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# SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCES ON $M V$-ALGEBRAS 

Ján Jakubík, Košice

(Received March 22, 1994)

The notion of an $M V$-algebra was introduced by Chang [2]. Various systems of axioms and various notation for $M V$-algebras have been applied; we shall use those from [4]; cf. also [13].

We investigate sequential convergences on $M V$-algebras. The definition is analogous to that studied for lattice ordered groups (cf. [6], [8]), Boolean algebras [9], [11] or lattices [12].

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra and let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. We denote by $\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ and $\operatorname{Conv} G$ the set of all sequential convergences on $\mathscr{A}$ or on $G$, respectively. Next, let Conv ${ }^{\text {b }} G$ be the set of all bounded sequential convergences on $G$; this notion has been dealt with in [10]. All the sets Conv $\mathscr{A}, \operatorname{Conv} G$ and Conv $^{b} G$ are partially ordered by inclusion.

Mundici [14] proved that for each $M V$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ there exists an abelian lattice ordered group $G$ with a strong unit $u$ such that $\mathscr{A}$ can be constructed by means of $G$. In this construction, the underlying set $A$ of $\mathscr{A}$ is the interval $[0, u]$ of $G$.
We shall prove that the partially ordered set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic to Conv ${ }^{\text {b }} G$. From this we deduce that each interval of Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is a complete Bouwerian lattice. The lattice Conv $\mathscr{A}$ has a greatest element if and only if $\operatorname{Conv} G$ has a greatest element.

It will be shown that if $[0, u]$ is a Boolean algebra, then the relation Conv $\mathscr{A}=$ Conv $\mathscr{B}$ is valid (where $\mathscr{B}$ is the Boolean algebra under consideration, and Conv $\mathscr{G}$ is as in [9]).

[^0]
## 1. Preliminaries

The following definition of an $M V$-algebra is recalled from [4].
1.1. Definition. An $M V$-algebra is a system $\mathscr{A}=\langle A ; \oplus, *, \neg, 0,1\rangle$ (where $\oplus$,* are binary operations, $\neg$ is a unary operation and 0,1 are nulary operations) such that the following identities are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{m}_{1}\right) x \oplus(y \oplus z)=(x \oplus y) \oplus z ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{m}_{2}\right) x \oplus 0=x \\
& \left(\mathrm{~m}_{3}\right) x \oplus y=y \oplus x ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{m}_{4}\right) x \oplus 1=1 \\
& \left(\mathrm{~m}_{5}\right) \neg \neg x=x \\
& \left(\mathrm{~m}_{6}\right) \neg 0=1 ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{m}_{7}\right) x \oplus \neg x=1 \\
& \left(\mathrm{~m}_{8}\right) \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y=\neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x \\
& \left(\mathrm{~m}_{9}\right) x * y=\neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_{n}=A$. The direct product of sets $A_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ will be denoted by $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. The elements of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ are denoted by $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or simply by $\left(a_{n}\right)$; they will be called sequences in $\mathscr{A}$. The notion of a subsequence of a sequence in $\mathscr{A}$ has the usual meaning. If $\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x \in A$ such that $x_{n}=x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we write $\left(x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{const} x$.

If $K \subseteq A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$, then a relation of the form $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in K$ will be denoted also by writing $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.

For each $x \in A$ and $y \in A$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \vee y=(x * \neg y) \oplus y, \\
& x \wedge y=\neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the structure $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})=\langle A ; \vee, \wedge\rangle$. Then we have
1.2. Proposition. (Cf., e.g., [4].) $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ is a distributive lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1.

The partial order induced on $A$ by means of the lattice $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ will be denoted by $\leqslant$. When considering a partial order on the set $A$ we always mean the partial order $\leqslant$.
1.3. Definition. A subset $K$ of $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$ will be said to be a sequential convergence in $\mathscr{A}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) If $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is a subsequence of $\left(x_{n}\right)$, then $y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.
(ii) If $\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}, x \in A$ and if for each subsequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ there is a subsequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ of $\left(y_{n}\right)$ such that $z_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$, then $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.
(iii) If $\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{n}, x \in A,\left(x_{n}\right)=$ const $x$, then $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.
(iv) If $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$ and $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} y$, then $x=y$.
(v) If $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$ and $y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} y$, then $x_{n} \oplus y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x \oplus y, x_{n} * y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x * y$ and $\neg x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} \neg x$.
(vi) If $x_{n} \leqslant y_{n} \leqslant z_{n}$ is valid for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x, z_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x$, then $y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.

In what follows we shall say "convergence" instead of "sequential convergence". We denote by Conv $\mathscr{A}$ the set of all convergences in $\mathscr{A}$. The set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is partially ordered by inclusion.

Let $K(0)$ be the set consisting of all elements $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right)$ of $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$ such that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_{n}=x$ for each $n \geqslant m$. Then we obviously have
1.4. Lemma. $K(0)$ is the least element of Conv $\mathscr{A}$.

