

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Sense of coherence and quality of life in older in-hospital patients without cognitive impairment- a 12 month follow-up study

Anne-Sofie Helvik^{1,2,3,4*}, Knut Engedal^{4,5} and Geir Selbæk^{4,5,6}

Abstract

Background: The relation between sense of coherence (SOC) and quality of life (QoL) among older persons has been found in some, but not all, studies and mostly in studies with cross-sectional design. We wanted to study if SOC was associated with domains of QoL at hospitalization and one year later among persons 65 years and above without cognitive impairment.

Method: At hospitalization (T1) and 12 month follow-up (T2) QoL and cognitive status were assessed using the WHOQOL-BREF and the Mini-Mental State Examination. At baseline, the 13-item version of the SOC scale was used to assess coping, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) was used to assess depressive and anxiety symptoms. Level of functioning was rated using Lawton and Brody's scales for physical self-maintenance and instrumental activities of daily living (personal and instrumental ADL).

Results: In total, 165 (80 men) persons with a mean age of 77.7 (SD 6.9) years were included. The proportion of people rating their overall QoL as high had decreased from T1 to T2. The mean score on QoL- physical domain had increased, while the mean score of QoL-environmental domain had decreased. In adjusted regression analyses at T1, a high level of SOC was positively associated with QoL in three of four domains, i.e. physical, psychological and environmental, but level of SOC assessed at T1 was not associated with any domain of QoL at T2. Personal ADL was associated with some domains of QOL at T1 and T2.

Conclusion: The SOC level was associated with older adult's QoL during hospitalization but not their QoL one year after the hospital stay.

Keywords: Depressive symptoms, Older adults without cognitive impairment, Coping, Mental health, Salutogenetic Theory, Personal ADL

Background

In planning of health care services the assessment of older adult's quality of life (QoL) is important and can provide a clinical outcome measure of health care [1-3]. In line with the definition of QoL by the World Health Organization (WHO), the QoL is subjective and depends on individuals' perception of their position in life [4]. It is a multidimensional concept covering the physical, psychological, social and environmental aspects of life [2,5],

but is something different from symptoms, functional level and other regular health indicators [6].

Health may influence QoL without determining it [2] and physical and psychological health has to some extent been reported to be associated with QoL or domains of QoL in older patients with medical problems [7-17]. For example depression is common in older adults with chronic health problems and it is reported that their QoL decreases with an increasing severity of depression [18]. Consequently, research into how physical and psychological health influence, or are associated with QoL in older adults is increasing. Quite recently, some cross-sectional studies of QoL among a broad sample of older medically hospitalized older adults have been published

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Institutt for samfunns medisin, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Postboks 8905, Trondheim NO-7491, Norway ²Division Tynset, Innlandet Hospital Trust, Tynset, Norway Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: Anne-Sofie.Helvik@ntnu.no

[19,20]. These studies reported that low QoL was associated with depression and anxiety.

How you cope with internal and external stressors in life may have importance for QoL. Sense of coherence (SOC) is a way of seeing the world that facilitates successful coping with stressors [21]. Three core components capture the SOC concept: comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness [21-23]. These components describe to which degree the individual has a feeling that stimuli from internal or external environments are structured, explicable and predictable. Furthermore, they describe whether the individual has resources available to meet the demands from these stimuli and experiences the demands as challenges and worthy of engagement and investment [21]. A systematic review of SOC in 32 papers up to 2003 found that high SOC was related to better QoL [24], but with some exceptions. Three of the reviewed studies were performed among older adults. Since the SOC questionnaire is not easy to fill in [23,25], newer studies have included only older adults without cognitive impairment. In recent years the importance of SOC in relation to QoL have been studied in cross-sectional studies among older adults [26-28], in older adults suffering from somatic difficulties staying at home [29-33] or in older adults being hospitalized [34] and, lastly, among older adults in nursing homes [35]. An association between high SOC and higher levels of overall QoL or domains of QoL assessed in cross-sectional studies were reported in most, but not in all studies [26,27]. More specifically, most crosssectional studies of older people reported that a high SOC was related to high QoL, but in the oldest old (85 years and above) people and in older persons with cancer a high SOC was not related to QoL [26,27].

According to Antonovsky's theory, it is reasonable to expect that older people with a high SOC may experience a high QoL, also over time, even if they might be susceptible to reduced health state, reduced daily living capacity and changes in their life situation over time due to their increasing age. It is suggested that older adults with a high SOC are more likely to take an active role in shaping their own future outcome, i.e. a high SOC has relevance for a high QoL in the future [31]. On a theoretical basis, Antonovsky argues that SOC is relatively stable after the age of 30, but others have found SOC less stable than expected [23,36,37] and to our knowledge relatively few studies have explored how SOC and health related variables at one time point may have importance for QoL or domains of QoL in the future.

Of the large variety of QoL inventories used in research studies involving older individuals [38,39], a commonly used multidimensional QoL inventory in older adults is SF-36 [7,39,40]. A more recent inventory, developed across cultures is the relatively short

multidimensional WHOQOL-BREF (26 items) which is adequate for older adults [41], satisfies our definition of the QoL [3,42], is designed to be used for both seriously ill and repeated assessment [42,43] and appropriate for exploring health indicators such as physical functional status, and coping resources are related to domains of OoL [44,45].

