This document is the accepted manuscript version of the following article: Dünki, S. J., Ko, Y. S., Nüesch, F. A., & Opris, D. M. (2015). Self-repairable, high permittivity dielectric elastomers with large actuation strains at low electric fields. *Advanced Functional Materials*, *25*(16), 2467-2475. <u>http://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201500077</u>

Self-repairable, high permittivity dielectric elastomers with large actuation strains at low electric fields

Simon J. Dünki, Yee Song Ko, Frank A. Nüesch, and Dorina M. Opris*

S. J. Dünki, Prof. F. A. Nüesch, Y. S. Ko, Dr. D. M. Opris Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology Empa, Laboratory for Functional Polymers, Ueberlandstr. 129, CH-8600, Dübendorf, Switzerland S. J. Dünki, Prof. F. A. Nüesch, Y. S. Ko Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Institut des matériaux, Station 12, CH 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland E-mail: dorina.opris@empa.ch

Keywords: dielectric elastomer actuators, polar silicones, permittivity, thiolene addition, electroresponsive polymers

A one step process for the synthesis of elastomers with high permittivity, excellent mechanical properties and increased electromechanical sensitivity is presented. It starts from a high molecular weight polymethylvinylsiloxane, **P1**, whose vinyl groups serve two functions: the introduction of polar nitrile moieties by reacting **P1** with 3-mercaptopropionitrile (**1**) and the introduction of cross-links to fine tune mechanical properties by reacting **P1** with 2,2'- (ethylenedioxy)diethanethiol (**2**). This twofold chemical modification furnished a material, **C2**, with a powerful combination of properties: permittivity of up to 10.1 at 10⁴ Hz, elastic modulus $Y_{10\%} = 154$ kPa, and strain at break of 260%. Actuators made of **C2** show lateral actuators can self-repair after a breakdown, which is essential for an improved device lifetime and an attractive reliability. The actuators can be operated repeatedly and reversibly at voltages below the first breakdown. Due to the low actuation voltage and the large actuation strain applications of this material in commercial products might become reality.

1. Introduction

There is an increasing need for synthetic elastomers that respond to external stimuli and are easy to prepare and process.^[1] Those elastomers that respond to an electric field by changing their size and shape are of great interest for many fields of applications.^[2] Dielectric elastomer actuators (DEAs) are stretchable capacitors made of a thin elastic film coated with two compliant electrodes which expend their area when charged (**Figure 1**).^[3] Due to their simple working principle and muscle-like actuation, DEA could find a large variety of applications in engines, optical devices, sensors, energy harvesters, artificial muscles to name a few.^[4] Since most elastomers do not contain electroactive components, the observed expansion is due to the electrostatic attraction forces of the unlike charges and repulsion forces of the like charges on the electrodes. One disadvantage of the DEA which might hinder their application is a driving voltage in the kV regime. A key challenge in the DEA field is therefore to prepare an elastomer that actuates at a low voltage.

The actuation strain is given by:

$$s = -\frac{p}{Y} = -\frac{\varepsilon'\varepsilon_0}{Y}E^2 \qquad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where *s* is the actuation, *p* is the electrostatic pressure, *Y* is the elastic modulus, ε' is the dielectric permittivity, $\varepsilon_0 = 8.854*10^{-12}$ F m⁻¹ the vacuum permittivity, and *E* the electric field strength.^[3] Thus, the driving voltage can be reduced by optimizing two material parameters: reducing *Y* and increasing ε' .^[5] Elastomers with low *Y* can be prepared by reducing the cross-link density^[6] or by adding a softener.^[7] The reduction of *Y* increases the actuation strain but results in soft materials that have poor mechanical robustness and low output stress.

To increase the ε' of an elastomer two strategies are being pursued: blending with highly polarizable ceramic or conductive fillers and functionalization with polar groups.^[8] The former can increase the permittivity of a material, but in most cases the mechanical properties are negatively affected. For some exceptions, see Ref 9.^[9] The latter include functionalization of silicones with polar groups. When such material is subjected to an electric field, the randomly oriented dipole moments will align with the field and the polymer chains will experience strain. This results in dimensional changes (Figure 1). Silicones have a siloxane (Si-O) backbone which carries two organic hydrocarbon substituents per silicon. They have a low T_g which ensures elastic behaviour well below room temperature. Therefore, by functionalizing silicones with pendant polar groups it might be possible to prepare a material that shows increased permittivity while the T_g is still sufficiently low, despite of unavoidable increase in the T_g due to the dipole-dipole interactions. Silicones modified with polar trifluoropropyl, *p*-nitroaniline, nitrobenzene or cyanopropyl groups are known in the literature and they indeed show an increase in ε' .^[10] However, the rather low molecular weight (M_w) of these functionalized polymers did not allow formation of highly stretchable materials.

