# Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Ján Jakubík Sequential convergences on MV-algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 4, 709-726

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128552

### Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCES ON MV-ALGEBRAS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received March 22, 1994)

The notion of an MV-algebra was introduced by Chang [2]. Various systems of axioms and various notation for MV-algebras have been applied; we shall use those from [4]; cf. also [13].

We investigate sequential convergences on MV-algebras. The definition is analogous to that studied for lattice ordered groups (cf. [6], [8]), Boolean algebras [9], [11] or lattices [12].

Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an MV-algebra and let G be a lattice ordered group. We denote by Conv  $\mathscr{A}$  and Conv G the set of all sequential convergences on  $\mathscr{A}$  or on G, respectively. Next, let  $\operatorname{Conv}^b G$  be the set of all bounded sequential convergences on G; this notion has been dealt with in [10]. All the sets  $\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ ,  $\operatorname{Conv} G$  and  $\operatorname{Conv}^b G$  are partially ordered by inclusion.

Mundici [14] proved that for each MV-algebra  $\mathscr A$  there exists an abelian lattice ordered group G with a strong unit u such that  $\mathscr A$  can be constructed by means of G. In this construction, the underlying set A of  $\mathscr A$  is the interval [0,u] of G.

We shall prove that the partially ordered set Conv  $\mathscr A$  is isomorphic to Conv  $^bG$ . From this we deduce that each interval of Conv  $\mathscr A$  is a complete Bouwerian lattice. The lattice Conv  $\mathscr A$  has a greatest element if and only if Conv G has a greatest element.

It will be shown that if [0, u] is a Boolean algebra, then the relation Conv  $\mathscr{A} = \text{Conv } \mathscr{B}$  is valid (where  $\mathscr{B}$  is the Boolean algebra under consideration, and Conv  $\mathscr{B}$  is as in [9]).

Supported by Grant GA SAV 1230/94

#### 1. Preliminaries

The following definition of an MV-algebra is recalled from [4].

**1.1. Definition.** An MV-algebra is a system  $\mathscr{A} = \langle A; \oplus, *, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$  (where  $\oplus, *$  are binary operations,  $\neg$  is a unary operation and 0, 1 are nulary operations) such that the following identities are satisfied:

```
 \begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{m}_1) & x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_2) & x \oplus 0 = x; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_3) & x \oplus y = y \oplus x; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_4) & x \oplus 1 = 1; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_5) & \neg \neg x = x; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_6) & \neg 0 = 1; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_7) & x \oplus \neg x = 1; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_8) & \neg (\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg (x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x; \\ &(\mathbf{m}_9) & x * y = \neg (\neg x \oplus \neg y). \end{aligned}
```

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $A_n = A$ . The direct product of sets  $A_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  will be denoted by  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The elements of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  are denoted by  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  or simply by  $(a_n)$ ; they will be called sequences in  $\mathscr{A}$ . The notion of a subsequence of a sequence in  $\mathscr{A}$  has the usual meaning. If  $(x_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $x \in A$  such that  $x_n = x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we write  $(x_n) = \text{const } x$ .

If  $K \subseteq A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$ , then a relation of the form  $((x_n), x) \in K$  will be denoted also by writing  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .

For each  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$  we put

$$x \lor y = (x * \neg y) \oplus y,$$
$$x \land y = \neg(\neg x \lor \neg y).$$

Let us consider the structure  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \langle A; \vee, \wedge \rangle$ . Then we have

**1.2. Proposition.** (Cf., e.g., [4].)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A})$  is a distributive lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1.

The partial order induced on A by means of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A})$  will be denoted by  $\leq$ . When considering a partial order on the set A we always mean the partial order  $\leq$ .

- **1.3. Definition.** A subset K of  $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$  will be said to be a sequential convergence in  $\mathscr{A}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (i) If  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $(y_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , then  $y_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .

- (ii) If  $(x_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x \in A$  and if for each subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  there is a subsequence  $(z_n)$  of  $(y_n)$  such that  $z_n \longrightarrow_K x$ , then  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .
- (iii) If  $(x_n) \in A^n$ ,  $x \in A$ ,  $(x_n) = \text{const } x$ , then  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .
- (iv) If  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $x_n \longrightarrow_K y$ , then x = y.
- (v) If  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $y_n \longrightarrow_K y$ , then  $x_n \oplus y_n \longrightarrow_K x \oplus y$ ,  $x_n * y_n \longrightarrow_K x * y$  and  $\neg x_n \longrightarrow_K \neg x$ .
- (vi) If  $x_n \leqslant y_n \leqslant z_n$  is valid for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ ,  $z_n \longrightarrow_K x$ , then  $y_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .

In what follows we shall say "convergence" instead of "sequential convergence". We denote by Conv  $\mathscr A$  the set of all convergences in  $\mathscr A$ . The set Conv  $\mathscr A$  is partially ordered by inclusion.

Let K(0) be the set consisting of all elements  $((x_n), x)$  of  $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$  such that there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x_n = x$  for each  $n \ge m$ . Then we obviously have

**1.4. Lemma.** K(0) is the least element of Conv  $\mathscr{A}$ .

The notion of convergence in a lattice was defined in [12]. It is defined as follows (we apply analogous notation as above).

- **1.5. Definition.** Let  $\mathscr{L} = (L; \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. A subset K of  $L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  is a convergence in  $\mathscr{L}$  if the conditions (i)–(iv), (vi) from 1.3 are satisfied and if, moreover, the following condition holds:
- (v(1)) If  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $y_n \longrightarrow_K y$ , then  $x_n \wedge y_n \longrightarrow_K x \wedge y$  and  $x_n \vee y_n \longrightarrow_K x \vee y$ .

