



Sharp growth rates for semigroups using resolvent bounds

JAN ROZENDAAL  AND MARK VERAAR 

Abstract. We study growth rates for strongly continuous semigroups. We prove that a growth rate for the resolvent on imaginary lines implies a corresponding growth rate for the semigroup if either the underlying space is a Hilbert space, or the semigroup is asymptotically analytic, or if the semigroup is positive and the underlying space is an L^p -space or a space of continuous functions. We also prove variations of the main results on fractional domains; these are valid on more general Banach spaces. In the second part of the article, we apply our main theorem to prove optimality in a classical example by Renardy of a perturbed wave equation which exhibits unusual spectral behavior.

1. Introduction

Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X . It can be quite difficult to verify the assumptions of the Hille–Yosida theorem to determine whether $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded, given that bounds for all powers of the resolvent of A are required. Hence it is of interest to determine spectral conditions that are easier to check and which imply specific growth behavior of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, such as for example polynomial growth. One such condition is the Kreiss resolvent assumption from [27]: $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$ and

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{K}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+) \quad (1.1)$$

for some $K \geq 0$. It is known from [44] that (1.1) implies $\|T(t)\| \leq enK$ if X is n -dimensional. Moreover, as was shown in [13], if X is a Hilbert space and (1.1) holds then $\|T(t)\|$ grows at most linearly in t , while there exist semigroups on general Banach spaces which satisfy (1.1) but grow exponentially. For more on this topic see [13, 43, 44] and references therein.

There are many interesting strongly continuous semigroups with a polynomial growth rate. One important class is given by certain Schrödinger semigroups on L^p -spaces, $p \in [1, \infty]$, that have generator $\Delta + V$ for V an (unbounded) potential (see

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47D06; Secondary 34D05, 35B40, 42B15

Keywords: C_0 -semigroup, Polynomial growth, Positive semigroup, Fourier multiplier, Kreiss condition, Perturbed wave equation.

The first author is supported by Grant DP160100941 of the Australian Research Council. The second author is supported by the VIDI subsidy 639.032.427 of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

[9, 19] and references therein). Other examples arise from (perturbed) wave equations [18, 36], delay equations [41], and operator matrices and multiplication operators [39, Section 4.7]. In [1, 7, 8, 12, 16, 45] one may find additional examples of semigroups with interesting growth behavior.

The following is the main result of this article. It enables one to derive polynomial growth bounds for a semigroup from resolvent estimates similar to (1.1). We note that each eventually differentiable C_0 -semigroup, and in particular each analytic semigroup, is asymptotically analytic. Also, condition (4) is satisfied if, e.g., $X = C_{ub}(\Omega)$ for Ω a metric space, or $X = C_0(\Omega)$ for Ω a locally compact space.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) X is a Hilbert space;
- (2) $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an asymptotically analytic semigroup;
- (3) $X = L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and Ω a measure space, and $T(t)$ is a positive operator for all $t \geq 0$.
- (4) X is a closed subspace of $C_b(\Omega)$, for Ω a topological space, such that either $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in X$ or X is a sublattice, and $T(t)$ is a positive operator for all $t \geq 0$.

If there exist $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq K(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))^{-\alpha} + 1 \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+), \quad (1.2)$$

then there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq CK(t^\alpha + 1) \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (1.3)$$

In fact, in the main text, we allow an arbitrary growth rate g in (1.2) and (1.3). It follows from Example 3.5 below that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, Theorem 1.1 is optimal up to arbitrarily small polynomial loss in (1.3).

For $\alpha = 0$ and X a Hilbert space, Theorem 1.1 reduces to the Gearhart–Prüss theorem (see [1, Theorem 5.2.1]), while for $\alpha = 0$ and $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ a positive semigroup on an L^p -space one recovers a result by Weis (see [1, Theorem 5.3.1]).

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the inequality $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \geq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(A))$ for $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ shows that $\overline{\mathbb{C}_-} \subseteq \rho(A)$, and then one can use a Neumann series argument to reduce to the case where $\alpha = 0$.

For $\alpha \geq 1$ it was previously known from [14] that (1.2) implies

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq CK(t^{2\alpha-1} + 1) \quad (t \geq 0) \quad (1.4)$$

whenever $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ has a so-called p -integrable resolvent for some $p \in (1, \infty)$. This property is satisfied by, e.g., all C_0 -semigroups on Hilbert spaces and analytic semigroups on general Banach spaces. If $\alpha = 1$, then (1.3) and (1.4) yield the same conclusion. In all other cases (1.3) improves (1.4). Theorem 1.1 also seems to be the first result of its kind for asymptotically analytic semigroups and for positive semigroups on L^p -spaces and spaces of continuous functions. Generation theorems

for (semi)groups with polynomial growth were discussed in [12,25,34]. In contrast to these articles we assume a priori that the relevant semigroup exists. Other results on semigroups of polynomial growth can be found in [6,13,47]. Versions of Theorem 1.1 for Césaro-type averages have been considered in [32], where also numerous counterexamples are presented.

It was known from [14] that on general Banach spaces (1.3) implies

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C'(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-\alpha-1} + 1) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+)$$

for some $C' \geq 0$, thus providing a partial converse to Theorem 1.1. In Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.13 we extend this result and obtain a full characterization of polynomial stability of a semigroup in terms of properties of the resolvent of its generator.

We also derive versions of Theorem 1.1 on fractional domains, where we make other geometric assumptions on X . In particular, it is shown in Proposition 3.1 that on a general Banach space X (1.1) implies at most linear growth for semigroup orbits with sufficiently smooth initial values. We also point out that, by choosing $\alpha = 0$ and using a scaling argument, Theorem 1.1 and other results in Sect. 3 imply various theorems about exponential stability from [46,47,49,51].

We note here that the main result of [13] was applied to Schrödinger semigroups in [17, Theorem 5.4] to deduce cubic growth of the semigroup, whereas Theorem 1.1 immediately yields quadratic growth.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we use the connection between stability theory and Fourier multipliers which goes back to, e.g., [21,24,30,49] and which was renewed in [39], following the development of a theory of operator-valued (L^p, L^q) Fourier multipliers in [38,40]. In particular, Theorem 3.2 gives a Fourier multiplier criterion for a bound as in (1.3) to hold, and Corollary 3.13 gives a characterization of polynomial growth and uniform boundedness of a semigroup in terms of multiplier properties of the resolvent. Theorem 1.1 is then deduced using Plancherel's theorem, known connections between Fourier multipliers and analytic semigroups from [4], and a Fourier multiplier theorem for positive kernels from Proposition 3.7.

In Sect. 4 we apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain optimality of the growth rate in a perturbed wave equation which was studied by Renardy in [37] and which exhibits unusual spectral behavior.

2. Notation and preliminaries

We denote by $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_- := -\mathbb{C}_+$ the open complex right and left half-planes.