The main purpose was to study, in a prospective study, if SOC was associated with QoL among older persons with somatic health problems. In order to do so we wanted to study QoL assessed by the WHOQOL-BREF at hospitalization (T1) and one year after (T2) in older adults (≥65 years) without cognitive impairment at baseline, and to explore factors associated with physical, psychological, social and environmental domains of QoL at both times with a particular focus on if and how SOC was associated with QoL. We hypothesized that high SOC and good physical and/or psychological health at T1 would be associated with better QoL both at T1 and T2.

Methods

During a two-year period (1 September 2006 - 30 August 2008) older adult patients (≥ 65 years) at a general public hospital in Norway [20,46] were included in this longitudinal study. The hospital serves nine rural municipalities, covering an area of 15,000 km² inland with 25,000 inhabitants, where 4,600 persons are 65 years or older. The main admitting diagnoses to the hospital were cardiovascular and/or pulmonary diseases [20,46].

The participants were followed up one year after inclusion. The same two research nurses (one specialized in geriatrics and one in health science) collected the data at both points in time. Prior to the start of the study, the nurses completed a two-day course on how to conduct the interview, followed by practicing on a number of healthy subjects. The inter-rater reliability between the two nurses was checked at baseline for the 30 first study participants and found acceptable (the Spearman's rho varied from 0.91-0.97).

All the patients aged 65 years or older were invited to participate during their hospital stay just after they had been medically stabilized. The date and time of their inclusion in the study was registered. The patients received written and verbal information about the study, and they subsequently gave their written consent. Initially, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [47] and subsequently the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) [48] were administered to all potential patients to rate the severity of cognitive impairment. Assessment of SOC was conducted in patients with minimal or no cognitive impairment (MMSE > 24). If needed, the patients were given help to read and tick off the self-report questionnaires.

After one year (± 14 days), the patients were followed-up (T2) and the MMSE, CDR and WHOQOL assessments were repeated.

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in South-Eastern Norway and the Norwegian Social Science Data Service.

Participants

All patients 65 years and older, living in the region, admitted to the internal medical inpatients service of the Tynset Division of the Innlandet Hospital Trust with an acute medical condition, and hospitalized for at least 48 hours were potential participants. Of the 802 possible study participants, 318 (40%) were excluded due to: severe cognitive impairment (116 patients) signified by a score of three on the CDR [48,49]; severe communication difficulties mainly caused by profound speech difficulties and severe hearing loss (25 patients); being in a terminal state or having died before inclusion (47 patients); reduced physical functioning that made completion of the protocol impossible (mainly caused by severe cardiovascular, pulmonary or cancer diseases) (106 patients); or, refusal to participate (24 patients) [20,46]. Thus, 484 patients were assessed for inclusion in this study. Among these, 267 patients were excluded due to a score of 24 or lower on the MMSE [47], indicating significant cognitive impairment [50]. Thus, 217 participants were assessed at baseline (T1).

At follow-up (T2), 27 had died, 7 participants had a score of three on the CDR indicating severe cognitive impairment, and 18 declined to participate. In total, 165 (76.0%) patients participated in the follow-up study.

Measures

The quality of life was measured twice (T1 and T2) with WHOQOL-BREF, a second generation QoL questionnaire produced by the World Health Organization (WHO), consisting of 26 items. The items are grouped into four domains; the physical (7 items), the psychological (6 items), the social (3 items) and the environmental (8 items), together with 2 items of a global character, i.e. overall QoL and general health [43,51]. Each item is answered on a 5-point response scale, and the three negatively formulated items have a reversed scale. Thus, higher scores indicate a better QoL. The score on each domain is found by multiplying the calculated mean value of the items belonging to the domain by four giving scores ranging from 4 to 20 [42,43,51]. The two items of global character, overall QoL and general health scores, were dichotomized; i.e. high (score 4 and 5) vs. not (score 1-3) high [52]. The WHOQOL-BREF domains correlate well with WHOQOL-100 and results from such studies may be directly compared [4,43,53]. The questionnaire has been translated into Norwegian and validated [54] and used with various categories of patients, including older adults [52].

Sense of coherence was assessed at T1 with the 13-item version of the sense of coherence questionnaire (SOC) [55]. The five negatively formulated items were reversed and the sum score of the questionnaire ranged from 13 to 91. A higher SOC score indicates better capacity to respond adequately to stressful situations [56]. Previously, the SOC questionnaire has been used among older subjects with minimal, but not significant, cognitive impairment [33-35,57-59]. The questionnaire has been translated into Norwegian and used in several studies in Norway [55,56,60].

Physical health (number of hospitalizations in the previous five years, length of hospital stay, and diagnoses at inclusion in the study) was obtained at T1 from medical records or hospital administrative systems. Details of comorbid diseases were collected at T1 using the Charlson Index [61] and employing Schneeweiss weighting [62]. A higher score indicates more severe comorbidity.

Level of functioning (Activities of Daily Living - ADL) was measured at T1 by the Physical Self-Maintenance Scale (PSMS, score range 6–30) and the instrumental activities of daily living scale (I-ADL, score range 8–31) [63]. In this paper we report the score of the PSMS scale as a "Personal ADL" measure. A higher score on both ADL scales indicates a poorer functioning [63].

Cognitive function was assessed twice (T1 and T2) by means of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), a 30-point questionnaire [47]. A MMSE score higher than 24 indicates minimal or no cognitive impairment [50]. The Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) assessed the severity of dementia and a total score of 3 (range 0–3) indicates severe dementia [48].

