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## Introduction

A gradient flow of a Morse function on a compact Riemannian manifold is said to be of Morse-Smale type if the stable and unstable manifolds of any two critical points intersect transversally. For such a Morse-Smale gradient flow there is a chain complex generated by the critical points and graded by the Morse index. The boundary operator has as its $(x, y)$-entry the number of gradient flow lines running from $x$ to $y$ counted with appropriate signs whenever the difference of the Morse indices is 1 . The homology of this chain complex agrees with the homology of the underlying manifold $M$ and this can be used to prove the Morse inequalities (cf. [33], [26]).

Around 1986, Floer generalized this idea to infinite dimensional variational problems in which every critical point has infinite Morse index but the moduli spaces of connecting orbits form finite dimensional manifolds for every

[^0]pair of critical points. The dimensions of these spaces give rise to a relative Morse index and the boundary operator is defined by counting connecting orbits when the relative Morse index is 1 . The resulting Floer homology groups have played an inportant role in symplectic geometry (cf. [15]) and in 3 and 4 dimensional topology (cf. [14]).

The Floer homology groups of a compact oriented 3-manifold $M$ are generated by the irreducible representations of the fundamental group in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. These can be thought of as flat connections on a principal SO(3)-bundle bundle $Q \rightarrow M$ and they appear as the critical points of the Chern-Simons functional on the infinite dimensional configuration space of connections on this bundle modulo gauge equivalence. The gradient flow lines of the ChernSimons functional are the self-dual Yang-Mills instantons on the 4-manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and they determine the boundary operator of the Floer homology groups $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\mathrm{inst}}(M, Q)$. This construction requires that all flat connections be nondegenerate. If this is not the case then a suitable perturbation of the Chern-Simons functional will lead to only nondegenerate critical points. A more serious restriction is that every flat connection on $Q$ (except for the 0 -connection in the case of the trivial bundle) must be a regular point for the action of the identity component $\mathcal{G}_{0}(Q)$ of the group of gauge transformations. This condition is satisfied if either $M$ is a homology-3-sphere (cf. [14]) or $Q$ restricts to a nontrivial $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundle over some oriented embedded Riemann surface $\Sigma \subset M$ (cf. [16]).

A special case is where the bundle $Q=P_{f}$ is the mapping cylinder of a nontrivial SO(3)-bundle $P \rightarrow \Sigma$ over a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ for an automorphism $f: P \rightarrow P$. The underlying 3-manifold is the mapping cylinder $M=\Sigma_{h}$ of $\Sigma$ for the diffeomorphism $h: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ induced by $f$. The flat connections on $P_{f}$ correspond naturally to the fixed points of the symplectomorphism $\phi_{f}: \mathcal{M}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ induced by $f$ on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P)$ of flat connections on the bundle $P$. This moduli space is a compact symplectic manifold (without singularities) of dimension $6 k-6$ where $k \geq 2$ is the genus of $\Sigma$. It is well known that this manifold is connected and simply connected and $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{F}(P)\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [2]). For any symplectomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of such a symplectic manifold there are Floer homology groups $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$. In this theory the critical points are the fixed points of $\phi$ and the connecting orbits are pseudoholomorphic curves $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which satisfy $u(s+1, t)=\phi(u(s, t))$ and converge to fixed points $x^{ \pm}$of $\phi$ as $t$ tends to $\pm \infty$. The Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is the Lefschetz number of $\phi$. If $\phi$ is the time-1-map of a time-dependent Hamiltonian flow then the Floer homology groups are naturally isomorphic to the homology of the underlying symplectic manifold $\mathcal{M}$ (cf. [15], [27]).

Hence for every automorphism $f: P \rightarrow P$ there are two Floer homology groups $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}\left(\mathcal{M}(P), \phi_{f}\right)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {inst }}\left(\Sigma_{h}, P_{f}\right)$. Both arise from the same chain complex which is generated by the flat connections on $P_{f}$ respectively the fixed points of $\phi_{f}$. In [10] it is shown that the relative Morse indices agree and hence the chain complex carries the same grading in both theories. The main result of the present paper asserts that there is a natural isomorphism of Floer homologies

$$
\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\mathrm{inst}}\left(\Sigma_{h} ; P_{f}\right)=\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}\left(\mathcal{M}(P), \phi_{f}\right) .
$$

In particular, when $f=\mathrm{id}$,

$$
\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\mathrm{inst}}\left(\Sigma \times S^{1} ; P \times S^{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathcal{M}(P), \mathbb{Z})
$$

The proof requires a comparison of the boundary operators. Think of the mapping cylinder $P_{f}=P_{f}(\varepsilon)$ as the product $P \times[0,1 / \varepsilon]$ and identify $P \times 1 / \varepsilon$ with $P \times 0$ via the automorphism $f$. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the self-dual instantons on $P_{f}(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ will become holomorphic curves in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P)$. In other words it follows from an implicit function theorem that near every holomorphic curve $u(s, t)$ in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ there is a self-dual instanton $a_{\varepsilon}(t)$ on $P_{f}(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. Conversely, it follows from Uhlenbeck's compactness that every such family of self-dual instantons $a_{\varepsilon}(t)$ will converge to a holomorphic curve in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero. The details will be carried out in sections $4-10$. In sections $1-3$ we discuss the necessary background about Floer homology and flat connections on $P$.

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out gaps in some of the proofs in earlier versions of this paper. The problem treated here was suggested to us by Andreas Floer during a visit to the ETH Zürich in 1990. He was a great mathematician and a wonderful human being. We dedicate this paper to his memory.

## 1. Floer homology for 3-manifolds

Let $Q \rightarrow M$ be a principal bundle over a compact oriented 3-manifold with structure group $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ which restricts to a nontrivial bundle over some oriented embedded Riemann surface $\Sigma \subset M$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ the space of connections and by $\mathcal{G}_{0}(Q)$ the identity component of the space of gauge transformations. Associated to $Q$ is the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{Q} \rightarrow M$ via the adjoint action of $G$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(3)$. Think of $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ as an affine subspace of the space of 1 forms on $Q$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$ whose parallel vector space is $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$.

A gauge transformation $g: Q \rightarrow G$ is called even if it lifts to a map $\tilde{g}: Q \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The subgroup of even gauge transformations is denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{\text {ev }}(Q)$. The degree of a gauge transformation is the integer $\operatorname{deg}(g) \in$
$\mathbb{Z}$ determined by the induced map on homology $\mathrm{H}_{3}(M)=\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3}(G)=$ $\mathbb{Z}$. Every even gauge transformation is of even degree and under the above assumption there exists a gauge transformation of degree 1 (cf. [16], [9]).

The perturbed Chern-Simons functional $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}: \mathcal{A}(Q) / \mathcal{G}_{0}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a_{0}+\alpha\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left(\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{a_{0}} \alpha \wedge \alpha\right\rangle+\frac{1}{3}\langle[\alpha \wedge \alpha] \wedge \alpha\rangle\right)-H\left(a_{0}+\alpha\right)
$$

for $\alpha \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$ and a fixed flat connection $a_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(Q)$. Here $\langle$,$\rangle denotes$ the invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by minus the Killing form (in the case $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ this is 4 times the trace). The covariant differential $\mathrm{d}_{a}: \Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$ is defined by $\mathrm{d}_{a} \alpha=\mathrm{d} \alpha+[a \wedge \alpha]$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}(Q)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$ The perturbation $H: \mathcal{A}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-valued holonomy of the connection along finitely many thickened loops in $M$. Thus $H$ is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ev}}(Q)$. (cf. [14], [30], [9] for a precise definition of $H$ ). The Chern-Simons functional satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}(a)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(g^{*} a\right)=8 \pi^{2} \operatorname{deg}(g) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [3]). The differential of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{d} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}(a) \alpha=\int_{M}\left\langle\left(F_{a}-Y(a)\right) \wedge \alpha\right\rangle
$$

where $F_{a}$ is the curvature and $Y: \mathcal{A}(Q) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$ represents the differential of $H$. The function $Y$ is smooth with respect to the $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-topology on $\mathcal{A}(Q)$. In particular, $Y(a)$ does not depend on the derivatives of $a$. In other words the curvature $F$ is a nonlinear first order operator on $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ and $Y$ is a zeroth order (and therefore compact) perturbation. Both operators are equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ev}}(Q)$ on $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ and $\Omega^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$. The critical points of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ are called $H$-flat connections. They satisfy $F_{a}=Y(a)$ and the set of such connections is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(Q, H)$. The perturbation $H$ can be chosen such that every $H$-flat connection $a$ is a nondegenerate critical point of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ (cf. [14], [8], [30]).

The gradient flow of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}+* F_{a}-* Y(a)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $Y=0$ this is the self-duality equation on $Q \times \mathbb{R}$. If $a$ satisfies (1.2) and has finite Yang-Mills energy then $a(t)$ converges to $H$-flat connections on $Q$ as $t$ dends to $\pm \infty$ (cf. [14], [22], [30]). Fix $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(Q, H)$ and denote by $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$the moduli space of gauge equivalence classes $[a]$ of solutions of (1.2) which satisfy the limit condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} a(t)=g_{ \pm}^{*} a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(Q, H) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g_{ \pm} \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(Q)$. Here $\left[a_{1}\right] \equiv\left[a_{2}\right]$ if and only if $a_{2}(t)=g^{*} a_{1}(t)$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(Q)$. The solutions of (1.2) minimize the perturbed Yang-Mills action

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{H}(a) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left\|F_{a}-Y(a)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(M)}^{2}+\|\dot{a}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(M)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\mathcal{C S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the limit condition (1.3). The second equality holds only for $a \in$ $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$. For a generic perturbation $H$ the space $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$is a smooth manifold of dimension

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=\mu\left(a^{+}\right)-\mu\left(a^{-}\right)
$$

where $\mu(a)=\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a}\right)-\mathcal{C S}_{H}(a) / 2 \pi^{2}$ (cf. [8], [14], [22], [30]). Here $\mathrm{D}_{a}$ denotes the extended Hessian of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$. It is a self-adjoint operator on $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right) \oplus \Omega^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{Q}\right)$ given by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* \mathrm{~d}_{a}-* \mathrm{~d} Y(a) & \mathrm{d}_{a} \\
\mathrm{~d}_{a}^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a}\right)$ denotes its eta-invariant (cf. [3]). In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(g^{*} a\right)-\mu(a)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\mathcal{C S}_{H}(a)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(g^{*} a\right)\right)=4 \operatorname{deg}(g) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}(Q)$ (cf. [3]).
The solutions of (1.2) determine a boundary operator on the chain complex

$$
C_{k}=\bigoplus_{\substack{[a] \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {fata }}(Q) / \mathcal{G}_{0}(Q) \\ \mu_{H}(a)-\mu_{H}\left(a_{0}\right)=k}} \mathbb{Z}[a] .
$$

Choose coherent orientations of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$as in [14], [18]. Whenever $a \in \mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$with $\mu\left(a^{+}\right)-\mu\left(a^{-}\right)=1$ define $\nu(a)= \pm 1$ according to whether the natural flow orientation of $a(t)$ (given by time shift) agrees with this coherent orientation or not. The $\left(a^{+}, a^{-}\right)$-entry of the boundary operator

$$
\partial: C_{k+1} \rightarrow C_{k}
$$

is defined by taking the sum of the numbers $\nu(a)$ over all instantons $[a] \in$ $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) / \mathbb{R}$ whenever $\mu\left(a^{+}\right)-\mu\left(a^{-}\right)=1$. In [14] Floer proved that this number is finite and that $\partial$ is a boundary operator, i.e. $\partial^{2}=0$. The homology groups of this chain complex are called the Floer homology of the pair $(M, Q)$ and they are denoted by

$$
\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {inst }}(M, Q)=\operatorname{ker} \partial / \operatorname{im} \partial
$$

The Floer homology groups are independent of the metric on $M$ and the perturbation $H$ used to define them (cf. [14], [16]). This means that different
choices of metric and perturbation give rise to natural isomorphisms. Since there exists a gauge transformation of degree 1 it follows from (1.4) that the Floer homology groups are graded modulo 4. The Euler characteristic is Casson's invariant of the pair ( $M, Q$ ).

Remark 1.1. If $H$ can be chosen invariant under all gauge transformations (not just the even ones) then the group $\Gamma$ of components of the space of degree-0 gauge transformations acts on $\mathrm{HF}_{k}^{\text {inst }}(M, Q)$ for every $k$. This requires an equivariant perturbation theory which takes account of the action of a finite group.

## 2. Floer homology for symplectic fixed points

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a $2 n$-dimensional symplectic manifold and $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a symplectomorphism. This means that $\omega$ is a nondegenerate closed 2 form and $\phi^{*} \omega=\omega$. The tangent bundle of any symplectic manifold admits an almost complex structure $J: T \mathcal{M} \rightarrow T \mathcal{M}$ which is compatible with $\omega$ in the sense that $\langle v, w\rangle=\omega(v, J w)$ defines a Riemannian metric. Thus $T \mathcal{M}$ is a complex vector bundle and, since the space $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ of all almost complex structures which are compatible with $\omega$ is connected, the first Chern class $c_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ of $T \mathcal{M}$ is uniquely determined by $\omega$ (cf. [20], [24]). The symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is called monotone if there exists a positive constant $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\int_{S^{2}} v^{*} \omega=\lambda \int_{S^{2}} v^{*} c_{1}
$$

for every smooth map $v: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We shall assume throughout that $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is simply connected and monotone. Under this assumption there are Floer homology groups $\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ whose Euler characteristic is the Lefschetz number of $\phi$. Since this is an extension of Floer's original work in [15] (to the case where $\phi \neq \mathrm{id}$ ), we summarize the main points of the construction.

Let $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:(s, p) \mapsto H_{s}(p)$ be a smooth time-dependent Hamiltonian function such that $H_{s}=H_{s+1} \circ \phi$. The symplectomorphisms $\psi_{s}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $H$ are defined by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \psi_{s}=X_{s} \circ \psi_{s}, \quad \psi_{0}=\mathrm{id}, \quad \iota\left(X_{s}\right) \omega=\mathrm{d} H_{s}
$$

They satisfy

$$
\psi_{s+1} \circ \phi_{H}=\phi \circ \psi_{s},
$$

where $\phi_{H}:=\psi_{1}{ }^{-1} \circ \phi$. For a generic Hamiltonian $H$ the fixed points of $\phi_{H}$ are all nondegenerate. (See [21] for the case $\phi=\mathrm{id}$. The general case is similar.)

They can be represented as the critical points of the perturbed symplectic action functional on the space of smooth paths

$$
\Omega_{\phi}=\{\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}: \gamma(s+1)=\phi(\gamma(s))\}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is simply connected the fundamental group of $\Omega_{\phi}$ is $\pi_{1}\left(\Omega_{\phi}\right)=$ $\pi_{2}(\mathcal{M})$. The perturbed symplectic action functional $a_{H}: \Omega_{\phi} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \lambda \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as a function whose differential is given by

$$
\mathrm{d} a_{H}(\gamma) \xi=\int_{0}^{1} \omega\left(\dot{\gamma}-X_{s}(\gamma), \xi\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

So the critical points of $a_{H}$ are the paths of the form $x(s)=\psi_{s}\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that $x(s+1)=\phi(x(s))$. These are in one-to-one correspondence with the fixed points of $\phi_{H}$.

Now choose a smooth map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M}, \omega): s \mapsto J_{s}$ such that $J_{s}=$ $\phi^{*} J_{s+1}$. Such a structure determines a metric on $\Omega_{\phi}$. The gradient flow lines of $a_{H}$ with respect to this metric are the solutions $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{J, H}(u)=\partial_{t} u+J_{s}(u)\left(\partial_{s} u-X_{s}(u)\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s+1, t)=\phi(u(s, t)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $X_{s}=0$ these are Gromov's pseudoholomorphic curves (cf. [20]). If the fixed points of $\phi_{H}$ are all nondegenerate then it follows from Gromov's compactness that any solution of (2.1) and (2.2) with finite energy

$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|\partial_{s} u-X_{s}(u)\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t<\infty
$$

has limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} u(s, t)=\psi_{s}\left(x^{ \pm}\right), \quad x^{ \pm}=\phi_{H}\left(x^{ \pm}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [15], [20], [24], [26]). Given any two fixed points $x^{ \pm}$of $\phi_{H}$ denote by $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$the space of all solutions $u$ of (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3). The local structure of the space $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$can be examined by linearizing equation (2.1). This gives rise to the perturbed Cauchy-Riemann operator $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ : $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{u} \xi=\nabla_{t} \xi+J_{s}(u)\left(\nabla_{s} \xi-\nabla_{\xi} X_{s}(u)\right)+\nabla_{\xi} J_{s}(u)\left(\partial_{s} u-X_{s}(u)\right)
$$

Here $\nabla$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the $s$-dependent met$\operatorname{ric}\langle v, w\rangle_{s}=\omega\left(v, J_{s} w\right)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ (respectively $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ ) denote the completions of the space of smooth vector fields $\xi(s, t) \in T_{u(s, t)} \mathcal{M}$
along $u$, which satisfy $\xi(s+1, t)=d \phi(u(s, t)) \xi(s, t)$ and have compact support on $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$, with respect to the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm (respectively $\mathrm{W}^{1, p}$-norm) on $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $x^{ \pm}$are nondegenerate fixed points of $\phi_{H}$ and $u$ satisfies satisfies (2.2) and (2.3) then $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is a Fredholm operator and its index is given by the Maslov class of $u$ :

$$
\operatorname{index}^{\mathcal{D}_{u}}=\mu(u)
$$

(cf. [10] and [27]). The Maslov class $\mu(u)$ is invariant under homotopy, additive for catenations, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(u \# v)=\mu(u)-2 c_{1}(v) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any sphere $v: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ (cf. [10] and [27]).
If $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is onto then $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$is a finite dimensional manifold near $u$. This follows from an implicit function theorem. For later reference we shall state here a version of that theorem. Fix a reference function $u_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which satisfies (2.2) and $u_{0}(s, t)=\psi_{s}\left(x^{+}\right)$for $t \geq 1$ and $u_{0}(s, t)=\psi_{s}\left(x^{-}\right)$for $t \leq-1$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $p>2$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Then for every constant $c_{0}>0$ there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that the following holds. If $\xi_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u_{0}^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c_{0}, \quad\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}(u)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \delta
$$

where $u=\exp _{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\eta\|_{L^{q}} \leq c_{0}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta\right\|_{L^{q}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\eta \in \mathrm{W}^{1, q}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)^{1}$ then there exists a unique section $\xi=\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta \in$ $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{J, H}\left(\exp _{u}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad\|\xi\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}(u)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

Proof. The proof is an application of the implicit function theorem for the map $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(\xi)=\Phi_{\xi}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J, H}\left(\exp _{u}(\xi)\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\xi}: \mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(\exp _{u}(\xi)^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ denotes parallel transport along the geodesic $\tau \mapsto \exp _{u}(\tau \xi)$. The map $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth and its derivatives are controlled by the $W^{1, p}$-norm of $\xi_{0}$. The differential at zero is given by $d \mathcal{F}(0)=$ $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ and the condition (2.5) guarantees that this operator is onto and has a

[^1]right inverse. In fact, there is a constant $c_{1}>0$ depending only on $c_{0}$ such that
$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{u} \mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$
for every $\eta \in \mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta \in \mathrm{~W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right) .{ }^{2}$ This estimate is proved by arguments similar to those in the proof of Lemma 4.5 below. It follows that the operator $\zeta \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{u}^{*}\left(\mathcal{D}_{u} \mathcal{D}_{u}^{*}\right)^{-1} \zeta$ is the required right inverse of $\mathcal{D}_{u}$.