Nonzero Banach spaces over the complex numbers are denoted by X and Y . The space of bounded linear operators from X to Y is $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, and $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X, X)$. The identity operator on X is denoted by I_X , and we usually write λ for λI_X when

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The domain of a closed operator A on X is $D(A)$, a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{D(A)} := \|x\|_X + \|Ax\|_X \quad (x \in D(A)).$$

The spectrum of A is $\sigma(A)$ and the resolvent set is $\rho(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. We write $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ for the resolvent operator of A at $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and Ω a measure space, $L^p(\Omega; X)$ is the Bochner space of equivalence classes of strongly measurable, p -integrable, X -valued functions on Ω . The Hölder conjugate of $p \in [1, \infty]$ is $p' \in [1, \infty]$ and is defined by $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'}$.

The indicator function of a set Ω is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$. We often identify functions on $[0, \infty)$ with their extension to \mathbb{R} which is identically zero on $(-\infty, 0)$.

The class of X -valued Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$, and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$. The space of continuous linear $f : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow X$, the X -valued tempered distributions, is $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$. The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}f$ or \widehat{f} . If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$ then

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot t} f(t) dt \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A function $m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is X -strongly measurable if $\xi \mapsto m(\xi)x$ is a strongly measurable Y -valued map for all $x \in X$. We say that m is of moderate growth if there exist $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(1 + |\xi|)^{-\alpha} \|m(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq g(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be an X -strongly measurable map of moderate growth. Then $T_m : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n; Y)$,

$$T_m(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \cdot \widehat{f}) \quad (f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; X)), \tag{2.1}$$

is the Fourier multiplier operator associated with m . For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$ we let $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ be the set of all X -strongly measurable $m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of moderate growth such that $T_m \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; X), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; Y))$, with

$$\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X, Y))} := \|T_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; X), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; Y))}.$$

Moreover, suppose that there exists an X -strongly measurable $K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that $K(\cdot)x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; Y)$ and $m(\xi)x = \mathcal{F}(K(\cdot)x)(\xi)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes X$ an X -valued simple function, one may define

$$T_m(f)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t - s)f(s) ds \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We write $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(Y, X))$ if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; Y)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)} \tag{2.2}$$

for all such f , and then we let $\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X,Y))}$ be the minimal constant C in (2.2). In this case T_m extends to a bounded operator from the closure of the X -valued simple functions in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; Y)$. This closure is not in general equal to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$, but for $n = 1$ it contains all regulated functions (e.g., piecewise continuous f) that vanish at infinity (see [11, 7.6.1]), which will suffice for our purposes.

For $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ set

$$S_\varphi := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg(z)| < \varphi\}.$$

A operator A on a Banach space X is *sectorial of angle* $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ if $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{S_\varphi}$ and if $\sup\{\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{S_\theta}\} < \infty$ for all $\theta \in (\varphi, \pi)$. An operator A such that

$$M(A) := \sup\{\|\lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid \lambda \in (0, \infty)\} < \infty$$

is sectorial of angle $\varphi = \pi - \arcsin(1/M(A))$, and for each $\theta > \pi - \arcsin(1/M(A))$ there exists a constant $C_\theta \geq 0$ independent of A such that

$$\sup\{\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{S_\theta}\} \leq C_\theta M(A), \tag{2.3}$$

as follows from the proof of [20, Proposition 2.1.1.a]. For $-A$ the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ on a Banach space X , set

$$\omega_0(T) := \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists M \geq 0 : \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M e^{\omega t} \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$$

and $s(-A) := \sup\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \sigma(-A)\}$. Then $\omega + A$ is a sectorial operator for $\omega > \omega_0(T)$. In particular, for $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$ the fractional domain $X_\gamma := D((\omega + A)^\gamma)$ is well defined, and up to norm equivalence, it is independent of the choice of ω . For background knowledge on C_0 -semigroups and sectorial operators, we refer to [1, 12, 16, 20, 45].

3. Polynomial growth results

Throughout this section, for $-A$ the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X , let $\omega, M_\omega \geq 1$ be such that

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_\omega e^{t(\omega-1)} \quad (t \geq 0), \tag{3.1}$$

and set $M := \sup\{\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid t \in [0, 2]\}$.

3.1. General Banach spaces

We first consider semigroups on general Banach spaces. In [14] an example is given of a semigroup generator $-A$ which satisfies (1.1) such that the associated semigroup grows exponentially. The following proposition shows in particular that the Kreiss condition does imply at most linear growth of semigroup orbits with sufficiently smooth initial values.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then for each $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a $C_\gamma > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C_\gamma g(t) + M$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the estimate for $t \geq 2$. Let $x \in X_\gamma$ and set $y := (1 + A)^\gamma x \in X$. For $a \in (0, 1)$ the functional calculus for half-plane operators from [3] yields

$$e^{-at}T(t)x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-zt}}{(1 - a + z)^\gamma} R(z, A + a)y \, dz.$$

Hence there exists a constant $C'_\gamma > 0$ such that, for all $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\|T(t)x\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{at} g(1/a) \|y\|_X \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|1 - a + z|^\gamma} |dz| \leq C'_\gamma e^{at} g(1/a) \|x\|_{X_\gamma}.$$

Now set $a = 1/t$ to conclude the proof. □

The following theorem is inspired by [30, Theorem 3.1]. It links growth rates of a semigroup to the Fourier multiplier properties of the resolvent of its generator.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$, and let $Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a continuously embedded Banach space satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exists a $C_T \geq 0$ such that $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ for all $t \geq 0$, with $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq C_T \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$;*
- (2) *There exists a continuously and densely embedded Banach space $Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y$ such that $[t \mapsto e^{-at} \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y_0, X)}] \in L^1(0, \infty)$ for all $a \in (0, \infty)$.*

Suppose that there exist $p \in [1, \infty]$, $q \in [p, \infty]$ and a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(Y, X))$ for all $a \in (0, \infty)$, with

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(Y, X))} \leq g(1/a). \tag{3.2}$$

Then $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} \leq C_q(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$. Here $C_q = eC_T C_Y M_\omega(1 + 2M\omega)$ for $q < \infty$, $C_\infty = eC_T C_Y M_\omega(1 + \omega)$, and $C_Y = \max(1, \|I_Y\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)})$.

Proof. Set $m_a(\xi) := (a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ for $a > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We first prove

$$\|m_a\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(Y, X))} \leq 2M(g(1/a) + C_Y) \tag{3.3}$$

for $q < \infty$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes Y_0$ be such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; Y)} \leq 1$. Then $\|T_{m_a}(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; X)} \leq g(1/a)$, so for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a $t \in [l, l + 1]$ such that

$$\|T_{m_a}(f)(t)\|_X \leq 2g(1/a). \tag{3.4}$$

Fix an $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $t \in [l, l + 1]$ be such that (3.4) holds. Let $\tau \in [0, 2]$ and note that (see [16, Lemma II.1.9])

$$e^{-i\xi\tau} e^{-a\tau} T(\tau)(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}x = (a + i\xi + A)^{-1}x - \int_0^\tau e^{-(a+i\xi)r} T(r)x \, dr$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-a\tau} T(\tau)T_{m_a}(f)(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi(t+\tau)} e^{-i\xi\tau} e^{-a\tau} T(\tau)(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \widehat{f}(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= T_{m_a}(f)(t + \tau) - \int_0^\tau e^{-ar} T(r)f(t + \tau - r) \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms and using (3.4) and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\|T_{m_a}(f)(t + \tau)\|_X \leq 2Mg(1/a) + \tau^{1/p'} M C_Y \leq 2M(g(1/a) + C_Y).$$

Because $\tau \in [0, 2]$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ are arbitrary and since $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ is dense, (3.3) follows. This in turn yields

$$\|T_{I_Y + \omega m_a}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X)} \leq C_Y \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; Y)} + 2M\omega(g(1/a) + C_Y) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; Y)} \quad (3.5)$$

for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; Y_0) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}; Y_0)$. On the other hand, for $q = \infty$ one has

$$\|T_{I_Y + \omega m_a}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X)} \leq C_Y \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; Y)} + \omega g(1/a) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; Y)} \quad (3.6)$$

for all piecewise continuous $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; Y_0) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; Y_0)$ that vanish at infinity.

