SEMIGROUPS OF CONSTANT MAPS

BORIS M. SCHEIN

Abstract

In this paper "a map" denotes an arbitrary (everywhere defined, or partial, or even multi-valued) mapping. A map is constant if any two elements belonging to its domain have precisely the same images under this map. We characterize those semigroups which can be isomorphic to semigroups of constant maps or to involuted semigroups of constant maps.

A *map* of a set A into itself is any subset m of $A \times A$ (i.e. any binary relation on A). If $a \in A$, then $m\langle a \rangle = \{ a_1 \in A : (a, a_1) \in m \}$ is the set of all images of a under m. A map is called a *partial transformation* of A whenever $|m\langle a \rangle| \leqslant 1$ for all $a \in A$. The set $\{ a : m\langle a \rangle \neq \varnothing \}$ is the *first projection* (or the *domain*) of the map m; we denote it as $\mathrm{pr}_1 m$. If $\mathrm{pr}_1 m = A$, the map m is called *total*. If m is a map, then $m^{-1} = \{ (a_1, a_2) : (a_2, a_1) \in m \}$ is called the *converse* map. Its domain $\mathrm{pr}_1 m^{-1}$ is also denoted as $\mathrm{pr}_2 m$ and is called the *second projection* (or the *range*) of m. If $\mathrm{pr}_2 m = A$, then m is called *surjective*.

If m_1 and m_2 are maps then $m_2 \circ m_1$ is their *composite* map:

$$(a_1, a_2) \in m_2 \circ m_1 \Leftrightarrow (\exists a)\big[(a_1, a) \in m_1 \text{ and } (a, a_2) \in m_2\big].$$

If B is a subset of A (i.e. $B \subset A$, which does not preclude $B = A$), then $m(B) = \bigcup \{ m\langle a \rangle : a \in B \}$ is the set of all images under m of all elements of B. In particular, $m(A) = \mathrm{pr}_2 m$. It is clear that $m_2 \circ m_1(B) = m_2(m_1(B))$. In particular, $m_2 \circ m_1 \langle a \rangle = m_2(m_1 \langle a \rangle)$.

We are writing maps as left operators. The last equality explains why the product (composition) of two maps, m_1 and m_2, is written from right to left, as $m_2 \circ m_1$ rather than $m_1 \circ m_2$.

The set $\mathscr{B}_A$ of all maps on a set A forms a semigroup under composition $\circ$. If S is a semigroup, a mapping $h: S \to \mathscr{B}_A$ is a homomorphism whenever $h(s_1 s_2) = h(s_2) \circ h(s_1)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$. We call such a mapping a homomorphism (rather than antihomomorphism) because in a product $s_1 s_2$ we consider s_1 as the first factor, while in the product of maps $m_2 \circ m_1$ it is natural to consider m_1 as the first factor.

A map m is called *constant* if $m\langle a_1 \rangle = m\langle a_2 \rangle$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathrm{pr}_1 m$. Clearly, m is a constant partial transformation if and only if $|\mathrm{pr}_2 m| \leqslant 1$, and $|\mathrm{pr}_2 m| = 0$ is possible if $m = \varnothing$, the empty map.

If m_1, m_2 are maps and m_2 is constant, then $m_2 \circ m_1$ and $m_1 \circ m_2$ are constant, i.e. the constant maps form an ideal of $\mathscr{B}_A$.

In this paper we characterize those semigroups which are isomorphic to semigroups of constant maps and give some properties of such semigroups. The main results of this paper were announced (without proofs or with brief outlines of proofs) in [4]. Theorem 5 of this paper was stated in [4] not in its right form. Theorem 3 of this paper (for finite semigroups) was also published in [3].

The following result is both trivial and well-known, so we omit its obvious proof.

THEOREM 1. *A semigroup is isomorphic to a semigroup of constant total transformations of a set if and only if it is a right zero semigroup.*

REMARK. If $h: S \to \mathscr{B}_A$ is defined to be a homomorphism in case $h(s_1 s_2) = h(s_1) \circ h(s_2)$, then right zero semigroups should be replaced by left zero ones in Theorem 1.

The triviality and simplicity of semigroups characterized in Theorem 1 may suggest that semigroups isomorphic to semigroups of constant maps (i.e. semigroups of constant maps considered "up to isomorphism," or "from an abstract standpoint") are rather trivial. As we shall see this is not so (even though semigroups of constant maps do form a very restrictive class of semigroups).

A semigroup S is called a *rectangular 0-band* if S is a completely 0-simple semigroup with a trivial structure group. The Suschkewitsch–Rees Representation Theorem for completely 0-simple semigroups gives, as a by-product, the following alternative definition of rectangular 0-bands.

Let A, B be nonempty sets, let $m \subset B \times A$ be a binary relation between the elements of B and A. Suppose that $\mathrm{pr}_1 m = B$, $\mathrm{pr}_2 m = A$ (here the projections of m have the obvious meaning; e.g. $\mathrm{pr}_1 m = \{b \in B: (\exists a \in A)[(b, a) \in m]\}$). Suppose that 0 is an element not belonging to the Cartesian product $A \times B$. Let $[m]^0$

denote the set $(A \times B)^0 = (A \times B) \cup \{0\}$ with the following binary multiplication:

(1) if $(b_1, a_2) \in m$, then $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1, b_2)$;

(2) all other products equal 0 (in particular, $0x = x0 = 0$ for all $x \in (A \times B)^0$, and $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = 0$ whenever $(b_1, a_2) \notin m$).

