ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access



Significant value of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/ CT in diagnosing small cervical lymph node metastases in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy

Hao Peng^{1†}, Lei Chen^{1†}, Ling-Long Tang¹, Wen-Fei Li¹, Yan-Ping Mao¹, Rui Guo¹, Yuan Zhang¹, Li-Zhi Liu², Li Tian², Xu Zhang³, Xiao-Ping Lin³, Ying Guo⁴, Ying Sun¹ and Jun Ma^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Little is known about the nature of metastasis to small cervical lymph nodes (SCLNs) in the patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) examined by using 18-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT). The present study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic values of PET/CT in identifying metastasis in SCLNs in NPC patients.

Methods: Magnetic resonance images (MRI) and PET/CT scans for 470 patients with newly diagnosed, non-distant metastatic NPC were analyzed. Metastatic rates of SCLNs were defined by the positive number of SCLNs on PET/CT scans and total number of SCLNs on MRI scans. Receiver operating characteristic curve was applied to compare PET/CT-determined stage with MRI-determined stage.

Results: In total, 2082 SCLNs were identified, with 808 (38.8%) \geq 5 and < 6 mm in diameter (group A), 526 (25.3%) \geq 6 and < 7 mm in diameter (group B), 374 (18.0%) \geq 7 and < 8 mm in diameter (group C), 237 (11.4%) \geq 8 and < 9 mm in diameter (group D), and 137 (6.5%) \geq 9 and < 10 mm in diameter (group E). The overall metastatic rates examined by using PET/CT for groups A, B, C, D, and E were 3.5%, 8.0%, 31.3%, 60.0%, and 83.9%, respectively (*P* < 0.001). In level IV/Vb, the metastatic rate for nodes \geq 8 mm was 84.6%. PET/CT examination resulted in modification of N category and overall stage for 135 (28.7%) and 46 (9.8%) patients, respectively. The areas under curve of MRI-determined and PET/CT-determined overall stage were 0.659 and 0.704 for predicting overall survival, 0.661 and 0.711 for predicting distant metastasis-free survival, and 0.636 and 0.663 for predicting disease-free survival.

Conclusions: PET/CT was more effective than MRI in identifying metastatic SCLNs, and the radiologic diagnostic criteria for metastatic lymph nodes in level IV/Vb should be re-defined.

Keywords: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma, 18-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose positron emission tomography with computed tomography (¹⁸F-PET/CT), Magnetic resonance image, Intensity-modulated radiotherapy, Small cervical lymph nodes

in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: majun2@mail.sysu.edu.cn

[†]Hao Peng and Lei Chen contributed equally to this work

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oncology

Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China

Background

The Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated malignancy nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) has a geographically unbalanced distribution [1, 2] and is endemic in regions such as South China, where the incidence is 20-50 per 100,000 males [3]. Radiotherapy is the only definitive treatment option for NPC as a result of its high radio-sensitivity and anatomic constraints that make surgery complex. NPC is also sensitive to chemotherapy; combined strategies such as concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) with or without adjuvant chemotherapy have been established as the standard care for advanced disease [4–6], whereas radiotherapy alone is adequate for early-stage disease.

Advances in imaging, diagnosis, and treatment over the last two decades have made NPC more curable. Undoubtedly, accurate staging workup is an essential component of these improvements. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has replaced computed tomography (CT) as the most important staging tool, due to its excellent soft tissue contrast resolution and precise detection of early and deep primary tumor involvement [7]. Notably, more than 80% of patients present with lymph node metastasis at initial diagnosis [8, 9]. Besides, small cervical lymph nodes (SCLNs) that do not reach the radiologic criteria of 10 mm for metastatic lymph nodes [10] are also commonly observed. Radiologists and clinicians may be less likely to assess the nature of SCLNs based on MRI findings since nodal size and the presence of necrosis are the only references for nodal involvement on MRI [11]; however, overlooking SCLNs could impede precise staging and treatment.

In an effort to develop individualized and precise medicine approaches, 18-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) is playing an increasingly important role in diagnosis of NPC. Numerous studies have documented that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is superior to MRI for N categorization in both NPC and other head and neck cancers [12–16]. However, no studies have yet assessed the value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for diagnosing SCLNs in NPC. Given the urgent needs for precise staging and individualized treatment, we conducted this study to further assess the role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in the diagnosis of SCLNs in NPC.