Let $x \in Y_0$ and set $f(t) := e^{-(\omega+a)t} T(t)x$ for $t \geq 0$. It follows from $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $[t \mapsto e^{-at} T(t)x] \in L^1([0, \infty); X)$ that (see [39, Lemma 3.1])

$$\mathcal{F}([t \mapsto e^{-at} T(t)x])(\cdot) = (a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(f)(\cdot) = (a + \omega + i \cdot + A)^{-1}x. \quad (3.7)$$

For $t > 0$ one has, by the assumptions on Y ,

$$\|f(t)\|_Y \leq C_T \|e^{-(\omega+a)t} T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|x\|_Y \leq C_T M_\omega e^{-t} \|x\|_Y.$$

Hence f is piecewise continuous, vanishes at infinity, and satisfies $\|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; Y)} \leq C_T M_\omega \|x\|_Y$ for $r \in \{p, \infty\}$. Also, by (3.7) and the resolvent identity,

$$e^{-at} T(t)x = T_{I_Y + \omega m_a}(f)(t).$$

Now (3.5) yields

$$e^{-at} \|T(t)x\|_X \leq C_T C_Y M_\omega (1 + 2M\omega)(g(1/a) + 1) \|x\|_Y,$$

and (3.6) implies

$$e^{-at} \|T(t)x\|_X \leq C_T C_Y M_\omega (1 + \omega)(g(1/a) + 1) \|x\|_Y.$$

Since $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ is dense, the proof is concluded by setting $a = 1/t$. □

REMARK 3.3. Note from the Proof of Theorem 3.2 that if there exist $a_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, and a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that (3.2) holds for all $a \in (0, a_0)$, then $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} \leq C(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 1/a_0$. This will be used in the Proof of Theorem 3.6.

3.2. Hilbert spaces

We apply Theorem 3.2 by bounding the $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ norm in (3.2) by a supremum norm of $(a+i \cdot +A)^{-1}$. We first consider the Hilbert space setting, where the following theorem, in the special case where g is a polynomial, improves [14, Corollary 2.2]. More general g were considered in [6, Theorem 3.4], where a bound of the form $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{Cg(t)^2}{t}$ was obtained. Note that g which grow sublinearly lead to exponentially stable semigroups.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+). \tag{3.8}$$

Then $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq eM_\omega(1 + 2M\omega)(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Condition (2) in Theorem 3.2, with $Y_0 = X_2$ and $Y = X$, is satisfied by Proposition 3.1. Moreover, Plancherel’s identity yields

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} = \|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \leq g(1/a),$$

so that Theorem 3.2 concludes the proof. □

The following example, an extension of an example from [13], shows that for g a polynomial, Theorem 3.4 is optimal up to arbitrarily small polynomial loss.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Fix $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is shown in [13] that there exist a Hilbert space X , a C_0 -semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ with bounded generator $-A$, and constants $C_1, C_2 \geq 0$ such that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{C_1}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-)$$

and $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \geq C_2(t^\gamma + 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $J \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ be the $n \times n$ operator matrix with $J_{k,k+1} = -I_X$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, and $J_{k,l} = 0$ for $l \neq k + 1$. Set $\mathcal{A} := A(I_{X^n} + J)$, and let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ be the C_0 -semigroup generated by $-\mathcal{A}$. Then $T(t) = S(t)e^{-tJ}$ for $t \geq 0$, and $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^n)} \geq c(t^{\gamma+n-1} + 1)$ for some $c > 0$ independent of t . Moreover, there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that $\|(\lambda + \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^n)} \leq C(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-n} + 1)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

3.3. Asymptotically analytic semigroups

For a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with generator $-A$ on a Banach space X , the *non-analytic growth bound* is

$$\zeta(T) := \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sup_{t>0} e^{-\omega t} \|T(t) - S(t)\| < \infty \text{ for some } S \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(X)) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(X))$ is the set of $S: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ having an exponentially bounded analytic extension to some sector containing $(0, \infty)$. Let $s_0^\infty(-A)$ be the infimum over all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists an $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\{\eta + i\xi \mid \eta > \omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, |\xi| \geq R\} \subseteq \rho(-A)$ and

$$\sup\{\|(\eta + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid \eta > \omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, |\xi| \geq R\} < \infty.$$

If $\zeta(T) < 0$ then $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is asymptotically analytic. Then $s_0^\infty(-A) < 0$, and the converse implication holds if X is a Hilbert space. It is trivial that if $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an analytic semigroup then $\zeta(T) = -\infty$. In fact, $\zeta(T) = -\infty$ if $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is eventually differentiable. For more on asymptotically analytic semigroups see [2,4,5].

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $-A$ be the generator of an asymptotically analytic C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof. By [4, Theorem 3.6 and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5] there exist $a_0 > 0$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(1 - \psi(\cdot))(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ for all $a \in (0, a_0)$, with

$$C_1 := \sup\{\|(1 - \psi(\cdot))(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \mid a \in (0, a_0)\} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, a straightforward estimate (see also [39, Proposition 3.1]) shows that $\psi(\cdot)(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ for all $a > 0$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\cdot)(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\psi(\cdot)(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \\ &\leq C_2 g(1/a) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_2 \geq 0$ independent of a . It follows that

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \leq C_1 + \frac{R}{2\pi} g(1/a) \leq C_3 g(1/a) \quad (a \in (0, a_0)),$$

where $C_3 = C_1 g(1/a_0)^{-1} + C_2$. Then Remark 3.3 yields a constant $C' \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C'(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 1/a_0$. Since $\sup\{\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mid t \in [0, 1/a_0]\} < \infty$, this concludes the proof. □

3.4. Positive semigroups

We now consider positive C_0 -semigroups on various Banach lattices. To this end we first prove a multiplier theorem for positive kernels. Part of this result is already contained in [40, Theorem 3.24]. Recall that a subspace X of a Banach lattice Y is a *sublattice* if $x \vee y, x \wedge y \in X$ for all $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and let X be a Banach lattice and $m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ an X -strongly measurable map of moderate growth. Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be such that $K(\cdot)x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; X)$ and $m(\xi)x = \mathcal{F}(K(\cdot)x)(\xi)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and such that $K(t)$ is a positive operator for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $X = L^p(\Omega)$ for Ω a measure space;
- (2) $p = \infty$ and X is a closed subspace of $C_b(\Omega)$, for Ω a topological space, such that either $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in X$ or X is a sublattice.