PROPOSITION 1. *A semigroup S is a rectangular 0-band if and only if it is isomorphic to a semigroup of the form $[m]^0$.*

Indeed, representing m as a binary Boolean matrix P (i.e. considering B and A as sets of rows and columns of P, respectively, with 1 standing at the intersection of the bth row and ath column if $(b, a) \in m$, 0 standing at the intersection otherwise) we arrive at a regular sandwich matrix over the trivial group **1**. Then $[m]^0$ is isomorphic to the Rees semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0[A, B, \mathbf{1}; P]$ which implies Proposition 1.

An equivalent form of the following Proposition 2 can be found in [2].

PROPOSITION 2. *A semigroup S is a rectangular 0-band if and only if it has a zero, 0, and satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) *for every $x, y \in S$, $xyx = x$ or $xyx = 0$;*

(2) *for every $x, y \in S$, $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, there exists $z \in S$ such that $xzy \neq 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose S is a rectangular 0-band. Without loss of generality, $S = [m]^0$ for suitable $m \subset B \times A$. If x or y is 0, then $xyx = 0$. Let $x = (a_1, b_1)$, $y = (a_2, b_2)$. Then $xyx = x$ if $(b_1, a_2) \in m$, $(b_2, a_1) \in m$. Otherwise $xyx = 0$. Since, by assumption, $\mathrm{pr}_1 m = B$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 m = A$, there exist $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $(b_1, a) \in m$ and $(b, a_2) \in m$. Let $z = (a, b)$. Then $xzy = (a_1, b_2) \neq 0$. Thus conditions (1) and (2) hold for every rectangular 0-band.

Now suppose that S is a semigroup with zero satisfying conditions (1) and (2).

By (2) for every $x \in S$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $xzx = x$, i.e. S is regular. Suppose e, f are idempotents of S, $e = ef = fe$, $f \neq 0$. Then $e = fe = fef$. By (1), fef is f or 0, i.e. $e = 0$ or $e = f$. Thus, every nonzero idempotent of S is primitive. If e and f are nonzero idempotents of S, then $eSf \neq 0$ by (2). Thus S is completely 0-simple (cf. Exercise 2.7.11 of [1]).

If s, t belong to a subgroup of S, then sts belongs to the same subgroup. If $sts = 0$, then $s = t = 0$. If $sts = s$, then $s = t^{-1}$. Since this holds for any two elements of the subgroup, $s = t$. Thus, all subgroups of S are trivial. By Proposition 1 and the Suschkewitsch–Rees Theorem S is a rectangular 0-band.

A *hypergraph* is any triple (A, B, h), where A and B are nonempty sets, a set of *vertices* and a set of *edges*, respectively, while $h \subset A \times B$ is a binary relation

called the *incidence* relation. If $(a, b) \in h$, the vertex a is called *incident* to the edge b. This definition corresponds to definitions of hypergraphs one can find in various sources.

Two hypergraphs (A_1, B_1, h_1) and (A_2, B_2, h_2) are called isomorphic if there exist a bijection α of A_1 onto A_2 and a bijection β of B_1 onto B_2 such that $(a_1, b_1) \in h_1 \Leftrightarrow (\alpha(a_1), \beta(b_1)) \in h_2$ for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $b_1 \in B_1$.

A vertex (edge) which is not incident to any edge (vertex) is called *isolated*.

Suppose (A, B, h) is a hypergraph without isolated vertices and edges. Then $[h]^0$ is a rectangular 0-band with the set $(B \times A) \cup \{0\}$ of elements.

PROPOSITION 3. *Two hypergraphs (A_1, B_1, h_1) and (A_2, B_2, h_2) without isolated vertices and edges are isomorphic if and only if the rectangular 0-bands $[h_1]^0$ and $[h_2]^0$ are isomorphic.*

PROOF. The "only if" part is trivial. To prove the "if" part, suppose f is an isomorphism of $[h_1]$ onto $[h_2]$. Then $f(0) = 0$ and $f(B_1 \times A_1) = B_2 \times A_2$. Two elements $(b_1, a_1), (b_2, a_2) \in [h_1]^0$ are $\mathscr{R}$-related ($\mathscr{L}$-related) if and only if $b_1 = b_2$ ($a_1 = a_2$). Since f, being an isomorphism, preserves the Green relations, $f(b_1, a_1)$ and $f(b_2, a_2)$ are $\mathscr{R}$-related ($\mathscr{L}$-related) in $[h_2]^0$ if and only if (b_1, a_1) and (b_2, a_2) are $\mathscr{R}$-related ($\mathscr{L}$-related) in $[h_1]^0$. Thus, there exist bijections $f_1: B_1 \to B_2$ and $f_2: A_1 \to A_2$ such that $f(b, a) = (f_1(b), f_2(a))$ for all $(b, a) \in [h_1]^0$. Since $(b_1, a_1)(b_2, a_2) \neq 0$ if and only if $(a_1, b_2) \in h_1$, it follows easily that (f_2, f_1) is an isomorphism of (A_1, B_1, h_1) onto (A_2, B_2, h_2).

In fact, the proof of Proposition 3 implies a stronger result: the categories of hypergraphs without isolated vertices and edges and of rectangular 0-semigroups are equivalent (the morphisms in each of the categories are isomorphisms). A generalization of Proposition 3 is proved in [6].

Thus, completely 0-simple semigroups with trivial structure groups characterize hypergraphs without isolated vertices and edges up to isomorphism.

A semigroup S is called *rectangular 0-subband* if S is isomorphically embeddable in a rectangular 0-band.

THEOREM 2. *The following conditions are equivalent for every semigroup S:*
(1) *S is isomorphic to a semigroup of constant partial transformations;*
(2) *S is isomorphic to a semigroup of constant maps;*
(3) *S is a rectangular 0-subband.*

PROOF. Every partial transformation is a map, so the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is trivial.

