



# Sex Differences in Behavioral Dyscontrol: Role in Drug Addiction and Novel Treatments

Marilyn E. Carroll1\* and John R. Smethells2

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA, <sup>2</sup>Program in PharmacoNeuroImmunology, Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

The purpose of this review is to discuss recent findings related to sex differences in behavioral dyscontrol that lead to drug addiction, and clinical implications for humans are discussed. This review includes research conducted in animals and humans that reveals fundamental aspects of behavioral dyscontrol. The importance of sex differences in aspects of behavioral dyscontrol, such as impulsivity and compulsivity, is discussed as major determinants of drug addiction. Behavioral dyscontrol during adolescence is also an important consideration, as this is the time of onset for drug addiction. These vulnerability factors additively increase drug-abuse vulnerability, and they are integral aspects of addiction that covary and interact with sex differences. Sex differences in treatments for drug addiction are also reviewed in terms of their ability to modify the behavioral dyscontrol that underlies addictive behavior. Customized treatments to reduce behavioral dyscontrol are discussed, such as (1) using natural consequences such as non-drug rewards (e.g., exercise) to maintain abstinence, or using punishment as a consequence for drug use, (2) targeting factors that underlie behavioral dyscontrol, such as impulsivity or anxiety, by repurposing medications to relieve these underlying conditions, and (3) combining two or more novel behavioral or pharmacological treatments to produce additive reductions in drug seeking. Recent published work has indicated that factors contributing to behavioral dyscontrol are an important target for advancing our knowledge on the etiology of drug abuse, intervening with the drug addiction process and developing novel treatments.

## **OPEN ACCESS**

# Edited by:

Liana Fattore, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Marci R. Mitchell, Yale University, USA Robert F. Leeman, University of Florida, USA

## \*Correspondence:

Marilyn E. Carroll mcarroll@umn.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Addictive Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 15 March 2015 Accepted: 30 November 2015 Published: 08 February 2016

#### Citation:

Carroll ME and Smethells JR (2016)
Sex Differences in Behavioral
Dyscontrol: Role in Drug Addiction
and Novel Treatments.
Front. Psychiatry 6:175.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2015.00175

Keywords: animal models, behavioral dyscontrol, drug addiction, food addiction, impulsivity, sweet intake, sex differences, novel treatments

#### INTRODUCTION

Addiction and related impulse control disorders have an estimated cost to society of 600 billion dollars per year (1). In humans, substance abuse varies with current drug availability trends and sex differences are reported (2), but the direction of those differences is not always consistent, as it varies with current trends in substance availability and cost (3). However, in recent years, women exceed men in the abuse of prescription drugs, men use more alcohol and stimulant drugs than women, and the use of nicotine has been more equal across sexes (4). By contrast, animal studies have revealed more consistent trends in drug-seeking behavior indicating that females are more likely to initiate and maintain drug-seeking behavior, and they have a better response to treatment than males.

1

Sex differences in drug abuse, hormonal influences, and their implications for treatment have been extensively reviewed with regard to animal and human studies (3, 5–9). A recent finding that is important to our understanding of sex differences in drug abuse is that underlying aspects of addiction, such as compulsive and impulsive behavior (e.g., behavioral dyscontrol) are strong determinants of addiction, and differences in drug taking depend on several factors, such as type of drug, behavioral measures that are used, and sociocultural influences [e.g., Ref. (10)].

The present review focuses on elements of behavioral dyscontrol that increase vulnerability to drug abuse and add to sex differences to evaluate the importance of sex, hormonal conditions, as well as other individual differences, in developing treatments for drug addiction. In this review, findings from laboratory animals and human research will be discussed separately. We discuss major aspects of behavioral dyscontrol and how they interact with sex differences to contribute to vulnerability to drug addiction and receptivity to treatment. Behavioral dyscontrol is defined as maladaptive influences, behavior that an individual has difficulty stopping. It includes impulsivity, compulsive binge-like behavior, and it is particularly prevalent during adolescence (vs. adulthood), the time when drug addiction is most prevalent. In several reviews of factors that underlie drug addiction, these topics have emerged as the strongest contributors to addictive behavior, and there are interactions among them. The goal of this review is to bring the sex differences and behavioral dyscontrol literature together within the drug-abuse context to better understand critical vulnerability factors for drug addiction and how that knowledge may be useful in developing prevention and treatment strategies. Parallels are also drawn to other forms of addiction, such as food addiction (11-13), to illustrate that mechanisms of dyscontrol that underlie these addictive behaviors are similar. Thus, it may be instructive to target elements of dysregulation such as impulsive and compulsive behavior when developing strategies to treat drug addiction. For each of the following determinants of drug addiction, results from animal and human studies will be considered separately with respect to sex differences.

The study of sex differences in addiction has branched into several directions since our initial work of the late 1990s [see Ref. (14)]: the next section (Section 2) compares sex differences observed in human and animal models of drug abuse to understand how this factor affects addiction potential. Section 3 focuses on the underlying processes of addiction, such as impulsivity that leads to drug seeking. Thus, differences in addictive behavior in rats selected for high vs. low impulsivity (HiI vs. LoI) will be discussed and results extended to human drug addiction. Section 4 considers compulsive behavior that makes addictive behavior persist by comparing sex differences in compulsive, binge-like characteristics of addiction using selectively bred rat lines that were bred to binge drink a saccharin (SACC) solution (HiS) vs. rats that consume low to normal levels of SACC (LoS). These HiS and LoS rat lines are genetically predisposed to show high vs. low levels of drug seeking. These findings are discussed with respect to food addiction and its similarity to drug addiction. Section 5 compares sex differences during a critical developmental period (adolescents vs. adults) on measures of behavioral dyscontrol and drug addiction. Comparing different ages is a natural study in behavioral dyscontrol, as adolescence is the time when most humans express higher rates of impulsivity, compulsivity, and drug-seeking behavior compared with adults. Finally, Section 6 considers sex and individual differences in response to *treatment* for behavioral dyscontrol and drug addiction. Novel treatments are discussed, such as environmental enrichment, competing rewards/activities (e.g., exercise), and consequences (positive and negative). Pharmacological treatments are also discussed, such as medications to target underlying factors in addiction, such as impulsivity and anxiety. Self-sustaining treatments and customized treatments for addiction-prone and -resistant phenotypes are examined, as well as novel treatment combinations.

# SEX AND HORMONAL INFLUENCES ON DRUG ADDICTION

Sex differences in drug addiction is an area of research that has received increased attention since it began over 15 years ago, when the early studies were first reviewed (14), to the present when research in both sexes at all levels of animal research is recommended (15), and will be mandated by NIH (16). Sex differences in drug addiction have been discussed in recent reviews of animal and human studies (3, 5-8, 17). In non-human animals, the direction of the sex differences generally favors greater avidity for drugs in females than males, and this is mainly due to hormonal differences. However, sex differences in studies of drug addiction in humans are less clear, as they are influenced by both hormonal conditions and societal factors (3). A key factor in understanding sex differences in drug addiction is that in females estrogen increases drug-seeking and drug-rewarding effects, whereas progesterone (PRO) decreases drug-seeking behaviors to the levels of males. By contrast, male hormones (e.g., testosterone) have little influence on drug addiction. The importance of sex differences and hormonal conditions in addictive behaviors in animal and human research has been recently reviewed (3, 5, 7, 8, 17-20) and is summarized in separate sections below along with suggestions of areas where future work is needed.

# **Laboratory Animals**

Preclinical findings on vulnerability factors in drug abuse have been extensively reviewed in recent years [e.g., Ref. (5-7, 17, 18, 21-28)]. These reviews also indicate that sex differences occur in each phase of the addiction process ranging from initiation (acquisition) to maintenance, escalation, withdrawal, and relapse. In general, female animals exhibit greater vulnerability than males to drug-seeking behavior during most of these phases. An exception is that during drug withdrawal (26, 29-31), and when considering other negative or punishing effects of drugs (22, 23), males generally show more susceptibility than females to these negative drug-related effects. While sex differences in animal research occur across most phases of addiction, and they are consistent, the effects are modest in size. Observing a sex difference effect in drug addiction research is usually depend on the use of drug naive animals, low-to-moderate drug doses, and/ or relatively demanding reinforcement schedules. Sex differences are not as likely to be found at high doses, or when access to the drug requires minimal effort, as ceiling effects occur.

However, there are relatively few reports of substantial sex differences in humans compared to the stronger sex-specific effects found in animals, which may be explained by environmental conditions. In experimentally naive animals, background conditions and experimental environments are minimal and typically the same for all animals, focusing on one variable, sex differences or hormonal conditions, with only minimal non-drug rewards available in the drug-taking environment (e.g., food, water). Thus, sex differences in drug seeking are more likely to emerge in animals (vs. humans) and be attributed to the rewarding effects of the drug, as the drug is a highly salient commodity. By contrast, in human studies, participants are not drug naive, there is environmental diversity (vs. uniformity), and historical factors as well as concurrently available of competing rewards can also influence self-reports of the rewarding effects of abused drugs. Another difference is that drug abuse is measured by actual drug intake in animals, whereas it is measured by self-report and choice or hypothetical choice in humans.

#### Humans

Sex differences in drug effects are reported in humans, and they are similar to those found in animals; females generally exceed males in drug use, although to different degrees with different types of drugs (5). For example, in recent years, women were more likely than men to use prescription drugs, such as sedatives, tranquilizers, and opioids for pain, whereas men were more likely to use illicit drugs (32). Overall, in humans, females are more avid drug seekers than males with regard to several drugs of abuse, such as alcohol (33), amphetamine (34), cannabinoids (19), cocaine (14, 20), nicotine (35), opioids (36), and phencyclidine (37). By contrast, as reported in studies with rats and monkeys, males generally show more susceptibility than females to negative drug effects, such as drug withdrawal (38).

Sex differences in drug addiction in humans are strongly influenced by biological conditions such as estrogen and PRO levels (39) and the PRO (P) to estrogen (E) ratio (P/E) (40) during different phases of the menstrual cycle that has been suggested as an index of hormonal status in humans (40). However, sex differences in drug addiction are also determined by sociological as well as biological factors (10, 17). For example, when considering sex differences in human alcohol and opioid use in the 1700s, and comparing that to alcohol, opioid use in the late 1800s to early 1900s, it is clear that as social norms and legal policy changed, drug-abuse patterns have shifted in women and men over time (17). Kornetsky (10) illustrated that due to cultural changes and changes in job opportunities, family structure, and other social factors, alcohol and opioid abuse were more common in women than men in the 1800s, but in the 1900s, men exceeded women on use of most drugs, and those patterns shifted, depending on the drug, in the late 1990s to the present.

# **Current Research on Sex Differences in Addiction**

The study of sex differences in drug addiction did not begin in earnest until about 1998 [see Ref. (14)]. In recent years, the study of sex differences (41) and the impact of ovarian hormones (42) have expanded exponentially and taken on several new directions. For example, to better understand the differences and commonalities

between sex differences in both laboratory animals and humans, animal research models have been developed to represent the human condition, such as modeling fundamental diagnostic criteria used in humans (43, 44) and modeling reduced sensitivity to treatment of drug-seeking behaviors, such as escalation (45, 46) and relapse (47, 48). The goal is not only to study sex differences in drug addiction in isolation but also to begin with the foundation of behavioral dyscontrol from which it arises, and consider major factors that constitute behavioral dyscontrol, such as impulsive choice and action, compulsive, binge-like drug seeking, and age (adolescence vs. adulthood). Age is especially important because adolescence and young adulthood is when the majority of drug abuse begins. It is ethically difficult to prospectively study this time period in humans; thus, it is essential to work in tandem with laboratory animal models. Biological and behavioral events that occur during this time may be crucial to finding solutions for prevention and treatment of addiction. Another novel approach of this review is to discuss sex differences in novel treatments that were developed in animals and tested in humans for drug addiction, and how their effects differ by sex and other vulnerability factors involving impulsive and compulsive behavior. This area has been neglected in most previous reviews, as no viable treatment strategies are currently available to adequately treat human drug addiction. However, the animal literature has begun to reveal several promising leads for prevention and treatment. Section "Sex Differences in the Effect of Novel Treatments for Behavioral Dyscontrol and Drug Addiction" will consider several novel treatments that might be self-sustaining in humans.

Thus, the present review will focus on sex differences in behavioral dyscontrol, highlighting key individual differences that can lead to drug addiction. These include impulsive drug seeking in the form of impulsive choice and action, such as compulsive behavior that leads to binging on drugs when extended access is available, and excessive drug seeking during abstinence that can lead to relapse. The sections that follow will examine sex differences in impulsive [for a detailed review, see Weafer and de Wit (49)], and other individual differences such as compulsive, binge-like intake of a sweetened liquid (SACC) [see reviews in Ref. (22-26, 28, 31, Carroll et al., under review)], and age (adolescence vs. adult) (50). Subsequently, behavioral and pharmacological interventions for reducing these forms of behavioral dyscontrol will be discussed. Table 1 summarizes the vulnerable behaviors that will be discussed as predecessors and predictors of drug abuse, impulsivity, compulsivity, and age (adolescents vs. adults), and how treatment success varies by the vulnerability characteristic. These three vulnerability characteristics will be discussed in Sections "Sex Differences in Impulsivity and Drug Addiction," "Sex Differences in Compulsive Sweet Consumption as a Predictor of Drug Addiction," and "Sex and Age (Adolescent vs. Adult) Differences in Behavioral Dyscontrol and Drug Addiction," respectively, and compared by sex for laboratory animals and humans.

# SEX DIFFERENCES IN IMPULSIVITY AND DRUG ADDICTION

Impulsivity, defined as behavior without forethought or consideration of future consequences, is a familiar form

TABLE 1 | Summary of individual differences in selected and selectivelybred rats showing trends in vulnerability to addiction, behavioral dyscontrol, reaction to aversive events, and treatment outcome as a function of sex, age (adolescent vs. adult), impulsivity (I), and sweet intake (S).

Vulnerable behaviors	Individual difference	Reaction to aversive events	Treatment outcome	Reference
Drug addiction	F > M	M > F	F > M	Anker and Carroll (6), Becker et al. (17), Carroll et al. (under review), Lynch et al. (51, 263), Perry et al. (53), Anker et al. (54), Cosgrove and Carroll (55)
	Adoles > Adult	Adult > Adoles	Adult > Adoles	Carroll and Anker (7, 263), Anker and Carroll (18), O'Dell et al. (57), Perry et al. (52), Anker and Carroll (56), Spear and Swartzwelder (50)
	Adoles > Adult		Adoles > Adult	Zlebnik et al. (58)
	HMI > LMI HMI > LMI		HMI > LMI	Economidou et al. (71) Diergaarde et al. (77), Belin et al. (78), Dalley et al. (79)
	Hil = Lol Hil > Lol		Lol > Hil	Regier et al. (59) Anker et al. (54), Perry et al. (73), Poulos et al. (75), Diergaarde et al. (77)
	Hil > Lol HiS > LoS	LoS > HiS	Lol > Hil LoS > HiS	Broos et al. (69)  Dess et al. (60, 61), Carrol et al. (25, 28, 62), Perry et al. (29), Anker and Carroll (56), Holtz et al. (63), Holtz and Carroll (23, 24, 64)
Impulsive action	M > F			Jentsch and Taylor (65), Bayless et al. (66), Burton and Fletcher (67)
	HiS > LoS HiI = LoI			Anker et al. (68) Broos et al. (70)
Impulsive choice	F > M			van Haaren et al. (72), Perry et al. (53), Koot et al. (74)
	F = M $HMI > LMI$ $HMI = LMI$ $HiS > LoS$		HMI > LMI	Perry et al. (73) Robinson et al. (80) Broos et al. (70) Perry et al. (52)
Sweet	F > M Hil > Lol			Carroll et al. (25, 28), Carroll and Holtz (22)

M, male; F, female; Hil, Lol, selected for high vs. low impulsive, delay discounting; HMI, LMI, high and low motor impulsive, 5-CSRTT; HiS, LoS, selectively bred for high vs. low saccharin intake.

of behavioral dyscontrol that has been linked to attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and is a criterion for substance abuse, pathological gambling, and eating disorders [Ref. (81); see review by Fattore et al. (3)]. Impulsivity is often separated into two main forms: impulsive choice and impulsive action. *Impulsive choice* is defined as a preference for

a small-immediate reward over a larger-delayed choice (82), whereas *impulsive action* is considered the inability to withhold a response until an appropriate time is signaled (83). Animal and human preclinical studies have shown that both forms of impulsivity are positively related to drug abuse [for reviews, see Ref. (27, 49, 84, 85)]. There is strong clinical evidence that greater impulsive choice is associated with the development of drug abuse (86), and lower impulsive choice is predictive of better treatment success (87). Results of studies in which both forms of impulsivity have been studied in animal and human research is discussed below.