Then $m \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X))$ with

$$\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X))} = \|m(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}.$$

Proof. It is well known that

$$\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X))} \geq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|m(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \geq \|m(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$$

if $m \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(X))$. In the case where $X = L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ it follows from the proof of [40, Theorem 3.24] or [50, Theorem 2] that $m \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ with the required estimate.

Next, assume that $p = \infty$ and let $f := \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{E_k} \otimes x_k$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $E_1, \dots, E_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ disjoint and measurable, and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. If $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in X$ set $g \equiv \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)}$, and for X a sublattice set $g = \vee_{1 \leq k \leq m} |x_k|$. In both cases $g \in X$, $|f(t)| \leq g$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)} = \|g\|_X$. Then

$$|T_m(f)(t)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(s)f(t-s)| \, ds \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(s)g \, ds = m(0)g$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)} \leq \|m(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|g\|_X = \|m(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; X)},$$

which concludes the proof. □

We now prove our main result for positive semigroups.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a positive C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach lattice X such that $C_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $X = L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$ and Ω a measure space;
- (2) $p = \infty$ and X is a closed subspace of $C_b(\Omega)$, for Ω a topological space, such that either $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in X$ or X is a sublattice.

Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|(a + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(1/a) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)). \tag{3.9}$$

Then $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$, where $C = eM_\omega(1 + 2M\omega)$ for (1), and $C = eM_\omega(1 + \omega)$ if (2) holds.

Proof. Set $p = \infty$ if (2) holds. Let $a > 0$. We first claim that $[t \mapsto e^{-at}T(t)x] \in L^1([0, \infty); X)$ for all $x \in X$, with

$$\mathcal{F}([t \mapsto e^{-at}T(t)x])(\xi) = (a + i\xi + A)^{-1}x \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}).$$

To prove this let $n \geq 2\omega$ and $b \in (0, \min(a, \omega))$, and set $B_n := n^2(n + A)^{-2}$ and $K_{n,b}(t) := e^{-bt}T(t)B_n$ for $t \geq 0$. Then $K_{n,b}(t)$ is a positive operator for all $t \geq 0$, and $K_{n,b}(\cdot)x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X)$ with

$$\mathcal{F}(K_{n,b}(\cdot)x)(\xi) = (b + i\xi + A)^{-1}B_nx \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where we use Proposition 3.1. By Proposition 3.7, $(b+i \cdot +A)^{-1}B_n \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ with

$$\|(b + i \cdot + A)^{-1}B_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \leq 4g(1/b)M_\omega^2, \quad (3.10)$$

where we used (3.1) to deduce that $\|n(n + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{n}{n-\omega+1}M_\omega \leq 2M_\omega$. Let $x \in X$ and set $f(t) := e^{-\omega t}T(t)x$ for $t \geq 0$. Then $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is piecewise continuous and vanishes at infinity, and $K_{n,b} * f = T_{(b+i \cdot +A)^{-1}B_n}(f)$. Moreover,

$$K_{n,b} * f(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(\omega-b)s} e^{-bt}T(t)B_nx \, ds = \frac{1 - e^{-(\omega-b)t}}{\omega - b} e^{-bt}T(t)B_nx.$$

Since $B_n \rightarrow I_X$ strongly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (3.10) yields a constant $C_b \geq 0$ such that

$$e^{-bt}\|T(t)x\|_X \leq C_b\|x\|_X \quad (t \geq 1).$$

This shows that $[t \mapsto e^{-at}T(t)x] \in L^1([0, \infty); X)$ for all $x \in X$, and the identity

$$\mathcal{F}([t \mapsto e^{-at}T(t)x])(\xi) = (a + i\xi + A)^{-1}x \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

is then straightforward. This proves the claim.

Finally, since $e^{-at}T(t)$ is a positive operator for all $t \geq 0$, Proposition 3.7 yields $(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ with

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} = \|(a + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(1/a).$$

Now Theorem 3.2 concludes the proof. □

Theorem 3.8 implies in particular that $\omega_0(T) = s(-A)$ for a positive semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a space X as in (1) or (2). For (1) this result was originally obtained in [48]. It is possible to extend Theorem 3.8 to fractional domains on more general Banach lattices, by using Fourier multipliers on X -valued Besov spaces as in [39, Theorem 5.7], but we will not pursue this matter here.

We do not know whether the growth rate in Theorem 3.8 is optimal. It follows from [49, Example 4.4] that the positivity assumption cannot be dropped in case (1) for $p \neq 2$. Moreover, [1, Example 5.1.11] shows that Theorem 3.8 is not valid on $X = L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$ for Ω a measure space and $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ with $p \neq q$.

3.5. Fourier and Rademacher type

We now improve Proposition 3.1 under additional geometric assumptions on X . A Banach space X is said to have *Fourier type* $p \in [1, 2]$ if the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ into $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}; X)$. See [22] for more on Fourier type. Note in particular that $L^u(\Omega)$, for Ω a measure space and $u \in [1, \infty]$, has Fourier type $p = \min(u, u')$.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with Fourier type $p \in [1, 2]$ such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then for each $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}, \infty)$ there exists a $C_\gamma \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C_\gamma(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$. For $p = 2$ one may let $\gamma = 0$.

Proof. The case where $p = 1$ follows from Proposition 3.1. Hence we may suppose that $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, and we may also assume that $g(s) > c$ for all $s > 0$ and some $c > 0$. Then (3.1) yields

$$\sup_{\lambda > 2\omega} \lambda \|(\lambda + A + a)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 2M_\omega \leq 2c^{-1}M_\omega g(1/a) \quad (a > 0).$$

Hence $A + a$ is an injective sectorial operator, and for $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ large enough there exists a $C_1 \geq 0$ independent of a such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\lambda \notin \overline{S_\theta}} \|\lambda R(\lambda, A + a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &\leq C_1 \sup_{\lambda > 0} \|\lambda(\lambda + A + a)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \\ &\leq 2C_1(c^{-1}M_\omega + \omega)g(1/a), \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). It now follows from the proof of [39, Proposition 3.4] applied to the operator $A + a$, by keeping track of the relevant constants, that

$$\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C_2(1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma} g(1/a) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

for some $C_2 \geq 0$. Hence [40, Proposition 3.9] yields constants $C_3, C_4 \geq 0$ such that, for $r \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}$ (here one can allow $\gamma = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} = 0$ for $p = 2$),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,p'}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} &\leq C_3 \|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} \\ &\leq C_4 g(1/a). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $Y := X_\gamma$ and $Y_0 := X_2$ in Theorem 3.2, using Proposition 3.1. □

A similar result holds under type and cotype assumptions on the underlying space, and R -boundedness assumptions on the resolvent. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent real Rademacher variables on some probability space. Let X and Y be Banach

spaces and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We say that \mathcal{T} is *R-bounded* if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ one has

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k T_k x_k \right\|_Y^2\right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_k \right\|_X^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The smallest such C is the *R-bound* of \mathcal{T} and is denoted by $R(\mathcal{T})$. When we want to specify the underlying spaces X and Y we write $R_{X,Y}(\mathcal{T})$ for the *R-bound* of \mathcal{T} , and we write $R_X(\mathcal{T}) := R_{X,Y}(\mathcal{T})$ if $X = Y$.