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Suppose (2) holds. It is easy to see that the semigroup of *all* constant maps of any set A is a rectangular 0-band. Since S is isomorphically embeddable in a semigroup of all constant maps, S must be a rectangular 0-subband.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of a rectangular 0-band T. If T is isomorphic to a semigroup of constant partial transformations, then S is isomorphic to such a semigroup. Thus, without loss of generality, we may suppose that S is a rectangular 0-band. Suppose $S = [m]^0$, where $m \subset B \times A$, $\mathrm{pr}_1\, m = B$, $\mathrm{pr}_2\, m = A$, $A \cap B = \varnothing$. For every $(a, b) \in S$ define $f(a, b) = (m^{-1}\langle a\rangle \cup \{a\}) \times \{b\}$, and define $f(0) = \varnothing$. Clearly, for every $x \in S$, $f(x)$ is a constant partial transformation of the set $A \cup B$. It is obvious that $f(a, b) \neq \varnothing$ and that $f(x) = f(y)$ implies $x = y$. Indeed, if x or y is 0, then $f(x) = f(y) = \varnothing$, so $x = y = 0$. If $x = (a_1, b_1), y = (a_2, b_2)$, then $\{b_1\} = \mathrm{pr}_2\, f(x) = \mathrm{pr}_2\, f(y) = \{b_2\}$, and hence $b_1 = b_2$. Also $\{a_1\} = A \cap \mathrm{pr}_1\, f(x) = A \cap \mathrm{pr}_1\, f(y) = \{a_2\}$, and hence $a_1 = a_2$, so $x = y$. Thus f is one-to-one. If x or y is 0, then $f(xy) = f(y) \circ f(x) = \varnothing$. Let $x = (a_1, b_1)$, $y = (a_2, b_2)$. Then $xy \neq 0$ if and only if $(b_1, a_2) \in m$, i.e. if $b_1 \in m^{-1}\langle a_2\rangle$. On the other hand, $f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $b_1 \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, f(y)$, i.e. $b_1 \in m^{-1}\langle a_2\rangle$. Hence $f(xy) = f(y) \circ f(x)$ if $xy = 0$. Now suppose that $xy \neq 0$. Then $xy = (a_1, b_2)$ and $f(xy) = (m^{-1}\langle a_1\rangle \cup \{a_1\}) \times \{b_2\} = f(y) \circ f(x)$. Thus, f is an isomorphism of S onto a semigroup of constant partial transformations. This completes our proof.

It follows from the Fundamental Theorem on Relation Algebras (see [5]) that the class of all rectangular 0-subbands is a class of abstract relation algebras, and so it can be characterized by a system of elementary axioms. The same conclusion follows from the observation that all rectangular 0-subbands are a class of all subalgebras of an axiomatizable class of algebras (rectangular 0-bands) and application of a result of Tarski [7]. In what follows, we present a system of elementary axioms for rectangular 0-subbands.

Suppose S is a rectangular 0-subband and S has no zero. It follows from Proposition 2 that, for every $x, y \in S$, $xyx = x$. Thus S is a rectangular band. Conversely, if S is a rectangular band, then S^0 is obviously a rectangular 0-band, hence S is a rectangular 0-subband.

Thus, *rectangular 0-subbands without zero are precisely rectangular bands.*

In what follows, we assume that rectangular 0-subbands to be considered always contain zero. While inessential, this assumption simplifies our argument.

We adopt the following notation: if H is a subset of a semigroup S with zero, then H^- denotes $H \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $H^- = H$ whenever $0 \notin H$. If $s \in S$, then $(s)_l$ and $(s)_r$ denote the principal left and right ideals generated by s. We introduce the following binary relations σ_1 and σ_2 on S:

$$\sigma_1 = \{(s, t) \in S \times S : (s_1)^-_r \between (s_2)^-_r\}, \quad \sigma_2 = \{(s, t) \in S \times S : (s_1)^-_l \between (s_2)^-_l\},$$

where $A \between B$ means $A \cap B \neq \varnothing$ for any two sets A and B. Clearly, both σ_1 and σ_2 are symmetric binary relations.

Let Δ_S be the diagonal of $S \times S$, i.e. $\Delta_S = \{(s, t) \in S \times S : s = t\}$. Let π_i denote the transitive closure of $\sigma_i \cup \Delta_S$, $i = 1, 2$. Then π_i is transitive by definition, it is reflexive and symmetric because $\sigma_i \cup \Delta_S$ is. In other words, π_i is an

equivalence relation on S. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \cap \pi_2$. Then π is an equivalence relation on S. If S is a semigroup with zero 0 satisfying the condition $xyz = 0 \Rightarrow (xy = 0$ or $yz = 0)$ for all x, y, $z \in S$, then S is called *categorial at* 0.

A semigroup S is called *quasiprimitive* if $\pi = \Delta_S$.

THEOREM 3. *A semigroup S is a rectangular 0-subband if and only if S is a rectangular band or S is quasiprimitive and categorial at 0.*

PROOF. As explained above, we may assume that S has zero 0.

