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We prove that the double inequality $M_{p}(a, b)<X(a, b)<M_{q}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$ and $q \geq \log 2 /(1+\log 2)=0.4093 \ldots$, where $X(a, b)$ and $M_{r}(a, b)$ are the Sándor and $r$ th power means of $a$ and $b$, respectively.

## 1. Introduction

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Then the $p$ th power mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ of $a$ and $b$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(a, b)=\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} \quad(p \neq 0), M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main properties for the power mean are given in [1]. It is well known that $M_{p}(a, b)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Many classical means are the special cases of the power mean; for example, $M_{-1}(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)=H(a, b)$ is the harmonic mean, $M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}=G(a, b)$ is the geometric mean, $M_{1}(a, b)=(a+b) / 2=A(a, b)$ is the arithmetic mean, and $M_{2}(a, b)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}=Q(a, b)$ is the quadratic mean.

Let $L(a, b)=(a-b) /(\log a-\log b), P(a, b)=(a-$ b) $/[2 \arcsin ((a-b) /(a+b))], I(a, b)=\left(a^{a} / b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a-b)} / e$, $M(a, b)=(a-b) /\left[2 \sinh ^{-1}((a-b) /(a+b))\right]$, and $T(a, b)=$ $(a-b) /[2 \arctan ((a-b) /(a+b))]$ be the logarithmic, first Seiffert, identric, Neuman-Sándor, and second Seiffert means of two distinct positive real numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively. Then it is well known that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
H(a, b) & <G(a, b)<L(a, b)<P(a, b) \\
& <I(a, b)<A(a, b)<M(a, b)  \tag{2}\\
& <T(a, b)<Q(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

Recently, the bounds for certain bivariate means in terms of the power mean have been the subject of intensive research. Seiffert [2] proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{\pi} M_{1}(a, b) & <P(a, b)<M_{1}(a, b)  \tag{3}\\
& <T(a, b)<M_{2}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Jagers [3] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1 / 2}(a, b)<P(a, b)<M_{2 / 3}(a, b) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [4, 5], Hästö established that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(a, b)>M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b), \\
& P(a, b)>\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} M_{2 / 3}(a, b) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Witkowski [6] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} M_{2}(a, b)<T(a, b)<\frac{4}{\pi} M_{1}(a, b) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [7], Costin and Toader presented that

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\log 2 /(\log \pi-\log 2)}(a, b) & <T(a, b) \\
& <M_{5 / 3}(a, b) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

Chu and Long [8] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(a, b)<M(a, b)<M_{q}(a, b) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq \log 2 /$ $\log [2 \log (1+\sqrt{2})]=1.224 \ldots$ and $q \geq 4 / 3$.

The following sharp bounds for the logarithmic and identric means in terms of the power means can be found in the literature [9-16]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b)<M_{1 / 3}(a, b), \\
& M_{2 / 3}(a, b)<I(a, b)<M_{\log 2}(a, b), \\
& M_{0}(a, b)<L^{1 / 2}(a, b) I^{1 / 2}(a, b)<M_{1 / 2}(a, b),  \tag{9}\\
& M_{\log 2 /(1+\log 2)}(a, b)<\frac{L(a, b)+I(a, b)}{2}<M_{1 / 2}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Recently, Sándor [17] introduced the Sándor mean $X(a, b)$ of two positive real numbers $a$ and $b$, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(a, b)=A(a, b) e^{(G(a, b) / P(a, b))-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [18], Sándor proved that

$$
\begin{gather*}
X(a, b)<\frac{P^{2}(a, b)}{A(a, b)}, \\
\frac{A(a, b) G(a, b)}{P(a, b)}<X(a, b)<\frac{A(a, b) P(a, b)}{2 P(a, b)-G(a, b)}, \\
X(a, b)>\frac{A(a, b) L(a, b)}{P(a, b)} e^{(G(a, b) / L(a, b))-1}, \\
X(a, b)>\frac{A(a, b)[P(a, b)+G(a, b)]}{3 P(a, b)-G(a, b)}, \\
\frac{A^{2}(a, b) G(a, b)}{P(a, b) L(a, b)} e^{(L(a, b) / A(a, b))-1}<X(a, b)  \tag{11}\\
<A(a, b)\left[\frac{1}{e}+\left(1-\frac{1}{e}\right) \frac{G(a, b)}{P(a, b)}\right], \\
A(a, b)+G(a, b)-P(a, b)<X(a, b) \\
<A^{-1 / 3}(a, b)\left[\frac{A(a, b)+G(a, b)}{2}\right]^{4 / 3}, \\
P^{1 /(\log \pi-\log 2)}(a, b) A^{1-1 /(\log \pi-\log 2)}(a, b) \\
<X(a, b)<P^{-1}(a, b)\left[\frac{A(a, b)+G(a, b)}{2}\right]^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In the Introduction we cite only a minor part of the existing literature on the considered means. For example, an important paper on the first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ is again due to Sándor [19].