It was the aim of this work to synthesize an elastic material which not only exhibits increased permittivity and good mechanical properties but at the same time can also be actuated at low voltages. To achieve this, a high molecular weight polymethylvinylsiloxane **P1** was modified with polar nitrile groups to increase the permittivity while appropriate cross-linking allowed optimization of mechanical properties.




Figure 1. Working principle of a dielectric elastomer actuator that has as dielectric an elastomer carrying randomly attached polar groups (left) and the orientation of these groups in an electric field. The polymer chains experience strain and the film dimensions change (right).

2. Results and Discussions

We focused on silicones because they promised creation of materials with still attractively low T_g s in spite of the T_g -increase unavoidably associated with the introduction of polar groups. To ensure good elastic properties and a high degree of polar group functionalization, a high M_w polymethylvinylsiloxane, **P1**, was used, which carries a vinyl group on every repeat unit. First, **P1** was synthesised by anionic ring opening polymerization of 1,3,5,7-tetravinyl-1,3,5,7-tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane (V₄) in the presence of tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) (Scheme 1).^[11] The molecular weight of **P1** and its distribution were determined as $M_n = 59$ kg mol⁻¹, $M_w = 125$ kg mol⁻¹ and D = 2.1 by GPC in tetrahydrofuran (THF) using polydimethylsiloxane standards. For the introduction of nitrile groups, 3mercaptopropanenitrile **1** was chosen which was synthesized following standard procedures.^[11]

Scheme 1 shows an overview of the attempts to synthesize materials functionalized with polar nitrile groups starting from P1 and using different amounts of 1 (excess, less than equimolar, or at about equimolar amount of thiol 1 to the vinyl groups of P1) and different cross-linking reactions. When an excess of reagent 1 to polymer P1 was used, nitrile functionalized polymer P2 formed (Scheme 1a). Both the regiochemistry of the addition as well as its conversion were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The spectra in Figure 2 show that the thiol addition proceeded predominantly in *anti*-Markovnikov fashion and that it was virtually quantitative. This latter point was concluded from the complete disappearance of the vinyl group proton signals between $\delta = 6.15 - 5.75$ ppm and of the thiol group proton signal at $\delta = 1.8$ ppm of the starting reagents, and the appearance of new signals in the aliphatic region (Figure 2).





Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra of polymethylvinylsiloxane **P1**, 3-mercaptopropanenitrile **1**, and of the thiolene addition product **P2** in CDCl₃, dichloromethane (+), Markovnicov product (*).

Additionally, the FT-IR spectrum for the thiolene addition product shows no vibration band at 3054 cm⁻¹ and 1598 cm⁻¹ characteristic for the C=C–H and C=C bonds. Our attempts to crosslink **P2** *via* a condensation reaction of the hydroxyl end-groups with ethyltetraethoxysilane (ETOS) in the presence of dibutyltin dilaurate catalyst were not successful (Scheme 1a). We however observed that when the stoichiometry between the thiol **1** and the vinyl groups in the thiol-ene addition was not respected, particularly when the vinyl groups were in excess, a cross-linked soft material with a low strain at break formed. Scheme 1b shows one of the possible reactions that affords cross-linking.^[12] Although this may be considered disadvantageous, it offers a powerful opportunity to prepare thin films whereby the dipole attachment and the cross-linking occur simultaneously. Thus, the UV irradiation of a thin film made of a solution of **P1**, less than equimolar amount of thiol **1** and 2,2-

dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA) allowed formation of a soft but brittle material. Our attempts to improve the mechanical properties of the film by using different amounts of thiol **1**, DMPA or surface functionalized silica were not successful (see Supp. Inform.). The highest strain at break for this kind of materials was only about 100%. Although disappointing, these experiments suggested, that it might be possible to prepare soft elastomers with improved elastic properties by further adjusting the cross-linking density. This wasachieved by using 2,2'-(ethylenedioxy)diethanethiol (**2**) a bi-functional thiol as cross-linker (Scheme 2c). When a solution of **P1**, about equimolar amount of thiols (**1** and **2**) to the vinyl groups of **P1**, and 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA) was irradiated for few min with UV light, a soft material with good elastic properties formed. Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the starting polymers **P1** and the amount of reagents used for the synthesis of different materials. For **P1** with $M_w = 125$ kDa material **A** was obtained with a strain at break of 220% and $Y_{10\%} = 200$ kPa (**Figure 3**).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of polymethylvinylsiloxane P1 from monomer V_4 . The postpolymerization modification of P1 with thiol 1 to polymer P2 which is cross-linked *via* a

condensation with ETOS (a) and in situ functionalization of **P1** with polar nitrile groups such that some vinyl groups are remaining which are used for cross-linking (b) and (c).




Figure 3. Stress-strain curves for materials **A**, **B1-B3** and **C1**, **C2** as well as of the VHB and Elastosil from standard tensile tests at 500 mm min⁻¹. The stress-strain curves were averaged from three independent tests. The strain at break for VHB and Elastosil was 850% and 770%, respectively.

To further optimize the elastic properties, a **P1** of higher molecular weight ($M_n = 72 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$, $M_w = 173 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$ and D = 2.4) was used for the synthesis of materials **B** and **C**. By decreasing the amount of dithiol **2**, a slight decrease in the elastic moduli at low strains from 110 to 90 and 80 kPa was observed for materials **B1**, **B2**, and **B3**, respectively (see Table 2).

While the strain at break of materials **B1** and **B2** were quite similar, material **B3** prepared with less **2** had the highest strain at break of 280% (Figure 3) but viscoelastic losses were visually noted, e.g. after releasing the stress manually produced in this material, a slow recovery of the initial length of the sample was observed.

Table 1. The characteristics of the starting polymers P1 and the amount of reagents used for the synthesis of materials A, B1, B2, B3, C1, and C2.

Sample ^a		Starting polyr	mer		1 [mmol]	2	2	Silica	
	<i>Mw</i> [kg mol⁻¹]	<i>Mn</i> [kg mol ⁻¹]	PDI	m [g]	vinyl [mmol]	[mmol]	[µl]	[mmol]	[%]
Α	125	59	2.1	2	23	21.8	20	0.12	-
B1	173	72	2.4	2	23	21.8	36	0.22	-
B2	173	72	2.4	2	23	21.8	32	0.20	-
B3	173	72	2.4	2	23	21.8	28	0.17	-
C1	173	72	2.4	2	23	21.8	34	0.21	2.5
C2	173	72	2.4	2	23	21.8	34	0.21	5

^aFor all samples the amount of starting polymer (2 g), polar thiol (1.9 g), DMPA (25 mg), and toluene (2 ml) was kept constant.

To reduce the viscoelastic losses, hexamethyldisilazane-treated silica particles were used (materials C). The concentration of silica was kept at low values to avoid stiffening (C1 containes 2.5 wt% silica while C2 containes 5 wt% silica). The stress-strain curves of C1 and C2 were almost superimposable, but an improvement in the strain at break for material C2 (260%) was observed (Figure 3). The elastic moduli of C are higher than those of B, but still rather low (<150 kPa at all strains). Additionally, materials C were robust and not sticky and therefore easy to handle in thin films.

Table 2. Elastic moduli at different strain levels as well as the elongation at break and the stress at break for the prepared materials. The percentage of extractable species (W_{ext}) obtained from swelling/extraction tests is also given.

sample	Y _{10%}	Y _{20%}	Y _{50%}	Y _{100%}	Elong.	Max. stress	Wext		
	[kPa]	[kPa]	[kPa]	[kPa]	[%]	[N/mm ²]	[%]		
Α	200	180	140	120	220	0.28	11.3		
B1	110	100	100	120	170	0.25	18.8		
B2	90	100	90	90	180	0.18	21.1		
B3	80	70	70	70	280	0.24	-		
C1	160	130	130	140	230	0.35	10.3		
C2	150	125	120	135	260	0.42	8.5		
Elastosil	79	61	41	27	770	0.48	-		
VHB	150	100	50	30	850	0.61	-		

The elastic moduli were determined from the slope of the stress–strain curves using a linear fit to the data points within $\pm 10\%$ strain.

To verify the conversion of the vinyl groups in thin films, FTIR spectra were recorded on pellets obtained by pressing the powder of ground **C2** film in KBr as well as on air and Teflon exposed surfaces of unground film (**Figure 4**). The change of the vibration band at 3055 cm⁻¹ characteristic for the vinyl group was monitored in film after the reaction. The intensities of the vibration band of the vinyl groups for the Teflon-exposed surface and for the pellet are similar but much lower as compared to that of the vinyl groups for the air-exposed surface can be explained by the inhibition effect of oxygen. The appearance of a new vibration band at 2250 cm⁻¹ characteristic for the nitrile group can be seen for the functionalized film. These results suggest that the thiol-ene addition functions well within the film, but is negatively affected for the air-exposed surface. Oxygen inhibition can be avoided by working under inert atmosphere. The incomplete conversion might be considered disadvantageous, but it offers a powerful tool for further functionalization. For example, the left-over vinyl groups can be used to interconnect layers in stacked actuators or to avoid delamination of electrodes in DEA devices.^[13]




Figure 4. FTIR spectra of the starting polymer **P1** (top), of polymer **P2** (bottom) and of the functionalized thin film recorded on different regions of the film (middle): on the air and Teflon exposed surface and on the ground film in KBr.