First suppose that S is a rectangular 0-subband, say, $S \subset [m]^0$ for some $m \subset B \times A$. It is easy to see that $0 \in S$. Suppose that x, $y \in S$ and $(x, y) \in \sigma_1$. This means $(x)_r^- \nmid (y)_r^-$, i.e. there exists u, $v \in S^1$ such that $xu = yv \neq 0$. Now, it follows that none of x, y, u, v is 0. Suppose $x = (a_1, b_1)$, $y = (a, b)$. Then $xu = uv \neq 0$ implies $a_1 = a$. Now suppose $(x, y) \in \pi_1$. If $x \neq y$, then there exist $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in S$ such that $x = s_1, y = s_n$, and $(s_i)_r^- \nmid (s_{i+1})_r^-$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. It follows that $s_i \neq 0$. Let $s_i = (a_i, b_i)$. Then, as we have just seen, $a_i = a_{i+1}$ for all i. In particular, $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = a$. Analogously, if $(x, y) \in \pi_2$ and $x \neq y$, then $b_1 = b$. Thus, if $(x, y) \in \pi$ and $x \neq y$, then $a_1 = a$, $b_1 = b$, i.e. $x = y$ which contradicts our assumption. Thus $\pi = \Delta_S$, i.e. S is quasiprimitive. Next, suppose that $xyz = 0$ for x, y, $z \in S$. If x, y, or z is 0, then $xy = 0$ or $yz = 0$. If $x = (a_1, b_1)$, $y = (a_2, b_2)$, $z = (a_3, b_3)$ then $(b_1, a_2) \notin m$ or $(b_2, a_3) \notin m$ (otherwise $xyz = (a_1, b_3) \neq 0$). In the former case $xy = 0$, in the latter case $yz = 0$. Thus S is categorial at 0.

Conversely, suppose that S is a quasiprimitive semigroup which is categorial at 0. We will prove that S is isomorphic to a semigroup of constant maps.

For each $s \in S$ we define a map $f(s)$ of the set $S^1 \times S^1$ into itself.

Let ν be a binary relation on S^1 defined as follows:

$$\nu = \{(s, t) \in S^1 \times S^1 : st \neq 0\}.$$

Define

$$f(s) = (\pi_1\langle s \rangle \times \nu^{-1}\langle s \rangle) \times (\nu\langle s \rangle \times \pi_2\langle s \rangle).$$

If $s = 0$, then $\nu\langle s \rangle = \varnothing$, and hence $f(0) = \varnothing$. If $s \neq 0$, then $s \in \pi_1\langle s \rangle$, $1 \in \nu\langle s \rangle$, $1 \in \nu^{-1}\langle s \rangle$, and $s \in \pi_2\langle s \rangle$. Thus $((s, 1), (1, s)) \in f(s)$ and $f(s) \neq \varnothing$. Suppose $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ for $s_1, s_2 \in S$. If one of the elements s_1, s_2 is 0, then $f(s_1) = f(s_2) = \varnothing$, and hence $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. Suppose that neither of the elements is 0. Then $f(s_1) \neq \varnothing \neq f(s_2)$. Hence $\pi_1\langle s_1 \rangle = \pi_1\langle s_2 \rangle$ and $\pi_2\langle s_1 \rangle = \pi_2\langle s_2 \rangle$. It follows that $\pi\langle s_1 \rangle = \pi\langle s_2 \rangle$. Since π is an equivalence relation, this means that $(s_1, s_2) \in \pi$. However, S is quasiprimitive. Thus $s_1 = s_2$ which shows that f is one-to-one. It is clear that $f(s)$ is a rectangular binary relation ($=$ a map) for every $s \in S$. It remains to prove that

(1) $f(xy) = f(y) \circ f(x)$ for each $x, y \in S$.

If x or y is 0 then both sides of (1) are $\varnothing$, and (1) holds. Suppose now that $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$. First we prove that if one part of (1) is $\varnothing$, then (1) holds.

Clearly, $f(xy) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $xy \neq 0$, i.e. $(x, y) \in \nu$ or, equivalently, $y \in \nu\langle x \rangle$ and $x \in \nu^{-1}\langle y \rangle$. Now, $f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$ precisely when $\mathrm{pr}_2\, f(x)$ $\between \mathrm{pr}_1\, f(y)$, i.e. when

(2) $\nu\langle x \rangle \between \pi_1\langle y \rangle, \pi_2\langle x \rangle \between \nu^{-1}\langle y \rangle.$

If $xy \neq 0$ then $y \in \nu\langle x \rangle$. Since π_1 is reflexive, $y \in \pi_1\langle y \rangle$. Analogously, x belongs to both $\pi_2\langle x \rangle$ and $\nu^{-1}\langle y \rangle$. Thus (2) holds. In other words, $f(xy) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$. The converse implication will follow from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1. *If $(u, v) \in \pi_1$ and $xu \neq 0$, then $(xu, xv) \in \pi_1$ and $xv \neq 0$. Analogously, if $(u, v) \in \pi_2$ and $ux \neq 0$, then $(ux, vx) \in \pi_2$ and $vx \neq 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $(u, v) \in \pi_1$ and $xu \neq 0$. By the definition of π_1, $(u, v) \in \pi_1$ means that there exist n and $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in S$ such that $u = s_1$, $s_n = v$ and $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1 \cup \Delta_S$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Now, if $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \Delta_S$ for some i, then $s_i = s_{i+1}$ and we can just make the sequence $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ shorter by skipping s_{i+1} and considering the sequence $s_1, \ldots, s_i, s_{i+2}, \ldots, s_n$ instead. Thus we may suppose that $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. This means that $(s_i)_r^- \between (s_{i-1})_r^-$, i.e., $s_i x_i = s_{i+1} y_{i+1} \neq 0$ for some $x_i, y_{i+1} \in S^1$. Multiplying each of these equalities by x on the left, we get $(xs_i)x_i = (xs_{i+1})y_{i+1}$. Now, $xs_1 = xu \neq 0$ by our condition. Also, $s_1 x_1 \neq 0$ because $s_i x_i \neq 0$ for all i. Since S is categorial at 0, we obtain that $0 \neq x(s_1 x_1) = x(s_2 y_2) = (xs_2)y_2$, hence $xs_2 \neq 0$. Thus, $xs_2 \neq 0$ and $s_2 x_2 \neq 0$. Since S is categorial at 0, $(xs_2)x_2 \neq 0$. Therefore $(xs_3)y_3 \neq 0$ which implies $xs_3 \neq 0$. Going on, we obtain $xs_i \neq 0$ for all i. Finally $xs_i \neq 0$ and $s_i x_i \neq 0$ imply $(xs_i)x_i \neq 0$. Then $(xs_i)x_i = (xs_{i+1})y_{i+1} \neq 0$ for all i. This implies $(xs_i, xs_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$ for all i, and therefore $(xs_1, xs_n) \in \pi_1$. In other words, $(xu, xv) \in \pi_1$. Also, $xv = xs_n \neq 0$. The second part of the lemma may be proved analogously.