# **Impulsive Choice**

Impulsive choice is typically measured using procedures that assess preference for a small-immediate reward over a large-delayed reward over a range of delays to its receipt. One method to quantify impulsive choice is to determine how rapidly an individual discounts the value of the large alternative, as delays are imposed on its receipt. A steeper discounter (more impulsive) would devalue the larger or delayed alternative, and they would shift their preference to the smaller-sooner alternative at shorter delays. A shallow discounter (less impulsive) would tolerate longer delays for a larger reward. Impulsive choice (steeper discounting) is associated with drug abuse and decreased treatment success (85, 88), these findings may partially explain why animal and human females (vs. males) are more predisposed to choose drug abuse vs. healthy alternative behaviors (27, 73, 89).

## **Laboratory Animals**

One of the first studies of sex differences in impulsive choice used a Y-maze to assess choice for immediate or delayed food in slightly food restricted rats (72), and females discounted the larger-delayed reward more than males. Subsequently, Perry et al. (52) conducted a similar study of impulsive choice for food using a two-lever operant conditioning chamber in which responding on one lever resulted in a small-immediate amount of food and responding on the other lever produced a larger-delayed amount. When this experiment was replicated with other groups of male and female rats that selfadministered i.v. cocaine under a similar delay-discounting task, there were no sex differences. This was likely due to a ceiling effect since overall impulsivity for cocaine was much higher than for food (52). In a subsequent study, Perry et al. (73) compared male and female rats selected for high vs. low impulsivity (HiI vs. LoI), based on the delay-discounting task for food, on acquisition of cocaine self-administration, and on cocaine-primed reinstatement of cocaine seeking (a model of relapse). They found that both HiI males and females acquired cocaine self-administration faster than LoI males and females, and HiI females showed greater cocaine seeking during reinstatement than LoI females or either group of males (Hi, LoI). A similar study was conducted by Koot et al. (74) in mice that were divided into steep (more impulsive) vs. shallow (less impulsive) discounters based on a median split. Within the steep-discounter group females were more impulsive than males. Overall, while there are only a few studies of impulsive choice in animals, the results consistently support a moderately higher level of impulsivity in females than males.

#### Humans

In humans, sex differences in impulsive choice are more mixed. Women tend to be slightly more impulsive than men when making choices between real (lottery based) and hypothetical monetary outcomes [Ref. (90–93); but see Ref. (94)]. However, in some studies, no differences have been reported (95–100). Kirby and Maraković (94) reported that men were more impulsive than women when hypothetical money was a choice, but when real money was based on a lottery, men discounted money more steeply than women at the higher monetary values. Overall, women generally show greater impulsive choices for hypothetical rewards, but men show more impulsive choices for actual rewards.

In summary, regarding impulsive choice, results of animal studies suggest that females exhibited more impulsivity than males in the transition states of addiction, such as initiation and relapse or reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior. In humans, the methods are quite different than in animals, but women were more impulsive toward hypothetical rewards, and men were more impulsive for actual rewards [see Ref. (49)].

# **Impulsive Action**

Impulsive action is typically considered an inability to inhibit non-productive or inappropriate responses (83), and in humans and animals, two tasks to quantify this form of impulsivity are commonly used, the stop-signal reaction time (SSRT) and Go/ No-go tasks. These tasks signal periods of responding and nonresponding, and failures to inhibit an inappropriate response are considered instances of impulsive action. During the SSRT, a subject must inhibit an ongoing "go" response when a "stop" signal is presented, making the task more difficult than the Go/No-go task in which the participant must respond to a "go" stimulus but inhibit a response following "no-go" stimulus. In these tasks, researchers consider impulsive action to be an increase in errors of commission (i.e., failures to inhibit responding to an inappropriate stimulus in SSRT, more responding during a no-go period, and longer stop-signal reaction times). These tasks are similar to the relapse aspect of drug addiction, whereby individuals are unable to withhold responding to drug-related cues (e.g., accepting a drink offer).

#### **Laboratory Animals**

In an animal study of impulsive action, male and female rats were compared on a Go/No-go task for food or i.v. cocaine infusions (68), and no differences were found in responding for food reward during the no-go period (impulsivity measure). However, females made more responses for cocaine infusions during the no-go period than males, and this was consistent with measures of impulsive choice (52). In the five-choice serial reaction time task (5-CSRTT), no sex differences were found in mice during acquisition or the challenging portion when long intertrial intervals (ITI), stress, and ad libitum food were tested (98). However, over repeated testing, females were more impulsive than males as indicated by premature responding (action impulsivity). In another 5-CSRTT study of young vs. adult rats, no sex or age differences were found in task acquisition, but females made more premature responses than males in the challenging task (long ITI) (67).

As in a previous study of impulsive choice (51), sex hormones were implicated in studies of impulsive action. For example, Jentsch and Taylor (65) compared intact and gonadectomized, male and female rats, in a 5-CSRTT study, and intact males made more premature responses than gonadectomized females during the acquisition and challenge (long ITI) conditions. Gonadectomy increased impulsive action in males and ovariectomy increased impulsive action in females, suggesting that both testosterone and estrogen are related to impulsive action in rats. In a recent study by Bayless et al. (66), comparing male and proestrus female rats on the 5-CSRTT, males showed greater impulsivity (premature responding) than females. Thus, there is an indication that sex and hormonal status are factors in measures of impulsive action.

#### Humans

In tests of impulsive action with humans, sex differences have been mixed, depending on the procedure employed. Under the Go/no-go procedure, males tended to commit more inhibitory errors than females (99, 100), but in other studies, there were no sex differences (93, 101). Similar findings occurred using a continuous performance task (CPT). In an eight-study meta-analysis of children with ADHD, boys consistently made more errors of commission (i.e., more impulsive) than girls (102), and there were similar findings in adolescent vs. adult smokers (103). However, in the SSRT, females had longer reaction times (more impulsive) than males (103-106); although, in a similar number of studies, no sex differences were found (93, 101, 106, 107). Thus, human sex differences in impulsive action may be procedure dependent. Males were more impulsive on tasks requiring inhibition of ongoing "go" responses (e.g., CPT and Go/No-go task), and females were more impulsive on the SSRT task (i.e., longer reaction times) that requires initiation of a response.

Sex differences in impulsive action also extend to drug addiction, and women drug users are more impulsive than men. Female heavy drinkers and adolescent smokers were more impulsive than males on the SSRT (103, 106) and CPT (108) tasks. Interestingly, the non-drug-seeking control males were similar or more impulsive than the female controls, suggesting a strong covariance of impulsive action and drug abuse in females vs. males. Estrogen reduced impulsive behavior in a SSRT task in humans (104), and women in the follicular phase, when estrogen levels are peaking, were more impulsive (longer reaction times) than during the luteal phase when the estrogen levels are low and PRO levels peak and decline. Overall, sex and sex hormones play a role in modulating impulsive action. Specifically, PRO reduced impulsivity (Smethells et al., under review); thus, using PRO as a treatment to target impulsivity may be effective for reducing addictive behavior (see Targeting Individual Differences with Repurposed Medications as Treatments for Addiction).

A general trend in sex differences in impulsive action in animals and humans is less clear than for impulsive choice; however, a wider range of tasks are used to assess impulsive action, and they may be accessing different elements of the behavior. In animals, males exhibit more impulsive action than females, but it is task dependent. In humans, women tend to show more impulsive action than men on several tasks [see Ref. (49)].

# SEX DIFFERENCES IN COMPULSIVE SWEET CONSUMPTION AS A PREDICTOR OF DRUG ADDICTION

For many reasons (e.g., television advertising, changes in food production, and fast food access), changes in the U.S. culture over the last century have led to a condition where two-thirds of the population is overweight or obese, resulting in premature death due to metabolic syndrome, diabetes, heat disease, digestive disorders and associated cancers (109). The terms "food addiction," "hedonic overeating," and "food insecurity" (110) have been used recently to describe and explain chronic overeating leading to weight gain, and these concepts highlight underlying similarities between excessive eating and drug addiction. However, there is some disagreement that the behavioral and neurobiological mechanisms underlying this behavior are completely parallel to those involved with drug addiction [e.g., Ref. (3, 11, 12, 22, 28, 111–113)]. Nevertheless, recognizing similarities between "drug" and "food" addiction may be useful for designing treatment strategies.

Animal models have also been useful in understanding behavioral dyscontrol in food consumption as it relates to drug addiction. For example, sugar-binging rats extended this behavior to amphetamine (114), and rats selectively bred to consume large amounts of a SACC-sweetened liquid (HiS) showed faster initiation of heroin self-administration (62), with more animals per group acquiring drug use, escalating, and relapsing after forced abstinence, than rats bred for low SACC intake (LoS) (28). Other studies that model criteria for addiction in humans indicate that the HiS (vs. LoS) rats meet several DSM V (81) criteria for addiction, such as tolerance, difficulty limiting use, spending excessive time-seeking drug (29, 30), escalation of drug intake (115), impaired ability to regulate drug intake (51, 116), and continued use despite aversive consequences (117). HiS rats also showed impaired ability to regulate SACC intake (51). While sweet preference predicts all aspects of drug addiction, only a few studies in animals and humans have reported sex differences in these behaviors (28).

# **Laboratory Animals**

One of the best examples of animal models of behavioral dysregulation in feeding that is related to drug addiction and obesity is from studies initiated by Dess and colleagues. They bred different lines of rats that ingested excessively high levels of a sweet SACC solution (HiS) or low to normal amounts of SACC (LoS). Their early studies also revealed greater sensitivity in HiS vs. LoS rats to several tastes, such as sweet, salty, and bitter (118), and they found more ethanol intake in the HiS than the LoS rats (61, 119). Subsequent studies with the HiS/LoS rat lines in our laboratory with cocaine or heroin showed that HiS rats exceeded LoS rats during all phases of drug addiction, including initiation, escalation, or binging on cocaine during long access, resistance to extinction when cocaine availability was terminated, and relapse or reinstatement of drug seeking instigated by brief exposure to cocaine, stress, or cocaine-related cues, even several weeks after cocaine self-administration had terminated [see reviews in Ref.

(22, 25, 26, 28)]. In a recent study in our laboratory, the findings of SACC preference predicting drug self-administration were extended to other measures of reward, such ICSS, and HiS rats showed more cocaine-induced reward enhancement of intracranial self-stimulation than LoS rats (120). Converging results from many of these studies suggest that avidity for sweets and drugtaking behaviors are closely related, heritable, and substitutable (22, 25, 114), and they likely operate through common neural mechanisms [e.g., Ref. (121)].

A similar connection between sweet preference and drug addiction (e.g., HiS vs. LoS) was also found with outbred rats that were selected for high or low intake of SACC [e.g., Ref. (122, 123)], or other sweet substances, such as sucrose (124, 125), and opioid self-administration (126). The results were similar to those obtained in the HiS vs. LoS rat studies. The connection between drug addiction and overindulgence in food was recently modeled in a study by Yakovenko et al. (127) in which HiS rats exhibited more binge-like behaviors with access to high-fat or -sugar containing substances than LoS rats. This strong predictor of drug abuse has also been found to interact with sex. Sex differences in drug-seeking behavior were also examined in the HiS vs. LoS rats, and females exceeded males on drug seeking and intake. During the acquisition phase of drug self-administration, HiS and LoS females exceeded males in ethanol intake (119). During maintenance, females also consumed more ethanol (61, 119) and heroin (62) than males. HiS females also scored higher than LoS females on cocaine-induced locomotor activity and cocaineinduced sensitization of locomotor activity (116). Thus, HiS and female rats showed more cocaine-induced locomotor activity and sensitization than LoS and male rats.

Overall, the comparisons of HiS vs. LoS rats indicated that sex and SACC preference were additive predictors of behavioral dyscontrol in the form of drug addiction. Across several studies, the HiS females were ranked highest in drug seeking, followed by LoS females or HiS males, and then LoS males were lowest in terms of drug intake (22–25, 28). When the results of these studies were translated into DSM V (81) criteria for addiction (43), HiS rats exceeded LoS rats on several addiction criteria, such as tolerance, difficulty limiting use, excessive time-seeking drugs, impaired control over use, and despite punishment, as well as resistance to withdrawal effects [see reviews in Ref. (22–26, 28)]. Thus, the animal findings lend strong support to the conclusion that drug and food addiction have many similar characteristics.

#### **Humans**

In humans, parallels between food and drug addiction are beginning to emerge, but these areas have remained separate in the feeding literature, except for a few isolated reports. The relationship between substance abuse disorders and avidity for sweets has also been reported in human alcohol (128), cocaine (129), and opioid abusers (130). However, a more recent trend in hedonic overeating, often called "food addiction" that results in overweight and obese individuals in nearly two-thirds of the U.S. population, is nearly equally distributed in males and females (131) or worldwide, slightly more prevalent in women than in men (13). Other eating disorders, such as bulimia and anorexia

nervosa, have not been closely studied for sex differences, as most eating disorders have predominantly occurred in females [see edited volume by Avena (132)], but the lack of sex differences in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (131) data may be due to several factors, such as food is an essential commodity, whereas addictive drugs are optional. Nevertheless, the parallels and differences between addiction to food vs. drugs may be informative for prevention and treatment strategies. Currently, there is little human literature regarding an interchange between food and drug addiction, and how that might vary by sex. However, this interchange has been clearly demonstrated in rats, and females exceed males on both hedonic overeating and drug addiction (22, 28). The translational implications for humans are that as weight loss is effectively pursued, individuals will be at higher risk for drug addiction, and this is more likely to occur in females than males.

In summary, while animal data clearly indicate a strong connection between food- and drug-seeking behavior, and females show more drug seeking and sweet preference than males, there are not enough data available at this time to determine whether parallels in hedonic overeating and drug addiction extend to humans.

# SEX AND AGE (ADOLESCENT VS. ADULT) DIFFERENCES IN BEHAVIORAL DYSCONTROL AND DRUG ADDICTION

Age (adolescence vs. adult) is an important individual factor to consider when evaluating the contribution of sex and behavioral dyscontrol to addiction, because adolescence is when biological (hormonal) and behavioral (impulsivity, risk-taking) changes emerge in animals and humans, and these are major variables contributing to drug addiction. Laboratory animal and human adolescents and adults have been compared and reviewed in several previous studies of drug addiction for their differential responding to both the rewarding and aversive aspects (136–133). Generally, adolescents are more sensitive to the rewarding effects of drugs of abuse, but they have reduced sensitivity to the aversive effects. Importantly, animal and human studies indicate that adolescents are also more sensitive than adults to other major factors included in this review that have been noted to predict drug addiction, such as impulsivity (56, 134) and compulsive sweet intake (134-136). Sex differences in the development of addictive behavior are difficult to study during the adolescent period in animals, as adolescence is only about 30 days in rodents. In humans, there is mostly epidemiological research on behavioral dyscontrol and adolescence vs. adulthood, which has been informative, but prospective studies are limited due to the difficulty of studying human adolescents. The following sections review age-dependent effects of alcohol use in animals and humans, since it is a widely abused and well studied in the adolescent population (2).