From the definition of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  and from 1.3 we immediately obtain

**1.6. Lemma.** Let  $K \in \text{Conv } \mathscr{A}$ . Then K is a convergence on the lattice  $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ .

If  $\{K_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a nonempty system of elements in Conv  $\mathscr{A}$ , then 1.3 yields that  $\bigcap_{i\in I} K_i$  also belongs to Conv  $\mathscr{A}$ . Hence we have

**1.7. Lemma.** The partially ordered set Conv  $\mathscr A$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice. If  $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr A$ , then the interval [K(0), K] is a complete lattice. Hence if  $\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr A$  has a greatest element, then  $\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr A$  is a complete lattice.

For lattice ordered groups we apply the same notation as in [1]. The following theorems 1.8 and 1.9 are due to Mundici [14] (for the case of linearly ordered MV-algebras cf. Chang [11]).

**1.8. Theorem.** Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group with a strong unit u. Let A be the interval [0, u] of G. For each a and b in A we put

$$a \oplus b = (a+b) \wedge u$$
,  $\neg a = u - a$ ,  $1 = u$ .

Next, let the binary operation \* on A be defined by  $(m_9)$ . Then  $\mathscr{A} = \langle A; \oplus, *, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$  is an MV-algebra.

If G and  $\mathscr{A}$  are as in 1.8 then we put  $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_0(G, u)$ .

- **1.9. Theorem.** Let  $\mathscr A$  be an MV-algebra. Then there exists an abelian lattice ordered group G with a strong unit u such that  $\mathscr A=\mathscr A_0(G,u)$ .
  - **1.10. Lemma.** Let  $\mathscr{A}$  and G be as in 1.9. Let  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \leq y$ . Then

$$y - x = \neg(x \oplus \neg y).$$

Proof. According to 1.8 we have

$$x \oplus \neg y = (x + (u - y)) \land u = (u - (y - x)) \land u = u - (y - x),$$

hence

$$\neg(x \oplus \neg y) = u - (u - (y - x)) = y - x.$$

 $\Box$ 

1.11. Lemma. Let  $\mathscr A$  be an MV-algebra,  $x,y,z\in A,\,x\leqslant y\leqslant z.$  Then

$$\neg(x \oplus \neg z) = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg(y \oplus \neg z).$$

Proof. According to 1.10 and 1.8 we have

$$\neg(x \oplus \neg z) = z - x = (x - y) + (y - x) = (z - y) \oplus (y - x)$$
$$= \neg(y \oplus \neg z) \oplus (x \oplus \neg y).$$

**1.12. Lemma.** Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an MV-algebra,  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \leq y$ . Then

$$x = \neg(\neg y \oplus \neg(x \oplus \neg y)).$$

Proof. In view of 1.10 we have

$$\neg(\neg y \oplus \neg(x \oplus \neg y)) = \neg(\neg y \oplus (y - x)) = \neg((u - y) \oplus (y - x))$$
$$= \neg(((u - y) + (y - x)) \land u) = \neg((u - x) \land u)$$
$$= \neg(u - x) = \neg \neg x = x.$$

#### 2. The systems $Conv_0 G$ and $Conv_0 \mathscr{A}$

All lattice ordered groups considered in the present paper are assumed to be abelian. If G is a lattice ordered group then its underlying set will be also denoted by the same symbol G.

**2.1. Definition.** Let G be a lattice ordered group and let K be a subset of  $G^{\mathbb{N}} \times G$ . The set K is said to be a *convergence in* G if the conditions (i)–(iv), (v(1)), (vi) above are satisfied and if, moreover, the following condition holds:

$$(v(2))$$
 If  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $y_n \longrightarrow_K y$  then  $x_n + y_n \longrightarrow_K x + y$  and  $-x_n \longrightarrow_K -y$ .

We denote by  $\operatorname{Conv} G$  the set of all convergences in G; this set is partially ordered by inclusion.

For each K in Conv G we put

$$K^0 = \{(x_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}} : x_n \longrightarrow_K 0 \text{ and } x_n \geqslant 0 \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$$
  
Conv<sub>0</sub>  $G = \{K^0 : K \in \text{Conv } G\}.$ 

We can regard  $G^{\mathbb{N}}$  as the direct product  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}G_n$ , where  $G_n=G$  for  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $G^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a lattice ordered group. For each lattice ordered group H the symbol  $H^+$  denotes the positive cone of H; thus  $H^+$  is a lattice ordered semigroup.

- **2.2.** Lemma. (Cf. [6], 1.2 and 1.3.) Let  $K^1$  be a subset of  $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $K^1$  belongs to  $\operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  if and only if  $K^1$  is a convex subsemigroup of the semigroup  $(G^{\mathbb{N}})^+$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (I) If  $(g_n) \in K^1$  then each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $K^1$ .
  - (II) Let  $(g_n) \in (G^{\mathbb{N}})^+$ . If each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  has a subsequence belonging to  $K^1$ , then  $(g_n) \in K^1$ .
  - (III) Let  $g \in G$ . Then const g belongs to  $K^1$  if and only if g = 0.

The set  $\operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  is partially ordered by inclusion. The following lemma is easy to verify. (Cf. also [6].)

- **2.3.** Lemma. (i) For each K in Conv G put  $\varphi_1(K) = K^0$ . Then  $\varphi_1$  is an isomorphism of Conv G onto Conv G.
- (ii) Let  $K^1 \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$ . Put  $K = \{((x_n), x) \in G^{\mathbb{N}} \times G \colon |x_n x| \in K^1\}$ . Then  $K \in \operatorname{Conv} G$  and  $\varphi_1(K) = K_1$ .