Now we get back to our proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$. As we have seen, this means that relations (2) hold. Thus, there exist $u, v \in S$ such that $u \in \nu\langle x \rangle \cap \pi_1\langle y \rangle$ and $v \in \pi_2\langle x \rangle \cap \nu^{-1}\langle y \rangle$. In other words, $xu \neq 0$ and $(y, u) \in \pi_1$; also $(x, v) \in \pi_2$ and $vy \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 $(xy, xu) \in \pi_1$ and $(xy, vy) \in \pi_2$ and $xy \neq 0$. Thus, $f(xy) \neq \varnothing$.

Hence $f(xy) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$. Suppose one side of the above equivalence does not hold. Then both sides of (1) equal $\varnothing$, i.e. (1) holds.

It remains to consider the case when $f(xy) \neq \varnothing$ and $f(y) \circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$. Suppose $s \in \nu^{-1}\langle x \rangle$ for some $s \in S^1$. This means $sx \neq 0$. Since $xy \neq 0$ and S is categorial at 0, we get $sxy \neq 0$, whence $s \in \nu^{-1}\langle xy \rangle$. Conversely, if $s \in \nu^{-1}\langle xy \rangle$, then $sxy \neq 0$, $sx \neq 0$, and $s \in \nu^{-1}\langle x \rangle$. Thus $\nu^{-1}\langle x \rangle = \nu^{-1}\langle xy \rangle$. Analogously we may prove that $\nu\langle y \rangle = \nu\langle xy \rangle$. Now, $xy \neq 0$ and $xy \in (x)_r^- \cap (xy)_r^-$, and hence

$(x, xy) \in \sigma_1 \subset \pi_1$. Thus $\pi_1\langle x\rangle = \pi_1\langle xy\rangle$. Analogously we may prove that $\pi_2\langle y\rangle$ $= \pi_2\langle xy\rangle$. Since $f(y)\circ f(x) \neq \varnothing$, we have $f(y)\circ f(x) = (\pi_1\langle x\rangle \times \nu^{-1}\langle x\rangle) \times (\nu\langle y\rangle \times \pi_2\langle y\rangle) = (\pi_1\langle xy\rangle \times \nu^{-1}\langle xy\rangle) \times (\nu\langle xy\rangle \times \pi_2\langle xy\rangle) = f(xy)$. Thus (1) always holds. We have proved that f is an isomorphism of S onto a semigroup of constant maps. By Theorem 2, S is a rectangular 0-subband. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Our next objective is to characterize the class of rectangular 0-subbands by a system of elementary axioms.

THEOREM 4. *A semigroup S is a rectangular 0-subband if and only if it is a rectangular band (i.e. satisfies the identity $xyx = x$) or S contains a zero and satisfies the following axioms*:

(C): $$xyz = 0 \Rightarrow xy = 0 \vee yz = 0,$$

and, for every $n \geqslant 1$,

(Q_n): $$s_0 x_0 = s_1 y_1 \neq 0 \wedge u_0 t_0 = v_1 t_1 \neq 0 \wedge$$
$$s_1 x_1 = s_2 y_2 \neq 0 \wedge u_1 t_1 = v_2 t_2 \neq 0 \wedge$$
$$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots$$
$$s_{n-1} x_{n-1} = s_n y_n \neq 0 \wedge u_{n-1} t_{n-1} = v_n t_n \neq 0 \wedge$$
$$s_0 = t_0 \quad \wedge \quad s_n = t_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_0 = s_n,$$

where $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are the disjunction and conjunction connectives respectively, the variables $x, y, z, s_0, \ldots, s_n, t_0, \ldots, t_n$ take arbitrary values in S, while the variables $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_n, u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ take arbitrary values in S^1.

PROOF. First we express the axioms C and Q_n in an equivalent form. Of course, C means just that S is categorial at 0. Now, $s_i x_i = s_{i+1} y_{i+1} \neq 0$ means that $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$, and $u_i t_i = v_{i+1} t_{i+1} \neq 0$ means that $(t_i, t_{i+1}) \in \sigma_2$. Thus it follows from the antecedent of Q_n that $(s_0, s_n) \in \pi_1$, $(t_0, t_n) \in \pi_2$, $s_0 = t_0$, $s_n = t_n$. We conclude that $(s_0, s_n) \in \pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \pi$. Now, if S is quasiprimitive, then $s_0 = s_n$ and Q_n holds. Therefore, if S is a rectangular 0-subband then, by Theorem 3, S is categorial at 0 and quasiprimitive, and C and Q_n hold.

