow carbon nanotubes," *The Journal Of Chemical Physics*, vol. 126, no. 12, pp. 1–
50 8, 2007.
- 51
52 [34] H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, and J. Hermans, *Intermolecular
53 Forces*. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1981.
- 54
55 [35] H. J. C. Berendsen, J. R. Grigera, and T. P. Straatsma, "The missing term in effective pair
56 potentials," *Journal Of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 91, pp. 6269–6271, Nov. 1987.
- 57
58 [36] O. Teleman, B. Jonsson, and S. Engstrom, "A molecular-dynamics simulation of a water model
59 with intramolecular degrees of freedom," *Molecular Physics*, vol. 60, pp. 193–203, Jan. 1987.
- 60

Model	σ_{O-O} [Å]	ε_{O-O} [kJ mol ⁻¹]	r_{0H} [Å]	q_H [e]	q_O [e]	θ_{HOH} [deg.]
SPC [34]	3.166	0.650	1.0	+0.41	-0.82	109.47
SPC/E [35]	3.166	0.650	1.0	+0.4238	-0.8476	109.47
TIP3P [16]	3.15061	0.6364	0.9572	+0.4170	-0.8340	104.52

Table 1: Water Models parameters.

	$k_{stretch}$ [kcal mol ⁻¹ Å ⁻²]	r_0 [Å]	k_{angle} [kcal mol ⁻¹ rad ⁻²]	θ_0	$k_{dihedr.}$ [kcal mol ⁻¹]	n	ϕ_0
CNT (AMBER)	469	1.4	63	120°	3.625	2	180°
Flex. SPC [36]	554.13	1.00	91.54	109.47°	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Flex. TIP3P (AMBER)	450	0.9572	55	104.5°	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Table 2: Intramolecular forces (eq.s 2, 3 and 4) parameters.

(n,m)	d [Å]	θ [°]	L [Å]	Z_{BOX} [Å]	XY_{BOX} [Å]	$N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$
(5,5)	6.78	30	17.23	48	20	561
(6,6)	8.14	30	20.92	60	30	1596
(8,8)	10.86	30	28.30	85	24	1420
(10,10)	13.57	30	28.30	85	24	1339
(12,12)	16.28	30	33.23	85	20	933
(16,16)	21.71	30	39.38	85	44	4810
(20,20)	27.14	30	49.22	90	55	7964
(24,24)	32.57	30	59.07	90	40	4041
(30,30)*	40.71	30	62.76	100	60	10459
(40,40)	54.28	30	81.22	120	55	10375
(10,0)	7.83	0	21.32	60	25	1102
(14,0)	10.97	0	27.71	75	30	1978
(19,0)*	14.89	0	29.84	75	40	3529
(24,0)	18.80	0	38.37	76	40	3532
(32,0)	25.07	0	49.02	96	50	7010
(45,0)*	35.25	0	51.16	90	60	9466
(58,0)*	45.44	0	76.73	100	55	8631
(8,4)	8.29	19.11	22.56	48	24	803
(10,5)	10.36	19.11	33.84	80	30	2107
(18,9)*	18.66	19.11	39.48	80	32	2339
(22,11)	22.80	19.11	45.12	90	40	4158
(34,17)	35.24	19.11	56.39	100	50	7219
(50,25)	51.82	19.11	67.67	120	80	22622
(8,2)*	7.18	10.89	19.54	48	20	557
(12,3)	10.77	10.89	29.30	54	18	476
(20,5)	17.95	10.89	32.56	60	40	2786
(32,8)*	28.72	10.89	39.07	72	58	7098
(52,13)	46.67	10.89	58.61	100	80	18857
(7,1)	5.91	6.59	32.18	100	18	946
(5,4)	6.12	26.33	33.30	100	18	948
(10,3)	9.24	12.73	25.13	80	20	926
(11,3)*	10.00	11.74	27.21	100	24	1687
(13,5)*	12.61	15.61	34.30	100	24	1660
(18,12)*	20.49	23.41	37.16	100	40	4679

Table 3: List of the carbon nanotubes and relative water boxes used in the simulations. The nanotubes without asterisk were simulated only with 'model0', the others with all the models reported in Table 4

Group	water model	nanotube
0	TIP3P	Rigid
1	TIP3P	Flexible
2	SPC/E	Rigid
3	TIP3P flex.	Rigid
4	SPC flex.	Rigid
5	SPC flex.	Flexible

Table 4: Simulations listed according to water model and nanotube rigidity.




Figure 1: Density of water ρ versus nanotube diameter calculated with various models (see Table 4).


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 2: Single-file distribution of water molecules in a (8,2), (6,6) and (10,3) carbon nanotube.




Figure 3: Average hydrogen bonds per water molecule N_H versus nanotube diameter calculated with various models (see Table 4).




Figure 4: Mean molecular displacement $\Delta r^2(t)$ in the x, y and z directions (logarithmic scale).




Figure 5: Self-diffusivity parameter α versus nanotube diameter calculated with various models (see Table 4).




Figure 6: Self-diffusivity coefficient D versus nanotube diameter calculated with various models (see Table 4).