The notion of convergence in a lattice was defined in [12]. It is defined as follows (we apply analogous notation as above).
1.5. Definition. Let $\mathscr{L}=(L ; \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. A subset $K$ of $L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$ is a convergence in $\mathscr{L}$ if the conditions (i)-(iv), (vi) from 1.3 are satisfied and if, moreover, the following condition holds:
$(\mathrm{v}(1))$ If $x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} y$, then $x_{n} \wedge y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x \wedge y$ and $x_{n} \vee y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x \vee y$.
From the definition of the lattice $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ and from 1.3 we immediately obtain
1.6. Lemma. Let $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$. Then $K$ is a convergence on the lattice $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$. If $\left\{K_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty system of elements in Conv $\mathscr{A}$, then 1.3 yields that $\bigcap_{i \in I} K$. also belongs to Conv $\mathscr{A}$. Hence we have
1.7. Lemma. The partially ordered set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is a $\wedge$-semilattice. If $K \in$ Conv $\mathscr{A}$, then the interval $\left[K(0), I^{r}\right]$ is a complete lattice. Hence if Conv $\mathscr{A}$ has a greatest element, then Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is a complete lattice.

For lattice ordered groups we apply the same notation as in [1]. The following theorems 1.8 and 1.9 are due to Mundici [14] (for the case of linearly ordered $M V$ algebras cf. Chang [11]).
1.8. Theorem. Let $G$ be an abelian lattice ordered group with a strong unit $u$. Let $A$ be the interval $[0, u]$ of $G$. For each $a$ and $b$ in $A$ we put

$$
a \oplus b=(a+b) \wedge u, \quad \neg a=u-a, \quad 1=u
$$

Next, let the binary operation $*$ on $A$ be defined by $\left(m_{9}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{A}=\langle A ; \oplus, *, \neg, 0,1\rangle$ is an $M V$-algebra.

If $G$ and $\mathscr{A}$ are as in 1.8 then we put $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}(G, u)$.
1.9. Theorem. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Then there exists an abelian lattice ordered group $G$ with a strong unit $u$ such that $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_{0}(G, u)$.
1.10. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $G$ be as in 1.9. Let $x, y \in A, x \leqslant y$. Then

$$
y-x=\neg(x \oplus \neg y) .
$$

Proof. According to 1.8 we have

$$
x \oplus \neg y=(x+(u-y)) \wedge u=(u-(y-x)) \wedge u=u-(y-x)
$$

hence

$$
\neg(x \oplus \neg y)=u-(u-(y-x))=y-x .
$$

1.11. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra, $x, y, z \in A, x \leqslant y \leqslant z$. Then

$$
\neg(x \oplus \neg z)=\neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg(y \oplus \neg z) .
$$

Proof. According to 1.10 and 1.8 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg(x \oplus \neg z) & =z-x=(x-y)+(y-x)=(z-y) \oplus(y-x) \\
& =\neg(y \oplus \neg z) \oplus(x \oplus \neg y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.12. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra, $x, y \in A, x \leqslant y$. Then

$$
x=\neg(\neg y \oplus \neg(x \oplus \neg y)) .
$$

Proof. In view of 1.10 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg(\neg y \oplus \neg(x \oplus \neg y)) & =\neg(\neg y \oplus(y-x))=\neg((u-y) \oplus(y-x)) \\
& =\neg(((u-y)+(y-x)) \wedge u)=\neg((u-x) \wedge u) \\
& =\neg(u-x)=\neg \neg x=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. The systems $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} G$ and $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$

All lattice ordered groups considered in the present paper are assumed to be abelian. If $G$ is a lattice ordered group then its underlying set will be also denoted by the same symbol $G$.
2.1. Definition. Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group and let $K$ be a subset of $G^{\mathbb{N}} \times G$. The set $K$ is said to be a convergence in $G$ if the conditions (i) $-(\mathrm{iv}),(\mathrm{v}(1))$, (vi) above are satisfied and if, moreover, the following condition holds:
$(\mathrm{v}(2))$ If $x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} y$ then $x_{n}+y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x+y$ and $-x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K}-y$.
We denote by Conv $G$ the set of all convergences in $G$; this set is partially ordered by inclusion.

For each $K$ in Conv $G$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{0}=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}: x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0 \text { and } x_{n} \geqslant 0 \text { for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
& \text { Conv }_{0} G=\left\{K^{0}: K \in \operatorname{Conv} G\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can regard $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ as the direct product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_{n}$, where $G_{n}=G$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a lattice ordered group. For each lattice ordered group $H$ the symbol $H^{+}$ denotes the positive cone of $H$; thus $H^{+}$is a lattice ordered semigroup.
2.2. Lemma. (Cf. [6], 1.2 and 1.3.) Let $K^{1}$ be a subset of $G^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $K^{1}$ belongs to Conv $_{0} G$ if and only if $K^{1}$ is a convex subsemigroup of the semigroup $\left(G^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{+}$such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(I) If $\left(g_{n}\right) \in K^{1}$ then each subsequence of $\left(g_{n}\right)$ belongs to $K^{1}$.
(II) Let, $\left(g_{n}\right) \in\left(G^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{+}$. If each subsequence of $\left(g_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence belonging to $K^{1}$, then $\left(g_{n}\right) \in K^{1}$.
(III) Let $g \in G$. Then const $g$ belongs to $K^{1}$ if and only if $g=0$.

The set $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} G$ is partially ordered by inclusion. The following lemma is easy to verify. (Cf. also [6].)
2.3. Lemma. (i) For each $K$ in $\operatorname{Conv} G$ put $\varphi_{1}(K)=K^{0}$. Then $\varphi_{1}$ is an isomorphism of Conv $G$ onto $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} G$.
(ii) Let $K^{1} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$. Put $K=\left\{\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in G^{\mathbb{N}} \times G:\left|x_{n}-x\right| \in K^{1}\right\}$. Then $K \in \operatorname{Conv} G$ and $\varphi_{1}(K)=K_{1}$.