For the definitions of and background on type and cotype, we refer to [10,23], and for p -convexity and q -concavity of Banach lattices see [33]. Note that $X = L^u(\Omega)$, for $u \in [1, \infty)$ and Ω a measure space, has type $p = \min(u, 2)$ and cotype $q = \max(2, u)$ and is u -convex and u -concave. For such X the first statement of the following proposition yields the same conclusion as Proposition 3.9.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with type $p \in [1, 2]$ and cotype $q \in [2, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then for each $\gamma \in (\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}, \infty)$ there exists a $C_\gamma \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C_\gamma(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$. If

$$R_X(\{(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}) \leq g(1/a) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)),$$

then one may let $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, \infty)$. If in addition X is a p -convex and q -concave Banach lattice then one may let $\gamma = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$.

One could also let $q = \infty$ in the first two statements in this proposition. However, then Proposition 3.1 yields a stronger statement, since any Banach space has type $p = 1$ and cotype $q = \infty$, and because a Banach space that does not have finite cotype also does not have nontrivial type.

Proof. We may suppose that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, by Proposition 3.1 and because each 2-convex and 2-concave Banach lattice is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, by [29]. We may also suppose that $g(s) > c$ for all $s > 0$ and some $c > 0$. We first prove the final two statements.

As in the Proof of Proposition 3.9, it suffices to check the multiplier condition in Theorem 3.2. Moreover, again using estimates in the proof of [39, Proposition 3.4] and proceeding as in the Proof of Proposition 3.9, one obtains a $C_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$R_{X_\gamma, X}(\{(1 + |\xi|)^\gamma (a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}) \leq C_1 g(1/a) \quad (a > 0).$$

Now [40, Theorems 3.18 and 3.21] yield a $C_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} \leq C_2 g(1/a) \quad (a > 0),$$

which proves the final two statements.

For the first statement we may assume that $\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q} < 1$ and show that for each $\gamma \in (\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}, 1)$ there exists a $C_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$R_{X_\gamma, X}(\{(1 + |\xi|)^{\gamma/2}(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}) \leq C_3 g(1/a) \quad (a > 0), \quad (3.11)$$

after which one proceeds as before. To obtain (3.11) let $r \in [1, \infty]$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$, and set $f_a(\xi) := (1 + |\xi|)^{\gamma/2}(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f_a \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))$ by [39, Proposition 3.4], with

$$\|f_a\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} \leq C_4 g(1/a)$$

for some $C_4 \geq 0$ independent of a . Now [39, Lemma 2.1] yields (3.11). □

It follows from an example due to Arendt (see [1, Example 5.1.11] or [51, Section 4]) that, already in the case where g is constant, the indices $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ in Propositions 3.9 and 3.10 cannot be improved. We do not know whether it is in general possible to let $\gamma = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}$ or $\gamma = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ in these results.

3.6. Necessary conditions

Here we provide a converse to Theorem 3.2, extending [14, Theorem 2.1]. For simplicity we restrict to semigroups of polynomial growth and to fractional domains, but from the proof one can derive an analogous statement for more general semigroups and more general continuously embedded spaces.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X . Let $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$. Suppose that there exist $\alpha, C \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C(t^\alpha + 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$ and for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $q \in [p, \infty]$, and $r \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, we have*

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} \leq C(C_r a^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{r}} + C'_r a^{-\frac{1}{r}}) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)), \quad (3.12)$$

where $C_r = r^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{r}} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ and $C'_r = r^{-1/r}$ for $r < \infty$, and $C_\infty = e^{-\alpha} \alpha^\alpha$ and $C'_\infty = 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\} &\leq R_{X_\gamma, X}(\{(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}) \\ &\leq C(\Gamma(\alpha + 1)a^{-\alpha - 1} + a^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. It follows by rescaling from [39, Proposition 4.19] that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. We claim

$$\|e^{-a \cdot} \|T(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)}\|_{L^r(0, \infty)} \leq C(C_r a^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{r}} + C'_r a^{-\frac{1}{r}}) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)). \quad (3.14)$$

To prove this claim, first consider $r < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-a\cdot} \|T(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)}\|_{L^r(0, \infty)} &\leq C \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-art} (t^\alpha + 1)^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \left(\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-art} t^{r\alpha} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-art} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left((ar)^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + (ar)^{-\frac{1}{r}} \right) = C(C_r a^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{r}} + C'_r a^{-\frac{1}{r}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, for $r = \infty$ a simple optimization argument shows that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-at} \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-at} t^\alpha + 1) = C(e^{-\alpha} \alpha^\alpha a^{-\alpha} + 1).$$

Now set $m_a(\xi) := (a + i\xi + A)^{-1}$ for $a > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. For $r < \infty$ let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes X$, and for $r = \infty$ let f be an X -valued simple function. Note that $e^{-a\cdot} \|T(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It then follows in a straightforward manner (see [39, Lemma 3.1]) that

$$(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-t(a+i\xi)} T(t)x dt \quad (x \in X_\gamma, \xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$T_{m_a}(f) = \int_0^\infty e^{-as} T(s)f(t-s) ds \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

The latter equality, (3.14) and Young’s inequality for operator-valued kernels [1, Proposition 1.3.5] yield (3.12). On the other hand, applying [28, Corollary 2.17] and (3.15) with $r = 1$ to $t \mapsto e^{-at} T(t)$ yields (3.13). \square

For $-A$ a standard $n \times n$ Jordan block acting on $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}(t^{n-1} + 1) \leq \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C(t^{n-1} + 1) \quad (t \geq 0)$$

and

$$\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \|(a + A)^{-1}\| \leq C(a^{-n} + a^{-1}) \quad (a > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}).$$

This shows that (3.13) is optimal. Note that in this case R -boundedness and uniform boundedness coincide since X is a Hilbert space.

REMARK 3.12. One might be tempted to think that the more restrictive R -bounded analog of (1.2) which appears in (3.13), namely

$$R_X(\{(a + i\xi + A)^{-1} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}) \leq g(1/a) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)),$$

can be used to extend the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 to more general Banach spaces. However, the example at the end of Sect. 3.4 shows that this is not the case for certain positive semigroups on $L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, for Ω a measure space.

Theorems 3.2 and 3.11 combine to yield the following characterization of polynomially growing semigroups on fractional domains.

