



CENTRAL
to
AMERICA'S
ECONOMY™

Shadow Interest Rates and the Stance of U.S. Monetary Policy

James Bullard

President and CEO, FRB-St. Louis

8 November 2012

Center for Finance and Accounting Research

Annual Corporate Finance Conference

Olin Business School

Washington University in St. Louis

Is Current U.S. Monetary Policy “Too Easy”?

Main idea

- Some recent research suggests that current U.S. monetary policy may be considerably easier than commonly understood.
- In particular, the current U.S. policy stance may be substantially easier than the policy stance recommended by commonly-used monetary policy feedback rules.
- This research is based on ideas in mathematical finance.

A shadow rate

- The level of nominal short-term interest rates is conventionally taken to indicate the stance of policy.
 - Lower values are described as “easier” policy.
- The FOMC’s policy rate has been effectively pegged near zero since December of 2008.
- How should the monetary policy stance be described given this development?
 - A math finance answer: Construct a “shadow rate.”

Sources

- Main papers:
 - Leo Krippner. 2012a. “Measuring the stance of monetary policy in zero lower bound environments.” Reserve Bank of New Zealand, Discussion Paper 2012/04, August.
 - Leo Krippner. 2012b. “Modifying Gaussian term structure models when interest rates are near the zero lower bound.” Reserve Bank of New Zealand, Discussion Paper 2012/02, March.
- Less technical discussion:
 - Leo Krippner. 2012c. “A model for interest rates near the zero lower bound: An overview and discussion.” Reserve Bank of New Zealand, Analytical Note 2012/05, September.

The value of the shadow rate

- Krippner (2012a,b,c) calculates a shadow short-term rate.
 - This rate can be understood as a metric for the stance of monetary policy in a zero lower bound environment.
 - The current value is about -5.0 percent.
 - This value is considerably more negative than values recommended by common monetary policy rules.
- Bottom line: The current policy stance looks very easy according to this analysis.

Background and Methodology

Fischer Black

- The late Fischer Black (1938-1995) was a leader in mathematical finance.
- Co-creator of Black-Scholes option pricing.
- One paper he worked on shortly before his death:
 - “Interest Rates as Options”
 - Published in late 1995 in the *Journal of Finance*.*


Interest rates as options

- Nominal interest rates cannot fall materially below zero.
 - This is because cash provides a risk-free investment at a zero nominal rate.
 - Holding cash will therefore be more attractive than accepting a negative nominal rate on a security.
- Black (1995) provided a way to calculate the value of the call option to hold cash at the zero lower bound.
 - The value of this option can then be subtracted from observed nominal yields.
 - This leaves a shadow nominal yield curve that would exist in the absence of the cash option.

Monetary policy applications

- Leo Krippner is a financial market economist working at the Reserve Bank of New Zealand.
- Krippner (2012a,b) suggested modifications to the Black (1995) approach to allow for closed-form solutions to the option pricing problem.
 - This allows for considerable simplification.
 - Krippner (2012a,b,c) also emphasized a monetary policy application: Using the implied shadow overnight rate as a metric for the actual stance of monetary policy.
- One earlier U.S. monetary policy application is Bomfim (2003).*

Example



Implications for U.S. Monetary Policy

Recommended U.S. monetary policy

- It has become popular in recent years to describe the desired level of the policy rate by using versions of Taylor-type policy rules.
- These rules relate the current value of the policy rate to macroeconomic variables such as inflation and output or unemployment gaps.
- Most policy rules in this class currently recommend a negative policy rate.

One recommended policy

- One possible policy rule is often called the Taylor (1999) rule:*


$$R_t = 2 + \pi_t + 0.5 (\pi_t - 2) + 1.0 Y_t$$

- π_t : headline PCE inflation (year-over-year)
 - $Y_t = 2.3 (5.6 - U_t)$: output gap
 - U_t : unemployment rate
- Vice Chair Janet Yellen used this specification of the Taylor (1999) rule in her June 6, 2012, speech *Perspectives on Monetary Policy* given at the Boston Economic Club Dinner.

One recommended policy

- We can plot the recommended policy rate according to the Taylor (1999) rule.
- In some ways this plot does not make sense, since the recommended short-term rate is negative, which cannot occur.
 - One interpretation is that other, unconventional policies have been needed to try to achieve the recommended policy rate.
 - But, how do we know if those unconventional policies are working?

Plot of the Taylor (1999) policy recommendation




Source: Federal Reserve Board, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics and author's calculations.
Last observation: October, 2012.

Application of Krippner

- The Krippner calculation of a shadow short-term nominal interest rate allows us to compare a measure of actual policy against the recommended policy from a standard policy rule.
- The Krippner approach is dubbed ZLB-GATSM.
 - “Zero lower bound Gaussian affine term structure model.”
- Krippner uses an estimated two-factor GATSM from his earlier work.
 - More extensive empirical work is desirable, and further research on this topic is something I encourage.

Recommended policy versus actual policy



Source: Federal Reserve Board, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics and author's calculations; the estimated shadow rate was kindly provided by Leo Krippner. Last observation: October, 2012.


Current policy may be easier than often perceived

- According to these estimates, the shadow policy rate is currently more than 300 basis points lower than the rate recommended by the Taylor (1999) rule.
- This suggests that actual U.S. monetary policy may currently be easier than the recommendations from that particular rule.


More implications

- In 2009, policy may have been too tight relative to the recommended Taylor (1999) rate.
 - The FOMC at that point had not taken many of the unconventional policy actions and did not expect to do so.
- The actual policy stance as measured by the shadow rate has recently been more volatile than during the pre-2008 era.
 - This may be because monetary policy has been harder to interpret during the period of the zero lower bound.

A closer look: Forward guidance



A closer look: QE



The value of unconventional policy

- The Krippner study gives us one way to evaluate recent unconventional policy actions by the FOMC.
 - Significant unconventional policy actions at times seem to conform well with movements in the shadow policy rate.
 - Times of less conformity may indicate an ineffective policy action.

The value of unconventional policy

- The accumulation of policy actions since 2008 has generally been associated with a continuing decline in the level of the shadow rate—that is, an easier and easier policy stance.
- Krippner argues that these estimates are consistent with Williams (2011),* which are based on wholly different methods.

Conclusions

Summary

- Recent research by Leo Krippner builds on earlier work following Fischer Black and others in thinking about the call option value of cash in a zero nominal interest rate environment.
- Krippner's findings suggest current U.S. monetary policy is easier than the policy recommended by commonly-used Taylor-type policy rules.
- These findings are interesting and I encourage further and more detailed analysis in this area.



Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
stlouisfed.org

CENTRAL
to
AMERICA'S
ECONOMY™

Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED)
research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/

James Bullard
research.stlouisfed.org/econ/bullard/