A Hamiltonian function $H$ is called regular if the fixed points of $\phi_{H}$ are all nondegenerate and the operator $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is onto for every $u \in \mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$ and any two fixed points $x^{ \pm}$of $\phi_{H}$. As in Floer's papers [11] and [15] it can be proved that the set $\mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}=\mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}(J)$ of regular Hamiltonians is generic in the sense of Baire with respect to a suitable $C_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}$-topology (see also [27] and [24]). It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}$ and $x^{ \pm}=\phi_{H}\left(x^{ \pm}\right)$ the space $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$is a manifold whose local dimension near $u$ is the Maslov class $\mu(u)$. By (2.4) the Maslov class determines a map $\mu: \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{H}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2 N}$ (defined up to an additive constant) such that

$$
\mu(u)=\mu\left(x^{-}\right)-\mu\left(x^{+}\right)(\bmod 2 N)
$$

for every solution $u$ of (2.2) and (2.3). Here the integer $N$ is the minimal Chern number defined by $c_{1}\left(\pi_{2}(\mathcal{M})\right)=N \mathbb{Z}$. The additive constant can be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{\mu(x)}=\operatorname{sign} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{1}-d \phi_{H}(x)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{H}\right)$.
As in Floer's original work (cf. [15] for the case $\phi=\mathrm{id}$ ) the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$of connecting orbits can be used to construct a chain complex.

$$
C_{k}=\bigoplus_{\substack{x=\phi_{H}(x) \\ \mu(x)=k(\bmod 2 N)}} \mathbb{Z} x .
$$

The boundary operator $\partial: C_{k+1} \rightarrow C_{k}$ is defined by taking the sum of the numbers $\nu(u)$ over all 1-dimensional components of $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$. These numbers are defined by comparing the flow orientation of $u$ with the coherent orientation of $\mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$as in [18]. In [15] Floer proved in the case $\phi=\mathrm{id}$ that $\partial$ is well defined and satisfies $\partial^{2}=0$. His arguments carry over to the case $\phi \neq \mathrm{id}$. The Floer homology groups of $\phi$ are are defined as the homology of this chain complex

$$
\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi, H, J)=\operatorname{ker} \partial / \operatorname{im} \partial .
$$

[^2]It can be proved as in [15] and [27] that the Floer homology groups are independent of the almost complex structures $J_{s}$ and and the perurbation $H$ used to define them. They depend on $\phi$ only up to Hamiltonian isotopy. In other words, there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \phi^{\alpha}, H^{\alpha}, J^{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \phi^{\beta}, H^{\beta}, J^{\beta}\right)
$$

whenever $\phi^{\alpha}$ and $\phi^{\beta}$ are related by a Hamiltonian isotopy (cf. [9]). By (2.4) the Floer homology groups are graded modulo 2N. By (2.7) the Euler characteristic is the Lefschetz number of $\phi$

$$
\chi\left(\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)\right)=\sum_{x=\phi_{H}(x)} \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{1}-d \phi_{H}(x)\right)=L(\phi)
$$

Remark 2.2. A similar construction works for some classes of compact symplectic manifolds $\mathcal{M}$ which are neither monotone nor simply connected. In this case there are Floer homology groups for every component of $\Omega_{\phi}$ and they are modules over a suitable Novikov ring as in [21].

Remark 2.3. If $\phi=$ id then the Floer homology groups are naturally isomorphic to the homology of the underlying symplectic manifold $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\mathrm{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{id}) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})
$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is not simply connected then this continues to hold for the component of contractible loops on $\mathcal{M}$ and this implies the Arnold conjecture (cf. [15]).

Remark 2.4. For every symplectomorphism $\psi$ there is a natural isomorphism of Floer homologies $\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi)=\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \psi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi\right.$ ). (To see this consider the function $v(s, t)=\psi^{-1}(u(s, t))$ where $u(s, t)$ is a solution of (2.1) and (2.2).) Donaldson has suggested the construction of a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \psi) \otimes \operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \phi) \rightarrow \operatorname{HF}_{*}^{\text {symp }}(\mathcal{M}, \psi \circ \phi)
$$

using moduli spaces of $J$-holomorphic curves with three cylindrical ends (the pair-of-pants construction). If $\psi=$ id then this determines an action of the homology of $\mathcal{M}$ on the Floer homology groups of $\phi$. If $\phi=\psi=\mathrm{id}$ then this should agree with the deformed cup-product of Witten.

We close this section with an existence theorem for solutions of (2.1) which is based on Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.5. Assume $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}$. Let $x^{ \pm}$be fixed points of $\phi_{H}$ and let A denote a homotopy class of maps $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which satisfy (2.2) and (2.3) with $\mu(u)=1$. Then for every $c_{0}>0$ and $p>2$ there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that the following holds. If $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfies (2.2)
and (2.3) and represents the class $A$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{t} u(s, t)\right| \leq \frac{c_{0}}{1+t^{2}}, \quad\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}(u)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \delta,
$$

then there exists a unique section $\xi=\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*} \eta \in \mathrm{~W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{J, H}\left(\exp _{u}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad\|\xi\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}(u)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} .
$$

Remark 2.6. The function $f(t)=c_{0} /\left(1+t^{2}\right)$ has been chosen because it is integrable, $p$-integrable, and is the derivative of functions $F^{ \pm}$which are $p$-integrable on $\mathbb{R}^{ \pm}$, respectively. Any function with these properties will do.

Lemma 2.7. Assume $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}$ and let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$and $p>2$. Then for every constant $c_{0}>0$ there exists a constant $c>0$ such that if $\xi_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ with $\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c_{0}$ then

$$
\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c\left(\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}\left(\exp _{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}\right)
$$

Proof. Consider the map $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{1, p}\left(u_{0}^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\phi}^{p}\left(u_{0}^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ defined by (2.6) with $u$ replaced by $u_{0}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}(0)=\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}$ there is a quadratic estimate

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\xi_{0}\right)-\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}} \xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{1}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}
$$

Here the constant $c_{1}$ depends only on $u_{0}$ and $c_{0}$. Now the statement follows from the elliptic estimate $\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}} \leq c_{2}\left(\left\|\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}} \xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. If the assertion of the theorem were false then there would exist a sequence $u_{\nu}$ representing the class $A$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{t} u_{\nu}(s, t)\right| \leq \frac{c_{0}}{1+t^{2}}, \quad \lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\bar{\partial}_{J, H}\left(u_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}=0
$$

but the conclusion of the theorem is not satisfied with $u=u_{\nu}$ and $c=\nu$. Now the derivatives of $u_{\nu}$ are uniformly bounded in the $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}$-norm and $E\left(u_{\nu}\right) \leq c_{1}$. Hence, passing to a subsequence, we may assume without loss of generality that $u_{\nu}$ converges uniformly on compact sets to a solution $u_{0}$ of (2.1) with finite energy. Since $\mu\left(u_{\nu}\right)=1$ it follows as in the usual compactness argument for Morse-Smale gradient flows that $u_{0} \in \mathcal{M}\left(x^{-}, x^{+}\right)$(cf. [26]). By the uniform decay estimate $u_{\nu}$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, $u_{\nu}=\exp _{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{\nu}\right)$ where

$$
\sup _{\nu}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}}<\infty, \quad\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.7, $\xi_{\nu}$ converges to zero in the $\mathrm{W}^{1, p}$-norm. This implies that the operators $\mathcal{D}_{u_{\nu}}$ satisfy (2.5) for $\nu$ sufficiently large with a uniform constant $c$. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that for $\nu$ sufficiently large the
functions $u_{\nu}$ do satisfy the conclusion of the theorem with a uniform constant c. This contradicts our assumption. Hence the theorem is proved.

## 3. Flat connections over a Riemann surface

Let $\pi: P \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a nontrivial SO(3)-bundle over a compact oriented Riemann surface of genus $k \geq 2$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ the component of $\mathbb{1}$ in the space of gauge transformations and by

$$
\mathcal{M}(P)=\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P) / \mathcal{G}_{0}(P)
$$

the moduli space of flat connections. This space is a compact manifold of dimension $6 k-6$. Its tangent space at an equivalence class $[A]$ of a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ can be identified with the twisted deRham cohomology $T_{[A]} \mathcal{M}(P)=\mathrm{H}_{A}^{1}=\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{d}_{A} / \operatorname{imd}_{A}$. Here $\mathrm{d}_{A}: \Omega^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ denotes the covariant derivative defined by $\mathrm{d}_{A} \alpha=\mathrm{d} \alpha+[A \wedge \alpha]$. Given a conformal structure on $\Sigma$ we may identify $\mathrm{H}_{A}^{1}$ with the space ker $\mathrm{d}_{A} \cap \mathrm{ker}_{\mathrm{d}_{A}}{ }^{*}$ of harmonic forms. Here $\mathrm{d}_{A}{ }^{*}=-* \mathrm{~d}_{A} *$ denotes the $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-adjoint of $\mathrm{d}_{A}$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P)$ carries a natural symplectic structure

$$
\omega(\alpha, \beta)=\int_{\Sigma}\langle\alpha \wedge \beta\rangle
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}_{A}^{1}$. Every conformal structure on $\Sigma$ determines a complex structure on $\mathcal{M}(P)$ (cf. [2]). The corresponding almost complex structure on $T \mathcal{M}(P)$ is given by the the Hodge-*-operators on the spaces $\mathrm{H}_{A}^{1}$ of harmonic forms and is compatible with $\omega$.

The second homotopy group of $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is given by

$$
\pi_{2}(\mathcal{M}(P))=\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{0}(P)\right)=\mathbb{Z} .
$$

More precisely, a sphere in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ can be represented by a smooth map $A$ : $D \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ such that $A\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)=g(\theta)^{*} A_{0}$ where $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$ is the unit disc, $A_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ is a flat connection on $P$, and $g(\theta)=g(\theta+$ 1) $\in \mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ is a loop of gauge transformations. Any such loop has a degree $\operatorname{deg}(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the integrals of $\omega$ and $c_{1}=c_{1}(T \mathcal{M}(P))$ over $A$ are given by

$$
\left\langle c_{1}, A\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}}\langle[\omega], A\rangle=2 \operatorname{deg}(g)
$$

(cf. [10]). In particular, $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is monotone in the sense of section 2 with $\lambda=4 \pi^{2}$.

Every orientation preserving automorphism $f: P \rightarrow P$ determines a symplectomorphism $\phi_{f}: \mathcal{M}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ defined by $[A] \mapsto\left[f^{*} A\right]$. If $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are isotopic then $\phi_{f_{0}}=\phi_{f_{1}}$ and hence the correspondence $f \mapsto \phi_{f}$ determines a symplectic action $\operatorname{Aut}^{+}(P) / \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(P) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{M}(P), \omega)$ where
$\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(P)$ denoptes the component of the identity in the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{+}(P)$ of orientation preserving automorphisms. Note that the quotient $\operatorname{Aut}^{+}(P) / \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(P)$ is a finite extension of the mapping class group $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(\Sigma) / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}(\Sigma)$ by $\pi_{0}(\mathcal{G}(P)) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}^{2 k}$.

The automorphism $f$ also determines a principal bundle $P_{f} \rightarrow \Sigma_{h}$ where $h: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the diffeomorphism induced by $f$ and $P_{f}$ and $\Sigma_{h}$ denote the mapping cylinders. A connection $a \in \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right)$ is a 1 -form $a=A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ where $A(s) \in \mathcal{A}(P), \Phi(s) \in \Omega^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ and

$$
A(s+1)=f^{*} A(s), \quad \Phi(s+1)=\Phi(s) \circ f .
$$

The group $\mathcal{G}\left(P_{f}\right)$ of gauge transformations of $P_{f}$ consists of smooth maps $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$ such that $g(s+1)=g(s) \circ f$. It acts on $\mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right)$ by

$$
g^{*} a=g^{*} A+\left(g^{-1} \dot{g}+g^{-1} \Phi g\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Here the notation $g^{*}$ is used ambiguously: $g^{*} a$ denotes the action of $g \in \mathcal{G}\left(P_{f}\right)$ on $a \in \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right)$ whereas $g^{*} A$ denotes the pointwise action of $g(s) \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ on $A(s) \in \mathcal{A}(P)$.

## The space of paths

Fix a 1-parameter family of conformal structures on $\Sigma$ such that the associated Hodge-*-operators satisfy $*_{s+1} \circ f^{*}=f^{*} \circ *_{s}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right)$ the subspace of those connections $a=A(s)+\Phi(s) \mathrm{d} s$ which satisfy $F_{A}=0$ and $d_{A} *_{s}\left(\dot{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right)=0$. For any such connection and every $s$ the section $\Phi(s) \in$ $\Omega^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $A$ since $\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{S} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \Phi=\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{S} \dot{A}$. The 1 -form $\dot{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi$ is the projection of $\dot{A}$ onto the space of harmonic forms with respect to the $s$-metric. Also denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right)$ the subgroup of those $g \in \mathcal{G}\left(P_{f}\right)$ such that $g(s) \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ for all $s$. It follows from results in [2] and [5] that the space $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ is simply connected and hence $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right)$ is connected. There is a natural bijection $\Omega_{\phi_{f}}=\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right) / \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right)$ and the quotient $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right) / \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(P_{f}\right)$ is the universal cover of $\Omega_{\phi_{f}}$. The second homotopy group of $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the fundamental group of $\Omega_{\phi_{f}}$ and can be identified with the second homotopy group of $\mathcal{M}(P)$ (cf. [10]):

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\Omega_{\phi_{f}}\right)=\pi_{2}(\mathcal{M}(P))=\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right) / \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(P_{f}\right)=\mathbb{Z}
$$

## The Chern-Simons functional

The Chern-Simons functional $\mathcal{C S}: \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{C S}(a)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\dot{A} \wedge\left(A-A_{0}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle F_{A} \wedge \Phi\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

for $a=A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ where $A_{0}=f^{*} A_{0}$ is a fixed flat connection. The restriction of the Chern-Simons functional to $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right)$ agrees with the symplectic action
on $\Omega_{\phi_{f}}$. The critical points are the flat connections on $P_{f}$ and they satisfy

$$
F_{A}=0, \quad \dot{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi=0
$$

Gauge equivalence classes of such connections are in one-to-one correspondence with the fixed points of $\phi_{f}$. If $\phi_{f}$ has degenerate fixed points choose a gauge invariant holonomy perturbation $H_{s}: \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in [10] such that

$$
H_{s+1}\left(f^{*} A\right)=H_{s}(A)
$$

Think of $H_{s}$ as a Hamiltonian function with corresponding Hamiltonian vector field $X_{s}: \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{d} H_{s}(A) \alpha=\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle X_{s}(A) \wedge \alpha\right\rangle
$$

Since $H_{s}: \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ the vector field $X_{s}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}\left(g^{*} A\right)=g^{-1} X_{s}(A) g, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{A} X_{s}(A)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. The corresponding Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms $\psi_{s}: \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P)$ are equivariant under the action of $\mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ and the curvature is constant along the flow. Moreover, $\psi_{s+1} \circ \phi_{f, H}=\phi_{f} \circ \psi_{s}$ where $\phi_{f, H}=\psi_{1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{f}$.

The perturbed Chern-Simons functional $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}: \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}(A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s)=\mathcal{C S}(A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s)-\int_{0}^{1} H_{s}(A(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

A connection $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ on $P_{f}$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ iff

$$
F_{A}=0, \quad \dot{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi-X_{s}(A)=0
$$

Denote the space of such critical points by $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$.
Remark 3.1. There is a bijection $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right) / \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{f, H}\right)$. Moreover, an $H$-flat connection $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ is nondegenerate as a critical point of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}$ if and only if $A(0)$ represents a nondegenerate fixed point of $\phi_{f, H}$ (cf. [10]). The perturbation $H$ can be chosen such that the symplectomorphism $\phi_{f, H}: \mathcal{M}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ has only nondegenerate fixed points (cf. [21]).