Now suppose that S is a semigroup with 0 in which C and all Q_n hold. Because of C, S is categorial at 0. To prove that S is quasiprimitive, suppose that $(x, y) \in \pi$ for some $x, y \in S$. We must prove that $x = y$. Now, $(0, s) \in \sigma_1 \cup \Delta_S$ implies $0 = s$. Indeed, $(0, s) \in \sigma_1$ means that $(0)_r^- \natural (s)_r^-$. Since $(0)_r^- = \varnothing$, $(0, s) \in \sigma_1$ is impossible. Thus $(0, s) \in \Delta_S$ and $0 = s$. It follows that $(0, s) \in \pi_1$ implies $0 = s$. Therefore, if x or y is 0, then $x = y = 0$. Suppose that $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$. Then $(x, y) \in \pi_1$ and $(x, y) \in \pi_2$. Now, $(x, y) \in \pi_1$ means that there exist $s_0, \ldots, s_n \in S$ such that $x = s_0$, $s_n = y$, and $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1 \cup \Delta_S$ for every $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Analogously, $(x, y) \in \pi_2$ means that there exist $t_0, \ldots, t_m \in S$ such that $x = t_0$, $t_m = y$, and $(t_i, t_{i+1}) \in \sigma_l \cup \Delta_S$ for every $i = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. Here all the elements

s_i, t_i are different from 0. If $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \Delta_S$, then $s_i = s_{i+1}$ and $s_i \in (s_i)_r^- = (s_{i+1})_r^-$, whence $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, and $(t_i, t_{i+1}) \in \sigma_2$ for $i = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. Also, we may suppose that $m = n$. Indeed, if $m < n$, add $t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_n$ all equal to t_m; if $n < m$, add $s_{n+1}, \ldots, s_m$ all equal to s_n.

Thus, without loss of generality, we may suppose that there exist $s_0, \ldots, s_n$, $t_0, \ldots, t_n \in S$ such that $x = s_0 = t_0, y = s_n = t_n$, and $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1, (t_i, t_{i+1}) \in \sigma_2$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Now, $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in \sigma_1$ means $(s_i)_r^- \wr (s_{i+1})_r^-$, i.e. $s_i x_i = s_{i+1} y_{i+1} \neq 0$, for certain $x_i, y_{i+1} \in S^1$, while $(t_i, t_{i+1}) \in \sigma_2$ means $(t_i)_l^- \wr (t_{i+1})_l^-$, i.e. $u_i t_i = v_{i+1} t_{i+1} \neq 0$ for certain $u_i, v_{i+1} \in S^1$. Thus the antecedent of Q_n holds for our elements $s_i, t_i, x_i, y_i, u_i, v_i$. By Q_n, $s_0 = s_n$, i.e. $x = y$ and S is quasiprimitive. By Theorem 3, S is a rectangular 0-subband. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REMARKS. (1) Syntactically, the axioms C and Q_n are not formulas of the first-order predicate calculus for the theory of semigroups because an extralogical symbol 0 is used in them. Of course, one may consider 0 as a logical symbol for a nullary operator, and instead of semigroups, consider semigroups with 0. However, it is obvious that both C and Q_n are equivalent to formulas in the language of the theory of semigroups. For example, C is obviously equivalent to $xy \neq 0 \wedge yz \neq 0 \Rightarrow xyz \neq 0$.

Now, any formula $w \neq 0$, where w is a term (= a word) in the semigroup language, is obviously equivalent to the formula $(\exists u)[wu \neq w \vee uw \neq w]$, which belongs to the semigroup language.

(2) We have characterized rectangular 0-subbands with and without 0 using different systems of axioms. However, one may consider C and Q_n as axioms for *all* rectangular 0-subbands. If a semigroup S has no zero then $(\forall x)[x \neq 0]$ is obviously true in S (or, if one prefers the semigroup language without 0 symbol, $(\forall x)(\exists y)[xy \neq x \vee yx \neq x]$ is always true). However, for semigroups without 0, the infinite system of axioms produced by Theorem 4 can be substantially simplified, while for semigroups with 0 this is not the case.

It can be proved that the system of axioms given in Theorem 4 is not equivalent to any finite system of elementary axioms. We omit the proof because it is too tedious. In fact, for each $n \geqslant 2$ we can construct a semigroup S_n which satisfies C and Q_i for $i < n$ but which does not satisfy Q_n. The semigroup S_n is finitely presented, and the proof that $Q_i, i < n$, hold in S_n consists of a long and detailed checking of various conditions which may be satisfied by words representing elements of S_n. Thus, Q_n does not follow from $C, Q_1, \ldots, Q_{n-1}$, which shows that the infinite system of axioms $\{C, Q_1, Q_2, \ldots\}$ is not equivalent to any of its finite subsystems. By the Completeness Theorem for the first order predicate calculus it

follows that our system of axioms is not equivalent to any finite system of elementary axioms.

An *involuted semigroup* is an algebra $(S; \cdot, {}^{-1})$, where $\cdot$ is an associative binary operation, $^{-1}$ is a unary operation on the set S, and the following identities hold: $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$, $(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$. If Φ is a nonempty set of maps which is closed both under composition $\circ$ and conversion $^{-1}$ of maps, then $(\Phi; \circ, {}^{-1})$ is an involuted semigroup of maps.

The following result gives an abstract characterization of involuted semigroups which are isomorphic to involuted semigroups of constant maps:

THEOREM 5. *An involuted semigroup S is isomorphic to an involuted semigroup of constant maps if and only if S satisfies the following conditions*:
  (1) $xx^{-1}x = x$;
  (2) $xx^{-1} = yy^{-1}$ *and* $x^{-1}x = y^{-1}y \Rightarrow x = y$;
  (3) $xy \neq 0 \Rightarrow xx^{-1} = xyy^{-1}x^{-1}$,
where $xy \neq 0$ means that xy is not a zero of the semigroup S (we do not assume that S contains a zero).

