# Shifting processes with cyclically exchangeable increments at random

#### Gerónimo URIBE BRAVO (Collaboration with Loïc CHAUMONT)

Instituto de Matemáticas UNAM Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México www.matem.unam.mx/geronimo geronimo@matem.unam.mx

Combinatorial Stochastic Processes A conference in celebration of Jim Pitman's work UC San Diego, June 21, 2014

# A question of Jim regarding the Vervaat transformation



#### Question

Is a general framework allowing to interpret the Vervaat transformation as conditioning a process with to remain positive (or above a line)?

 J. Abramson, J. Pitman, N. Ross, and G. Uribe Bravo, Convex minorants of random walks and Lévy processes, Electronic Communications in Probability 16 (2011), 423–434 Let X be a Brownian bridge from 0 to 0 of length 1.

### Definition

There exists a unique weakly continuous family of laws  $\{\mathbb{P}_y^1 : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  which is a version of the conditional law of a Brownian motion on [0, 1] given that it ends at y.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

### The normalized Brownian excursion and Vervaat's theorem

Let X be a Brownian bridge from 0 to 0 of length 1.

#### Theorem [DIM77] and [Ver79]

The law of X conditioned to remain above  $-\varepsilon$  converges weakly as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  toward law of the normalized Brownian excursion. Furthermore, the weak limit can also be constructed as follows: if  $\rho$  is the unique instant at which X attains its minimum, then the weak limit has the same law as

$$\theta_{\rho}(X)_t = X_{\{\rho+t\}} - X_{\rho}.$$

(Interchanges the paths  $(X_s, s \le \rho)$  and  $(X_s, s \ge \rho)$ . Starts and ends at zero. )

- Richard T. Durrett, Donald L. Iglehart, and Douglas R. Miller, Weak convergence to Brownian meander and Brownian excursion, Ann. Probability 5 (1977), no. 1, 117–129. MR 0436353
- Wim Vervaat, A relation between Brownian bridge and Brownian excursion, Ann. Probab. 7 (1979), no. 1, 143–149. MR 515820



$$\theta_t X(s) = X_{s+t} - X_t$$
  $\underline{X} = \min_{s \leq 1} X_s$   $X_{\rho} = \underline{X}$   $\underline{X} \circ \theta_t = \underline{X} - X_t.$ 

( ) > ( ) > ( ) < </p>



<ロ> (四) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Choose  $\eta$  uniformly on  $\{\underline{X} \circ \theta_t \in (-\varepsilon, 0)\}.$ 



Choose  $\eta$  uniformly on  $\{\underline{X} \circ \theta_t \in (-\varepsilon, 0)\}.$ 

$$\int_{t}^{t} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}_{t} d\mathbf{s}$$

$$F_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{X_s - \underline{X} < \varepsilon} \, ds}{\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{X_s - \underline{X} < \varepsilon} \, ds}.$$

 $U \perp X$ : uniform

 $\tau_t = \inf \left\{ s \ge 0 : F_s^{\varepsilon} > t \right\} \text{ and } \eta = \tau_U.$ 



$$\theta_t X(s) = X_{s+t} - X_t$$
  $\underline{X} = \min_{s \leq 1} X_s$   $X_{\rho} = \underline{X}$   $\underline{X} \circ \theta_t = \underline{X} - X_t.$ 

Let  $F^{\varepsilon}$  be the random distribution

Choose  $\eta$  uniformly on  $\{\underline{X} \circ \theta_t \in (-\varepsilon, 0)\}$ . Then:

• the law of  $\theta_{\eta} X$  equals the law of X conditioned on  $\underline{X} \in (-\varepsilon, 0)$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \ \eta \to \rho \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

$$\mathsf{F}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{X_s - \underline{X} < \varepsilon} \, ds}{\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{X_s - \underline{X} < \varepsilon} \, ds}.$$

 $U \perp X: \text{ uniform}$  $\tau_t = \inf \{ s \ge 0 : F_s^c > t \} \text{ and } \eta = \tau_U.$ 