# **Laboratory Animals**

The animal literature indicates that adolescent rats self-administer about two to three times more alcohol than adult rats [e.g., Ref. (137–139)]. Research with rats has also established that early

alcohol consumption in adolescent-exposed rats produced more impulsive risky choices in adults, compared to control rats that did not have adolescent alcohol access (140, 141). Contrary to human studies, female rats tend to consume more alcohol during adulthood than adolescence [e.g., Ref. (138, 142)]. The higher intake of alcohol in male adolescent rats, compared with adults, is likely due to a reduced sensitivity to the alcoholinduced sedative/hypnotic (143), hypothermic (144, 145), motor impairing (146), anxiolytic (147), and anxiogenic effects (148). This decreased sensitivity combined with a slightly higher rate of alcohol metabolism potentially enables adolescent rats (and perhaps adolescent humans) to consume more alcohol (144, 145, 149). While adolescent rats were more vulnerable than adults to various forms of addiction (150-152), opposite age effects have been reported (133, 153). Several studies have investigated the effect of adolescent drug exposure on subsequent adult drug use in animals (56) and humans (154, 155), and the findings indicate that early exposure facilitates adult drug abuse. Few studies have compared sex differences in rat studies of adolescence and addiction. In one study, rats self-administering cocaine were exposed to physical exercise as a treatment, and it was more effective in adolescents than adults (58). More details on treatment are presented in Section "Physical Exercise."

#### **Humans**

Although few studies have compared sex and age with respect to drug addiction, one study indicated that in humans, youngadults (ages 18-25) drink more alcohol than older adults (ages 35-54) [e.g., Ref. (156)]. For instance, in the Naimi study, binge drinking (>5 drinks per sitting) occurred about two to three times more frequently in younger adults than older adults, with males far exceeding females across all age groups. Age of exposure to alcohol also interacted with other risk factors for drug use, including impulsive and risky behavior. It has been found that those whose initial alcohol problems began in adolescence (age 13-17) are more impulsive than controls. Impulsivity was observed in early drug use (157–160) and later (38–46 year olds) after drug use had become fully established (161-166). These results suggest that exposure to alcohol early in life may increase impulsive and risky behavior, and adolescence may be a critical period when drug use alters prefrontal brain development leading to increased impulsivity [Ref. (167); see reviews by Brown and Tapert (168)]. The earlier the age of initial alcohol exposure, the poorer the prognosis for alcohol abuse in adulthood, and this can result from ease of access. For example, in the case of nicotine, second-hand smoke in children and adolescents yields nicotine content similar to actual smoking (169). Thus, parental smoking can accelerate health risks from smoking in addition to smoke inhaled by adolescents who use tobacco.

Dom et al. (164) found that the age of an alcohol problem onset was important for increasing impulsive choice that is predictive of further drug use. The rate of this increase when compared to controls, however, was only significantly steeper (i.e., impulsive choice was greater) for alcoholics whose alcohol problems started earlier in life (<25 years old) but not for alcoholics whose alcohol problems started later in life (>25 years old). Given the small number of females included, sex differences could not be

determined; however, the findings suggested an age-dependent relationship between the onset of an alcohol problem onset and impulsive choice. Thus, early alcohol exposure may cause increased levels of impulsive and risky behavior later in life leading to more drug abuse.

Taken together, the animal and human research suggests that age (adolescence vs. adults) is a significant vulnerability factor that interacts with other major factors, sex and impulsivity, and since males consume more alcohol than females during adolescence, this may result in enhanced vulnerability for alcohol abuse in males later in life.

# SEX DIFFERENCES IN THE EFFECT OF NOVEL TREATMENTS FOR BEHAVIORAL DYSCONTROL AND DRUG ADDICTION

In previous attempts to develop treatment for drug addiction, receptor pharmacology guided medication development. Several medications were designed to act on transmitter systems involved in drug addiction, and a consistent finding in these studies was that the treatments were more successful for female than male animals. For example, female rodents showed a greater reduction in cocaine self-administration than males when treated with kappa opioid agonists, spiralodine (170), bremazocine (171), a GABA<sub>B</sub> agonist, baclofen (172), a corticosterone synthesis inhibitor, and ketoconazole (173). In more recent studies with rats modafinil (an analeptic drug) decreased methamphetamine (METH) induced reinstatement (relapse) in both males and females. Other studies compared treatment effects bremazocine, a kappa opioid receptor agonist, in female and male monkeys self-administering orally delivered PCP (55), while females consumed more drug than males (milligram per kilogram), they reduced their drug intake more than males with bremazocine (171) treatment (see **Table 1**). However, most of those treatments failed to show efficacy or had undesirable side effects when translated to humans.

Despite these previous innovative treatment attempts and their success in animals, there are currently no safe, non-addictive, effective treatments for reducing the morbidity and mortality of drug addiction that are useful in humans, except for agonist therapies (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine) and drugs that have modest effects on relapse to smoking (e.g., varenicline - Chantix) or alcohol abuse (e.g., naltrexone). This is indicated by epidemiological reports that the rates of most forms of addictive behavior have remained steady or increased over the last decade, and there are endless new forms of addiction (e.g., designer drugs, bath salts, etc.) that defy treatment (32). Thus, development of treatments for drug addiction is a high priority. Of the studies that show some promising initial findings, very few have compared males and females. A review of 280 treatment studies for substance abuse disorders in men and women that were published between 1975 and 2005 indicated better treatment outcomes for women than men (174). However, their later analysis of the multi-site combined pharmacotherapy and behavioral interventions for alcohol dependence program (COMBINE), including 1383 men and women, reported that while there were sex differences in those seeking treatment for alcoholism, there were no sex differences in the combined treatment condition.

Women responded to naltrexone treatment combined with a medical management control condition similar to men (175).

A novel approach to designing new treatment strategies is to target factors that underly drug addiction. For example, behavioral dyscontrol is common to many forms of addiction; thus, treatment models can be designed to remedy this underlying aspect of drug abuse. The reinstatement (relapse) model has been useful for this purpose, as it portrays several aspects of the drug addiction process that occurs in humans, such as acquisition or initiation of drug self-administration, steady maintenance intake, escalation or binge-like intake of drugs, persistence of drug seeking (druglever responding) during extinction or abstinence when the drug is no longer available (compulsive drug seeking), reinstatement or relapse of drug seeking following experimenter-administered injections of the drug or presentation of drug-related cues or stress stimuli, and incubation of craving (a time-dependent increase in drug seeking) that accelerates drug craving and leads to relapse after extended periods of abstinence (176, 177). Earlier studies with rats and rhesus monkeys indicated that behavioral interventions as well as medications have had some success in reducing drug-motivated behavior, and some of these studies indicated that females were more responsive to treatment than males [see review by Carroll and Holtz (22)].

Much of the animal findings regarding medications for drug addiction have generally not translated to effective treatments for drug abuse in humans. Thus, recent animal studies have focused on novel treatments for drug addiction that could be self-sustaining in humans. These include (1) using natural consequences such as *non-drug rewards* or positive events (environmental enrichment) that a drug-abusing individual might encounter in the environment that would compete with drug use (e.g., social interaction, exercise). Also, negative consequences, such as punishment for drug use are naturally built into the environment and can be programed to reduce drug use. (2) Targeting factors that underlie behavioral dyscontrol, such as impulsivity or anxiety by repurposing medications designed to relieve these underlying behaviors that can drive drug addiction. For example, PRO [e.g., Ref. (40)] or atomoxetine (ATO) could be used for anxiety, impulsivity, or other forms of behavioral dyscontrol that are associated with ADHD, and (3) combining two or more novel behavioral and pharmacological treatments.

#### **Environmental Enrichment**

A widely studied and promising approach for reducing or preventing the development drug addiction (as a form of behavioral dyscontrol) has been to enrich the environment with non-drug rewards [see reviews in Ref. (27, 28, 178, 179)]. This has been a successful treatment method for reducing many aspects of drug addiction, and it is well supported by extensive preclinical and clinical evidence. However, this method has not been widely studied with respect to individual differences, such as sex. In earlier studies, a commonly used method of environmental enrichment for reducing drug-seeking behavior was to use preferred foods (180), or place animals after weaning in a larger social environment (vs. isolated) that contains novel objects and activities (181). Non-caloric sweet substances (e.g., SACC) were also effective as competing rewards to reduce drug seeking in rats [e.g., Ref. (55)]

and rhesus monkeys [e.g., Ref. (182, Carroll et al., under review)]. In these environmental enrichment studies, females reduced drug taking more than males when they had sweet substances concurrently available [see reviews in Ref. (22, 25)], or when they had prior access to a sweet substance (183, 184). Studies with female and male monkeys self-administering orally delivered PCP (55) or cocaine (Carroll et al., under review) indicated that females consumed more drug than males (milligram per kilogram), but females also reduced their drug intake more than males when treated with access to a non-drug reward, SACC (see **Table 1**). While these therapeutic advances were effective and providing palatable substances was a powerful intervention for drug abuse [see Ref. (22–25, 28)], more recent studies have sought to provide a healthier environmental enrichment alternative, focusing on social and physical elements of the environment.

#### Social

Taking drugs in a social environment is important for humans and non-human primates. In behavioral economic terms, some drugs and social rewards work together as complements, and each increases the other, such as drinking and smoking at a social gathering, or smoking while talking on the phone. However, in other cases, social stimuli and drug-taking work as substitutes, whereby one reward may replace the other (173, 185). Thus, the rewards of social interaction can be used as substitutes to reduce drug taking (181).

#### Laboratory Animals

Rearing environment is an important factor in the development of drug self-administration. To examine this, rats were raised in enriched conditions (EC) with a large environment, several cage mates, and a variety of toys and exercise devices, whereas rats raised in the isolated condition (IC) were singley housed in smaller standard rat cages. As adults, rats were allowed to self-administer drugs, and EC rats self-administered less amphetamine than the IC rats (181). Lower rates of responding in EC rats (vs. IC) indicated that the enriched environment reduced motivation for amphetamine (lower break point on a progressive ratio schedule) (186). The EC rats were also less impulsive during the acquisition of an impulsive action task compared to IC rats (187), and they were less impulsive than IC rats on an impulsive choice procedure (73). These findings suggest that early exposure to an enriched environment may alter sensitivity to drugs of abuse and blunt the development of drug abuse in adulthood; however, sex differences were not often considered in these studies.

#### Humans

In humans, non-drug rewards delivered in a contingency management (CM) format successfully reduced drug dependence [for a review see Ref. (188)]. In general, CM programs promote drug abstinence through a combination of positive reinforcement for drug-free urine samples. For instance, voucher-based reinforcement therapy in which medication compliance, therapy session attendance, and negative drug screenings reinforced with vouchers to local business (e.g., movie theater, restaurants, etc.) directly reinforces drug abstinence, provides competing reinforcers, enriches the environment, and it is a robust treatment

across a broad range of abused drugs (189). Another example of using social rewards to reduce drug addiction was given in the Naimi et al. (156) study, comparing younger and older adults, who reported that enhancing non-alcohol-related campus social programing had decreased alcohol use.

In summary, both animal and human studies indicate that environmental enrichment is an important intervention that moderates the development and progression of drug addiction. There is little information regarding sex differences in social reward at present; however, once drug use patterns have developed, non-drug rewards, such as social interaction, have the advantage of being self-sustaining and are effective in both sexes.

#### **Physical Exercise**

There is accelerating evidence that physical exercise is a useful treatment for preventing and reducing drug addiction [see reviews in Ref. (28, 178, 190, 191)]. In some individuals, exercise has its own rewarding effects, and a behavioral economic interaction may occur, such that physical and social rewards of exercise can substitute for the rewarding effects of drug abuse. Exercise has also been a valuable treatment for slowing cognitive decline in patients with dementia [e.g., Ref. (192)], health-related problems in obesity [e.g., Ref. (193)], and in psychiatric disorders, such as anxiety (194), depression (195), and schizophrenia (196). The value of this form of treatment for drug addiction in laboratory animals and humans is that exercise, if it can substitute for the rewarding effects of drugs, could be self-maintained over an extended period of time. Work to date in laboratory animals [for review, see Ref. (191)] and humans [for review, see Ref. (178)] regarding exercise as a treatment for drug addiction supports this hypothesis.

#### Laboratory Animals

Recent animal studies have consistently reported that exercise reduces drug-seeking behavior in both self-administration and conditioned place preference (CPP) studies [see reviews in Ref. (28, 178, 190, 191)]. In rat studies, exercise in the form of wheel running decreased cocaine-seeking behavior in males and females across all phases of the drug addiction, including acquisition (197), maintenance (58, 198–201), escalation/binging (58, 201, 202), extinction (203–205), and reinstatement/relapse (203–207), including extended relapse or incubation of cocaine-cue-induced reinstatement (craving) over extended time periods (208). Voluntary running is also effective if it is provided in the home cage environment, and drug-seeking behavior is tested separately in an operant chamber [e.g., Ref. (203, 204, 206, 208)].

There have been few studies directly comparing sex differences on the effects of exercise as a treatment to reduce drug-seeking behavior [see review by Zhou et al. (190)]; however, limited evidence shows that concurrent access to a running wheel (vs. a locked wheel) reduced cocaine self-administration more in female than male rats (198). Few studies have compared sex and age in treatment studies with rats. However, in rats self-administering cocaine, physical exercise was more effective in adolescents than adults (58). Exercise may be a more suitable treatment than pharmacological interventions in adolescents who are undergoing critical phases of development and brain maturation (209, 210). In animal studies, both concurrent exposure to exercise (198, 211)

and prior exposure and/or exposure in a different environment (200, 201, 203, 204, 212–215) effectively reduced drug seeking.

While both *concurrent* and *sequential* approaches are effective, these data show actual reductions in drug intake (vs. drug seeking) with concurrent access to exercise (198, 211) and other nondrug rewards (28), while previous studies using sequential access to exercise report that initiation of drug self-administration (213) or drug-seeking behavior during extinction from former access (relapse) is suppressed [e.g., Ref. (212)]. While concurrent and sequential access to drug and exercise has not been directly compared in rat studies, there may be an advantage to allowing concurrent access or at least presenting both in a contiguous time frame. For example, a previous within-subjects study using treatment with a non-drug reward (SACC) in monkeys, with both concurrent and sequential access, verified a more robust reduction in drug intake with concurrent access to SACC than sequential access (183). Thus, comparing concurrent vs. sequential access, and contingent access [e.g., Ref. (188)] with exercise as a treatment is an important area for future research.

Recent studies in rats have examined sex differences on the effect of previous exercise exposure in a different environment on subsequent drug seeking during different parts of the drug addiction process. Ehringer et al. (199) indicated that females significantly lowered their alcohol consumption compared to males when a running wheel was available, but not during the reinstatement (relapse) component. Smith et al. (204) did not find a sex difference in the effect of wheel running on cocaine self-administration or reinstatement, but they found that females decreased drug seeking more than the males during the first few extinction sessions when a running wheel was available. However, two studies directly compared the effect of exercise in male and female rats self-administering cocaine (198) or on cocaine-primed reinstatement (216), and both found a better effect of exercise in reducing drug seeking in females than males. In other studies, wheel running reduced cocaine (206) and nicotine acquisition (213) and nicotine seeking during reinstatement (212). Nevertheless, in the cocaine study (206), males' cocaine seeking was also reduced more than females' by entry into the locked wheel control condition, and an opposite sex difference was found in the nicotine study (212) whereby females' nicotine seeking was reduced more than males by entry into the locked wheel control condition. In contrast, Smith et al. (204) did not find a sex difference in the effect of wheel running on cocaine self-administration or reinstatement, but they found that females had decreased extinction responding compared to males. Results of these and other initial studies [e.g., Ref. (58, 198, 205, 216, 217)] suggest that the effects of exercise are strongest when exercise is available during the critical phases of addiction (acquisition, maintenance, escalation, or drug-primed reinstatement), and sex differences (F > M) are found. More work is needed with both males and females during all phases of addiction to identify the most effective treatment strategy. While numerous studies exercise as a treatment for addiction have been conducted with both male and female rodents [see Ref. (191); Table 1] using both drug self-administration and CPP models, approximately 80% of the work has been done with males. It was encouraging that in most of the studies reviewed, exercise had an

advantageous effect on preventing or treating CPP for the environment where drug exposure occurred.

In general, existing studies suggest that physical exercise is an effective deterrent to drug seeking and abuse, and it offers a healthy, self-sustaining treatment for drug abuse. However, more work is needed to evaluate the potential for this treatment in both males and females and its effect on individuals with other vulnerabilities for drug abuse. Moderate use of this treatment may be the key to its success. For example, non-drug rewards such as excessive amounts of sweet drinks also reduce drug addiction in animal models (185), but they can also become addictive (112, 218) and lead to other unhealthy consequences. Similarly, while it is uncommon, too much exercise could result in health issues, such as exercise addiction and exercise-induced anorexia (3, 219).

In summary, emerging evidence from the animal literature indicates that exercise is a healthy candidate for treating drug abuse, but not enough data are available to make a strong prediction regarding sex differences in treatment efficacy or the best strategy for delivering this treatment, whether it is concurrent with drug access, sequential, or contingent upon non-use of drug [e.g., Ref. (188)]. In previous rat, monkey, and human studies, concurrent and/or contingent access to drug and non-drug rewards have been the most effective strategies for reducing drug abuse [see Ref. (173, 178, 185, 188)].

#### Humans

Compared to the large number of laboratory animal studies that have prospectively examined physical exercise as a potential treatment for drug abuse [see Ref. (191)], human studies are few, and the results are not as definitive. Most of the human data are cross-sectional, but importantly they involve cigarette smoking, which is easier to study than illicit drugs because large sample sizes are available and it is a legal drug. However, in a recent review of the clinical literature, Linke and Ussher (220) concluded that there is a lack of prospective randomized clinical trials (RCT) that are needed to study the effects of exercise not only nicotine, tobacco, and alcohol abuse but also for other drugs that have a high rate of abuse, such as METH. For example, in several studies, higher abstinence rates were reported at 3 months (195, 221, 222), 6 months (223), and 12 months (221) after an exercise regimen; however, other studies found no significant effects of exercise on abstinence (220, 224). In a recent review of the literature on physical activity and drug abuse, Bardo and Compton (178) noted that the impact of physical activity on the reduction of drug intake in humans has also been shown mainly in observational studies, both cross-sectional and prospective. Survey research has also indicated that higher levels of physical activity are associated with lower alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use (225).

Reviews of these correlational studies emphasize a need for RCT in alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana addiction, and initial studies on the use of exercise programs for treatment tobacco use have shown improvement for smoking cessation [e.g., Ref. (223)]. However, others have shown no benefit, possibly because they were underpowered. There are efforts to promote physical activity as an adjunct for smoking cessation, especially among women (224), but key parameters, such as type and intensity (dose) of

physical activity, have not been determined. Aside from the few studies on tobacco and alcohol, there are no reports of RTC studies showing improvements in outcomes on drug addiction using exercise as an inpatient treatment. However, a RTC study was recently reported by Rawson et al. (226), whereby they used 8 weeks of exercise as a post-residential treatment for METH addiction, showed a significant reduction in use (confirmed by urine screens) in participants who had been using meth 18 days or less a month. Earlier reports from this group showed that exercise also resulted in improvements in fitness and heart rate measures (227, 228). In another human study on cigarette smoking, it was reported that individuals were more successful in maintaining abstinence if they continued their exercise program on their own after the experimental intervention ended (221).

Animal and human research on physical exercise as a treatment for stimulant addiction indicates that this is one of the most promising treatments on the horizon. However, there are few studies of sex differences in outcome of this form of treatment. Initial animal work suggests that females and adolescents are more responsive to this form of treatment than males; however, further animal work and extension to human RTCs is needed.

# **Negative Environmental Consequences**

For drug abusers, punishment exists in natural settings, in the form of natural consequences for drug use, such as loss of friends, jobs, money, and to promote survival. It has seldom been proposed for treating drug abuse in humans, although treatment methods for alcoholism, such as antabuse, re-setting voucher amounts in VBRT after positive urine samples, and revocation professional licenses for drug addition, are forms of punishment that human drug abusers encounter. While treatments based on negative environmental consequences have not been systematically explored in humans, animal studies indicate that negative consequences for drug use may be an important aspect of treatment to consider. However, only a few animal studies have modeled the effect of punishment on drug seeking and drug self-administration, and results indicate that mild forms of punishment are effective and enduring. For example, after several months of ethanol intake, rats continued to drink alcohol despite the consequences of footshock (229) or bitter tasting quinine (230), and this aversion-resistant alcohol intake is considered to be a model of compulsive drug abuse in humans [e.g., Ref. (231)]. However, in some animals, these aversive pairings with drug self-administration reduce drug intake. The extent to which rats have reduced sensitivity to aversive effects of drugs interacts with individual differences, such as sex, age (adolescent vs. adult), sweet preference (HiS, LoS), and impulsivity (HiI, LoI). Given the individual differences in vulnerability to addiction (see Table 1), and response to treatment effects in rats and monkeys with biologically and behaviorally mediated differences (male/female, HiI/LoI, HiS/LoS and adolescent/ adult), recent animal studies have considered individual differences in response to punishment as a treatment for drug abuse. Histamine was used as a chronic, aversive condition to validate a model of punished drug seeking that would represent the negative emotional and physical symptoms (hangovers, anxiety,

anhedonia, and irritability) experienced by humans. Histamine (i.v.) was added to the i.v. cocaine self-administration in groups of male vs. female HiS vs. LoS, HiI vs. LoI, and adolescent vs. adult rats (23, 117). All groups suppressed responding for cocaine when histamine was added. Female and LoS rats showed a significantly slower (5–15 days) return to baseline levels of cocaine self-administration after histamine was terminated, and HiI and LoI rats showed no differences throughout the experimental phases (117). However, while adult rats also showed a greater punishment effect than adolescent rats when histamine was present in the cocaine solution, adults and adolescents recovered to baseline at the same rate (23).

Consistent with the histamine findings, in other studies, adult rats had more severe withdrawal effects than adolescent rats (232, 233). This was in contrast to findings that adolescent rats self-administering cocaine were more sensitive to the rewarding effects of drug (52, 120) and showed more severe relapse effects than adult rats (18). These findings highlight opposite effects that can occur in groups of rats when considering the rewarding vs. aversive effects as previously discussed by Riley (234), and they emphasize the importance of considering individual differences in vulnerability to drug abuse and response to treatment. These results with differentially vulnerable groups concur with recent treatment studies with baclofen, an agent that reduces cocaineinduced dopamine increase in the nucleus accumbens. Baclofen treatment reduced cocaine self-administration in the less vulnerable LoS animals, and potentiated it in the more vulnerable HiS animals (63). Similar effects were found with PRO that reduced escalation of cocaine self-administration in LoS rats and increased it in HiS rats (56). These studies highlight the importance of considering novel treatment mechanisms and individual differences in response to different treatments.

# Targeting Individual Differences with Repurposed Medications as Treatments for Addiction

In recent studies, proposed novel treatments have addressed factors that underlie behavioral dyscontrol. For example, (1) impulsivity has been shown to be positively related to drug addiction, and repurposing medications that reduce impulsivity to treat underlying problems had initial success in treating drug addiction, as both male and female humans report that it reduces anxiety. For instance, ATO that is used to treat ADHD, and it reduced impulsivity in rats (235). (2) Hormonal conditions are known to increase (estrogen) or decrease (PRO) cocaine and nicotine-seeking behavior, especially in females, and PRO has emerged in animal and human studies as a promising medication that could be repurposed for drug addiction, as both male and females report that it reduces anxiety. For example, PRO is used in some oral contraceptives to treat problems with the female reproductive system, but when used for drug-abuse treatment, it counteracts the facilitatory effects of estrogen and reduces drug relapse [see Ref. (9)]. PRO also has anxiolytic effects that reduce drug seeking [e.g., Ref. (40, 236)]. (3) An additional strategy has been to combine two or more novel approaches, such as

medication (e.g., ATO, PRO) or behavioral treatments, that often has a greater impact than monotherapy in animals and humans.

In summary, research in animals has begun to target specific behaviors or hormonal conditions that are associated with addictive behavior, such as anxiety, depression, and impulsivity. In this section, we discuss two repurposed medications, ATO and PRO, as they have shown efficacy for treatment in rodent studies. Thus far, the results support the hypothesis that treating the underlying behaviors associated with drug abuse, with PRO and ATO, has potential for treating human drug abuse, and as discussed in Section "Treatment Combinations," adding these treatments (ATO or PRO) to a behavioral treatment in rats, such as physical exercise, results in an enhanced treatment effect. However, initial studies with these novel treatments have not fully examined sex differences, and sex is an important factor in drug abuse and its treatment.

#### **Atomoxetine**

Atomoxetine is a selective norepinephrine (NE) reuptake inhibitor that is used in humans for ADHD, inattention, and impulsivity associated with ADHD (237). These properties also make it a candidate therapy for psychostimulant addiction [for a review see Ref. (243)]. Like cocaine, ATO functions as a selective NE reuptake inhibitor that increases NE and dopamine in the prefrontal cortex (238, 239), but it does not have the abuse liability of other stimulants such as methylphenidate and desipramine (240).

#### Laboratory Animals

The relationship between ATO and impulsive behavior has been shown using several behavioral tasks in animals, such as the 5-CSRTT (76, 241), the SSRT task (76), and delay discounting (76, 235), but in other studies, ATO did not modify impulsivity (70, 241). In animal models of addictive behavior, ATO treatment was not effective at reducing cocaine self-administration in rats (71, 242–244). However, in combination with wheel running (245), ATO reduced cue-primed cocaine seeking in rats. It also reduced the strength of conditioned stimuli associated with nicotine in rats (246), attenuated nicotine withdrawal symptoms in mice (247), and reduced impulsive responding for i.v. cocaine in female rats (Smethells et al., under review). In our series of animal studies, we have modeled the combination approach with animals using some of the novel treatments described above. For instance, when combined with physical exercise ATO attenuated cocaine extinction, and cocaine-primed reinstatement in females but not in males (245). In a recent study, ATO was studied in rats responding for i.v. cocaine under a delaydiscounting schedule with a small amount of cocaine available immediately, or a larger amount after a delay, treatment with ATO or ATO combined with PRO shifted the choice from the impulsive choice of a smaller-immediate cocaine delivery to the less impulsive choice of a larger-delayed cocaine delivery (248). However, the combined ATO-PRO treatment did not reduce impulsive cocaine seeking any further than either treatment alone. These animal studies suggest that ATO may be an effective treatment for psychostimulant addiction and for reducing impulsive behavior that underlies drug seeking.

#### Humans

Little data are available from human studies to confirm the potential for ATO to treat drug cocaine or other stimulant addiction. Some clinical investigations have not demonstrated a therapeutic effect of ATO on cocaine use (243, 244) or on the subjective effects of METH (249). However, Sofuoglu and Mooney (250) reported that ATO attenuated physiological and subjective effects of D-amphetamine. Others have shown fewer days of heavy alcohol drinking, less alcohol craving with ATO and longer abstinence from alcohol use with ATO treatment than with counseling by itself (251, 252).

# Progesterone

Progesterone is used therapeutically in humans and for other primates for contraception, endometriosis, and maintaining pregnancies. It has also been shown in animal studies to indicate impulsive drug seeking and anxiety-like behaviors (248, 253, 254). PRO plays an important role in reducing drug seeking in rats [for review, see Ref. (6)], monkeys (255–257), and humans [for review, see Ref. (8)].

#### **Laboratory Animals**

In preclinical models, exogenously administered PRO and its primary metabolite, ALLO, attenuated acquisition, escalation of cocaine self-administration, and cocaine-primed reinstatement (54, 258) of cocaine seeking in rats (259, 260). Sex differences in the effects of ALLO have been reported with METH-primed reinstatement (64), and reinstatement was significantly reduced in female rats when they were treated with ALLO. However, ALLO had no effect on male rats [see Ref. (6) for a complete review]. In rats self-administering cocaine, concurrent running-wheel access was combined with PRO treatment, and the combination reduced extinction responding and cocaine-primed reinstatement in females but not males (216). However, in treatment-resistant males, the wheel access and PRO combination were more effective than wheel access or PRO alone. Studies of the effects of PRO on the rewarding effects of drugs show that rhesus monkeys maintained higher breakpoints for cocaine during the follicular than the luteal phase [Ref. (255); lowest dose only]. Also, rats selfadministered more cocaine during the estrus phase of the estrous cycle, when estrogen levels are rising, than during proestrous, when PRO is relatively high (51, 259, 261-264).

#### Humans

In humans, during the follicular phase, when estrogen peaks, women report that cocaine is subjectively more rewarding than during the luteal phase, when PRO levels are have peaked [Ref. (265–267); see also Ref. (268)]. Human laboratory studies also indicate that PRO has an important role in nicotine addiction. For example, in a study of sensitivity to alcohol in women with premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD), women reported a blunted physiological response and less intoxication after an alcohol infusion in the late luteal phase (high P/E) compared to the mid-follicular phase (low P/E) of the menstrual cycle indicating that PRO reduced the intoxicating effects of alcohol (269). In a recent study with both men and women, the effects of i.v. nicotine

were assessed as a function of sex and menstrual cycle phase, and men reported greater subjective reactivity to nicotine, but women showed more physiological reactions (39). In women, this effect was diminished during the luteal phase (higher P/E ratio) compared to the follicular phase of the cycle. Women reported less nicotine reactivity, fewer negative symptoms, and better task performance during the luteal compared to the follicular phase suggesting that a higher P/E ratio may have alleviated nicotine's negative effects. However, this sex difference finding was inconsistent with previous studies of the same i.v. nicotine infusion (250), oral intake (270), intranasal (271), and transdermal (272) nicotine administration, although phase of menstrual cycle was not a factor in these studies. The finding of greater subjective nicotine sensitivity in men vs. women was consistent with previous reports using intranasal nicotine (273) and smoked cocaine. Physiological findings of nicotine administration were consistent with the heart rate response (272) and diastolic blood pressure seen by others (274), but not with studies of nicotine and heart rate or blood pressure (250, 275).

In a recent smoking treatment study with either varenicline vs. placebo or nicotine patch vs. placebo patch in women, PRO levels were measured and compared to treatment outcome. This was the first study to identify a relationship between increasing levels of PRO and better abstinence outcomes in freely cycling women (236). The additive effect of rising PRO levels and treatment success was mainly found with the nicotine patch (vs. varenicline). There was a 23% increase in the odds of being abstinent within each of the 4 weeks of treatment in the luteal (PRO) + patch group. Based on animal research findings, clinical and preclinical researchers have examined the effects of exogenously administered PRO as a treatment for cocaine abuse. Comparable findings were obtained in humans who were treated with PRO. They showed reduced physiological and subjective rewarding effects of cocaine or cueinduced cocaine craving (8, 272, 276-279). Also, in clinical trials, PRO treatment reduced cocaine use in post-partum women in (280). Overall, there is strong accumulating evidence in human and animal studies, suggesting that, at least in females, PRO may serve as an efficacious pharmacological intervention for nicotine and cocaine addiction.

