

Semi-cotangent bundle and problems of lifts

Furkan YILDIRIM, Arif SALIMOV*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Atatürk University, Erzurum Turkey

Received: 23.06.2013 • Accepted: 03.10.2013 • Published Online: 27.01.2014 • Printed: 24.02.2014

Abstract: Using the fiber bundle M over a manifold B , we define a semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundle t^*B , which has a degenerate symplectic structure. We consider lifting problem of projectable geometric objects on M to the semi-cotangent bundle. Relations between lifted objects and a degenerate symplectic structure are also presented.

Key words: Vector field, complete lift, basic 1-form, semi-cotangent bundle

1. Introduction

Let M_n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ and $\pi_1 : M_n \rightarrow B_m$ the differentiable bundle determined by a submersion π_1 . Suppose that $(x^i) = (x^a, x^\alpha), a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, n - m; \alpha, \beta, \dots = n - m + 1, \dots, n; i, j, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a system of local coordinates adapted to the bundle $\pi_1 : M_n \rightarrow B_m$, where x^α are coordinates in B_m , and x^a are fiber coordinates of the bundle $\pi_1 : M_n \rightarrow B_m$. If $(x^{a'}, x^{\alpha'})$ is another system of local adapted coordinates in the bundle, then we have

$$\begin{cases} x^{a'} = x^{a'}(x^b, x^\beta), \\ x^{\alpha'} = x^{\alpha'}(x^\beta). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The Jacobian of (1.1) has components

$$(A_j^{i'}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_b^{a'} & A_\beta^{a'} \\ 0 & A_\beta^{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $T_x^*(B_m)(x = \pi_1(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} = (x^a, x^\alpha) \in M_n)$ be the cotangent space at a point x of B_m . If p_α are components of $p \in T_x^*(B_m)$ with respect to the natural coframe $\{dx^\alpha\}$, i.e. $p = p_i dx^i$, then by definition the set of all points $(x^I) = (x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}), x^{\bar{\alpha}} = p_\alpha, \bar{\alpha} = \alpha + m, I = 1, \dots, n + m$ is a semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(B_m)$ over the manifold M_n .

The semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(B_m)$ has the natural bundle structure over B_m , its bundle projection $\pi : t^*(B_m) \rightarrow B_m$ being defined by $\pi : (x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) \rightarrow (x^a)$. If we introduce a mapping $\pi_2 : t^*(B_m) \rightarrow M_n$ by $\pi_2 : (x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) \rightarrow (x^a, x^\alpha)$, then $t^*(B_m)$ has a bundle structure over M_n . It is easily verified that $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$.

*Correspondence: asalimov@atauni.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A45, 53C55.

On the other hand, let now $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle and let $f : B' \rightarrow B$ be a differentiable map. It is well known that the pull-back (induced) bundle or Whitney product is defined by the total space (see, for example [2,3,6])

$$f^*E = \{(b', e) \in B' \times E \mid f(b') = \pi(e)\} \subset B' \times E$$

and the projection map $\pi' : f^*E \rightarrow B'$ is given by the projection onto the first factor, i.e.

$$\pi'(b', e) = b'.$$

The generalization of pull-back bundles to higher order cases is known as Pontryagin bundles [4].

From the above definition it follows that the semi-cotangent bundle $(t^*(B_m), \pi_2)$ is a pull-back bundle of the cotangent bundle over B_m by π_1 .

To a transformation (1.1) of local coordinates of M_n , there corresponds on $t^*(B_m)$ the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} x^{a'} = x^{a'}(x^b, x^\beta), \\ x^{\alpha'} = x^{\alpha'}(x^\beta), \\ x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^{\alpha'}} x^{\bar{\beta}}. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The Jacobian of (1.2) is given by

$$\bar{A} = (A_{J'}^I) = \begin{pmatrix} A_b^{a'} & A_\beta^{a'} & 0 \\ 0 & A_\beta^{\alpha'} & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma A_\beta^{\beta'} A_{\beta'\alpha'}^\alpha & A_{\alpha'}^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$A_{\beta'\alpha'}^\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\beta'} \partial x^{\alpha'}}.$$

It is easily verified that the condition $\text{Det } \bar{A} \neq 0$ is equivalent to the non-vanishing of the diagonal matrices:

$$\text{Det}(A_b^{a'}) \neq 0, \quad \text{Det}(A_\beta^{\alpha'}) \neq 0, \quad \text{Det}(A_{\alpha'}^\beta) \neq 0.$$

Also, $\dim t^*(B_m) = n + m$. In the special case $n = m$, $t^*(B_m)$ is a cotangent bundle $T^*(M_n)$ [8, p. 224].

We note that semi-tangent bundles and their properties were studied in [1,5,7]. The main purpose of this paper is to study semi-cotangent bundles and some of their lift problems.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(B_m)$ the module over $F(B_m)$ of all tensor fields of type (p, q) on B_m , where $F(B_m)$ denotes the ring of real-valued C^∞ -functions on B_m .

2. Basic 1-form in the semi-cotangent bundle

Let us consider a 1-form p in $\pi^{-1}(U) \in t^*(B_m)$, $U \subset B_m$, whose components are $(0, p_\alpha, 0)$. Taking account of (1.3), we easily see that $p = \bar{A}p'$, where

$$p = (0, p_\alpha, 0), \quad p' = (0, p_{\alpha'}, 0).$$

We call the 1-form p a basic 1-form on $t^*(B_m)$.

The exterior differential dp of the basic 1-form p is the 2-form given by

$$dp = dp_\alpha \wedge dx^\alpha.$$

Hence, if we write $dp = \omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{AB}dx^A \wedge dx^B$, then we have

$$\omega = (\omega_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta_\beta^\alpha \\ 0 & \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = (a, \alpha, \bar{\alpha})$, $B = (b, \beta, \bar{\beta})$. Since $d\omega = d^2p = 0$, we have:

Theorem 1 *The semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(B_m)$ has a degenerate symplectic structure ω .*

3. Vertical lift of 1-form

If f is a function on B_m , we write ${}^{vv}f$ for the function on $t^*(B_m)$ obtained by forming the composition of $\pi : t^*(B_m) \rightarrow B_m$ and ${}^v f = f \circ \pi_1$, so that

$${}^{vv}f = {}^v f \circ \pi_2 = f \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 = f \circ \pi. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we have

$${}^{vv}f(x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) = f(x^\alpha).$$

Thus, the value ${}^{vv}f$ is constant along each fiber of $\pi : t^*(B_m) \rightarrow B_m$. We call ${}^{vv}f$ the vertical lift of the function f .

Let $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}_0^1(t^*(B_m))$ be a vector field such that $\tilde{X}({}^{vv}f) = 0$ for all functions $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$. Then we say that \tilde{X} is a vertical vector field on $t^*(B_m)$. If $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^a \\ \tilde{X}^\alpha \\ \tilde{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of \tilde{X} with respect to the induced coordinates $(x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$, then for the vertical vector field we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}^a \partial_a {}^{vv}f + \tilde{X}^\alpha \partial_\alpha {}^{vv}f + \tilde{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}f &= 0, \\ \tilde{X}^\alpha \partial_\alpha {}^{vv}f &= 0, \\ \tilde{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the vertical vector field \tilde{X} on $t^*(B_m)$ has components

$$\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}^A) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^a \\ 0 \\ \tilde{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$.

Let ω be a 1-form with local components ω_α on B_m , so that ω is a 1-form with local expression $\omega = \omega_\alpha dx^\alpha$. On putting

$${}^{vv}\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

we have a vector field ${}^{vv}\omega$ on $t^*(B_m)$. In fact, from (1.3) we easily see that $({}^{vv}\omega)' = \bar{A}({}^{vv}\omega)$. The vector field thus introduced is called the vertical lift of the 1-form ω to $t^*(B_m)$. Clearly, we have

$${}^{vv}\omega({}^{vv}f) = 0$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$, so that ${}^{vv}\omega$ is a vertical vector field. In particular, if $\omega = p$, then ${}^{vv}p$ is a Liouville covector field on $t^*(B_m)$.