Direct products of $M V$-algebras have been investigated in [2] and [13].
Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Similarly as in the case of lattice ordered groups above we denote by $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ the direct product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}$, where $A_{n}=A$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, for each $K \in$ Conv $\mathscr{A}$ we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{0} & =\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}: x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0\right\}, \\
\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A} & =\left\{K^{-0}: K \in \mathrm{Conv} \mathscr{A}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the definition of $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ and according to 1.3 we have
2.4. Lemma. Let $K^{1} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$. Then
(i) $K^{1}$ satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III) from 2.2;
(ii) $K^{1}$ is a convex subset of the lattice $\left(A^{\mathbb{N}} ; \vee, \wedge\right)$;
(iii) $K^{1}$ is closed with respect to the operation $\oplus$.

The following two lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 will show that the set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ can be reconstructed from $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$.

Let $K(1)$ be a nonempty subset of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. For $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$ we consider the following condition:
(*) There exist $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that
(i) $u_{n} \leqslant x, u_{n} \leqslant x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(\neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right)\right) \in K(1)$;
(ii) $v_{n} \geqslant x, v_{n} \geqslant x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(\neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right)\right) \in K(1)$.

We denote by $K(2)$ the set of all $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$ such that the condition $(*)$ is valid. If $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right)$ belongs to $K(2)$ then we write $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K^{\prime}(2)} x$.
2.5. Lemma. Let $K^{\prime}(1)$ be a nonempty subset of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from 2.4. Let $K(2)$ be defined as above. Then $K(2) \in$ Conv $\mathscr{A}$.

Proof. We shall verify that $K(2)$ satisfies the conditions (i)-(vi) from 1.3.
(i): The validity of (i) is obvious.
(ii): Let $G$ be as in 1.9. In view of the assumption (cf. 2.4 (i)) $K(1)$ satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III) from 2.2. Thus 2.2 yields that $K(1)$ belongs to Conv ${ }_{0} G$.

Let $\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}, x \in A$. Suppose that the assumptions of the condition (ii) of 1.3 hold, where $K$ is replaced by $K(2)$.

Then for a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ as in (ii) of 1.3 we have $z_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$. Thus there are $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the conditions (i) and (ii) from (*) are valid, where $x_{n}$ is replaced by $z_{n}$.

According to 1.10 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right)=x-u_{n}, \\
& \neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right)=v_{n}-x,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\left(x-u_{n}\right) \in K(1)$ and $\left(v_{n}-x\right) \in K(1)$. Since $K(1) \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ and $u_{n} \leqslant z_{n} \leqslant v_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by applying 2.3 we obtain that in the lattice ordered group $G$ we have $\left(\left|z_{n}-x\right|\right) \in K(1)$ and thus (cf. 2.1) we get $\left(\left|x_{n}-x\right|\right) \in K(1)$. This implies that

$$
\left(x-\left(x_{n} \wedge x\right)\right) \in K(1), \quad\left(\left(x_{n} \vee x\right)-x\right) \in K(1)
$$

whence $\left(x_{n}, x\right) \in K(2)$. Therefore the condition (ii) from 1.3 is valid for $K(2)$.
(iii): Under the assumptions as in (iii) it suffices to put $u_{n}=v_{n}=x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and then according to the definition of $K(2)$ the relation $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ holds.
(iv): Since (iv) is a consequence of (v) it suffices to deal with (v).
(v): Let $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$. Hence there are $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $\left(v_{n}\right)$ in $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(*)$ is valid. Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\neg u_{n} \geqslant \neg x, \quad \neg u_{n} \geqslant \neg x_{n}, \quad \neg u_{n} \leqslant \neg x, \quad \neg v_{n} \leqslant \neg x_{n} .
$$

We have also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \neg\left(\neg v_{n} \oplus \neg \neg x\right)=\neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right) \in K(1), \\
& \neg\left(\neg x \oplus \neg \neg u_{n}\right)=\neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right) \in K(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $\neg x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} \neg x$.
Next, let $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ and $x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x^{\prime}$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $\left(v_{n}\right)$ be as in (*); further let $\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ have analogous meanings (with respect to $x_{n}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ ). Denote $x^{\prime \prime}=x \oplus x^{\prime}, u_{n}^{\prime \prime}=u_{n} \oplus u_{n}^{\prime}, v_{n}^{\prime \prime}=v_{n} \oplus v_{n}^{\prime}$. Hence $u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant x^{\prime \prime}, u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant x_{n}^{\prime \prime}, v_{n}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant x^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{n}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant x_{n}^{\prime \prime}$.

We also have

$$
u_{n}^{\prime \prime}=u_{n} \oplus u_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant x \oplus u_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant x \oplus x^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime}
$$

In view of 1.9 there are $p_{n}, q_{n} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
u_{n}^{\prime \prime}+p_{n}=u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \oplus p_{n}=x \oplus u_{n}^{\prime}, \quad\left(x \oplus u_{n}^{\prime}\right)+q_{n}=x \oplus u_{n}^{\prime} \oplus q_{n}=x^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leqslant p_{n} & =\left(x \oplus u_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\left(u_{n} \oplus u_{n}^{\prime}\right)= \\
& =\left(\left(x+u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \wedge u\right)-\left(\left(u_{n}+u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \wedge u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whenever $a, b$ and $c$ are elements of a lattice ordered group with $a \leqslant b$, then it is easy to verify that

$$
(b \wedge u)-(a \wedge u) \leqslant b-a .
$$

## Hence

$$
0 \leqslant p_{n} \leqslant\left(x+u_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\left(u_{n}+u_{n}^{\prime}\right)=x-u_{n} .
$$