As is common, not all polymer chains were involved in network formation. The amount of non-cross-linked material was determined by swelling/extraction tests (Table 2). The least amounts of non-cross-linked material were observed for **A**, **C1** and **C2** (< 12%). The softest material **B3** disintegrated upon swelling and could therefore not be recovered properly.

Extension/relaxation tests were performed with C2 (Supp. Inform.). The sample was strained from 0% to 100% strain at a rate of 20 mm/min. The stationary time at maximum strain was 2 min, while stationary time at minimum strain was 1 min. The excellent elastic properties of material C2 are confirmed by the small hysteresis in this test. Additionally, dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) has been carried out on a thin strip of C2 (Supp. Inform.). A dynamic load of 2 g was applied to the sample at 1% strain and measurements were done in the frequency range of 0.01 Hz to 10 Hz. Materials A and C2 have almost constant values of the elastic moduli at different frequencies of less than 250 kPa and low viscoelastic losses (*tan* δ) of less than 0.1 at low frequencies.

To explore the temperature window where the described materials can be used, DSC and TGA analysis were performed. The DSC thermograms for materials **A**, **B** and **C** exhibit a glass transition temperature at about -50 °C. ^[14] This T_g is much higher as compared to parent polymethylvinylsiloxane ($T_g = -122$ °C),^[15] but still sufficiently low to allow the use of these materials at low temperatures. Additionally, these materials are also stable in air up to 250 °C (see Supp. Inform.). At this temperature some residual volatiles are released. The maximum degradation occurred at about 395 °C and the amount of residue left is about 35 to 40% (see Supp. Inform.).

Materials A and C2 have the highest strain at break and lowest viscoelastic losses and were therefore selected for further investigations. Their mechanical, dielectric and electromechanical properties were compared to that of commercial VHB 4905 foil and commercial Elastosil RT 745. The VHB foil is intensively used by the DEA community for the construction of devices.^[16] The stress-strain curves show that the modified silicones are stiffer (e.g. for A $Y_{10\%} = 200$ kPa and for C2 $Y_{10\%} = 150$ kPa) and have less strain at break $(s_{max} = 260\%$ for C2) as compared to VHB $(Y_{10\%} = 150$ kPa) and Elastosil $(Y_{10\%} = 79$ kPa) (Figure 3 and Table 2). Figure 5 shows the dielectric properties as function of frequency at room temperature for materials C2, VHB foil, and Elastosil while Table 3 summarizes the elastic moduli at 10% strain, the actuation strain at different voltages, the dielectric breakdown, as well as the permittivity at 10^4 Hz. This frequency was considered sufficiently high to eliminate the contribution of electrode polarization which is reflected by a large increase in the ε ' at low frequencies. This increase is associated with an increase in the dielectric loss. The permittivity at high frequencies is $\varepsilon' = 10.1$ for C2 and, thus, much higher than Elastosil ($\varepsilon' = 3.0$) and VHB foil ($\varepsilon' = 4.5$). A relaxation peak at about 500 Hz that results in an increase in the permittivity was observed for C2. The origin of this relaxation process is presently the subject of further investigations. The conductivity for the modified silicones decreases with decreasing frequency and is within the limits of dielectric materials $(\sigma = 9.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ S/cm}).$




Figure 5. Permittivity (bottom), loss factor (middle), and conductivity (top) of material **C2**, Elastosil, and VHB foil as a function of frequency at room temperature.

Materials A and C2 were further evaluated in electromechanical tests. At least two independent actuator measurements were conducted for each material. It should be mentioned here that the circular actuators constructed from A and C2 were 28.6% prestained while the actuators constructed from VHB foil were 300% prestrained (see Experimental Section). A stepwise increase in voltage (50 V every 2 s) was applied to the samples until breakdown through the material occurred. The determined actuation strains are shown in **Figure 6** as a function of the nominal electric field strength. The actuation strains at a certain voltage for A and C2 were similar, but a significant improvement was observed for material C2 with