Methods

Patients

We retrospectively analyzed the data on patients with newly diagnosed, non-metastatic NPC treated at Sun Yat-sen university center between November 2009 and May 2012. Patients meeting the following criteria were included in this study: (1) stage I–IVA disease; (2) age \geq 18 years; (3) no previous malignancy; (4) receiving ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and MRI as pre-treatment staging workup at our center; and (5) treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). Informed consent was obtained from all patients before treatment, and this study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center.

Staging workup

A complete medical history and clinical examinations of the head and neck region were performed for all patients at initial diagnosis. All participants received MRI and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scans as the main staging workup. All patients were re-staged twice according to the 8th edition of the International Union against Cancer/American Joint Committee on Cancer (UICC/AJCC) staging system [17]: (1) for MRI-diagnosed stage, T and N categories were determined only based on MRI findings; (2) for PET/CT-diagnosed stage, T category was determined based on MRI findings, and N category was determined based on PET/CT findings.

Measurement of small cervical lymph nodes

In this study, only cervical lymph nodes with a shortaxis diameter \geq 5 and < 10 mm (Fig. 1) were included and were divided into five groups according to the diameter: (A) \geq 5 and < 6 mm; (B) \geq 6 and < 7 mm; $(C) \ge 7$ and < 8 mm; $(D) \ge 8$ and < 9 mm; and $(E) \ge 9$ and < 10 mm. Retropharyngeal lymph nodes were not included in this analysis, but were analyzed for N category classification and overall stage modification. Lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter in the ranges listed above but displayed necrosis were not classified as SCLNs because necrosis is one of the criteria for metastatic lymph node. Two radiologists (L.Z.L. and L.T.) with more than 10-year experience employed at our hospital separately evaluated all images and measured the shortaxis diameters of the lymph nodes without reference to PET/CT findings. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

PET/CT imaging

In our hospital, PET/CT would be recommended if patients were diagnosed with N2-3 NPC by MRI or patients asked for this examination. Patients fasted for at least 6 h before the PET/CT scanning; patients with fasting plasma glucose > 2 g/L were excluded from this study. The PET/CT scanner (Discovery ST 16; GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) was employed to obtain PET/CT images according to the guidelines for tumor imaging with PET/CT [18]. PET/CT scans were initiated 45–60 min after



Fig. 1 A 35-year-old man with a SCLN of 7.5 mm (arrow) in left level IIb was diagnosed with T3N0 nasopharyngeal cancer by MRI (**a**), but diagnosed with T3N1 disease by PET/CT (**b**). SCLN small cervical lymph node, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, PET/CT positron emission tomography/computed tomography

injection of 5.55 MBq/kg ¹⁸F-FDG. Two doctors of nuclear medicine (X.Z. and X.P.L.) with more than 10-year experience at our center separately evaluated all small lymph nodes based on standardized uptake value (SUV) without reference to the MRI findings, and any disagreements were resolved by consensus. Usually, small lymph nodes with abnormal uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG were regard as metastatic lymph nodes. Notably, the diagnostic criteria of SUV for metastatic lymph nodes is about 2.5 [19].

Treatment

All participants received IMRT at our hospital. A total prescribed dose of 66–72 Gy at 2.12–2.43 Gy/fraction was delivered to the planning target volume (PTV) of the gross tumor volume of the nasophrynx (GTVnx), 64–70 Gy to the PTV of the GTV of the metastatic lymph nodes (GTVnd), 60–68 Gy to the PTV of the GTV of the SCLNs (GTVs), 60–63 Gy to the PTV of the highrisk clinical target volume (CTV1), and 54–56 Gy to the PTV of the low-risk clinical target volume (CTV2). The simultaneous integrated boost technique was adopted to treat all targets.

We recommended radiotherapy alone for stage I disease, radiotherapy with or without concurrent chemotherapy for stage II disease, and CCRT with or without neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT) for stage III-IVB disease. NCT consisted of cisplatin (80 mg/m² d1) with 5-fluorouracil (1000 mg/m² on days 1–5) or cisplatin (75 mg/m² on day 1) with docetaxel (75 mg/m² on day 1) every 3 weeks for two to four cycles. Concurrent chemotherapy was to administer cisplatin weekly $(30-40 \text{ mg/m}^2)$ or tri-weekly $(80-100 \text{ mg/m}^2)$.