The main purpose of this paper is to present the best possible parameters $p$ and $q$ such that the double inequality $M_{p}(a, b)<X(a, b)<M_{q}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 1. Let $g_{1}:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(x, p)=\frac{\sqrt{x}(x-1)\left(x^{p-1}+1\right)}{(x+1)\left(x^{p}+1\right)}-\arcsin \frac{x-1}{x+1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(1) $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq 1 / 2$;
(2) $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$.

Proof. It follows from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{1}(x, p)}{\partial x}=\frac{(1-x) x^{p-3 / 2}}{2(x+1)^{2}\left(x^{p}+1\right)^{2}} g_{2}(x, p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}(x, p)= & -3 x^{1-p}-x^{2-p}+x^{p}+3 x^{p+1}  \tag{14}\\
& +(2 p-1) x^{2}-2 p+1
\end{align*}
$$

(1) If $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$, then (13) leads to the conclusion that $g_{2}(x, p)<0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. In particular, we have $g_{2}\left(0^{+}, p\right) \leq 0$. We assert that $p \geq 1 / 2$. Indeed, from (14) we clearly see that $g_{2}\left(0^{+}, 0\right)=2$, $g_{2}\left(0^{+}, p\right)=+\infty$ if $p<0$, and $g_{2}\left(0^{+}, p\right)=1-2 p>0$ if $0<p<1 / 2$.

If $p \geq 1 / 2$, then it follows from (14) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial g_{2}(x, p)}{\partial p}= & \left(3 x^{p+1}+3 x^{1-p}+x^{2-p}+x^{p}\right) \log x  \tag{15}\\
& -2\left(1-x^{2}\right)<0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Equation (14) and inequality (15) lead to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}(x, p) \leq g_{2}\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right)=-2 \sqrt{x}(1-x)<0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Therefore, $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on ( 0,1 ) which follows from (13) and (16).
(2) If $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$, then (13) leads to the conclusion that $g_{2}(x, p)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{g_{2}(x, p)}{1-x}=4-12 p \geq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p \leq 1 / 3$.

If $p \leq 1 / 3$, then (14) and (15) lead to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}(x, p) \geq & g_{2}\left(x, \frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(1+x^{1 / 3}\right)\left(1+5 x^{1 / 3}+x^{2 / 3}\right) \\
& \times\left(1-x^{1 / 3}\right)^{3}>0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Therefore, $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on ( 0,1 ) which follows from (13) and (18).

Lemma 2. Let $g_{1}:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by (12). Then there exists $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $g_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $\left(0, x_{0}\right]$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $\left[x_{0}, 1\right)$ if $1 / 3<p<1 / 2$.

Proof. Let $p \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ and $g_{2}(x, p)$ be defined by (14). Then (14) leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{2}(0, p)=1-2 p>0, \quad g_{2}(1, p)=0,  \tag{19}\\
x^{1-p} \frac{\partial g_{2}(x, p)}{\partial x} \\
=3(p-1) x^{1-2 p}+(p-2) x^{2-2 p}  \tag{20}\\
+2(2 p-1) x^{2-p}+3(p+1) x+p:=g_{3}(x, p), \\
g_{3}(0, p)=p>0, \quad g_{3}(1, p)=12 p-4>0,  \tag{21}\\
x^{2 p} \frac{\partial g_{3}(x, p)}{\partial x} \\
=3(p+1) x^{2 p}-2(2 p-1)(p-2) x^{1+p}  \tag{22}\\
-2(p-1)(p-2) x-3(2 p-1)(p-1) \\
:=g_{4}(x, p), \\
g_{4}(0, p)=-3(1-p)(1-2 p)<0, \\
g_{4}(1, p)=4(3 p-1)(2-p)>0,  \tag{23}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} g_{4}(x, p)}{\partial x^{2}}=-2 p(1-2 p)(p+1)  \tag{24}\\
\times\left[3+(2-p) x^{1-p}\right] x^{2 p-2}<0
\end{gather*}
$$