## Instantons and holomorphic curves

Fix two nondegenerate $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm}=A^{ \pm}+\Phi^{ \pm} d s \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ and choose smooth functions $A: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ and $\Phi, \Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(s+1, t)=f^{*} A(s, t) \\
\Phi(s+1, t)=\Phi(s, t) \circ f, \quad \Psi(s+1, t)=\Phi(s, t) \circ f . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} A(s, t)=A^{ \pm}(s), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \Phi(s, t)=\Phi^{ \pm}(s), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \Psi(s, t)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose a smooth family of conformal structures on $\Sigma$ depending on a real parameter $s$ such that $*_{s+1} \circ f^{*}=f^{*} \circ *_{s}$. Then the perturbed CauchyRiemann equations (2.1) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi+*_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right)=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For solutions of (3.4) the functions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are uniquely determined by $A$. In other words the function $A: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(s, t)$ is an anti-holomorphic curve if and only if the harmonic part of $\partial_{t} A+*_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)\right)$ vanishes. If this is the case then there exist unique functions $\Phi, \Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ such that (3.4) is satisfied.

Now think of $\Xi=A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s+\Psi \mathrm{d} t$ as a connection on $P_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$. Here the connections $A(s, t)$ are no longer required to be flat. The perturbed selfduality equations on $P_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi+*_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right) & =0, \\
\partial_{t} \Phi-\partial_{s} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi]+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} F_{A} & =0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equation in (3.5) agrees with (3.4) while the second equation replaces the condition on $A(s, t)$ to be flat. The factor $1 / \varepsilon^{2}$ arises from conformally rescaling the metric on $\Sigma$ by the factor $\varepsilon^{2}$. The Hodge-*-operator on 1forms (the middle dimension) is invariant under conformal rescaling while the Hodge- - -operator on 2 -forms rescales by $1 / \varepsilon^{2}$. Alternatively, equation (3.5) can be obtained by considering a solution $\widetilde{\Xi}=\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{\Phi} \mathrm{d} s+\widetilde{\Psi} \mathrm{d} t$ of the self-duality equation with $\varepsilon=1$ and $*_{s}$ replaced by $*_{\varepsilon s}$ on the domain $0 \leq s \leq 1 / \varepsilon$. Then $A(s, t)=\widetilde{A}(s / \varepsilon, t / \varepsilon), \Phi(s, t)=\widetilde{\Phi}(s / \varepsilon, t / \varepsilon) / \varepsilon$, and $\Psi(s, t)=\widetilde{\Psi}(s / \varepsilon, t / \varepsilon) / \varepsilon$ satisfy (3.5). This is a modification of Atiyah's idea to stretch the neck for Heegard splittings (cf. [1]).

If $\Xi$ satisfies (3.5) then the perturbed Yang-Mills action of $\Xi$ with respect to the rescaled metric on $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\Xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Xi$ satisfies (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5) then

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\Xi)=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right) .
$$

If instead $\Xi$ satisfies (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) with $F_{A}=0$ then the the right hand side of (3.6) is not the Yang-Mills action but the energy of the antiholomorphic curve represented by $A$.

## Index theorem

The Sobolev space $\mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times T^{*} \Sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ is the completion of the space of compactly supported smooth maps $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega^{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ which satisfy $\alpha(s+1, t)=$ $f^{*} \alpha(s, t)$ with respect to the $\mathrm{W}^{k, p_{-}}$norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \Sigma_{h}$. The space $\mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ is defined similarly. For any smooth map $A: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ which satisfies (3.2) the closed linear subspace $\mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right) \subset \mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times T^{*} \Sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ consists of those $\alpha_{0}$ such that $\alpha_{0}(s, t)$ is a harmonic 1-forms on $\mathfrak{g}_{P}$ with respect to the $s$-metric and the connection $A(s, t)$.

Linearizing (3.4) gives rise to the Fredholm operator

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}=\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi): \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{f}^{p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0} \alpha_{0}=\pi_{A}\left(\nabla_{t} \alpha_{0}+*_{s} \nabla_{s} \alpha_{0}-*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \alpha_{0}\right)
$$

Here $\nabla_{s}=\partial_{s}+\Phi, \nabla_{t}=\partial_{t}+\Psi$ and $\pi_{A(s, t)}(\alpha)$ denotes the harmonic part of the 1 -form $\alpha$ with respect to the connection $A(s, t)$ and the $s$-metric on $\Sigma$. Note that $\mathrm{H}_{A}$ is the pullback tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}(P)$ under the map $[A]: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$. In view of the periodicity condition (3.2) this is a complex vector bundle over $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is a perturbed Cauchy-Riemann operator on this bundle. (See [10] for more details.)

Abbreviate

$$
\xi=(\alpha, \phi, \psi) \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}=\mathrm{W}_{f}^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times T^{*} \Sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{P} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)
$$

Linearizing (3.5) gives rise to the Fredholm operator

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi): \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{f}^{p}
$$

defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\nabla_{t}+\left(\begin{array}{ccr}
*_{s} \nabla_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\nabla_{s} \\
0 & *_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) & *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} & \mathrm{~d}_{A} \\
-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} & 0 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The notation $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)$ indicates the dependence of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ on the connection $\Xi=A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s+\Psi \mathrm{d} t$. The following theorem was proved in [10].

Theorem 3.2. For any pair $a^{ \pm}$of nondegenerate $H$-flat connections on $P_{f}$ and any connection $\Xi=A+\Phi d s+\Psi d t$ on $P_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (3.2), (3.3), and $F_{A}=0$ we have

$$
\text { index } \mathcal{D}_{0}=\operatorname{index} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)
$$

The index formula of section 1 shows that for any connection $\Xi=A+$ $\Phi d s+\Psi d t$ which satisfies (3.2) and (3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \eta\left(a^{+}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(a^{-}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Sigma}\left\langle F_{A} \wedge\left(\partial_{t} \Phi-\partial_{s} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi]\right)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\left(\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(a)=\eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a}\right)$ is the eta-invariant of the extended Hessian $\mathrm{D}_{a}$ as in section 1. In particular, if $\Xi$ is a solution of (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) with $F_{A}=0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(a^{+}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(a^{-}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Moduli spaces

Given $a^{ \pm}=A^{ \pm}+\Phi^{ \pm} \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ choose a smooth connection $\Xi_{0}=$ $A_{0}+\Phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\Psi_{0} \mathrm{~d} t$ which satisfies (3.2) and (3.3) and is locally independent of $t$ for $|t| \geq 1$. For $p>2$ denote $\mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=\left\{\Xi_{0}+\xi: \xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}\right\}$. The associated space of gauge transformations $\mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ is the completion of the space of all smooth gauge transformations $g: P_{f} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ such that $g(s, t)=\mathbb{1}$ for $|t|$ sufficiently large with respect to the $\mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$-norm of $\xi=g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g+g^{-1} \partial_{s} g \mathrm{~d} s+$ $g^{-1} \partial_{t} g \mathrm{~d} t$. This space acts on $\mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$via

$$
g^{*} \Xi=g^{*} A+\left(g^{-1} \partial_{s} g+g^{-1} \Phi g\right) \mathrm{d} s+\left(g^{-1} \partial_{t} g+g^{-1} \Psi g\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Consider the space

$$
\mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)=\left\{\Xi \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right): F_{A}=0,(3.4)\right\}
$$

of holomorphic curves in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ connecting $a^{-}$to $a^{+}$and the space

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)=\left\{a \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right):(3.5)\right\}
$$

of self-dual instantons on $P_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$ connecting $a^{-}$to $a^{+}$. The corresponding moduli spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)=\mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) / \mathcal{G}^{2, p} \\
& \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)=\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) / \mathcal{G}^{2, p}
\end{aligned}
$$

are finite dimensional manifolds of the same dimension $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$provided that the operators $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ are onto for all relevant connections $\Xi$. Thus denote by $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ the set of all perturbations $H$ such that the fixed points of $\phi_{f, H}$
are nondegenerate and the operator $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is onto for all $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ and all $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Likewise, denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\text {reg }}$ the set of all perturbations $H$ such that the $H$-flat connections on $P_{f}$ are nondegenerate and the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ is onto for all $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ and all $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Both sets $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\text {reg }}$ are of the second category in the sense of Baire (countable intersections of open and dense sets) in a suitable Banach space of smooth perturbations. (See [15], [24], [27] for the symplectic case and [8], [14], [16] for the instanton case.)

## 4. Elliptic estimates

Fix two nondegenerate $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm}=A^{ \pm}+\Phi^{ \pm} \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$, let $p>4$, and assume throughout that $\Xi=A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s+\Psi \mathrm{d} t \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$ with $F_{A(s, t)}=0$ for all $s$ and $t$. Write $\mathcal{D}_{0}=\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)$.

It is convenient to use the $\varepsilon$-dependent Banach space norms

$$
\|\xi\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}^{p}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\|\alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{p}\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
$$

on $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{p}$,

$$
\|\xi\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}=\|\alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}+\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}+\varepsilon\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}
$$

on $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{\infty}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}^{p} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\|\alpha\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{p}\left\|\nabla_{s} \alpha\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{p}\left\|\nabla_{t} \alpha\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{2 p}\left\|\nabla_{s} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{2 p}\left\|\nabla_{t} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{p}\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{2 p}\left\|\partial_{s} \psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\varepsilon^{2 p}\left\|\partial_{t} \psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$. Here $\nabla_{s}=\partial_{s}+\Phi, \nabla_{t}=\partial_{t}+\Psi,\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{p}=\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}$, and

$$
\|\alpha\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{p}=\|\alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \alpha\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Sigma)}^{p}
$$

Thus the $1, p, \varepsilon$-norm depends on the connection $\Xi$ and the $1, p, \varepsilon$-norm of $g^{-1} \xi g$ with respect to $g^{*} \Xi$ agrees with the $1, p, \varepsilon$-norm of $\xi$ with respect to $\Xi$.

Lemma 4.1. For $p>4$ there exists a constant $c=c(p)>0$ such that

$$
\|\xi\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon^{-2 / p}\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}
$$

for $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$.

Proof. Denote $\tilde{\xi}=\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\phi} d s+\tilde{\psi} d t$ where $\tilde{\alpha}(s, t)=\alpha(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon t), \tilde{\phi}(s, t)=$ $\varepsilon \phi(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon t)$, and $\tilde{\psi}(s, t)=\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}=$ $\varepsilon^{2 / p}\|\tilde{\xi}\|_{W^{1, p}}$. Hence the statement follows from the usual Sobolev estimate for $\tilde{\xi}$.

Lemma 4.2. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon\left(\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$. The constants $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $c$ depend continuously on $\Xi$ (with respect to the $C^{\infty}$-topology) and they are independent of $\varepsilon$.

Proof. We prove the statement only for $p=2$. Moreover, it suffices to consider the case $X_{s}=0$. Throughout all the norms are $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-norms on $\Sigma_{h} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi=(\alpha, \phi, \psi) \in W_{f}^{1,2}$ and denote $\tilde{\xi}=(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi$. We shall prove the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} \alpha\right\|^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\left\|\nabla_{s} \phi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\left\|\nabla_{t} \phi\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \psi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\left\|\nabla_{s} \psi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\left\|\nabla_{t} \psi\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad \leq c \varepsilon^{2}\left(\|\tilde{\xi}\|_{0,2, \varepsilon}^{2}+\|\alpha\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

To see this assume that $\xi$ is smooth $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$ and consider the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \tilde{\xi}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ by replacing $\nabla_{t}$ with $-\nabla_{t}$. It is the formal adjoint operator of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ with respect to the Hilbert space structure induced by the $L_{\varepsilon}^{2}$-norm. The first component of (4.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla_{t} \tilde{\alpha}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \tilde{\psi}+*_{s} \nabla_{s} \tilde{\alpha}-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \tilde{\phi} \\
& =-\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} \alpha+*_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \nabla_{s} \alpha+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \alpha+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \mathrm{~d}_{A}{ }^{*} \alpha \\
& \quad-*_{s}[C \wedge \alpha]+\left[\left(B_{t}-*_{s} B B_{s}\right) \wedge \psi\right]+\left[\left(*_{s} B_{t}+B_{s}\right) \wedge \phi\right] \\
& \quad-\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi-*_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{*}_{s}$ denotes the derivative of the Hodge-*-operator $*_{s}$ with respect to $s$ and

$$
B_{s}=\partial_{s} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi, \quad B_{t}=\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi, \quad C=\partial_{t} \Phi-\partial_{s} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi] .
$$

Take the inner product with $\alpha$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla_{s} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{t} \alpha+*_{s} \nabla_{s} \alpha, \tilde{\alpha}\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \alpha, \tilde{\phi}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{A}{ }^{*} \alpha, \tilde{\psi}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\langle\alpha, *_{s}[C \wedge \alpha]\right\rangle-\left\langle\alpha,\left[\left(B_{t}-*_{s} B_{s}\right) \wedge \psi\right]\right\rangle-\left\langle\alpha,\left[\left(*_{s} B_{t}+B_{s}\right) \wedge \phi\right]\right\rangle  \tag{4.3}\\
& \quad+\left\langle\alpha, \mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} *_{s} \alpha, \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the second component of (4.2) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla_{t} \tilde{\phi}-\nabla_{s} \tilde{\psi}+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \tilde{\alpha} \\
& =-\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} \phi-\nabla_{s} *_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \phi+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi \\
& \quad+\left[\left(C-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} F_{A}\right) \wedge \psi\right]-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[B_{s} \wedge *_{s} \alpha\right]-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge \alpha\right] \\
& \quad-\varepsilon^{-2}{\dot{\dot{x}_{s}} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \dot{*}_{s} \alpha .}^{\text {and }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take the inner product with $\phi$ and use $F_{A}=0$ and

$$
\left\langle\phi, \nabla_{s} *_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \phi\right\rangle=-\left\|\nabla_{s} \phi+*_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi\right\|^{2}
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla_{s} \phi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \phi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{t} \phi, \tilde{\phi}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{s} \phi+*_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi, \tilde{\psi}\right\rangle-\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi, \tilde{\alpha}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-2\left\langle\nabla_{s} \phi, *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi\right\rangle-\left\|*_{s} \dot{s}_{s} \phi\right\|^{2}-\langle\phi,[C \wedge \psi]\rangle  \tag{4.4}\\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\phi, *_{s}\left[B_{s} \wedge *_{s} \alpha\right]\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\phi, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge \alpha\right]\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\alpha, \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \phi\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \alpha, \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The third component of (4.2) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \nabla_{t} \tilde{\psi}+*_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \tilde{\phi}+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \tilde{\alpha} \\
= & -\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} \psi-*_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \nabla_{s} \psi+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A}{ }^{*} d_{A} \psi \\
& -\left[\left(C-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} F_{A}\right) \wedge \phi\right]+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[B_{s} \wedge \alpha\right]-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge *_{s} \alpha\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take the inner product with $\psi$ and use $F_{A}=0$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla_{s} \psi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \psi\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \psi\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{t} \psi, \tilde{\psi}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{s} \psi, \tilde{\phi}\right\rangle-\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{A} \psi, \tilde{\alpha}\right\rangle  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad+\langle\psi,[C \wedge \phi]\rangle-\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\psi, *_{s}\left[B_{s} \wedge \alpha\right]\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\psi, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge *_{s} \alpha\right]\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The estimate (4.1) follows from (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5). This proves the lemma for $p=2$. For general $p$ the estimate can be reduced to the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-estimate for Laplace's equation via the rescaling argument of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. There exists a constant $c=c(\Xi, p)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)-\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
$$

for $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$.
Proof. Let $\xi=(\alpha, \phi, \psi) \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ and write $\alpha=\pi_{A}(\alpha)+d_{A} \zeta+*_{s} d_{A} \eta$. Then a simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)=\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}(\xi)+\pi_{A}(\theta) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=[B \wedge \zeta]+*_{s}[B \wedge \eta]+*_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta-*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) *_{s} d_{A} \eta-\left[X_{s}(A) \wedge \eta\right]$ and $B=B_{t}+*_{s}\left(B_{s}-X_{s}(A)\right)$. Hence $\|\theta\|_{L^{p}} \leq c\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}$ as required.

If $\Xi$ satisfies (3.4), the Hodge- - -operator $*_{s}=*$ is independent of $s$, and the perturbation $X_{s}$ vanishes then $\pi_{A} \circ \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{D}_{0} \circ \pi_{A}$. So in this case the projection onto the harmonic part determines an isomorphism of the kernel of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ with the kernel of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ provided that both operators are onto (see Lemma 4.5 below).

