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#### Abstract

Background-Evidence for an association between total cholesterol, low and high density lipoproteins (LDL and HDL, respectively), triglycerides and prostate cancer (PC) is conflicting. Given that PC and dyslipidemia affect large proportions of Western society, understanding these associations has public health importance.

Methods-We conducted a retrospective cohort analysis of 843 radical prostatectomy (RP) patients who never used statins before surgery within the Shared Equal Access Regional Cancer Hospital (SEARCH) database. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to investigate the association between cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglycerides and biochemical recurrence risk. In secondary analysis, we explored these associations in patients with dyslipidemia, defined using National Cholesterol Education Program guidelines.


[^0]Results—Elevated serum triglycerides were associated with increased risk of PC recurrence ( $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per }} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} 1.03 ; 95 \%$ CI $1.01-1.05$ ) but associations between total cholesterol, LDL and HDL and recurrence risk were null. However, among men with dyslipidemia, each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in cholesterol and HDL was associated with $9 \%$ increased recurrence risk (HR 1.09; 95\%CI 1.011.17 ) and $39 \%$ reduced recurrence risk (HR $0.61 ; 95 \%$ CI $0.41-0.91$ ), respectively.

Conclusions-Elevated serum triglycerides were associated with increased risk of PC recurrence. Cholesterol, LDL or HDL were not associated with recurrence risk among all men. However, among men with dyslipidemia, elevated cholesterol and HDL levels were associated with increased and decreased risk of recurrence, respectively.

Impact-These findings, coupled with evidence that statin use is associated with reduced recurrence risk, suggest that lipid levels should be explored as a modifiable risk factor for PC recurrence.
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## Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is the most commonly diagnosed non-cutaneous cancer in US males and the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths [1]. Approximately two thirds of the US population are overweight or obese [2], a metabolic disorder associated with increased risk of aggressive PC and PC mortality [3]. Hypercholesterolemia, a condition strongly related to obesity, currently affects approximately $20 \%$ of the US adult population [4]. Cholesterol is hypothesized to contribute to PC progression due to its established role as a signaling molecule in prostate growth and differentiation [5], in addition to evidence from laboratory studies suggesting that cholesterol may drive PC growth via multiple biologic mechanisms including Akt signaling [6] and de novo steroidogenesis [7]. Given the high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Western society, understanding the potential association between this modifiable risk factor and PC progression is of great public health importance.

While epidemiologic evidence does not support an association between serum cholesterol levels and risk of total PC [8, 9], there is a suggestion that elevated cholesterol may be associated with increased risk of aggressive disease [8, 10-12], although not all studies have reported this finding [9, 13-15]. There is mixed evidence for an association between serum cholesterol levels and risk of PC progression, with some studies reporting positive associations between elevated cholesterol and risk of PC recurrence [16] and mortality [17, 18], while another study reported no association with risk of PC mortality [19]. Fewer studies examined the association between cholesterol subfractions -low and high density lipoprotein (LDL and HDL, respectively) - and PC. While there is some evidence that elevated LDL [12, 20] and low HDL [10, 21] are associated with increased risk of aggressive PC, not all studies reported these findings [13, 15, 22], and the association between cholesterol subfractions and risk of PC recurrence has not been widely studied.

Finally, evidence for an association between serum triglycerides and PC recurrence is mixed $[14,23]$. Thus, the impact of dyslipidemia on risk of PC recurrence is not well understood.

The aim of this study was to examine the association between serum lipid levels and risk of biochemical recurrence in a retrospective cohort of radical prostatectomy (RP) patients who never used statins prior to surgery, from the Shared Equal Access Regional Cancer Hospital (SEARCH) database. We hypothesized that elevated serum cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL would be associated with increased risk of PC recurrence, with a protective association between elevated HDL and risk of recurrence.

## Materials and Methods

## Study sample

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval from each institution, data from patients undergoing RP ( $\mathrm{n}=2,542$ ) between 1999 and 2013 at six VA Medical Centers (West Los Angeles, CA; Palo Alto, CA; San Diego, CA; Durham, NC; Asheville, NC; and Augusta, GA) were combined into the Shared Equal Access Regional Cancer Hospital (SEARCH) database [24]. SEARCH does not include patients treated with preoperative androgen deprivation or radiation therapy. Given that preoperative serum cholesterol level was our primary exposure of interest, patients who used statins before surgery were excluded ( $\mathrm{n}=1,135$ ). We also excluded patients with missing data for serum lipid levels ( $\mathrm{n}=482$ ), preoperative PSA $(\mathrm{n}=9)$, body mass index (BMI; $\mathrm{n}=25$ ), pathologic Gleason score $(\mathrm{n}=11)$, pathologic features $(\mathrm{n}=29)$ and PSA follow-up $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, resulting in a study sample of 843 men.

## Exposure assessment and definitions

Fasting total serum cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglyceride levels measured within the year prior to RP were abstracted from VA computerized medical records. Recommended cut-offs for normal vs. abnormal serum levels (all in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) of total cholesterol ( $<200 \mathrm{vs} . \geq 200$ ), LDL ( $<130$ vs. $\geq 130$ ), HDL ( $\geq 40$ vs. $<40$ ) and triglycerides ( $<150$ vs. $\geq 150$ ) were selected according to National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP)-Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III guidelines [25]. NCEP-ATPIII borderline and high lipid categories were combined in order to have adequate numbers of patients with abnormal lipid levels for the analysis. Based on the NCEP-ATPIII guidelines, we defined normal versus abnormal categories for each individual lipid independently of the others. For example, a patient could be included in the abnormal category for cholesterol but in the normal category for triglycerides if his cholesterol level was $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and his triglyceride level was <150 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

## Follow-up

Follow-up protocols were at the discretion of the treating physicians. Biochemical recurrence was defined as a single PSA $>0.2 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$, two consecutive concentrations at 0.2 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$, or secondary treatment for detectable postoperative PSA. Men receiving adjuvant therapy after surgery for an undetectable PSA were considered non-recurrent at the time of adjuvant therapy, and their follow-up was censored at that point.
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## Statistical analysis

Given that our primary hypothesis was to test the association between total serum cholesterol levels and risk of biochemical recurrence, analysis of cholesterol was considered primary, while analysis of LDL, HDL and triglyceride levels was considered secondary. Differences in demographic, clinical and pathologic factors between patients with normal vs. abnormal total serum cholesterol ( $<200 \mathrm{vs}$. $2200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) were examined using t-tests and $\chi^{2}$ tests for continuous and categorical variables, respectively, and rank-sum tests for continuous variables not normally distributed.

Time from RP to biochemical recurrence was compared between normal vs. abnormal serum cholesterol categories using Kaplan-Meier plots and the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to test whether serum cholesterol levels (abnormal vs. normal, as well as continuous) independently predicted time to recurrence. Continuous lipid levels were presented in $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increments to facilitate interpretation of the hazard ratios. The proportionality assumption was tested by examining the Schoenfeld residuals. Cox models were adjusted for age at surgery (continuous), race (black vs. non-black), pre-operative PSA (continuous; log-transformed), year of surgery (continuous), BMI (continuous; logtransformed), pathological Gleason score ( $2-6,7(3+4), 7(4+3)-10)$, positive surgical margins (yes vs. no), extracapsular extension (yes vs. no), seminal vesicle invasion (yes vs. no) and surgical center. Models were also adjusted for post-RP statin use which was treated as a time-dependent variable in order to account for varying start dates and duration of postRP statin use during follow up, based upon our previous findings that post-operative statin use impacts biochemical recurrence risk [26]. We did not have access to cardiovascular disease history. In secondary analysis using the same approach as described for serum cholesterol levels, we tested the association between LDL, HDL and triglyceride levels and risk of recurrence. While the distribution of triglyceride and HDL levels was slightly skewed, log transformation did not alter our results. Thus, in order to facilitate interpretation of hazard ratios, analysis was conducted without log transformation of triglyceride and HDL levels. We tested for interaction between abnormal lipid levels and postoperative statin use in predicting risk of recurrence by treating postoperative statin use as a categorical variable and incorporating a product term into our multivariable analysis. We examined BMI as an effect modifier of the association between lipid levels and risk of recurrence by incorporating a product term into our multivariable analysis. Given the established link between abnormal serum lipid levels and increased risk of death from causes other than PC [27], we also conducted secondary analyses using a cox proportional hazards analysis treating non-PC death as a competing risk for our primary outcome of biochemical recurrence.

Locally-weighted regression (lowess) regression, enabling flexible modeling of complex relationships based on the local data structure, was used to model the relationship between serum lipid levels and risk of recurrence. In secondary analysis based upon lowess modelling results, we explored the association between serum lipid levels and risk of recurrence after restricting our cohort to men with abnormal lipid levels. Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to examine the association between serum lipid levels (continuous) and risk of recurrence, adjusting for aforementioned demographic, clinical and
pathological characteristics among men with abnormal lipid values. This analysis was repeated among men with normal lipid levels.

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata, version 13.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

## Results

## Baseline characteristics

Patients excluded from the analysis due to pre-operative statin use were older than those who never used statins prior to RP (Supplementary Table S1). As anticipated, pre-operative statin users also had elevated BMI and higher prevalence of diabetes, but lower levels of cholesterol and LDL, relative to men who never used statins prior to RP. However, while pre-operative statin users were less likely to have positive margins, no other pathologic features differed by pre-operative statin use. Patients excluded from the analysis due to missing lipid levels had an earlier year of surgery ( 2002 vs . 2005; p<0.0001) and longer median follow up ( 86.7 vs. 74.4 months; $p=0.0076$ ), but no other demographic or pathologic features differed by missing lipid status (data not shown).

Of the entire cohort of 843 men who never used statins prior to RP, 325 (39\%) patients had abnormal preoperative cholesterol levels ( $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), as defined using NCEP-ATP III guidelines (Table 1) [25]. As anticipated, men with normal preoperative cholesterol levels ( $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) were significantly less likely to use statins after RP, relative to men with abnormal preoperative cholesterol ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). However, there were no significant differences in age at surgery, race, preoperative PSA, diabetes status, BMI or any pathologic features by cholesterol status (Table 1). With the exception of LDL and triglycerides, lipid levels were modestly intercorrelated, with the strongest correlation between cholesterol and LDL (Pearson correlation coefficient=0.83). LDL and triglyceride levels were positively correlated with BMI, while HDL was negatively correlated with BMI (Supplementary Table S2).

## Total serum cholesterol and risk of recurrence

A total of 293 (35\%) men experienced biochemical recurrence. Median follow-up among men who did not recur was 74.3 months (Q1-Q3: 41.5-102.8). Patients with normal cholesterol levels were more recently treated than patients with abnormal cholesterol (2004 vs. 2005; p<0.001; Table 1), but there was no significant difference in follow-up between groups ( $p=0.5$ ). Kaplan Meier plots revealed no significant effect of cholesterol on risk of recurrence (log-rank $\mathrm{p}=0.334$; data not shown). Total serum cholesterol was not associated with risk of recurrence, either as a continuous or categorical variable, on multivariable analysis (both $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.4$; Table 2). Competing risk analysis did not alter our results (Supplementary Table S3).

## Serum lipids and risk of recurrence

Similar to our null findings for total cholesterol, neither LDL nor HDL were significantly related to risk of PC recurrence, either as continuous or categorical variables, on either
univariable (log-rank $\mathrm{p}=0.824$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.339$, respectively) or multivariable analysis (all $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.339$; Table 2). However, elevated triglycerides were associated with $35 \%$ increased risk of recurrence on multivariable analysis (abnormal vs. normal; HR 1.35; 95\% CI 1.05-1.74; Table 2). Furthermore, there was a significant $2 \%$ increased risk of recurrence for every 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in triglyceride level when treated as a continuous variable on both univariable $\left(\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per }} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} 1.02 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI} 1.02-1.03\right)$ and multivariable analysis ( $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per }} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} 1.02 ; 95 \%$ CI 1.02-1.04; Table 2). Given that abnormal serum triglycerides form part of the NCEP-ATPIII diagnostic panel for diabetes [25], we restricted our analysis to men without diabetes and found a similar association (abnormal vs. normal; HR 1.46; 95\% CI 1.10-1.93). Given the strong correlation between LDL and cholesterol, we did not mutually adjust for LDL and cholesterol, but mutually adjusting for other combinations of lipids did not alter our results (data not shown). We found no significant interaction between BMI and any lipid for predicting risk of recurrence (data not shown). Treating death as a competing risk did not materially affect the associations between HDL, LDL, and triglycerides and recurrence (Supplementary Table S3). Given that preclinical disease may impact serum lipid levels, we repeated our analysis after excluding the first year of follow up and found that none of the HRs were appreciably altered (data not shown).

## Risk of recurrence among men with abnormal lipid levels

Given these null overall findings for cholesterol, LDL and HDL, we further explored the association between serum lipid levels and risk of PC recurrence by modelling these relationships using lowess plots (Figure 1). Since these plots suggested a possible relationship between lipid levels and risk of recurrence when lipid values were in the abnormal range, we performed secondary analyses restricted to patients with abnormal lipid levels. On multivariable analysis of men with abnormal lipid levels, there was a significant association between higher cholesterol levels and risk of recurrence, with each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in cholesterol above $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ associated with $9 \%$ increased risk of recurrence ( $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per }} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} 1.09 ; 95 \%$ CI 1.01-1.17; Table 3). Furthermore, increasing HDL within the abnormal range was significantly protective, with each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ increase associated with $39 \%$ reduced risk of recurrence $\left(\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per } 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}} 0.61 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI} 0.41-0.91\right)$, while the association between LDL and risk of recurrence was null ( $\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per } 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}} 1.05 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI} 0.94-$ 1.17). In line with our analysis among all men, elevated triglycerides within the abnormal range remained associated with increased risk of recurrence $\left(\mathrm{HR}_{\text {per } 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}} 1.03 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}\right.$ $1.01-1.05)$. There were no significant interactions between abnormal levels of any lipid and postoperative statin use in predicting risk of recurrence (data not shown). Treating death as a competing risk did not materially affect the associations between HDL, LDL, and triglycerides and recurrence (Supplementary Table S4). Among men with normal lipid levels, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglycerides were not associated with risk of recurrence (data not shown).

## Discussion

While obesity is an established risk factor for aggressive PC and PC mortality [3], the mechanisms contributing to this obesity-PC link are not well understood. Based upon biologic evidence supporting an important role for cholesterol in PC, we hypothesized that
serum lipid levels, a potentially modifiable factor, may influence risk of PC recurrence. In contrast to this hypothesis, we found a null association between total cholesterol, LDL and HDL and risk of recurrence. However, each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in serum triglyceride levels was associated with $2 \%$ increased risk of PC recurrence, with elevated serum triglycerides ( $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} \mathrm{vs} .<150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, as defined by NCEP-ATPIII guidelines) significantly associated with $35 \%$ increased risk of recurrence. Given the scarcity of studies examining the association between triglycerides and PC progression, future studies are required to confirm these findings.

Secondary analysis, guided by modelling the relationship between serum lipid levels and risk of recurrence using lowess plots, examined these associations in men with dyslipidemia. We found that each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in total cholesterol above the abnormal cut-off value of $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was significantly associated with a $9 \%$ increased risk of PC recurrence, while each $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increase in HDL below the abnormal cut-off value of $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was significantly associated with a $39 \%$ decreased risk of recurrence. These findings, which require confirmation in other studies, may highlight the importance of controlling total cholesterol and HDL levels in PC patients with dyslipidemia, not only for cardiovascular disease risk reduction but also for potentially reducing risk of PC recurrence.