Follow-up and statistical analysis

Follow-up was measured from the first day of treatment to last visit or death. Patients were assessed every 3 months during the first 2 years and every 6 months thereafter. Locoregional or distant failures were confirmed by pathology or imaging methods. The primary endpoint was the metastatic rate of SCLN diagnosed by using PET/CT. Other endpoints included overall survival (OS; time from treatment initiation to death from any cause or the last follow-up), distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS; time from treatment initiation to distant failure or the last follow-up), and disease-free survival (DFS; time from treatment initiation to treatment failure or death or the last follow-up). Kaplan-Meier method was adopted to calculate survival rates, and difference was compared by log-rank test. Patients lost to followup would be treated as censored data and were culled by Kaplan-Meier method.

The Chi square test was used to compare the metastatic rates of SCLNs between different groups (group A–E) and cervical levels (level I–V). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis based on 3-year OS, DMFS, and DFS was used to compare MRI-determined tumor stage with that diagnosed by PET/CT. All tests were two-sided; P < 0.05 was considered significant. Stata Statistical Package 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used for all analyses.

Results

Baseline information

Finally, we reviewed the data of 2191 patients, and 470 (21.5%) of them were eligible for this study. Of the 470 patients included in this study, 358 (76.2%) were male and 112 (23.8%) were female, carrying a ratio of 3.2:1. Median age was 46 (range 16–77) years. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. In total, 126 (26.9%) patients

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the 470 patientswith nasopharyngeal carcinoma

Characteristic	Number of patients	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	358	76.2
Female	112	23.8
Smoking		
Yes	170	36.2
No	300	63.8
Alcohol consumption		
Yes	60	12.8
No	410	87.2
Family history of cancer		
Yes	152	32.3
No	318	67.7
MRI-based stage		
T category ^a		
T1	77	16.4
T2	81	17.2
T3	239	50.9
T4	73	15.5
N category ^a		
NO	81	17.2
N1	279	59.4
N2	71	15.1
N3	39	8.3
Overall stage ^a		
I	28	6.0
II	98	20.9
	239	50.9
IVa-b	105	22.2
Chemotherapy		
No	68	14.5
Neoadjuvant alone	50	10.6
Concurrent alone	146	31.1
Induction plus concurrent	206	43.8
Treatment failure		
Death	70	14.9
Distant metastasis	59	12.6
Locoregional recurrence	42	8.9

MRI magnetic resonance imaging

^a According to the 8th UICC/AJCC staging system

had early-stage (stage I–II) diseases, and 68 (14.5%) patients did not receive any chemotherapy. By November 2016, 29 (6.2%) patients were lost to follow-up; median follow-up was 62.9 (range 3.7–91.5) months. Overall, 98 (20.9%) patients experienced treatment failure.

SCLN-metastatic rate according to PET/CT findings

Overall, 2082 SCLNs were identified, of which 808 (38.8%) were \geq 5 and < 6 mm (group A), 526 (25.3%) were \geq 6 and < 7 mm (B), 374 (18.0%) were \geq 7 and < 8 mm (C), 237 (11.4%) were \geq 8 and < 9 mm (D), and 137 (6.6%) were > 9 and < 10 mm (E; Table 2). Most (1266/2082, 60.8%) of the SCLNs were observed in level II. Based on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT findings, the overall metastatic rates of SCLNs for groups A, B, C, D, and E were 3.5%, 8.0%, 31.3%, 60.0%, and 83.9%, respectively. The metastatic rate significantly increased as nodal size increased from 5 to 10 mm at all levels (P < 0.001). The metastatic rates were usually very low for lymph nodes < 8 mm in level I and lymph nodes < 7 mm in levels II/III/IV/V. For group A and B nodes, the metastatic rates were similar between levels II, III/Va and IV/Vb (P = 0.768) but significantly higher than those at level I (P = 0.018). For group C and D nodes, the metastatic rates were significantly increased from level I to IV/ Vb (P < 0.001 for group C and P = 0.001 for group D). However, the metastatic rates for group E nodes were not significantly different between all levels (P = 0.487).

Subgroup analysis stratified by N category

As the tumor burden significantly increases from N0 to N3 diseases, the metastatic rates for each SCLN group may vary between N categories. We therefore assessed the impact of MRI-diagnosed N category on the metastatic rates of SCLN (Table 3). Consistent with the results above, the metastatic rates significantly increased with the nodal size in almost all N-category subsets, except for those at levels I and IV/Vb in N0 disease (P = 1.000). With regards to groups A and B, the metastatic rates were comparable between levels II, III/Va and IV/Vb (P > 0.05for all groups), but higher than that of level I nodes (P = 0.008 for group A and P < 0.001 for group B). Generally, the metastatic rates increased from level I to IV/ Vb in groups C and D; however, these differences were not significant (P > 0.05 for all rates). Intriguingly, the metastatic rates for group E nodes were similar between the five cervical levels.

Stage modification

Based on PET/CT findings, 135 (28.7%) and 46 (9.8%) patients required N category and overall stage modifications, respectively (Table 4). Specifically, 86 (18.3%) patients required an increase in N category: 17 (3.6%) from N0 to N1, 2 (0.4%) from N0 to N2, 47 (10.0%) from

Level	Group	A (<u>></u> 5 and < 6 mm)	Group	B (≥ 6 and < 7 mm)	Group C	(<u>></u> 7 and < 8 mm)	Group	D (≥ 8 and < 9 mm)	Group	E (≥ 9 and < 10 mm)	٩
	Total ^a	Metastatic lymph nodes (%) ^b									
<u>.</u>	197	(0) 0	70	0 (0)	34	2 (5.9)	10	2 (20.0)	m	3 (100.0)	< 0.001
=	396	17 (4.3)	329	30 (9.1)	263	80 (30.4)	167	94 (56.3)	111	91 (82.0)	< 0.001
III/Va	170	8 (4.7)	91	8 (8.8)	58	23 (39.7)	34	24 (70.6)	12	11 (91.7)	< 0.001
dV/VI	45	3 (6.7)	36	4 (11.1)	19	12 (63.2)	26	22 (84.6)	1	10 (90.9)	< 0.001
P_1^{d}	0.018		0.005		< 0.001		0.001		0.487		
P_2^{d}	0.768		0.915		0.008		0.011		0.503		
MRI ma	gnetic res	onance imaging, <i>PET/CT</i> positro	n emissic	n tomography/computed tomo	ography						

and PET/CT findings
ARI ĉ
on N
based (
nodes
lymph
cervical
fsmall
rates of
Metastatic
Table 2

^a Total number of small cervical lymph nodes based on MRI findings

 $^{\mathrm{b}}$ The number of metastatic small cervical lymph nodes based on PET/CT findings

^c There were 184 (93.4%) in the level lb and 13 (6.6%) in the level la for group A. No small cervical lymph nodes were observed in level la for the other groups

 $P_1{}^{
m d}$: Comparison between levels I, II, III/Va, and IV/Vb; $P_2{}^{
m d}$: Comparison between levels II, III/Va, and IV/Vb

^e Comparison between groups A, B, C, D and E. P values are calculated by Chi square test or Fisher's exact test

egory	Group	A	Group	8	Group	U	Group	D	Group	ЪЕ	Å
	Total ^a	Metastatic lymph nodes (%) ^b									
N0 category											
Level	37	0 (0)	6	0 (0)	4	0 (0)	-	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	1.000
Level II	75	0 (0)	47	0 (0)	37	4 (10.8)	17	7 (41.2)	7	6 (85.7)	< 0.001
Level III/Va	16	0 (0)	8	1 (12.5)	<i>—</i>	0 (0)	2	1 (50.0)	,	1 (100.0)	0.036
Level IV/Vb	9	0 (0)	m	0 (0)	<i>—</i>	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)	1.000
P1 ^c	1.000		0.164		1.000		1.000		1.000		
P ₂ c	1.000		0.190		1.000		0.812		1.000		
N1 category											
Level	115	0 (0)	41	0 (0)	21	0 (0)	7	1 (14.3)	2	2 (100.0)	< 0.001
Level II	239	8 (3.3)	189	34 (18.0)	168	54 (32.1)	95	54 (56.8)	68	56 (82.4)	< 0.001
Level III/Va	85	7 (8.2)	52	3 (5.8)	25	8 (32.0)	13	9 (69.2)	5	5 (100.0)	< 0.001
Level IV/Vb	19	1 (5.3)	17	2 (11.8)	00	4 (50.0)	6	7 (77.8)	4	4 (100.0)	< 0.001
P ₁ c	0.008		< 0.001		0.001		0.045		0.746		
P ₂ ^c	0.147		0.056		0.592		0.341		0.647		
N2 category											
Level	22	0 (0)	16	0 (0)	9	1 (16.7)	–	0 (0)	-	1 (100.0)	0.013
Level II	99	6 (9.1)	67	8 (11.9)	44	17 (38.6)	35	21 (60.0)	27	21 (77.8)	< 0.001
Level III/Va	54	1 (1.9)	20	4 (20.0)	18	8 (44.4)	10	7 (70.0)	2	2 (100.0)	< 0.001
Level IV/Vb	00	1 (12.5)	4	0 (0)	2	0 (0)	m	3 (100.0)	-	1 (100.0)	0.007
P1 ^c	0.151		0.305		0.441		0.130		1.000		
P ₂ c	0.135		0.593		0.623		0.074		1.000		
N3 category											
Level	23	0 (0)	4	0 (0)	m	1 (33.3)	–	1 (100.0)	0	0 (0)	0.013
Level II	16	3 (18.8)	26	7 (26.9)	14	5 (35.7)	20	12 (60.0)	6	8 (88.9)	< 0.001
Level III/Va	15	0 (0)	11	0 (0)	14	7 (50.0)	6	7 (77.8)	4	3 (75.0)	< 0.001
Level IV/Vb	12	1 (8.3)	12	2 (16.7)	∞	8 (100.0)	14	12 (85.7)	9	5 (83.3)	< 0.001
P1 ^c	0.038		0.232		0.006		0.380		1.000		
P ₂ c	0.117		0.06		0.003		0.225		1.000		