#### **Treatment Combinations**

Human studies suggest that combined therapies produce additive reductions in drug addiction compared to single treatment, and effects may vary with individual differences, such as male vs. female. For example, a review of 280 treatment studies for substance abuse disorders in men and women, published between 1975 and 2005, revealed better treatment outcomes for women than men (174). However, recent analysis of the multi-site COMBINE project, including 1383 men and women, reported that while there were sex differences in those seeking treatment for alcoholism and in those reporting alcohol treatment, there were no sex differences in the combined behavioral + naltrexone intervention, and the combination did not produce a better outcome than the individual treatments. Furthermore, women responded to naltrexone treatment and naltrexone + the control condition, medical management, similar to men (175). A recent

review of combined pharmacotherapies (vs. single) for stimulant use disorder provided little evidence for an advantage of combined vs. monotherapies (281). Thus, further clinical work is needed with combined behavioral and pharmacological treatments for stimulant addiction to extend the promising results with laboratory animals to humans.

A recent study in rhesus monkeys showed reduced oral cocaine self-administration in female rhesus monkeys during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle when PRO peaks compared with the follicular phase when estrogen peaks (Carroll et al., under review). In this study, monkeys received SACC concurrently with access to cocaine (0.4 mg/ml) under FR 4 schedules, and cocaine intake (milligram per kilogram) was compared in males and females during the follicular vs. the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. When concurrent water was available with cocaine, females in the follicular phase consumed more cocaine than luteal females or males, an effect attributed to the lower PRO levels. Treatment with concurrent access to SACC along with cocaine resulted in reduced cocaine intake in both males and in females in both their follicular and luteal phases. An additive effect of PRO and SACC may have been occluded by a floor effect of SACC. However, a comparison of females across phases indicated a reduction in cocaine intake due to higher PRO (luteal phase) and to the additive effectiveness of PRO and SACC.

## SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

Sex differences in behavioral dyscontrol were discussed in relation to drug addiction, as well as other factors that interact with sex differences to influence addictive behavior, such as impulsivity, compulsive binge intake of sweet substances, and age (adolescence). Each of these vulnerability factors has a substantial influence on behavioral dyscontrol and drug addiction. Not only do these individual differences explain the propensity for addiction in some individuals and not others but they also can be additive, presenting serious challenges to prevention and treatment once drug addiction has developed. Furthermore, these factors explain the propensity for addiction in some individuals and not others, which is instructive for designing prevention and treatment strategies. In addition, recent findings suggest that drug-prone individuals vs. those that are less sensitive to the aversive effects of drugs, further enhancing their vulnerability to addiction. Challenges in designing treatment for individuals with these addiction-prone characteristics are addressed by proposing novel treatments that take into account impulsive behavior and other forms of behavioral dyscontrol, such as excessive reward seeking, as well as sex, and hormonal conditions. Promising treatment strategies include behavioral manipulations, such as environmental enrichment (social and physical), such as exercise, or brief exposure to negative environmental consequences (e.g., punishment), and targeting individual differences with medications repurposed to address specific vulnerability factors, such as hormonal status (PRO), anxiety, or impulsivity (ATO), and combined behavioral and pharmacological therapies. Overall, the present review emphasizes that sex differences are intertwined with other major

personality (or age-related) differences (e.g., anxiety, impulsivity, compulsive binging), and these factors are inseparable from sex differences when considering promising treatments for addiction. Thus, when considering development of treatments for drug abuse, sex is important, but other factors, such as a tendency for compulsive or impulsive behavior and anxiety, are equally as influential. Initial preclinical work has indicated that targeting these personality factors and sex differences has resulted in successful treatments for addiction.

# **REFERENCES**

- Surgeon General's Report. Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) (2004).
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).
   Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of
   National Findings, NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795.
   Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
   (2013).
- Fattore L, Melis M, Fadda P, Fratta W. Sex differences in addictive disorders. Front Neuroendocrinol (2014) 35:272–84. doi:10.1016/j. yfrne.2014.04.003
- World Health Organization. Who Global Infobase. Geneva: World Health Organization (2010).
- Anker JJ, Carroll ME. The role of progestins in the behavioral effects of cocaine and other drugs of abuse: human and animal research. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* (2010) 35:315–33. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.04.003
- Anker JJ, Carroll ME. Females are more vulnerable to drug abuse than males: evidence from preclinical studies and role of ovarian hormones. In: Neill JC, Kulkarni J, editors. Biological Basis of Sex Differences in Psychopharmacology: Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences. London: Springer (2011). p. 73–96.
- Carroll ME, Anker JJ. Sex differences and ovarian steroid hormones in animal models of drug dependence. *Horm Behav* (2010) 58:44–56. doi:10.1016/j. yhbeh.2009.10.001
- Evans SM, Foltin RW. Does the response to cocaine differ as a function of sex or hormonal status in human and non-human primates? *Horm Behav* (2010) 58:13–21. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.08.010
- 9. Carroll ME, Lynch WD. How to study sex differences using animal models. *Addict Biol* (2016). in press.
- Kornetsky C. A walk through the history of research in drug abuse trends and fads. The Nathan B. Eddy award address given at CPDD 2005: part I. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2007) 88:96–103. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.11.018
- Garber AK, Lustig RH. Is fast food addictive? Curr Drug Abuse Rev (2011) 4:146–62. doi:10.2174/1874473711104030146
- Gearhardt AN, White MA, Potenza MN. Binge eating disorder and food addiction. Curr Drug Abuse Rev (2011) 4:201–7. doi:10.2174/18744737111 04030201
- 13. World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight Fact Sheet No. 311 (2015). Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/
- Lynch WJ, Roth ME, Carroll ME. Biological basis of sex differences in drug abuse: preclinical and clinical studies. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2002) 164:121–37. doi:10.1007/s00213-002-1183-2
- Clayton JA, Collins FS. Policy: NIH to balance sex in cell and animal studies. Nature (2014) 509:282–3. doi:10.1038/509282a
- 16. NIH. NIH Guide Notice NOT-OD-15-102 (2016). in press.
- Becker JB, Perry AN, Westenbroek C. Sex differences in the neural mechanisms mediating addiction: a new synthesis and hypothesis. *Biol Sex Differ* (2012) 3:14–35. doi:10.1186/2042-6410-3-14
- Anker JJ, Carroll ME. Reinstatement of cocaine seeking induced by drugs, cues, and stress in adolescent adult rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2010) 208:211–22. doi:10.1007/s00213-009-1721-2
- Fattore L, Fadda P, Fratta W. Sex differences in the self-administration of cannabinoids and other drugs of abuse. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2009) 34:227–36. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.08.008

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Troy Veile, Seth Johnson, Jared Mitchell, and Danielle Johansson for technical support, and to Dr. Natashia Swalve for reviewing the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse grants P50 DA033942, R01 DA002486, R01 DA003240, R01 DA019942 (MEC), T32 DA07097 (JS; Thomas Molitor, PI), and the University Medical Foundation, University of Minnesota.

- Quinones-Jenab V, Jenab S. Influence of sex differences and gonadal hormones on cocaine addiction. *ILAR J* (2012) 53:14–22. doi:10.1093/ ilar.53.1.14
- 21. Becker JB, Hu M. Sex differences in drug abuse. Front Neuroendocrinol (2008) 29:36–47. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2007.07.003
- Carroll ME, Holtz NA. The relationship between feeding and drug-seeking behaviors in eating disorders. In: Brewerton TD, Dennis AB, editors. Addictions and Substance Use Disorders: Research, Clinical and Treatment Aspects. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag (2014). p. 14–24.
- Holtz NA, Carroll ME. Animal models of addiction: genetic influences. In: Kim YK, Gewirtz J, editors. Animal Models for Behavior Genetics Research, Handbook of Behavior Genetics. London: Springer (2015a). 7 p.
- Holtz NA, Carroll ME. Cocaine self-administration punished by intravenous histamine in adolescent and adult rats. *Behav Pharmacol* (2015b) 26:393–7. doi:10.1097/FBP.00000000000136
- Carroll ME, Morgan AD, Anker JJ, Perry JL, Dess NK. Selective breeding for differential saccharin intake as an animal model of drug abuse. *Behav Pharmacol* (2008) 19:435–60. doi:10.1097/FBP.0b013e32830c3632
- Carroll ME, Anker JJ, Perry JL. Modeling risk factors for nicotine and other drug abuse in the preclinical laboratory. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2009) 104:S70–8. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2008.11.011
- Carroll ME, Anker JJ, Mach JL, Newman JL, Perry JL. Delay discounting as a predictor of drug abuse. In: Madden GJ, Bickel WK, editors. *Impulsivity:* The Behavioral and Neurological Science of Discounting. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association (2010). p. 243–72.
- Carroll ME, Holtz NA, Zlebnik NE. Saccharin preference in rats: relation to impulsivity and drug abuse. In: Avena NM, editor. *Animal Models of Eating Disorders* (2013). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press (2013). p. 201–34.
- Perry JL, Dess NK, Morgan AD, Anker JJ, Carroll ME. Escalation of i.v. cocaine self-administration and reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior in rats selectively bred for high and low saccharin intake. *Psychopharmacology* (2006) 186:235–45. doi:10.1007/s00213-006-0371-x
- Perry JL, Normile LM, Morgan AD, Carroll ME. Sex differences in physical dependence on orally self-administered phencyclidine (PCP) in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2006) 14:68–78. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.14.1.68
- Carroll ME, Mach JL, LaNasa RM, Newman JL. Impulsivity as a behavioral measure of withdrawal of orally delivered PCP and nondrug rewards in male and female monkeys. *Psychopharmacology* (2009) 207:85–98. doi:10.1007/ s00213-009-1636-y
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). World Drug Report, 2013 (2013).
- Witt ED. Puberty, hormones, and sex differences in alcohol abuse and dependence. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* (2007) 29:81–95. doi:10.1016/j. ntt.2006.10.013
- Vansikel AR, Stoops WW, Rush CR. Human sex differences in p-amphetamine self administration. Addiction (2010) 105:727–31. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02858.x
- 35. Pogun S, Yarabas G. Sex differences in nicotine action. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* (2009) **192**:261–91. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-69248-5\_10
- Dahan A, Kest B, Waxman AR, Sarton E. Sex-specific responses to opiates: animal and human studies. Anesth Analg (2008) 107:83–95. doi:10.1213/ ane.0b013e31816a66a4
- Carroll ME, Batulis DA, Landry KL, Morgan AD. Sex differences in the escalation of oral phencyclidine (PCP) self-administration under FR and

- PR schedules in rhesus monkeys.  $Psychopharmacology~(2005)~{\bf 180}:414-26.~doi:10.1007/s00213-005-2182-x$
- Hashimoto JG, Wiren KM. Neurotoxic consequence of chronic alcohol withdrawal: expression profiling reveals importance of gender over withdrawal severity. Neuropsychopharmacology (2008) 33:1084–96. doi:10.1038/ si.npp.1301494
- DeVito E, Herman AI, Waters AJ, Valentine G, Sofuoglu M. Subjective, physiological, and cognitive responses to intravenous nicotine: effects of sex and menstrual cycle phase. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2014) 39:1431–40. doi:10.1038/npp.2013.339
- Schiller CE, Saladin ME, Gray KM, Hartwell KJ, Carpenter MJ. Association between ovarian hormones and smoking behavior in women. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2012) 20:251–7. doi:10.1037/a0027759
- Wetherington CL. Sex differences and gonadal hormone influences in drug addiction and sexual behavior: progress and possibilities. *Horm Behav* (2010) 58:2–7. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.03.004
- 42. Weinberger AH, Smith PH, Allen SS, Cosgrove KP, Saladin ME, Gray KM, et al. Systematic and meta-analytic review of research examining the impact of menstrual cycle phase and ovarian hormones on smoking and cessation. *Nicotine Tob Res* (2015) 17:407–21. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntu249
- 43. Deroche-Gamonet V, Belin D, Piazza PV. Evidence for addiction-like behavior in the rat. *Science* (2004) **305**:1014–7. doi:10.1126/science.1099020
- Deroche-Gamonet V, Piazza PV. Psychobiology of cocaine addiction: contribution of a multisymptomatic animal model of loss of control. *Neuropharmacology* (2014) 76:437–49. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.07.014
- Ahmed SH, Koob GF. Transition from moderate to excessive drug intake: change in hedonic set point. *Science* (1998) 282(5387):298–300. doi:10.1126/science.282.5387.298
- Ahmed SH, Koob GF. Long-lasting increase in the set point for cocaine self-administration after escalation in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2009) 146:303–12. doi:10.1007/s002130051121
- Bossert JM, Marchant NJ, Calu DJ, Shaham Y. The reinstatement model of drug relapse: recent neurobiological findings, emerging research topics and translational research. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2013) 229:453–76. doi:10.1007/s00213-013-3120-v
- Marchant NJ, Li X, Shaham Y. Recent developments in animal models of drug relapse. Curr Opin Neurobiol (2013) 23:675–83. doi:10.1016/j. conb.2013.01.003
- Weafer J, de Wit H. Sex differences in impulsive action and impulsive choice. *Addict Behav* (2014) 39(11):1573–9. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.10.033
- Spear LP, Swartzwelder HS. Adolescent alcohol exposure and persistence of adolescent-typical phenotypes into adulthood: a mini-review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* (2014) 45:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.04.012
- 51. Lynch WJ, Arizzi MN, Carroll ME. Effects of sex and the estrous cycle on regulation of intravenously self-administered cocaine in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*) (2000) **152**:132–9.
- Perry JL, Anderson M, Nelson SE, Carroll ME. Acquisition of iv cocaine self-administration in adolescent and adult male rats selectively bred for high and low saccharin intake. *Physiol Behav* (2007a) 91:126–33. doi:10.1016/j. physbeh.2007.02.001
- 53. Perry JL, Nelson SE, Anderson MM, Morgan AD, Carroll ME. Impulsivity (delay discounting) for food and cocaine in male and female rats selectively bred for high and low saccharin intake. *Pharma Biochem Behav* (2007b) **86**:822–37. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2007.03.012
- Anker JJ, Perry JL, Gliddon LA, Carroll ME. Impulsivity predicts the escalation of cocaine self-administration in rats. *Pharma Biochem Behav* (2009) 93:343–8. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2009.05.013
- Cosgrove KP, Carroll ME. Effects of a non-drug reinforcer, saccharin, on oral self-administration of phencyclidine in male and female rhesus monkeys. *Psychopharmacology* (2003) 170:9–16. doi:10.1007/ s00213-003-1487-x
- Anker JJ, Carroll ME. Adolescent nicotine exposure sensitizes cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking in rats bred for high and low saccharin intake. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2011) 118:68–72. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2011.02.016
- O'Dell LE, Bruijnzeel AW, Smith RT, Parsons LH, Merves ML, Goldberger BA, et al. Diminished nicotine withdrawal in adolescent rats: implications for vulnerability to addiction. *Psychopharmacology* (2006) 186:612–9. doi:10.1007/s00213-006-0383-6