In contrast to the only previous study to examine the impact of LDL on risk of recurrence in PC patients which found a positive association [16], we found a null association between LDL and risk of recurrence in our cohort. It is noteworthy that while the previous study was limited by sparse LDL data ( $\mathrm{n}=169$ ), more than half of which came from statin users [16], we had complete LDL data for our entire cohort of 843 men, none of whom were statin users at the time of LDL measurement. While future studies are required to examine the impact of elevated LDL levels on risk of recurrence, our results from this current study do not support this association.

Relative to other organs of the body, normal prostate epithelial cells have high cholesterol content and these levels increase further during progression to PC [5], suggesting that cholesterol accumulation may be advantageous to PC progression. Cholesterol promotes PC cell line growth both in vitro and in xenograft models via lipid raft-mediated Akt signaling [6]. Moreover, reduction of serum cholesterol, the precursor for sex steroid synthesis, has been demonstrated to lower tumor androgen levels and slow tumor growth in xenograft models of human PC [7]. However, epidemiologic evidence for an association between cholesterol and PC progression is mixed. One retrospective study reported a protective association between low cholesterol and risk of recurrence in radiation-treated PC patients [16] and two large studies found that elevated cholesterol levels were associated with increased risk of PC mortality [17, 18]. In contrast, another study reported a null association between cholesterol and PC mortality, although this study was conducted in a predominantly Asian population [19]. Of note, in contrast to total cholesterol, we found no association between LDL and recurrence even among men with abnormal LDL levels. While LDL levels are important for estimating cardiovascular disease risk, it is unknown which cholesterol subfractions are most important for tumor growth, and this requires further study. Although the primary mechanism by which HDL reduces cardiovascular disease risk is via reverse cholesterol transport [28], it is not known whether this same mechanism may
impact PC growth. HDL has also been demonstrated to have anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative and anti-oxidant properties [29] which may slow PC growth and progression, although epidemiologic evidence has been inconclusive [22]. Finally, high triglycerides have been associated with elevated levels of reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress, in addition to development of insulin resistance, all of which have been associated with prostate tumorigenesis [30]. Thus, the possible association between dyslipidemia and increased risk of PC recurrence is supported by these multiple biologic pathways by which serum lipids may impact PC growth and progression.

Our study has several limitations which should be considered. First, all serum lipid measurements were obtained within the year prior to RP and thus may potentially be affected by the presence of pre-clinical disease [31]. In order to address this, we explored the impact of excluding recurrences during the first year of follow up, and found that this did not alter our results. In addition, bias due to reverse causation is less likely in screened populations such as ours where PC is diagnosed early in the natural history of the disease. Second, we lacked sufficient numbers to explore the impact of abnormal levels of all four lipids simultaneously on PC recurrence. Third, we did not have access to hypertension data and therefore could not assess the association between metabolic syndrome and risk of recurrence. Neither could we assess the impact of abnormal lipid levels within the context of metabolic syndrome. However, given the use of different definitions of the metabolic syndrome across epidemiologic studies [30], in addition to recent lack of certainty regarding its pathogenesis [32], it may be equally or more informative to estimate the effect of individual components of the metabolic syndrome on risk of PC recurrence. Finally, an important limitation of all observational biomarker studies is that causality cannot be inferred from these associations. These limitations are balanced by an important strength of this study. We excluded all men who were taking statins at the time of serum lipid measurement. While exclusion of pre-operative statin users limits the generalizability of our findings to men who do not use statins, we believe that this approach strengthens our exposure assessment as the pre-operative lipid levels of statin users may not reflect the environment that their tumor developed in. Furthermore, we adjusted our multivariable models for post-RP statin use as a time-dependent variable. Thus our serum lipid level measurements were obtained in the absence of any cholesterol-lowering medications and we were able to assess the association between serum lipid levels and risk of recurrence separately from statin use. While we found no evidence for interaction between postoperative statin use and abnormal lipid levels in predicting risk of recurrence, future studies should assess whether beginning statin therapy after PC treatment could attenuate associations between abnormal lipid levels and risk of recurrence.