27 ų, 1 ÷ ų ţ Ċ ----É . = ч -N

^a Total number of small cervical lymph nodes based on MRI findings

^b Metastatic number of small cervical lymph nodes based on PET/CT findings

^c *P* values were calculated using the Chi square test or Fisher's exact test if indicated; *P*₁⁴; Comparison between levels I, II, III/Va and IV/Vb; *P*₂⁴; Comparison between levels II, III/Va and IV/Vb; *P*₂⁴

Tumor stage	MRI-determ	nined staging			PET/CT-det	ermined staging		
	NO	N1	N2	N3	NO	N1	N2	N3
Т1	28 (6.0)	39 (8.3)	8 (1.7)	2 (0.4)	33 (7.0)	30 (6.4)	10 (2.1)	4 (0.9)
T2	18 (3.8)	41 (8.7)	13 (2.8)	9 (1.9)	21 (4.5)	28 (6.0)	18 (3.8)	14 (3.0)
T3	28 (6.0)	153 (32.6)	37 (7.9)	21 (4.5)	45 (9.6)	104 (22.1)	59 (12.6)	31 (6.6)
T4	7 (1.5)	46 (9.8)	13 (2.8)	7 (1.5)	7 (1.5)	34 (7.2)	22 (4.7)	10 (2.1)
Stage I	28 (6.0)				33 (7.0)			
Stage II	98 (20.9)				79 (16.8)			
Stage III	239 (50.8)				236 (50.2)			
Stage IV	105 (22.3)				122 (26.0)			

Table 4 Comparison of MRI- and PET/CT-determined tumor staging

All values are presented as number of patients followed by percentage in parentheses

MRI magnetic resonance imaging, PET/CT positron emission tomography/computed tomography

N1 to N2, 15 (3.2%) from N1 to N3, and 5 (1.0%) from N2 to N3. Forty-nine (10.4%) patients required a reduction in N category: 43 (9.1%) from N1 to N0, 1 (0.2%) from N2 to N0, and 5 (1.0%) from N2 to N1. Consequently, PET/ CT examination led to up-staging for 34 (7.2%) patients including 5 (1.0%) from stage I to II, 12 (2.6%) from II to III, 4 (0.9%) from II to IV, and 13 (2.8%) from III to IV, and down-staging for 12 (2.6%) patients including 2 (0.4%) from stage III to II, and 10 (2.1%) from II to I.

Comparison of MRI- and PET/CT-based tumor staging

Univariate analysis of survival outcomes of patients with different N category and overall stage based on MRIand PET/CT findings is presented in Fig. 2. Compared with MRI-diagnosed tumor stage (Fig. 2a, b), PET/CTdiagnosed tumor stage provided better stratification of OS and DMFS (Fig. 2c, d). ROC curve analysis was performed to directly compare the superiority of overall stage based on MRI and PET/CT findings (Fig. 3). With respect to 3-year OS (Fig. 3a), the area under curve (AUC) was 0.659 for MRI-diagnosed stage (AUC_{MRI}) and 0.704 for PET/CT-diagnosed stage (AUC_{PET/CT}). The AUC_{MRI} and AUC_{PET/CT} values were 0.661 and 0.711 for 3-year DMFS (Fig. 3b) and 0.636 and 0.663 for 3-year DFS (Fig. 3c), respectively. Therefore, PET/CT-diagnosed tumor stage was superior to MRI-diagnosed stage in terms of prognostic stratification.