- Zlebnik NE, Anker JJ, Carroll ME. Exercise to reduce the escalation of cocaine self-administration in adolescent and adult rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2012) 224(3):387–400. doi:10.1007/s00213-012-2760-7
- Regier PS, Claxton AB, Zlebnik NE, Carroll ME. Cocaine-, caffeine-, and stress-evoked cocaine reinstatement in high vs. low impulsive rats: Treatment with allopregnanolone. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2014) 143:58–64. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.07.001
- Dess NK, Arnal J, Chapman CD, Siebal S, VanderWeele DA, Green KF. Exploring adaptations to famine: rats selectively bred for differential intake of saccharin differ on deprivation-induced hyperactivity and emotionality. *Int J Comp Psychol* (2000) 13:34–52.
- Dess NK, O'Neill PI, Chapman CD. Ethanol withdrawal and proclivity are inversely related in rats selectively bred for differential saccharin intake. *Alcohol* (2005) 37:9–22. doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2005.09.006
- Carroll ME, Morgan AD, Campbell UC, Lynch WJ, Dess NK. Intravenous cocaine and heroin self-administration in rats selectively bred for differential saccharin intake: phenotype and sex differences. *Psychopharmacology* (2002) 161:304–13. doi:10.1007/s00213-002-1030-5
- 63. Holtz NA, Carroll ME. Baclofen has opposite effects on escalation of cocaine self-administration: increased intake in rats selectively bred for high (HiS) saccharin intake and decreased intake in those selected for low (LoS) saccharin intake. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2011) 100:275–83. doi:10.1016/j. pbb.2011.08.028
- Holtz NA, Lozama A, Prisinzano TE, Carroll ME. Reinstatement of methamphetamine seeking in male and female rats treated with modafinil and allopregnanolone. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2012) 120:233–7. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2011.07.010
- Jentsch JD, Taylor JR. Sex-related differences in spatial divided attention and motor impulsivity in rats. *Behav Neurosci* (2003) 117:76–83. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.117.1.76
- Bayless DW, Darling JS, Stout WJ, Daniel JM. Sex differences in attentional processes in adult rats as measured by performance on the 5-choice serial reaction time task. *Behav Brain Res* (2012) 235:48–54. doi:10.1016/j. bbr.2012.07.028
- 67. Burton CL, Fletcher PJ. Age and sex differences in impulsive action in rats: the role of dopamine and glutamate. *Behav Brain Res* (2012) **230**:21–33. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2012.01.046
- Anker JJ, Gliddon LA, Carroll ME. Impulsivity on a Go/No-go task for intravenous cocaine and food in male and female rats selectively bred for high and low saccharin intake. *Behav Pharmacol* (2008) 19:615–29. doi:10.1097/ FBP.0b013e32830dc0ae
- Broos N, Diergaarde L, Schoffelmeer AN, Pattij T, De Vries TJ. Trait impulsive choice predicts resistance to extinction and propensity to relapse to cocaine seeking: a bidirectional investigation. *Neuropsychopharmacol* (2012a) 37:1377–86. doi:10.1038/npp.2011.323
- Broos N, Schmaal L, Wiskerke J, Kostelijk L, Lam T, Stoop N, et al. The relationship between impulsive choice and impulsive action: a cross-species translational study. *PLoS One* (2012b) 7(5):e36781. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0036781
- Economidou D, Pelloux Y, Robbins TW, Dalley JW, Everitt BJ. High impulsivity predicts relapse to cocaine-seeking after punishment-induced abstinence. *Biol Psychiatry* (2009) 65:851–6. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.12.008
- 72. van Haaren FV, van Hest AV, van de Poll NE. Self-control in male and female rats. *J Exp Anal Behav* (1988) **49**(2):201–11. doi:10.1901/jeab.1988.49-201
- Perry JL, Nelson SE, Carroll ME. Impulsive choice as a predictor of acquisition of i.v. cocaine self-administration and reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior in male and female rats. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2008) 16:165–77. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.16.2.165
- Koot S, van den Bos R, Adriani W, Laviola G. Gender differences in delay-discounting under mild food restriction. *Behav Brain Res* (2009) 200(1):134–43. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.01.006
- Poulos CX, Le AD, Parker JL. Impulsivity predicts individual susceptibility to high levels of alcohol self-administration. Behav Pharmacol (1995) 6:810–4.
- Robinson ES, Eagle DM, Mar AC, Bari A, Banerjee G, Jiang X, et al. Similar
  effects of the selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor atomoxetine on three
  distinct forms of impulsivity in the rat. Neuropsychopharmacology (2008)
  33:1028–37. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301487
- 77. Diergaarde L, Pattij T, Poortvliet I, Hogenboom F, de Vries W, Schoffelmeer ANM, et al. Impulsive choice and impulsive action predict vulnerability to

- distinct stages of nicotine seeking in rats. *Biol Psychiatry* (2008) **63**:301–8. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.07.011
- Belin D, Mar AC, Dalley JW, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. High impulsivity predicts the switch to compulsive cocaine-taking. *Science* (2008) 320:1352–5. doi:10.1126/science.1158136
- Dalley JW, Fryer TD, Brichard L, Robinson ESJ, Theobald DEH, Lääne K, et al. Nucleus accumbens D2/3 receptors predict trait impulsivity and cocaine reinforcement. Science (2007) 315:1267–70. doi:10.1126/science.1137073
- Robinson ESJ, Eagle DM, Economidou D, Theobald DEH, Mar AC, Murphy ER, et al. Behavioural characterisation of high impulsivity on the 5-choice serial reaction time task: Specific deficits in "waiting" versus "stopping." Behavioural Brain Research (2009) 196:310–6. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2008.09.021
- 81. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Ed. (DSM-5)*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing (2013).
- 82. Ainslie GW. Impulse control in pigeons. *J Exp Anal Behav* (1974) **21**:485–9. doi:10.1901/jeab.1974.21-485
- Newman JP, Widom CS, Nathan S. Passive avoidance in syndromes of disinhibition: psychopathy and extraversion. *J Pers Soc Psychol* (1985) 48:1316–27. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.48.5.1316
- Madden GJ, Bickel WK. Impulsivity: The Behavioral and Neurological Science of Discounting. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association (2010).
- 85. Perry JL, Carroll ME. The role of impulsive behavior in drug abuse. Psychopharmacology (2008) 200:1–26. doi:10.1007/s00213-008-1173-0
- MacKillop J, Amlung MT, Few LR, Ray LA, Sweet LH, Munafò MR. Delayed reward discounting and addictive behavior: a meta-analysis. Psychopharmacology (2011) 216:305–21. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2229-0
- 87. Yoon JH, Higgins ST, Heil SH, Sugarbaker RJ, Thomas CS, Badger GJ. Delay discounting predicts postpartum relapse to cigarette smoking among pregnant women. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2007) **15**(2):176. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.2.186
- Stevens L, Verdejo-García A, Goudriaan AE, Roeyers H, Dom G, Vanderplasschen W. Impulsivity as a vulnerability factor for poor addiction treatment outcomes: a review of neurocognitive findings among individuals with substance use disorders. *J Subst Abuse Treat* (2014) 47:58–72. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2014.01.008
- Perry JL, Larson EB, German JP, Madden GJ, Carroll ME. Impulsivity (delay discounting) as a predictor of acquisition of i.v. cocaine self-administration in female rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2005) 178:193–201. doi:10.1007/ s00213-004-1994-4
- Beck RC, Triplett MF. Test-retest reliability of a group-administered paper-pencil measure of delay discounting. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2009) 17:345–55. doi:10.1037/a0017078
- 91. Heyman GM, Gibb SP. Delay discounting in college cigarette chippers. *Behav Pharmacol* (2006) 17:669–79. doi:10.1097/FBP.0b013e3280116cfe
- 92. Logue AW, Anderson YD. Higher-education administrators: when the future does not make a difference. *Psychol Sci* (2001) **12**:276–81. doi:10.1111/1467-9280.00351
- 93. Reynolds B, Ortengren A, Richards JB, de Wit H. Dimensions of impulsive behavior: personality and behavioral measures. *Pers Individ Dif* (2006) **40**(2):305–15. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.03.024
- 94. Kirby KN, Maraković NN. Delay-discounting probabilistic rewards: rates decrease as amounts increase. *Psychon Bull Rev* (1996) 3:100–4. doi:10.3758/BF03210748
- Kirby KN, Godoy R, Reyes-Garcia V, Byron E, Apaza L, Leonard W, et al. Correlates of delay-discount rates: evidence from Tsimane' Amerindians of the Bolivian rain forest. J Econ Psychol (2002) 23:291–316. doi:10.1016/ S0167-4870(02)00078-8
- Reynolds B, Karraker K, Horn K, Richards JB. Delay and probability discounting as related to different stages of adolescent smoking and non-smoking. *Behav Processes* (2003) 64(3):333–44. doi:10.1016/ S0376-6357(03)00168-2
- Reynolds B, Richards JB, Horn K, Karraker K. Delay discounting and probability discounting as related to cigarette smoking status in adults. *Behav Processes* (2004) 65(1):35–42. doi:10.1016/S0376-6357(03)00109-8
- Papaleo F, Erickson L, Liu G, Chen J, Weinberger DR. Effects of sex and COMT genotype on environmentally modulated cognitive control in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2012) 109:20160–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.1214397109

- Saunders BT, Robinson TE. Individual variation in the motivational properties of cocaine. Neuropsychopharmacology (2011) 36(8):1668–76. doi:10.1038/npp.2011.48
- Liu T, Xiao T, Shi J. Response inhibition, preattentive processing, and sex difference in young children: an event-related potential study. *Neuroreport* (2013) 243:126–30. doi:10.1097/WNR.0b013e32835d846b
- Fernie G, Cole JC, Goudie AJ, Field M. Risk-taking but not response inhibition or delay discounting predict alcohol consumption in social drinkers. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2010) 112:54–61. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.05.011
- Hasson R, Fine JG. Gender differences among children with ADHD on continuous performance tests: a meta-analytic review. J Atten Disord (2012) 16:190–8. doi:10.1177/1087054711427398
- 103. Fields S, Leraas K, Collins C, Reynolds B. Delay discounting as a mediator of the relationship between perceived stress and cigarette smoking status in adolescents. *Behav Pharmacol* (2009) 20(5–6):455–60. doi:10.1097/ FBP.0b013e328330dcff
- 104. Colzato LS, Hertsig G, van den Wildenberg WP, Hommel B. Estrogen modulates inhibitory control in healthy human females: evidence from the stop-signal paradigm. *Neuroscience* (2010) 167:709–15. doi:10.1016/j. neuroscience.2010.02.029
- 105. Crosbie J, Arnold P, Paterson A, Swanson J, Dupuis A, Li X, et al. Response inhibition and ADHD traits: correlates and heritability in a community sample. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* (2013) 4:497–507. doi:10.1007/s10802-012-9693-9
- Nederkoorn C, Baltus M, Guerrieri R, Wiers RW. Heavy drinking is associated with deficient response inhibition in women but not in men. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2009) 93:331–6. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2009.04.015
- 107. van der Plas EA, Crone EA, van den Wildenberg WP, Tranel D, Bechara A. Executive control deficits in substance-dependent individuals: a comparison of alcohol, cocaine, and methamphetamine of men and women. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol (2009) 31:706–19. doi:10.1080/13803390802484797
- Townshend JM, Duka T. Binge drinking, cognitive performance and mood in a population of young social drinkers. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2005) 29(3):317–25. doi:10.1097/01.ALC.0000156453.05028.F5
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD. Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity, and Extreme Obesity Among Adults: United States, Trends 1960-1962 Through 2007-2008. NCHS Health E-Stat. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics (2010).
- 110. Gundersen C. Food insecurity is an ongoing national concern. Adv Nutr (2013) 4:36–41. doi:10.3945/an.112.003244
- Davis C, Carter JC. Compulsive overeating as an addiction disorder. A review of theory and evidence. Appetite (2009) 53:1–8. doi:10.1016/j. appet.2009.05.018
- Corsica JA, Pelchat ML. Food addiction: true or false? Curr Opin Gastroenterol (2010) 26:165–9. doi:10.1097/MOG.0b013e328336528d
- 113. Berger SS, Tanofsky-Kraff M. Introduction: binge eating, bulimia nervosa, and hedonic overeating. In: Avena NM, editor. Animal Models of Eating Disorders (2013). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press (2013). p. 3–6.
- Avena NM, Hoebel BG. Amphetamine-sensitized rats show sugar-induced hyperactivity (cross-sensitization) and sugar hyperphagia. *Neuroscience* (2003) 122:17–20. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(03)00502-5
- 115. Roth ME, Carroll ME. Sex differences in the escalation of intravenous cocaine intake following long- or short-access to self-administration. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2004) 78:199–207. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2004.03.018
- Carroll ME, Anderson MM, Morgan AD. Higher locomotor response to cocaine in female (vs. male) rats selectively bred for high (HiS) and low (LoS) saccharin intake. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2007) 88:94–104. doi:10.1016/j. pbb.2007.07.010
- 117. Holtz NA, Anker JJ, Regier P, Claxton A, Carroll ME. Cocaine self-administration punished by iv histamine in rat models of high and low drug abuse vulnerability: effects of saccharin preference, impulsivity, and sex. *Physiol Behav* (2013) 122:32–8. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.08.004
- Dess NK, Minor TR. Taste and emotionality in rats selectively bred for high versus low saccharin intake. *Anim Learn Behav* (1996) 24:105–15. doi:10.3758/BF03198958
- Dess NK, Badia-Elder NE, Thiele RE, Kiefer SW, Blizard DA. Ethanol consumption in rats selectively bred for differential saccharin intake. *Alcohol* (1998) 16:275–8. doi:10.1016/S0741-8329(98)00010-X
- Radke AK, Zlebnik NE, Holtz NA, Carroll ME. Cocaine-induced reward enhancement measured with intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) in rats

- bred for low (LoS) versus high (HiS) saccharin. Behav Pharmacol (2015). doi:10.1097/FBP.000000000000182
- VolkowND, Wang GJ, Tomasi D, Baler RD. Obesity and addiction: neurobiological overlaps. Obes Rev (2013) 14:2–18. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.01031.x
- Bell SM, Cosnell BA, Krahn DD, Meisch RA. Ethanol reinforcement and its relationship to saccharin preference in Wistar rats. *Alcohol* (1994) 11:141–5. doi:10.1016/0741-8329(94)90055-8
- Gahtan E, Labounty LP, Wyvell C, Carroll ME. The relationships among saccharin consumption, oral ethanol, and iv cocaine self-administration. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (1996) 53:919–25. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(95)02148-5
- DeSousa JJ, Bush DE, Vaccarino FJ. Self-administration of intravenous amphetamine is predicted by individual differences in sucrose feeding in rats. Psychopharmacology (2000) 148:52–8. doi:10.1007/s002130050024
- Gosnell BA. Sucrose intake predicts rate of acquisition of cocaine self-administration. *Psychopharmacology* (2005) 149:286–92. doi:10.1007/s002130000375
- Gosnell BA, Lane KE, Bell SM, Krahn DD. Intravenous morphine self-administration by rats with low versus high saccharin preferences. *Psychopharmacology* (1995) 117:248–52. doi:10.1007/BF02245194
- 127. Yakovenko V, Speidel ER, Chapman CD, Dess NK. Food dependence in rats selectively bred for low versus high saccharin intake: implications for "food addiction". Appetite (2011) 57:397–400. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2011.06.002
- 128. Chester JA, Blose AM, Froelich JC. Further evidence of an inverse genetic relationship between innate differences in alcohol preference and alcohol withdrawal magnitude in multiple selectively bred rat lines. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2003) 27:377–87. doi:10.1097/01.ALC.0000056619.98553.50
- 129. Wronski M, Skrok-Wolska D, Samochowiec J, Ziolkowski M, Swiecicki L, Bienkowski P, et al. Perceived intensity and pleasantness of sucrose taste in male alcoholics. Alcohol Alcohol (2007) 42:75–9. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agl097
- 130. Weiss G. Food fantasies of incarcerated drug users. *Int J Addict* (1982) 17:905–12.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of Obesity in the United States, CDC/NCHS, National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey, 2009-2010 (2009-2010).
- Avena NM, editor. Animal Models of Eating Disorders. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press (2013). 379 p.
- 133. Schramm-Sapyta NL, Cauley MC, Stangl DK, Glowacz S, Stepp KA, Levin ED, et al. Role of individual and developmental differences in voluntary cocaine intake in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2011) 215(3):493–504. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2216-5
- Vaidya JG, Grippo AJ, Johnson AK, Watson D. A comparative developmental study of impulsivity in rats and humans: the role of reward sensitivity. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2004) 1021(1):395–8. doi:10.1196/annals.1308.051
- DesorJA, Beauchamp GK. Longitudinal changes in sweet preferences in humans. *Physiol Behav* (1987) 39(5):639–41. doi:10.1016/0031-9384(87)90166-1
- Friemel CM, Spanagel R, Schneider M. Reward sensitivity for a palatable food reward peaks during pubertal developmental in rats. Front Behav Neurosci (2010) 4:39. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2010.00039
- 137. Broadwater M, Varlinskaya EI, Spear LP. Chronic intermittent ethanol exposure in early, adolescent and adult male rats: effects on tolerance, social behavior, and ethanol intake. Alcohol Clin Exp Res (2011) 35:1392–403. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01474.x
- Doremus TL, Brunell SC, Rajendran P, Spear LP. Factors influencing elevated ethanol consumption in adolescent relative to adult rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2005) 29:1796–808. doi:10.1097/01.alc.0000183007.65998.aa
- Truxell EM, Molina JC, Spear NE. Ethanol intake in the juvenile, adolescent, and adult rat: effect of age and prior exposure to ethanol. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2007) 31:755–65. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00358.x
- 140. Nasrallah NA, Yang TWH, Bernstein IL. Long-term risk preference and suboptimal decision making following adolescent alcohol use. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2009) 106:17600–4. doi:10.1073/pnas.0906629106
- 141. Nasrallah NA, Clark JJ, Collins AL, Akers CA, Phillips PE, Bernstein IL. Risk preference following adolescent alcohol use is associated with corrupted encoding of costs but not rewards by mesolimbic dopamine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2011) 108:5466–71. doi:10.1073/pnas.1017732108
- 142. Blanchard BA, Steindorf S, Wang S, Glick SD. Sex differences in ethanol-in-duced dopamine release in nucleus accumbens and in ethanol consumption in rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (1993) 17:968–73. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.1993. tb05651.x

- 143. Silveri MM, Spear LP. Decreased sensitivity to the hypnotic effects of ethanol early in ontogeny. Alcohol Clin Exp Res (1998) 22:670–6. doi:10.111 1/j.1530-0277.1998.tb04310.x
- Silveri MM, Spear LP. Ontogeny of ethanol elimination and ethanol-induced hypothermia. Alcohol (2000) 20:45–53. doi:10.1016/S0741-8329(99)00055-5
- Brasser SM, Spear NE. Physiological and behavior effects of acute ethanol hangover in juvenile, adolescent and adult rats. *Behav Neurosci* (2002) 116:305–20. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.116.2.305
- 146. White AM, Truesdale MC, Bae JG, Ahmad S, Wilson WA, Best PJ. Differential effects of ethanol on motor coordination in adolescent and adult rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2002) 73:673–7. doi:10.1016/S0091-3057(02)00860-2
- 147. Varlinskaya EI, Spear LP. Acute effects of ethanol on social behavior of adolescent and adult rats: role of familiarity of the test situation. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2002) 26:1502–11. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2002.tb02449.x
- Doremus TL, Brunell SC, Varlinskaya EI, Spear LP. Anxiogenic effects during withdrawal from acute ethanol in adolescent and adult rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2003) 75:411–8. doi:10.1016/S0091-3057(03)00134-5
- Walker BM, Ehlers CL. Age-related differences in the blood alcohol levels of Wistar rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2009) 91:560–5. doi:10.1016/j. pbb.2008.09.017
- Doremus-Fitzwater EL, Spear LP. Age-related differences in amphetamine sensitization: effects of prior drug or stress history on stimulant sensitization in juvenile and adult rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2010) 96:198–205. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2010.05.005
- 151. Spear LP. Heightened stress responsivity and emotional reactivity during pubertal maturation: implications for psychopathology. *Dev Psychopathol* (2009) 21(01):87–97. doi:10.1017/S0954579409000066
- Spear LP. Rewards, aversions and affect in adolescence: emerging convergences across laboratory animal and human data. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* (2011) 1:390–403. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2011.08.001
- 153. Kerstetter KA, Kantak KM. Differential effects of self-administered cocaine in adolescent and adult rats on stimulus-reward learning. *Psychopharmacology* (2007) 194(3):403–11. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-0852-6
- 154. DeSantis SM, Bandyopadhyay D, Back SE, Brady KT. Non-treatment laboratory stress- and cue-reactivity studies are associated with decreased substance use among drug-dependent individuals. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2009) 105(3):227–33. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2009.07.008
- Price KL, Saladin ME, Baker NL, Tolliver BK, DeSantis SM, McRae-Clark AL, et al. Extinction of drug cue reactivity in methamphetamine-dependent individuals. *Behav Res Ther* (2010) 48(9):860–5. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2010.05.010
- Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Mokdad A, Denny C, Serdula MK, Marks JS. Binge drinking among US adults. J Am Med Assoc (2003) 289:70–5. doi:10.1001/jama.289.1.70
- Field M, Christiansen P, Cole J, Goudie A. Delay discounting and the alcohol Stroop in heavy drinking adolescents. *Addiction* (2007) 103:579–86. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.01743.x
- 158. Goudriaan AE, Grekin ER, Sher KJ. Decision making and binge drinking: a longitudinal study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res (2007) 31:928–38. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00378.x
- 159. Johnson CA, Xiao L, Palmer P, Sun P, Wang Q, Wei Y, et al. Affective decision-making deficits, linked to a dysfunctional ventromedial prefrontal cortex, revealed in 10<sup>th</sup> grade Chinese adolescent binge drinkers. *Neuropsychologia* (2008) 46:714–26. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.09.012
- Vuchinich RE, Simpson CA. Hyperbolic temporal discounting in social drinkers and problem drinkers. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (1998) 6:292–305. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.6.3.292
- 161. Andrade LF, Petry NM. Delay and probability discounting in pathological gamblers with and without a history of substance use problems. Psychopharmacology (2012) 219:491–9. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2508-9
- Bjork JM, Hommer DW, Grant SJ, Danube C. Impulsivity in abstinent alcohol-dependent patients: relation to control subjects and type 1-/type 2-like traits. Alcohol (2004) 34:133-50. doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2004.06.012
- Claus ED, Kiehl KA, Hutchison KE. Neural and behavioral mechanisms of impulsive choice in alcohol use disorder. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2011) 35:1209–19. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01455.x
- 164. Dom G, D'Haene P, Hulstin W, Sabbe B. Impulsivity in abstinent early- and late-onset alcoholics: differences in self-report measures and a discounting task. Addiction (2006) 101:50–9. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2005.01270.x

- 165. Kirby KN, Petry NM. Heroin and cocaine abusers have higher discount rates for delayed rewards than alcoholics or non-drug-using controls. *Addiction* (2004) 99:461–71. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2003.00669.x
- 166. Petry NM. Delay discounting of money and alcohol in actively using alcoholics, currently abstinent alcoholics and controls. *Psychopharmacology* (2001) 154:243–50. doi:10.1007/s002130000638
- Crews FT, Boettiger CA. Impulsivity, frontal lobes and risks for addiction.
   Pharmacol Biochem Behav (2009) 93:237–47. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2009.04.018
- Brown SA, Tapert SF. Adolescence and the trajectory of alcohol use: basic to clinical studies. Ann N Y Acad Sci (2004) 1021:234–44. doi:10.1196/ annals.1308.028
- 169. Baumeister SE, Tossmann P. Association between early onset of cigarette, alcohol and cannabis use and later drug use patterns: an analysis of a survey in European metropolises. Eur Addict Res (2005) 11(2):92–8. doi:10.1159/000083038
- 170. Sershen H, Hashim A, Lajtha A. Gender differences in kappa-opioid modulation of cocaine-induced behavior and NMDA-evoked dopamine release. Brain Res (1998) 80:67–71. doi:10.1016/S0006-8993(98)00546-0
- Cosgrove KP, Carroll ME. Differential effects of bremazocine on oral phencyclidine (PCP) self-administration in male and female rhesus monkeys. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2004) 12:111–7. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.12.2.111
- Campbell UC, Morgan AD, Carroll ME. Sex differences in the effects of baclofen on the acquisition of intravenous cocaine self-administration in rats. Drug Alcohol Depend (2002) 66:61–9. doi:10.1016/S0376-8716(01)00185-5
- Carroll ME, Campbell UC, Heideman P. Ketoconazole suppresses food restriction-induced increases in heroin self-administration in rats: sex differences. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2001) 9:307–16. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.9.3.307
- 174. Greenfield SF, Brooks AJ, Gordon SM, Green CA, Kropp F, McHugh RK, et al. Substance abuse treatment entry, retention, outcome in women: a review of the literature. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2007) 86:1–21. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2006.05.012
- 175. Greenfield SF, Pettinati HM, O'Malley S, Randall PK, Randall PK. Gender differences in alcohol treatment: analysis of outcome from the COMBINE study. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2010) **34**:1803–12.doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2010.01267.x
- Chauvet C, Goldberg SR, Jaber M, Solinas M. Effects of environmental enrichment on the incubation of cocaine craving. *Neuropharmacology* (2012) 63:635–41. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.05.014
- 177. Grimm JW, Hope BT, Wise RA, Shaham Y. Neuroadaptation: incubation of cocaine craving after withdrawal. *Nature* (2001) 412:141–2. doi:10.1038/35084134
- Bardo MT, Compton WM. Does physical activity protect against drug abuse vulnerability? *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2015) 153:3–13. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2015.05.037
- 179. Stairs DJ, Bardo MT. Neurobehavioral effects of environmental enrichment and drug abuse vulnerability. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2009) **92**:377–82. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2009.01.016
- Carroll ME, France CP, Meisch RA. Food deprivation increases oral and intravenous drug intake in rats. Science (1979) 20:319–21. doi:10.1126/ science.36665
- Bardo MT, Klebaur JE, Valone JM, Deaton C. Environmental enrichment decreases intravenous self-administration of amphetamine in female and male rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2001) 155:278–84. doi:10.1007/s002130100720
- Carroll ME, Roth ME, Voeller RK, Nguyen PD. Acquisition of oral phencyclidine self-administration in rhesus monkeys: effect of sex. *Psychopharmacology* (2000) 149:401–8. doi:10.1007/s002130000389
- Campbell UC, Carroll ME. Reduction of drug self-administration by an alternative non-drug reinforcer in rhesus monkeys: magnitude and temporal effects. *Psychopharmacology* (2000) 147(4):418–25. doi:10.1007/ s002130050011
- 184. Cason AM, Grigson PS. Prior access to a sweet is more protective against cocaine self-administration in female rats than in male rats. *Physiol Behav* (2013) 113:96–103. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.02.017
- 185. Carroll ME, Bickel WK, Higgins ST. Nondrug incentives to treat drug abuse: laboratory and clinical developments. In: Carroll ME, Overmier JB, editors. Animal Research and Human Psychological Health: Advancing Human Welfare Through Behavioral Science. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association (2001). p. 139–54.

- 186. Green TA, Gehrke BJ, Bardo MT. Environmental enrichment decreases intravenous amphetamine self-administration in rats: dose-response functions for fixed- and progressive-ratio schedules. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2002) 162:373–8. doi:10.1007/s00213-002-1134-y
- Wood DA, Siegel AK, Rebec GV. Environmental enrichment reduces impulsivity during appetitive conditioning. *Physiol Behav* (2006) 88(1–2):132–7. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.03.024
- 188. Higgins ST, Silverman K, Heil SH, Brady JV. Contingency Management in Substance Abuse Treatment. New York, NY: Guilford Press (2008).
- Lussier JP, Heil SH, Mongeon JA, Badger GJ, Higgins ST. A meta-analysis of voucher-based reinforcement therapy for substance use disorders. *Addiction* (2006) 101:192–203. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01311.x
- Zhou Y, Zhou C, Li R. Sex differences in exercise and drug addiction: a mini review of animal studies. J Sport Health Sci (2014) 3:163–9. doi:10.1016/j. jshs.2014.04.005
- Zhou Y, Zhao M, Zhou C, Li R. Sex differences in drug addiction and response to exercise intervention: from human to animal studies. Front Neuroendocrinol (2015). doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2015.07.001
- Cotman CW, Berchtold NC, Christie LA. Exercise builds brain health: key roles of growth factor cascades and inflammation. *Trends Neurosci* (2007) 30(9):464–72. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2007.06.011
- 193. Qin L, Knol MJ, Corpeleijn E, Stolk RP. Does physical activity modify the risk of obesity for type 2 diabetes: a review of epidemiological data. *Eur J Epidemiol* (2010) **25**(1):5–12. doi:10.1007/s10654-009-9395-y
- 194. Ströhle A. Physical activity, exercise, depression and anxiety disorders. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* (2009) **116**(6):777–84. doi:10.1007/s00702-008-0092-x
- 195. Brown HE, Pearson N, Braithwaite RE, Brown WJ, Biddle SJ. Physical activity interventions and depression in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med (2013) 43:195–206. doi:10.1007/s40279-012-0015-8
- 196. Holley J, Crone D, Tyson P, Lovell G. The effects of physical activity on psychological well-being for those with schizophrenia: a systematic review. Br J Clin Psychol (2011) 50(1):84–105. doi:10.1348/014466510X496220
- 197. Smith MA, Pitts EG. Wheel running decreases the positive reinforcing effects of heroin. *Pharmacol Rep* (2012) 64(4):960–4. doi:10.1016/ S1734-1140(12)70891-5
- Cosgrove KP, Hunter R, Carroll ME. Wheel-running attenuates intravenous cocaine self-administration in rats: sex differences. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2002) 73:663–71. doi:10.1016/S0091-3057(02)00853-5
- Ehringer MA, Hoft NR, Zunhammer M. Reduced alcohol consumption in mice with access to a running wheel. *Alcohol* (2009) 43:443–52. doi:10.1016/j. alcohol.2009.06.003
- Smith MA, Schmidt KT, Iordanou JC, Mustroph ML. Aerobic exercise decreases the positive-reinforcing effects of cocaine. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2008) 98(1):129–35. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2008.05.006
- Smith MA, Walker KL, Cole KT, Lang KC. The effects of aerobic exercise on cocaine self-administration in male and female rats. *Psychopharmacology* (Berl) (2011) 218(2):357–69. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2321-5
- 202. Engelmann AJ, Aparicio MB, Kim A, Sobieraj JC, Yuan CJ, Grant Y, et al. Chronic wheel running reduces maladaptive patterns of methamphetamine intake: regulation by attenuation of methamphetamine-induced neuronal nitric oxide synthase. *Brain Struct Funct* (2014) 219:667–72. doi:10.1007/ s00429-013-0525-7
- 203. Lynch WJ, Piehl KB, Acosta G, Peterson AB, Hemby SE. Aerobic exercise attenuates reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior and associated neuroadaptations in the prefrontal cortex. *Biol Psychiatry* (2010) 68:774–7. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.022
- 204. Smith MA, Pennock MM, Walker KL, Lang KC. Access to a running wheel decreases cocaine-primed and cue-induced reinstatement in male and female rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2012) 121(1):54–61. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2011.08.006
- Zlebnik NE, Anker JJ, Gliddon LA, Carroll ME. Reduction of extinction and reinstatement of cocaine seeking by wheel running in female rats. Psychopharmacology (2010) 209(1):113–25. doi:10.1007/s00213-010-1776-0
- Peterson AB, Hivick DP, Lynch WJ. Dose-dependent effectiveness of wheel running to attenuate cocaine-seeking: impact of sex and estrous cycle in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2014) 231:2661–70. doi:10.1007/s00213-014-3437-1