Since $\left(x-u_{n}\right) \in K(1)$ we obtain that $\left(p_{n}\right)$ belongs to $K(1)$. Similarly we prove that $\left(q_{n}\right)$ belongs to $K(1)$ as well. Therefore $\left(x^{\prime \prime}-u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in K(1)$ (cf. also 1.11). In another notation

$$
\left(\neg\left(u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \oplus \neg x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \in K(1) .
$$

By analogous steps we can verify that

$$
\left(\neg\left(x^{\prime \prime} \oplus \neg v_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \in K(1) .
$$

Hence according to the definition of $K(2)$ we obtain

$$
x_{n} \oplus x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x \oplus y .
$$

(vi): Let the assumptions from (vi) be fulfilled. Then there are $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_{n} \leqslant x \leqslant v_{n}, u_{n} \leqslant x_{n}, z_{n} \leqslant v_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right) \in K(1), \quad \neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right) \in K(1)
$$

Then $u_{n} \leqslant y_{n} \leqslant v_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$.
The relation $x_{n} * y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x * y$ is a consequence of the above results and of ( $\mathrm{m}_{9}$ ).
2.6. Lemma. Let $K(1)$ and $K(2)$ be as above. Then $(K(2))^{0}=K(1)$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{n}\right) \in(K(2))^{0}, x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} 0$. in view of the definition of $K(2)$ there is $\left(v_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $v_{n} \geqslant x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\left(\neg\left(0 \oplus \neg v_{n}\right)\right) \in K(1) .
$$

Thus $\left(v_{n}\right) \in K(1)$. Put $u_{n}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of the convexity of $K(1)$ we obtain that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ belongs to $K(1)$. Hence $(K(2))^{0} \subseteq K(1)$.

Conversely, let $\left(x_{n}\right) \in K(1)$. If we put $u_{n}=v_{n}=x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then in view of the definition of $K(2)$ we get $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K(2)} 0$, whence $\left(x_{n}\right) \in(K(2))^{0}$.
2.7. Corollary. Conv $0 \mathscr{A}$ is the system of all subsets of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ which satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from 2.4.

If $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$, then we put $f_{1}(K)=K^{0}$. Next, for $K(1) \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ we set $f_{2}(K(1))=K(2)$ (under the notation as above).

Whenever $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ belong to Conv $\mathscr{A}$ and $K \subseteq K^{\prime}$, then $f_{1}(K) \subseteq f_{1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, if $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ with $K_{1} \subseteq K_{2}$, then $f_{2}\left(K_{1}\right) \subseteq$ $f_{2}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
2.8. Lemma. Let $K \in \operatorname{Conv} A$. Then $f_{2}\left(K^{0}\right)=K$.

Proof. Put $f_{2}\left(K^{0}\right)=K(2)$. Let $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in K(2)$. Hence there exist $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(*)$ holds, where $K(1)=K^{0}$. Thus

$$
\left(\neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right)\right) \in K^{0}
$$

According to $1.10\left(v_{n}-x\right) \in K^{0}$, hence $\left(\left(v_{n}-x\right), 0\right) \in K$, i.e., $v_{n}-x \rightarrow_{K} 0$. Then $\left(v_{n}-x\right) \oplus x \longrightarrow_{K} x$. Clearly $\left(v_{n}-x\right) \oplus x=\left(v_{n}-x\right)+x=v_{n}$, hence $v_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$.

Next, $\left(\neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right)\right) \in K^{0}$, whence in view of $1.10,\left(x-u_{n}\right) \in K^{0}$, i.e., $x-u_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0$. According to 1.12,

$$
u_{n}=\neg\left(\neg x \oplus \neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right)\right) .
$$

Thus by applying $1.10 u_{n}=\neg\left(\neg x \oplus\left(x-u_{n}\right)\right)$ and hence

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow_{K} \neg(\neg x \oplus 0)=x
$$

Then by 1.3 (vi) we obtain that $x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x$. Therefore $K(2) \subseteq K$.
Conversely, let $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in K$. Put $u_{n}=x_{n} \wedge x$ and $v_{n}=x_{n} \vee x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$ and $v_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right) \longrightarrow_{K} \neg(x \oplus \neg x)=\neg u=0, \\
& \neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right) \longrightarrow_{K} \neg(x \oplus \neg x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left(\neg\left(u_{n} \oplus \neg x\right)\right) \in K^{0}, \quad\left(\neg\left(x \oplus \neg v_{n}\right)\right) \in K^{0}
$$

Therefore $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in K(2)$. Summarizing, we conclude $K(2)=K$.
2.9. Theorem. $f_{2}$ is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ onto Conv $\mathscr{A}$ and $f_{1}=f_{2}^{-1}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of $2.6,2.7,2.8$ and of the fact that both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are monotone.

## 3. The relations between Conv $_{0} \mathscr{A}$ and Conv $G$

Again, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Next, let $G$ be as in 1.9.
First we shall investigate the relations between the partially ordered sets Convo $\mathscr{d}$ and $\mathrm{Conv}_{0} G$.

For each $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ we put

$$
g_{1}(K)=A^{\mathbb{N}} \cap K
$$

3.1. Lemma. If $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$, then $g_{1}(K) \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$.

Proof. Let $K_{1} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$. Then there is $I_{i} \in \operatorname{Conv} G$ such that $K_{1}=K_{0}$. Hence if $\left(x_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}\right) \in K_{1}$, then $\left(x_{n} \vee y_{n}\right),\left(x_{n} \wedge y_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)$ belong to $K_{1}$. Thus in view of 2.2 and 2.7 we obtain that $g_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$.

As an immediate consequence of the definition of $g_{1}$ we get
3.2. Lemma. Let $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \operatorname{Conv} G, K_{1} \subseteq K_{2}$. Then $g_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \subseteq g_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
3.3. Lemma. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A, n \geqslant 2$. Then $a_{1} \oplus a_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus a_{n}=\left(a_{1}+\right.$ $\left.a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}\right) \wedge u$.

Proof. By obvious induction.
A nonempty subset $X$ of $\left(G^{\vee}\right)^{+}$is said to be regular if there exists $K \in$ Conv $_{0} G$ such that $X \subseteq K$.
3.4. Lemma. Let $X$ be a nonempty subset of $\left(G^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{+}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $X$ is not regular.
(ii) There exist $\left(x_{n}^{1}\right),\left(x_{n}^{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}^{m}\right) \in X$, subsequences $\left(y_{n}^{k}\right)$ of $\left(x_{n}^{k}\right)(k=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$ ) and an element $0<g \in G$ such that $g \leqslant y_{n}^{1}+y_{n}^{2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{m}$ is valid for each $n \in{ }^{n}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.5 in [10].
3.5. Lemma. Let $X \in$ Convo $_{0} \mathscr{A}$. Then the set $X$ is regular.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that $X$ is not regular. Hence the condition (ii) from 3.4 holds. Then
(1) $0 \leqslant \wedge u=\left(y_{n}^{1}+y_{n}^{2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{m}\right) \wedge u=y_{n}^{1} \oplus y_{n}^{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus y_{n}^{m}$.

According to the definition of $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ there exists $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ such that $X=K^{0}$. Hence $x_{n}^{k} \longrightarrow_{K} 0$ and thus $y_{n}^{k} \longrightarrow_{K} 0$ for each $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. Therefore

$$
y_{n}^{1} \oplus y_{n}^{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus y_{n}^{m} \longrightarrow_{K} 0
$$

in view of (1) we have arrived at a contradiction.
According to 3.5 for each $X \in$ Conv $_{0}, \mathscr{A}$ there exists a uniquely determined element $Y$ of $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ such that (i) $X \subseteq Y$, and (ii) whenever $Y_{1} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ and $X \subseteq Y_{1}$, then $Y \subseteq Y_{1}$. We denote $Y=g_{2}(X)$ and $F=g_{2}\left(\right.$ Conv $\left._{0} \mathscr{A}\right)$.
3.6. Lemma. Let $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}, X_{1} \subseteq X_{2}$. Then $g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \subseteq g_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of $g_{2}$.
The set $g_{2}(X)$ can be constructively defined as follows.
Let $\delta X$ be the system of all subsequences of sequences belonging to $X$. The convex closure (in $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) of the system $\{$ const 0$\} \cup X$ will be denoted by [ $\left.X\right]$. Next, let $\langle X\rangle$ be the subgroup of $\left(G^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{+}$generated by the set $X$. The symbol $X^{*}$ will denote the set of all sequences in $G^{+}$each subsequence of which has a subsequence belonging to $X$.

Then we have
3.7. Lemma. (Cf. [5] or [10], 2.2.) Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$. Then $g_{2}(X)=$ $[\langle\delta X\rangle]^{*}$.
3.8. Lemma. Let $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}, X_{1} \nsubseteq X_{2}$. Then $g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \nsubseteq g_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$.

Proof. There exists $\left(x_{n}\right) \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$. By way of contradiction, suppose tha $g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \subseteq g_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$. Since $X_{1} \subseteq g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)$, we obtain that $\left(x_{n}\right) \in g_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$. Thus in view of 3.7, $\left(x_{n}\right) \in\left[\left\langle\delta X_{2}\right\rangle\right]^{*}$. Since $X_{2} \in$ Convo $_{0} \mathscr{A}, \delta X_{2}=X_{2}$. Also, $\left(A^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{*} \supseteq A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(x_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$, thus $\left(x_{n}\right) \in\left[\left\langle\delta X_{2}\right\rangle\right]^{*} \cap\left(A^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{*}=\left(\left[\left\langle\delta X_{2}\right\rangle\right] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{*}=\left(\left[\left\langle X_{2}\right\rangle\right] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{*}$.

Let $\left(z_{n}\right) \in\left[\left\langle X_{2}\right\rangle\right] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus there is $\left(v_{n}\right) \in\left\langle X_{2}\right\rangle$ such that $\left(z_{n}\right) \leqslant\left(v_{n}\right)$. Hence $z_{n} \leqslant v_{n} \in A$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There are $\left(t_{n}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(t_{n}^{m}\right)$ in $X_{2}$ such that $v_{n}=t_{n}^{1}+\ldots+t_{n}^{m}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$
z_{n} \leqslant\left(t_{n}^{1}+\ldots+t_{n}^{m}\right) \wedge u=t_{n}^{1} \oplus t_{n}^{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus t_{n}^{m}
$$

Because $X_{2} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ we get $\left(t_{n}^{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus t_{n}^{m}\right) \in X_{2}$ and hence $\left(z_{n}\right) \in X_{2}$. Next, $X_{2}$ satisfies Urysohn's condition (cf. the condition (ii) in 1.3); this yields that ( $x_{n}$ ) $\in X_{2}$, which is a contradiction.
3.9. Lemma. Let $X \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ and $Z \subseteq[-m u, m u]^{\mathbb{N}}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are valid:
(i) $\delta X=X$ and $[X]=X$.
(ii) If $\left(z_{n}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(z_{n}\right) \in\langle X\rangle$, then $\left(z_{n}\right) \in X$.
(iii) If $\left(t_{n}\right) \in Z^{*}$, then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_{n} \in[-m u, m u]$ for each $n \geqslant k$.

Proof. The conditions (i) and (ii) follow from the definition of $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ (cf. also 2.4). The validity of (iii) is obvious.

For $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ let $K^{b}$ be the set of all $\left(x_{n}\right) \in K$ such that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $G$. We denote by $\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G$ the system $\left\{K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G: K=K^{b}\right\}$; this system has been investigated in [10]. For each $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G, K^{b}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G$.

There exist examples for which $K \neq K^{b}$. Clearly $g_{1}(K)=g_{1}\left(K^{b}\right)$ for each $K \in$ Conv $_{0} G$. Hence the mapping $g_{1}$ fails to be a monomorphism.
3.10. Lemma. Let $X \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$. Then $g_{2}(X)$ is bounded.

Proof. In view of $3.7, g_{2}(X)=[\langle\delta X\rangle]^{*}$. Next, according to 3.9 (i) we have $\delta X=X$. Hence for each $\left(y_{n}\right) \in\langle\delta X\rangle$ with $y_{n} \geqslant 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(z_{n}^{1}\right),\left(z_{n}^{2}\right), \ldots,\left(z_{n}^{m}\right)$ in $X$ such that

$$
y_{n}=z_{n}^{1}+z_{n}^{2}+\ldots+z_{n}^{m} \quad \text { for each } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Thus for each $\left(v_{n}\right) \in[\langle\delta X\rangle]$ there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(a_{n}^{i}\right),\left(b_{n}^{i}\right) \in X(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
a_{n}^{1}+\ldots+a_{n}^{m} \leqslant v_{n} \leqslant b_{n}^{1}+\ldots+b_{n}^{m}
$$

Therefore $v_{n} \in[-m u, m u]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus according to 3.9 , (iii), for each $\left(t_{n}\right) \in g_{2}(X)$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_{n} \in[-m u, m u]$ for each $n \geqslant k$. This yields that each sequence belonging to $g_{2}(X)$ is bounded.
3.11. Lemma. Let $Y \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ and assume that $Y$ is bounded. Put $g_{1}(Y)=X$. Then $g_{2}(X)=Y$.

Proof. The relation $g_{1}(Y)=X$ gives that $X \subseteq Y$. Hence

$$
g_{2}(X)=[\langle\delta X\rangle]^{*} \subseteq[\langle\delta Y\rangle]^{*} .
$$

Since $Y \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ we get $[\langle\delta Y\rangle]^{*}=Y$ and thus $g_{2}(X) \subseteq Y$.
Let $\left(y_{n}\right) \in Y, y_{n} \geqslant 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is bounded there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leqslant y_{n} \leqslant u_{1}+u_{2}+\ldots+u_{m}$, where $u_{i}=u$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Thus there are elements $z_{n}^{i}$ in $G(n \in \mathbb{N}, i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ with

$$
y_{n}=z_{n}^{1}+\ldots+z_{n}^{m}, \quad 0 \leqslant z_{n}^{i} \leqslant u_{i}
$$

This yields that $z_{n}^{i} \leqslant y_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. Thus $\left(z_{n}^{i}\right) \in Y$ and, at the same time, $\left(z_{n}^{i}\right) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$, hence $\left(z_{n}^{i}\right) \in X$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Thus $\left(y_{n}\right) \in\langle X\rangle$ and hence $\left(y_{n}\right) \in g_{2}(X)$. From this we easily deduce that $Y \subseteq g_{2}(X)$. Summarizing, we conclude $Y=g_{2}(X)$.
3.12. Corollary. $g_{2}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G$.
3.13. Theorem. $g_{2}$ is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set $\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A}$ onto Conv $_{0}^{b} G$.

Proof. This is a consequence of $3.2,3.6,3.8$ and 3.12.
An element $K$ of Conv $G$ will be called bounded if, whenever $\left(\left(x_{n}\right), x\right) \in K$, then the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $G$. We denote by Conv ${ }^{b} G$ the set of all elements of Conv $G$ which are bounded. It is easy to verify that Conv ${ }^{b} G$ is a convex subset of Conv $G$ and contains the least element of Conv $G$.
3.14. Theorem. The partially ordered set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic to Conv ${ }^{b} G$.

Proof. Let $f_{1}$ be as in 2.9 and let $g_{2}$ be as above. Since $f_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are isomorphisms, from

$$
\text { Conv } \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{g_{2}} \operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G
$$

we obtain an isomorphism of Conv $\mathscr{A}$ onto $\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G$. The isomorphism $\varphi_{1}$ from 2.3 gives an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Conv}_{0} G \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}^{-1}} \text { Conv } G .
$$

We obviously have

$$
\varphi_{1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G\right)=\operatorname{Conv}^{b} G .
$$

Thus there is an isomorphism of Conv $\mathscr{A}$ onto Conv ${ }^{b} G$.
3.15. Theorem. Each interval of the partially ordered set Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is a complete Brouwerian lattice.

Proof. In view of [6] each interval of Conv $G$ is a complete Brouwerian lattice. Now it suffices to apply 3.14.
3.16. Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) Conv $\mathscr{A}$ is a complete lattice.
(ii) $\operatorname{Conv} G$ is a complete lattice.

Proof. This follows from 3.14 and 3.15.

The following example shows that Conv ${ }^{b} G$ need not be equal to Conv $G$.
Let $G$ be the set of all bounded real functions defined on the set $\mathbb{R}$ of all reals; the operation + and the partial order on $G$ have the usual meaning. Let $u \in G$ be such that $u(t)=1$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the $M V$-algebra $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}(G, u)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_{n} \in G$ be defined as follows:

$$
x_{n}(n)=n \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n}(t)=0 \quad \text { whenever } \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{n\} .
$$

Thus $x_{n(1)} \wedge x_{n(2)}=0$ whenever $n(1)$ and ( $n(2)$ are distinct positive integers. There is $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$ such that $\left(x_{n}\right) \in K$. It is easy to verify that whenever $K(1) \in$ Convo $_{0} \mathscr{A}$ then $g_{2}(K(1)) \neq K$. Hence $K \notin \operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G$ and thus $\operatorname{Conv}_{0}^{b} G \neq \operatorname{Conv}_{0} G$. Therefore $\operatorname{Conv}^{b} G \neq \operatorname{Conv} G$.

We shall apply the following definition of higher degrees of distributivity (it has been applied for the case of lattice ordered groups in [7]; cf. also [8] and [11]).

Let $L$ be a lattice and let $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ be cardinals. $L$ is called $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive if
(i) whenever $T$ and $S$ are sets with $\operatorname{card} T \leqslant \alpha, \operatorname{card} S \leqslant \beta$, then the relation

$$
\text { (1) } \bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x_{t, s}=\bigvee_{\varphi \in S^{T}} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x_{t, \varphi(t)}
$$

is valid if all joins and meets standing in (1) do exist in $L$, and
(ii) the condition dual to (i) is also valid.

Next, $L$ is called $\alpha$-distributive if it is ( $\alpha, \alpha$ )-distributive. $L$ is completely distributive if it is $\alpha$-distributive for each cardinal $\alpha$.

It is easy to verify that a lattice ordered group is ( $\alpha, \beta)$-distributive if and only if it satisfies one of the conditions (i) or (ii) above.

Again, let $G$ and $\mathscr{A}$ be as alove. In what follows we assume that $\operatorname{card} A>1$.
3.17. Lemma. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be cardinals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.
(ii) There exists $x \in G$ with $0<x$ such that, whenever $y \in G, 0<y \leqslant x$, then the interval $[0, y]$ of $G$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.

Proof. It is obvious that $(i i) \Longrightarrow(i)$. Let (i) be valid. Then according to 1.3 and 1.3.1 in [7] there are elements $x_{t, s}$ and $x$ in $G(t \in T, s \in S, \operatorname{card} T \leqslant \alpha, \operatorname{card} S \leqslant \beta)$ such that $x_{t, s} \in[0, x]$ for each $t \in T, s \in S$ and
(a) $\bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x_{t, s}=x, \bigvee_{\varphi \in S^{T}} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x_{t, \varphi(t)}=0$.

Let $y \in G, 0<y \leqslant x$. Put $x_{t, s}^{\prime}=x_{t, s} \wedge y$ for each $t \in T$ and $s \in S$. Since $G$ is infinitely distributive, from (a) we obtain

$$
y=y \wedge x=\bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x_{t, s}^{\prime}, \quad 0=0 \wedge y=\bigvee_{\varphi x^{T}} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x_{t, \varphi(t)}^{\prime}
$$

Hence the interval $[0, y]$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.
3.18. Lemma. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be cardinals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.
(ii) $\mathscr{A}$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.

Proof. Let (i) be valid. Then in view of 3.17 the condition (ii) from 3.17 holds. Put $y=u \wedge x$. Hence the interval $[0, y]$ of $G$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive. Since $[0, y]$ is, at the same time, an interval in $\mathscr{A}$ we infer that $\mathscr{A}$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive. Conversely, suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive. Since $A=[0, u]$ and $A$ is a closed sublattice of $G$, we infer that $G$ is not $(\alpha, \beta)$-distributive.
3.19. Theorem. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be $\left(\aleph_{0}, 2\right)$-distributive. Then Conv $\mathscr{A}$ possesses a greatest element.

Proof. In view of $3.18, G$ is ( $\aleph_{0}, 2$ )-distributive. Hence according to [11] Conv $G$ has a greatest element. Therefore 3.16 yields that Conv $\mathscr{A}$ has a greatest element.

## 4. Convergences on the lattice $[0, u]$

For a lattice $L$ we apply Definition 1.5. Let Conv $L$ be the system of all convergences on $L$; this system is partially ordered by inclusion.

The symbol Conv $_{c} L$ will denote the set of all $K \in \operatorname{Conv} L$ which satisfy the following condition:
(c) If $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $L$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the element $x_{n}$ possesses a complement $x_{n}^{\prime}$, then

$$
x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0 \Longleftrightarrow x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} u .
$$

4.1. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{A}, G$ be as above and let $L$ be the interval $[0, u]$ of $G$. Let $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$. Then $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$.