From (3.2) we have:

Theorem 2 For any 1-forms ω, θ and function f on B_m ,

$$(i) \quad {}^{vv}(\omega + \theta) = {}^{vv}\omega + {}^{vv}\theta,$$

$$(ii) \quad {}^{vv}(f\omega) = {}^{vv}f \, {}^{vv}\omega.$$

For the natural coframe dx^α in each U , from (3.2) we have in $\pi^{-1}(U)$

$${}^{vv}(dx^\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$.

4. γ -Operator

Let X be a vector field on B_m . We define a function γX on $t^*(B_m)$ by

$$\gamma X = p_\beta X^\beta. \tag{4.1}$$

For any $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, if we take account of (1.3), we can prove that $(\gamma F)' = \bar{A}(\gamma F)$ where γF is a vector field defined by

$$\gamma F = (\gamma F^A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\beta F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^a, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$. Then we have

$$(\gamma F)^{vv}(f) = 0$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$, i.e. γF is a vertical vector field on $t^*(B_m)$.

Let $T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(B_m)$. On putting

$$\gamma T = (\gamma T_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_\varepsilon T_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.3}$$

we easily see that $\gamma T_{B'}^{A'} = A_A^{A'} A_{B'}^B \gamma T_B^A$, where $(\overline{A})^{-1} = (A_{B'}^B)$ is the inverse matrix of \overline{A} .

If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$ and $T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(B_m)$, then

$$(\gamma T)^{(vv}\omega) = 0.$$

5. Complete lift of vector fields

We now denote by $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(M_n)$ the module over $F(M_n)$ of all tensor fields of type (p, q) on M_n , where $F(M_n)$ denotes the ring of real-valued C^∞ -functions on M_n .

Let $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ be a projectable vector field [7] with projection $X = X^\alpha(x^\alpha)\partial_\alpha$ i.e. $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^a(x^\alpha, x^\alpha)\partial_a + X^\alpha(x^\alpha)\partial_\alpha$. On putting

$${}^{cc}\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^a \\ X^\alpha \\ -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

we easily see that ${}^{cc}\tilde{X}' = \overline{A}({}^{cc}\tilde{X})$. The vector field ${}^{cc}\tilde{X}$ is called the complete lift of \tilde{X} to the semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(B_m)$.

A vector field X on a semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(B_m)$ with the degenerate symplectic structure $\omega = dp$ is called a Hamiltonian vector field if $\iota_X\omega = dH$ for some C^∞ -function H on $t^*(B_m)$, i.e. if the interior product $\iota_X\omega$ is exact. X is called a symplectic vector field if $L_X\omega = 0$, i.e. if $\iota_X\omega$ is closed. It is well known that, locally, symplectic vector fields are Hamiltonian. Using $L_X = d \circ \iota_X + \iota_X \circ d$ (Cartan's magic formula), we have

$$L_{{}^{cc}X}dp = (d \circ \iota_{{}^{cc}X})dp + (\iota_{{}^{cc}X} \circ d)dp = d_{{}^{cc}X}(\iota(dp)) + \iota_{{}^{cc}X}(d^2p) = d(\iota_{{}^{cc}X}(dp))$$

for complete lift ${}^{cc}X$. From here we see that ${}^{cc}X$ is a Hamiltonian vector field (only locally) if $L_{{}^{cc}X}dp = 0$, i.e.

$${}^{cc}X^A \partial_A \omega_{KL} + (\partial_K({}^{cc}X^A))\omega_{AL} + (\partial_L({}^{cc}X^A))\omega_{KA} = 0.$$

Using (5.1) and coordinates of $\omega = dp$, from the last equation, we have the identity $0 = 0$. Thus, we have:

Theorem 3 *The complete lift ${}^{cc}\tilde{X}$ of projectable vector field \tilde{X} to a semi-cotangent bundle is Hamiltonian with the degenerate symplectic structure $\omega = dp$.*

We have from (5.1)

$${}^{cc}\tilde{X}{}^{vv}f = {}^{vv}(Xf)$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$ and projectable vector field $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$.