respect to the electrical breakdown and the maximum actuation strain. For example the maximum actuation strain of A was 9.6% at 8.8 V/µm, while for C2 a maximum actuation strain of 20.5% at 10.8 V/ μ m was measured. High electric fields are required to actuate the VHB and Elastosil, while material C2 is actuated at much lower electric fields. For a lateral actuation strain of 8% material C2 required 7.4 V/µm, while Elastosil and VHB required higher electric fields of 24.0 V/µm and 64.2 V/µm, respectively. This represents a reduction of the electric field for C2 by a factor of 8.5 and 3 as compared to VHB and Elastosil, respectively. Since C2 is stiffer as compared to VHB and Elastosil, the increase in the actuation strain is due only to the increase in the ε' and not due to the increase of ε'/Y (see Equation 1). The maximum actuation strain of 20.5% at breakdown (10.8 V/ μ m) for C2 was higher as compared to that of Elastosil (e.g. 8.6% at 24.6 V/µm). Material C1 and Elastosil were also tested in actuators without prestrain (Figure 6 bottom). Also in these tests, the modified silicone has an actuation strain of 8% at 6.7 V/µm, while Elastosil shows a much lower actuation strain of 0.4 % at the same electric filed. To the best of our knowledge this is the highest lateral actuation strain at the lowest electric field reported to date for a silicone elastomer.




Figure 6. Lateral actuation strain membrane actuators for materials **A**, **C2** and Elastosil (each with 28.6% prestrain) as well as of VHB foil (300% prestrain) as a function of the applied voltage (top) and the lateral actuation strain of materials **C1** and Elastosil (both without prestrain) (bottom).

Table 3. The permittivity (ε '), tan δ , conductivity (σ), $Y_{10\%}$, actuation strain at different electric fields (s_x), maximum actuation at breakdown, and the breakdown field (E_b) obtained from the actuators tests.

Sample	<i>ɛ</i> ′ at 10⁴ Hz	Tan δ	σ [S/cm] ^a	Y₁₀% [kPa]	s _x [%] at 6 V/μm	s _x [%] at 8 V/μm	s _x [%] at 10 V/μm	s _× [%] at <i>E</i> ♭	<i>Ε</i> [V/μm]
C2	10.1	0.059	9.5×10 ⁻¹²	154	4.4	9.3	17.4	20.5	10.8
VHB Elastosil	4.4 3	0.05 0.009	1.75×10 ⁻¹⁶ 2.95×10 ⁻¹⁵	152 79	0 0.2	0 0.4	0 0.7	- 6.0	>100 25

^aThe conductivity values were taken at 0.01 Hz where the plateau was reached.

During the electromechanical tests it was observed that the actuators constructed with A and C2 were able to self-repair after a breakdown and resume actuation with the previous performance. Such effects have already been reported for actuators and were attributed either to the electrode material or to the dielectric used.^[17-19] For example, Pei et al. showed that thin SWNT electrodes are able to self-clear due to localized degradation and loss of conductivity around the breakdown site.^[17] A similar effect was observed by Opris et al. for a silicone/graphene composite electrode. It was shown that during a breakdown event part of the electrode and dielectric film are burnt.^[18] This burning process insulates the defect right where the breakdown occurs. Furthermore, Anderson et al. also reported the self-healing of an actuator constructed from a silicone sponge saturated with silicone oil as dielectric.^[19] The self-healing effect was attributed to the silicone oil which flows into defects and thus reestablishes the dielectric structure. Since we used the same electrode material (carbon black powder) throughout and no such behaviour was noted for Elastosil and VHB, we concluded that this process is due to the nature of the dielectric rather than the electrode. The selfrepairing-process is likely due to elimination of the conductive path caused by the sparks that form during the breakdown event. The spark may burn part of the electrode and the dielectric film, some of the dielectric is oxidized to silica and turns that part of actuator inactive. That this effect can be seen only for C2 and not for regular PDMS might be due to the high organic part of C2 that can be burnt during the breakdown event. The dielectric is oxidized with formation of silica which insulates the defect. Figure 7 shows a stability test with an actuator constructed from C2 where 100 cycles near the breakdown field were performed. The breakdowns are marked with arrows. Already very shortly after each breakdown the performance is virtually back to normal.




Figure 7. Long-term stability of an actuator made of C2 membrane tested for 100 cycles at 0.35 Hz and electric field of 8.3 V/ μ m. Several breakdowns and self- repairing events can be seen.

The self-repair is observed both in alternating as well as in continuous voltage. **Figure 8** shows a **C2** membrane operated at a constant electric field of 5.5 V/ μ m. A fast actuation response is followed by several breakdowns and self-repairing events (left). When exactly the same actuator was tested at slightly lower electric field of 5 V/ μ m, a constant actuation strain was observed (Figure 8 right). Such actuators can suffer several breakdowns and are nevertheless able to self-repair and function further. The actuators can be operated repeatedly and reversibly at voltages slightly below the first breakdown.




Figure 8. A 180 µm thick membrane actuator subjected to 1000 V for 120 s (left) and the same actuator subjected to 900 V for 120 s (right).

3. Conclusions

Here we report a one-step synthesis that allows formation of silicone elastomers with high permittivity, excellent mechanical properties and increased electromechanical sensitivity. Given the simplicity of the synthesis process protocol, we expect our material to be scalable. The mechanical properties of these elastomers can be optimized via the amount of cross-linker, silica, and/or the molecular weight of the polymer used. The best material obtained has a permittivity of 10.1 at 10^4 Hz, an elastic modulus of 154 kPa at low strain, a strain at break of 260%, and a maximum lateral actuation strain of 20.5% at 10.8 V/µm. Additionally, the reliability of the dielectric elastomer actuators constructed with this material is significantly improved due to their ability to self-repair after a breakdown.

4. Experimental

Materials and Characterization

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were reagent grade and used without purification. Tetra methylammonium hydroxide pentahydrate (TMAH) and 1,3,5,7-tetravinyl-1,3,5,7-tetrawinyl-1,3,5,7-tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane (V₄) were purchased from ABCR. 2,2-Dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA), benzene, toluene, NaSH hydrate, and acrylonitrile were

purchased from Aldrich. Amorphous SiO₂ particles (60-70 nm) were purchased from US Research Nanometerials Inc., Housten. Methanol, dichloromethane, hexane, ethyl acetate, and tetrahydrofurane were purchased from VWR and 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane from Alfa Aesar. Elastosil RT 745 "S" A + B (Wacker) was purchased from Drawin Vertriebs GmbH. VHB 4905 was kindly provided by Mr. M. Silvain (Empa). 3-Mercaptopropionitril and **P1** were prepared according to the literature.^[11]

¹H-, ¹³C- and ²⁹Si-NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer using a 5 mm broadband inverse probe at 400.13, 100.61 and 79.5 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts (δ) in ppm are calibrated to residual solvent peaks (CDCl₃: δ = 7.26 and 77.16 ppm). Size exclusion chromatograms were taken with an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC (Columns: serial coupled PSS SDV 5 u, 100A and PSS SDV 5 u, 1000A, Detector: DAD, 235 nm and 360 nm; refractive index), THF was used as mobile phase. PDMS standards were used for the calibration and toluene as internal standard.

Swelling extraction tests were conducted on materials **A**, **B** and **C** which were extracted by immersion in toluene for 5 days, at room temperature. Every 24 h the the toluene was replaced. The films were then let to dry in air and then in vacuum oven to constant mass.

IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR with either an ATR setup or as KBr pellet in transmission. A mercury vapor UV-light source UVAHAND 250 GSH1 from Dr. Hönle AG without additional filters providing an irradiation intensity of 15 mW cm⁻² in the frequency range between 320 nm and 600 nm was used.

The tensile tests were performed using a Zwick Z010 tensile test machine with a crosshead speed of 500 mm/min. Tensile test specimens with a gauge width of 2 mm and a gauge length of 18 mm were prepared by die cutting. The strain was determined using a traverse moving sensor. The curves were averaged from 3 independent experiments. The tensile modulus was determined from the slope of the stress-strain curves using a linear fit to the data points within 10% strain. Dynamic mechanical analysis was carried out on a RSA 3 DMA from TA

Instruments. Stripes 10 mm wide, 24 mm long were measured under a dynamic load of 2 g, at 1% strain in the frequency range of 0.01 to 10 Hz, at 26 °C, and 65% humidity.

Permittivity measurements were done in the frequency range of 1 Hz to 1 MHz using an Novocontrol Alpha-A Frequency Analyzer. The V_{RMS} (root mean square voltage) of the probing AC electric signal applied to the samples was 1 V. Prior to the measurements, Au electrodes with a thickness of 30 nm were sputtered on both sides of the films. The permittivity was determined from the capacitance: $C = \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A/d$, where A is the electrode area, d is the thickness of the capacitor, and ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity. The electromechanical tests were performed using circular membrane actuators, for which the films were fixed between two circular frames. A biaxial prestrain of 28.6% was used. A higher prestrain was not tried since this might have caused mechanical damage. Circular electrodes (8 mm diameter) of carbon black powder were smeared on each side of the film with a fine brush. The connections to the electrodes were done using thin aluminium stripes. Photos of an actuator used for the electromechanical test and of the pre-stretching set-up are shown in supporting information. A FUG HCL-35-12500 high voltage source served as power supply for actuator tests. The voltage was increased by 50 V every 2 s up to breakdown. The actuation strain was measured optically as the extension of the diameter of the electrode area via a digital camera, using an edge detection tool of a LabView program to detect the boundary between the black electrode area and the transparent silicone film.

