TABLE I. Frequencies of the spectral features of KHF₂ and NaHF₂ at 295 °K between 2000 and 5800 cm⁻¹.

$NaHF_2$		KHF_2	
2220	s		
2580	w		
2700 (20)	ms		
		3005	s
3180	sh	3170	s
3240	m		
~ 3400	sh	3430	m
3730	ms	3662(3)	s
		3695(5)	s
		3780(3)	s
3825	sh	3835(5)	s
		~3910	\mathbf{sh}
~4100	w.sh	4220	m
4305	ms	4330	m
		~4480	sh
		~4840	sh
5080 (20)	w.br	5100	mw
5700	v.w.	5630	mw

^aEstimated accuracy ± 10 cm⁻¹, except as shown in brackets and by N.

of spectra can be made because they did not show their spectrum.

It is clear in Fig. 1 that bands at 3730 and 4305 cm⁻¹ are more prominent in the spectrum of $NaHF_2$ than are

the corresponding bands of KHF₂. Since the frequencies of the fundamentals of the two salts are very similar, so that the assignment of the corresponding overtone and combination bands is undoubtedly the same for both salts, the suggestion of Barton and Thorson that these bands gain intensity by intermolecular anharmonic interactions is not correct. The intensity of these bands appears to arise from intraion interactions and is sufficiently large to suggest that the very intense ν_3 is involved in the transition, so the assignment of Barton and Thorson is likely correct even if their suggestion of the origin of the interaction is not.

Semiclassical quantization of multidimensional systems

Ramakrishna Ramaswamy, P. Siders, and R. A. Marcus

A. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, ol California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(Received 19 June 1980; accepted 5 August 1980)

The semiclassical quantization of nonlinear systems with two or more degrees of freedom has long been of interest. 1,2 Trajectory based methods 1 that were initially developed for nonintegrable (and nonseparable) Hamiltonians with N=2 degrees of freedom have recently been extended to N=3 dimensions, in the quantization of the region of classically regular (quasiperiodic) behavior. Other semiclassical methods, based on one form of classical perturbation theory or the other, have been seen to be complementary to trajectory methods, and have been useful in the computation of semiclassical eigenvalues in two and more dimensions.

In recent investigations on the onset of chaotic behavior in nonintegrable systems, we have suggested that the simple perturbation methods may be useful when the eigenstates are not involved in avoided crossings. ^{4,5} Experience with multidimensional systems in general shows that the eigenvalue spectrum is discrete at low energies but with increasing energy, rapidly

becomes dense—a quasicontinuum. Given the higher density of states in the quasicontinuum, overlapping avoided crossings are the rule. Since the extensive overlapping avoided crossings give rise, we believe, to chaos, 4,5 trajectory and perturbation methods become difficult to apply and inaccurate, respectively. In this latter region, simple phase-space volume quantization is likely to be more than adequate. For the low energy region, the perturbation results are usually accurate due to the lack of avoided crossings.

We illustrate the latter by application to a model three dimensional Hamiltonian, ³

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(p_i^2 + \omega_i^2 q_i^2 \right) + \lambda (q_1 q_2^2 + \eta q_1^3) + \mu (q_2 q_3^2 + \zeta q_2^3)$$

where p_i , q_i , ω_i , i=1, 2, 3 are the momenta, coordinates, and zeroth order angular frequencies, respectively. The perturbation method of choice here is that of the Lie-transform, which, for the case of no internal

¹S. A. Barton and W. R. Thorson, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 4263 (1979).

²G. L. Coté and H. W. Thompson, Proc. R. Soc. (London) Ser. A 210, 206 (1951).

³B. L. McGaw and J. A. Ibers, J. Chem. Phys. **39**, 2677 (1963).

⁴J. A. Ibers, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 402 (1964).

⁵A. Azman and A. Ocvirk, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 23, 1597 (1967).

⁶P. Dawson, M. M. Hargreave, and G. R. Wilkinson, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 31, 1055 (1975).

⁷R. D. Cooke, C. Pastorek, R. E. Carlson, and J. C. Decius, J. Chem. Phys. **69**, 5 (1978).

⁸J. A. A. Ketelaar, Rec. Trav. Chim. 60, 523 (1941).

⁹R. Newman and R. M. Badger, J. Chem. Phys. **19**, 1207 (1951).

TABLE I. Comparison of exact quantum and semiclassical perturbation method results for the lowest eigenvalues ($\hbar = 1$).

n_1	<i>n</i> ₂	n_3	E ₀ a	E_q^{b}	E, c
0	0	0	1.5	1.494	1.493
1	0	0	2.2	2.185	2.185
0	0	1	2.5	2.486	2.485
0	1	0	2.8	2.771	2.772
2	0	0	2.9	2.873	2.873
1	0	1	3.2	3.177	3.177

^aUnperturbed eigenvalues.

resonances, gives the result

$$\begin{split} E_{n_1, n_2, n_3} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} (n_i + \frac{1}{2}) \hbar \omega_i - \frac{15}{4} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2 \, \eta^2}{\omega_1^4} \, \hbar^2 (n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{\mu^2 \, \zeta^2 \, \hbar^2}{\omega_2^4} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right\} \\ &+ \hbar^2 \, \omega_1 \, \omega_2 \, (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}) \, (n_2 + \frac{1}{2}) \left\{ \frac{2\lambda^2}{\omega_1^2 \, \omega_2^2 \, (\omega_1^2 - 4\omega_2^2)} - \frac{3\lambda^2 \eta}{\omega_1^4 \omega_2^2} \right\} \\ &+ \hbar^2 \, \omega_2 \, \omega_3 \, (n_2 + \frac{1}{2}) \, (n_3 + \frac{1}{2}) \left\{ \frac{2 \, \mu^2}{\omega_2^2 \, \omega_2^2 \, (\omega_2^2 - 4\omega_3^2)} - \frac{3\mu^2 \zeta}{\omega_2^4 \, \omega_3^2} \right\} \\ &+ 2 \left\{ \hbar^2 \, \omega_2^2 \, (n_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 \, \frac{\lambda^2 \, (\omega_2^2 - 3\omega_1^2/8)}{\omega_1^2 \, \omega_2^4 \, (\omega_1^2 - 4\omega_2^2)} \right\} \\ &+ \hbar^2 \, \omega_3^2 \, (n_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 \, \frac{\mu^2 \, (\omega_3^2 - 3\omega_2^2/8)}{\omega_2^2 \, \omega_1^4 \, (\omega_2^2 - 4\omega_3^2)} \right\} \end{split}$$

for the eigenvalues, up to terms of order λ^2 , μ^2 , ζ^2 , η^2 . The n_i 's are the quantum numbers for the three degrees of freedom; the details of the semiclassical quantization are straightforward (through reduction to the Birkhoff normal form) and have been given elsewhere. $^{2(c),4}$ For the choice of parameters, $\omega_1=0.7$, $\omega_2=1.3$, $\omega_3=1.0$, $\lambda=\mu=-0.1$, $\xi=\eta=0.1$, the results of the simple second order perturbation theory are presented in Table I, and compared with exact quantum results. [It may be pointed out that since the perturbation expressions can be used to locate⁸ the avoided crossings in such systems, the above method can itself detect its region of validity. On that basis, for the example here one would expect

the expression (1) to hold for all states with energy less than ~ 6 units; above this energy we find that avoided crossings proliferate and the quasicontinuum effectively sets in.] The agreement is seen to be excellent for these lowest few eigenvalues. The semiclassical trajectory quantization also gives eigenvalues which agreed well with the quantum ones. 3

For molecular systems that are close to normal form, and thus are almost separable, the application of the perturbation methods to low orders is straightforward and gives good results. (The perturbation is fairly small in the present example.) For nonintegrable systems that are intrinsically nonseparable as well, as, for example, the split-mass Toda lattice, 9 it is probable that trajectory methods will be more useful.

We are pleased to acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation.

a) Contribution No. 6254.

¹(a) R. A. Marcus, Discuss. Faraday Soc. 55, 34 (1973); (b) References to subsequent work may be found in D. W. Noid, M. L. Koszykowski and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 2864 (1979).

²(a) I. C. Percival and N. Pomphrey, Mol. Phys. 31, 97 (1976); (b) S. Chapman, B. Garett, and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. 64, 502 (1976); (c) J. B. Delos and R. T. Swimm, Chem. Phys. Lett. 47, 76 (1977); also R. T. Swimm and J. B. Delos, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 1706 (1979); (d) G. Schatz and M. D. Moser, Chem. Phys. 35, 239 (1978).

³D. W. Noid, M. L. Koszykowski, and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 391 (1980).

⁴R. Ramaswamy and R. A. Marcus, "The onset of chaotic motion in deterministic systems," J. Chem. Phys. **74**, (to be published) (1981).

⁵See, e.g., R. A. Marcus, in *Nonlinear Dynamics* (Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., N. Y. 1980); also D. W. Noid, M. L. Koszykowski, and R. A. Marcus, Chem. Phys. Lett. **73**, 269 (1980).

⁶D. W. Noid, M. L. Koszykowski, M. Tabor, and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. 72, 6169 (1980).

⁷G. I. Hori, Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn. 18, 287 (1966).

⁸R. Ramaswamy and R. A. Marcus, "Perturbative examination of avoided crossings" J. Chem. Phys. 74, (to be published) (1981).

 $^9\mathrm{G}$. Casati and J. Ford, Phys. Rev. A 12, 1702 (1975).

Analytic representation of thermodynamic data for the Lennard-Jones fluid^{a)}

Francis H. Ree

University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550 (Received 27 June 1980; accepted 29 July 1980)

The large amount of computer simulated data¹⁻³ on particles interacting through the Lennard-Jones (L-J) potential, $\phi(r) = 4\epsilon [(\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^{6}]$, has played an important role in the development and evaluation of successful statistical-mechanical theories of fluids.⁴⁻⁶

This Note presents a simple analytic expression that fits such "experimental" thermodynamic data well over wide ranges of density and temperature and which permits rapid evaluation of thermodynamic quantities in problems that would otherwise be computationally im-

bExact quantum result from Ref. 3.

^cPresent perturbation result.