In summary, we found no strong evidence to support a link between serum lipid levels and risk of PC recurrence across the entire sample. However, among men with dyslipidemia, our findings suggest that normalization of serum lipid levels may be beneficial not only for cardiovascular disease prevention but also for PC recurrence risk reduction, though these results require confirmation in future studies. Although it cannot be determined from this study if these observed associations are causal, given the biologic evidence supporting an important role of cholesterol in PC growth in addition to epidemiologic data demonstrating that statin use is associated with reduced risk of recurrence, we believe that serum lipid
levels should be explored further as a risk factor for PC recurrence. While the association between obesity and increased risk of PC recurrence is likely to be multifactorial [3], these findings suggest that dyslipidemia may be one of the mechanisms underlying this association. Given that $45 \%$ of deaths worldwide can be attributed to cardiovascular disease and cancer [15], with PC the second most common cause of male cancer deaths [1], understanding the role of dyslipidemia as a shared, modifiable risk factor for both of these common causes of mortality is of great importance.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. LOWESS plots of serum lipid levels and risk of biochemical recurrence Bars indicate the cut-off for normal vs. abnormal lipid levels, as per NCEP-ATPIII guidelines [25]

Table 1
Demographic, clinical, and pathological characteristics of patients by serum cholesterol status

|  | Cholesterol < $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=518 ; \mathbf{6 1 \%}$ ) | Cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{3 2 5}$; 39\%) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age, mean $\pm$ SD | $60.4 \pm 6.3$ | $60.6 \pm 6.4$ | $0.664^{\ddagger}$ |
| Race, $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |  |  | $0.106^{\S}$ |
| Black | 222 (43) | 121 (37) |  |
| Non-black | 296 (57) | 204 (63) |  |
| Year of surgery, median (Q1-Q3) | 2005 (2003, 2008) | 2004 (2002, 2007) | $<0.001{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| Follow-up, median (Q1-Q3) | 74.7 (41.3, 99.0) | 73.4 (41.5, 108.1) | $0.501^{\dagger}$ |
| PSA, median (Q1-Q3) | 6.3 (4.8, 9.4) | $7.2(5.0,10.5)$ | $0.071^{\dagger}$ |
| Statin use, n (\%) |  |  | $<0.001$ § |
| Never | 308 (59) | 108 (33) |  |
| Started after RP | 210 (41) | 217 (67) |  |
| BMI, median (Q1-Q3) | 27.4 (24.7, 30.5) | 27.5 (24.9, 30.6) | $0.936{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| Diabetes, n (\%) | 84 (21) | 48 (20) | $0.687^{\dagger}$ |
| LDL, mean (SD) | 101.9 (21.6) | 141.9 (25.4) | $<0.0001$ |
| HDL, mean (SD) | 43.8 (13.7) | 51.2 (20.3) | <0.0001 |
| Triglycerides, mean (SD) | 126.0 (83.2) | 150.0 (83.9) | 0.0001 |
| Pathological Gleason score, n (\%) |  |  | 0.276 § |
| 2-6 | 177 (34) | 125 (39) |  |
| $7 \text { (3+4) }$ | 214 (41) | 134 (41) |  |
| $7(4+3)-10$ | 127 (25) | 66 (20) |  |
| Positive margins, n (\%) | 228 (44) | 141 (43) | $0.857^{\dagger}$ |
| Extracapsular extension, n (\%) | 87 (17) | 66 (20) | $0.198^{\dagger}$ |
| Seminal vesicle invasion, n (\%) | 44 (8) | 30 (9) | $0.713^{\dagger}$ |
| Positive lymph nodes, n (\%) | 12 (2) | 9 (3) | $0.919^{\dagger}$ |

$\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation; $\mathrm{Q} 1=25^{\text {th }}$ percentile; $\mathrm{Q} 3=75^{\text {th }}$ percentile; $\mathrm{PSA}=$ prostate specific antigen; $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index; $\mathrm{RP}=$ radical prostatectomy
p values calculated by
$F_{\text {t-test }}$,
${ }^{\dagger}$ Wilcoxon rank-sum or
$\S_{\text {chi-square test }}$