#### Definition: CEI process

A càdlàg process has cyclically exchangeable increments (CEI) if:

$$\theta_t X \stackrel{d}{=} X$$
 for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Shift of a CEI process:

$$\theta_t X(s) = X_{\{s+t\}} - X_t + X_{\lfloor s+t \rfloor}.$$

(Interchanges the paths  $(X_s, s \le t)$  and  $(X_s, s \ge t)$  with same starting and ending points.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $I \subset (-\infty, 0]$ . To condition on  $\{\underline{X} \in I\}$ , choose t uniformly on  $\{t : \underline{X} \circ \theta_t \in I\}$  using

$$A_t^l = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\underline{X} \circ \theta_s \in I} \, ds$$

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathbb{P})$  be any non trivial CEI process.  $X_0 = 0, X_1 \ge 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X \in I) > 0$ . Let  $U \perp X$  be uniform and define:

$$\nu = \inf\{t : A_t' = UA_1'\}.$$
 (1)

Conditionally on  $A_1^l > 0$ ,  $\theta_{\nu}(X)$  is independent of  $\nu$  and has the same law as X conditionally on  $\underline{X} \in I$ . Moreover the time  $\nu$  is uniformly distributed over [0, 1].

Let  $I \subset (-\infty, 0]$ . To condition on  $\{\underline{X} \in I\}$ , choose t uniformly on  $\{t : \underline{X} \circ \theta_t \in I\}$  using

$$A'_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\underline{X} \circ \theta_s \in I} \, ds.$$

Theorem Let  $(X, \mathbb{P})$  be any non trivial CEI process.  $X_0 = 0, X_1 \ge 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} \in I) > 0$ . Let  $U \perp X$  be uniform and define:

$$\nu = \inf\{t : A_t' = UA_1'\}.$$
 (1)

Conversely, if Y has the law of X conditioned on  $\underline{X} \in I$  and U is uniform and independent of Y then  $\theta_U(Y)$  has the same law as X conditioned on  $A_1^l > 0$ .

### Corollary

Let  $(X, \mathbb{P})$  be any non trivial CEI process such that  $X_0 = 0 = X_1$ . Assume that there exists a unique  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that  $X_{\rho} = \underline{X}$  and that  $X_{\rho-} = X_{\rho}$ . Then, the law of X conditioned to remain above  $-\varepsilon$  converges weakly in the Skorohod  $J_1$  topology as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Furthermore, the weak limit is the law of  $\theta_{\rho}X$ .

Loïc Chaumont and Gerónimo Uribe Bravo, *Shifting processes with cyclically exchangeable increments at random*, 2014, arXiv:1405.1335

#### Definition

A càdlàg stochastic process has exchangeable increments (EI) if

$$X_{k/n} - X_{(k-1)/n}, 1 \le k \le n$$

are exchangeable for any  $n \ge 1$ .

$$X_t = \alpha t + \sigma b_t + \sum_i \beta_i \left[ \mathbf{1}_{U_i \le t} - t \right]$$

- 1.  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\beta_i, i \ge 1$  are (possibly dependent) rv and  $\sum_i \beta_i^2 < \infty$ .
- 2. *b* is a Brownian bridge

3.  $(U_i, i \ge 1)$  are iid uniform random variables on (0, 1).

 $(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$  are its canonical parameters.

Olav Kallenberg, *Canonical representations and convergence criteria for processes with interchangeable increments*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **27** (1973), 23–36. MR 0394842

### Application to El processes

Let X be an EI process with canonical parameters ( $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$ ). On the set

$$\left\{\sum_i |\beta_i| = \infty, \text{ and } \sum_i \beta_i^2 \left| \log |\beta_i| \right|^c < \infty \text{ for some } c > 1 \right\} \cup \left\{\sigma \neq 0\right\},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

X reaches its minimum continuously at a unique  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ .

If X is a Lévy process and neither X nor -X is a subordinator: then X achieves its minimum continuously if and only if 0 is regular for  $(0,\infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

To reach the minimum continuously, we assume

H1 0 is regular for  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ .

To reach the minimum continuously, we assume

H1 0 is regular for  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ . To build bridges, we use transition densities:

H2 For any t > 0,  $\int \left| \mathbb{E}(e^{iuX_t}) \right| \, du < \infty$ .

#### Definition

Under **H**, there is an unique weakly continuous family  $(\mathbb{P}^1_{0,y}, y \in \mathbb{R})$  which is a version of the law of X on [0, 1] given  $X_1$ . The Lévy bridge from 0 to 0 of length 1 has the law  $\mathbb{P}^1_{0,0}$ .