We also have from (3.2) and (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}(\tilde{X} + \tilde{Y}) &= {}^{cc}\tilde{X} + {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}, \\ {}^{cc}(f\tilde{X}) &= {}^{vv}f({}^{cc}\tilde{X}) - (\gamma X)^{vv}(df), \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$ and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$.

Theorem 4 Let \tilde{X} and \tilde{Z} be projectable vector fields on M_n with projections X and Z on B_m , respectively. If $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(B_m)$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, then

- (i) ${}^{vv}\omega^{vv}f = 0$,
- (ii) ${}^{vv}\omega(\gamma Z) = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z))$,
- (iii) $(\gamma F)({}^{vv}f) = 0$,
- (iv) $(\gamma F)\gamma Z = \gamma(FZ)$,
- (v) ${}^{cc}\tilde{X}(\gamma Z) = \gamma[X, Z]$,
- (vi) ${}^{cc}\tilde{X}{}^{vv}f = {}^{vv}(Xf)$.

Proof (i) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, then, by (3.1) and (3.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{vv}\omega^{vv}f &= {}^{vv}\omega^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}f) \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}f) + {}^{vv}\omega^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}f) + {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}f) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (i) of Theorem 4.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$ and \tilde{Z} is a projectable vector field on M_n with projection $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$, then we have by (3.2) and (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{vv}\omega(\gamma Z) &= {}^{vv}\omega^I \partial_I (\gamma Z) \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^a \partial_a (p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{vv}\omega^\alpha \partial_\alpha (p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= \omega_\alpha Z^\alpha = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have ${}^{vv}\omega(\gamma Z) = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z))$.

(iii) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, then we have by (3.1) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma F)({}^{vv}f) &= (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}f) \\ &= (\gamma F)^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}f) + (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}f) + (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}f) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (iii) of Theorem 4.

(iv) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, and \tilde{Z} is a projectable vector field on M_n , then we have by (4.1) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma F)\gamma Z &= (\gamma F)^I \partial_I (\gamma Z) \\ &= (\gamma F)^a \partial_a (p_\beta Z^\beta) + (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (p_\beta Z^\beta) + (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= p_\beta F_\alpha^\beta \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= p_\beta F_\alpha^\beta Z^\alpha = p_\beta (FZ)^\beta = \gamma(FZ), \end{aligned}$$

and hence equation (iv) of Theorem 4.

(v) If \tilde{X} and \tilde{Z} are projectable vector fields on M_n , then taking account of (4.1) and (5.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}\tilde{X}(\gamma Z) &= {}^{cc}X^I \partial_I(\gamma Z) \\ &= {}^{cc}X^a \partial_a(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{cc}X^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) - p_\beta (\partial_\alpha X^\beta) Z^\alpha \\ &= p_\beta (X^\alpha \partial_\alpha Z^\beta - Z^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\beta) \\ &= p_\beta [X, Z]^\beta = \gamma[X, Z], \end{aligned}$$

which proves (v) of Theorem 4.

(vi) We shall prove the last equation. If \tilde{X} is a projectable vector field on M_n , then we have by (3.1) and (5.1):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}\tilde{X} {}^{vv}f &= {}^{cc}X^I \partial_I({}^{vv}f) \\ &= {}^{cc}X^a \partial_a({}^{vv}f) + {}^{cc}X^\alpha \partial_\alpha({}^{vv}f) + {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}({}^{vv}f) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha f = {}^{vv}(Xf), \end{aligned}$$

which gives equation (vi) of Theorem 4. □

Theorem 5 Let \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} be projectable vector fields on M_n with projection $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$. For the Lie product, we have

- (i) $[{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta] = 0$,
- (ii) $[{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F] = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F)$,
- (iii) $[\gamma F, \gamma G] = \gamma[F, G]$,
- (iv) $[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega] = {}^{vv}(L_X\omega)$,
- (v) $[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F] = \gamma(L_X F)$,
- (vi) $[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}] = {}^{cc}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$

for any $\omega, \theta \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$ and $F, G \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, where $\omega \circ F$ is a 1-form defined by $(\omega \circ F)(Z) = \omega(FZ)$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$ and L_X is the operator of Lie derivation with respect to X .

Proof (i) If $\omega, \theta \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^b \\ [{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^\beta \\ [{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^J$ with respect to the coordinates

$(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^J &= {}^{vv}\omega^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\theta^J) - {}^{vv}\theta^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega^J) \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}\theta^J) + {}^{vv}\omega^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\theta^J) + {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\theta^J) \\ &\quad - {}^{vv}\theta^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}\omega^J) - {}^{vv}\theta^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega^J) - {}^{vv}\theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega^J) \\ &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\theta^J) - \theta_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega^J). \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, if $J = b$, we have

$$[{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^b = \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\theta^b - \theta_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\omega^b = 0$$

because of (3.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$[{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^\beta = \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\theta^\beta - \theta_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\omega^\beta = 0$$

because of (3.2). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{vv}\omega, {}^{vv}\theta]^{\bar{\beta}} &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\theta^{\bar{\beta}} - \theta_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_\beta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (3.2). Thus, we have (i) of Theorem 5.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^b \\ [{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^\beta \\ [{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^J$ with respect to

the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then we have by (3.2) and (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^J &= {}^{vv}\omega^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^J \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^a \partial_a (\gamma F)^J + {}^{vv}\omega^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\gamma F)^J + {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J \\ &\quad - (\gamma F)^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}\omega)^J - (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega)^J - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^J \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^J \\ &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J - p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^J. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, if $J = b$, we have

$$[{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^b = \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^b - p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\omega^b = 0$$

because of (3.2) and (4.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$[{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^\beta = \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^\beta - p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv}\omega^\beta = 0$$

because of (3.2) and (4.2). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{vv}\omega, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} - p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon - p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_\beta \\ &= \omega_\alpha F_\beta^\alpha = (\omega \circ F)_\beta \end{aligned}$$

by (3.2) and (4.2). On the other hand, the vertical lift ${}^{vv}(\omega \circ F)$ of $(\omega \circ F)$ has components of the form

$${}^{vv}(\omega \circ F) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (\omega \circ F)_\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$. Thus, we have (ii) of Theorem 5.

(iii) If $F, G \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [\gamma F, \gamma G]^b \\ [\gamma F, \gamma G]^\beta \\ [\gamma F, \gamma G]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[\gamma F, \gamma G]^J$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then we have by (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma F, \gamma G]^J &= (\gamma F)^I \partial_I (\gamma G)^J - (\gamma G)^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^J \\ &= (\gamma F)^a \partial_a (\gamma G)^J + (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\gamma G)^J + (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^J \\ &\quad - (\gamma G)^a \partial_a (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma G)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J \\ &= (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^J - (\gamma G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J \\ &= p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^J - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^J. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, if $J = b$, we have

$$[\gamma F, \gamma G]^b = p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^b - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^b = 0$$

because of (4.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$[\gamma F, \gamma G]^\beta = p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^\beta - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^\beta = 0$$

by (4.2). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma F, \gamma G]^{\bar{\beta}} &= p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma G)^{\bar{\beta}} - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon G_\beta^\varepsilon - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon \\ &= p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon G_\beta^\alpha - p_\varepsilon G_\alpha^\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha \\ &= p_\varepsilon (F_\alpha^\varepsilon G_\beta^\alpha - G_\alpha^\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha) \\ &= p_\varepsilon [F, G]_\beta^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

because of (4.2). It is well known that $\gamma[F, G]$ have components

$$\gamma[F, G] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\varepsilon [F, G]_\beta^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$. Thus, we have (iii) of Theorem 5.

(iv) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, \tilde{X} is a projectable vector field on M_n with projection $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$, and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^b \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^J$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then

we have

$$[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^J = ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^J - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^b &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^b - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \tilde{X}^b \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because of (3.2) and (5.1). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \tilde{X}^\beta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (3.2) and (5.1). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{vv}\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &\quad - ({}^{vv}\omega)^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta + \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta + (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \omega_\alpha \\ &= (L_X \omega)_\beta \end{aligned}$$

because of (3.2) and (5.1). On the other hand, the vertical lift ${}^{vv}(L_X \omega)$ of $(L_X \omega)$ has components of the form

$${}^{vv}(L_X \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (L_X \omega)_\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$. Thus, we have (iv) of Theorem 5.

(v) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, \tilde{X} is a projectable vector field on M_n with projection $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$, and $\left(\begin{matrix} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^b \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{matrix} \right)$ are components of $[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^J$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^J = ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^J.$$

For $J = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^b &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^b - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\ &= -(\gamma F)^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because of (4.2) and (5.1). For $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^\beta &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^\beta - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\ &= -(\gamma F)^a \partial_a X^\beta - (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\beta - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^\beta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (4.2) and (5.1). For $J = \bar{\beta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &\quad - (\gamma F)^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \tilde{X}^a \partial_a p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon + X^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon - p_\varepsilon (\partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon) \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon + p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon F_\beta^\varepsilon - p_\varepsilon (\partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon) F_\beta^\alpha + p_\varepsilon F_\alpha^\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \\ &= p_\varepsilon (X^\alpha \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\varepsilon - \partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha + \partial_\beta X^\alpha F_\alpha^\varepsilon) \\ &= p_\varepsilon (L_X F)_\beta^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

because of (4.2) and (5.1). It is well known that $\gamma(L_X F)$ have components

$$\gamma(L_X F) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\varepsilon (L_X F)_\beta^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$. Thus, we have (v) of Theorem 5.

(vi) If \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are projectable vector fields on M_n with projection

$$X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m) \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^b \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ are components of } [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^J \text{ with respect to the coordinates}$$

$(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^J = ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^J - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^b &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^b - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\
 &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^b + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^b + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^b \\
 &\quad - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\
 &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^b - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^b \\
 &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \tilde{Y}^b - Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha \tilde{X}^b \\
 &= \widetilde{[X, Y]}^b
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (5.1). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^\beta &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\beta - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\
 &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\beta + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\beta + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\beta \\
 &\quad - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\
 &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\beta - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\beta \\
 &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha Y^\beta - Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\beta \\
 &= [X, Y]^\beta
 \end{aligned}$$

by (5.1). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [{}^{cc}\tilde{X}, {}^{cc}\tilde{Y}]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\
 &= ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\beta}} \\
 &\quad - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^a \partial_a ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\beta}} \\
 &= -({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^a \partial_a p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon) - ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon) - ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon) \\
 &\quad + ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^a \partial_a p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) + ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) + ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\
 &= -({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon) - ({}^{cc}\tilde{X})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\partial_\beta Y^\alpha) + ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) + ({}^{cc}\tilde{Y})^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \\
 &= -X^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon) + p_\varepsilon \partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon (\partial_\beta Y^\alpha) + Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) - p_\varepsilon \partial_\alpha Y^\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \\
 &= p_\varepsilon (-X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta Y^\varepsilon + \partial_\beta Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon + Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta X^\varepsilon - \partial_\beta X^\alpha \partial_\alpha Y^\varepsilon) \\
 &= -p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta (X^\alpha \partial_\alpha Y^\varepsilon - Y^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon)) \\
 &= -p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta [X, Y]^\varepsilon)
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (5.1). It is well known that ${}^{cc}\widetilde{[X, Y]}$ have components

$${}^{cc}\widetilde{[X, Y]} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{[X, Y]}^b \\ [X, Y]^\beta \\ -p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta [X, Y]^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^b, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(B_m)$. Thus, we have (vi) of Theorem 5. □

Theorem 6 Let \tilde{X} be a projectable vector field on M_n . If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(B_m)$, and $S, T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(B_m)$, then

- (i) $(\gamma S)^{cc} \tilde{X} = \gamma(S_X)$,
- (ii) $(\gamma S)^{(vv}\omega) = 0$,
- (iii) $(\gamma S)(\gamma F) = 0$,
- (iv) $(\gamma S)(\gamma T) = 0$,

where S_X is tensor field of type (1,1) on B_m defined by $S_X(Z) = S(X, Z)$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(B_m)$.

Proof (i) Using (4.3) and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma S)^{cc} \tilde{X} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma S_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^a \\ X^\alpha \\ -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma S_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma X^\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma(S_X)^\sigma_\beta \end{pmatrix} = \gamma(S_X). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\gamma S)^{(vv}\omega) = 0, \quad (\gamma S)(\gamma F) = 0, \quad (\gamma S)(\gamma T) = 0.$$

□

6. Complete lift of affinor fields

Let $\tilde{F} \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$ be a projectable affinor field [7] with projection $F = F_\beta^\alpha(x^\alpha)\partial_\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$, i.e. \tilde{F} has components

$$\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_j^i) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a(x^a, x^\alpha) & \tilde{F}_\beta^a(x^a, x^\alpha) \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha(x^\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates (x^a, x^α) . On putting

$${}^{cc}\tilde{F} = ({}^{cc}\tilde{F}_J^I) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a & \tilde{F}_\beta^a & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.1}$$

we easily see that ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}_{J'}^{I'} = A_I^{I'} A^{J'}_J, {}^{cc}\tilde{F}_J^I$.

We call ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}$ the complete lift of the tensor field \tilde{F} of type (1,1) to $t^*(B_m)$.

Proof For simplicity we take only ${}^{cc}F_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^{cc}F_{\beta'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} &= A_{\alpha'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} ({}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\alpha}) + A_{\alpha'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} ({}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}}) + A_{\alpha'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} A_{\beta'}^{\bar{\beta}} ({}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
 &= p_{\varepsilon} A_{\alpha'}^{\gamma} A_{\gamma'}^{\varepsilon} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} p_{\sigma} (\partial_{\beta} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\sigma}) + A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} (p_{\varepsilon'} A_{\beta'}^{\theta} A_{\theta}^{\varepsilon'}) F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\gamma} A_{\alpha}^{\gamma}) A_{\alpha'}^{\varepsilon} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma} A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} (\partial_{\beta} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma}) - p_{\sigma} A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} + p_{\varepsilon'} A_{\beta'}^{\theta} A_{\theta}^{\varepsilon'} F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\gamma} A_{\alpha}^{\gamma}) A_{\alpha'}^{\varepsilon} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma} A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} \partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - p_{\sigma} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} A_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} - p_{\varepsilon'} (\partial_{\beta} A_{\beta'}^{\theta}) A_{\theta}^{\varepsilon'} F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} (\partial_{\gamma} A_{\alpha}^{\gamma}) F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma} \partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - p_{\sigma} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} - p_{\theta} (\partial_{\beta} A_{\beta'}^{\theta}) F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma} \partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - p_{\sigma} \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} - p_{\alpha'} A_{\theta}^{\theta} A_{\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} A_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\partial_{\beta} A_{\beta'}^{\theta}) F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta}^{\sigma}) - p_{\alpha'} A_{\theta}^{\theta} A_{\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} (\partial_{\beta} A_{\beta'}^{\theta}) F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma'} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha'}^{\sigma'} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta'}^{\sigma'}) + p_{\alpha'} A_{\theta}^{\theta} A_{\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} (\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^{\beta}) F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma'} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha'}^{\sigma'} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta'}^{\sigma'}) + p_{\alpha'} A_{\theta}^{\theta} A_{\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} \\
 &= -p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} + p_{\sigma'} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha'}^{\sigma'} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta'}^{\sigma'}) + p_{\alpha'} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha} \\
 &= p_{\sigma'} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha'}^{\sigma'} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta'}^{\sigma'}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have ${}^{cc}F_{\beta'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} = p_{\sigma'} (\partial_{\beta'} F_{\alpha'}^{\sigma'} - \partial_{\alpha'} F_{\beta'}^{\sigma'})$. Similarly, we can easily find other components of ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}$.