Direct products of MV-algebras have been investigated in [2] and [13].

Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an MV-algebra. Similarly as in the case of lattice ordered groups above we denote by  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  the direct product  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , where  $A_n = A$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next, for each  $K \in \text{Conv} \mathscr{A}$  we denote

$$K^{0} = \{(x_{n}) \in A^{\mathbb{N}} : x_{n} \longrightarrow_{K} 0\},$$
$$\operatorname{Conv}_{0} \mathscr{A} = \{K^{0} : K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}\}.$$

In view of the definition of  $Conv_0 \mathscr{A}$  and according to 1.3 we have

- **2.4. Lemma.** Let  $K^1 \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ . Then
  - (i)  $K^1$  satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III) from 2.2;
- (ii)  $K^1$  is a convex subset of the lattice  $(A^{\mathbb{N}}; \vee, \wedge)$ ;
- (iii)  $K^1$  is closed with respect to the operation  $\oplus$ .

The following two lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 will show that the set Conv  $\mathscr{A}$  can be reconstructed from Conv<sub>0</sub>  $\mathscr{A}$ .

Let K(1) be a nonempty subset of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For  $((x_n), x) \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$  we consider the following condition:

- (\*) There exist  $(u_n), (v_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that
  - (i)  $u_n \leq x$ ,  $u_n \leq x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\neg(u_n \oplus \neg x)) \in K(1)$ ;
  - (ii)  $v_n \geqslant x, v_n \geqslant x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\neg(x \oplus \neg v_n)) \in K(1)$ .

We denote by K(2) the set of all  $((x_n), x) \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A$  such that the condition (\*) is valid. If  $((x_n), x)$  belongs to K(2) then we write  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ .

**2.5. Lemma.** Let K(1) be a nonempty subset of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from 2.4. Let K(2) be defined as above. Then  $K(2) \in \text{Conv } \mathscr{A}$ .

Proof. We shall verify that K(2) satisfies the conditions (i)–(vi) from 1.3.

- (i): The validity of (i) is obvious.
- (ii): Let G be as in 1.9. In view of the assumption (cf. 2.4 (i)) K(1) satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III) from 2.2. Thus 2.2 yields that K(1) belongs to  $Conv_0 G$ .

Let  $(x_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x \in A$ . Suppose that the assumptions of the condition (ii) of 1.3 hold, where K is replaced by K(2).

Then for a sequence  $(z_n)$  as in (ii) of 1.3 we have  $z_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ . Thus there are  $(u_n), (v_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that the conditions (i) and (ii) from (\*) are valid, where  $x_n$  is replaced by  $z_n$ .

According to 1.10 we have

$$\neg(u_n \oplus \neg x) = x - u_n,$$
  
$$\neg(x \oplus \neg v_n) = v_n - x,$$

hence  $(x-u_n) \in K(1)$  and  $(v_n-x) \in K(1)$ . Since  $K(1) \in \text{Conv}_0 G$  and  $u_n \leq z_n \leq v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by applying 2.3 we obtain that in the lattice ordered group G we have  $(|z_n-x|) \in K(1)$  and thus (cf. 2.1) we get  $(|x_n-x|) \in K(1)$ . This implies that

$$(x - (x_n \land x)) \in K(1), \quad ((x_n \lor x) - x) \in K(1),$$

whence  $(x_n, x) \in K(2)$ . Therefore the condition (ii) from 1.3 is valid for K(2).

- (iii): Under the assumptions as in (iii) it suffices to put  $u_n = v_n = x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and then according to the definition of K(2) the relation  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$  holds.
  - (iv): Since (iv) is a consequence of (v) it suffices to deal with (v).
- (v): Let  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ . Hence there are  $(u_n)$  and  $(v_n)$  in  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that (\*) is valid. Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\neg u_n \geqslant \neg x, \quad \neg u_n \geqslant \neg x_n, \quad \neg v_n \leqslant \neg x, \quad \neg v_n \leqslant \neg x_n.$$

We have also

$$\neg(\neg v_n \oplus \neg \neg x) = \neg(x \oplus \neg v_n) \in K(1),$$
$$\neg(\neg x \oplus \neg \neg u_n) = \neg(u_n \oplus \neg x) \in K(1),$$

whence  $\neg x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} \neg x$ .

Next, let  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$  and  $x'_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x'$ . Let  $(u_n)$  and  $(v_n)$  be as in (\*); further let  $(u'_n)$  and  $(v'_n)$  have analogous meanings (with respect to  $x'_n$  and x'). Denote  $x'' = x \oplus x'$ ,  $u''_n = u_n \oplus u'_n$ ,  $v''_n = v_n \oplus v'_n$ . Hence  $u''_n \leqslant x''$ ,  $u''_n \leqslant x''_n$ ,  $v''_n \geqslant x''$  and  $v''_n \geqslant x''_n$ .

