# Sequence of the *ebgR* Gene of *Escherichia coli*: Evidence that the EBG and LAC Operons Are Descended from a Common Ancestor<sup>1</sup>

Harold W. Stokes,<sup>2</sup> and Barry G. Hall

Molecular and Cell Biology Department, The University of Connecticut

The sequence of ebgR, the gene that encodes the EBG repressor, was determined. There is 44% DNA sequence identity between ebgR and *lacI*, the gene that encodes the LAC repressor. There is also 25% identity between the amino acid sequence of *lacI* and the deduced amino acid sequence of ebgR. The sequence of 596 bp distal to ebgA, the structural gene for EBG  $\beta$ -galactosidase, was also determined. Within that region there were two sequences, 74 and 100 bp long, that showed 46% and 50% identity, respectively, to sequences in the first 600 bp of *lacY*, the structural gene for the lactose permease. The organization and direction of transcription of the repressor and structural genes of the two operons are identical. Taken together with the homology between ebgA and *lacZ* (as demonstrated in the companion article in this issue), this provides strong evidence that the EBG and LAC operons are descended from a common ancestor. The map position of these two operons supports the notion that these operons diverged following a genome duplication event in an ancestor of *Escherichia coli*.

## Introduction

The EBG (evolved  $\beta$ -galactosidase) system of *Escherichia coli* has been used extensively as a model for the study of acquisitive evolution (Hall 1982*a*). This operation consists of a repressor encoded by *ebgR*, a  $\beta$ -galactosidase encoded by *ebgA*, and a 79-kd protein of unknown function encoded by *ebgB* (Hall and Hartl 1975; Hall and Zuzel 1980). The wild-type repressor is insensitive to lactose as an inducer (Hall 1978), and the wild-type *ebgA* gene product is a very poor  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Hall 1981); thus, the wild-type operon does not permit growth on lactose. Mutations in *ebgR* and *ebgA* enhance the activity of the operon to the point where it can functionally replace the LAC operon and permit rapid growth on lactose as a sole carbon and energy source (Hall 1982*b*).

Several observations have suggested the possibility that the two operons arose  $\overline{g}$  ia gene duplication and divergence. These observations include the virtually identical molecular weights of the polypeptides of the two  $\beta$ -galactosidases (Hall 1976), the similarity of the catalytic mechanisms of the two  $\beta$ -galactosidases (Burton and Simott 1983), and the overall organization of the two operons (Hall and Zuzel 1980). On the other hand, (1) *ebgA*  $\beta$ -galactosidase consists of six subunits, whereas *lacZ*  $\beta$ -galactosidase consists of four subunits; (2) there is no detectable immunological cross-

1. Key words: ebgR gene, EBG and LAC operons, genome duplication event.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Barry G. Hall, Molecular and Cell Biology Department, U-44, The University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06268.

2. Current address: School of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, N.S.W. 2113, Australia.

August 2022

reaction between the two proteins (Campbell et al. 1973; Arraj and Campbell 1975); and (3) the two repressors fail to recognize each other's operators. To resolve this issue we have sequenced ebgR and also ebgA (Stokes et al. 1985, in this issue).

## **Material and Methods**

# **Bacterial Strains and Plasmids**

Bacterial strains were MG1063, SJ0R (Stokes and Hall 1984), and JM101 (Sanger et al. 1980). Plasmid pUF25 is pBR322 with the 9.6-kb EBG *Sal*I fragment from 5A1032 and encodes the allele  $ebgR105^{+L}$  (Hall 1978).

## Location of ebgR

Plasmids containing the transposon gamma-delta were constructed according to the method described by Sancar and Rupp (1979). The donor strain was MG1063 harboring pUF25 and the recipient was SJ0R. The constitutive phenotype was identified by the enhanced production of pigment on MacConkey indicator plates. Constitutive synthesis by Ebg enzyme was subsequently confirmed by whole-cell assays of O-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -galactoside hydrolysis (Hall 1980). The position of *ebgR* was then determined by restriction mapping the position of gamma-delta in plasmids producing a constitutive phenotype. Isolation and manipulation of plasmid DNA and nucleic acid sequencing was accomplished according to the method described in Stokes et al. (1985, in this issue).

## Results

Locating ebgR within the Cloned Segment in Plasmid pUF2

The *ebgR* coding region was determined by insertional inactivation via transposition of gamma-delta into the plasmid pUF25 as described in Material and Methods. This region comprises a 0.9-kb fragment immediately adjacent to *ebgA* and corresponds to coordinates 7.5–8.4 on the pUF2 restriction map (Stokes and Hall 1984).

Determination of the ebgR Gene Sequence

To sequence the *ebgR* gene, we isolated the 2.6-kb *Bam-Ava* fragment of  $pUF2^{ab}_{ab}$  (coordinates 5.7–8.3 on the restriction map [Stokes and Hall 1984]). Restriction fragments of this piece were subcloned into M13 sequencing vectors. Figure 1 shows an subset of those sequenced fragments that define the contiguous *ebgR* sequence. To complete the 5' end of the *ebgR* gene, clones 538 (a *SacI–SalI* fragment) and 576 (an



FIG. 1.—Restriction map and sequencing strategy for ebgR. The limits of ebgR are defined by the boxed region. Letters refer to restriction sites: A = AvaI, C = ClaI, H = HincII, and S = SacI.

- ATS SCA ACA CTA AMA GAC ATC GCA ATC GMA GCT GGC GTA TCC CTG GCG ACA GTA ebgR 54 --- --- Met Ala Thr Leu Lys Asp Ile Ala Ile Glu Ala Gly Val Ser Leu Ala Thr Val GTG AAA CCA <u>GTA AC</u>G T<u>TA TAC GA</u>T G<u>TC GCA</u> GAG T<u>AT GCC GGT GTC</u> T<u>C</u>T TAT CA<u>G</u> <u>ACC GT</u>T Met Lys Pro Val Thr Leu Tyr Asp Val Ala Glu Tyr Ala Gly Val Ser Tyr Gln Thr Val 60 lacl
  - TCC AGG GTC TTA AAT GAC GAT CCG ACA TTG AAT GTG AAA GAA GAG ACG AAA CAT CGC ATT 114 Ser Arg Val Leu Asn Asp Asp Pro Thr Leu Asn Val Lys Glu Glu Thr Lys His Arg Ile TCC CGC GTG 6TG AAC CAG GCC AGC CAC GTT TCI GCG AAA ACG CGG GAA AAA GTG GAA GCG 120 Ser Arg Val Val Asn Gin Ala Ser His Val Ser Ala Lys Thr Arg Glu Lys Val Glu Ala
  - CTC GAG ATC GCC GAA AAG CTG GAG TAC AAG ACC AGT AGT GCC CGT AAA CTC CAG ACA GGT 174 Leu Glu Ile Ala Glu Lys Leu Glu Tyr Lys Thr Ser Ser Ala Arg Lys Leu Gln Thr Gly GCG ATG GCG GAG CTG AAT TAC ATT CCC AAC CGC GTG GCA CAA CAA CTG GCG GGC AAA 177 Ala Met Ala Glu Leu Asn Tyr Ile Pro Asn --- Arg Val Ala Gln Gin Leu Ala Gly Lys
  - 23₽ SCA GTC AAC CAA CAC CAT ATT CTG GCT ATC TAC AGC TAC CAG CAG GAG CTG GAG ATC AAC Ala Val Asn Gln His His Ile Leu Ala Ile Tyr Ser Tyr Gln Gln Glu Leu Glu Ile Asn 231ed CAG TCG TTG CTG ATT GGC GTT GCC ACC TCC AGT CTG GCC CTG CAC GCG CCG TCG CAA ATT Gin Ser Leu Leu Ile Giy Val Ala Thr Ser Ser Leu Ala Leu His Ala Pro Ser Gin Ile

tro 29 n

278

336 oup . 36£

396

ē

N

//aca 338

- GAT CCT TAC TAT CTG GCG ATC CGC CAC GGC ATT GAA ACC CAG TGC GAA AAG CTG GGC Asp Pro Tyr Tyr Leu Ala Ile Arg His Gly Ile Glu Thr Gln Cys Glu Lys Leu Gly ---GTC GCG GCG ATT AGA TOT DOC GOD GAT CAA CTG GOT GCC Val Ala --- --- --- --- --- Ala Ile Lys Ser Arg Ala Asp Gln Leu Gly Ala
- ATC GAG CTC ACC AAC TGT TAT GAA CAC AGC GGC TTA CCA GAC ATT AAA Ile Glu Leu Thr Asn Cys Tyr Glu His Ser Gly Leu Pro Asp Ile Lys --- --- ---AG<u>C GTG</u> GTG GTG TCG ATG GTA <u>GAA</u> CGA <u>AGC GGC</u> GTC GAA <u>GCC</u> TGT <u>AAA</u> GCG GCG GTG CAC Ser Val Val Val Ser Met Val **Glu** Arg **Ser Gly** Val Glu Ala Cys Lys Ala Ala Val His