PROOF. Suppose that S is isomorphic to an involuted semigroup of constant maps. Without loss of generality, we may assume that S is an involuted semigroup of constant maps of a set A. It is clear that $x \circ x^{-1} \circ x = x$ for every $x \in S$. Thus, (1) holds. Suppose that $x, y \in S$ and $x^{-1} \circ x = y^{-1} \circ y$, $x \circ x^{-1} = y \circ y^{-1}$. Since $\mathrm{pr}_1(x^{-1} \circ x) = \mathrm{pr}_1 x$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2(x \circ x^{-1}) = \mathrm{pr}_2 x$, it follows that $\mathrm{pr}_1 x = \mathrm{pr}_1 y$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 x = \mathrm{pr}_2 y$. Since x and y are constant maps, it follows that $x = y$. Indeed, for every $a \in \mathrm{pr}_1 x$, $x\langle a \rangle = \mathrm{pr}_2 x = \mathrm{pr}_2 y = y\langle a \rangle$. Thus, condition (2) holds.

To prove that (3) holds, assume that $y \circ x \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in S$. Then $y \circ x \neq \varnothing$. It follows that $x^{-1} \circ y^{-1} \circ y \circ x \neq \varnothing$. Since x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1} are constant maps, $x^{-1} \circ y^{-1} \circ y \circ x = x^{-1} \circ x$, because $x^{-1} \circ y^{-1} \circ y \circ x = (y \circ x)^{-1} \circ (y \circ x) = \mathrm{pr}_1(y \circ x) \times \mathrm{pr}_2(y \circ x)^{-1} = \mathrm{pr}_1(y \circ x) \times \mathrm{pr}_1(y \circ x) = \mathrm{pr}_1 x \times \mathrm{pr}_1 x = \mathrm{pr}_1 x \times \mathrm{pr}_2 x^{-1} = x^{-1} \circ x$.

To prove sufficiency of conditions (1)–(3) suppose that they hold for an involuted semigroup S. Introduce two binary relations, σ and μ, on S^-:
$$\sigma = \{(s, t) \in S^- \times S^-: ss^{-1} = tt^{-1}\}; \qquad \mu = \{(s, t) \in S^- \times S^-: s^{-1}t \neq 0\}.$$
Clearly, σ is an equivalence relation, while μ is reflexive and symmetric. Indeed, if $s \in S^-$, then $0 \neq s = ss^{-1}s$, hence $s^{-1}s \neq 0$ and $(s, s) \in \mu$. Also if $(s, t) \in \mu$, then $s^{-1}t \neq 0$, hence $t^{-1}s = (s^{-1}t)^{-1} \neq 0$ and $(t, s) \in \mu$.

A *tolerance space* is a pair $(A; \rho)$, where ρ is a tolerance relation (i.e. a reflexive and symmetric binary relation) on a set A. Two tolerance spaces $(A; \rho)$ and $(B; \tau)$ are called *isomorphic* if there exists a bijection $f: A \to B$ such that $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow (f(a_1), f(a_2)) \in \tau$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$.

An *intersection space* is a tolerance space of the form $(A; \rho)$, where A is a set of nonempty subsets of some underlying set, while $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow a_1 \between a_2$, i.e. subsets a_1 and a_2 are ρ-related if and only if they are not disjoint.

LEMMA 2. *Every tolerance space is isomorphic to an intersection space.*

PROOF. Let $(A; \rho)$ be a tolerance space. For each $a \in A$ define a subset $f(a)$ of the set $A \times A$: $f(a) = (\{a\} \times \rho\langle a\rangle) \cup (\rho\langle a\rangle \times \{a\})$. Since ρ is reflexive, $a \in \rho\langle a\rangle$ and $(a, a) \in f(a)$. Thus, $f(a)$ is never empty.

Consider the intersection space on the set $\{f(a): a \in A\}$ of subsets of A. It follows that $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow f(a_1) \between f(a_2)$. Indeed, if $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$, then $a_2 \in \rho\langle a_1\rangle$ and $(a_2, a_1) \in \rho$, hence $a \in \rho\langle a_2\rangle$, and therefore $(a_1, a_2) \in f(a_1) \cap f(a_2)$ and $f(a_1) \between f(a_2)$. Conversely, if $f(a_1) \between f(a_2)$, then $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$. Also, f is one-to-one: for suppose that $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$. Since $(a_1, a_1) \in f(a_1)$, we obtain that $(a_1, a_1) \in f(a_2)$, whence $a_1 = a_2$. Thus, f is the isomorphism of (A, ρ) onto the intersection space we have constructed. Lemma 2 is proved.

LEMMA 3. *If $(s_1, s_2) \in \sigma$ and $(t_1, t_2) \in \sigma$, then $(s_1, t_1) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow (s_2, t_2) \in \mu$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $(s_1, s_2) \in \sigma$ and $(t_1, t_2) \in \sigma$, i.e. $s_1 s_1^{-1} = s_2 s_2^{-1}$ and $t_1 t_1^{-1} = t_2 t_2^{-1}$. If $(s_1, t_1) \in \mu$, then $s_1^{-1} t_1 \neq 0$, hence $0 \neq s_1^{-1} t_1 = (s_1^{-1} t_1)(s_1^{-1} t_1)^{-1}(s_1^{-1} t_1) = s_1^{-1} t_1 t_1^{-1} s_1 s_1^{-1} t_2 = s_1^{-1} t_2 t_2^{-1} s_2 s_2^{-1} t_1$. It follows that $t_2^{-1} s_2 \neq 0$, i.e. $(t_2, s_2) \in \mu$. Therefore, $(s_2, t_2) \in \mu$ because μ is symmetric. Analogously, $(s_2, t_2) \in \mu \Rightarrow (s_1, t_1) \in \mu$. Lemma 3 is proved.