We also have

$$u_n'' = u_n \oplus u_n' \leqslant x \oplus u_n' \leqslant x \oplus x' = x''.$$

In view of 1.9 there are  $p_n, q_n \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$u_n'' + p_n = u_n'' \oplus p_n = x \oplus u_n', \quad (x \oplus u_n') + q_n = x \oplus u_n' \oplus q_n = x''.$$

Then

$$0 \leqslant p_n = (x \oplus u'_n) - (u_n \oplus u'_n) =$$
  
=  $((x + u'_n) \land u) - ((u_n + u'_n) \land u).$ 

Whenever a, b and c are elements of a lattice ordered group with  $a \leq b$ , then it is easy to verify that

$$(b \wedge u) - (a \wedge u) \leq b - a.$$

Hence

$$0 \le p_n \le (x + u'_n) - (u_n + u'_n) = x - u_n.$$

Since  $(x - u_n) \in K(1)$  we obtain that  $(p_n)$  belongs to K(1). Similarly we prove that  $(q_n)$  belongs to K(1) as well. Therefore  $(x'' - u_n'') \in K(1)$  (cf. also 1.11). In another notation

$$(\neg(u_n''\oplus\neg x''))\in K(1).$$

By analogous steps we can verify that

$$(\neg(x'' \oplus \neg v_n'')) \in K(1).$$

Hence according to the definition of K(2) we obtain

$$x_n \oplus x'_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x \oplus y.$$

(vi): Let the assumptions from (vi) be fulfilled. Then there are  $(u_n), (v_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $x_n \leq x \leq v_n$ ,  $u_n \leq x_n$ ,  $z_n \leq v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\neg (u_n \oplus \neg x) \in K(1), \quad \neg (x \oplus \neg v_n) \in K(1).$$

Then  $u_n \leq y_n \leq v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $y_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x$ .

The relation  $x_n * y_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} x * y$  is a consequence of the above results and of  $(m_9)$ .

**2.6. Lemma.** Let K(1) and K(2) be as above. Then  $(K(2))^0 = K(1)$ .

Proof. Let  $(x_n) \in (K(2))^0$ ,  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} 0$ . in view of the definition of K(2) there is  $(v_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $v_n \geqslant x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$(\neg(0\oplus\neg v_n))\in K(1).$$

Thus  $(v_n) \in K(1)$ . Put  $u_n = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In view of the convexity of K(1) we obtain that  $(x_n)$  belongs to K(1). Hence  $(K(2))^0 \subseteq K(1)$ .

Conversely, let  $(x_n) \in K(1)$ . If we put  $u_n = v_n = x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then in view of the definition of K(2) we get  $x_n \longrightarrow_{K(2)} 0$ , whence  $(x_n) \in (K(2))^0$ .

**2.7. Corollary.** Conv<sub>0</sub>  $\mathscr A$  is the system of all subsets of  $A^{\mathbb N}$  which satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from 2.4.

If  $K \in \text{Conv} \mathcal{A}$ , then we put  $f_1(K) = K^0$ . Next, for  $K(1) \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathcal{A}$  we set  $f_2(K(1)) = K(2)$  (under the notation as above).

Whenever K and K' belong to Conv  $\mathscr A$  and  $K \subseteq K'$ , then  $f_1(K) \subseteq f_1(K')$ . Similarly, if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are elements of Conv<sub>0</sub>  $\mathscr A$  with  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ , then  $f_2(K_1) \subseteq f_2(K_2)$ .

**2.8. Lemma.** Let  $K \in \text{Conv } A$ . Then  $f_2(K^0) = K$ .

Proof. Put  $f_2(K^0) = K(2)$ . Let  $((x_n), x) \in K(2)$ . Hence there exist  $(u_n), (v_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that (\*) holds, where  $K(1) = K^0$ . Thus

$$(\neg(x \oplus \neg v_n)) \in K^0.$$

According to 1.10  $(v_n - x) \in K^0$ , hence  $((v_n - x), 0) \in K$ , i.e.,  $v_n - x \longrightarrow_K 0$ . Then  $(v_n - x) \oplus x \longrightarrow_K x$ . Clearly  $(v_n - x) \oplus x = (v_n - x) + x = v_n$ , hence  $v_n \longrightarrow_K x$ .

Next,  $(\neg(u_n \oplus \neg x)) \in K^0$ , whence in view of 1.10,  $(x-u_n) \in K^0$ , i.e.,  $x-u_n \longrightarrow_K 0$ . According to 1.12,

$$u_n = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg(u_n \oplus \neg x)).$$

Thus by applying 1.10  $u_n = \neg(\neg x \oplus (x - u_n))$  and hence

$$u_n \longrightarrow_K \neg (\neg x \oplus 0) = x.$$

Then by 1.3 (vi) we obtain that  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ . Therefore  $K(2) \subseteq K$ .

Conversely, let  $((x_n), x) \in K$ . Put  $u_n = x_n \wedge x$  and  $v_n = x_n \vee x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $u_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $v_n \longrightarrow_K x$ , hence

$$\neg(u_n \oplus \neg x) \longrightarrow_K \neg(x \oplus \neg x) = \neg u = 0,$$
  
$$\neg(x \oplus \neg v_n) \longrightarrow_K \neg(x \oplus \neg x) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(\neg(u_n \oplus \neg x)) \in K^0, \quad (\neg(x \oplus \neg v_n)) \in K^0.$$

Therefore  $((x_n), x) \in K(2)$ . Summarizing, we conclude K(2) = K.

**2.9. Theorem.**  $f_2$  is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set  $Conv_0 \mathscr{A}$  onto  $Conv \mathscr{A}$  and  $f_1 = f_2^{-1}$ .

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.6, 2.7, 2.8 and of the fact that both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are monotone.

## 3. The relations between $\operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ and $\operatorname{Conv} G$

Again, let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an MV-algebra. Next, let G be as in 1.9.

First we shall investigate the relations between the partially ordered sets  $Conv_0 \mathscr{A}$  and  $Conv_0 G$ .

For each  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  we put

$$g_1(K) = A^{\mathbb{N}} \cap K.$$

**3.1. Lemma.** If  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$ , then  $g_1(K) \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ .

Proof. Let  $K_1 \in \text{Conv}_0 G$ . Then there is  $K \in \text{Conv} G$  such that  $K_1 = K_0$ . Hence if  $(x_n), (y_n) \in K_1$ , then  $(x_n \vee y_n), (x_n \wedge y_n)$  and  $(x_n + y_n)$  belong to  $K_1$ . Thus in view of 2.2 and 2.7 we obtain that  $g_1(K_1) \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ .

As an immediate consequence of the definition of  $g_1$  we get

- **3.2. Lemma.** Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \text{Conv } G, K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . Then  $g_1(K_1) \subseteq g_1(K_2)$ .
- **3.3. Lemma.** Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus a_n = (a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n) \wedge u$ .

Proof. By obvious induction.

A nonempty subset X of  $(G^{\mathbb{N}})^+$  is said to be regular if there exists  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  such that  $X \subseteq K$ .

- **3.4. Lemma.** Let X be a nonempty subset of  $(G^{\mathbb{N}})^+$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) X is not regular.
  - (ii) There exist  $(x_n^1), (x_n^2), \ldots, (x_n^m) \in X$ , subsequences  $(y_n^k)$  of  $(x_n^k)$   $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$  and an element  $0 < g \in G$  such that  $g \leq y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \ldots + y_n^m$  is valid for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.5 in [10].

**3.5. Lemma.** Let  $X \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ . Then the set X is regular.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that X is not regular. Hence the condition (ii) from 3.4 holds. Then

(1)  $0 \leqslant \wedge u = (y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \ldots + y_n^m) \wedge u = y_n^1 \oplus y_n^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus y_n^m$ 

According to the definition of  $\operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$  there exists  $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$  such that  $X = K^0$ . Hence  $x_n^k \longrightarrow_K 0$  and thus  $y_n^k \longrightarrow_K 0$  for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Therefore

$$y_n^1 \oplus y_n^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus y_n^m \longrightarrow_K 0$$
;

in view of (1) we have arrived at a contradiction.

According to 3.5 for each  $X \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$  there exists a uniquely determined element Y of  $\text{Conv}_0 G$  such that (i)  $X \subseteq Y$ , and (ii) whenever  $Y_1 \in \text{Conv}_0 G$  and  $X \subseteq Y_1$ , then  $Y \subseteq Y_1$ . We denote  $Y = g_2(X)$  and  $F = g_2(X)$ .

**3.6. Lemma.** Let  $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}, X_1 \subseteq X_2$ . Then  $g_2(X_1) \subseteq g_2(X_2)$ .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $g_2$ .

The set  $g_2(X)$  can be constructively defined as follows.

Let  $\delta X$  be the system of all subsequences of sequences belonging to X. The convex closure (in  $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) of the system  $\{\text{const}\,0\} \cup X$  will be denoted by [X]. Next, let  $\langle X \rangle$  be the subgroup of  $(G^{\mathbb{N}})^+$  generated by the set X. The symbol  $X^*$  will denote the set of all sequences in  $G^+$  each subsequence of which has a subsequence belonging to X.

Then we have

**3.7. Lemma.** (Cf. [5] or [10], 2.2.) Let  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ . Then  $g_2(X) = [\langle \delta X \rangle]^*$ .

**3.8. Lemma.** Let  $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}, X_1 \not\subseteq X_2$ . Then  $g_2(X_1) \not\subseteq g_2(X_2)$ .

Proof. There exists  $(x_n) \in X_1 \setminus X_2$ . By way of contradiction, suppose tha  $g_2(X_1) \subseteq g_2(X_2)$ . Since  $X_1 \subseteq g_2(X_1)$ , we obtain that  $(x_n) \in g_2(X_2)$ . Thus in view of 3.7,  $(x_n) \in [\langle \delta X_2 \rangle]^*$ . Since  $X_2 \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ ,  $\delta X_2 = X_2$ . Also,  $(A^{\mathbb{N}})^* \supseteq A^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(x_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ , thus  $(x_n) \in [\langle \delta X_2 \rangle]^* \cap (A^{\mathbb{N}})^* = ([\langle \delta X_2 \rangle] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}})^* = ([\langle \delta X_2 \rangle] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ .

Let  $(z_n) \in [\langle X_2 \rangle] \cap A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Thus there is  $(v_n) \in \langle X_2 \rangle$  such that  $(z_n) \leqslant (v_n)$ . Hence  $z_n \leqslant v_n \in A$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There are  $(t_n^1), \ldots, (t_n^m)$  in  $X_2$  such that  $v_n = t_n^1 + \ldots + t_n^m$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$z_n \leqslant (t_n^1 + \ldots + t_n^m) \land u = t_n^1 \oplus t_n^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus t_n^m.$$

Because  $X_2 \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$  we get  $(t_n^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus t_n^m) \in X_2$  and hence  $(z_n) \in X_2$ . Next,  $X_2$  satisfies Urysohn's condition (cf. the condition (ii) in 1.3); this yields that  $(x_n) \in X_2$ , which is a contradiction.

- **3.9. Lemma.** Let  $X \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$  and  $Z \subseteq [-mu, mu]^{\mathbb{N}}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following conditions are valid:
  - (i)  $\delta X = X$  and [X] = X.
  - (ii) If  $(z_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(z_n) \in \langle X \rangle$ , then  $(z_n) \in X$ .
  - (iii) If  $(t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , then there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_n \in [-mu, mu]$  for each  $n \geqslant k$ .

Proof. The conditions (i) and (ii) follow from the definition of  $Conv_0 \mathscr{A}$  (cf. also 2.4). The validity of (iii) is obvious.

For  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  let  $K^b$  be the set of all  $(x_n) \in K$  such that  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence in G. We denote by  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$  the system  $\{K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G \colon K = K^b\}$ ; this system has been investigated in [10]. For each  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$ ,  $K^b$  belongs to  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$ .

There exist examples for which  $K \neq K^b$ . Clearly  $g_1(K) = g_1(K^b)$  for each  $K \in \text{Conv}_0 G$ . Hence the mapping  $g_1$  fails to be a monomorphism.

**3.10. Lemma.** Let  $X \in \text{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$ . Then  $g_2(X)$  is bounded.

Proof. In view of 3.7,  $g_2(X) = [\langle \delta X \rangle]^*$ . Next, according to 3.9 (i) we have  $\delta X = X$ . Hence for each  $(y_n) \in \langle \delta X \rangle$  with  $y_n \geq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(z_n^1), (z_n^2), \ldots, (z_n^m)$  in X such that

$$y_n = z_n^1 + z_n^2 + \ldots + z_n^m$$
 for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus for each  $(v_n) \in [\langle \delta X \rangle]$  there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(a_n^i), (b_n^i) \in X$  (i = 1, 2, ..., m) such that

$$a_n^1 + \ldots + a_n^m \leqslant v_n \leqslant b_n^1 + \ldots + b_n^m$$

Therefore  $v_n \in [-mu, mu]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus according to 3.9, (iii), for each  $(t_n) \in g_2(X)$  there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_n \in [-mu, mu]$  for each  $n \geq k$ . This yields that each sequence belonging to  $g_2(X)$  is bounded.

**3.11. Lemma.** Let  $Y \in \text{Conv}_0 G$  and assume that Y is bounded. Put  $g_1(Y) = X$ . Then  $g_2(X) = Y$ .

Proof. The relation  $g_1(Y) = X$  gives that  $X \subseteq Y$ . Hence

$$g_2(X) = [\langle \delta X \rangle]^* \subseteq [\langle \delta Y \rangle]^*.$$

Since  $Y \in \text{Conv}_0 G$  we get  $[\langle \delta Y \rangle]^* = Y$  and thus  $g_2(X) \subseteq Y$ .

Let  $(y_n) \in Y$ ,  $y_n \ge 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(y_n)$  is bounded there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \le y_n \le u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_m$ , where  $u_i = u$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . Thus there are elements  $z_n^i$  in G  $(n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$  with

$$y_n = z_n^1 + \ldots + z_n^m, \quad 0 \leqslant z_n^i \leqslant u_i.$$

This yields that  $z_n^i \leqslant y_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ . Thus  $(z_n^i) \in Y$  and, at the same time,  $(z_n^i) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ , hence  $(z_n^i) \in X$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k. Thus  $(y_n) \in \langle X \rangle$  and hence  $(y_n) \in g_2(X)$ . From this we easily deduce that  $Y \subseteq g_2(X)$ . Summarizing, we conclude  $Y = g_2(X)$ .

- **3.12. Corollary.**  $g_2(\operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}) = \operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$ .
- **3.13. Theorem.**  $g_2$  is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b \mathscr{A}$  onto  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$ .

Proof. This is a consequence of 3.2, 3.6, 3.8 and 3.12.

An element K of Conv G will be called bounded if, whenever  $((x_n), x) \in K$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded in G. We denote by  $\operatorname{Conv}^b G$  the set of all elements of  $\operatorname{Conv} G$  which are bounded. It is easy to verify that  $\operatorname{Conv}^b G$  is a convex subset of  $\operatorname{Conv} G$  and contains the least element of  $\operatorname{Conv} G$ .

**3.14. Theorem.** The partially ordered set Conv  $\mathscr A$  is isomorphic to Conv<sup>b</sup> G.

Proof. Let  $f_1$  be as in 2.9 and let  $g_2$  be as above. Since  $f_1$  and  $g_2$  are isomorphisms, from

$$\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{f_1} \operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{g_2} \operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$$

we obtain an isomorphism of Conv  $\mathscr A$  onto Conv $_0^b G$ . The isomorphism  $\varphi_1$  from 2.3 gives an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Conv}_0 G \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{-1}} \operatorname{Conv} G.$$

We obviously have

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G) = \operatorname{Conv}^b G.$$

Thus there is an isomorphism of Conv  $\mathscr A$  onto Conv<sup>b</sup> G.

- **3.15. Theorem.** Each interval of the partially ordered set Conv  $\mathscr A$  is a complete Brouwerian lattice.
- Proof. In view of [6] each interval of Conv G is a complete Brouwerian lattice. Now it suffices to apply 3.14.
  - 3.16. Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent:
    - (i) Conv  $\mathscr A$  is a complete lattice.
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{Conv} G$  is a complete lattice.

Proof. This follows from 3.14 and 3.15.

The following example shows that  $Conv^b G$  need not be equal to Conv G.

Let G be the set of all bounded real functions defined on the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of all reals; the operation + and the partial order on G have the usual meaning. Let  $u \in G$  be such that u(t) = 1 for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the MV-algebra  $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_0(G, u)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $x_n \in G$  be defined as follows:

$$x_n(n) = n$$
 and  $x_n(t) = 0$  whenever  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{n\}$ .

Thus  $x_{n(1)} \wedge x_{n(2)} = 0$  whenever n(1) and (n(2)) are distinct positive integers. There is  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$  such that  $(x_n) \in K$ . It is easy to verify that whenever  $K(1) \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 \mathscr{A}$  then  $g_2(K(1)) \neq K$ . Hence  $K \notin \operatorname{Conv}_0^b G$  and thus  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G \neq \operatorname{Conv}_0 G$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Conv}_0^b G \neq \operatorname{Conv} G$ .