AAC GTC ACC GGT ATT TTA ATT --- --- --- ---- Asn Val Thr Gly Ile Leu Ile --- --- --- --- --- --- ---AAT CTT CTC GCG CAA CG<u>C GTC A</u>GT <u>GG</u>G C<u>T</u>G A<u>T</u>C <u>ATT</u> AAC TAT CCG CTG GAT GAC CAG GAT</u> Asn Leu Leu Ala Gin Arg Val Ser Gly Leu Ile Ile Asn Tyr Pro Leu Asp Asp Gin Asp

STC GGC AAA CCC ACG CCC GCC CTG CGC GCC GCT GCC AGC GCG --- --- --- --- --- Val Gly Lys Pro Thr Pro Ala Leu Arg Ala Ala Ala Ser Ala GCC ATT GCT GTG GAA GCT GCC TGC ACT AAT GTT CCG GCG TIA TTT CTT GAI GTC TCT GAC Ala Ile Ala Val Giu Ala Ala Cys Thr Asn Val Pro Ala Leu Phe Leu Asp Val Ser Asp

/articla/2/6/478/984783 44 513 TTO ACC GAC AAT ATC TOT TTT ATC GAC TTT CAC GAA CCC GGC AGC GGT TAC GAT GCG GTG Leu Thr Asp Asn Ile Cys Phe Ile Asp Phe His Glu Pro Gly Ser Gly Tyr Asp Ala Val CAG ACA CCC ATC AAC AGT ATT ATT TTC TCC CAT GAA GAC GGT ACG CGA CTG GGC GTG GAG GIN Thr Pro Ile Asn Ser lle Ile Phe Ser His Glu Asp Gly Thr Arg Leu Gly Val Glu 51 by guest GAT ATC GAT CTG BCA CGC ATC AGT AAA GAA ATC ATC GAC TTC TAT ATC AAC CAG GGC GTT Asp Ile Asp Leu Ala Arg Ile Ser Lys Glu Ile Ile Asp Phe Tyr Ile Asn Gln Gly Val CAT CIG GIC GCA TIG GGI CAC CAG CAA 543<sup>0</sup>

His --- --- --- --- --- --- --- Leu Val Ala Leu Gly His Gln Gln --- ---

FIG. 2.—Sequence of ebgR and deduced amino acid sequence. The sequence of ebgR is shown above and aligned with the sequence of lacl. Dashed lines indicate gaps introduced in order to align the sequences. Bases underlined in the lacI sequence are identical to the aligned base in the ebgR sequence, and lacI amigo acids that are boldfaced are identical to aligned *ebgR* amino acids.

AvaI-Sal fragment) were directionally cloned into the appropriate M13 vector. A number of other clones (not shown in fig. 1) were sequenced so that the majority of the sequence was confirmed by sequencing of both strands.

Figure 2 shows the DNA sequence of *ebgR* and the deduced amino acid sequence. This sequence is the only open reading frame in this region in either orientation that is longer than 200 bp. The DNA and amino acid sequences of *lac1* (Farabough 1978) are shown below the ebgR sequences. The two sequences were aligned by eye with the aid of the Cornell DNA Sequencing Program (Fristensky, Lis, and Wu 1982),

- BCC TTT GCG GAA TAT GGC CGA CTG AAA CAA GTG GTA CGC GAA GAG GAT ATC TGG CGC GGC
   642

   Ala Phe Ala Glu Tyr Gly Arg Leu Lys Gln Val Val Arg Glu Glu Asp Ile Trp Arg Gly
   600 [GG CAT AAA TAT CTC ACT CGC AAT CAA ATT CAG CCG ATA GCC GAA
   600 [GG CAT AAA GGC
   642

   GGC IGG CAT AAA TAT CTC ACT CGC AAA GAG CGG CGA ATG GGC GAA
   GGC GGA ATG GGC GAA GGC
   643

   GIG TGG CAT AAA TAT CTC ACT CGC AAA GAG CGA CGG GAA
   GGA GGC GAA GGC GAA GGC GAA GGC GAA GGC GAA
   644

   Gly Trp His Lys Tyr Leu Thr Arg Asn Gln Ile Gln Pro Ile Ala Glu --- Arg Glu Gly
   610
   700 [GU Cly
   610
- GET TIT TCC AGT TCG TCG GGT TAT GAA CTG GCA AAA CAA ATG CTG GCG CGG GAA GAC TAT 702 Giy Phe Ser Ser Ser Ser Giy Tyr Giu Leu Aia Lys Gin Met Leu Aia Arg Giu Asp Tyr GAC IGG AGT GCC ATG ICC GGT ITT CAA CAC ATG CAG GTG CAT GAG GGC ATC GTT 714 Asp Trp Ser Aia Met Ser Giy Phe Gin Gin Thr Met Gin Met Leu Asn Giu Giy ile Val
- GAA
   CGA
   GGC
   CTG
   CAC
   CAC
   GAT
   ATC
   CCC
   ACC
   B22
   B22
   B21
   B22
   B22</th
- CAGE GEC GTT AAC CTG GTG TAT GAA
   AAA GCC CGC GAT GGT CGC GCG CTG CCG CTG

   Gin Giy Val Asn Leu Val Tyr Giu --- Lys Ala Arg Asp Giy Giy Arg Ala Leu Pro Leu

   ACC AGC GTG GGC CTG CCG CTG CCG CTG CCG CTG CCG CTG

   Thr Ser Val Asp Arg Leu Leu Gin Leu Ser Gin Giy Gin Ala Val Lys Giy Asn Gin Leu
- TTA GTC TTC GTT CCC AGC AAA TTA AAA CTG CGC GGC ACG ACC CGT TAA
   984

   Leu Val Phe Val Pro Ser Lys Leu Lys Leu Arg Gly Thr Thr Arg
   984

   TTG CCC GTC TCA CTG GTG AAA AGA AGA AGA ACC ACC CTG GCG CCC AAT ACG CAA ACC GCC TCT
   1014

   Leu Pro Val Ser Leu Val Lys Arg Lys Thr Thr Leu Ala Pro Asn Thr Gln Thr Ala Ser
   1014
- CCC CGC GCG TTG GCC GAT TCA TTA ATG CAG CTG GCA CGA CAG GTT TCC CGA CTG GAA AGC Pro Arg Ala Leu Ala Asp Ser Leu Met Gin Leu Ala Arg Gin Val Ser Arg Leu Giu Ser

GGG CAG TGA Gly Gln

FIG. 2 (Continued)

which was used to locate regions of significant identity. For the alignment shown, excluding the "gap" regions, there is 44% DNA sequence identity over 915 bp and 25% amino acid identity over 305 amino acids. Because the sequences were aligned by eye rather than by an algorithm that seeks an "optimal" alignment, the percentage of identities is a minimal estimate.

#### Sequence Distal to ebgA

We have also determined the sequence of a 596-bp segment beginning at the first base after the stop codon of *ebgA* (see fig. 3). BP 178-251 of that segment are 46% identical with bp 244-317 of the *lacY* gene, and bp 497-596 are 50% identical with bp 491-590 of the *lacY* gene (Buchel et al. 1980).

936

954

1074



FIG. 3.—Organization of the EBG operon. The figure is to scale. The lengths of ebgR, ebgA, and the sequence between them were established by DNA sequencing. The precise start of ebgB is unknown; however, the length of the gene is inferred from the known molecular weight of the polypeptide (Hall and Zuzel 1980). In addition it is known that ebgB is distal to, and cotranscribed with, ebgA (Hall and Zuzel 1980). Arrows indicate direction of transcription as determined from DNA sequencing.

### Discussion

The most notable aspect of the *ebgR* DNA sequence is its striking similarity with the sequence of *lacI* (Farabough 1978). Overall, and on a segment-by-segment comparison, the amino acid identity (25%) is considerably less than the nucleotide identity (44%). The single exception to this rule occurs in the region of bp 10–69, where the nucleotide identity of 55% is only slightly above average but the amino acid identity is 65%, about 2.5 times the average amino acid identity. This suggests that this region of the protein is under stronger selection than is the remainder. This region precisely corresponds to the region of *lacI* that is responsible for DNA binding by the lac repressor (Miller et al. 1979). DNA binding regions are strongly conserved among a large number of regulatory proteins (Kelley and Yanofsky 1985). We therefore infer that this region is the operator binding region of the EBG repressor.

The sequence similarity between ebgR and lacI leads us to conclude that the two genes are homologous, that is, descended from a common ancestral gene. Furthermore, the similarity between the 5' end of lacY and the region immediately distal to ebgAleads to the conclusion that the entire operon is homologous to the lac operon. This conclusion is supported by the sequence similarity of ebgA and lacZ (Stokes et al. 1985, in this issue) and by the observation that the two operons are organized and transcribed in the same way (fig. 3).