- 207. Sobieraj J, Kim A, Fannon M, Mandym C. Chronic wheel running-induced reduction of extinction and reinstatement of methamphetamine seeking in methamphetamine dependent rats is associated with reduced number of periaqueductal gray dopamine neurons. *Brain Struct Funct* (2014) 15. doi:10.1007/s00429-014-0905-7
- Zlebnik NE, Carroll ME. Prevention of incubation of cocaine seeking by physical exercise in female rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2015) 232:3506–13. doi:10.1007/s00213-015-3999-6
- Koelch M, Schnoor K, Fegert JM. Ethical issues in psychopharmacology of children and adolescents. Curr Opin Psychiatry (2008) 21(6):598–605. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e328314b776
- McVoy M, Findling R. Child and adolescent psychopharmacology update.
   Psychiatr Clin North Am (2009) 32(1):111–33. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2008.11.002
- 211. Miller ML, Vaillancourt BD, Wright MJ Jr, Aarde SM, Vanderwater SA, Creehan KM, et al. Reciprocal inhibitory effects of intravenous p-methamphetamine self-administration and wheel activity in rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2012) 121(1–2):90–6. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.08.013
- Sanchez V, Moore CF, Brunzell DH, Lynch WJ. Effect of wheel-running during abstinence on subsequent nicotine-seeking in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (Berl) (2014) 231:1753–62. doi:10.1007/s00213-013-3359-3
- Sanchez V, Lycas MD, Lynch WJ, Brunzell DH. Wheel running exercise attenuates vulnerability to self-administer nicotine in rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2015) 156:193–8. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.09.022
- 214. Smith MA, Pitts EG. Access to a running wheel inhibits the acquisition of cocaine self-administration. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2011) 100(2):237–43. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2011.08.025
- 215. Thanos PK, Tucci A, Stamos J, Robison L, Wang GJ, Anderson BJ, et al. Chronic forced exercise during adolescence decreases cocaine conditioned place preference in Lewis rats. *Behav Brain Res* (2010) 215(1):77–82. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2010.06.033
- Zlebnik NE, Saykao A, Carroll M. Effects of combined exercise and progesterone treatments on cocaine seeking in male and female rats. *Psychopharmacology* (Berl) (2014) 231:3787–98. doi:10.1007/s00213-014-3513-6
- Zlebnik NE, Hedges VL, Carroll ME, Meisel RL. Chronic wheel running affects cocaine-induced c-Fos expression in brain reward areas in rats. *Behav Brain Res* (2014) 261:71–8. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2013.12.012
- DiLeone RJ, Taylor JR, Picciotto MR. The drive to eat: comparisons and distinctions between mechanisms of food reward and drug addiction. *Nat Neurosci* (2012) 15:1330–5. doi:10.1038/nn.3202
- Olsen CM. Natural rewards, neuroplasticity, and non-drug addictions. Neuropharmacology (2011) 61:1109–22. doi:10.1016/j. neuropharm.2011.03.010
- Linke SE, Ussher M. Exercise-based treatments for substance use disorders: evidence, theory, and practicality. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse (2015) 41:7–15. doi:10.3109/00952990.2014.976708
- 221. Marcus BH, Lewis BA, Hogan J, King TK, Albrecht AE, Bock B, et al. The efficacy of moderate-intensity exercise as an aid for smoking cessation in women: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* (2005) 159:1229–34. doi:10.1001/archinte.159.11.1229
- Weinstock J, Barry D, Petry NM. Exercise-related activities are associated with positive outcome in contingency management treatment for substance use disorders. *Addict Behav* (2008) 33:1072–5. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.03.011
- Horn K, Dino G, Branstetter SA, Zhang J, Noerachmanto N, Jarrett T, et al. Effects of physical activity on teen smoking cessation. *Pediatrics* (2011) 128(4):e801–11. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-2599
- Linke SE, Ciccolo JT, Ussher M, Marcus BH. Exercise-based smoking cessation interventions among women. Womens Health (2013) 9(1):69–84. doi:10.2217/whe.12.63
- Terry-McElrath YM, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD. Exercise and substance use among American youth, 1991-2009. Am J Prev Med (2011) 40(5):530–40. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.12.021
- Rawson RA, Chudzynski J, Mooney L, Gonzales R, Ang A, Dickerson D, et al. Impact of an exercise intervention on methamphetamine use outcomes post-residential treatment care. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2015) 156:21–8. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.08.029
- 227. Dolezal BA, Chudzynski J, Storer TW, Abrazado M, Penate J, Mooney L, et al. Eight weeks of exercise training improves fitness measures in methamphetamine-dependent individuals in residential treatment. *J Addict Med* (2013) 7(2):122–8. doi:10.1097/ADM.0b013e318282475e

- Dolezal BA, Chudzynski J, Dickerson D, Mooney L, Rawson RA, Garfinkel A, et al. Exercise training improves heart rate variability after methamphetamine dependency. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2014) 46(6):1057–66. doi:10.1249/ MSS.0000000000000001
- 229. Hopf FW, Chang SJ, Sparta DR, Bowers MS, Bonci A. Motivation for alcohol becomes resistant to quinine adulteration after 3-4 months of intermittent alcohol self-administration. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2010) 34:419–24. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2010.01241.x
- Seif T, Chang SJ, Simms JA, Gibb SL, Dadgar J, Chen BT, et al. Cortical activation of accumbens hyperpolarization-active NMDARs mediates aversion-resistant alcohol intake. *Nat Neurosci* (2013) 16:1094–100. doi:10.1038/ nn 3445
- Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: from actions to habits to compulsion. *Nat Neurosci* (2005) 8:1481–9. doi:10.1038/nn1579
- Shahbazi M, Moffet AM, Williams BF, Frantz KJ. Age- and sex-dependent amphetamine self-administration in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (2008) 196:71–81. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-0933-6
- Radke AK, Gewritz JC, Carroll ME. Effects of age, but not sex, on elevated startle during withdrawal from acute morphine in adolescent and adult rats. Behav Pharmacol (2015) 26:485–8. doi:10.1097/FBP.000000000000151
- Riley AL. The paradox of drug taking: the role of the aversive effects of drug. *Physiol Behav* (2011) 103:69–78. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.11.021
- 235. Bizot JC, David S, Trovero F. Effects of atomoxetine, desipramine, p-amphetamine and methylphenidate on impulsivity in juvenile rats, measured in a T-maze procedure. *Neurosci Lett* (2011) **489**:20–4. doi:10.1016/j. neulet.2010.11.058
- 236. Saladin ME, McClure EA, Baker NL, Carpenter MJ, Ramakrishnan V, Hartwell KJ, et al. Increasing progesterone levels are associated with smoking abstinence among free-cycling women smokers who receive brief pharmacotherapy. *Nicotine Tob Res* (2015) 17:398–406. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntu262
- 237. Somaini L, Donnini C, Raggi MA, Amore M, Ciccocioppo R, Saracino MA, et al. Promising medications for cocaine dependence treatment. Recent Pat CNS Drug Discov (2011) 6:146-60. doi:10.2174/157488911795933893
- 238. Bymaster FP, Katner JS, Nelson DL, Hemrick-Luecke SK, Threlkeld PG, Heiligenstein JH, et al. Atomoxetine increases extracellular levels of norepinephrine and dopamine in prefrontal cortex of rat: a potential mechanism for efficacy in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2002) 27:699–711. doi:10.1016/S0893-133X(02)00346-9
- 239. Ritz MC, Cone EJ, Kuhar MJ. Cocaine inhibition of ligand binding at dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin transporters: a structure-activity study. *Life Sci* (1990) 46:635–45. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(90)90132-B
- Wee S, Woolverton WL. Evaluation of the reinforcing effects of atomoxetine in monkeys: comparison to methylphenidate and desipramine. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2004) 75:271–6. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2004.03.010
- Baarendse PJ, Vanderschuren LJ. Dissociable effects of monoamine reuptake inhibitors on distinct forms of impulsive behavior in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl) (2012) 219:313–26. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2576-x
- 242. Economidou D, Dalley JW, Everitt BJ. Selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibition by atomoxetine prevents cue-induced heroin and cocaine seeking. *Biol Psychiatry* (2011) **69**:266–74. doi:10.1016/j. biopsych.2010.09.040
- 243. Levin FR, Mariani J, Secora A, Brooks DB, Cheng W, Bisaga A, et al. Atomoxetine treatment for cocaine abuse and adult attention deficit/hyper-activity disorder (ADHD): a preliminary open trial. *J Dual Diagn* (2009) 5:41–56. doi:10.1080/15504260802628767
- 244. Walsh SL, Middleton LS, Wong CJ, Nuzzo PA, Campbell CL, Rush CR, et al. Atomoxetine does not alter cocaine use in cocaine dependent individuals: a double blind randomized trial. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2013) 130:150–7. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.10.024
- 245. Zlebnik NE, Carroll ME. Effects of the combination of wheel running and atomoxetine on cue-and cocaine-primed reinstatement in rats selected for high or low impulsivity. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2015) 232:1049–59. doi:10.1007/s00213-014-3744-6
- Reichel CM, Linkugel JD, Bevins RA. Nicotine as a conditioned stimulus: impact of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medications. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2007) 15:501–9. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.5.501

- Davis JA, Gould TJ. Atomoxetine reverses nicotine withdrawal-associated deficits in contextual fear conditioning. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2007) 32:2011–9. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301315
- Smethells J, Carroll ME. Discrepant effects of acute cocaine on impulsive choice (delay discounting) in female rats during an increasing- and adjusting-delay procedure. *Psychopharmacology* (2015) 232:2455–62. doi:10.1007/ s00213-015-3874-5
- 249. Rush CR, Stoops WW, Lile JA, Glaser PE, Hays LR. Physiological and subjective effects of acute intranasal methamphetamine during atomoxetine maintenance. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2011) 100:40–7. doi:10.1016/j. pbb.2011.06.024
- Sofuoglu M, Mooney M. Subjective responses to intravenous nicotine: greater sensitivity in women than in men. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2009) 17:63–9. doi:10.1037/a0015297
- 251. Benegal V, Viswanath B, Narayanaswamy JC, Jose SP, Chakraborty V, Sankar D, et al. The efficacy of atomoxtine as adjunctive treatment for co-morbid substance use disorders and externalizing symptoms. *Asian J Psychiatr* (2013) 6:544–7. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2013.07.007
- 252. Wilens EE, Adler LA, Tanake Y, Xiao R, D'Souza DN, Gutkin SW, et al. Correlates of alcohol use in adults with ADHD and comorbid alcohol use disorders: exploratory analysis of a placebo-controlled trial of atomoxetine. Curr Med Res Opin (2011) 27:2309–20. doi:10.1185/03007995.2011.628648
- Llaneza DC, Frye CA. Progestogens and estrogen influence impulsive burying and avoidant freezing behavior of naturally cycling and ovariectomized rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2009) 93:337–42. doi:10.1016/j. pbb.2009.05.003
- Schneider T, Popik P. Attenuation of estrous cycle-dependent marble burying in female rats by acute treatment with progesterone and antidepressants. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2007) 32:651–9. doi:10.1016/j. psyneuen.2007.04.003
- Mello NK, Knudson IM, Mendelson JH. Sex and menstrual cycle effects on progressive ratio measures of cocaine self-administration in cynomolgus monkeys. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2007) 32:1956–66. doi:10.1038/ si.npp.1301314
- Mello NK, Knudson IM, Kelly M, Fivel PA, Mendelson JH. Effects of progesterone and testosterone on cocaine self-administration and cocaine discrimination by female rhesus monkeys. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2011) 36:2187–99. doi:10.1038/npp.2011.130
- Cooper ZD, Foltin W, Evans SM. Effects of menstrual cycle phase on cocaine self-administration in rhesus macaques. *Horm Behav* (2013) 63:105–13. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.10.008
- Anker JJ, Larson EB, Gliddon LA, Carroll ME. Effects of progesterone on the reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior in female rats. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2007) 15:472–80. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.5.472
- Jackson LR, Robinson TE, Becker JB. Sex differences and hormonal influences on acquisition of cocaine self-administration in rats. Neuropsychopharmacology (2006) 31:129–38.
- Larson EB, Anker JJ, Gliddon LA, Fons KS, Carroll ME. Effects of estrogen and progesterone on the escalation of cocaine self-administration in female rats during extended access. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2007) 20:461–71. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.5.461
- Feltenstein MW, See RE. Plasma progesterone levels and cocaine-seeking in freely cycling female rats across the estrous cycle. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2007) 89:183–9. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.12.017
- 262. Hecht GS, Spear NE, Spear LP. Changes in progressive ratio responding for intravenous cocaine throughout the reproductive process in female rats. *Dev Psychobiol* (1999) 35:136–45. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199909)35:2<136::AID-DEV6>3.3.CO;2-B
- Lynch WJ. Acquisition and maintenance of cocaine self-administration in adolescent rats: effects of sex and gonadal hormones. *Psychopharmacology* (2007) 197:237–46. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-1028-0
- Roberts DCS, Bennett SAL, Vickers GJ. The estrous cycle affects cocaine self-administration on a progressive ratio schedule in rats. Psychopharmacology (1989) 98:408–11. doi:10.1007/BF00451696
- Evans SM, Haney M, Foltin RW. The effects of smoked cocaine during the follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle in women. *Psychopharmacology* (2002) 159:397–406. doi:10.1007/s00213-001-0944-7

- Lukas SE, Sholar M, Lundahl LH, Lamas X, Kouri E, Wines JD, et al. Sex differences in plasma cocaine levels and subjective effects after acute cocaine administration in human volunteers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (1996) 125:346–54. doi:10.1007/BF02246017
- Terner JM, de Wit H. Menstrual cycle phase and responses to drugs of abuse in humans. *Drug Alcohol Depend* (2006) 84:1–13. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2005.12.007
- Sinha R, Fox H, Hong K-I, Sofuoglu M, Morgan PT, Bergquist KT. Sex steroid hormones, stress response, and drug craving in cocaine-dependent women: implications for relapse susceptibility. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2007) 15:445–52. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.5.445
- 269. Nyberg S, Wahlstrom G, Backsgtrom T, Poromaa IS. Altered sensitivity to alcohol in the late luteal phase among patient with premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2004) 29:767–77. doi:10.1016/ S0306-4530(03)00121-5
- Netter P, Muller MJ, Neumann A, Kamradik B. The influence of nicotine on performance, mood, and physiological parameters as related to smoking habit, gender, and suggestibility. Clin Investig (1994) 72:512–8. doi:10.1007/ BF00207480
- 271. Myers CS, Taylor RC, Moolchan ET, Heishman SJ. Dose-relate enhancement of mood and cognition in smokers administered nicotine nasal spray. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2008) 33:588–98. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301425
- 272. Evans SE, Blank M, Sams C, Weaver MF, Eissenerg T. Transdermal nicotine-induced tobacco abstinence symptom suppression: nicotine dose and smokers' gender. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2006) 14:121–35. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.14.2.121
- Perkins KA. Discriminative stimulus effects of nicotine in humans. Handb Exp Pharmacol (2009) 192:369–400. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-69248-5\_13
- 274. Merritt PS, Cobb AR, Cook GI. Sex differences in the cognitive effects of tobacco abstinence: a pilot study. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol (2012) 20:258–63. doi:10.1037/a0027414
- Leventhal AM, Waters AJ, Boyd S, Moolchan ET, Lerman C, Pickworth WB. Gender differences in acute tobacco withdrawal: effects on subjective, cognitive, and physiological measures. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* (2007) 15:21–36. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.15.1.21
- Evans SM, Foltin RW. Exogenous progesterone attenuates the subjective effects of smoked cocaine in women, but not in men. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2005) 31:659–74. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300887
- 277. Fox HC, Sofuoglu M, Morgan PT, Tuit KL, Sinha R. The effects of exogenous progesterone on drug craving and stress arousal in cocaine dependence: impact of gender and cue type. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2013) 38:1532–44. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.12.022
- Sofuoglu M, Babb DA, Hatsukami DK. Effects of progesterone treatment on smoked cocaine response in women. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2002) 72:431–5. doi:10.1016/S0091-3057(02)00716-5
- Sofuoglu M, Mitchell E, Kosten TR. Effects of progesterone treatment on cocaine responses in male and female cocaine users. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* (2004) 78:699–705. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2004.05.004
- 280. Yonkers KA, Forray A, Nich C, Carroll KM, Hine C, Merry BC, et al. Progesterone for the reduction of cocaine use in post-partum women with a cocaine use disorder: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, pilot study. Lancet Psychiatry (2014) 1:360–7. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(14)70333-5
- Stoops WW, Rush CR. Combination pharmacotherapies for stimulant use disorder: a review of clinical findings and recommendations for future research. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol (2014) 7:363–74. doi:10.1586/175124 33.2014.909283

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Carroll and Smethells. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.