Methods

Synthesis of P1

Dry V₄ (70.70 g, 0.205 mmol) was added to dry TMAH (0.53 g, 0.24 mmol) in a schlenk flask and stirred for 1 h at RT, followed by additional 70 h stirring at 70 °C. The highly viscous polymer was then heated to 140 °C for 4 h. The unreacted cycles were then distilled in high vacuum at 140 °C for about 13 h. A highly viscous transparent polymer (66 g, 93%)

was obtained. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 6.07 – 5.88 (m, 2H, =CH₂); 5.84 – 5.74 (m, 1H, Si-CH=); 0.20 – 0.11 (m, 3H, Si-CH₃); GPC: $M_n = 68$ kg mol⁻¹, $M_w = 171$ kg mol⁻¹, D = 2.5. *Synthesis of* **P2**

To **P1** (20.01 g, 232.2 mmol, 1 eq) dissolved in distilled THF (200 ml), **1** (40.48 g, 464.5 mmol, 2.0 eq) and DMPA (560 mg, 2.18 mmol, 0.009 eq) were added. The solution was then irradiated with a UV light for 20 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and precipitated in methanol (50 ml). The precipitation step was repeated several times. The product was dried in high vacuum at elevated temperatures to give yellowish highly viscous liquid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 2.85 – 2.78 (m, 2H, CH₂-CH₂-CN), 2.72 – 2.64 (m, 4H, CH₂.S-CH₂-CN), 0.95 – 0.88 (m, 2H, Si-CH₂-), 0.18 (s, 3H, Si-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 118.8, 27.5, 26.6, 19.0, 18. 0.0; ²⁹Si NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 24.2; EA: Calcd.: C 41.58, H 6.40, N 8.08, S 18.5; found C 39.47, H 6.49, N 8.04, S 17.63.

General synthesis of polysiloxane containing ethylthiopropanenitrile elastomers

A solution of **P1**, **1**, **2**, surface functionalized silica particles and 2,2-dimethoxy-2phenylacetophenone in toluene was casted to a film and initiated with UV light for few minutes. During this time the dipole grafting to the silicone chains and the cross-linking occurred simultaneously. The films were dried at elevated temperature to constant mass before further testing were done. For the amount of reagents used please see Table 1.

Synthesis of material C2

A solution of **P1** (2 g, 23 mmol vinyl groups), **1** (21.8 mmol), **2** (34 μ l), surface functionalized silica particles (0.2 g dispersed in 4 ml toluene) and 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (11 mg, 0.04 mmol) in toluene (2 ml) was casted to a film using a doctor blade with 1200 μ m gap and irradiated with a UV light for 2 minutes. During this time the dipole grafting to the silicone chains and the cross-linking occurred simultaneously. The film was let drying at RT for 18 h and at 60 °C until constant mass.

Functionalization of SiO₂ nanoparticles

1,1,1,3,3,3-hexymethyldisilazan (9 g) was added to a dispersion of SiO_2 particles (15 g) in dry toluene (230 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon for 18 h at RT. The dispersion was centrifuged, the supernatant discarded and the remaining particles washed four times with toluene (4 x 100 ml). The particles were dried in HV for 48 h (14.8 g, 99%).

Thin films of Elastosil

A mixture of Elastosil component A and B (1:1) was sonicated for 5 min in an ultrasonic bath

to remove the air bubbles. Thin films were made by doctor blade technique on a teflon

substrate and cured for 60 min at 100 °C.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Swiss National Science Foundation under the Swiss-Romanian Cooperation Program, grant No. IZERZO_142215 / 1 (10 / RO-CH/RSRP/01.01.2013), and the Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (Empa, Dübendorf). We gratefully acknowledge B. Fischer for DSC and TGA measurements, C. Walder and T. Künniger for DMA measurement, and Dr G. Kovacs for providing us with the infrastructure for the actuator measurements (all Empa).

> Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

[1] S. Ahn, R. M. Kasi, S. Kim, N. Sharma, Y. Zhou, Soft. Mat. 2008, 4, 1151-1157; b) M.

Behl, A. Lendlein, Soft Mat. 2007, 3, 58-67;

[2] F. Carpi, D. De Rossi, R. Kornbluh, R. Perline, P. Sommer-Larsen, 2008, Dielectric

elastomers as electromechanical transducers, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

[3] R. Pelrine, R. Kornbluh, Q. Pei, J. Joseph, Science, 2000, 287, 836-839.