Depressive and anxiety symptoms were assessed at T1 using the self-report inventory Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). The HADS has 14 items assessing depressive and anxiety symptoms (seven items each with a score range of 0–21) [64]. High scores indicate more severe symptoms. The cut-off point for having clinically significant depression (HADS-D score) or anxiety (HADS-A score) was set to ≥ 8 in each sub-scale [65]. The HADS has been translated into Norwegian and validated in Norway and used in several studies including some among older adults [46,66].

Socio-demographic information (living alone or not, smoking habits and residence details) was self-reported using questions from the population-based health studies undertaken in Nord-Trøndelag county [67].

Data analysis

Data were analyzed by means of the PASW, version 18.0 (Chicago, Ill, USA). Descriptive analysis of independent samples was performed with the chi-square statistic or

Fisher's Exact Test for categorical variables (depending on the number of cases included). Independent samples t-tests/ANOVA or the nonparametric Mann—Whitney test was applied for continuous variables (depending on whether or not the distribution was normal). A comparison of individuals and the domains of QoL at T1 and T2 were made by means of tests for dependent samples; i.e., dependent sample t-tests, or the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test for continuous variables (depending on whether or not the distribution was normal).

The outcomes, domains of QoL at T1 and T2 were normally distributed and analyzed by univariate and multiple linear regression (the 'Enter' method). Sociodemographic and health-related variables, as well as SOC at T1 were seen as independent variables [6]. In the initial unadjusted models of domains of QoL, the importance of gender, age, living status, Charlson Index, MMSE, personal ADL and I-ADL, HADS-D, HADS-A and SOC at T1, were explored. Furthermore, domains of QoL at T2 were explored using the same independent variables as well as new hospitalization since T1 (yes/no) and additionally adjusted for the score of the actual domain of QoL at T1. The lowest score level was set as the reference level when possible. In the presented adjusted models of QoL at T1 and T2, variables with p-value ≤ 0.1 in the primary linear regression analysis of one of the domains of QoL were included in the adjusted analyses.

The analyses were checked for interactions and p-values ≤ 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Sample characteristics

In all, 80 men and 85 women participated at both the baseline (T1) and follow-up (T2) examination (see Table 1 for characteristics of the participants). At T1 the patients' age range was 65–98 years (mean 77.7, SD 6.9 years).

The 52 persons who did not participate at T2 had significantly poorer personal ADL (mean 8.2, SD 2.3 vs. mean 7.3, SD 1.9; p < 0.05), and had more often nursing assistance in daily life activities at T1 (31.4% vs. 16.4% p < 0.05). However, the age and gender distribution, the prevalence of anxiety and depressive symptoms and the level of QoL of the individual domains were similar among those who completed T2 and those who dropped out prior to T2. The mean follow-up time was 370 (SD 29.3) days.

At T2 compared with T1, a higher proportion of the participants had assisted living (34.5% vs. 27.3%; p < 0.05) and they were more cognitively impaired (MMSE score: mean 25.2, SD 3.1 vs. mean 27.6, SD 1.6; p < 0.01). In all, 68 (41.2%) had an MMSE score \leq 24 at T2. Furthermore, 68 (41.2%) of the participants had been re-hospitalized between T1 and T2.

Table 1 Characteristics of study sample by level of SOC at baseline (n = 165)

		Low SOC		Medium	high SOC	High SOC		Total	
Socio-demographic									
Women	N (%)	24	(42.86)	36	(61.02)	25	(50.00)	85	(51.52)
Age (by year)	Mean (SD)	76.73	(7.25)	78.64	(7.35)	77.76	(6.00)	77.73	(6.93)
Smoking	N (%)	8	(14.29)	5	(8.47)	7	(14.00)	20	(12.12)
Living alone	N (%)	32	(57.14)	32	(54.24)	23	(46.00)	87	(52.73)
Information on physical health									
Previous hospitalisations last 5 years	Mean (SD)	1.96	(2.40)	1.98	(2.40)	1.94	(2.71)	1.96	(2.48)
Actual hospitalisation (days)									
Duration of hospital stay	Mean (SD)	5.41	(3.90)	5.76	(3.92)	5.36	(3.92)	5.52	(3.88)
Duration before inclusion	Mean (SD)	2.75	(1.73)	4.02	(2.78)	4.22	(3.33)	3.65	(2.73)*
Charlson Index	Mean (SD)	1.84	(1.62)	2.27	(2.32)	1.56	(1.46)	1.91	(1.87)
Functional capability									
P-ADL	Mean (SD)	7.25	(1.76)	7.36	(1.63)	7.26	(2.21)	7.29	(1.85)
I-ADL	Mean (SD)	7.46	(2.86)	8.09	(2.45)	8.44	(2.01)	7.98	(2.50)
Anxiety and depression									
Depression (HADS-D score ≥ 8)	N (%)	6	(10.71)	1	(1.69)	2	(4.00)	9	(5.45)
Anxiety (HADS-A score ≥ 8)	N (%)	9	(16.07)	3	(5.08)	1	(2.00)	13	(7.88)

SOC = Sense of Coherence, P-ADL = Personal all day living function, IADL = Instrumental all day living function, IADS-D = Depressive symptoms of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, IADS-D = Anxiety symptoms of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *IADS-D = Depressive symptoms of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

Quality of life

The overall QoL at T1 was high in more than three quarters (136/165, 82.4%) of the participants and the mean domain scores of WHQOL-BREF varied from 12.6 (physical) to 15.3 (environmental) (Table 2). From T1 to T2, the proportion of older adults assessing their overall QoL as high had decreased (82.4% vs. 120/165, 72.7%; p < 0.05). The mean score of the physical domain of QoL had increased from T1 to T2 (mean score 12.6, SD 2.5 vs. mean score 13.4, SD 1.97; p < 0.01), while the mean score of the environmental domain of QoL had decreased (mean score 15.3, SD 1.6 vs. mean score 13.2, SD 1.2; p < 0.01). The mean score of the psychological and social domains of QoL were unchanged (see Table 2).

Factors associated with quality of life at T1 and T2

In the multiple regression models of the QoL domains at T1 independent health-related variables and SOC were adjusted for each other and for socio-demographic factors (see Table 3). In adjusted regression analyses at T1, medium high and high degrees of SOC were positively associated with QoL in three of four domains, i.e. physical, psychological and environmental. In addition, at T1, high personal ADL functioning was associated with increased physical and environmental QoL; low depressive symptom score (HADS-D < 8) was associated with increased physical and psychological QoL; and low anxiety symptom score (HADS-A < 8) was associated with increased psychological QoL.

In analyses of QoL at T2 with adjustment of the same variables as for QoL at T1 and with adjustment for the level of the corresponding domain of QoL at T1, level of SOC at T1 was not associated with any domain of QoL (see Table 3). Personal ADL functioning was the only health-related variable from T1 associated with domains of QoL at T2, i.e. high personal ADL functioning was associated with increased physical and psychological QoL score at T2.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study in Scandinavia that has examined domains of QoL at baseline (T1) and one-year follow-up (T2) in relation to level of SOC and health assessed at T1 among older medically hospitalized adults without cognitive impairment. The older adults with medium high and high SOC at T1 had higher physical, psychological and environmental QoL than those with low SOC at T1, also in analyses adjusted for physical functioning and mental health. However, level of SOC at T1 was not of importance for any domain of QoL one year later (T2). High personal ADL functioning assessed at T1 was the only health variable associated with high physical and psychological QoL at T2.

Comparing domains of OoL at hospitalization and one year after may give more nuanced information than studying the overall OoL. The mean score of the physical OoL domain in the participants was significantly increased from T1 to T2, while the environmental QoL domain was reduced. The mean score of the psychological and social domains of QoL remained relatively unchanged from T1 to T2, which is in line with the results reported in the Norwegian general population of young and older adults [44,54]. However, the mean scores of the physical and environmental QoL at T2 were lower than in Norwegian general populations [44,54]. This finding is difficult to interpret, but, we suggest that the group of older adults included in the present study may be more physically limited and living in a rural area may have consequences for the environmental QoL. The structure of the rural communities may contribute to additional challenges to reach health services, to use transportation and participate in community activities that are important for the environmental domain of QoL.

The QoL domains one year after hospitalization could not be explained by the level of SOC or psychological symptoms assessed at hospitalization. Based on Antonovsky's theory [21,22], it was reasonable to expect that those with a high SOC at hospitalization were more likely to experience a high QoL one year after. However, the SOC does not seem to be as stable as suggested by Antonovsky [23]. The SOC may have been influenced by life events during one year [68,69], which we unfortunately were not able to adjust for. Even so, it is reasonable to expect that the SOC level assessed at

Table 2 Descriptive characteristics of domains of QOL at baseline (T1) and one year after (T2) (N = 165)¹

		QoL at T1								QoL at T2							
	Physical		Psychological Mean (SD)		Social Mean (SD)		Environmental Mean (SD)		Physical Mean (SD)		Psychological Mean (SD)		Social Mean (SD)		Environmental Mean (SD)		
SOC at T1 Mean (SD)		ın <i>(SD)</i>															
Low	11.51	(2.67)	14.56	(1.62)	14.13	(1.79)	14.81	(1.68)	13.00	(2.09)	14.86	(1.69)	14.26	(1.50)	12.93	(1.42)	
Medium high	12.89	(2.17)	15.71	(1.48)	14.68	(1.64)	15.46	(1.76)	13.23	(1.92)	14.90	(1.28)	14.58	(1.26)	13.15	(1.25)	
High	13.49	(2.10)	15.72	(0.99)	14.71	(0.81)	15.67	(1.23)	14.18	(1.68)	15.60	(1.14)	14.72	(0.54)	13.46	(0.92)	
Total	12.61	(2.45)**	15.35	(1.49)**	14.50	(1.51)	15.31	(1.62)*	13.44	(1.97)**	15.09	(1.43)*	14.51	(1.20)	13.17	(1.23)	

QoL = Quality of life, SOC = Sense of Coherence.

^{*} $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$ analyzed with ANOVA by level of SOC.

A higher score indicate higher QoL (possible score range are 4–20).