for $x \in(0,1)$.
Inequality (24) implies that $g_{4}(x, p)$ is strictly convex with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$. From (22) and (23) together with the strict convexity of $g_{4}(x, p)$ with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ we clearly see that there exists $x_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $g_{3}(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on ( $0, x_{1}$ ] and strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $\left[x_{1}, 1\right)$. We assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}\left(x_{1}, p\right)<0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $g_{3}\left(x_{1}, p\right) \geq 0$, then it follows from (20) and the piecewise monotonicity of $g_{3}(x, p)$ with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ that $g_{2}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$.

Hence, we get $g_{2}(x, p)<g_{2}(1, p)=0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. This conjunction with Lemma 1 and (13) leads to the conclusion that $p \geq 1 / 2$, which contradicts with $1 / 3<p<1 / 2$.

From (20) and (21) together with (25) and the piecewise monotonicity of $g_{3}(x, p)$ with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ we clearly see that there exist $x_{11} \in\left(0, x_{1}\right)$ and $x_{12} \in\left(x_{1}, 1\right)$ such that $g_{2}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $\left(0, x_{11}\right] \cup$ $\left[x_{12}, 1\right)$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $\left[x_{11}, x_{12}\right]$.

Therefore, Lemma 2 follows easily from (13) and (19) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $g_{2}(x, p)$ with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$.

Lemma 3. Let $g_{1}:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by (12). Then the following statements are true:
(1) $g_{1}(x, p)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq 1 / 2$;
(2) $g_{1}(x, p)<0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$;
(3) if $1 / 3<p<1 / 2$, then there exists $\mu_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $g_{1}\left(\mu_{0}, p\right)=0, g_{1}(x, p)<0$ for $x \in\left(0, \mu_{0}\right)$, and $g_{1}(x, p)>0$ for $x \in\left(\mu_{0}, 1\right)$.
Proof. (1) If $g_{1}(x, p)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$, then $g_{1}\left(0^{+}, p\right) \geq 0$. Therefore, $p \geq 1 / 2$ follows from $g_{1}\left(0^{+}, p\right)=-\infty$ for $p<1 / 2$.

If $p \geq 1 / 2$, then Lemma 1 (1) leads to the conclusion that $g_{1}(x, p)>g_{1}(1, p)=0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$.
(2) If $g_{1}(x, p)<0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$, then by making use of L'Höspital's rules and (12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{g_{1}(x, p)}{(1-x)^{3}}=\frac{1}{8}\left(p-\frac{1}{3}\right) \leq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p \leq 1 / 3$.
If $p \leq 1 / 3$, then Lemma 1 (2) leads to the conclusion that $g_{1}(x, p)<g_{1}(1, p)=0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$.
(3) If $1 / 3<p<1 / 2$, then it follows from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}\left(0^{+}, p\right)=-\infty, \quad g_{1}(1, p)=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 3 (3) follows from Lemma 2 and (27).

Lemma 4. Let $g:(0,1) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, p)= & \log \frac{X(1, x)}{M_{p}(1, x)} \\
= & \log \frac{x+1}{2}+\frac{2 \sqrt{x}}{1-x} \arcsin \frac{1-x}{1+x}  \tag{28}\\
& -\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{x^{p}+1}{2}-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then
(1) $g(x, p)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq 1 / 2$;
(2) $g(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$;
(3) if $1 / 3<p<1 / 2$, there exists $\mu_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $g(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $\left(0, \mu_{0}\right]$ and strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $\left[\mu_{0}, 1\right)$.

Proof. It follows from (28) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g(x, p)}{\partial x}=\frac{1+x}{(1-x)^{2} \sqrt{x}} g_{1}(x, p) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}(x, p)$ is defined by (12).
Therefore, Lemma 4 follows from Lemma 3 and (29).

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 5. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(a, b)<X(a, b)<M_{q}(a, b) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$ and $q \geq \log 2 /(1+\log 2)=0.4093 \ldots$.

Proof. Since both the Sándor mean $X(a, b)$ and $r$ th power mean $M_{r}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we assume that $a=1$ and $b=x \in$ $(0,1)$.