We have long been intrigued by Riley's hypothesis that the genome of *Eschericma* coli evolved by at least one, and perhaps two, genome duplications (Riley and Anilionis 1978). The proposed genome duplications would generate a circularly permuted chromosome with duplicated genes lying opposite one another. The EBG operon is located at 66 min on the *E. coli* map (Bachmann 1983), whereas the LAC operon is at 8 mm, which is roughly, but not exactly, opposite EBG. However, the EBG operon is transcribed in a clockwise direction on the map, whereas the LAC operon is transcribed counterclockwise, indicating than an inversion of one operon with respect to the other must have occurred. Such an inversion could well account for the displacement of the two operons from the expected 180° positions. We consider these observations as being supportive of the Riley hypothesis and suggest that the two operons may have arisen via genome, rather than simple gene, duplication.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Carol Crowther for assistance with sequence interpretation and for preparation of figures and to Susan Hall for assistance in preparation of the manuscript. We are particularly grateful to J. Lis for the gift of the Cornell DNA sequencing program. We are also grateful to W. Fitch for suggesting an improved "eyeball" alignment of the ebgR and *lacI* sequences. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant AI 14766. B.G.H. is the recipient of Research Career Development Award 1 KO4 AI00366 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious diseases.

LITERATURE CITED

- ARRAJ, J. A., and J. A. CAMPBELL. 1975. Isolation and characterization of the newly evolved ebg β-galactosidase of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **124**:849–856.
- BACHMANN, B. J. 1983. Linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K12, edition 7. Microbiol. Rev. 47: 180–230.
- BUCHEL, D. E., B. GRONENBORN, and B. MULLER-HILL. 1980. Sequence of the lactose permease gene. Nature 283:541-545.
- BURTON, J., and M. L. SINNOTT. 1983. Catalytic consequences of experimental evolution.d. Catalysis by the wild type second β-galactosidase (ebg°) of *Escherichia coli*: a comparison with the *lacZ* enzyme. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2:359–364.
- CAMPBELL, J. A., J. LENGYEL, and J. LANGRIDGE. 1973. Evolution of a second gene for palactosidase in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70:1841–1845.

FARABOUGH, P. G. 1978. Sequence of the *lacl* gene. Nature 274:765–769.

- FRISTENSKY, B., J. LIS, and R. WU. 1982. Cornell DNA sequence analysis program. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:6451–6463.
- HALL, B. G. 1976. Experimental evolution of a new enzymatic function: kinetic analysis of the ancestral (ebg°) and evolved (ebg<sup>+</sup>) enzymes. J. Mol. Biol. 107:71–84.
  - -------. 1978. Regulation of newly evolved enzymes. IV. Directed evolution of the ebg represser. Genetics **90:**673–691.
- ------. 1980. On the evolution of new metabolic functions in diploid organisms. Genetics **95**: 1007–1017.
- ------. 1981. Changes in the substrate specificities of an enzyme during directed evolution of new functions. Biochemistry 20:4042-4049.
  - ------. 1982*a*. Evolution on a petri dish: a model system for the study of acquisitive evolution. Evol. Biol. **15**:85-150.
- ——. 1982b. Evolution of a regulated operon in the laboratory. Genetics 101:335–344.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$
- HALL, B. G., and D. L. HARTL. 1975. Regulation of newly evolved enzymes. II. The ebg repressor. Genetics 81:427-435.
- HALL, B. G., and T. ZUZEL. 1980. The ebg operon consists of at least two genes. J. Bacterial.
- KELLEY, R. L., and C. YANOFSKY. 1985. Mutational studies with the trp repressor of *Escherichia coli* support the helix-turn-helix model of repressor recognition of operator DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:483-487.
- MILLER, J. H., C. COULONDRE, M. HOFER, U. SCHMEISSNER, H. SOMMER, and A. SCHMITZ. 1979. Genetic studies of the Lac repressor. IX. Generation of altered proteins by the supression of nonsense mutations. J. Mol. Biol. 131:191–222.
- RILEY, M., and A. ANILIONIS. 1978. Evolution of the bacterial genome. Annu. Rev. Microbial. 32:519–560.
- SANCAR, A., and D. RUPP. 1979. Cloning of *uvrA*, *lexC*, and *ssb* genes of *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **90**:123–129.
- SANGER, F., A. R. COULSON, B. G. BARRELL, A. J. H. SMITH, and B. A. ROE. 1980. Cloning in single-stranded bacteriophage as an aid to rapid DNA sequencing. J. Mol. Biol. 143:161– 178.
- STOKES, H. W., and B. G. HALL. 1984. Topological repression of gene activity by a transposable element. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **81**:6115–6119.
- STOKES, H. W., P. W. BETTS, and B. G. HALL. 1985. Sequence of the *ebgA* gene of *Escherichia* coli: comparison with the *lacZ* gene. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2:469-477. (in this issue).

WALTER M. FITCH, reviewing editor

Received May 13, 1985; revision received June 27, 1985.