Proof. According to $1.6, K \in \operatorname{Conv} L$. Suppose that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $L$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x_{n}^{\prime}$ is a complement of $x_{n}$ in $L$. It is easy to verify that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x_{n}^{\prime}=\neg x_{n}$. Hence if $x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} 0$, then $\neg x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} \neg 0=u$. Similarly we can verify that if $x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} u$, then $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0$. Thus $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$.

If a lattice $L$ is bounded, distributive and complemented (i.e., if it is a Boolean algebra) then we have to distinguish between convergences on $L$ considered as a lattice (cf. Definition 1.5) and convergences on $L$ considered as a Boolean algebra; namely, we can apply the following definition (cf. [9]).
4.2. Definition. Let $B$ be a Boolean algebra; the corresponding lattice (where the unary operation ' of complementation is not taken into account) will be denoted by $B_{\ell}$. The system Conv $B$ is defined as the set of all $K \in \operatorname{Conv} B_{\ell}$ such that

$$
x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x \Longrightarrow x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} x^{\prime} .
$$

4.3. Lemma. Let $B$ be a Boolean algebra. Then $\operatorname{Conv} B=\operatorname{Conv}_{c} B_{\ell}$.

Proof. The greatest element of $B$ will be denoted by $u$. According to the definition of Conv $B$ the relation Conv $B \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_{c} B_{\ell}$ is valid. Let $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{c} B_{\ell}$. Assume that $x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x$. Then

$$
x_{n} \vee x \longrightarrow_{K} x, \quad x_{n} \wedge x \longrightarrow_{K} x .
$$

From the former of the above relations we obtain

$$
\left(x_{n} \vee x\right) \wedge x^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} 0
$$

Then by applying the condition (c)

$$
\left.\left(\left(x_{n}\right) \vee x\right) \wedge x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} u
$$

hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{n} \vee x\right)^{\prime} \vee x \longrightarrow_{K} u, \\
\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \wedge x^{\prime}\right) \vee x \longrightarrow_{K} u, \\
x_{n}^{\prime} \vee x \longrightarrow_{K} u .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \vee x\right) \wedge x^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} x^{\prime}$ and so

$$
x_{n}^{\prime} \wedge x^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} x^{\prime}
$$

Analogously we obtain that

$$
x_{n}^{\prime} \vee x^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} x^{\prime} .
$$

Since $x_{n}^{\prime} \wedge x^{\prime} \leqslant x_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant x_{n}^{\prime} \vee x^{\prime}$ we get $x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow_{K} x^{\prime}$. Thus $K \in \operatorname{Conv} B$ and hence $\operatorname{Conv}_{c} B_{\ell} \subseteq$ Conv $B$.

Again, let $L=[0, u]$ be as above.
4.4. Lemma. Assume that $L=B_{\ell}$, where $B$ is a Boolean algebra. Then $a \oplus b=a \vee b$ for each $a, b \in L$.

Proof. Put $a \wedge b=v, a-v=a_{1}, b-v=b_{1}$. Then $a_{1} \wedge b_{1}=0$, hence $a_{1}+b_{1}=a_{1} \vee b_{1}$. Thus we have also $a_{1} \oplus b_{1}=a_{1} \vee b_{1}$. Therefore

$$
a \oplus b=\left(v \oplus a_{1}\right) \oplus\left(v \oplus b_{1}\right)=(v \oplus v) \oplus\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)
$$

Since $L=B_{\ell}$, according to [2], Theorem 1.17 , we have $v \oplus v=v$ and so

$$
a \oplus b=v \oplus\left(a_{1} \vee b_{1}\right)=\left(v \oplus a_{1}\right) \vee\left(v \oplus b_{1}\right)=a \vee b
$$

4.5. Lemma. Let $L$ be as in 4.4.. Let $K \in \operatorname{Conv} L, x_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} y$. Then $x_{n} \oplus y_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} x+y$.

Proof. We have $x_{n} \vee y_{n} \rightarrow_{K} x \vee y$ and now it suffices to apply 4.4.
4.6. Theorem. Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $L$ be as above. Assume that $L=B_{\ell}$, where $B$ is a Boolean algebra. Then Conv $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$.

Proof. In view of 4.1 , Conv $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$. Next, according to 4.5 and by the definition of $\operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$ we obtain that $\operatorname{Conv}_{c} L \subseteq \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$.

Let us remark that if Conv $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Conv}_{\mathrm{c}} L$, then there need not exist a Boolean algebra $B$ with $B_{\ell}=L$.

Example. Let $G$ be the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order. Put $u=2$ and consider the $M V$-algebra $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{Q}_{0}(G, u)$. Then card $A=3$, hence $B_{\ell} \neq L=[0, u]$ for each Boolean algebra $B$. Next, Conv $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Conv} L=$ $\operatorname{Conv}_{c} L=\{K(0)\}$, where $\Lambda(0)$ is the least element of Conv $\mathscr{A}$.
4.7. Definition. Let $L$ be as above and let $K \in \operatorname{Conv} L$. The lattice $L$ is called strongly nondiscrete with respect to $K$ if for each $0<a \in L$ there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $L$ such that $0<x_{n}<a$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{n} \longrightarrow \kappa 0$.

The following question remains open:
Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $L$ be as above. Assume that
(i) Conv $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$;
(ii) if $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ and $K \neq K(0)$, then $L$ is strongly nondiscrete with respect to $K$.

Does there exist a Boolean algebra $B$ with $L=B_{\ell}$ ?
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