Lemma 4.4. Assume

$$
\left\|\alpha_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{0}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \alpha_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

for all $\alpha_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{f}^{1, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$ and some constant $c_{0}>0$. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$.
Proof. For every $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} & \leq c_{0}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \\
& \leq c_{0}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+c_{1}\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq c_{0}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+c_{2} \varepsilon\left(\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality follows from the assumption of the lemma, the second from Lemma 4.3, and the last from Lemma 4.2. For $c_{2} \varepsilon_{0}<1$ this implies

$$
\left\|\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{3}\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) .
$$

Hence the statement follows from Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.5. Assume $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is onto. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $c>0$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ is onto for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right), \\
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi-\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{2, p}$.
Proof. The proof is in four steps.
Step 1. Choose $q>1$ such that $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Then, since $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is onto, there is a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that for $\alpha_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{f}^{1, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$

$$
\left\|\alpha_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}} \leq c_{0}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}} .
$$

Step 2. There is a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that for $\alpha_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{f}^{1, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{1} \sup _{\beta_{0}} \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}}
$$

Choose an $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-orthonormal basis $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ of ker $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Now choose $\beta \in$ $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{q}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\langle\beta, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle=\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}, \quad\|\beta\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}=1 .
$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is onto there exists a (unique) $\beta_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}^{1, q}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$ such that

$$
\beta=\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle\beta, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle \alpha_{j} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} & =\left\langle\beta, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|\beta-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle\beta, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle \alpha_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}} \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}} \\
& \leq\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\alpha_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\left\|\alpha_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}\right) \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}, \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \beta_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$

$$
\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq\left(1+c_{1}\right)\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)-\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+c_{0} c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

For all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta), \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}}= & \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta), \mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)-\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}} \\
& +\frac{\left\langle\pi_{A}(\zeta), \mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\rangle}{\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}} \\
\leq & \left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)-\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\left\|\pi_{A}(\zeta)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}
\end{aligned}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\zeta)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}-\left(\mathcal{D}^{*} \xi\right) \|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} .
$$

The last inequality follows from step 1 . Now it follows from step 2 that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)-\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+c_{0} c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} .
$$

Step 4. We prove the lemma.
By step 3 and Lemma 4.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq & c_{2}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)-\mathcal{D}_{0}^{*} \pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+c_{2}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{0} \pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \\
\leq & c_{3}\left\|\xi-\pi_{A}(\xi)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& +c_{3}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi-\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+c_{2}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \\
\leq & c_{4} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& +c_{4} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+c_{2}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality follows from step 3 . The second inequality follows from Lemma 4.3 applied to both $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$. The last inequality follows from Lemma 4.4 for the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ and from Lemma 4.2 for $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 4.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{5} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+c_{5}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \leq c_{6} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+c_{6} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+c_{6}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $c_{6} \varepsilon<1$ this proves the required estimate.

## 5. Approximation of holomorphic curves by self-dual instantons

Let $p>4, H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ be a regular perturbation and fix two $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm}=A^{ \pm}+\Phi^{ \pm} \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$. We shall prove that every holomorphic curve $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ can be approximated by a family of self-dual instantons $\Xi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. This requires a refinement of the implicit function theorem with constants independent of $\varepsilon$.

Theorem 5.1. Assume $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)<4$. Then for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small there is a smooth map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ such that $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right)=0, \quad \Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0} \in \operatorname{range} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)^{*} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Moreover, $\Xi_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies an estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon^{2} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $1, p, \varepsilon$-norm is the one determined by $\Xi_{0}$ and the constant $c>0$ can be chosen independent of $\Xi_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$.

Theorem 5.2. Assume $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)<4$ and let $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Then there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that if $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ satisfies (5.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p+1 / 2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ then $\Xi=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$.
Remark 5.3. Here $d_{\Xi}^{*_{\varepsilon}}$ denotes the formal adjoint operator of $d_{\Xi}$ with respect to the $\varepsilon$ inner product. The operator $d_{\Xi}$ represents the infinitesimal action of $\mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ on $\mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and is given by

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi} \eta=\mathrm{d}_{A} \eta+\nabla_{s} \eta d s+\nabla_{t} \eta d t
$$

for $\eta \in W_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$. Its formal adjoint is

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi}^{*_{E}^{*}} \xi=-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha-\varepsilon^{2} *_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \phi-\varepsilon^{2} \nabla_{t} \psi
$$

for $\xi=(\alpha, \phi, \psi) \in W_{f}^{1, p}$. This operator agrees with the third component of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ up to a scalar factor.

Remark 5.4. The estimate (5.2) shows that $A_{\varepsilon}, \partial A_{\varepsilon} / \partial s, \partial A_{\varepsilon} / \partial t, \Phi_{\varepsilon}$, and $\Psi_{\varepsilon}$ converge in the $L^{p}$-norm as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero while $\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon} / \partial s, \partial \Phi_{\varepsilon} / \partial t$, $\partial \Psi_{\varepsilon} / \partial s$, and $\partial \Psi_{\varepsilon} / \partial t$ remain bounded, uniformly in $\varepsilon$. In particular, the curvature $F_{A_{\varepsilon}}$ converges to zero in the $L^{p}$-norm like $\varepsilon^{2}$.

Remark 5.5. The map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is equivariant under the action of $\mathcal{G}^{2, p}$

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(g^{*} \Xi_{0}\right)=g^{*} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) .
$$

The induced map of the moduli spaces will also be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$.
Remark 5.6. In the case $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \geq 4$ the proof shows that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ the map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ can be defined on any compact subset of $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. It does not show whether there is a uniform $\varepsilon>0$ which works simultaneously for all $\Xi_{0}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove that with a suitable constant $c$ and $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small there exists a unique solution $\Xi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ of (5.1) and (5.2) for every $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$.

Throughout let $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ denote constants which are independent of $\Xi_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$. Since $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ the operator $\mathcal{D}_{0}=\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ is onto for every $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Since $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)<4$ there is no bubbling and it follows from Floer's glueing construction [12] that the operators $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ satisfy the estimate of Lemma 4.5 with a constant which is independent of $\Xi_{0}$. This is obvious in the case $\mu=1$ since there are only finitely many connecting orbits and the estimate is invariant under gauge transformations. In the case $\mu=2$ Floer's glueing construction shows that the estimate holds with a uniform constant for all orbits near a catenation of two connecting orbits with index difference 1 . This takes care of the ends of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and the complement of the ends is compact. A similar argument works for $\mu=3$. But for $\mu \geq 4$ bubbling may occur.

Now it follows from Lemma 4.5 that there exist constants $c_{1}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that for every $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq c_{1}\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $L^{\infty}$ estimate follows from Lemma 4.1. The above argument shows that $c_{1}$ can be chosen independent of $\Xi_{0}$.

The left hand side of (3.5) defines a smooth map $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{A}^{1, p} \rightarrow L_{f}^{p}$ given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\Phi \\
\Psi
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi+*_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right) \\
\partial_{t} \Phi-\partial_{s} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi]+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} F_{A} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now $\Xi_{0}$ is an approximate zero of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{0} \varepsilon \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall construct the solution $\Xi_{\varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ by Newton's iteration. The first step is to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{1}=\Xi_{0}+\xi_{0}, \quad \xi_{0}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta_{0}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta_{0}=-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this means that the third component of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi_{0}$ vanishes. The last equation in (5.6) has a unique solution $\eta_{0} \in W_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$. Since the first component of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ vanishes it follows from (5.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the first two components of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ are the linearization of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ the last equation in (5.6) can be written as $d \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) \xi_{0}=-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{1}\right) & =\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}+\xi_{0}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) \xi_{0} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\left[\alpha_{0} \wedge \psi_{0}\right]-*_{s}\left[\alpha_{0} \wedge \phi_{0}\right]-*_{s} \tilde{\alpha}_{0} \\
-\left[\phi_{0} \wedge \psi_{0}\right]+2^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[\alpha_{0} \wedge \alpha_{0}\right] \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{0}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \phi_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{0}=X_{s}\left(A_{0}+\alpha_{0}\right)-X_{s}\left(A_{0}\right)-\mathrm{d} X_{s}\left(A_{0}\right) \alpha_{0}$. Hence

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{1}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{-1}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq c_{0} c_{1} c_{2} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
$$

The last inequality follows from (5.7).
Now assume $\Xi_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}$ has been constructed for $\nu \geq 1$ and define $\Xi_{\nu+1}$ by

$$
\Xi_{\nu+1}=\Xi_{\nu}+\xi_{\nu}, \quad \xi_{\nu}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta_{\nu}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \eta_{\nu}=-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right) .
$$

We shall prove by induction that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} & \leq 2 c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} & \leq 2^{-\nu} c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2}  \tag{5.8}\\
\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu+1}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{3} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ provided that $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ is sufficiently small. The first inequality in (5.8) follows from (5.4). (The first component of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)$ no longer vanishes.) The second inequality in (5.8) follows from the first and from the previous induction steps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} & \leq 2 c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq 2 c_{1} c_{3} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu-1}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq 2^{-1}\left\|\xi_{\nu-1}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq 2^{-\nu}\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq 2^{-\nu} c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used (5.7) and chosen $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that $2 c_{1} c_{3} \varepsilon_{0}^{1-2 / p} \leq 1 / 2$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1}\left\|\xi_{j}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq 2 c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon} \leq 2 c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2-2 / p} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the third inequality in (5.8) note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu+1}\right)= & \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}+\xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) \xi_{\nu} \\
= & \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}+\xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right) \xi_{\nu} \\
& +\left(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)\right) \xi_{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu+1}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq & \left\|\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}+\xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right) \xi_{\nu}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& +\left\|\left(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)\right) \xi_{\nu}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
\leq & c_{4} \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}\right)\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
\leq & 3 c_{0} c_{1} c_{4} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}\left\|\xi_{\nu}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from (5.10) and finishes the induction.
By (5.8) the sequence $\Xi_{\nu}$ converges in $\mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and by (5.9) the limit $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Xi_{\nu}$ satisfies $\left\|\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq 2 c_{0} c_{1} \varepsilon^{2}$. The second inequality in (5.8) shows that $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ and this proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Assume $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ satisfies (5.1) and (5.3). We shall first prove that if $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ are sufficiently small then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c \varepsilon^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c>0$ which is independent of $\Xi$ and $\varepsilon$. To see this note that, by (5.1), the last component of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)=(\alpha, \phi, 0)$ vanishes. The first two are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & {\left[A-A_{0} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{0}\right]+*_{s}\left[A-A_{0} \wedge \Phi-\Phi_{0}\right] } \\
& +*_{s}\left(X_{s}(A)-X_{s}\left(A_{0}\right)-\mathrm{d} X_{s}\left(A_{0}\right)\left(A-A_{0}\right)\right), \\
\phi= & {\left[\Phi-\Phi_{0} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{0}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[A-A_{0} \wedge A-A_{0}\right]-C_{0} }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{0}=\partial_{t} \Phi_{0}-\partial_{s} \Psi_{0}-\left[\Phi_{0}, \Psi_{0}\right]$. Moreover, again by (5.1), $\Xi-\Xi_{0} \in$ range $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$. Hence, by Lemma 4.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\Psi-\Psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq & c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
\leq & c_{2}\left(\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}}+\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
\leq & c_{3}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}\left(\left\|\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\Psi-\Psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \quad+c_{3}\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|A-A_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|A-A_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
\leq & c_{3} \delta \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\Psi-\Psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \quad+c_{3}\left(\varepsilon+\delta^{2} \varepsilon^{2 / p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from (5.3). With $c_{3} \delta \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \leq 1 / 2$ we obtain

$$
\left\|\Phi-\Phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\Psi-\Psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq 2 c_{3}\left(\varepsilon+\delta^{2} \varepsilon^{2 / p}\right) .
$$

Since $\left\|A-A_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p+1 / 2}$ it follows that

$$
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p}
$$

provided that $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ are sufficiently small. Now use Lemma 4.5 again to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{4}\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A_{0}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{5}\left(\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}}+\varepsilon^{2}\|\phi\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{6}\left(\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c_{6} \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}+c_{6} \varepsilon^{2} \\
& \leq c_{7} \delta\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+c_{6} \varepsilon^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $c_{7} \delta<1$ we obtain (5.11).
Now denote $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$. Then both $\Xi$ and $\Xi_{\varepsilon}$ satisfy the estimate (5.11) and (5.1). By (5.1) the third component of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)=(\alpha, \phi, 0)$ vanishes. The first two are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & {\left[A-A_{\varepsilon} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{0}\right]+\left[A_{\varepsilon}-A_{0} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{\varepsilon}\right] } \\
& +*_{s}\left[A-A_{\varepsilon} \wedge \Phi-\Phi_{0}\right]+*_{s}\left[A_{\varepsilon}-A_{0} \wedge \Phi-\Phi_{\varepsilon}\right] \\
& +*_{s}\left(X_{s}(A)-X_{s}\left(A_{\varepsilon}\right)-\mathrm{d} X_{s}\left(A_{0}\right)\left(A-A_{\varepsilon}\right)\right), \\
\phi= & {\left[\Phi-\Phi_{\varepsilon} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{0}\right]+\left[\Phi_{\varepsilon}-\Phi_{0} \wedge \Psi-\Psi_{\varepsilon}\right] } \\
& -\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(A+A_{\varepsilon}\right)-A_{0} \wedge A-A_{\varepsilon}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, again by (5.1), $\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon} \in \operatorname{range} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$. Hence, by Lemma 4.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{8}\left(\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\pi_{A_{0}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{9}\left(\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}}+\varepsilon^{2}\|\phi\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{10} \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}\right)\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq c_{11} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third inequality follows by examining $\alpha$ and $\phi$ term by term. With $c_{11} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p}<1$ this implies $\Xi=\Xi_{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 5.7. If $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$ then the map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ of Theorem 5.1 is injective for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. Suppose not. Then, since $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) / \mathbb{R}$ is a finite set, there exist connections $\Xi_{0}, \Xi_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ which are not gauge equivalent and a sequence $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ such that $\Xi_{\nu}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ and $\Xi_{\nu}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ are gauge equivalent: $\Xi_{\nu}^{\prime}=g_{\nu}^{*} \Xi_{\nu}$. The usual compactness argument as in $[7, \mathrm{pp} .64,65]$
shows that the sequence $g_{\nu}$ has a weakly converging subsequence and hence $\Xi_{0}$ and $\Xi_{0}^{\prime}$ must be gauge equivalent.

The statement of the previous proposition should remain valid without the assumption of index difference 1 but this is not needed for the proof of our main theorem. It remains to show that $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is onto for small $\varepsilon$ when the index difference is 1 . The proof will occupy the next four sections.

## 6. Relative Coulomb gauge

The uniqueness theorem 5.2 requires that $\Xi-\Xi_{0}$ be in the kernel of the operator $d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}$ and in the range of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}=\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)^{*}$. The first condition can be achieved by a suitable gauge transformation and the second by a suitable time shift provided that the relative Morse index is 1 . Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ denote

$$
\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}(s, t)=\Xi(s, t+\tau)
$$

We shall prove the following
ThEOREM 6.1. Let $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $\mu\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that the following holds. If $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p+1 / 2}
$$

then there exist $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ such that $g^{*}\left(\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$.
This result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 and the next two propositions.

Proposition 6.2. Assume $q \geq p>2, q>4$, and $q p /(q-p)>4$. Let $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$such that $F_{A(s, t)}=0$ for all $s$ and $t$. Then for every constant $c_{0}>0$ there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that the following holds for $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$. If $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$satisfies

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{0} \varepsilon^{2 / p}, \quad\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / q}
$$

then there exists a gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ such that $\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(g^{*} \Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)=0$ and

$$
\left\|g^{*} \Xi-\Xi\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left(1+\varepsilon^{-2 / p}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right)\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

Proposition 6.3. Let $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $\mu\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0, \delta>0$, and $c>0$ such that the following holds. If $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ such that $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=g^{*}\left(\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}\right)$ satisfies (5.1) and $\left\|\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}$.

Note that the assumptions of Proposition 6.2 are satisfied whenever $\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p}$ with $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. The proof of both propositions relies on the following three lemmata.

Lemma 6.4. Let $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$. Then there exists a unique $\eta \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}} \eta=\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \xi
$$

This solution satisfies estimates

$$
\|\eta\|_{2, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*} \xi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}, \quad\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} \leq c\|\xi\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}
$$

for $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$ where the constant $c=c(p)>0$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. For $p=2$ the second estimate follows from $\left\|d_{\Xi_{0}} \eta\right\|_{0,2, \varepsilon}^{2}=\left\langle d_{\Xi_{0}} \eta, \xi\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}$. For general $p$ both estimates follow by rescaling as in Lemma 4.1. The first estimate shows that the operator $\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}: \mathrm{W}_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{f}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ is injective and has a closed range. Its cokernel is the kernel of $\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}: \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{r}$ with $1 / p+1 / r=0 .{ }^{3}$ Hence the aforementioned operator $\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}$ is bijective and this proves the existence statement.

Given $\eta \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ and $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}$ denote $\operatorname{ad}(\eta) \xi=[\eta \wedge \xi]$.
LEMMA 6.5. Assume $q \geq p>2, q>4$, and $q p /(q-p)>4$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\operatorname{ad}(\eta)^{k} \xi\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c^{k} \varepsilon^{-2 / q}\|\eta\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}^{k-1}\left(\|\xi\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{2, p, \varepsilon}+\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}\right) \\
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0} \varepsilon_{e}}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \operatorname{ad}(\eta)^{k} \xi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c^{k} \varepsilon^{-2 / q}\|\eta\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}^{k-1}\left(\|\xi\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{2, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \xi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\xi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}, \eta \in \mathrm{~W}_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right), 0<\varepsilon \leq 1$, and $k=1,2,3, \ldots$.
Proof. To prove the first estimate for $k=1$ note that

$$
\|[\eta \wedge \xi]\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{1}\left(\|\xi\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{1, r, \varepsilon}+\|\xi\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)
$$

[^3]where $1 / q+1 / r=1 / p$. Since $q>4$ we have $r=q p /(q-p)<4 p /(4-p)$. Hence there are inclusions $\mathrm{W}^{1, q} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{~L}^{\infty}$ and $W^{2, p} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{~W}^{1, r}$ and the estimate follows from Lemma 4.1. To prove the second estimate for $k=1$ note that
$$
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}[\eta \wedge \xi]=\left[\eta, \mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \xi\right]-*_{s}\left[d_{A_{0}} \eta \wedge *_{s} \alpha\right]-\varepsilon^{2}\left[\nabla_{s} \eta, \phi\right]-\varepsilon^{2}\left[\nabla_{t} \eta, \psi\right]
$$
for $\xi=\alpha+\phi \mathrm{d} s+\psi \mathrm{d} t$. Hence
$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0} *_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}}[\eta \wedge \xi]\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{2}\left(\|\xi\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{1, r, \varepsilon}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \xi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\|\eta\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}\right) .
$$

Now for general $k$ both estimates follow by induction.
Lemma 6.6. Assume $q \geq p>2, q>4$, and $p q /(q-p)>4$. Given $c_{0}>0$ there exists a constant $c>0$ a such that, if $\|\eta\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c_{0}$ and $g=\exp (\eta)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(g^{*} \Xi-\Xi-d_{\Xi} \eta\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq & c \varepsilon^{-2 / q}\left(\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\right)\|\eta\|_{2, p, \varepsilon} \\
& +c \varepsilon^{-2 / q}\left\|d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{0} \varepsilon^{2 / q}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|g^{*} \Xi-\Xi\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq c\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}, \\
\left\|g^{*} \Xi-\Xi\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c\left(\|\eta\|_{2, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{-2 / q}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Use Lemma 6.5 and the identity

$$
g^{*} \Xi-\Xi=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)!} \operatorname{ad}(\eta)^{k} \mathrm{~d}_{\Xi} \eta
$$

for $g=\exp (\eta)$.
Proof of Proposition 6.2. The proof is based on a Newton type iteration.
Denote $\Xi_{1}=\Xi$ and for $\nu \geq 2$ define $\Xi_{\nu}$ inductively by

$$
\Xi_{\nu+1}=g_{\nu}^{*} \Xi_{\nu}, \quad g_{\nu}=\exp \left(\eta_{\nu}\right), \quad d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(d_{\Xi_{0}} \eta_{\nu}+\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

By Lemma 6.4 $\eta_{\nu} \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ satisfies estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\eta_{\nu}\right\|_{2, p, \varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2 / p-2 / q}\left\|\eta_{\nu}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{1}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*}\left(\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}  \tag{6.2}\\
\left\|\eta_{\nu}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{1}\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall prove by induction that there exist constants $c_{2}, c_{3}$, and $c_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{2}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon},  \tag{6.3}\\
\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{3} \varepsilon^{-2 / q}\left\|\Xi_{\nu-1}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\left\|d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\nu-1}-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}},  \tag{6.4}\\
\left\|d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq 2^{1-\nu}\left\|d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{e}}\left(\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}, \tag{6.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta_{\nu}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{4} 2^{-\nu}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\nu=1$ the inequalities (6.3) and (6.5) are obvious, (6.6) follows from (6.2), and (6.4) is empty. For $\nu \geq 2$ it follows from the previous induction steps with $\delta$ sufficiently small that $\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi_{j}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{2 / q}$ for $j=1, \cdots, \nu-1$. Hence, by Lemma 6.6, with a suitable constant $c_{5}>0$

$$
\left\|\Xi_{j+1}-\Xi_{j}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{5}\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{4} c_{5} 2^{-j}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}
$$

Here we have used $c_{2} \delta \leq 1$. Now use this inequality for $j=1, \ldots, \nu-1$ to obtain (6.3) with $c_{2}=1+c_{4} c_{5}$. To prove the estimate (6.4) note that, by the previous induction step, $\left\|\eta_{\nu-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq 1$ provided that $\delta$ is sufficiently small. Moreover,

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\nu+1}-\Xi_{0}\right)=d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(g_{\nu}^{*} \Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{\nu}} \eta_{\nu}\right)+d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left[\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0} \wedge \eta_{\nu}\right]
$$

and hence (6.4) follows from the Lemmata 6.5 and 6.6. Now (6.5) follows immediately from (6.4) and (6.3) with $c_{2} c_{3} \delta \leq 1 / 2$. Finally, we prove (6.6). For $\nu=2$ it follows from (6.2) and (6.4) that

$$
\left\|\eta_{2}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} \leq c_{0} c_{1} c_{3}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}
$$

Hence in this case (6.6) holds with $c_{4}=4 c_{0} c_{1} c_{3}$. For $\nu \geq 3$ we can use (6.4) twice and one checks easily that

$$
\left\|\eta_{\nu}\right\|_{1, q, \varepsilon} \leq 8 c_{0} c_{1} c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2} \delta 2^{-\nu}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}
$$

So in this case (6.6) holds with $c_{4}=1$ provided that $\delta$ is sufficiently small. This completes the induction. Note that the conditions $c_{4} \geq 4 c_{0} c_{1} c_{3}$ and $c_{2}=1+c_{4} c_{5}$ are compatible.

Now it follows from Lemma 6.6 that

$$
\left\|\Xi_{\nu+1}-\Xi_{\nu}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{6}\left(1+\varepsilon^{-2 / p}\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right)\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

and, by induction,

$$
\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{2 / p}+2\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}
$$

provided that $\delta$ is sufficiently small. Hence, by (6.5) the sequence $\Xi_{\nu}$ converges in $\mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and the limit connection $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}$ satisfies $\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\varepsilon}-\Xi_{0}\right)=$ 0 and the required estimate. Moreover $\Xi_{\nu}=h_{\nu}^{*} \Xi$ where $h_{\nu}=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{\nu}$ converges in $\mathcal{G}^{2, p}$. This proves the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 6.3. Let $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$satisfy (6.1) with $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ sufficiently small. For every $\tau$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} & \leq\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+\left\|\Xi_{0} \circ \sigma_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}+|\tau|\left\|\partial_{t} \Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 6.2 that for $|\tau| \leq \delta \varepsilon^{2 / p}$ there exists a gauge transformation $g_{\tau} \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ such that $\Xi_{\tau}=g_{\tau}^{*}\left(\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}\right)$ satisfies

$$
d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right)=0
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{1}\left(|\tau|+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a suitable constant $c_{1}>0$. Assume without loss of generality that $g_{0}=\mathbb{1}$.

We shall prove that there exists a number $\tau$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\tau}^{*}\left(\Xi \circ \sigma_{\tau}\right)-\Xi_{0} \in \operatorname{range} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \quad|\tau| \leq c_{2}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this note that the operator $\mathcal{D}_{0}=\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ is onto and of index 1 . Hence its kernel is spanned by

$$
\xi_{0}=\partial_{t} \Xi_{0} \in \mathrm{~W}_{f}^{1, p}
$$

By Theorem 3.2 the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ has also index 1 and by Lemma 4.5 it is onto. Its kernel is spanned by the vector

$$
\xi_{\varepsilon}=\xi_{0}-\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi_{0}
$$

The harmonic part of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi_{0}$ vanishes and hence, by Lemma 4.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi_{\varepsilon}-\xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} & \leq c_{1} \varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& =c_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla_{t} \phi_{0}-\nabla_{s} \psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \psi_{0}+*_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} \phi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left\|\pi_{A}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from the basic regularity estimate for $\mathcal{D}_{0}$.
Now consider the function

$$
\theta(\tau)=\theta_{\varepsilon, \Xi}(\tau)=\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}
$$

where the expression $\langle,\rangle_{\varepsilon}$ abbreviates the $\varepsilon$-pairing between $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{q}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{p}$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Then equation (6.8) can be written as $\theta(\tau)=0$. We shall prove that there exist constants $\delta_{0}>0, \varepsilon_{0}>0$, and $\rho_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tau|+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \delta_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}, \quad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta^{\prime}(\tau) \geq \rho_{0} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the existence of a zero follows from the fact that

$$
|\theta(0)|=\left|\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq\left\|\xi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0, q, \varepsilon}\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{3} \delta \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}
$$

In fact, if $c_{3} \delta<\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0} \rho_{0}$ and $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}$ then $\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}$ and, by (6.9), there exists a number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\tau| \leq|\theta(0)| / \rho_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}$ such that $\theta(\tau)=0$. This number $\tau$ satisfies (6.8) as required.

To prove (6.9) define

$$
\eta_{\tau}=g_{\tau}{ }^{-1}\left(\partial_{\tau} g_{\tau}-\partial_{t} g_{\tau}\right)
$$

and observe that

$$
\theta^{\prime}(\tau)=\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{t} \Xi_{\tau}+d_{\Xi_{\tau}} \eta_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Now differentiate the identity $d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right)=0$ with respect to $\tau$ to obtain

$$
d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}} d_{\Xi_{0}} \eta_{\tau}+d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}}\left[\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0} \wedge \eta_{\tau}\right]+d_{\Xi_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \partial_{t} \Xi_{\tau}=0
$$

By Lemma 6.4 this equation has a unique solution $\eta_{\tau} \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ whenever $\varepsilon^{-2 / p}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}$ is sufficiently small. Moreover, $\eta_{\tau}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\eta_{\tau}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{4}\left\|\partial_{t} \Xi_{\tau}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{5}\left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Since $d_{E_{0}}^{*_{\varepsilon}} \xi_{\varepsilon}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, d_{\Xi_{\tau}} \eta_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| & =\left|\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon},\left[\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0} \wedge \eta_{\tau}\right]\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| \\
& \leq c_{6}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{\infty, \varepsilon}\left\|\eta_{\tau}\right\|_{0, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq c_{7} \varepsilon^{-2 / p}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\left\|\eta_{\tau}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq c_{5} c_{7} \varepsilon^{-2 / p}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right) \\
& \leq c_{8} \delta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last inequality we have used the fact that $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small and, by (6.7), $\left\|\Xi_{\tau}-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon} \leq c_{1}\left(|\tau|+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}\right) \leq c_{1} \delta_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}$. Moreover,

$$
\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{t} \Xi_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=\left\langle\partial_{t} \xi_{\varepsilon}, \Xi_{0}-\Xi_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{t} \Xi_{0}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}
$$

Since $\partial_{t} A_{0} \neq 0$ we have

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} \Xi_{0}\right\|_{0,2, \varepsilon} \geq 3 \rho_{0}>0
$$

for some constant $\rho_{0}>0$ and hence

$$
\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{t} \Xi_{0}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon} \geq 2 \rho_{0}
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. This implies

$$
\left\langle\xi_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{t} \Xi_{\tau}+\mathrm{d}_{\Xi_{\tau}} \eta_{\tau}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}>\rho_{0}
$$

for $|\tau|+\left\|\Xi-\Xi_{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon}<\delta_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / p+1 / 2}$ provided $\delta_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$ are sufficiently small. Thus we have proved (6.9) and this finishes the proof of the proposition.

## 7. Estimates on the curvature

This section is of a preparatory nature. We prove estimates on the derivatives of the curvature for $\varepsilon$-self-dual connections with bounded curvature. We also establish uniform exponential decay of the curvature as $t$ tends to $\pm \infty$. These results are used in the next section to prove a compactness theorem for $\varepsilon$ -self-dual connections with $\varepsilon$ converging to zero.

The curvature of the connection $\Xi=A+\Phi d s+\Psi d t$ is given by

$$
F_{\Xi}=F_{A}-B_{s} d s-B_{t} d t-C d s \wedge d t
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{s}=\partial_{s} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi, \quad B_{t}=\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi, \quad C=\partial_{t} \Phi-\partial_{s} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi] . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bianchi identity takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{s} F_{A}=\mathrm{d}_{A} B_{s}, \quad \nabla_{t} F_{A}=\mathrm{d}_{A} B_{t}, \quad \nabla_{s} B_{t}-\nabla_{t} B_{s}=\mathrm{d}_{A} C \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{s}=\partial_{s}+\Phi$ and $\nabla_{t}=\partial_{t}+\Psi$. The curvature terms $B_{s}, B_{t}$, and $C$ also appear as commutators

$$
\nabla_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{s}=B_{s}, \quad \nabla_{t} \mathrm{~d}_{A}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t}=B_{t}, \quad \nabla_{t} \nabla_{s}-\nabla_{s} \nabla_{t}=C .
$$

The perturbed self-duality equation (3.5) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}+*_{s}\left(B_{s}-X_{s}(A)\right)=0, \quad C+\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} F_{A}=0 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Xi$ satisfies these equations with $X_{s}=0$ then $F_{\Xi}$ is harmonic with respect to the $\varepsilon$-dependent Laplacian. This implies the following estimate for connections with $L^{\infty}$ bounds on the curvature.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set, $Q \subset \Omega$ be a compact subset, and $c_{0}>0$. Then there exist constants $c>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that the following holds. If $\Xi=A+\Phi d s+\Psi d t$ satisfies (7.3) for $s+i t \in \Omega$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and

$$
\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Sigma)}+\varepsilon\|C\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq c_{0}
$$

then for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{2 / p}\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} C\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(Q \times \Sigma)}  \tag{7.4}\\
& \quad \leq c \varepsilon^{2 / p}\left(\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega \times \Sigma)}+\varepsilon\|C\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega \times \Sigma)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 7.2. The estimate (7.4) is standard for $\varepsilon=1$ (or if the constant is allowed to depend on $\varepsilon$ ): the $\mathrm{W}^{2, p}$-norm of a harmonic function on a compact set can be estimated above by the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm on a neighbourhood of this set. For the standard Laplacian this follows from the mean value property.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Consider the nonnegative function $u_{0}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
u_{0}(s, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|B_{t}(s, t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|C(s, t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

The Laplacian of $u_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_{0}= & \left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} C\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\langle\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}+\nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t}, B_{t}\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2}\left\langle\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C+*_{s} \nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} C, C\right\rangle \\
& -2\left\langle\nabla_{s} B_{t}, *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle B_{t}, *_{s} \ddot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left\langle C, *_{s} \ddot{*}_{s} C\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here all norms and inner products are $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-norms and $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-inner products on $\Sigma$ induced by the $*_{s}$-metric. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2} \nabla_{s} *_{s} C & =-\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t} \\
\varepsilon^{2} \nabla_{t} C & =-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t} \\
\nabla_{t} B_{t}+*_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t} & =*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} C
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2}\left(\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C+*_{s} \nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} *_{s} C\right)= & -*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} C \\
& -2 *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]+*_{s}\left[*_{s} X_{s}(A) \wedge B_{t}\right] \\
& -*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \dot{*}_{s} B_{t} \\
\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}+\nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t}= & -\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}-\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t} \\
& +3 *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge C\right]+\left[X_{s}(A) \wedge C\right] \\
& +\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{s} B_{t}+*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{t} B_{t} \\
& +\mathrm{d} \dot{X}_{s}(A) B_{t}+\dot{*}_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} C \\
& +\mathrm{d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(*_{s} B_{t}+X_{s}(A), B_{t}\right) \\
& +*_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Delta u_{0}=2 v_{0}+f_{0}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& +\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} C\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}= & 5\left\langle B_{t}, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge C\right]\right\rangle+2\left\langle B_{t},\left[X_{s}(A) \wedge C\right]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{A} C, \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{A} C, *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}, \dot{*}_{s} C\right\rangle \\
& -2\left\langle\nabla_{s} B_{t}, *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle B_{t}, *_{s} \ddot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left\langle C, *_{s} \ddot{*}_{s} C\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle B_{t}, \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{s} B_{t}+*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\rangle+\left\langle B_{t}, \mathrm{~d} \dot{X}_{s}(A) B_{t}+\dot{*}_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle B_{t}, \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(*_{s} B_{t}+X_{s}(A), B_{t}\right)+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}$ estimate on the curvature that $\left|f_{0}\right| \leq v_{0}+c_{1} u_{0}$ with a suitable constant $c_{1}>0$. In particular, $\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon c_{0}$ and $X_{s}(A), \mathrm{d} X_{s}(A)$ and $\mathrm{d}^{2} X_{s}(A)$ are uniformly bounded in this domain. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{0} \geq v_{0}-c_{1} u_{0} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by Lemma 7.3 below,

$$
\sup _{Q} u_{0}+\int_{Q} v_{0} \leq c_{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}
$$

with a suitable constant $c_{2}>0$. This proves the proposition for $p=2$. We shall now prove the estimate for $p=\infty$ and then the general case will follow by interpolation.

Consider the functions $u_{1}, v_{1}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} C\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& +\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{s} C\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{t} \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} C\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}+2 \varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} \nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here all norms are $L^{2}$-norms on $\Sigma$ with respect to the $s$-metric. To simplify the formulae we shall now restrict ourselves to the case where the Hodge-*operator $*_{s}=*$ is independent of $t$ and the perturbation $X_{s} \equiv 0$. Then we have

$$
\Delta u_{1}=2 v_{1}+f_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}= & \varepsilon^{-2}\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{A} * \mathrm{~d}_{A} C,\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle-4 \varepsilon^{2}\left\langle C,\left[\nabla_{s} C, \nabla_{t} C\right]\right\rangle \\
& -3\left\langle C, *\left[\mathrm{~d}_{A} C \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} C\right]\right\rangle+10\left\langle C, *\left[\nabla_{t} B_{t} \wedge *_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right]\right\rangle \\
& -10\left\langle\nabla_{s} C, *\left[\nabla_{s} B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle-10\left\langle\nabla_{t} C, *\left[\nabla_{t} B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it follows from the the $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}$ estimates on the curvature that

$$
\left|f_{1}\right| \leq v_{1}+c_{3}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} v_{0}+\varepsilon^{-2} u_{0}\right)
$$

In particular, the term $\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} * \mathrm{~d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}=\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}+\mathrm{d}_{A} * \nabla_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}$ can be estimated by $v_{1}$. Hence

$$
\Delta u_{1} \geq v_{1}-\varepsilon^{-1} c_{3} v_{0}-\varepsilon^{-2} c_{3} u_{0} .
$$

If $\varepsilon c_{3}<1 / 2$ then, by (7.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(u_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{1}\right) & \geq v_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{1}-c_{0} u_{0}-\varepsilon c_{3} v_{0}-c_{3} u_{0} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(v_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{1}\right)-c_{4} u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{4}=c_{0}+c_{3}$. This inequality remains valid in the general case (arbitrary metric and perturbation) and it follows again from Lemma 7.3 below that

$$
\sup _{Q}\left(u_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{1}\right) \leq c_{5} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}
$$

Similar arguments show that

$$
\sup _{Q}\left(u_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{1}+\varepsilon^{4} u_{2}+\varepsilon^{6} u_{3}\right) \leq c_{6} \int_{\Omega} u_{0} .
$$

where $u_{j}$ is defined as above with derivatives of order $j$. This implies the assertion of the theorem for $p=\infty$. To see this note that (pointwise for every $s$ and $t$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)}^{2} \leq & c_{7} \varepsilon^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}\right) \\
\leq & c_{8}\left(u_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{1}+\varepsilon^{4} u_{2}+\varepsilon^{6} u_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality follows from arguments similar to Lemma 7.6 below. The second inequality follows from identities of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{t} & \nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}+\nabla_{t} \nabla_{s} \nabla_{s} B_{t} \\
& =\nabla_{t} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{s} C+\nabla_{t} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} C+3 \nabla_{t} *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge C\right]+\cdots \\
& =\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \nabla_{s} C+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C+\cdots \\
& =-\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\varepsilon^{-2} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have proved the proposition for $p=2$ and $p=\infty$. For general $p$ the statement follows from the interpolation inequality $\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}^{2 / p}\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}^{1-2 / p}$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 7.3. Let $B_{R}=\left\{s+i t: s^{2}+t^{2} \leq R^{2}\right\}, u: B_{R+r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{2}$-function, and $v: B_{R+r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous such that

$$
\Delta u \geq v-c u, \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq 0
$$

for some constant $c>0$. Then

$$
\int_{B_{R}} v \leq\left(c+\frac{4}{r^{2}}\right) \int_{B_{R+r}} u, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} \sup _{B_{R}} u \leq\left(c+\frac{4}{r^{2}}\right) \int_{B_{R+r}} u .
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $r=1$. To prove the first estimate note that

$$
\int_{B_{R}} v-c \int_{B_{R+1}} u \leq \int_{\partial B_{R+s}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{\partial B_{R+s}} u
$$

for $1 / 2 \leq s \leq 1$. (The last inequality holds since $u \geq 0$.) Integrate this inequality from $1 / 2$ to $t$ to obtain

$$
\int_{B_{R}} v-c \int_{B_{R+1}} u \leq 2 \int_{\partial B_{R+t}} u
$$

for $1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1$. Integrate this inequality again from $1 / 2$ to 1 to obtain the first estimate for $r=1$.

To prove the second estimate for $r=1$ consider the function

$$
f(\rho)=(1-\rho)^{2} \sup _{B_{\rho}} u
$$

Choose $\rho^{*}<1$ to be any number at which $f$ attains its maximum value and define $c^{*}=\sup _{B_{\rho^{*}}(0)} u=u\left(w^{*}\right)$ and $\delta=\left(1-\rho^{*}\right) / 2$. Then $u(w) \leq 4 c^{*}$ for $w \in B_{\rho^{*}+\delta}(0)$ and hence $\Delta u \geq-4 c c^{*}$ in $B_{\delta}\left(w^{*}\right)$. This implies that the function $\tilde{u}(w)=u(w)+c c^{*}\left|w-w^{*}\right|^{2}$ is subharmonic in $B_{\delta}\left(w^{*}\right)$ and hence

$$
c^{*}=u\left(w^{*}\right) \leq \frac{c c^{*} \rho^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi \rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(w^{*}\right)} u, \quad 0<\rho \leq \delta .
$$

If $c \delta^{2} \geq 1$ choose $\rho^{2}=c^{-1} \leq \delta^{2}$ to obtain

$$
u(0) \leq c^{*} \leq \frac{2 c}{\pi} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(w^{*}\right)} u
$$

If $c \delta^{2} \leq 1$ choose $\rho=\delta$ to obtain

$$
c^{*} \delta^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B_{\delta}\left(w^{*}\right)} u
$$

and use the inequality $u(0)=f(0) \leq f\left(\rho^{*}\right)=\left(1-\rho^{*}\right)^{2} c^{*}=4 \delta^{2} c^{*}$. This proves the second estimate for $r=1$. The general case can be reduced to the case $r=1$ by rescaling.

We shall now prove an exponential estimate on the curvature of a connection $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. For a fixed number $\varepsilon>0$ such estimates are well known. Our result is quantitative and shows how the constants vary as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 .

Theorem 7.4. Assume all $H$-flat connections $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ are nondegenerate. Then for every $c_{0}>0$ there exists a constants $\delta>0, \varepsilon_{0}>0$, $c>0$, and $\rho>0$ such that the following holds. If $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\left\|\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} \leq c_{0} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty)}^{\varepsilon}(\Xi)=\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[0, \infty)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{t} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[0, \infty)\right)}^{2} \leq \delta
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{[T, \infty)}^{\varepsilon}(\Xi) \leq c e^{-\rho T}, \quad T \geq 0
$$

Lemma 7.5. Assume all $H$-flat connections $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ are nondegenerate. Then there exist a constants $\delta>0, \varepsilon_{0}>0$, and $c>0$ such that for every connection $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right)$ with

$$
\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h}\right)}+\left\|\partial_{S} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h}\right)} \leq \delta
$$

and for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ there is an estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\alpha\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|\phi\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|\psi\|^{2} \\
& \qquad \leq\left(\left\|*_{s} \nabla_{s} \alpha-*_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \alpha-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi-\mathrm{d}_{A} \psi\right\|^{2}\right.  \tag{7.7}\\
& \left.\quad+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} \psi-\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \alpha\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} *_{s} \phi+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \alpha\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} \Sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$. Here all norms are $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-norms on $\Sigma_{h}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence of connections $A_{\nu}+\Phi_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{A}\left(P_{f}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|F_{A_{\nu}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h}\right)}+\left\|\partial_{s} A_{\nu}-X_{s}\left(A_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \Phi_{\nu}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h}\right)}\right)=0
$$

and the estimate (7.7) does not hold with $c=\nu$ and $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ replaced by $A_{\nu}+\Phi_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s$ and $\varepsilon$ replaced by $\varepsilon_{\nu}$. By Uhlenbeck's compactness (cf. [32]) we may assume that $A_{\nu}+\Phi_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s$ converges to an $H$-flat connection $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s \in$
$\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}\right)$. (If necessary, pass to a subsequence and apply a sequence of gauge transformations. Note that the estimate (7.7) is invariant under gauge transformations.) Since $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ is nondegenerate there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that the estimate

$$
\left\|\alpha_{0}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left\|\pi_{A_{\nu}}\left(\nabla_{s} \alpha_{0}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}\left(A_{\nu}\right) \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

holds for $\nu$ sufficiently large and $\alpha_{0}(s) \in \mathrm{H}_{A_{\nu}}^{1}(s, t)$ with $\alpha_{0}(s+1)=f^{*} \alpha_{0}(s)$. Hence it follows from Lemma 7.4 in [10] that there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, $\nu_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $c>0$ such that the estimate (7.7) holds with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $A+\Phi \mathrm{d} s$ replaced by $A_{\nu}+\Phi_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s$ where $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$. With $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\nu}$ and $\nu>c$ this contradicts our assumption.

Lemma 7.6. Let $p>2$. Then there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that for every connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with

$$
\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq \delta
$$

there are estimates

$$
\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}, \quad\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \phi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

for $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Since every flat connection on $P$ is irreducible the estimates hold when $F_{A}=0$. Moreover, given a flat connection $A_{0}$, there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that the estimates hold for every connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with

$$
\left\|A-A_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \delta .
$$

Now, if the statement were false then there would exist a sequence $A_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ such that $\left\|F_{A_{\nu}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \rightarrow 0$ and one of the estimates fails to hold with $c=\nu$. By Uhlenbeck's compactness theorem there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $A_{\nu}$ ) and a sequence $g_{\nu} \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ such that $g_{\nu}^{*} A_{\nu}$ converges in the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm to a flat connection $A_{0}$. Hence the estimates hold for the connections $g_{\nu}^{*} A_{\nu}$ with a uniform constant $c$. Hence they hold for $A_{\nu}$ with a uniform constant $c$. This contradicts our assumption on $A_{\nu}$ and proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 7.4. Consider the function

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|C\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

where $B_{t}$ and $C$ are defined by (7.1). By (7.6) we have

$$
f(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma) c_{0}^{2}=c_{1}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By (7.2) and (7.3) we have

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \nabla_{t} C=-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}, \quad \varepsilon^{2} \nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C=-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}-*_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]
$$

and, since $\nabla_{t} X_{s}(A)=\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{t} B_{t}= & -*_{s}\left(\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right), \\
\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}= & -*_{s}\left(\nabla_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} C\right) \\
& -2 *_{s}\left[C \wedge B_{t}\right]+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $f^{\prime}(t)=\left\langle\nabla_{t} B_{t}, B_{t}\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2}\left\langle\nabla_{t} C, C\right\rangle$ and the second derivative is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C\right\|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} B_{t}, B_{t}\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2}\left\langle\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} C, C\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left\langle *_{s}\left(\nabla_{s} \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) \nabla_{t} B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} C\right), B_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} B_{t}, C\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle 2 *_{s}\left[C \wedge B_{t}\right]+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right), B_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right], C\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left\langle\nabla_{t} B_{t}, *_{s}\left(\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{t} C, *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\rangle \\
& -3\left\langle C, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right), B_{t}\right\rangle \\
= & 2\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}+2 \varepsilon^{2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& -3\left\langle C, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right), B_{t}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{A} C, \nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}\right\rangle \\
& -3\left\langle C, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right), B_{t}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+2 \varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{A} C, \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}\right\rangle \\
& +2\left\langle *_{s}\left[X_{s}(A) \wedge C\right], B_{t}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\dot{*}_{s} C, \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\mathrm{~d}_{A} C, *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} B_{t}\right\rangle \\
& -5\left\langle C, *_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge B_{t}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle *_{s} \mathrm{~d}^{2} X_{s}(A)\left(B_{t}, B_{t}\right), B_{t}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here all norms and inner products are $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-norms and $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-inner products on $\Sigma_{h}$. The third equality follows from the fact that the operators $\alpha \mapsto *_{s} \nabla_{s} \alpha$ and $\alpha \mapsto *_{s} \mathrm{~d} X_{s}(A) \alpha$ are self-adjoint. Here the "bad" terms are the ones which involve the product of $B_{t}$ and $C$. To control these, it is necessary to isolate the term $\left\|d_{A} C\right\|^{2}$ in the above identity. For fixed $\varepsilon$ it would have been sufficient to use the fourth expression.

By Theorem 7.1, we have

$$
\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times T\right)}+\left\|\partial_{s} A-X_{s}(A)-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Phi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times T\right)} \leq c_{2} \delta
$$

for $T \geq 1$. Choose $\delta>0$ so small that Lemma 7.5 holds with $\delta$ replaced by $c_{2} \delta$. Choose $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $c_{3}>0$ to be the constants of Lemma 7.5 so that the estimate (7.7) holds with $c=c_{3}, A(s)=A(s, t)$, and $\Phi(s)=\Phi(s, t)$ provided that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $t \geq 1$. Apply this estimate to $\alpha=B_{t}, \phi=C, \psi=0$, and use the identity $\nabla_{s} *_{s} C+\varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{~d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}=0$, to obtain

$$
\left\|B_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|C\|^{2} \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) B_{t}-\mathrm{d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|^{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 7.6, there is a constant $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\|C\|^{2} \leq c_{4}\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} C\right\|^{2}
$$

for $t \geq 0$ provided that $\varepsilon_{0}$ is sufficiently small. Hence the above formula for $f^{\prime \prime}(t)$ shows that there exists a constant $\rho>0$ such that

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \rho^{2} f(t), \quad t \geq 1
$$

This implies

$$
f(t) \leq e^{-\rho(t-1)} f(1) \leq e^{-\rho(t-1)} c_{1}, \quad t \geq 1
$$

(To see this note that the function $g(t)=e^{-\rho t}\left(f^{\prime}(t)+\rho f(t)\right)$ is strictly increasing. Since $f(t)$ does not converge to infinity it follows that $g(t)<0$ and hence $e^{\rho t} f(t)$ is decreasing.) Hence

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{[T, \infty)}^{\varepsilon}(\Xi)=\int_{T}^{\infty} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \rho^{-1} c_{1} e^{-\rho(T-1)}=c_{5} e^{-\rho T}
$$

for $T \geq 1$. With $c_{5} \geq \delta e^{\rho}$ the theorem follows.

## 8. Compactness with bounded curvature

In this section we shall prove that every sequence of $\varepsilon_{\nu}$-self-dual instantons $\Xi_{\nu}$ connecting $a^{-}$to $a^{+}$with $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ has a subsequence which converges, modulo gauge transformation and time shift, to a holomorphic curve $\Xi_{0}$. We shall also prove that the convergence is sufficiently fast (with the rate $\varepsilon_{\nu}^{1+2 / p}$ ) so that, by Theorem $6.1, \Xi_{\nu}$ is in the range of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}: \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ of Theorem 5.1 for $\nu$ sufficiently large.

Theorem 8.1. Assume $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then for every constant $c_{0}>0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that the following holds. If $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ with $\mu\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$ and $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{t} A-d_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c_{0} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a connection $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ such that

$$
\Xi=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)
$$

Lemma 8.2. Let $p>2$. Then there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $c>0$ such that the following holds. For every connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with

$$
\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq \delta
$$

there exists a unique section $\eta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ such that

$$
F_{A+* \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta}=0, \quad\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
$$

Proof. The condition $F_{A+* \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
F_{A}+\mathrm{d}_{A} * \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta\right]=0
$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 7.6 and the implicit function theorem. More explicitly, use a Newton type iteration argument by constructing a sequence $\eta_{\nu+1}=\eta_{\nu}+\zeta_{\nu}$ where $\eta_{1}=0$ and $\zeta_{\nu}$ is the unique solution of the elliptic equation

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A} * \mathrm{~d}_{A} \zeta_{\nu}+F_{A+* \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta_{\nu}}=0
$$

This solution exists by Lemma 7.6 and the sequence $\eta_{\nu}$ converges to the required solution $\eta$. The details of this argument are left to the reader.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Assume that the statement were false. Then there exist $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$, a sequence $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$, and a sequence $\Xi_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ such that (8.1) holds with $\Xi=\Xi_{\nu}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\nu}$ but $\Xi_{\nu}$ is not in the range of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}$. Hence $g^{*}\left(\Xi_{\nu} \circ \sigma_{\tau}\right)$ is not in the range of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}$ for every $g \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ and every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying a suitable time shift we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(A_{\nu}(s, 0)+\Phi_{\nu}(s, 0) d s\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)+\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)\right)
$$

Applying a suitable gauge transformation we may also assume that $\Psi_{\nu}(s, t)=$ 0 for $|t| \geq T_{0}$. We shall prove in seven steps that $\Xi_{\nu}$ is in the range of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}$ for some $\nu$ in contradiction to our assumption.

Step 1: There exist constants $c>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{[T, \infty)}^{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right) \leq c e^{-\rho T}
$$

for $T \geq 0$ and similarly for $T \leq 0$.
In view of Theorem 7.4 it suffices to prove that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\nu} \mathcal{Y}_{[-T, T]}^{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right)=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)
$$

We prove this by contradiction. If this equation would not hold then there would exist a number $\delta>0$, a subsequence (still denoted by $\Xi_{\nu}$ ), and a sequence $T_{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{\left[-T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}\right) \leq \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)-\delta
$$

Now the curvature of $\Xi_{\nu}$ satisfies a uniform $L^{\infty}$ estimate. Hence, by Uhlenbeck's weak compactness theorem (cf. [32]), we may choose a further subsequence and a sequence $g_{\nu} \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ such that $g_{\nu}^{*} \Xi_{\nu}$ converges to $\Xi_{0}=$ $A_{0}+\Phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\Psi_{0} \mathrm{~d} t$, uniformly on compact sets and weakly in $\mathrm{W}^{1, p}$ on compact
sets. The limit connection $\Xi_{0}$ satisfies the holomorphic curve equation (3.4) and has finite energy

$$
E\left(\Xi_{0}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\partial_{t} A_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{0}} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t \leq \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)-\delta
$$

Hence the limits of $\Xi_{0}$ for $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ exist and are $H$-flat connections on $P_{f}$. Since $\mu\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$ the limits of $\Xi_{0}$ agree with those of $\Xi_{\nu}$. Otherwise it would follow from the usual arguments in Floer homology or in finite dimensional Morse theory (see e.g. [26]) that there exist $H$-flat connections $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ with $\ell>1$ such that $a_{0}=a^{-}, a_{\ell}=a^{+}$, and $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}, H\right) \neq \emptyset$ for every $j$. Since $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ this would imply that

$$
\mu_{H}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right) \geq 1
$$

for every $j$ and hence

$$
\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \mu_{H}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right) \geq 2
$$

in contradiction to the assumption $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$. This shows that $\Xi_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ and hence

$$
E\left(\Xi_{0}\right)=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right) .
$$

This contradicts the above inequality and proves step 1 .
Step 2: Let $\rho$ be the constant of step 1. Let $B_{s}^{\nu}, B_{t}^{\nu}$ and $C^{\nu}$ be defined by (7.1) with $\Xi$ replaced by $\Xi_{\nu}$. Then there exist constants $c>0$ and $\nu_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2 / p}\left\|B_{t}^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} B_{t}^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} *_{s} B_{t}^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)} \\
& +\varepsilon_{\nu}\left\|\nabla_{s} B_{t}^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)}+\varepsilon_{\nu}\left\|\nabla_{t} B_{t}^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon_{\nu}\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} C^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon_{\nu}^{2}\left\|\nabla_{s} C^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)}+\varepsilon_{\nu}^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t} C^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Sigma_{h} \times[T, \infty)\right)} \\
& \quad \leq c \varepsilon^{2 / p} e^{-\rho T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty, \nu \geq \nu_{0}$, and $T \geq 0$. Similarly for $T \leq 0$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|C^{\nu}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$.
The first inequality follows from step 1 and Theorem 7.1. For $p=2$ the second inequality follows from the first and for $p=\infty$ it holds by assumption. For general $p$ it follows by interpolation using Hölder's inequality.

Step 3: By Lemma 8.2 choose $\eta_{\nu}(s, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ such that

$$
F_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}}=0, \quad A_{\nu}^{\prime}=A_{\nu}+* \mathrm{~d}_{A_{\nu}} \eta_{\nu}
$$

Moreover, choose $\Phi_{\nu}^{\prime}(s, t), \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime}(s, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{P}\right)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A_{\nu}^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Phi_{\nu}^{\prime}-X_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

Then $\Xi_{\nu}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and there exist constants $c>0$ and $\nu_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c e^{-\rho|t|} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime}+*_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A_{\nu}^{\prime}-X_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Phi_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.
We shall suppress the subscript $\nu$ and write $A, A^{\prime}, \varepsilon$ for $A_{\nu}, A_{\nu}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{\nu}$ etc. All constants are independent of $\nu$. By Lemma 8.2 we have an estimate

$$
\left\|A^{\prime}-A\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{1}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}
$$

pointwise for every $s$ and $t$. Hence, by step 2,

$$
\left\|A^{\prime}-A\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{2}
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ where the $L^{p}$-norm is to be understood on the infinite cylinder $\Sigma_{h} \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows also from step 2 that

$$
\left\|A^{\prime}-A\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}+\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{3} \varepsilon e^{-\rho|t|}
$$

pointwise for every $s$ and $t$. Now differentiate the identity

$$
F_{A}+\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta\right]=0
$$

with respect to $t$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta= & -\mathrm{d}_{A} B_{t}-\left[\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta\right]-\left[\left[B_{t} \wedge \eta\right] \wedge \mathrm{d}_{A} \eta\right] \\
& -2\left[B_{t} \wedge *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta\right]-\left[\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t} \wedge \eta\right] \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{4}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{A} B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}+\left\|A^{\prime}-A\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}\right)
$$

[^4]and hence
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{5} e^{-\rho|t|}, \quad\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{5} \varepsilon^{2 / p} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A similar estimate holds for $\nabla_{s} \eta$.
Now denote $B_{s}^{\prime}=\partial_{s} A^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A^{\prime}} \Phi^{\prime}$ and $B_{t}^{\prime}=\partial_{t} A^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A^{\prime}} \Psi^{\prime}$. Then $B_{t}^{\prime}-B_{t}=$ $\mathrm{d}_{A^{\prime}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{\prime}\right)+\nabla_{t}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}^{\prime}-B_{t}=\mathrm{d}_{A^{\prime}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{\prime}\right)+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta+*_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge \eta\right] \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi\right)= & \mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}-\left[A^{\prime}-A \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta+\left[B_{t} \wedge \eta\right]\right] \\
& -\left[\mathrm{d}_{A} B_{t} \wedge \eta\right]-\left[F_{A} \wedge \nabla_{t} \eta\right] \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{6} e^{-\rho|t|}, \quad\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{6} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2 / p}
$$

and, by (8.7),

$$
\left\|B_{t}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{7} e^{-\rho|t|}, \quad\left\|B_{t}^{\prime}-B_{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{7} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2 / p}
$$

This proves (8.3).
It follows also from (8.7) and an analogous identity for $B_{s}^{\prime}-B_{s}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{t}^{\prime}+*_{s}\left(B_{s}^{\prime}-X_{s}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)= & *_{s} \dot{*}_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \eta-\left[X_{s}(A) \wedge \eta\right]-*_{s}\left(X_{s}\left(A^{\prime}\right)-X_{s}(A)\right) \\
& +\left[A^{\prime}-A \wedge \nabla_{s} \eta\right]-*_{s}\left[A^{\prime}-A \wedge \nabla_{t} \eta\right] \\
& -\mathrm{d}_{A^{\prime}}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi+\nabla_{s} \eta\right)-*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A^{\prime}}\left(\Phi^{\prime}-\Phi-\nabla_{t} \eta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (8.6) it follows that the $A^{\prime}$-harmonic part of $B_{t}^{\prime}+*_{s}\left(B_{s}^{\prime}-X_{s}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)$ can be estimated by $A^{\prime}-A$. This proves (8.4).

To prove the estimate (8.2) note that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)=-F_{A}+\frac{1}{2}\left[A-A^{\prime} \wedge A-A^{\prime}\right], \quad \mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)=-\left[F_{A} \wedge \eta\right] .
$$

The $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm of both terms can be estimated by $\varepsilon^{2}$. The $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm of $\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\right.$ $\Psi)$ has already been estimated above by $\varepsilon^{2 / p}$ and for $\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(\Phi^{\prime}-\Phi\right)$ the argument is similar. Since

$$
\nabla_{t}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)=*_{s}\left[B_{t} \wedge \eta\right]+*_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A} \nabla_{t} \eta
$$

it follows from (8.6) that the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$-norm of $\nabla_{t}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)$ can be estimated by $\varepsilon^{2 / p}$. Similarly for $\nabla_{s}\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)$. To estimate $\nabla_{t}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi\right)$ differentiate the identity (8.5) with respect to $t$ to obtain an estimate for $\nabla_{t} \nabla_{t} \eta$ in terms of $\varepsilon^{2 / p-1}$ Then differentiate (8.8) with respect to $t$. The expressions $\nabla_{s}\left(\Psi^{\prime}-\Psi\right)$, $\nabla_{t}\left(\Phi^{\prime}-\Phi\right)$, and $\nabla_{s}\left(\Phi^{\prime}-\Phi\right)$ can be estimated by similar arguments the details of which are left to the reader. This proves (8.2).

Step 4: Fix a constant $p>4$. Then for $\nu$ sufficiently large there exists a smooth map $A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ which satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations (3.4), the boundary condition (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)=0, \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} \\
\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\Psi_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}$ is chosen such that $\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. The constant $c$ is independent of $\nu$.

The assertion follows from step 3 and Theorem 2.5. Condition (8.9) means that for every $s$ and $t$ the connection $A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}(s, t)$ minimizes the L ${ }^{2}$-distance of the orbit of $A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}(s, t)$ under $\mathcal{G}(P)$ to the connection $A_{\nu}^{\prime}(s, t)$ with respect to the $s$-metric.

Step 5: For $\nu$ sufficiently large there exists a smooth map $A_{\nu}^{0}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$ which satisfies (3.4), (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{0}\right)=0, \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} \\
\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \Psi_{\nu}-\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{0}+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} \Psi_{\nu}^{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2 / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\Psi_{\nu}^{0}$ is chosen such that $\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} \Psi_{\nu}^{0}\right)=0$. The constant $c>0$ is independent of $\nu$.

It follows from step 3 and step 4 that

$$
\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}+\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \Psi_{\nu}-\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2 / p}
$$

with a suitable constant $c_{1}>0$. Moreover, with $\eta_{\nu}$ as in step 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \eta_{\nu}+\left[A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime} \wedge *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \\
& =-\left[F_{A_{\nu}} \wedge \eta_{\nu}\right]+\left[A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime} \wedge *_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{\prime}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}}\left(A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right)=F_{A_{\nu}}-\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime} \wedge A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right] .
$$

Hence there is a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that for every $\nu$

$$
\sup _{s, t}\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}+\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} .
$$

This implies that for all $s$ and $t$ there exists a gauge transformation $g_{\nu}(s, t) \in$ $\mathcal{G}(P)$ such that the map $A_{\nu}^{0}=g_{\nu}^{*} A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies (8.10) and

$$
\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left\|A_{\nu}-A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1, p}\left(\Sigma, *_{s}\right)}
$$

with a suitable constant $c_{3}>0$. Since $A_{\nu}$ and $A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}$ are smooth so is $g_{\nu}$. Hence $A_{\nu}^{0}$ satisfies the requirements of step 5 . In particular the last estimate follows from the identity

$$
\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{0}+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} \Psi_{\nu}^{0}=g_{\nu}^{-1}\left(\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}} \Psi_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right) g_{\nu}
$$

Step 6: Choose $\Phi_{\nu}^{0}$ and $\Psi_{\nu}^{0}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{s} A_{\nu}^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} \Phi_{\nu}^{0}-X_{s}\left(A_{\nu}^{0}\right)\right)=0, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} *_{s}\left(\partial_{t} A_{\nu}^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}^{0}} \Psi_{\nu}^{0}\right)=0
$$

Then $\Xi_{\nu}^{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ and there exist constants $c>0$ and $\nu_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\Xi_{\nu}-\Xi_{\nu}^{0}\right\|_{1, p, \varepsilon_{\nu}, \Xi_{\nu}^{0}} \leq c \varepsilon_{\nu}^{1+2 / p}
$$

for $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$.
Again we shall suppress the subscript $\nu$ and write $A, A^{0}, \varepsilon$ for $A_{\nu}, A_{\nu}^{0}$, $\varepsilon_{\nu}$ etc. Moreover, denote $\nabla_{t}^{0}=\partial_{t}+\Psi^{0}, \nabla_{s}^{0}=\partial_{t}+\Phi^{0}, B_{t}^{0}=\partial_{t} A^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} \Psi^{0}$, and $B_{s}^{0}=\partial_{s} A^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} \Phi^{0}$. The identity

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(A-A^{0}\right)=F_{A}-\frac{1}{2}\left[A-A^{0} \wedge A-A^{0}\right]
$$

shows that

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(A-A^{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} *_{s}\left(A-A^{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon^{2} .
$$

As in the proof of step 3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)=\nabla_{t}\left(A-A^{0}\right)+B_{t}^{0}-B_{t} . \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the identity $\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} *_{s}\left(A-A^{0}\right)=0$ with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} *_{s} \nabla_{t}^{0}\left(A-A^{0}\right)=\left[A-A^{0} \wedge *_{s} B_{t}^{0}\right]
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}} *_{s} \mathrm{~d}_{A^{0}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)=-\mathrm{d}_{A} *_{s} B_{t}+\left[A-A^{0} \wedge *_{s}\left(B_{t}+B_{t}^{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{2 / p}
$$

By step 5, we have

$$
\left\|B_{t}-B_{t}^{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{3} \varepsilon^{2 / p}
$$

and hence it follows from (8.11)

$$
\left\|\nabla_{t}^{0}\left(A-A^{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq c_{4} \varepsilon^{2 / p}
$$

To estimate $\nabla_{t}^{0}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)$ and $\nabla_{s}^{0}\left(\Psi-\Psi^{0}\right)$ by $\varepsilon^{2 / p-1}$ differentiate the identity (8.12) with respect to $t$ and $s$. The expressions $\mathrm{d}_{A^{0}}\left(\Phi-\Phi^{0}\right), \nabla_{s}{ }^{0}\left(A-A^{0}\right)$, $\nabla_{t}^{0}\left(\Phi-\Phi^{0}\right)$, and $\nabla_{s}^{0}\left(\Phi-\Phi^{0}\right)$ can be estimated by similar arguments. This proves step 6.

Step 7: For $\nu$ sufficiently large there exist $g_{\nu} \in \mathcal{G}^{2, p}$ and $\tau_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
g_{\nu}^{*}\left(\Xi_{\nu} \circ \sigma_{\tau_{\nu}}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}\left(\Xi_{\nu}^{0}\right)
$$

The real numbers act on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ by time shift. Since $\mu\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$ the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) / \mathbb{R}$ consists only of finitely many points. Now the constants $\delta$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ of Theorem 6.1 are invariant under gauge transformations and time shift. Hence step 7 follows from step 6 and Theorem 6.1. This proves Theorem 8.1.

## 9. Bubbling

In this section we prove that the assumption of bounded curvature in Theorem 8.1 is necessarily satisfied when the index difference is 1 or the energy is sufficiently small.

Theorem 9.1. Let $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ and assume that either $\mathcal{C S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-$ $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)<8 \pi^{2}$ or $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \leq 3$. Then there exist constants $c_{0}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\varepsilon^{-2}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{t} A-d_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}} \leq c_{0}
$$

for every $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$.
Theorem 5.1 asserts that every holomorphic curve $\Xi_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ can be approximated by self-dual instantons $\Xi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. The next theorem asserts that when the relative Morse index is 1 then for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small every self-dual instanton connecting $a^{-}$to $a^{+}$can be obtained this way.

Theorem 9.2. Assume $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ such that $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$. Then the map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ of Theorem 5.1 is onto for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 9.1

The proof of Theorem 9.1 involves a bubbling argument. Roughly speaking, a sequence of $\varepsilon_{\nu}$-self-dual instantons with $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ may not satisfy a uniform $L^{\infty}$-estimate in arbitrarily small neighborhoods of finitely many points and in this case either instantons on $S^{4}$ or instantons on $\mathbb{C} \times P$ or holomorphic spheres in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ will split off. But this cannot happen when the relative Morse index is 1 . We use the following observation due to Hofer.

Lemma 9.3. Let $M$ be a complete metric space and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and nonnegative. Given $x \in M$ and $r>0$ there exist $\xi \in M$ and $0<\rho<r$ such that

$$
d(x, \xi) \leq r, \quad \sup _{B_{\rho}(\xi)} f \leq 2 f(\xi), \quad \rho f(\xi) \geq r f(x) / 2
$$

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Assume that the statement were false. Then there would exist a sequence $\Xi_{\nu} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\nu}^{-2}\left\|F_{A_{\nu}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}-d_{A_{\nu}} \Psi_{\nu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \rightarrow \infty \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first prove that a subsequence (still denoted by $\Xi_{\nu}$ ) satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\nu}\left(\varepsilon_{\nu}^{-2}\left\|F_{A_{\nu}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(K)}+\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}} \Psi_{\nu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(K)}\right)<\infty \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every compact subset $K \subset(\mathbb{C} \backslash W) \times \Sigma$ where $W \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a discrete set (to be constructed) which intersects $[0,1]+i \mathbb{R}$ in a finite set. If (9.2) does not hold for some compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$ then there exists a bounded sequence $w_{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
c_{\nu}=c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)=\varepsilon_{\nu}^{-1}\left\|F_{A_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\partial_{t} A_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)-\mathrm{d}_{A_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)} \Psi_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)}
$$

diverges to $\infty$. (Pass to a subsequence if necessary.) Assume without loss of generality that $w_{\nu}$ converges and denote its limit by $w_{0}=s_{0}+i t_{0}$. There are three cases.

## Instantons on $S^{4}$

Assume that the sequence $\varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}$ is unbounded. Consider the self-dual instantons

$$
\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}=\widetilde{A}_{\nu}+\widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu} d s+\widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu} d t
$$

given by
$\widetilde{A}_{\nu}(w)=A_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+\varepsilon_{\nu} w\right), \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}(w)=\varepsilon_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+\varepsilon_{\nu} w\right), \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}(w)=\varepsilon_{\nu} \Psi_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+\varepsilon_{\nu} w\right)$
for $w=s+i t$. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there exists a sequence $z_{\nu} \in \Sigma$ such that the norm of the curvature of $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ at $\left(0, z_{\nu}\right)$ diverges to $\infty$. Assume without loss of generality that $z_{\nu}$ converges to $z_{0}$. Then it follows from the usual renormalization argument
that an instanton on $S^{4}$ splits off near $\left(0, z_{0}\right)$ (cf. [29]). This implies that the energy of $\Xi_{\nu}$ in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $w_{\nu} \times \Sigma$ is in the limit at least $16 \pi^{2}$. Hence an instanton on $S^{4}$ can only split off near finitely many points $\left(w_{0}, z_{0}\right)$. Let $W_{1}$ denote the discrete set of complex numbers $w_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists a sequence $w_{\nu} \rightarrow w_{0}$ with $\sup _{\nu} \varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)=\infty$.

## Instantons on $\mathbb{C} \times P$

Let $w_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash W_{1}$ and assume that there exists a sequence $w_{\nu} \rightarrow w_{0}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right) \geq \delta>0$. Since $w_{0} \notin W_{1}$ there exist constants $c>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\left|w-w_{\nu}\right| \leq \rho} \varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}(w) \leq c
$$

for every $\nu$. Let $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}=\widetilde{A}_{\nu}+\widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s+\widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} t$ be defined as above. Then $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ is a sequence of self-dual connections on $\mathbb{C} \times P$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}+*_{s_{\nu}+\varepsilon_{\nu} s}\left(\partial_{s} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}\right) & =0 \\
\partial_{t} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}-\partial_{s} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}-\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}, \tilde{\Psi}_{\nu}\right]+*_{s_{\nu}+\varepsilon_{\nu} s} F_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here $s_{\nu}=\operatorname{Re} w_{\nu}$ and $s=\operatorname{Re} w$.) The curvature of $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ is uniformly bounded on any compact set. Hence it follows from Uhlenbeck's compactness theorem that there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ ) and a sequence of gauge transformations $g_{\nu}: \mathbb{C} \times P \rightarrow G$ such that $g_{\nu}^{*} \widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on compact sets. The limit connection

$$
\widetilde{\Xi}=\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{\Phi} \mathrm{d} s+\widetilde{\Psi} \mathrm{d} t=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}
$$

is self-dual on $\mathbb{C} \times P$ with respect to the metric $*=*_{s_{0}}$ on $\Sigma$ where $s_{0}=\operatorname{Re} w_{0}$. Since $\varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu} \geq \delta>0$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{\widetilde{A}(0)}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)}+\left\|\partial_{t} \widetilde{A}(0)-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}(0)} \widetilde{\Psi}(0)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)} \neq 0 \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now introduce polar co-ordinates $w=s+i t=e^{\tau+i \theta}$ and define $\Xi=A+$ $\Phi \mathrm{d} s+\Psi \mathrm{d} t$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(\theta, \tau) & =\widetilde{A}\left(e^{\tau+i \theta}\right) \\
\Phi(\theta, \tau) & =e^{\tau} \cos \theta \widetilde{\Psi}\left(e^{\tau+i \theta}\right)-e^{\tau} \sin \theta \widetilde{\Phi}\left(e^{\tau+i \theta}\right) \\
\Psi(\theta, \tau) & =e^{\tau} \cos \theta \widetilde{\Phi}\left(e^{\tau+i \theta}\right)+e^{\tau} \sin \theta \widetilde{\Psi}\left(e^{\tau+i \theta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\tau} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi-*\left(\partial_{\theta} A-d_{A} \Phi\right) & =0 \\
\partial_{\tau} \Phi-\partial_{\theta} \Psi-[\Phi, \Psi]-e^{2 \tau} *_{s} F_{A} & =0 \tag{9.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Yang-Mills action of $\Xi$ is finite

$$
\mathcal{Y}(\Xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\left\|\partial_{\tau} A-\mathrm{d}_{A} \Psi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+e^{2 \tau}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \tau<\infty
$$

Similar arguments as in section 7 show that the curvature decays exponentially as $\tau$ tends to $\infty$. Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that $\Xi$ is in radial gauge, i.e. $\Psi=0$ for $\tau$ sufficiently large. This implies that the limits

$$
A_{\infty}(\theta)=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} A(\theta, \tau), \quad \Phi_{\infty}(\theta)=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\theta, \tau)
$$

exist and define a flat connection on $P \times S^{1}$. Hence

$$
A_{\infty}(\theta)=g(\theta)^{*} A_{0}, \quad \Phi_{\infty}(\theta)=g(\theta)^{-1} \dot{g}(\theta)
$$

where $g(\theta)=g(\theta+2 \pi) \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(P)$ and $A_{0}=A_{\infty}(0) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}(P)$. It follows that the Yang-Mills action of $\Xi$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}(\Xi) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\left\|\partial_{\tau} A\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+e^{2 r}\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\partial_{\theta} A \wedge\left(A-A_{0}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle F_{A} \wedge \Phi\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\langle\dot{A}_{\infty} \wedge\left(A_{\infty}-A_{0}\right)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =-8 \pi^{2} \operatorname{deg}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (9.3) the Yang-Mills action of $\Xi$ is positive. Hence the Yang-Mills action of $\Xi_{\nu}$ in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $w_{0} \times \Sigma$ is in the limit at least $8 \pi^{2}$. This shows that an instanton on $\mathbb{C} \times P$ can only split off near finitely many points $w_{0}$. Let $W_{2}$ denote the discrete set of complex numbers $w_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists a sequence $w_{\nu} \rightarrow w_{0}$ with $\varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right) \nrightarrow 0$.

## Holomorphic spheres in $\mathcal{M}(P)$

Now let $w_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash W_{2}$ and assume that there exists a sequence $w_{\nu} \rightarrow w_{0}$ such that $c_{\nu}=c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)$ diverges to $\infty$. By Lemma 9.3 we may assume that there exists a sequence $0<\rho_{\nu}<1 / 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left|w-w_{\nu}\right| \leq \rho_{\nu}} c_{\nu}(w) \leq 2 c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right), \quad \rho_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \infty . \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $w_{0} \notin W_{2}$

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right)=0
$$

Now define $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}=\widetilde{A}_{\nu}+\widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} s+\widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} t$ by $\widetilde{A}_{\nu}(w)=A_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+c_{\nu}^{-1} w\right), \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}(w)=$ $c_{\nu}^{-1} \Phi_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+c_{\nu}^{-1} w\right)$, and $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}(w)=c_{\nu}^{-1} \Psi_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}+c_{\nu}^{-1} w\right)$. This sequence satisfies
the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}+*_{s_{\nu}+s / c_{\nu}}\left(\partial_{s} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}\right) & =0 \\
\partial_{t} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}-\partial_{s} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}-\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}, \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}\right]+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} c_{\nu}^{2}} *_{s_{\nu}+s / c_{\nu}} F_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the Yang-Mills action is finite over $B_{\rho_{\nu} c_{\nu}}(0) \times \Sigma$ :

$$
\int_{s^{2}+t^{2} \leq \rho_{\nu}^{2} c_{\nu}^{2}}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} c_{\nu}^{2}}\left\|F_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \leq c
$$

and, by (9.5), the curvature is bounded:

$$
\sup _{|w| \leq \rho_{\nu} c_{\nu}}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\nu}^{2} c_{\nu}^{2}}\left\|F_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}(w)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Sigma)}+\left\|\partial_{t} \widetilde{A}_{\nu}(w)-\mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{A}_{\nu}(w)} \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}(w)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Sigma)}\right) \leq 6
$$

By Uhlenbeck's weak compactness theorem (cf. [32]) we may assume, passing to a subsequence and up to gauge equivalence, that $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\nu}$ converges strongly in $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}$ and weakly in $\mathrm{W}^{1, p}$ on compact sets. The limit connection $\Xi_{0}$ represents a non-constant holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ with respect to the conformal structure $*=*_{s_{0}}$

$$
\partial_{t} A_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{0}} \Psi_{0}+*\left(\partial_{s} A_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{0}} \Phi_{0}\right), \quad F_{A_{0}}=0
$$

Since $\rho_{\nu} c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ this holomorphic curve has finite energy

$$
E\left(\Xi_{0}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left\|\partial_{t} A_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A_{0}} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t \leq c
$$

By the removable singularity theorem $\Xi_{0}$ extends to a nonconstant holomorphic sphere $v_{0}: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$. The energy of such a holomorphic sphere is at least $8 \pi^{2}$ (cf. [10]). Hence a holomorphic sphere on $\mathcal{M}(P)$ can only split off near finitely many points $w_{0}$. Thus we have proved that the set $W \subset \mathbb{C}$ of all points $w_{0}$ such that there exists a sequence $w_{\nu} \rightarrow w_{0}$ with $c_{\nu}\left(w_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ intersects $[0,1]+i \mathbb{R}$ in a finite set. We must prove that this set is empty.

Assume, by contradiction that $W$ is nonempty. By (9.2) and Uhlenbeck's weak compactness theorem, we may assume that $\Xi_{\nu}$ converges, modulo gauge equivalence, on the complement of $W$ to a connecting orbit $\Xi_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, H\right)$ for some $H$-flat connections $a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $a_{0}=a^{-}$and proceed by induction as in [26] to obtain finitely many such limit trajectories

$$
\Xi_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}, H\right), \quad j=0, \ldots, \ell-1
$$

with $a_{\ell}=a^{+}$. Now each bubble carries energie at least $8 \pi^{2}$. Since $W \neq \emptyset$ it follows that the limit connections have total energy

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} E\left(\Xi_{j}\right) \leq E-8 \pi^{2}
$$

where $E=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{H}\left(a^{+}\right)$is the perturbed Yang-Mills action of the connections $\Xi_{\nu}$. This is not possible if $E<8 \pi^{2}$. Hence in this case it follows that $W=\emptyset$. If $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \leq 3$ and $W \neq \emptyset$ then the index formula (3.7) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \mu_{H}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a_{j+1}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a_{j}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} E\left(\Xi_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a^{-}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} E\left(\Xi_{j}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\mathrm{D}_{a^{-}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} E-4 \\
& =\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)-4 \\
& \leq-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mu_{H}\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right)<0$ for some $j$. But since $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$ it follows that for this value of $j$ the set $\mathcal{A}_{0}^{1, p}\left(a_{j}, a j+1, H\right)$ must be empty. This is a contradiction and shows that $W=\emptyset$ whenever $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \leq 3$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }}$.

Thus we have proved in both cases that $W=\emptyset$. Hence the estimate (9.2) holds for every compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. It continues to hold when $\Xi_{\nu}$ is replaced by $\Xi_{\nu} \circ \sigma_{\tau_{\nu}}$ with any sequence $\tau_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence (9.2) holds for $K=\mathbb{C}$ in contradiction to (9.1). This proves the theorem.

## 10. The main theorem

TheOrem 10.1. There is a natural isomorphism of Floer homologies

$$
H F_{*}^{\mathrm{inst}}\left(\Sigma_{h}, P_{f}\right)=H F_{*}^{\mathrm{symp}}\left(\mathcal{M}(P), \phi_{f}\right)
$$

In particular, for $f=\mathrm{id}$,

$$
H F_{*}^{\mathrm{inst}}\left(\Sigma \times S^{1}, P \times S^{1}\right)=H F_{*}(\mathcal{M}(P), \mathbb{Z})
$$

## Coherent orientation

We follow the line of argument in [18]. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\text {reg }}(0)$ and fix two $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$. Let $p>4$ and denote

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=\left\{\Xi=A+\Phi d s+\Psi d t \in \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right): F_{A}=0\right\}
$$

This space is nonempty, connected, and simply connected. For every $\Xi \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$there are Fredholm operators $\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)$. Consider the determinant line bundle

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)
$$

whose fibre at $\Xi$ is the 1-dimensional real vector space

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)\right)=\Lambda^{\max }\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)\right) \otimes \Lambda^{\max }\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)^{*}\right)
$$

The bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$is defined similarly. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$is simply connected both line bundles are orientable. For the bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ this also follows from the fact that it extends to a determinant line bundle over the affine space

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{1, p}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Or}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$and $\operatorname{Or}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$the spaces of orientations of $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$, respectively, each consisting of 2 elements. The spaces $\operatorname{Or}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$for different values of $\varepsilon$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proposition 10.2. For every pair $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ there is a natural bijection

$$
\tau_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right): \operatorname{Or}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Or}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)
$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)$ is onto and $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small then, by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.3, there is a linear bijection

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi): \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)
$$

given by

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi) \alpha_{0}=\xi_{0}-\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \xi_{0}
$$

Here $\xi_{0}=\alpha_{0}+\phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\psi_{0} \mathrm{~d} t$ and $\phi_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}$ are determined by the requirement that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi) \alpha_{0}=\nabla_{t} \alpha_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \psi_{0}+*_{s}\left(\nabla_{s} \alpha_{0}-\mathrm{d} X_{s}(A) \alpha_{0}-\mathrm{d}_{A} \phi_{0}\right)
$$

is harmonic. If $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is not onto choose a number $N$ and a linear map $\mathrm{L}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{f}^{p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{~L}: \mathrm{W}_{f}^{1, p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{f}^{p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{A}\right)
$$

is onto. As in Lemma 4.5 one can show that the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \oplus L$ is onto for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small and there is a linear bijection

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0}\right) \simeq \Lambda^{\max }\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{~L}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\max }\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} \oplus \mathrm{L}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

The induced map $\operatorname{Or}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Or}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ is independent of the extension L used to define it.

Now for any three $H$-flat connections $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ Floer's glueing construction determines a natural map

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right): \operatorname{Or}_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Or}_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Or}_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{2}\right)
$$

A coherent orientation for $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ is a collection of orientations $\sigma_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{Or}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\left(\sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right), \sigma_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{2}\right)
$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$. In [18] it is shown that such coherent orientations exist. The next proposition asserts that $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ and $\sigma$ commute and hence every coherent orientation for $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ is mapped under $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ to a coherent orientation for $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 10.3.

$$
\sigma_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \circ \tau_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \oplus \tau_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\tau_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{0}, a_{2}\right) \circ \sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\Xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$ and $\Xi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ such that $\Psi(s, t)=$ $\Psi^{\prime}(s, t)=0, A(s, t)+\Phi(s, t) \mathrm{d} s=a_{1}$ for $t \geq T$, and $A^{\prime}(s, t)+\Phi^{\prime}(s, t) \mathrm{d} s=a_{1}$ for $t \leq-T$. Assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)$ are onto.

For $R>T$ define the catenation $\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}=\Xi \#_{R} \Xi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{1, p}\left(a_{0}, a_{2}\right)$ of $\Xi$ and $\Xi^{\prime}$ by $\Xi^{\prime \prime}(s, t)=\Xi(s, t+R)$ for $t \leq 0$ and $\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}(s, t)=\Xi^{\prime}(s, t-R)$ for $t \geq 0$. Then for $R>0$ sufficiently large there exist isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{0}: \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi) \oplus \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\varepsilon}: \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi) \oplus \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These maps are small perturbations of the obvious shift-overlap maps $\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) \mapsto$ $\xi^{\prime \prime}(s, t)=\xi(s, t+R)+\xi^{\prime}(s, t-R)$. They induce the maps $\sigma_{0}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ on the spaces of orientations. Now let $\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)$ be defined as in the proof of Proposition 10.2. Then the linear operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S}_{\varepsilon} \circ \mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi) \oplus \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right) \circ \mathrm{S}_{0}: \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Xi) \oplus \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are close to each other for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small and $R>0$ sufficiently large. This is because the maps $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\varepsilon}$ for large $R>0$ are close to the shift-overlap maps while $\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Xi)$ for small $\varepsilon>0$ is close to the identity. The details are left to the reader. (For maps of the form $S_{0}$ and $S_{\varepsilon}$ see [18].)

Proof of Theorem 10.1. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small and let $H \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{\text {reg }} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\text {reg }}$. Throughout fix a sequence of $H$-flat connections $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$
such that each equivalence class in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right) / \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(P_{f}\right)$ is represented by precisely one member of this sequence. Both Floer homology groups are generated by the same chain complex

$$
C_{k}=\bigoplus_{\mu_{H}\left(a_{0}, a_{j}\right)=k} \mathbb{Z}\left[a_{j}\right]
$$

By Theorem 3.2 the grading of this chain complex is the same in both theories. We must prove that the boundary operators $\partial^{\text {symp }}$ and $\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\text {inst }}$ agree for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small.

Choose coherent orientations $\sigma_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$for $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ and consider the induced coherent orientations $\sigma_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$for $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$. These determine orientations of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ and hence of the quotient spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0}=\mathcal{M}_{0} / \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon} / \mathbb{R}$ of connecting orbits modulo time shift. These orientations are invariant under Floer's glueing maps

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0}\left(a_{j}, a_{k}, a_{\ell}\right): \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0}\left(a_{j}, a_{k}, H\right) \times(R, \infty) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0}\left(a_{k}, a_{\ell}, H\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0}\left(a_{j}, a_{\ell}, H\right)
$$

in the symplectic case and under the corresponding maps $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{j}, a_{k}, a_{\ell}\right)$ in the instanton case. To see this note that the induced maps on the spaces of orientations are given by $\sigma_{0}\left(a_{j}, a_{k}, a_{\ell}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{j}, a_{k}, a_{\ell}\right)$, respectively. Now fix two $H$-flat connections $a^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {flat }}\left(P_{f}, H\right)$ and consider the map

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)
$$

of Theorem 5.1. The induced map on the space of orientations agrees with the $\operatorname{map} \tau_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)$of Proposition 10.2. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is orientation preserving.

Now assume $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$. Then, by Proposition 5.7 and Theorem 9.2, the map $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is bijective and hence induces a bijection of finite sets

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\varepsilon}: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. By the above argument, this map preserves the coherent orientations. Moreover, the differential $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\mathrm{d} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right) \partial_{t} \Xi_{0}=\partial_{t} \Xi_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\Xi_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$. Since $\mu_{H}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}\right)=1$ the vector $\partial_{t} \Xi_{0}$ determines the flow orientation of $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$ while $\partial_{t} \Xi_{\varepsilon}$ determines the flow orientation of $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}\left(a^{-}, a^{+}, H\right)$. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ preserves both the coherent orientation and the flow orientation. Hence it preserves the signs $\nu^{\operatorname{symp}}\left(\Xi_{0}\right)$ and $\nu_{\varepsilon}^{\text {inst }}\left(\Xi_{\varepsilon}\right)$ which are determined by comparing both orientations. This shows that the oriented number of connecting orbits from $a^{-}$to $a^{+}$is the same in both theories. Hence the boundary operators $\partial^{\text {symp }}$ and $\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\text {inst }}$ agree for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. This proves the theorem.
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[^0]:    *This research has been partially supported by the SERC.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The formal adjoint operator $\mathcal{D}_{u}^{*}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ by replacing $\nabla_{t}$ with $-\nabla_{t}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Warning: This need not be the space $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}^{2, p}\left(u^{*} T \mathcal{M}\right)$ since $u$ is only assumed to be of class $\mathrm{W}^{1, p}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Since the kernel consists of smooth sections the choice of the Sobolev norm is not important.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ The subscript $\Xi_{\nu}$ in (8.2) indicates that the covariant derivatives in the definition of the $1, p, \varepsilon_{\nu}$-norm are with respect to the connection $\Xi_{\nu}$.