We shall apply the following definition of higher degrees of distributivity (it has been applied for the case of lattice ordered groups in [7]; cf. also [8] and [11]).

Let L be a lattice and let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  be cardinals. L is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive if

(i) whenever T and S are sets with card  $T \leq \alpha$ , card  $S \leq \beta$ , then the relation

(1) 
$$\bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x_{t,s} = \bigvee_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x_{t,\varphi(t)}$$

is valid if all joins and meets standing in (1) do exist in L, and

(ii) the condition dual to (i) is also valid.

Next, L is called  $\alpha$ -distributive if it is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -distributive. L is completely distributive if it is  $\alpha$ -distributive for each cardinal  $\alpha$ .

It is easy to verify that a lattice ordered group is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive if and only if it satisfies one of the conditions (i) or (ii) above.

Again, let G and  $\mathscr{A}$  be as above. In what follows we assume that card A > 1.

- **3.17. Lemma.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be cardinals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) G is not  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive.
  - (ii) There exists  $x \in G$  with 0 < x such that, whenever  $y \in G$ ,  $0 < y \leqslant x$ , then the interval [0, y] of G is not  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive.

Proof. It is obvious that (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Let (i) be valid. Then according to 1.3 and 1.3.1 in [7] there are elements  $x_{t,s}$  and x in G ( $t \in T, s \in S$ , card  $T \leq \alpha$ , card  $S \leq \beta$ ) such that  $x_{t,s} \in [0,x]$  for each  $t \in T$ ,  $s \in S$  and

(a) 
$$\bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x_{t,s} = x, \bigvee_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x_{t,\varphi(t)} = 0.$$

Let  $y \in G$ ,  $0 < y \le x$ . Put  $x'_{t,s} = x_{t,s} \land y$  for each  $t \in T$  and  $s \in S$ . Since G is infinitely distributive, from (a) we obtain

$$y = y \wedge x = \bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} x'_{t,s}, \quad 0 = 0 \wedge y = \bigvee_{\varphi x^T} \bigwedge_{t \in T} x'_{t,\varphi(t)}.$$

Hence the interval [0, y] is not  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive.

- **3.18. Lemma.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  be cardinals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) G is not  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive.
  - (ii)  $\mathscr{A}$  is not  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -distributive.
- Proof. Let (i) be valid. Then in view of 3.17 the condition (ii) from 3.17 holds. Put  $y = u \wedge x$ . Hence the interval [0,y] of G is not  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -distributive. Since [0,y] is, at the same time, an interval in  $\mathscr A$  we infer that  $\mathscr A$  is not  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -distributive. Conversely, suppose that  $\mathscr A$  is not  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -distributive. Since A = [0,u] and A is a closed sublattice of G, we infer that G is not  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -distributive.
- **3.19.** Theorem. Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be  $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive. Then Conv  $\mathscr{A}$  possesses a greatest element.
- Proof. In view of 3.18, G is  $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive. Hence according to [11] Conv G has a greatest element. Therefore 3.16 yields that Conv  $\mathscr A$  has a greatest element.

# 4. Convergences on the lattice $\left[0,u\right]$

For a lattice L we apply Definition 1.5. Let  $\operatorname{Conv} L$  be the system of all convergences on L; this system is partially ordered by inclusion.

The symbol  $\operatorname{Conv}_c L$  will denote the set of all  $K \in \operatorname{Conv} L$  which satisfy the following condition:

(c) If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in L such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the element  $x_n$  possesses a complement  $x'_n$ , then

$$x_n \longrightarrow_K 0 \Longleftrightarrow x'_n \longrightarrow_K u.$$

**4.1. Lemma.** Let  $\mathscr{A}, G$  be as above and let L be the interval [0, u] of G. Let  $K \in \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ . Then  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$ .

Proof. According to 1.6,  $K \in \text{Conv } L$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in L such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x'_n$  is a complement of  $x_n$  in L. It is easy to verify that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x'_n = \neg x_n$ . Hence if  $x_n \longrightarrow_K 0$ , then  $\neg x_n \longrightarrow_K \neg 0 = u$ . Similarly we can verify that if  $x'_n \longrightarrow_K u$ , then  $x_n \longrightarrow_K 0$ . Thus  $K \in \text{Conv}_c L$ .

If a lattice L is bounded, distributive and complemented (i.e., if it is a Boolean algebra) then we have to distinguish between convergences on L considered as a lattice (cf. Definition 1.5) and convergences on L considered as a Boolean algebra; namely, we can apply the following definition (cf. [9]).

**4.2. Definition.** Let B be a Boolean algebra; the corresponding lattice (where the unary operation ' of complementation is not taken into account) will be denoted by  $B_{\ell}$ . The system Conv B is defined as the set of all  $K \in \text{Conv } B_{\ell}$  such that

$$x_n \longrightarrow_K x \Longrightarrow x'_n \longrightarrow_K x'.$$

**4.3. Lemma.** Let B be a Boolean algebra. Then Conv  $B = \operatorname{Conv}_{c} B_{\ell}$ .

Proof. The greatest element of B will be denoted by u. According to the definition of Conv B the relation Conv  $B \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_c B_\ell$  is valid. Let  $K \in \operatorname{Conv}_c B_\ell$ . Assume that  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$ . Then

$$x_n \vee x \longrightarrow_K x$$
,  $x_n \wedge x \longrightarrow_K x$ .