[4] Y. Bar-Cohen, Q. Zhang, *MRS Bulletin*, 2008, 33, 173-181; F. Carpi, E. Smela, *Biomedical Applications of Electroactive Polymer Actuators*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim,
Germany, 2009.

[5] P. Brochu, Q. Pei, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2010, 31, 10-36.

- [6] M. Circu, Y. Ko, F. A. Nüesch, A. G. Christian, D, M. Opris, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2014, 299, 1126-1133.
- [7] R. Shankar, T. K. Ghosh, R. J. Spontak, Soft Matter, 2007, 3, 1116–1129; X. Niu, H.

Stoyanov, W. Hu, R. Leo, P. Brochu, Q. Pei, J. Pol. Sci. B Pol. Phys. 2013, 51, 197-206.

[8] G. Gallone, F. Carpi, D. De Rossi, G. Levita, A. Marchetti, Mat. Sci. Eng. C, 2007, 1, 110-

116; M. Molberg, D. Crespy, P. Rupper, F. Nüesch, J.-A. E. Manson, C. Löwe, D. M. Opris,

Adv. Funct. Mater. 2010, 20, 3280-3291; L. J. Romasanta, P. Leret, L. Casaban, M.

Hernandez, M. A. de la Rubia, J. F. Fernandez, J. M. Kenny, M. A. Lopez-Manchado, R.

Verdejo, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 24705–24712; S. Risse S, B. Kussmaul, H. Krüger, G.

Kofod, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 3958–3962.

[9] F. Carpi, G. Gallone, F. Galantini, D. De Rossi, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2008, 18, 235–241; D.

M. Opris, M. Molberg, C. Walder, Y. S. Ko, B. Fischer, F. A. Nüesch, Adv. Funct. Mater.

2011, *21*, 3531-3539; W. Hu, S. N. Zhang, X. Niu, C. Liu, Q. Pei, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, **2014**, *2*, 1658–1666.

[10] H. Böse, D. Uhl, R. Rabindranath, *Proc SPIE*, 2012, *8340*: 83402E(1–10); S. Risse, B.
Kussmaul, H. Krüger, G. Kofod, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2012, *22*, 3958-3962; B. Kussmaul, S.
Risse, G. Kofod, R. Wache, M. Wegener, D. N. McCarthy, H. Krüger and R. Gerhard, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2011, *21*, 4589–4594; C. Racles, M. Cazacu, B. Fischer, D. M. Opris, *Smart Mater. Struct.* 2013, *22*, 104004; C. Racles, M. Alexandru, A. Bele, V. E. Musteata, M.
Cazacu, D. M. Opris, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, *4*, 37620–37628; F. B. Madsen, L. Yu, A. E.
Daugaard, S. Hvilsted, A. L. Skov, *Polymer*, 2014, in print.

[11] G. P. Miller, A. P. Silverman, E. T. Kool, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2008, 16, 56-64; D. W.

Kang, B. C. Lee, *Polymer*, 2004, 28, 143-148; M. Circu, Y. Ko, F. A. Nüesch, A. G. Christian,D. M. Opris, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2014, 299, 1126-1133.

[12] A. B. Löwe, Polym. Chem. 2010, 1, 17-36.

[13] G. Kovacs, L. Düring, S. Michel, G. Terrasi, *Sensors and Actuators A*, 2009, 155, 299–307.

[14] C. Racles, M. Alexandru, A. Bele, V. E. Musteata, M. Cazacu, D. M. Opris, *RSC Adv.*, **2014**, *4*, 37620-37628.

[15] S. J. Clarson, J. A. Semlyen, 1993, *Siloxane Polymers*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

[16] I. A. Anderson, T. A. Gisby, T. G. McKay, B. M. O'Brien, E. P. Calius, *J. Apl. Phys.***2012**, *112*, 041101;

[17] H. Stoyanov, P. Brochu, X. Niu, C. Lai, S. Yun, Q. Pei, RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 2272-2278;

W. Yuan, H. Li, P. Brochu, X. Niu, Q. Pei, Intern. J. Smart Nano Mater. 2010, 1, 40-52; W.

- Yuan, L. Hu, Z. Yu, T. Lam, J. Biggs, S. M. Ha, D. Xi, B. Chen, M. K. Senesky, G. Grüner,Q. Pei, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, *20*, 621-625.
- [18] S. Michel, B. T. T. Chu, S. Grimm, F. A. Nüesch, A. Borgschulte, D. M. Opris, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 20736-20741.
- [19] S. Hunt, T. G. McKay, I. A. Anderson, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2014, 104, 113701.