Consider the quotient set S^-/σ of all equivalence classes modulo σ. We define a tolerance space $(S^-/\sigma, \mu/\sigma)$ as follows:
$$(\sigma\langle s_1\rangle, \sigma\langle s_2\rangle) \in \mu/\sigma \Leftrightarrow (s_1, s_2) \in \mu.$$

By Lemma 3, this definition does not depend on the choice of representatives s_1, s_2 in the equivalence classes $\sigma\langle s_1\rangle$ and $\sigma\langle s_2\rangle$.

By Lemma 2, $(S^-/\sigma, \mu/\sigma)$ is isomorphic to an intersection space $(A; \rho)$. Let f be an isomorphism between the two spaces. For every $s \in S^-$ define a binary relation $g(s) = f(\sigma\langle s\rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle s^{-1}\rangle)$, and let $g(0) = \varnothing$ if 0 is a zero of S.

LEMMA 4. *The mapping g is an isomorphism of the involuted semigroup S onto an involuted semigroup of constant maps.*

PROOF. First of all $g(s)$ is a constant map for every $s \in S$. Also, g is one-to-one. Indeed, if $s \in S^-$, then $g(s) \neq \varnothing = g(0)$. If $g(s_1) = g(s_2)$ for s_1, $s_2 \in S^-$, then $f(\sigma\langle s_1\rangle) = f(\sigma\langle s_2\rangle)$ and $f(\sigma\langle s_1^{-1}\rangle) = f(\sigma\langle s_2^{-1}\rangle)$. Since f is one-to-

one, we obtain $\sigma\langle s_1 \rangle = \sigma\langle s_2 \rangle$ and $\sigma\langle s_1^{-1} \rangle = \sigma\langle s_2^{-1} \rangle$, i.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \sigma$ and $(s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}) \in \sigma$. Therefore $s_1 s_1^{-1} = s_2 s_2^{-1}$ and $s_1^{-1} s_1 = s_2^{-1} s_2$. By condition (1) of Theorem 4, $s_1 = s_2$.

Also, $g(s^{-1}) = g(s)^{-1}$. Indeed, if $s = 0$, then $s^{-1} = 0$ and $g(s^{-1}) = g(0) = \varnothing$ $= \varnothing^{-1} = g(0)^{-1}$. If $s \in S^-$, then $g(s^{-1}) = f(\sigma\langle s^{-1} \rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle s \rangle) = g(s)^{-1}$. It remains to prove that

$$(3) \qquad g(s_1 s_2) = g(s_2) \circ g(s_1)$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$.

If $s_1 = 0$ or $s_2 = 0$, then both sides of (3) equal $\varnothing$. Suppose that $s_1, s_2 \in S^-$. We have

$$s_1 s_2 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \left(s_1^{-1}, s_2 \right) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow \left(\sigma\langle s_1^{-1} \rangle, \sigma\langle s_2 \rangle \right) \in \mu/\sigma \Leftrightarrow f\left(\sigma\langle s_1^{-1} \rangle \right) \not, f\left(\sigma\langle s_2 \rangle \right))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_2\, g(s_1) \not, \mathrm{pr}_1\, g(s_2) \Leftrightarrow g(s_2) \circ g(s_1) \neq \varnothing.$$

Thus, if one side of (3) is $\varnothing$, then (3) holds. Let both sides of (3) be nonempty. Then $s_1 s_2 \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{pr}_2\, g(s_1) \not, \mathrm{pr}_1\, g(s_2)$. Applying condition (3) of Theorem 5, we obtain $s_1 s_2 (s_1 s_2)^{-1} = s_1 s_2 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-1} = s_1 s_1^{-1}$, whence $(s_1 s_2, s_1) \in \sigma$. Analogously, $((s_1 s_2)^{-1}, s_2^{-1}) \in \sigma$. Thus $g(s_1 s_2) = f(\sigma\langle s_1 s_2 \rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle (s_1 s_2)^{-1} \rangle) = f(\sigma\langle s_1 \rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle s_2^{-1} \rangle) = (f(\sigma\langle s_2 \rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle s_2^{-1} \rangle)) \circ (f(\sigma\langle s_1 \rangle) \times f(\sigma\langle s_1^{-1} \rangle)) = g(s_2) \circ g(s_1)$. Thus (3) holds, which completes the proof of Lemma 4. Theorem 5 follows from Lemma 4.

References

[1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups, Volume* 1, (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961).

[2] G. Lallement and M. Petrich, 'Décompositions I-matricielles d'un demi-groupe', *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **45** (1966), 67–117.

[3] W. D. Munn, 'Embedding semigroups in congruence-free semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **4** (1972), 46–60.

[4] B. M. Schein, 'Semigroups of rectangular binary relations', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **165** (1965), 1011–1014 [Russian; for an English translation see: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **6** (1965), 1563–1566].

[5] B. M. Schein, 'Relation algebras and function semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **1** (1970), 1–62.

[6] B. M. Schein, 'Bands with isomorphic endomorhpism semigroups', *J. Algebra* (to appear).

[7] A. Tarski, 'Contribution to the theory of models, II,' *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **57** (1954), 582–588.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Arkansas
Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701
U.S.A.