Table 2
Hazard ratios for serum lipid levels predicting risk of biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy

| Total cholesterol | <200 mg/dL | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | Continuous ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unadjusted | Ref. | 1.13 (0.90-1.43), $\mathrm{p}=0.294$ | 1.02 (0.98, 1.05), p=0.365 |
| Adjusted* | Ref. | 1.09 (0.85-1.39), $\mathrm{p}=0.493$ | 1.02 (0.98, 1.05), p=0.407 |
| LDL | $<130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | Continuous ${ }^{\S}$ |
| Unadjusted | Ref. | 1.03 (0.81-1.31), $\mathrm{p}=0.824$ | 1.00 (0.96, 1.03), p=0.832 |
| Adjusted* | Ref. | 1.01 (0.78-1.30), $\mathrm{p}=0.944$ | 0.99 (0.95, 1.04), p=0.768 |
| HDL | $\geq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | Continuous ${ }^{\S}$ |
| Unadjusted | Ref. | 1.12 (0.89-1.41), $\mathrm{p}=0.339$ | 0.99 (0.92, 1.07), p=0.854 |
| Adjusted* | Ref. | 1.11 (0.87-1.41), $\mathrm{p}=0.402$ | 0.97 (0.89, 1.04), p=0.378 |
| Triglycerides | < $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | $3150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ | Continuous ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |
| Unadjusted | Ref. | 1.15 (0.91-1.46), $\mathrm{p}=0.250$ | 1.02 (1.01,1.03), $\mathrm{p}=0.006$ |
| Adjusted* | Ref. | 1.35 (1.05-1.74), $\mathrm{p}=0.020$ | 1.02 (1.01, 1.04), p<0.001 |

Cells display hazard ratio ( $95 \%$ confidence interval), p-value
HDL=high density lipoprotein; LDL=low density lipoprotein
$\S_{\text {Hazard ratios are for every } 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \text { increase }}$

* Hazard ratios are adjusted for age, race, pre-operative PSA, year of surgery, BMI, surgical center, statin use, pathological Gleason score, prostate weight, positive surgical margins, extracapsular extension, and seminal vesicle invasion

Table 3
Hazard ratios for abnormal lipid levels predicting risk of biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy

| Total cholesterol | Continuous; $\geq \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ m g} / \mathbf{d L}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unadjusted | $1.06(0.97,1.15), \mathrm{p}=0.206$ |
| Adjusted $^{*}$ | $1.09(1.01,1.19), \mathrm{p}=0.027$ |
| LDL | Continuous; $\geq \mathbf{1 3 0} \mathbf{~ m g / d L}$ |
| Unadjusted | $1.01(0.90,1.13), \mathrm{p}=0.860$ |
| Adjusted* | $1.05(0.94,1.17), \mathrm{p}=0.356$ |
| HDL | Continuous; $<\mathbf{4 0} \mathbf{~ m g} / \mathbf{d L}$ |
| Unadjusted | $0.73(0.51,1.04), \mathrm{p}=0.085$ |
| Adjusted* | $0.61(0.41,0.91), \mathrm{p}=0.016$ |
| Triglycerides | Continuous; $\geq \mathbf{1 5 0} \mathbf{~ m g / d L}$ |
| Unadjusted | $1.04(1.02,1.06), \mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Adjusted ${ }^{*}$ | $1.03(1.01,1.05), \mathrm{p}=0.004$ |

Cells display hazard ratio ( $95 \%$ confidence interval), p-value
All hazard ratios are for every $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ increase
HDL=high density lipoprotein; LDL=low density lipoprotein

* Hazard ratios are adjusted for age, race, pre-operative PSA, year of surgery, BMI, surgical center, statin use, pathologic Gleason score, positive surgical margins, extracapsular extension and seminal vesicle invasion
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