COROLLARY 3.13. *Let $-A$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$, and let $\alpha, \gamma \in [0, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X)} \leq C(t^\alpha + 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$;*
- (2) *there exist $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ and a $C' \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|(a + i \cdot + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X_\gamma, X))} \leq C'(a^{-\alpha} + 1) \quad (a \in (0, \infty)). \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Theorem 3.2 contains (2) \Rightarrow (1), and (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from Theorem 3.11 by letting $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$. □

Note that Corollary 3.13 also characterizes semigroups which grow sublinearly, and in particular uniformly bounded semigroups. To characterize such semigroups it would not be possible to replace the multiplier norm in (3.16) by a supremum norm, since $\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \geq \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(A))^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

3.7. Auxiliary results

The theorems in this article also apply if A is an $n \times n$ matrix acting on $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, if

$$\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(1/a) \quad (a > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

then one obtains $\|e^{-tA}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq eM_\omega(1 + 2M\omega)(g(t) + 1)$ for all $t > 0$ if \mathbb{R}^n is endowed with the standard norm, or if $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is positive and \mathbb{R}^n is endowed with the ℓ_p -norm, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Here ω, M and M_ω are as in (3.1). Note that this estimate does not depend on n but that it does require knowledge of ω, M and M_ω . If these constants are unknown, then the argument used to prove [44, Theorem 4.8] (see also [31]) yields the following statement, which is presumably well known to experts. For the convenience of the reader we include the proof. Recall that it suffices to consider the case where g grows at least linearly at infinity and $g(t) = O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.14. *Let X be an n -dimensional normed vector space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be such that $\mathbb{C}_- \subseteq \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exists a nondecreasing $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq g(1/a) \quad (a \in (0, \infty), \xi \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then $\|e^{-tA}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq en \frac{g(t)}{t}$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let $a, t > 0$ and write, as in the Proof of Proposition 3.1,

$$e^{-at}T(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{-zt}R(z, A + a) dz.$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*$ be such that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)^*} \leq 1$ and $F(T(t)) = \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$. Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-at} \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{-zt} F(R(z, A + a)) \, dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i t} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{-zt} F(R(z, A + a))' \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

One easily sees that $z \mapsto F(R(z, A + a))$ is a rational scalar-valued map with numerator and denominator of degree at most n . Now [42, Lemma 2] (after composing with a suitable Möbius transformation) shows that

$$e^{-at} \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{n}{t} \sup_{z \in i\mathbb{R}} |F(R(z, A + a))| \leq \frac{ng(1/a)}{t}.$$

Finally, set $a = 1/t$ to conclude the proof. □

Proposition 3.14 is sharp in the case where $g(t) = Kt$ for some $K \geq 0$ and all $t > 0$ (see [26, 31, 44]). For further discussion on this topic we refer the reader to [35], where in particular improvements on the bounds have been obtained under additional geometric assumptions on the norm of X .

Finally, as a corollary of Theorem 3.6 we extend a theorem from [15] concerning the growth of the Cayley transform $V(A) := (1 - A)(1 + A)^{-1}$ of a semigroup generator $-A$ on a Banach space X with $-1 \in \rho(A)$. Recall from Sect. 3.3 that each eventually differentiable semigroup, and in particular each analytic semigroup, is asymptotically analytic. Also, if $-A$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space X such that $s_0^\infty(-A) < 0$, then $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is asymptotically analytic. Hence the following result both extends and improves [15, Theorem 5.4].

COROLLARY 3.15. *Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an asymptotically analytic C_0 -semigroup with generator $-A$ on a Banach space X such that $-1 \in \rho(A)$. Suppose that there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|V(A)^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq Cn^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then there exists a $C' \geq 0$ such that $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C'(1 + t^{k+1})$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. First note that $s_0^\infty(-A) < 0$, since $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is asymptotically analytic (see [2, Proposition 2.4]). Now proceed as in the proof of [15, Theorem 5.4] to show that

$$\|(a + i\xi + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C_1 a^{-k-1} \quad (a > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

for some $C_1 \geq 0$. Theorem 3.6 then concludes the proof. □

4. Application to a perturbed wave equation

In [52], using a direct sum of Jordan blocks, Zabczyk constructed a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with generator $-A$ on a Hilbert space such that $\omega_0(T) > s(-A)$. One might

be tempted to think that this phenomenon only occurs in rather academic situations. However, in [37, Theorem 1] Renardy gave an example of a concrete perturbed wave equation with the same property. More precisely, the C_0 -group $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with generator $-A$ which arises when formulating this wave equation as an abstract Cauchy problem has the property that $s(-A) = 0 = s(A)$ but $\omega_0(T) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In this section we prove that $\omega_0(T) = \frac{1}{2}$, a matter which was left open in [37]. In fact, Theorem 4.1 below yields a more precise growth bound for $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

On the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2 := [0, 2\pi]^2$, under the usual identification modulo 2π , consider

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = u_{xx} + u_{yy} + e^{iy}u_x, & t \in (0, \infty), x, y \in \mathbb{T}, \\ u(0, x, y) = f(x, y), \quad u_t(0, x, y) = g(x, y), & x, y \in \mathbb{T}, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ let $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2) = W^{2,s}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ be the second order Sobolev space equipped with the following convenient norm:

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} = \left(|\widehat{f}(0)|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{2s} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (f \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)).$$

Clearly, this norm is equivalent to the standard norm on $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$:

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |k|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_s \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \tag{4.2}$$

for some $C_s \geq 0$ and all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then (4.1) can be formulated as an abstract Cauchy problem on the Hilbert space $X := H^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} + A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

and $(u(0), v(0)) = (f, g)$, where $A = A_0 + B$ with $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$,

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -M \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here Δ is the Laplacian with $D(\Delta) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $M : L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is given by $Mf(x, y) = e^{iy}f(x, y)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{T}$. Using Fourier series one easily checks that $-A_0$ generates a C_0 -group. More precisely, let $e_k(x, y) := (2\pi)^{-1}e^{ik \cdot (x,y)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Taking the discrete Fourier tranform, the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + A_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

can be solved explicitly. Let $h_k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} e^{-ik \cdot (x,y)} h(x, y) \, dx \, dy$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, be the Fourier coefficients of $h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= (f_0 + t g_0) e_0 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \left(\cos(|k|t) f_k + \frac{\sin(|k|t)}{|k|} g_k \right) e_k, \\ \psi(t) &= g_0 e_0 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} (-|k| \sin(|k|t) f_k + \cos(|k|t) g_k) e_k \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $e^{-tA_0} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(t) \\ \psi(t) \end{pmatrix}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi(t), \psi(t))\|_X^2 &= |f_0 + t g_0|^2 + |g_0|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} (|k|^2 |f_k|^2 + |g_k|^2) \\ &\leq 2|f_0|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |k|^2 |f_k|^2 + 2|t g_0|^2 + |g_0|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |g_k|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{H_1(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + (1 + 2t^2) \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 \leq 2(1 + |t|)^2 \|(f, g)\|_X^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|e^{-tA_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \sqrt{2}(1 + |t|)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. One could alternatively get a norm estimate using Theorem 3.4, but in this case one obtains only a quadratic bound.

Since $\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$, standard perturbation theory (see [16, Theorem III.1.3]) shows that $-A = -A_0 - B$ generates a C_0 -group $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \sqrt{2} e^{(1+\sqrt{2})|t|} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{4.4}$$

It was shown in [37, Theorem 1] that $\sigma(A) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_0(T) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and by the same method one sees that $\omega_0(S) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} := (T(t)^{-1})_{t \geq 0}$, the semigroup generated by A . The next theorem is the main result of this section. It shows that these lower bounds are optimal and in doing so significantly improves (4.4).

THEOREM 4.1. *Let X and A be as before, and let $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $(S(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the C_0 -semigroups generated by $-A$ and A , respectively. Then $\omega_0(T) = \omega_0(S) = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C(1 + |t|) e^{|t|/2} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

REMARK 4.2. For each $R \geq 0$ there exists a $C_R \geq 0$ such that $\|(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi \pm A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C_R$ for $|\xi| \leq R$, since $\sigma(A) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$, and it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $C_R \rightarrow \infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. It would be interesting to study the asymptotic behavior of $\|(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi \pm A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $\|e^{-|t|/2} T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ were to grow asymptotically linearly as $t \rightarrow \infty$ then this would solve the optimality issue left open after Theorem 3.4 and in [13].

The Proof of Theorem 4.1 relies on two lemmas. The first collects some basic estimates.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$(i) \frac{|z|^2}{|z^2 + y^2|^2} \leq 4, \quad (ii) \frac{y^2 + 1}{|z^2 + y^2|^2} \leq 16, \quad (iii) \frac{|z|^4}{|z^2 + y^2|^2} \leq 32(y^2 + 1).$$

Proof. Write $z = a + is$ for $a, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|a| \geq 1/2$. Then (i) and (ii) follow from

$$|z^2 + y^2|^2 = (y^2 - s^2)^2 + a^4 + 2y^2a^2 + 2a^2s^2 \geq \max\left(\frac{1}{16}(1 + y^2), \frac{1}{4}|z|^2\right).$$

For (iii) note that

$$|z|^4 \leq (|z^2 + y^2| + y^2)^2 \leq 2|z^2 + y^2|^2 + 2y^4,$$

divide by $|z^2 + y^2|^2$, and use (ii). □

The following lemma contains the required resolvent estimates for A .

LEMMA 4.4. *Let X and A be as before. Then there exists a $C \geq 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda = \pm(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) + i\xi$ one has*

$$\|(\lambda + A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C \max(\varepsilon^{-1}, 1).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$, $(u, v) \in D(A)$ and $(f, g) \in X$ be such that $(\lambda + A)(u, v) = (f, g)$. Then

$$\lambda^2 u - \Delta u - e^{iy} u_x = g + \lambda f \tag{4.5}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since $v = \lambda u - f$, it suffices to prove

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C \max(1, \varepsilon^{-1})(\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}) \tag{4.6}$$

if $\lambda = \pm(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) + i\xi$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Write $u = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} u_{m,n} e_{m,n}$ with $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the Fourier coefficients of u and $(e_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the normalized trigonometric basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then (4.5) yields

$$(\lambda^2 + m^2 + n^2)u_{m,n} = i m u_{m,n-1} + g_{m,n} + \lambda f_{m,n} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now, using that $|r + s|^2 \leq (1 + \delta)|r|^2 + (1 + \delta^{-1})|s|^2$ for any fixed $\delta > 0$ and all $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$|u_{m,n}|^2 \leq \frac{(1 + \delta)|m u_{m,n-1}|^2}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + n^2|^2} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{|g_{m,n}|}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + n^2|} + \frac{|\lambda f_{m,n}|}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + n^2|}\right)^2. \tag{4.7}$$

We first bound $\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}$ in (4.6). From (4.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} (m^2 + n^2 + 1)|u_{m,n}|^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{m^2(m^2 + (n + 1)^2 + 1)|u_{m,n}|^2}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2|^2} + C_{f,g}^2$$

for

$$C_{f,g}^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{(|k|^2 + 1)^{1/2}|g_k|}{|\lambda^2 + |k|^2|} + \frac{(|k|^2 + 1)^{1/2}|\lambda f_k|}{|\lambda^2 + |k|^2|}\right)^2.$$

Lemma 4.3 (i) and (ii) yield a $C_1 \geq 0$ such that $C_{f,g} \leq C_1(1 + \delta^{-1})^{1/2}(\|f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{L^2})$, so that

$$\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} (m^2 + n^2 + 1)|u_{m,n}|^2(1 - (1 + \delta)y_{m,n}) \leq C_1^2(1 + \delta^{-1})(\|f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{L^2})^2 \quad (4.8)$$

for

$$y_{m,n} := \frac{m^2(m^2 + (n + 1)^2 + 1)}{(m^2 + n^2 + 1)|\lambda^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2|^2} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now suppose that $\lambda = a + i\xi$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|a| > \frac{1}{2}$. Then a simple minimization argument yields

$$|\lambda^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2|^2 = (a^2 - \xi^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2)^2 + 4a^2\xi^2 \geq 4a^2(m^2 + (n + 1)^2), \quad (4.9)$$

from which it follows that $y_{m,n} \leq \frac{1}{4a^2}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Combining this with (4.2) and (4.8), we obtain that for $\delta \in (0, 4a^2 - 1)$ one has

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C_1 \frac{2|a|(1 + \delta^{-1})^{1/2}}{(4a^2 - (1 + \delta))^{1/2}}(\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}).$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|a| = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ one now easily obtains a $C_2 \geq 0$ independent of ε such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C_2 \max(1, \varepsilon^{-1})(\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}).$$

We now bound $\|\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$ in (4.6). From (4.7) one obtains

$$\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda|^2 |u_{m,n}|^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \sum_{m,n} \frac{|\lambda|^2 m^2 |u_{m,n}|^2}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2|^2} + K_{f,g}^2, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{f,g}^2 &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{|\lambda||g_k|}{|\lambda^2 + |k|^2|} + \frac{|\lambda|^2|f_k|}{|\lambda^2 + |k|^2|}\right)^2 \\ &\leq C_3(1 + \delta^{-1})^{1/2}(\|f\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{L^2}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_3 \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.3 (i) and (iii). Now (4.10) implies

$$|\lambda|^2 \sum_{m,n} |u_{m,n}|^2 [1 - (1 + \delta)z_{m,n}] \leq C_3^2(1 + \delta^{-1})(\|g\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{H^1})^2,$$

where

$$z_{m,n} := \frac{m^2}{|\lambda^2 + m^2 + (n + 1)^2|^2} \leq \frac{1}{4a^2} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

by (4.9). As in the previous step this yields a constant $C_4 \geq 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|a| = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$,

$$\|\lambda u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C_4 \max(1, \varepsilon^{-1})(\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}).$$

This completes the proof of (4.6). \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The inequalities $\omega_0(T) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\omega_0(S) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ follow from [37]. Lemma 4.4 shows that the operators $-\frac{1}{2} + A$ and $-\frac{1}{2} - A$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.4 with $g(t) = \max(1/t, 1)$ for $t > 0$, and the latter theorem concludes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Yuri Tomilov for helpful comments, and the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arendt, C. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander. *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, volume 96 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, second edition, 2011.
- [2] C. Batty, M. Blake, and S. Srivastava. A non-analytic growth bound for Laplace transforms and semigroups of operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 45(2):125–154, 2003.
- [3] C. Batty, M. Haase, and J. Mubeen. The holomorphic functional calculus approach to operator semigroups. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 79(1-2):289–323, 2013.
- [4] C. Batty and S. Srivastava. The non-analytic growth bound of a C_0 -semigroup and inhomogeneous Cauchy problems. *J. Differential Equations*, 194(2):300–327, 2003.
- [5] M. Blake. *Asymptotically Norm-Continuous Semigroups of Operators*. PhD thesis, University of Oxford, 1999.
- [6] M. Boukdir. On the growth of semigroups and perturbations. *Semigroup Forum*, 91(2):338–346, 2015.
- [7] E. B. Davies. Semigroup growth bounds. *J. Operator Theory*, 53(2):225–249, 2005.
- [8] E. B. Davies. *Linear operators and their spectra*, volume 106 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [9] E. B. Davies and B. Simon. L^p norms of noncritical Schrödinger semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 102(1):95–115, 1991.
- [10] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge. *Absolutely summing operators*, volume 43 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [11] J. Dieudonné. *Foundations of modern analysis*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1969. Enlarged and corrected printing, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 10-I.
- [12] T. Eisner. *Stability of operators and operator semigroups*, volume 209 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [13] T. Eisner and H. Zwart. Continuous-time Kreiss resolvent condition on infinite-dimensional spaces. *Math. Comp.*, 75(256):1971–1985, 2006.