Table 3 Multiple linear regression analysis of the WHOQOL-BREF domains at T1 and T2

			Domains	of QoL at	T1	Domains of QoL at T2						
	β1	(95% CI)		β2	(95% CI)		β_3	(95% CI)		β4	(95% CI)	
Physical QoL												
SOC Medium high	1.43	0.55	2.31**	1.31	0.47	2.15**	-0.12	-0.82	0.59	-0.04	-0.75	0.67
High	1.20	1.09	2.90**	1.76	0.89	2.62**	0.66	-0.08	1.41	0.65	-0.10	1.40
P-ADL > 6				-1.60	-2.30	-0.89**				-0.76	-1.38	-0.14*
HADS-D ≥ 8				-1.80	-3.34	-0.26*				1.07	-0.21	2.36
HADS-A ≥ 8				0.02	-1.29	1.34				-0.71	-1.79	0.37
Adjusted R ²		10.0			21.8			13.2			13.2	
Psychological QoL												
SOC Medium high	1.16	0.63	1.70**	0.92	0.39	1.45**	-0.29	-0.83	0.25	-0.32	-0.85	0.,22
High	1.16	0.61	1.72**	0.91	0.37	1.46**	0.37	-0.20	0.93	0.30	-0.26	0.85
P-ADL > 6				-0.16	-0.60	0.28				-0.51	-0.95	-0.08*
HADS-D ≥ 8				-1.33	-2.29	-0.37**				-0.50	-1.46	0.46
HADS-A ≥ 8				-0.99	-1.84	-0.15*				-0.53	-1.36	0.31
Adjusted R ²		11.4			21.8			11.3			14.7	
Social QoL												
SOC Medium high	0.52	-0.05	1.09	0.46	-0.12	1.04	0.20	-0.25	0.64	0.18	-0.17	0.76
High	0.57	-0.02	1.15	0.49	-0.11	1.08	0.34	-0.12	0.80	0.30	-0.74	0.72
P-ADL > 6				-0.32	-0.80	0.17				-0.32	-0.70	0.07
HADS-D ≥ 8				-0.64	-1.70	0.43				-0.30	-0.70	0.07
HADS-A ≥ 8				-0.03	-0.97	0.91				-0.01	-1.13	0.54
Adjusted R ²		1.3 N.s. model			1.6 N.s. model			4.9			5.2	
Environmental QoL												
SOC Medium high	0.87	0.30	1.57	0.77	0.23	1.30**	-0.04	-0.37	0.30	-0.05	-0.39	0.29
High	0.96	0.37	1.55	0.73	0.18	1.27**	0.13	-0.22	0.48	0.10	-0.25	0.45
P-ADL > 6				-1.29	-1.73	-0.84**				-0.25	-0.56	0.06
HADS-D ≥ 8		12.1		-0.57	-1.55	0.41				-0.38	-0.10	0.24
HADS-A ≥ 8				-0.66	-1.52	0.20				-0.23	-0.78	0.31
Adjusted R ²		12.1			28.4			50.7			51.4	

T1 = baseline, T2 = one-year after, QoL = Quality of life, SOC = Sense of Coherence, P-ADL = personal all day living function, HADS-D = Depressive symptoms of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HADS-A = Anxiety symptoms of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Independent variables were assessed at T1.

hospitalization would be of less importance one year later since having a high age and being vulnerable to further health deterioration may be linked to several negative life events in the year before follow-up. The SOC level assessed at hospitalization may have changed after hospitalization [36].

Health may influence QOL [2]. In line with previous cross-sectional studies among older persons we found depressive symptoms and personal ADL in older persons with medical problems associated with lower QoL [9,12,17-20].

In line with our study, a six month follow-up study of women with myocardial infarction reported personal ADL important for the physical and psychological domains of QOL at follow-up [33]. However, of the variables describing the health situation at hospitalization only the personal ADL influenced the QoL one year after discharge from the hospital, which is in line with a review finding the health variables to have less importance for QoL over time in older persons compared to middle-aged persons [7].

Adjusted for age and gender.

₂Adjusted for age and gender and the other variables included.

 $_3$ Adjusted for age, gender and QoL of the actual domain at T1.

⁴Adjusted for age, gender, QoL of the actual domain at T1and the other variables included.

Adjusted R^2 = explained adjusted variance.

N.s. Not significant.

^{*} $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$.

The study has some limitations. Firstly, the number of participants was restricted due to the presumption of adequate cognitive function (MMSE > 24) at baseline in order to assess SOC. This may be a high threshold since there does not seem to be an exact limit for acceptable cognitive function in the use of SOC questionnaires [56,60]. To increase the number of participants we could have used persons well known to the patients as proxy informants. This approach might have led to new methodological problems; e.g. previous research has reported low correlation between QoL rated by the patient themselves and a proxy person [70]. The MMSE is a screening tool to assess cognitive function, not a diagnostic tool, thus, even if we used the recommended cut-off used for Norwegian samples [50], we cannot eliminate the risk of having included participants with minimal cognitive impairment or even dementia [71-73].

Secondly, at T2 several of the participants (41.2%) had reduced cognitive functioning (MMSE ≤ 24). This indicates that the studied sample was a vulnerable group of older adults. The criteria set for assessing SOC was not fulfilled in nearly half of the participants at T2. Including the SOC at T2, which is interesting since stability of SOC is questioned in older persons, would have reduced the sample size dramatically and reduced the ability to answer the research question. In addition, one may question whether these participants with reduced cognitive function at follow-up could give valid responses to the HADS and the WHOOOL-BREF. The HADS has been recommended for screening of depressive symptoms in medically hospitalized patients [64,74,75]. Furthermore, in a newly published study including a sample of patients with reduced cognitive function, comparable to our sample with regard to cognitive impairment, the validity of the HADS-D was reported to be good [76]. The sensitivity and specificity of the HADS-D with a cut-off 7/8 was 0.92 and 0.87, respectively [76]. In addition, the QOL-inventory has good psychometric properties in older adults with mild and moderate dementia [77].

Thirdly, SOC was assessed with the 13-item version of the questionnaire. We would argue that this should not be a problem since studies confirm that the 13-item version can be substituted for the original 29-item version [21,22,78]. Furthermore, categorizing the SOC variable at baseline using the 33.3 and 66.6 percentile to define the boundaries for levels of SOC may be questioned. Antonovsky talks in general terms about high and low SOC but he never defined cut-offs for low, medium high or high scores for SOC [21,22]. Even so, the categorization done in the present study is in line with the categorization of the SOC variable frequently performed by others [55,56].

Conclusion

SOC was associated with QoL at hospitalization, but not at 12-month follow-up. Thus, in hospital practice, the SOC level seems less suitable for predicting future QoL in older adults. The most probable explanation is that SOC is not as stable as first assumed in the Salutogenetic Theory. The personal ADL at hospitalization may influence the QoL up to one year after discharge from the hospital.

Relevance for clinical practice

Since the patents' personal ADL at hospitalization was independently associated with QoL not only at hospitalization, but also up to one year after discharge, the quality of the nursing care given during hospitalization to strengthen the personal ADL of the older patient may have vital importance for them. Thus, nurses need to focus on improvement of Personal ADL in older persons with somatic health difficulties in order to increase their QoL.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

ASH was responsible for developing the research idea, the data collection and performing the statistical analysis. KE and GS have participated in the design and the analysis, and all authors participated in the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The research was funded by collaboration between the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services, and Innlandet Hospital Trust. The authors would like to thank the Medical department at Divisjon Tynset, Inlandet Hospital Tryst, Randi Helene Skancke and surrounding municipalities whose collaboration made the research possible and Elizabeth E. Peacock for a helpful review of the English text.

Author details

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Institutt for samfunns medisin, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Postboks 8905, Trondheim NO-7491, Norway. ²Division Tynset, Innlandet Hospital Trust, Tynset, Norway. ³St Olav's University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway. ⁴National Advisory Unit on Ageing and Health, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway. ⁵Centre for Old Age Psychiatric Research, Innlandet Hospital Trust, Ottestad, Norway. ⁶Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway.

Received: 7 January 2014 Accepted: 12 March 2014 Published: 19 March 2014

References

- Mitchell RA, Imperial E, Zhuo D, Lu Y, Watts G, Kelleher P, Brunker P, Gass G, Cue R, Cross J: A cross-cultural assessment of perceived health problems in the elderly. *Disabil Rehabil* 1992, 14:133–135.
- Bowling A: Measuring disease: a review of disease specific quality of life measurement scales. Buckingham: Open University Press; 2001.
- Wahl AK, Hanestad BR: Måling av livskvalitet i klinisk praksis. En innføring. Bergen: Fagbokforlaget Vigmostad & Bjørke AS; 2004.
- WHOQOL Group: The World Health Organization Quality of Life Assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Soc Sci Med 1995, 41:1403–1409.
- Bowling A: The concept of quality of life in relation to health. Med Secoli 1995, 7:633–645.

- Wilson IB, Cleary PD: Linking clinical variables with health-related quality of life. A conceptual model of patient outcomes. JAMA 1995, 273:59–65.
- Hickey A, Barker M, McGee H, O'Boyle C: Measuring health-related quality of life in older patient populations: a review of current approaches. Pharmacoeconomics 2005, 23:971–993.
- Sibbritt DW, Byles JE, Regan C: Factors associated with decline in physical functional health in a cohort of older women. Age Ageing 2007, 36:382–388.
- Singh JA, Borowsky SJ, Nugent S, Murdoch M, Zhao Y, Nelson DB, Petzel R, Nichol KL: Health-related quality of life, functional impairment, and healthcare utilization by veterans: veterans' quality of life study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005, 53:108–113.
- Wu AW, Yasui Y, Alzola C, Galanos AN, Tsevat J, Phillips RS, Connors AF Jr, Teno JM, Wenger NS, Lynn J: Predicting functional status outcomes in hospitalized patients aged 80 years and older. J Am Geriatr Soc 2000, 48:S6–S15.
- Yohannes AM, Roomi J, Baldwin RC, Connolly MJ: Depression in elderly outpatients with disabling chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Age Ageing 1998, 27:155–160.
- Borowiak E, Kostka T: Predictors of quality of life in older people living at home and in institutions. Aging Clin Exp Res 2004, 16:212–220.
- Galvez R, Marsal C, Vidal J, Ruiz M, Rejas J: Cross-sectional evaluation of patient functioning and health-related quality of life in patients with neuropathic pain under standard care conditions. Eur J Pain 2007, 11:244–255.
- Rennemark M, Lindwall M, Halling A, Berglund J: Relationships between physical activity and perceived qualities of life in old age. Results of the SNAC study. Aging Ment Health 2009, 13:1–8.
- Missotten P, Squelard G, Ylieff M, Di Notte D, Paquay L, De Lepeleire J, Fontaine O: Quality of life in older Belgian people: comparison between people with dementia, mild cognitive impairment, and controls. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2008, 23:1103–1109.
- Lapid MI, Rummans TA, Boeve BF, McCormick JK, Pankratz VS, Cha RH, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Petersen RC: What is the quality of life in the oldest old? Int Psychogeriatr 2011, 23:1–8.
- Naumann VJ, Byrne GJ: WHOQOL-BREF as a measure of quality of life in older patients with depression. Int Psychogeriatr 2004, 16:159–173.
- Unsar S, Sut N: Depression and health status in elderly hospitalized patients with chronic illness. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2010, 50:6–10.
- Helvik A-S, Engedal K, Krokstad S, Selbaek G: A comparison of life satisfaction in elderly medical inpatients and the elderly in a population-based study: Nord-Trondelag Health Study 3. Scand J Public Health 2011, 39:337–344.
- Helvik A-S, Engedal K, Selbaek G: The quality of life and factors associated with it in the medically hospitalized elderly. Aging Ment Health 2010, 14:861–869
- 21. Antonovsky A: Unraveling the Mystery of Health. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1987.
- 22. Antonovsky A: Health, Stress and Coping. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1979.
- 23. Antonovsky A: The sense of coherence. An historical and future perspective. *Isr J Med Sci* 1996, **32**:170–178.
- Eriksson M, Lindstrom B: Antonovsky's sense of coherence scale and its relation with quality of life: a systematic review. J Epidemiol Community Health 2007, 61:938–944.
- Naaldenberg J, Tobi H, van den Esker F, Vaandrager L: Psychometric properties of the OLQ-13 scale to measure sense of Coherence in a community-dwelling older population. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2011, 9:37.
- 26. Thome B, Hallberg IR: Quality of life in older people with cancer a gender perspective. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2004, 13:454–463.
- Nygren B, Alex L, Jonsen E, Gustafson Y, Norberg A, Lundman B: Resilience, sense of coherence, purpose in life and self-transcendence in relation to perceived physical and mental health among the oldest old. Aging Ment Health 2005, 9:354–362.
- Matsuzaki I, Sagara T, Ohshita Y, Nagase H, Ogino K, Eboshida A, Sasahara S, Nakamura H: Psychological factors including sense of coherence and some lifestyles are related to general health questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12) in elderly workers in Japan. Environ Health Prev Med 2007, 12:71–77.
- Schneider G, Driesch G, Kruse A, Nehen HG, Heuft G: Old and ill and still feeling well? Determinants of subjective well-being in > or = 60 year olds: the role of the sense of coherence. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2006, 14:850–859.
- Kattainen E, Merilainen P, Sintonen H: Sense of coherence and healthrelated quality of life among patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting or angioplasty. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2006, 5:21–30.
- 31. Fok SK, Chair SY, Lopez V: Sense of coherence, coping and quality of life following a critical illness. *J Adv Nurs* 2005, **49**:173–181.

- 32. Siassi M, Weiss M, Hohenberger W, Losel F, Matzel K: Personality rather than clinical variables determines quality of life after major colorectal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2009, **52**:662–668.
- Norekval TM, Fridlund B, Moons P, Nordrehaug JE, Saevareid HI, Wentzel-Larsen T, Hanestad BR: Sense of coherence-a determinant of quality of life over time in older female acute myocardial infarction survivors. J Clin Nurs 2010. 19:820–831.
- Ekman I, Fagerberg B, Lundman B: Health-related quality of life and sense
 of coherence among elderly patients with severe chronic heart failure in
 comparison with healthy controls. Heart Lung 2002, 31:94–101.
- Drageset J, Eide GE, Nygaard HA, Bondevik M, Nortvedt MW, Natvig GK: The impact of social support and sense of coherence on health-related quality of life among nursing home residents—a questionnaire survey in Bergen, Norway. Int J Nurs Stud 2009, 46:65–75.
- Bergman E, Malm D, Bertero C, Karlsson JE: Does one's sense of coherence change after an acute myocardial infarction? A two-year longitudinal study in Sweden. Nurs Health Sci 2011, 13:156–163.
- Nilsson KW, Leppert J, Simonsson B, Starrin B: Sense of coherence and psychological well-being: improvement with age. J Epidemiol Community Health 2010, 64:347–352.
- 38. Bowling A: Just one question:if one question works, why ask several? J Epidemiol Community Health 2005, 59:345–346.
- Alesii A, Mazzarella F, Mastrilli E, Fini M: The elderly and quality of life: current theories and measurements. G Ital Med Lav Ergon 2006, 28:99–103.
- Bowling A: Ageing well, Quality of Life in Old age. Maidenhead Berkshire: Open University Press; 2009.
- Lucas-Carrasco R, Laidlaw K, Power MJ: Suitability of the WHOQOL-BREF and WHOQOL-OLD for Spanish older adults. Aging Ment Health 2011, 15:505—604
- Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA: The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. Qual Life Res 2004, 13:299–310.
- 43. WHOQOL-Group: Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL Group. *Psychol Med* 1998, **28**:551–558.
- Dragomirecka E, Bartonova J, Eisemann M, Kalfoss M, Kilian R, Martiny K, von Steinbuchel N, Schmidt S: Demographic and psychosocial correlates of quality of life in the elderly from a cross-cultural perspective. Clin Psychol Psychother 2008, 15:193–204.
- 45. Hyphantis T, Paika V, Almyroudi A, Kampletsas EO, Pavlidis N: Personality variables as predictors of early non-metastatic colorectal cancer patients' psychological distress and health-related quality of life: a one-year prospective study. *J Psychosom Res* 2011, **70**:411–421.
- Helvik A-S, Skancke RH, Selbaek G: Screening for depression in elderly medical inpatients from rural area of Norway: prevalence and associated factors. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2010, 25:150–159.
- 47. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975, **12**:189–198.
- 48. Morris JC: The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 1993, **43**:2412–2414.
- Hughes CP, Berg L, Danziger WL, Coben LA, Martin RL: A new clinical scale for the staging of dementia. Br J Psychiatry 1982, 140:566–572.
- Engedal K, Haugen P, Gilje K, Laake P: Efficacy of short mental tests in the detection of mental impairment in old age. Compr Gerontol A 1988, 2:87–03
- WHOQOL-Group: The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): Development of general Psychometric properties. Soc Sci Med 1998, 46:1569–1585.
- Haltbakk J: Quality of life in men with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) suggestive of benign prstatic hyperplasia (BPH). Bergen: University of Bergen, Department of Public Health and Primary Health Care, Faculty of Medicine; 2005
- Nørholm V, Bech P: The WHO quality of life (WHOQOL) questionnaire: Danish validation study. Nord J Psychiatry 2001, 55:229–235.
- Hanestad BR, Rustoen T, Knudsen O Jr, Lerdal A, Wahl AK: Psychometric properties of the WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire for the Norwegian general population. J Nurs Meas 2004, 12:147–159.
- Antonovsky A: The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. Soc Sci Med 1993, 36:725–733.

- Eriksson M, Lindstrom B: Antonovsky's sense of coherence scale and the relation with health: a systematic review. J Epidemiol Community Health 2006, 60:376–381.
- Soderhamn O, Holmgren L: Testing Antonovsky's sense of coherence (SOC) scale among Swedish physically active older people. Scand J Psychol 2004, 45:215–221.
- Soderhamn O, Soderhamn U: Sense of coherence and health among home-dwelling older people. Br J Community Nurs 2010, 15:376–380.
- Schneider G, Driesch G, Kruse A, Wachter M, Nehen HG, Heuft G: What influences self-perception of health in the elderly? The role of objective health condition, subjective well-being and sense of coherence. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2004, 39:227–237.
- Eriksson M, Lindstrom B: Validity of Antonovsky's sense of coherence scale: a systematic review. J Epidemiol Community Health 2005, 59:460–466.
- Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, Saunders LD, Beck CA, Feasby TE, Ghali WA: Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care* 2005. 43:1130–1139.
- Schneeweiss S, Wang PS, Avorn J, Glynn RJ: Improved comorbidity adjustment for predicting mortality in Medicare populations. Health Serv Res 2003, 38:1103–1120.
- 63. Lawton MP, Brody EM: Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 1969, 9:179–186.
- 64. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP: The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983, 67:361–370.
- Snaith RP, Zigmond AS: The hospital anxiety and depression scale manual. Windsor: NFER-Nelson; 1994.
- Helvik A-S, Engedal K, Skancke RH, Selbaek G: A psychometric evaluation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale for the medically hospitalized elderly. Nord J Psychiatry 2011, 65:338–344.
- 67. The health Study in Nord-Trøndelag (HUNT). http://www.ntnu.edu/hunt. Cited 2014. 17th Mars.
- Wolff AC, Ratner PA: Stress, social support, and sense of coherence. West J Nurs Res 1999. 21:182–197.
- Volanen SM, Suominen S, Lahelma E, Koskenvuo M, Silventoinen K: Sense of coherence and its determinants: a comparative study of the Finnishspeaking majority and the Swedish-speaking minority in Finland. Scand J Public Health 2006, 34:515–525.
- Scocco P, Fantoni G, Caon F: Role of depressive and cognitive status in self-reported evaluation of quality of life in older people: comparing proxy and physician perspectives. Age Ageing 2006, 35:166–171.
- Alladi S, Amold R, Mitchell J, Nestor PJ, Hodges JR: Mild cognitive impairment: applicability of research criteria in a memory clinic and characterization of cognitive profile. Psychol Med 2006, 36:507–515.
- 72. Heun R, Papassotiropoulos A, Jennssen F: The validity of psychometric instruments for detection of dementia in the elderly general population. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1998, **13**:368–380.
- McDowell I, Kristjansson B, Hill GB, Hebert R: Community screening for dementia: the Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) and Modified Mini-Mental State Exam (3MS) compared. J Clin Epidemiol 1997, 50:377–383
- Herrmann C: International experiences with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale–a review of validation data and clinical results. J Psychosom Res 1997, 42:17–41.
- Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D: The validity of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002, 52:69–77.
- De Souza J, Jones LA, Rickards H: Validation of self-report depression rating scales in Huntington's disease. Mov Disord 2010, 25:91–96.
- Lucas-Carrasco R, Skevington SM, Gomez-Benito J, Rejas J, March J: Using the WHOQOL-BREF in persons with dementia: a validation study. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2011, 25:345–351.
- Callahan LF, Pincus T: The sense of coherence scale in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 1995, 8:28–35.

doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-82

Cite this article as: Helvik et al.: Sense of coherence and quality of life in older in-hospital patients without cognitive impairment- a 12 month follow-up study. BMC Psychiatry 2014 14:82.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