We first prove that the inequality $X(1, x)>M_{p}(1, x)$ holds for all $x \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$.

If $p=1 / 3$, then from (28) and Lemma 4 (2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{X(1, x)}{M_{1 / 3}(1, x)}=g\left(x, \frac{1}{3}\right)>g\left(1^{-}, \frac{1}{3}\right)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Therefore, $X(1, x)>M_{p}(1, x)$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ and $p \leq$ $1 / 3$ follows from (31) and the monotonicity of the function $p \rightarrow M_{p}(1, x)$.

If $X(1, x)>M_{p}(1, x)$, then (28) leads to $g(x, p)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{g(x, p)}{(1-x)^{2}}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3}-p\right) \geq 0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p \leq 1 / 3$.
Next, we prove that the inequality $X(1, x)<M_{q}(1, x)$ holds for all $x \in(0,1)$ if and only if $q \geq \log 2 /(1+\log 2)$.

If $X(1, x)<M_{q}(1, x)$ holds for all $x \in(0,1)$, then (28) leads to $g(x, q)<0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0, q)=\left(\frac{1}{q}-1\right) \log 2-1 \leq 0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q \geq \log 2 /(1+\log 2)$.
If $q=\log 2 /(1+\log 2) \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$, then (28) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(0, \frac{\log 2}{1+\log 2}\right)=g\left(1, \frac{\log 2}{1+\log 2}\right)=0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (28) and (34) together with Lemma 4 (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{X(1, x)}{M_{\log 2 /(1+\log 2)}(1, x)}=g\left(x, \frac{\log 2}{1+\log 2}\right)<0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Therefore, $X(1, x)<M_{q}(1, x)$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ and $q \geq$ $\log 2 /(1+\log 2)$ follows from (35) and the monotonicity of the function $q \rightarrow M_{q}(1, x)$.

Theorem 6. Let $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{e} M_{1 / 2}(a, b)<X(a, b)<\frac{4}{e} M_{1 / 3}(a, b) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the best possible constants 2/e and 4/e.
Proof. Since both the Sándor mean $X(a, b)$ and $r$ th power mean $M_{r}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1 , without loss of generality, we assume that $a=1$ and $b=x \in$ ( 0,1 ). It follows from Lemma 4 (1) and (2) together with (28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \frac{X(1, x)}{M_{1 / 2}(1, x)}=g\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right)>g\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\log \frac{2}{e}, \\
& \log \frac{X(1, x)}{M_{1 / 3}(1, x)}=g\left(x, \frac{1}{3}\right)<g\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)=\log \frac{4}{e} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in(0,1)$.
Therefore, $2 / e M_{1 / 2}(1, x)<X(1, x)<4 / e M_{1 / 3}(1, x)$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ follows from (37), and the optimality of the parameters $2 / e$ and $4 / e$ follows from the monotonicity of the functions $g(x, 1 / 2)$ and $g(x, 1 / 3)$.

Remark 7. For all $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}>0$ with $a_{1} / b_{1}<a_{2} / b_{2}<1$. Then from Lemma 4 (1) and (2) together with (28) we clearly see that the Ky Fan type inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{p}\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)}{M_{p}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)}<\frac{X\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)}{X\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)}<\frac{M_{q}\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)}{M_{q}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold if and only if $p \geq 1 / 2$ and $q \leq 1 / 3$.
Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L_{p}(a, b)=\left(a^{p+1}+b^{p+1}\right) /\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)$ be the $p$ th Lehmer mean of two positive real numbers $a$ and $b$. Then the function $g_{1}(x, p)$ defined by (12) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(x, p)=\frac{1}{2}(1-x)\left[\frac{1}{P(1, x)}-\frac{G(1, x)}{A(1, x) L_{p-1}(1, x)}\right] . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3 and (39) we get Remark 8 as follows.
Remark 8. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A(a, b)}{G(a, b)} L_{p-1}(a, b)<P(a, b)<\frac{A(a, b)}{G(a, b)} L_{q-1}(a, b) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq 1 / 3$ and $q \geq 1 / 2$.

From (5) and (9) together with Theorem 5 one has the following.

Remark 9. The inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
L(a, b) & <M_{1 / 3}(a, b)<X(a, b)<M_{\log 2 /(1+\log 2)}(a, b)  \tag{41}\\
& <M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b)<P(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
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