Discussion

In our present study, we focused on SCLNs in NPC patients and revealed that the metastatic rates of SCLNs assessed based on PET/CT findings significantly increased with nodal size, regardless of cervical level. Further subgroup analysis stratified by N category demonstrated similar trends. In addition, ROC curve analysis revealed that PET/CT-diagnosed tumor stage provides

superior prognostic stratification compared with MRIdiagnosed tumor stage.

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging provides an important contribution to the diagnosis of cancer and prediction of prognosis due to that it adds tissue functional information. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the maximum SUV in PET/CT imaging could serve as a valuable prognostic factor in NPC [19–24]. Moreover, PET/CT has been proved to be more accurate than conventional CT/MR imaging for detection of nodal metastasis in both NPC [14, 15] and other head and neck cancers [12, 13, 25, 26]. In the present study, PET/CT was also superior to MRI for diagnosing metastasis in SCLNs with diameters less than 10 mm, thereby resulting in better tumor stage stratification. Similarly, PET/CT improved staging in 15%–20% of cases of other head and neck cancer [25, 27].

As a distinct head and neck cancer, NPC has a very high incidence of lymph node metastasis, ranging from 85% to 86.4% [8, 9, 28]. Treatment guidelines have been proposed for metastatic lymph nodes, with a recommended radical radiation dose of 66-70 Gy. However, little attention has been paid to SCLNs, and no treatment consensus has yet been achieved. This situation may be attributed to the uncertainty of the nature of these lymph nodes based on MRI findings, since NPC is typically treated without pathologic assessment of the cervical lymph nodes. Recently, two retrospective studies found that SCLNs did not affect prognosis of patients [28, 29]; however, the precise details of the radiation doses delivered to the SCLNs were not provided in either study. In fact, treatment of SCLNs is mainly based on individual clinicians' experience. Cervical lymph node status is the main factor that affects distant control and OS of NPC patients [30]. Therefore, correct identification of the nature of SCLNs is essential for individualized treatment





planning. In the present study, we subdivided SCLNs into five groups based on maximal diameter and presented the corresponding metastatic rates for each group as determined according to PET/CT findings. For nodes smaller than 7 mm, the metastatic rates were very low at all levels. Therefore, a radical radiation dose may be inappropriate for these lymph nodes. With regards to nodes > 7and < 9 mm, the metastatic rates significantly increased from level I-IV/Vb, with the highest metastatic rate of 84.6% in level IV/Vb. Thus, a radical dose may be necessary, especially for nodes in the lower cervical region. For nodes > 9 mm, the overall metastatic rate reached 84%, and was over 90% in levels III/Va and IV/Vb. Hence, a radical radiation dose should be delivered. Generally, the results of the subgroup analysis were similar to those of the primary analysis. Therefore, it is unlikely that N category affects the metastatic rates of SCLNs for different node size groups and neck levels.

Notably, only SCLNs with a diameter > 5 mm were included in this analysis, mainly for three reasons. First, the retropharyngeal nodes are the first-echelon chain of lymph node metastasis in NPC [31, 32] and have a radiologic diagnostic criteria of 5 mm for metastasis [33]. Therefore, the cervical regions may be non-metastatic for SCLNs less than 5 mm since they are not the first station of node metastasis. Second, the size threshold for accurate detection of metastatic cervical lymph nodes using ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is 5 mm [34, 35]. Moreover, a large measurement error in the diameter of such small lymph nodes may exist. Given these reasons, we therefore selected the threshold of 5 mm. It is important to note that we did not include small retropharyngeal lymph nodes (< 5 mm), as retropharyngeal nodes are adjacent to the primary tumor and are treated as part of the GTVnx, regardless of nodal size.

Based on the results of the present study, we suggest the current radiologic diagnosis criteria of 10 mm for metastatic cervical level IV/Vb lymph nodes may be too strict; 8 mm may be more appropriate since the metastatic rate for nodes > 8 mm was over 80%. Moreover, nodes \geq 9 mm should be treated as metastases in clinical practice. However, the limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the data was collected retrospectively from patients treated at a single center. More importantly, pathologic assessment of SCLNs was not possible, as NPC-unlike other head and neck cancersis typically treated without pathologic analysis of metastatic lymph nodes. Nevertheless, false negative/false positive results may occur due to micrometastases or necrosis, whereas false positive findings could be attributed to reactive changes and inflammation [10]. