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## Self maps of homogeneous spaces
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## Introduction

This paper arose out of an attempt to understand the following problem of Lazarsfeld [L]:

Problem 1. Suppose $G$ is a semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbf{C}, P \subset G$ a maximal parabolic subgroup, $Y=G / P$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite, surjective morphism of degree $>1$ to a smooth variety $X$; then is $X \cong \mathbf{P}^{n} ?(n=\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y)$

Lazarsfeld (loc. cit.) answers this in the affirmative when $Y=\mathbf{P}^{n}$, using the proof by S. Mori [M] of Hartshorne's conjecture. The general case seems to be open even for Grassmann varieties.

In this paper, we show (see Proposition 2): if $Y=G / P$ is as above and $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ is a finite self map of degree $>1$, then $Y \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$.

More generally, we prove the following:
Theorem. Let $G$ be a simply connected, semi-simple algebraic group over C. Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup, and let $Y=G / P$ be the homogeneous space. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite morphism. Then there exist parabolic subgroups $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ of $G$ containing $P$, and a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ such that:
(i) there are isomorphisms $G / P_{i} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}}$ for $i \geqq 1$, for some integers $n_{i}>0$, such that $n_{\sigma(i)}=n_{i}$ for all $i$.
(ii) there is a finite morphism $\pi_{i}: \mathbf{P}^{n_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n_{1}}$ for each $i>0$.
(iii) the natural morphism

$$
Y \rightarrow G / P_{0} \times G / P_{1} \times \ldots \times G / P_{m}
$$

is an isomorphism, under which $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ corresponds to the product $f_{0} \times f_{1}$ $\times \ldots \times f_{m}$, where $f_{0}: G / P_{0} \rightarrow G / P_{0}$ is an isomorphism and $f_{i}$ the composite

$$
G / P_{\sigma(i)} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}} \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}} \cong G / P_{i} .
$$

We also show that Problem 1 has an affirmative answer if $Y$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface of dimension $\geqq 3$ (Proposition 8); this includes the case of Grassmannian $Y=\mathbf{G}(2,4)$. We also show:

Proposition 6. Let $k \leqq n, 2 \leqq l \leqq m$ be integers, such that there exists a finite surjective morphism between Grassmann varieties

$$
f: \mathbf{G}(k, k+n) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)
$$

Then $k=l, m=n$ and $f$ is an isomorphism.
In the spirit of Lazarsfeld's problem, we pose the following:
Problem 2. Let $f: A \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism from a simple abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbf{C}$ to a smooth variety $X$. Suppose that $f$ is not étale. Then is $X \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$ ? ( $n=\operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} X$ ).
This is easily proved for $\operatorname{dim} A \leqq 2$.
We wish to thank M.V. Nori, V.B. Mehta and S. Subramaniam for stimulating discussions.

## 1. Proof of the theorem

One of the tools used in the proof is the following "Bertini theorem" due to M.V. Nori.

Proposition 1. Let $Y=G / P$ be a homogeneous space for a simply connected algebraic group over $\mathbf{C}$, and let $\pi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a finite, surjective morphism with branch divisor $B \subset Y$. Let $Z$ be an irreducible variety, $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ a non-constant morphism. Then either
(i) for a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subset G$,

$$
Z_{g}=\left(Z \times_{g(f)} \tilde{Y}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}
$$

is irreducible for all $g \in U$ (here $g(f): Z \rightarrow Y$ is obtained from $f$ by pointwise translation by $g$ on $Y$ ), or
(ii) there exists a closed subgroup $P^{\prime}$ of $G$, containing $P$, such that if $h: Y \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}$ is the quotient map, then the composite $h \circ f: Z \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}$ is constant, and for some non-zero effective divisor $D \subset G / P^{\prime}, h^{-1}(D)$ is a component of $B$.
Proof. This result is implicit in $[\mathrm{N}]$. We only need the special case when $P$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of a simply connected semi-simple group $G$, so that only the possibility (i) can occur. We give the proof in this case, leaving the general case to the reader.

Replacing $Z$ by its normalization, we are reduced to the case when $Z$ is normal. Let

$$
B_{g}=g(f)^{-1}(B)=f^{-1}\left(g^{-1} B\right) \subset Z
$$

where $g^{-1} B$ is the translate of $B$ by $g^{-1}$. If $p: Z_{g} \rightarrow Z$ is the natural map, then $Z_{g}-p^{-1}\left(B_{g}\right)$ is Zariski dense in $Z_{g}$, when it is non-empty (which it is for all $g$ lying in some non-empty Zariski open subset of $G$ ). Since

$$
Z_{g}-p^{-1}\left(B_{g}\right) \rightarrow Z-B_{g}
$$

is an étale covering space, $Z_{g}-p^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$ is normal; hence to prove that it is
irreducible, it suffices to prove that it is connected. To prove connectedness, it suffices to prove that the map on fundamental groups

$$
g(f)_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(Z-B_{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(Y-B)
$$

is surjective (we omit the base points in the notation).
Consider the surjective morphism

$$
\mu: G \times Z \rightarrow Y, \quad \mu(g, z)=g(f)(z) .
$$

The variety $G \times Z$ is connected, and the fibres of $\mu$ are principal $P$-bundles over $Z$, and in particular are connected. Hence, if $W=(G \times Z)-\mu^{-1}(B)$ then

$$
\mu_{*}: \pi_{1}(W) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(Y-B)
$$

is surjective. If $\varphi: W \rightarrow G$ is induced by the projection, then the scheme theoretic fibre

$$
\varphi^{-1}(g)=\{g\} \times\left(Z-B_{g}\right) .
$$

Hence it suffices to prove that for a non-empty Zariski open set $U \subset G$, the inclusion of the fibre of $\varphi$ induces a surjection

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\varphi^{-1}(g)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(W), \quad \forall g \in U .
$$

By lemma (1.5) of [ N ], there is a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subset G$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a fibre bundle for the complex ("classical") topology; further, for all $g \in U$, there is an exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\varphi^{-1}(g)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(W) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(G) \rightarrow 0
$$

under the following additional hypothesis: there is a codimension 2 subvariety $L \subset G$ such that $U \subset(G-L)$, and for each $g \in(G-L)$, the scheme theoretic fibre $\varphi^{-1}(g)$ is non-empty and has a smooth point. Since $G$ is simply connected

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\varphi^{-1}(g)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(W)
$$

is surjective if

$$
T=\left\{g \in G \mid \varphi^{-1}(g) \text { is empty }\right\}
$$

has codimension $\geqq 2$ in $G$ (since $\varphi^{-1}(g)$ is an open subset of the normal variety $Z$, it has smooth points if it is non-empty).

Now $\varphi^{-1}(g)$ is empty $\Leftrightarrow Z=B_{q} \Leftrightarrow g(f)(Z) \subset B$. Let $k: G \rightarrow G / P=Y$ be the quotient map, and let $k^{-1}(B)=B^{\prime}, k^{-1} f(Z)=Z^{\prime}$. Then

$$
g(f)(Z) \subset B \Leftrightarrow g Z^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime},
$$

so that

$$
T=\left\{g \in G \mid g Z^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}\right\}
$$

where $B^{\prime} \subset G$ is a divisor. Replacing $Z^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ by suitable translates (which replaces $T$ by an isomorphic subvariety of $G$ ), we may assume that the identity element $e$ of $G$ lies in $Z^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}$. Then $T \subset B^{\prime}$. If $T_{0}$ is an irreducible component of $T$ which is a divisor in $G$, then

$$
T_{0}=T_{0} e \subset T_{0} Z^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime},
$$

and the Zariski closure of $T_{0} Z^{\prime}$ in $G$ is irreducible. Hence $T_{0}=T_{0} Z^{\prime}$ is a divisor, and

$$
Z^{\prime} \subset\left\{g \in G \mid T_{0} g=T_{0}\right\}=P^{\prime}
$$

But $P$ is a proper subset of $Z^{\prime}$ and hence also of $P^{\prime}$, and $P^{\prime}$ is a closed subgroup of $G$. Since $P$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$, we have $P^{\prime}=G$, a contradiction. Hence $\operatorname{codim}_{G} T \geqq 2$ and this completes the proof.

To prove the Theorem, we first prove it in the following special case:
Proposition 2. Let $P \subset G$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup, $Y=G / P$, and $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ a non-constant morphism. Then either $f$ is an isomorphism, or $Y \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$.

Proof. Since Pic $Y=\mathbf{Z}$, generated by the class of a very ample divisor (see [B]), it follows that $f$ is finite. To show that it is an isomorphism, it suffices to prove that it has degree 1. By the theorem of S . Mori [M], if the tangent sheaf $T_{Y}$ is ample, then $Y \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$. Using this, we show that if $Y \nsubseteq \mathbf{P}^{n}$, then $\operatorname{deg} f=1$.

If $y \in Y=G / P$, then $y=g P$ for some $g \in G$, and we may identify the tangent space at $y$,

$$
T_{y, Y}=\operatorname{Lie} G / \operatorname{Ad}(g) \operatorname{Lie} P
$$

Thus we have a natural map Lie $G \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, T_{Y}\right)$ whose image generates $T_{Y}$ at every point, and we have a surjection of locally free sheaves

$$
(\operatorname{Lie} G) \otimes_{C} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow T_{Y}
$$

This gives a closed embedding of $Y$-schemes

$$
\mathbf{P}_{Y}\left(T_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{Y}\left((\text { Lie } G) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=Y \times \mathbf{P}(\text { Lie } G),
$$

giving rise to the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}_{Y}\left(T_{Y}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{P}(\text { Lie } G) \\
& \beta \downarrow \\
& \quad Y
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\alpha, \beta$ are induced by the projections on $Y \times \mathbf{P}($ Lie $G)$ ). The morphism $\alpha$ restricts to a linear embedding on each fibre of $\beta$.

Lemma 3. Let $Y$ be a projective variety, $\mathscr{E}$ a locally free sheaf on $Y$, such that there is a surjection

$$
V \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}
$$

for a finite dimensional vector space $V$, giving rise to a diagram

$$
\mathbf{P}_{Y}(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{P}(V)
$$

Let $Z \subset Y$ be an irreducible subvariety and $r \geqq 1$ an integer. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$
(ii) there is a Zariski open set $U \subset Z$, such that for every irreducible curve $C \subset Z$ which meets $U, \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$
(iii) the linear subspace

$$
\bigcap_{y \in Z} p\left(q^{-1}(y)\right)=\bigcap_{y \in Z} p\left(\mathbf{P}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right)\right) \subset \mathbf{P}(V)
$$

has dimension $\geqq r-1$.
Proof. Clearly (iii) is equivalent to the existence of a surjection $\varphi: V \rightarrow L$, with $\operatorname{dim} L=r$, such that for each $y \in Z, \varphi$ factors through the quotient $V \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{y}$. This is equivalent to the existence of a factorization

$$
\begin{gathered}
V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes 1} L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z} \\
\searrow \\
\mathscr{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{y}}} \mathcal{O}_{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{Z}$ has a trivial quotient of rank $r$ (since $Z$ is irreducible projective, a surjection $V \otimes \mathscr{C}_{Z} \rightarrow L \otimes \mathscr{C}_{Z}$ must have the form $\varphi \otimes 1$ for some $\varphi$ ). Since $\mathscr{E}$ is generated by global sections, this is equivalent to $\mathscr{E}$ having a trivial direct summand of rank $r$. Thus (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii), and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). To prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), suppose that (iii) does not hold i.e. the intersection of linear spaces defined in (iii) has dimension $<r-1$ (if $r=1$, we take this to mean it is empty). Then there is a finite set of points $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in Z$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}\left(T_{y, Y}\right)\right)<r-1
$$

We can then find an irreducible curve $C \subset Z$ such that $C$ meets $U$ and contains all of the $y_{i}$. Then by (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) applied to $C$, we see that $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}$ does not have a trivial direct summand of rank $r$, so that (ii) does not hold for $Z$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Since

$$
(\alpha, \beta): \mathbf{P}\left(T_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\operatorname{Lie} G) \times Y
$$

is an embedding, we see that for any $x \in \mathbf{P}($ Lie $G)$, the map $\beta$ induces an isomorphism of $\alpha^{-1}(x)$ onto its image in $Y$. In particular, if $D \subset \alpha^{-1}(x)$ is an irreducible curve, then $C=\beta(D)$ is an isomorphic curve, such that

$$
x \in \bigcap_{y \in \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{P}\left(T_{y, Y}\right) .
$$

Thus $T_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}$ has a trivial direct summand. The tangent sheaf $T_{Y}$ is not ample (since we have assumed that $Y$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ ) and hence $\alpha$ is not finite. So there are curves $C$ on $Y$ as above.

On the other hand, the top Chern class $c_{n}\left(T_{Y}\right) \in H^{2 n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ equals the topological Euler characteristic of $Y$, which is non-zero (for example this follows
from the fact that $Y$ has a cell decomposition with even dimensional cells). Thus $T_{Y}$ does not have any trivial direct summands.

Let
$A=\left\{Z \subset Y \mid Z\right.$ is irreducible, and $T_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand $\}$.
Then by the discussion above, $A$ contains some curves, while $Y \notin A$; further, by lemma 3,

$$
Z \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbf{P}(\text { Lie } G) \text { such that } Z \subset \beta\left(\alpha^{-1}(x)\right) .
$$

Let $m=\max \{\operatorname{dim} Z \mid Z \in A\}$, and let

$$
S=\{Z \in A \mid \operatorname{dim} Z=m\} .
$$

Then $1 \leqq m<n=\operatorname{dim} Y$, and each $Z \in S$ is an irreducible component of $\beta\left\{\alpha^{-1}(x)\right)$ for some $x \in \mathbf{P}($ Lie $G)$.

Let $W=\alpha\left(\mathbf{P}\left(T_{Y}\right)\right.$; then the morphism $\mathbf{P}\left(T_{Y}\right) \rightarrow W$ has a flattening stratification (see $[\mathrm{Mu}]$ ), so that the set $\{\operatorname{deg} Z \mid Z \in S\}$ is finite. Hence from the theory of the Chow variety, we see that the non-empty set of cohomology classes

$$
\mathrm{Cl}[S]=\left\{[Z] \in H^{2 n-2 m}(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \mid Z \in S\right\}
$$

is finite.
Lemma 4. The map on cohomology groups

$$
f^{*}: H^{2 n-2 m}(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2 n-2 m}(Y, \mathbf{Z})
$$

maps $\mathrm{Cl}[\mathrm{S}]$ into itself.
Proof. If $Z \in S$, then any translate $g Z \in S$, and

$$
[Z]=[g Z] \in H^{2 n-2 m}(Y, \mathbf{Z}) .
$$

From Proposition 1, it follows that for each $c \in \mathrm{Cl}[S]$, there exists $Z \in S$ with [ $Z]$ $=c$, such that $f^{-1}(Z)_{\mathrm{red}}=Z^{\prime}$ is irreducible, and represents the inverse image $f^{*}(Z)$ as a cycle (i.e. the scheme theoretic inverse image $f^{-1}(Z)$ is reduced at the generic point of $Z^{\prime}$ ). We claim $Z^{\prime} \in S$. Since $\operatorname{dim} Z^{\prime}=m$, it suffices to prove that $Z^{\prime} \in A$. Since $Z$ is not contained in the branch locus of $f$, the map of locally free sheaves

$$
T_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z} \xrightarrow{d \& \otimes 1} f^{*} T_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z},
$$

is an isomorphism at the generic point of $Z^{\prime}$. As $Z \in S, f^{*} T_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$, has a trivial direct summand; hence there is a map $T_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Z^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}$ which is generically surjective, hence surjective (since $T_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Z^{\prime}}$ is generated by global sections). Hence $Z^{\prime} \in S$.

But clearly

$$
f^{*}(c)=f^{*}[Z]=\left[f^{*} Z\right]=\left[Z^{\prime}\right] \in \mathrm{Cl}[S] .
$$

Since $\mathrm{Cl}[S]$ is finite, it follows that some iterate $f^{k}=f \circ f \circ \ldots \circ f$ has the property that $\left(f^{k}\right)^{*}(c)=c$ for some non-zero $c \in \mathrm{Cl}[S]$. Hence, in order to show that $\operatorname{deg} f=1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $f^{*} c=c$.

Let $h \in H^{2}(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ be the Chern class $c_{1}$ of the ample generator of $\operatorname{Pic} Y=\mathbf{Z}$. Then $H^{2}(Y, \mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z} \cdot h$, and $H^{2 n}(Y, \mathbf{Q})=\mathbf{Q} \cdot h^{n}$. Also $f^{*} h^{n}=(\operatorname{deg} f) \cdot h^{n}$, so that $f^{*} h=(\operatorname{deg} f)^{1 / n} \cdot h$. Now $c \cup h^{m}=[Z] \cup h^{m}=d \cdot h^{n}$, where $d=\operatorname{deg} Z / \operatorname{deg} Y$ is a positive rational. Thus

$$
f^{*}\left(c \cup h^{m}\right)=f^{*}\left(d \cdot h^{n}\right)=(\operatorname{deg} f) d \cdot h^{n} .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
f^{*}\left(c \cup h^{m}\right)=f^{*} c \cup\left(f^{*} h\right)^{m}=c \cup(\operatorname{deg} f)^{m / n} \cdot h^{m}=(\operatorname{deg} f)^{m / n} d \cdot h^{n} .
$$

Hence $\operatorname{deg} f=(\operatorname{deg} f)^{m / n}$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} Z<n$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} f=1$, and this shows that $f$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of Proposition 2. $\square$

We now prove the Theorem in the general case, when $Y=G / P, P$ is any parabolic subgroup, and $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ is a finite self-map. Let $P^{\prime} \supset P$ be a parabolic subgroup, and let $\mathscr{L} \in \operatorname{Pic} Y$ be the pullback to $Y$ of a very ample invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ on $G / P^{\prime}$, under the natural map

$$
Y=G / P \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}
$$

From the theory of dominant weights (see [B]), $H^{0}\left(Y, f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)$ gives a base-point free linear system on $Y$, such that for a unique parabolic subgroup $\tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$ which contains $P$, the morphism

$$
Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}\left(Y, f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)\right)
$$

is identified with the natural map

$$
Y=G / P \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)
$$

composed with a projective embedding of the latter by a complete linear system. The map

$$
f^{*}: H^{0}(Y, \mathscr{L}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, f^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)
$$

gives rise to a diagram with surjective arrows

(this diagram defines the map $f^{\prime}$; the horizontal arrows are the natural ones).
Let $p$ be the set of parabolic subgroups of $G$ containing $P$. Then $p$ is a finite set (see [B]), which is an ordered lattice with respect to the partial order given by inclusion. Fix a very ample $\mathscr{L}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(G / P^{\prime}\right)$ for each $P^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then the above construction yields a map of sets $\tau: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 5. $\tau: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is an isomorphism of ordered lattices.
Proof. We must show that $\tau$ is bijective (i.e. that it is injective, as $\mathfrak{p}$ is finite), and preserves the partial order i.e. $P^{\prime} \subset P^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \subset \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

We first remark that for any $P^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$
Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} G / P^{\prime}
$$

is the Stein factorization of the composite

$$
Y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}
$$

so that $\tau: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is independent of the choices $\mathscr{L}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(G / P^{\prime}\right)$. Since the fibres of $Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ are connected and $G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is smooth, this remark will follow if we prove that $f^{\prime}$ has finite fibres. If $x \in G / P^{\prime}$, and $Z \subset Y$ is its inverse image under the natural $\operatorname{map} Y=G / P \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}$, then $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Z}$, so that, $f^{*} \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{f-{ }^{-1}(Z)}$ $=\mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(Z)}$. Hence each connected component of $f^{-1}(Z)$ is mapped to a point by the linear system associated to $f^{*} \mathscr{L}$, i.e. by the natural morphism $Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. But $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(x)$ consists of the finite set of images in $G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of connected components of $f^{-1}(Z)$, and thus is finite.

In particular, $\operatorname{dim} G / P^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, so that $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. If $P^{\prime} \subset P^{\prime \prime}$, then the natural map $Y \rightarrow G / P^{\prime \prime}$ factors through the natural map $Y \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}$. By the functoriality of the Stein factorization, there is a unique map $G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$ making the following diagram commute:


Since $Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ and $Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are the natural maps, this means $\tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Thus $\tau$ preserves the partial ordering on $p$.

Next, if $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{p}$, the natural map $G / P \rightarrow G / P^{\prime} \times G / P^{\prime \prime}$ factors into the natural maps $G / P \rightarrow G / P^{\prime} \cap P^{\prime \prime} \subsetneq G / P^{\prime} \times G / P^{\prime \prime}$. Consider the diagram

which yields the diagram with surjective arrows

(this diagram defines $\bar{f}$ ). Note that $f^{\prime} \times f^{\prime \prime}$, and hence $\bar{f}$, is finite. Since $\tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \cap \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{p}$ is connected, the fibres of $Y \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \cap \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are connected. Thus we see that

$$
\tau\left(P^{\prime} \cap P^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \cap \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

by the uniqueness of the Stein factorization. Hence if

$$
\tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \cap \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

then $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime} \cap P^{\prime \prime}$ since $\tau$ preserves dimensions. Since $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$, $P^{\prime} \cap P^{\prime \prime}$ are all connected they are equal.

Let $\mathscr{M} \subset p$ be the subset of maximal parabolic subgroups $P^{\prime} \subset G$ which contain $P$. From lemma 5, $\tau$ restricts to a bijection on $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\mathscr{M}_{1} \subset \mathscr{M}$ be the subset consisting of parabolics $P^{\prime}$ such that for each $j>0$, if $P^{\prime \prime}=\tau^{j-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
f^{\prime \prime}: G / \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow G / P^{\prime \prime}
$$

is an isomorphism. Clearly $\tau\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}\right)=\mathscr{M}_{1}$. If $P^{\prime} \in \mathscr{M}-\mathscr{M}_{1}$, then for some $j>0$, if $P^{\prime \prime}=\tau^{j-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
f^{\prime \prime}: G / \tau\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow G / P^{\prime \prime}
$$

has degree $>1$. But $\tau$ is a bijection of a finite set, so that for some $n \geqq j, \tau^{n}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ $=P^{\prime}$; thus the composite

$$
G / P^{\prime}=G / \tau^{n}\left(P^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G / \tau^{n-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G / P^{\prime}
$$

is a finite self map of degree $>1$. Hence, by proposition 2 , we have $G / P^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n^{\prime}}$ for some $n^{\prime}$.

Let

$$
P_{0}=\bigcap_{P \cdot \in H_{1}} P^{\prime}, \quad X=G / P_{0}
$$

and let $\mathscr{M}-\mathscr{M}_{1}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$; then $\tau\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{\sigma(i)}$ for some permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, and $G / P_{i} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}}$ for some integer $n_{i}>0$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Since $\operatorname{dim} G / P^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} G / \tau\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, we have $n_{i}=n_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i$. Since $\tau\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}\right)=\mathscr{M}_{1}$, we have $\tau\left(P_{0}\right)=P_{0}$ by lemma 5. Let

$$
f_{0}: X=G / P_{0}=G / \tau\left(P_{0}\right) \rightarrow G / P_{0}=X,
$$

and

$$
f_{i}: G / P_{\sigma(i)}=G / \tau\left(P_{i}\right) \rightarrow G / P_{i}, \quad i>0,
$$

be the maps induced by $f$ as constructed above. Then $f_{0}$ is an isomorphism by the choice of $\mathscr{M}_{1}$. For each $i>0, f_{i}$ can be written as a composite

$$
G / P_{\sigma(i)} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}} \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}} \cong G / P_{i}
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ is a finite self map of the projective space. Then we have a commutative square

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y \longrightarrow X \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} G / P_{i} \\
& f \downarrow f_{0} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{t} \\
& Y \longrightarrow X \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} G / P_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the horizontal maps are closed embeddings, since (see [B])

$$
P=\bigcap_{P^{\prime} \in \mathscr{M}} P^{\prime}
$$

Thus to finish the proof of the theorem, we only need to show that

$$
\Psi: Y=G / P \rightarrow X \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} G / P_{i}
$$

is surjective (and hence an isomorphism). Replacing $f$ by an iterate does not change the subset $\mathscr{M}_{1} \subset \mathscr{M}$; hence to show that $\Psi$ is an isomorphism, we may replace $f$ by an iterate so that, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sigma$ is the identity permutation. Thus $f_{i}: G / P_{i} \rightarrow G / P_{i}$ is a finite self map, which is an isomorphism for $i=0$, and a map $\mathbf{P}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n_{2}}$ of degree $>1$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq m$.

Fix an integer $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $F$ is a fibre of the natural map

$$
Y \xrightarrow{p} X \times \prod_{i \neq j} G / P_{i}
$$

then $\operatorname{dim} F>0$ and $F$ maps isomorphically to its image $\bar{F}$ under the natural map

$$
Y \xrightarrow{q} G / P_{j}=\mathbf{P}^{n_{j}} .
$$

Further, $p, q$ are $G$-equivariant (for the left $G$-action), so that the translate $g F$ (which is another fibre of $p$ ) maps isomorphically under $q$ to $g \bar{F}$. From proposition 1 applied to $f_{j}: \mathbf{P}^{n_{j}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n_{j}}, f_{j}^{-1}(g \bar{F})$ is irreducible and has multiplicity 1 as a cycle, for all $g$ in a non-empty Zariski open set in $G$. If $F^{\prime}$ is an irreducible component of $f^{-1}(F)$, then from the commutative diagram

we see that $F^{\prime}$ is also a fibre of $p$ (since $\operatorname{dim} F^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} F$, and the non-empty fibres of $p$ are precisely the translates $g F)$. Now $q\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\bar{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$, is contained in the inverse image $f_{j}^{-1}(\bar{F})$. Thus, replacing $F$ by a translate $g F$, so that $f_{j}^{-1}(\bar{F})$ is irreducible, we have $f_{j}^{-1}(\bar{F})=\bar{F}^{\prime}$ as a cycle. But $\bar{F}^{\prime}$ is a translate of $\bar{F}$. Hence if $s=\operatorname{dim} F$,

$$
[\bar{F}]=\left[\bar{F}^{\prime}\right]=f_{j}^{*}[\bar{F}] \in H^{2 n,-2 s}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n_{1}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} f_{j}>1$, this forces $s=n_{j}$ i.e. $\bar{F}=\mathbf{P}^{n_{j}}$. Hence $Y \subset X \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}}$ is the inverse image of its projection to $X \times \prod_{i \neq j} \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}}$, for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Since $Y \rightarrow X$
induced by $\Psi$ is just the natural surjection $G / P \rightarrow G / P_{0}$, we see that $\Psi$ is a bijection. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 2. Maps between Grassmann varieties

Let $\mathbf{G}(k, N)$ denote the Grassmann variety of $k$-dimensional quotients of an $N$ dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 6. Let $k \leqq n, 2 \leqq l \leqq m$ be integers, such that there exists a finite surjection morphism between Grassmann varieties

$$
f: \mathbf{G}(k, k+n) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(l, l+m) .
$$

Then $k=l, m=n$ and $f$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. Let $Z \subset \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ be an irreducible subvariety such that $T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$. Then an analogous statement holds for any translate of $Z$ by $\mathrm{GL}_{l+m}(\mathbf{C})$ (regarding $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ as a homogeneous space for $\mathrm{GL}_{l+m}(\mathrm{C})$ ). Replacing $Z$ by a translate, we may assume that $Z$ is not contained in the branch locus of $f$. Then if $Z^{\prime}$ is any irreducible component of $f^{-1}(Z)_{\text {red }}$, we see that $f^{*} T_{\mathbf{G}(l . l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{Z}$, has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$. Further, the natural map (induced by $d f$ )

$$
T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}} \rightarrow f^{*} T_{\mathbf{G}(l . l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}
$$

is an injection of locally free sheaves which is an isomorphism at the generic point of $Z^{\prime}$. Hence, $T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{Z^{\prime}}$ has a map to $\mathscr{O}_{Z^{\prime}}^{\oplus r}$ which is generically surjective; since $T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)}$ is generated by global sections, $T_{\mathbf{G}\left(k, k^{\prime}+n\right)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$. As a consequence, if $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ has a subvariety $Z$ of dimension $d$ such that $T_{\mathbf{G}(I, l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$, then $\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$ also has such a subvariety of dimension $d$. We will use this to prove that $k=l, m=n$.

Let $s, t$ be integers with $1 \leqq s \leqq l, 1 \leqq t \leqq m$. We have an embedding

$$
X=\mathbf{G}(l-s, l+m-s-t) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)=Y
$$

which we may describe as follows: on $X$ we have the universal quotient

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus l+m-s-t} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is locally free of rank $l-s$. This yields a quotient which is the composite

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus}{ }^{l+m}=\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus}{ }^{l+m-s-t} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus}{ }^{s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus t} \rightarrow \mathscr{2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus t} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus s} .
$$

By the universal property of $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ this corresponds to the above morphism $X \rightarrow Y$. Let $Z_{s, t}$ denote the image. The universal exact sequence on $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ restricted to $Z_{s, t}$ is the direct sum of the universal exact sequence on $\mathbf{G}(l-s$, $l+m-s-t$ ) with the split sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Z_{\mathrm{s}, t}}^{\oplus t} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}, t}}^{\oplus} s+t \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}, t}}^{\oplus s} \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus, $T_{\mathrm{G}(l, l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_{, ~},}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank st. This is also true of any translate of $Z_{s, t}$ under $\mathrm{GL}_{l+m}(\mathbf{C})$.

Lemma 7. Let $Z$ be an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$. Suppose that $T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $r$. Then there exists integers $s, t$ with $1 \leqq s \leqq l, 1 \leqq t \leqq m$ and $s t \geqq r$, such that some $\mathrm{GL}_{l+m}(\mathbf{C})$ translate of $Z_{s, t}$ contains $Z$.

Proof. Let $V=\mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{l + m}}$, so that $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ parametrizes $l$-dimensional quotients of $V$. If $x \in \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$, then there is a corresponding $l$-dimensional quotient

$$
V \rightarrow V / W_{x}
$$

where $W_{x}$ is of dimension $m$. Then the tangent space to $\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ at $x$ is

$$
T_{x}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{x}, V / W_{x}\right)
$$

There is a surjection $\varphi_{x}$ : End $(V) \rightarrow T_{x}$ corresponding to a surjection of locally free sheaves

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{End}(V) \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)}
$$

which gives rise to a morphism

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\operatorname{End}(V))
$$

whose restriction to $\mathbf{P}\left(T_{x}\right)$ is induced by $\varphi_{x}$. Identifying End $(V)$ with its dual space, we may identify the projective space $\mathbf{P}(\operatorname{End}(V))$ with the space of lines in End ( $V$ ); then the subspace $\mathbf{P}\left(T_{x}\right)$ is the space of lines in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right)$. Here we identify $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right)$ with

$$
\left\{A \in \operatorname{End}(V) \mid \operatorname{im} A \subset W_{x} \subset \operatorname{ker} A\right\}
$$

Now if $Z \subset \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ is a subvariety, then by lemma 3 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)} & \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z} \text { has a trivial direct summand of rank } r \\
& \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{dim}\left(\bigcap_{x \in Z} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right)\right) \geqq r
\end{aligned}
$$

where the intersection is taken in End $(V)$. But if

$$
V_{1}=\bigcap_{x \in Z} W_{x}, V_{2}=\sum_{x \in Z} W_{x}
$$

then $0 \subset V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset V$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcap_{x \in Z} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right) & =\left\{A \in \operatorname{End}(V) \mid \operatorname{im} A \subset V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \operatorname{ker} A\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}\left(V / V_{2}, V_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t=\operatorname{dim} V_{1}, s=\operatorname{dim} V / V_{2}$, then

$$
Z^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{G}(l, l+m) \mid V_{1} \subset W_{x} \subset V_{2}\right\}
$$

is a translate of $Z_{s, t}$ which clearly contains $Z$; also

$$
\bigcap_{x \in Z^{\prime}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right)=\bigcap_{x \in Z} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V / W_{x}, W_{x}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V / V_{2}, V_{1}\right)
$$

which has dimension $s t$. Since the middle term has dimension $\geqq r$, we get $s t \geqq r$.
As a corollary, we observe that if $Z \subset \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$ is such that $T_{\mathbf{G}(l, l+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} Z \leqq \operatorname{dim} Z_{1,1}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{G}(l-1, l+m-2)=(l-1)(m-1)
$$

Hence if

$$
f: \mathbf{G}(k, k+n) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(l, l+m), \quad k \leqq n, 2 \leqq l \leqq m,
$$

is a finite morphism, $f^{*}\left(Z_{1,1}\right)$ has an irreducible component $Z \subset \mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$ of dimension $(l-1)(m-1)$, such that $T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand. Applying lemma 7 to $\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-1)(n-1) \geqq(l-1)(m-1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is finite, we have $k n=l m$. Hence this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
l+m \geqq k+n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If equality holds, then since $k n=l m$, we must have $k=l, m=n$ and hence from the Theorem, $f$ is an isomorphism. Hence it suffices to prove that strict inequality in (2) leads to a contradiction. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
l+m & >k+n  \tag{3}\\
\Rightarrow(l+m)^{2} & >(k+n)^{2} \\
\Rightarrow(m-l)^{2} & >(n-k)^{2} ; \quad \text { using } l m=k n \\
\Rightarrow m-l & >n-k . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3) and (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
m>n \geqq k>l \geqq 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{0}$ be the positive integer such that $t_{0} l \geqq k$, while $\left(t_{0}-1\right) l<k$; then $t_{0} \geqq 2$, as $k>l$. Let $s$ be any integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(k-t_{0}-1\right) m+1}{k} \leqq s \leqq \frac{\left(k-t_{0}+1\right) m-1}{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m>k$, there are at least two integers $s$ satisfying (6). Then $\left(t_{0}-1\right) l \leqq(k-1)$ implies that

$$
k-t_{0}-1 \geqq(l-1) t_{0}-l \geqq 2(l-1)-l=l-2 \geqq 0 ;
$$

also, $\left(k-t_{0}-1\right) \leqq(k-1)$, so that

$$
k s \leqq(k-1) m-1<k m .
$$

Hence for any $s$ satisfying (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqq s \leqq(m-1) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the subvariety $Z_{1, m-s} \subset \mathbf{G}(l, l+m)$, for $s$ satisfying (6). The subvariety is isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}(l-1, l-1+s)$, so it has dimension $(l-1) s$; also
$T_{\mathbf{G}(l, i+m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z, m-s}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $m-s$. Hence there exists an irreducible component $Z \subset f^{-1}\left(Z_{1, m-s}\right) \subset \mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Z=(l-1) s$, and $T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $m-s$. By lemma 7 applied to $\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$, there exist integers $r, t$ with $1 \leqq t \leqq k-1$, $1 \leqq r \leqq n-1$ such that $Z \subset Z_{t, n-r} \subset \mathbf{G}(k, k+n)$. Now $Z_{t, n-r}$ has dimension $(k-t) r$ and $T_{\mathbf{G}(k, k+n)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_{t, n-r}}$ has a trivial direct summand of rank $t(n-r)$. Thus, $t, r$ satisfy the system of inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(k-t) \geqq s(l-1)  \tag{8}\\
& t(n-r) \geqq m-s \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $k-t \geqq 1$, so that (8) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \geqq \frac{s(l-1)}{k-t} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (9) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leqq \frac{t n-m+s}{t} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (10) and (11), we obtain

$$
(k-t)(t n-m+s) \geqq(l-1) t s .
$$

Substituting $n=l m / k$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (k-t)\left(\frac{t l m}{k}-m+s\right) \geqq(l-1) t s \\
& \quad \Rightarrow(k-t)\left(\frac{t l m}{k}-m\right) \geqq(t l-k) s \\
& \Rightarrow((k-t) m-k s)(t l-k) \geqq 0 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $t>t_{0}$, then $t l-k>0$, so that (12) yields $(k-t) m \geqq k s$. From (6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-t) m & \geqq\left(k-t_{0}-1\right) m+1 \\
\Rightarrow\left(t_{0}-t+1\right) m & \geqq 1 \\
\Rightarrow\left(t_{0}+1-t\right) & >0
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting $t>t_{0}$.
If $t<t_{0}$, then $t l-k<0$, and so (12) yields $(k-t) m \leqq k s$. From (6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-t) m & \leqq\left(k-t_{0}+1\right) m-1 \\
\Rightarrow\left(t-t_{0}+1\right) m & \geqq 1 \\
\Rightarrow\left(t+1-t_{0}\right) & >0
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting $t<t_{0}$.
Hence we must have $t=t_{0}$. Now if $t_{0} l-k>0$, then (12) again gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k-t_{0}\right) m \geqq k s . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the interval

$$
\left(\frac{\left(k-t_{0}\right) m}{k}, \frac{\left(k-t_{0}+1\right) m-1}{k}\right]
$$

contains an integer $s$ (which then satisfies (6)), since

$$
\left(k-t_{0}+1\right) m-1-\left(k-t_{0}\right) m \geqq k,
$$

and this contradicts (13).
Hence, we are forced to choose $t=t_{0}$, where $t_{0} l=k$. In this case, the inequalities (8) and (9) become (using $l m=k n=t_{0} l n$, so that $m=t_{0} n$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(t_{0} l-t_{0}\right) & \geqq s(l-1) \\
t_{0}(n-r) & \geqq t_{0} n-s
\end{aligned}
$$

which yield the pair of inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r t_{0}-s\right)(l-1) & \geqq 0 \\
0 & \geqq r t_{0}-s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $l \geqq 2$, this forces $r t_{0}=s$, so that $t_{0}$ divides $s$; also $t_{0} \geqq 2$. But there are atleast two consecutive integers $s$ satisfying (6); so we may choose $s$ satisfying (6) but with $t_{0} \nmid s$. Hence, in all cases, for some value of $s$ satisfying (6), it is impossible to find any $r, t$ with $1 \leqq t \leqq k-1,1 \leqq r \leqq n-1$ such that (8) and (9) hold. This proves Proposition 8.

## 3. Remarks on Lazarsfeld's problem

In this section we show:
Proposition 8. Let $Y$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface, $\operatorname{dim} Y=n \geqq 3$, and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism of degree $>1$ to a smooth variety $X$; then $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$.

Proof. We begin by reviewing the results of Mori [M]. He proves (Theorem 6 of [M]) that if $X$ is a smooth, projective variety of dimension $n$ such that the inverse of the canonical sheaf $K_{X}^{-1}$ is ample, then for each $P \in X$, there is a non-constant morphism $u: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow X$ with $\operatorname{deg} u^{*}\left(K_{X}^{-1}\right) \leqq n+1$, such that $P \in u\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$.

Now fix $P \in X$, and let $* \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a fixed point. Assume that $K_{X}^{-1}$ is ample, and let

$$
d=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg} u^{*}\left(K_{X}^{-1}\right) \mid u:\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, *\right) \rightarrow(X, P) ; u \text { is non-constant }\right\} .
$$

Then $d \leqq n+1$, and any $u: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow X$ achieving this minimal degree is birational to its image. Let $V$ be a connected component of $\operatorname{Hom}^{d}\left(\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, *\right),(X, P)\right)$, the scheme of morphisms $u: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow X$ of degree $d$. Then $G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, *\right)$ acts on $V$. Let $\tilde{V}$ be an irreducible component of the normalization of $V$. Then the $G$ action on $\tilde{V}$ is proper and free, with a geometric quotient $\gamma: \tilde{V} \rightarrow W$, where $W$ is a normal projective variety and $\gamma$ is a principal $G$-bundle.

Assume further that for all $u \in V$,

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, u^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}(-1)\right)=0
$$

Then from the Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, u^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-1)\right)=d
$$

and Mori's arguments show that $V$ is smooth of dimension $d$; hence $W$ is smooth (and projective) of dimension $d-2$. Further, if $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample for all $u \in V$, then

$$
d=n+1, \quad W \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \quad \text { and } X \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}
$$

We now specialize to the situation when there is a finite surjective morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$, where $Y$ is a smooth quadric of dimension $n \geqq 3$. Let $B \subset X$ be the branch locus, and $R \subset Y$ be the ramification locus so that

$$
f^{*} K_{X} \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-R) \text { and } B=f(R)
$$

(since $Y$ is simply connected and every automorphism of $Y$ has fixed points by the Lefschetz fixed point formula, $R$ and $B$ are effective and non-zero). As Pic $Y=\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(R)$ is ample, and $K_{Y}^{-1}$ is ample since $Y$ is a quadric, $K_{X}^{-1}$ is ample, and Mori's results apply.

Let $U=f(Y-R)$, so that $X-U \subset B$. Let $P \in U$, and let $Q \in Y-R$ with $f(Q)=P$. Then if $u:\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, *\right) \rightarrow(X, P)$ is a curve such that $d=\operatorname{deg} u^{*} K_{X}^{-1}$, and $C$ is the normalization of any irreducible component of $f^{-1}\left(u\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)$ which passes through $Q$, then we have a diagram


There is a map of locally free sheaves

$$
v^{*} T_{Y} \rightarrow h^{*} u^{*} T_{X}
$$

which is an isomorphism at the generic point of $C$, as $C \not \subset R$. Now

$$
u^{*} T_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\left(m_{1}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\left(m_{n}\right)
$$

with $m_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq m_{n}$; since $T_{Y}$ is generated by global sections, we see that $h^{*} u^{*} T_{X}$ is generated at the generic point of $C$ by its global sections, so that $m_{i} \geqq 0$ for all $i$. Further,

$$
v^{*} T_{Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\oplus r} \oplus \mathscr{E}
$$

where $\mathscr{E}$ is an ample locally free sheaf, and $r \geqq 0$. Hence, $m_{i}>0$ for all $i>r$. Also, the inclusion of sheaves $T_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} \rightarrow u^{*} T_{X}$ shows that $m_{n} \geqq 2$. In any case, $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, u^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-1)\right)=0$, so that $V$ is smooth of dimension $d$ and $W$ is smooth of dimension $d-2$.

Lemma 9. If $Y$ is a smooth quadric of dimension $n \geqq 3$, and $v: C \rightarrow Y$ a non-constant morphism from an irreducible projective curve $C$, then either $v^{*} T_{Y}$ is ample, or $v(C)$ is a line contained in $Y$ and in this case,
where $\mathscr{E}$ is ample.

$$
v^{*}\left(T_{Y}\right) \cong \mathscr{O}_{C} \oplus \mathscr{E}
$$

Proof. We note that $Y$ is the space of isotropic lines in a quadratic space. As in section 1, we have a natural morphism

$$
\mathbf{P}_{Y}\left(T_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\operatorname{Lie} G)
$$

where $G$ is the corresponding orthogonal group. We may then identify Lie $G$ with the space of skew-symmetric matrices, and for any $p \in Y$ the tangent space $T_{p, Y}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(p, p^{\perp} / p\right)$. From this it follows easily that, for any $p, q \in Y$ the linear subspaces $\mathbf{P}\left(T_{p, Y}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}\left(T_{q, Y}\right)$ of $\mathbf{P}(\operatorname{Lie} G)$ intersect if and only if the lines $p$ and $q$ are orthogonal. Thus, from lemma 3, if $v(C) \subset Y$ is an irreducible curve such that $v^{*}\left(T_{Y}\right)$ has a trivial direct summand, then $v(C)$ lies in the projective space of an isotropic subspace of $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, i.e. for some $t>0$,

$$
v(C) \subset \mathbf{P}^{t} \subset Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}
$$

For a linear subspace $\mathbf{P}^{t} \subset Y$, we have the diagram with exact rows and columns


The middle row is split exact since $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{t}, T_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}(-1)\right)=0$, hence so is the top row. Tensoring the last column with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}(-1)$ we see that

$$
N \cong \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}^{1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{t}}}(1)^{\oplus(n-2 t)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left.T_{Y}\right|_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{}}} \cong \Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(2) \oplus \mathscr{E}
$$

where $\mathscr{E}$ is ample. Thus $v^{*}\left(T_{Y}\right)$ has a trivial direct summand if and only if $v^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{2}}^{1}(2)\right)$ has one. So it suffices to show that this is possible only if $v(C)$ is a line in $\mathbf{P}^{t}$. Taking duals, if

$$
v^{*}\left(T_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}(-1)\right) \cong v^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}}(1)\right) \oplus \mathscr{F}
$$

then $\mathscr{F}$ is generated by global sections and has trivial determinant and is thus trivial. But this clearly implies that $v(C)$ is a line, in which case we may take $t=1$, and this yields the second conclusion.

If $C \subset Y$ is a line then $r=1$ and $\operatorname{deg} v^{*} T_{Y}=n$. Hence, in any case, $m_{1} \geqq 0$, $m_{i} \geqq 1$ for $i>1$, and $m_{n} \geqq 2$, so that $d \geqq n$, and $d-2=\operatorname{dim} W>0$. Thus there are infinitely many distinct rational curves through $P$ with minimal degree $d$.

We now consider two cases.

Case 1. For some $P \in U,\left\{u \in V \mid u^{*} T_{X}\right.$ is not ample $\}$ consists of atmost finitely many $G$ orbits.

In this case, $d=n+1$, and $W$ is smooth and projective of dimension $n-1$. If $u \in V$ such that $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample, then

$$
u^{*} T_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)^{\oplus n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(2)
$$

Hence $u$ is an immersion. On the other hand, if $u^{*} T_{X}$ is not ample, then we have a diagram

where $v$ is the embedding of a line in $Y$ through $Q \in\left(f^{-1}(P)-R\right)$. Then $C \rightarrow X$ is unramified at $v^{-1}(Q)$, and so $u$ is unramified at $h\left(v^{-1}(Q)\right)$. This is valid for each irreducible component $C$ of $f^{-1}\left(u\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)$ through $Q$, and so $u$ is unramified at $* \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$.

Hence, if we fix a non-zero tangent vector $t \in T_{*, \mathbf{P}^{1}}$ then the assignment $u \mapsto d u(t)$ gives a morphism

$$
\eta: V \rightarrow\left(T_{P, X}-\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbf{A}^{n}-\{0\}
$$

which yields a commutative diagram


As in Mori's paper [M], we see that if $u \in V$ such that $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample, then $\eta$ is smooth along the $G$ orbit of $u$ in $V$, and so $\delta$ is étale at $\gamma(u) \in W$. By assumption, this means that $\delta$ is étale outside a finite set. Since $n \geqq 3$ this means that $\delta$ is étale, and hence an isomorphism. On the other hand, if $u \in V$ such that $u^{*} T_{X}$ is not ample, then

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, u^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-2)\right) \neq 0
$$

The Zariski tangent space to the fibre of $\eta$ at $\cdot u$ is

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, u^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-2)\right)
$$

which has dimension $>1$; hence $\eta$ is not smooth at $u$. But $\delta \circ \gamma$ is a principal $G$ bundle so that $\eta$ is a principal $G_{1}$-bundle, where $G_{1}$ is the subgroup of $G$ fixing the tangent vector $t$. Hence $\eta$ is smooth, and so $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample for all $u \in V$. As in [M], this implies that $X \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$.

Case 2. For each $P \in U,\left\{u \in V \mid u^{*} T_{X}\right.$ is not ample $\}$ consists of infinitely many $G$ orbits.

Since there are only a finite number of lines in $Y$ joining distinct points of $f^{-1}(P)$, we see that there exists $u \in V$ such that $u^{*} T_{X}$ is not ample, and in the diagram

$C$ is a line such that $C \cap f^{-1}(P)=\{Q\}$. Since $f$ is unramified at $Q, f \circ v$ is birational, and $h$ is an isomorphism. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
n+1 \geqq \operatorname{deg} h^{*} u^{*} K_{X}^{-1} & =\operatorname{deg} v^{*} K_{Y}^{-1}+\operatorname{deg} v^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(R) \\
& =n+\operatorname{deg} v^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(R) \geqq n+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we must have $d=n+1$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(R)=\mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)$. In particular, $R$ is a hyperplane section of $Y$, and is reduced and irreducible; so $f$ is simply ramified (has ramification index two) at the generic point of $R$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} V=n+1$, and $\operatorname{dim} W=n-1$.

As in case 1 , we see that, by fixing a non-zero tangent vector $t \in T_{*, \mathbf{P}^{1}}$, we obtain a diagram

where for $u \in V$ such that $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample, $\delta$ is etale at $\gamma(u)$.
The cone of lines in $Y$ through $Q$ is parametrized by a smooth quadric hypersurface $Z \subset \mathbf{P}\left(T_{Q, Y}^{*}\right) \cong \mathbf{P}\left(T_{P, Y}^{*}\right)$. Since for any line $C \subset Y, \operatorname{deg} f^{*} K_{X}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}$ $=n+1$, we see that $\left.f\right|_{C}$ is birational for any line $C$ meeting $f^{-1}(U)$. Thus we obtain a morphism $\zeta: Z \rightarrow W$ such that the composite

$$
\delta \circ \zeta: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}=\mathbf{P}\left(T_{P, X}^{*}\right)
$$

is the natural embedding. Clearly the non-étale locus of $\delta$ is contained in $\zeta(Z)$. Hence $\delta$ is a finite morphism between smooth varieties, and its non-étale locus is a divisor, which must equal $\zeta(Z)$ if $\delta$ is not an isomorphism. As in case 1 , if $\delta$ is an isomorphism, then $u^{*} T_{X}$ is ample for all $u \in V$, contradicting the hypothesis of case 2. Hence for every line $C \subset Y$ through $Q, f^{*} T_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}$ is not ample on $C$.

We claim that $U=X-B$ i.e. $f^{-1}(B)=R$. If not, we can find $P \in U$ with $Q, Q^{\prime}$ in $f^{-1}(P)$, where $Q \notin R$, and $Q^{\prime} \in R$, such that $P$ is a smooth point of $B$, and $f$ is simply ramified at $Q^{\prime}$. We can find a line $C \subset Y$ through $Q$ such that $C_{1}=f(C)$ is smooth at $P$ and transverse to $B$ at $P$. Then we can find another line $C^{\prime} \subset Y$ through $Q^{\prime}$ which maps birationally to $C_{1}$, since every irreducible component of $f^{-1}\left(C_{1}\right)$ must be a line. However simple ramification at $Q^{\prime}$ implies that $d f\left(T_{Q^{\prime}, Y}\right) \subset T_{P, B}$. Since $C_{1}$ is transverse to $B$ at $P$, this is a contradiction.

Now $R$ is a hyperplane section of the smooth quadric $Y$ of dimension $\geqq 3$; hence $\pi_{1}(Y-R)=0$ (this is clear if $R$ is singular as $Y-R \cong \mathbf{A}^{n}$; if $R$ is smooth, this follows from the facts (i) $\pi_{1}(Y-R)$ is abelian, and (ii) $\left.H_{1}(Y-R, \mathbf{Z})=0\right)$. Thus $Y-R$ is the universal covering space of $X-B$. In particular there is a finite group $H$ of automorphisms of $Y$, which acts freely on $Y-R$, such that $X=Y / H$ (the automorphisms in $H$ of $Y-R$ extend to $Y$ as $Y$ is the normalization of $X$ in $\mathbf{C}(Y)$ ). Since $f$ is simply ramified at the generic point of $R$, the inertia group of the corresponding discrete valuation on $C(Y)$ has order two. The involution $\sigma$ generating this inertia group extends to the ambient projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, fixes the hyperplane spanned by $R$ and has no other fixed points on $Y$. Thus $\sigma$ has one other isolated fixed point in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}-Y$ and the quotient map $Y \rightarrow Y /\langle\sigma\rangle$ is induced by the projection from this fixed point. Thus we have a factorization


From the result of Lazarsfeld mentioned in the introduction (see [L]), we must have $X \cong \mathbf{P}^{n}$, so that $T_{X}$ is ample on every curve in $X$, contradicting the hypothesis of case 2 .
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## Note added in proof

1. Problem 2 has been answered affirmatively by $O$. Debarre.
2. The following observation by P. Polo and M.S. Raghunathan can be used to strengthen the Theorem. If $G$ is any simple connected, semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbf{C}$ such that $G / P \cong \Pi G / P_{i}$, where $P, P_{i}$ 's are parabolic subgroups; then $G=\Pi G_{i}$ and there are parabolic groups $Q_{i} \subset G_{i}$ such that $P_{i}=p_{i}^{-1}\left(Q_{i}\right)$, where $p_{i}: G \rightarrow G_{i}$ is the projection.
3. The Theorem has the following corollary: Let $G$ be a semi-simple, simply connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $k$ with char $k=p>0$, and let $X$ be a projective homogeneous variety for $G$. Suppose $X$ lifts to a smooth and proper scheme $\chi \rightarrow$ Spec $W(k)$ over the Witt vectors of $k$, such that the absolute Frobenius morphism of Xlifts to a morphism of $\chi$ (covering the Frobenius on $W(k)$ ). Then $X \cong \Pi \mathbf{P}^{n_{i}}$.