- [14] T. Eisner and H. Zwart. A note on polynomially growing C_0 -semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 75(2):438–445, 2007.
- [15] T. Eisner and H. Zwart. The growth of a C_0 -semigroup characterised by its cogenerator. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 8(4):749–764, 2008.
- [16] K. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, volume 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. With contributions by S. Brendle, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafune, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi, S. Romanelli and R. Schnaubelt.
- [17] J. Faupin and J. Fröhlich. Asymptotic completeness in dissipative scattering theory. Online at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.09018>, 2017.
- [18] J. A. Goldstein and M. Wacker. The energy space and norm growth for abstract wave equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 16(5):767–772, 2003.
- [19] A. Grigor'yan. Heat kernels on weighted manifolds and applications. In *The ubiquitous heat kernel*, volume 398 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–191. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [20] M. Haase. *The functional calculus for sectorial operators*, volume 169 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [21] M. Hieber. Operator valued Fourier multipliers. In *Topics in nonlinear analysis*, volume 35 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 363–380. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [22] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. *Analysis in Banach Spaces. Volume I: Martingales and Littlewood-Paley Theory*, volume 63 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer, 2016.
- [23] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. *Analysis in Banach Spaces. Volume II: Probabilistic Methods and Operator Theory.*, volume 67 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer, 2017.
- [24] M. Kaashoek and S. Verduyn Lunel. An integrability condition on the resolvent for hyperbolicity of the semigroup. *J. Differential Equations*, 112(2):374–406, 1994.
- [25] A.V. Kiselev. On the resolvent estimates for the generators of strongly continuous groups in the Hilbert spaces. In *Operator methods in ordinary and partial differential equations (Stockholm, 2000)*, volume 132 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 253–266. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [26] J. Kraaijevanger. Two counterexamples related to the Kreiss matrix theorem. *BIT*, 34(1):113–119, 1994.
- [27] H.-O. Kreiss. Über Matrizen die beschränkte Halbgruppen erzeugen. *Math. Scand.*, 7:71–80, 1959.
- [28] P. C. Kunstmann and L. Weis. Maximal L_p -Regularity for Parabolic Equations, Fourier Multiplier Theorems and H^∞ -functional Calculus. In *Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations (Levico Terme 2001)*, volume 1855 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 65–312. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [29] S. Kwapien. Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients. *Studia Math.*, 44:583–595, 1972. Collection of articles honoring the completion by Antoni Zygmund of 50 years of scientific activity, VI.
- [30] Y. Latushkin and R. Shvydkoy. Hyperbolicity of semigroups and Fourier multipliers. In *Systems, approximation, singular integral operators, and related topics (Bordeaux, 2000)*, volume 129 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 341–363. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [31] R. LeVeque and L. Trefethen. On the resolvent condition in the Kreiss matrix theorem. *BIT*, 24(4):584–591, 1984.
- [32] Y.-C. Li, R. Sato, and S.-Y. Shaw. Boundedness and growth orders of means of discrete and continuous semigroups of operators. *Studia Math.*, 187(1):1–35, 2008.
- [33] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.
- [34] M. Malejki. C_0 -groups with polynomial growth. *Semigroup Forum*, 63(3):305–320, 2001.
- [35] N. Nikolski. Sublinear dimension growth in the Kreiss matrix theorem. *Algebra i Analiz*, 25(3):3–51, 2013.
- [36] L. Paunonen. Polynomial stability of semigroups generated by operator matrices. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 14(4-5):885–911, 2014.
- [37] M. Renardy. On the linear stability of hyperbolic PDEs and viscoelastic flows. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 45(6):854–865, 1994.

- [38] J. Rozendaal and M. Veraar. Fourier multiplier theorems on Besov spaces under type and cotype conditions. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 11(4):713–743, 2017.
- [39] J. Rozendaal and M. Veraar. Stability theory for semigroups using (L^p, L^q) Fourier multipliers. Online at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00891>, submitted, 2017.
- [40] J. Rozendaal and M. Veraar. Fourier Multiplier Theorems Involving Type and Cotype. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 24(2):583–619, 2018.
- [41] G. M. Sklyar and P. Polak. On asymptotic estimation of a discrete type C_0 -semigroups on dense sets: application to neutral type systems. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 75(2):175–192, 2017.
- [42] M. Spijker. On a conjecture by LeVeque and Trefethen related to the Kreiss matrix theorem. *BIT*, 31(3):551–555, 1991.
- [43] J. Strikwerda and B. Wade. A survey of the Kreiss matrix theorem for power bounded families of matrices and its extensions. In *Linear operators (Warsaw, 1994)*, volume 38 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 339–360. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1997.
- [44] J. van Dorsselaer, J. Kraaijevanger, and M. Spijker. Linear stability analysis in the numerical solution of initial value problems. *Acta Numerica*, 2:199–237, 1993.
- [45] J. van Neerven. *The asymptotic behaviour of semigroups of linear operators*, volume 88 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [46] J. van Neerven. Inequality of spectral bound and growth bound for positive semigroups in rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 66(5):406–416, 1996.
- [47] J. van Neerven. Asymptotic behaviour of C_0 -semigroups and γ -boundedness of the resolvent. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 358(2):380–388, 2009.
- [48] L. Weis. The stability of positive semigroups on L_p spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(10):3089–3094, 1995.
- [49] L. Weis. Stability theorems for semi-groups via multiplier theorems. In *Differential equations, asymptotic analysis, and mathematical physics (Potsdam, 1996)*, volume 100 of *Math. Res.*, pages 407–411. Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [50] L. Weis. A short proof for the stability theorem for positive semigroups on $L_p(\mu)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(11):3253–3256, 1998.
- [51] L. Weis and V. Wrobel. Asymptotic behavior of C_0 -semigroups in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(12):3663–3671, 1996.
- [52] J. Zabczyk. A note on C_0 -semigroups. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, 23(8):895–898, 1975.

Jan Rozendaal
Mathematical Sciences Institute
Australian National University
Acton ACT 2601
Australia
E-mail: janrozendaalmath@gmail.com

and

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Śniadeckich 8
00-656 Warsaw
Poland

Mark Veraar
Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics
Delft University of Technology
P.O. Box 5031 2600 GA Delft
The Netherlands
E-mail: M.C.Veraar@tudelft.nl