□

Theorem 7 Let \tilde{F}, \tilde{G} , and \tilde{X} be projectable affiner and vector fields on M_n with projections F, G , and X on B_m , respectively. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, then

- (i) ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}(\gamma G) = \gamma(G \circ F)$,
- (ii) ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}{}^{vv}\omega = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F)$,
- (iii) ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}{}^{cc}\tilde{X} = {}^{cc}(\widetilde{FX}) + \gamma(L_X F)$.

Proof (i) If \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} are projectable affiner fields on M_n , then we have by (4.2) and (6.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^{cc}\tilde{F}(\gamma G) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a & \tilde{F}_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{\sigma}(\partial_{\beta} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\sigma}) & F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_{\varepsilon} G_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_{\varepsilon} G_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_{\varepsilon} (G \circ F)_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma(G \circ F).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have ${}^{cc}\tilde{F}(\gamma G) = \gamma(G \circ F)$.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(B_m)$, and \tilde{F} is a projectable affiner field on M_n , then we get by (3.2) and (6.1):

$${}^{cc}\tilde{F}{}^{vv}\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a & \tilde{F}_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{\sigma}(\partial_{\beta} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\sigma}) & F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_\beta F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (\omega \circ F)_\alpha \end{pmatrix} = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F),$$

which gives ${}^{cc}\tilde{F} {}^{vv}\omega = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F)$.

(iii) If \tilde{F} and \tilde{X} are projectable affiner and vector fields on M_n , respectively.

Then we have by (5.1) and (6.1):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}\tilde{F} {}^{cc}\tilde{X} &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a & \tilde{F}_\beta^a & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^b \\ X^\beta \\ -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_b^a \tilde{X}^b + \tilde{F}_\beta^a X^\beta \\ F_\beta^\alpha X^\beta \\ p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) X^\beta - p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{(FX)}^a \\ (FX)^\alpha \\ -p_\sigma \partial_\alpha (FX)^\sigma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma(X^\beta \partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - (\partial_\alpha X^\beta) F_\beta^\sigma - (\partial_\beta X^\sigma) F_\alpha^\beta) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{(FX)}^a \\ (FX)^\alpha \\ -p_\sigma \partial_\alpha (FX)^\sigma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma(L_X F)_\alpha^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = {}^{cc}\widetilde{(FX)} + \gamma(L_X F), \end{aligned}$$

which gives ${}^{cc}\tilde{F} {}^{cc}\tilde{X} = {}^{cc}\widetilde{(FX)} + \gamma(L_X F)$. □

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions. This paper was supported by TÜBİTAK project TBAG-112T111.

References

- [1] Duc TV. Structure presque-transverse. *J Diff Geom* 1979; 14: 215–219.
- [2] Husemöller D. *Fibre Bundles*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1994.
- [3] Lawson HB, Michelsohn ML. *Spin Geometry*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [4] Pontryagin LS. Characteristic classes of differentiable manifolds. *Trans Amer Math Soc* 1962; 7: 279–331.
- [5] Salimov AA, Kadioğlu E. Lifts of derivations to the semitangent bundle. *Turk J Math* 2000; 24: 259–266.
- [6] Steenrod N. *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [7] Vishnevskii VV. Integrable affiner structures and their plural interpretations. *J Math Sci (New York)* 2002; 108: 151–187.
- [8] Yano K, Ishihara S. *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, 1973.