From the former of the above relations we obtain

$$(x_n \lor x) \land x' \longrightarrow_K 0.$$

Then by applying the condition (c)

$$((x_n) \vee x) \wedge x')' \longrightarrow_K u,$$

hence

$$(x_n \lor x)' \lor x \longrightarrow_K u,$$
  
 $(x'_n \land x') \lor x \longrightarrow_K u,$ 

$$x'_n \vee x \longrightarrow_K u$$
.

Therefore  $(x'_n \lor x) \land x' \longrightarrow_K x'$  and so

$$x'_n \wedge x' \longrightarrow_K x'.$$

Analogously we obtain that

$$x'_n \lor x' \longrightarrow_K x'$$
.

Since  $x'_n \wedge x' \leqslant x'_n \leqslant x'_n \vee x'$  we get  $x'_n \longrightarrow_K x'$ . Thus  $K \in \text{Conv } B$  and hence  $\text{Conv}_c B_\ell \subseteq \text{Conv } B$ .

Again, let L = [0, u] be as above.

**4.4.** Lemma. Assume that  $L = B_{\ell}$ , where B is a Boolean algebra. Then  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$  for each  $a, b \in L$ .

Proof. Put  $a \wedge b = v$ ,  $a - v = a_1$ ,  $b - v = b_1$ . Then  $a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0$ , hence  $a_1 + b_1 = a_1 \vee b_1$ . Thus we have also  $a_1 \oplus b_1 = a_1 \vee b_1$ . Therefore

$$a \oplus b = (v \oplus a_1) \oplus (v \oplus b_1) = (v \oplus v) \oplus (a_1 \oplus b_1).$$

Since  $L = B_{\ell}$ , according to [2], Theorem 1.17, we have  $v \oplus v = v$  and so

$$a \oplus b = v \oplus (a_1 \vee b_1) = (v \oplus a_1) \vee (v \oplus b_1) = a \vee b.$$

**4.5. Lemma.** Let L be as in 4.4.. Let  $K \in \text{Conv } L$ ,  $x_n \longrightarrow_K x$  and  $y_n \longrightarrow_K y$ . Then  $x_n \oplus y_n \longrightarrow_K x + y$ .

Proof. We have  $x_n \vee y_n \longrightarrow_K x \vee y$  and now it suffices to apply 4.4.

**4.6. Theorem.** Let  $\mathscr A$  and L be as above. Assume that  $L = B_{\ell}$ , where B is a Boolean algebra. Then Conv  $\mathscr A = \operatorname{Conv}_c L$ .

Proof. In view of 4.1, Conv  $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}_c L$ . Next, according to 4.5 and by the definition of  $\operatorname{Conv}_c L$  we obtain that  $\operatorname{Conv}_c L \subseteq \operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A}$ .

Let us remark that if  $\operatorname{Conv} \mathscr{A} = \operatorname{Conv}_c L$ , then there need not exist a Boolean algebra B with  $B_\ell = L$ .

Example. Let G be the additive group of all integers with the natural linear order. Put u=2 and consider the MV-algebra  $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_0(G,u)$ . Then card A=3, hence  $B_\ell\neq L=[0,u]$  for each Boolean algebra B. Next, Conv  $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Conv} L=\operatorname{Conv}_c L=\{K(0)\}$ , where K(0) is the least element of Conv  $\mathscr{A}$ .

**4.7. Definition.** Let L be as above and let  $K \in \text{Conv } L$ . The lattice L is called strongly nondiscrete with respect to K if for each  $0 < a \in L$  there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in L such that  $0 < x_n < a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n \longrightarrow_K 0$ .

The following question remains open:

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and L be as above. Assume that

- (i) Conv  $\mathscr{A} = \operatorname{Conv}_{c} L$ ;
- (ii) if  $K \in \text{Conv } \mathscr{A}$  and  $K \neq K(0)$ , then L is strongly nondiscrete with respect to K.

Does there exist a Boolean algebra B with  $L = B_{\ell}$ ?

#### References

- G. Birkhoff: Lattice theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. Vol. 25, Third Edition. Providence, 1967.
- [2] C. C. Chang: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 467–490.
- [3] C. C. Chang: A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1959), 74-80.
- [4] D. Gluschankof: Cyclic ordered groups and MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 43(1993), 249-263.
- [5] M. Harminc: The cardinality of the system of all sequential convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czech. Math. J. 37 (1987), 533–546.
- [6] M. Harminc: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 39 (1989), 232–238.
- [7] J. Jakubík: Distributivity in lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 22 (1972), 108-125.
- [8] J. Jakubik: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slov. 38 (1988), 269-272.
- [9] J. Jakubík: Sequential convergences in Boolean algebras. Czech. Math. J. 38 (1988), 520–530.
- [10] J. Jakubík: Lattice ordered groups having a largest convergence. Czech. Math. J. 39 (1989), 717-729.
- [11] J. Jakubik: Convergences and higher degrees of distributivity of lattice ordered groups and of Boolean algebras. Czech. Math. J. 40 (1990), 453–458.
- [12] J. Jakubik: Sequential convergences in lattices. Math. Bohemica 117 (1992), 239-250.
- [13] J. Jakubik: Direct product decompositions of MV-algebras. Czech. Math. J 44 (1994), 725-739.
- [14] D. Mundici: Interpretation of AFC\*-algebras in Lukasiewicz sentential calculus. Journ. Functional. Anal. 65 (1986), 15–63.

 $Author's\ address:$  Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko, Grešákova $6,\,040\,01$ Košice, Slovakia.