### The case of Lévy processes

To reach the minimum continuously, we assume

H1 0 is regular for  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ .

To build bridges, we use transition densities:

H2 For any 
$$t>$$
 0,  $\int \left|\mathbb{E}ig(e^{iuX_t}ig)
ight|\; du<\infty.$ 

#### Proposition

Assume **H**. The law  $\mathbb{P}_{0,0}^1$  has the El property. Under  $\mathbb{P}_{0,0}^1$ , the minimum is achieved at a unique place  $\rho \in (0,1)$  and X is continuous at  $\rho$ .

# The case of Lévy processes

To reach the minimum continuously, we assume

H1 0 is regular for  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ .

To build bridges, we use transition densities:

H2 For any t > 0,  $\int \left| \mathbb{E}(e^{iuX_t}) \right| \, du < \infty$ .

### Proposition

Assume H.

The law  $\mathbb{P}^1_{0,0}$  has the El property. Under  $\mathbb{P}^1_{0,0}$ , the minimum is achieved at a unique place  $\rho \in (0,1)$  and X is continuous at  $\rho$ .

#### Remark

The finite-dimensional distributions of  $\theta_{\rho}X$  are identified with those of the bridge of length 1 from 0 to 0 of the Lévy process conditioned to stay positive in

Gerónimo Uribe Bravo, *Bridges of Lévy processes conditioned to stay positive*, Bernoulli **20** (2014), no. 1, 190–206. MR 3160578

### Remarks on the Brownian case

### Corollary (Theorem 7 in [BCP03])

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the law of a Brownian bridge from 0 to 0 of length 1 and let U be uniform and independent of X. Let  $\nu = \inf \{t \ge 0 : X_t > U[x + \underline{X}]\}$ . Then  $\theta_{\nu}X$  has the same law as the three-dimensional Bessel bridge from 0 to x of length 1.

#### Corollary

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the law of the Brownian bridge from 0 to 0 of length 1, let  $(L_t^y, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1])$  be its continuous family of local times and let U be uniform and independent of X. For  $y \leq 0$ , let

$$\eta_y = \inf\left\{t \ge 0: L_t^{\underline{X}-y} > UL_1^{\underline{X}-y}\right\}$$

Then the laws of  $X \circ \theta_{\eta_y}$  provide a weakly continuous disintegration of  $\mathbb{P}$  given  $\underline{X} = y$ .

Jean Bertoin, Loïc Chaumont, and Jim Pitman, *Path transformations of first passage bridges*, Electron. Comm. Probab. **8** (2003), 155–166 (electronic). MR 2042754

- J. Abramson, J. Pitman, N. Ross, and G. Uribe Bravo, Convex minorants of random walks and Lévy processes, Electronic Communications in Probability 16 (2011), 423-434.
- Jean Bertoin, Loïc Chaumont, and Jim Pitman, Path transformations of first passage bridges, Electron. Comm. Probab. 8 (2003), 155-166 (electronic). MR 2042754
- Jean Bertoin, Regularity of the half-line for Lévy processes, Bull. Sci. Math. 121 (1997), no. 5, 345–354. MR 1465812
- Loïc Chaumont and Gerónimo Uribe Bravo, Shifting processes with cyclically exchangeable increments at random, 2014, arXiv:1405.1335.

Richard T. Durrett, Donald L. Iglehart, and Douglas R. Miller, *Weak* convergence to Brownian meander and Brownian excursion, Ann. Probability 5 (1977), no. 1, 117-129. MR 0436353

Olav Kallenberg, Canonical representations and convergence criteria for processes with interchangeable increments, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 27 (1973), 23-36. MR 0394842

- P. W. Millar, Zero-one laws and the minimum of a Markov process, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977), 365–391. MR 0433606
- B. A. Rogozin, The local behavior of processes with independent increments, Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. 13 (1968), 507–512. MR MR0242261
- Michael Sharpe, *Zeroes of infinitely divisible densities*, Ann. Math. Statist. **40** (1969), 1503–1505. MR 0240850
- Gerónimo Uribe Bravo, *Bridges of Lévy processes conditioned to stay positive*, Bernoulli **20** (2014), no. 1, 190–206. MR 3160578
  - Wim Vervaat, A relation between Brownian bridge and Brownian excursion, Ann. Probab. **7** (1979), no. 1, 143–149. MR 515820

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <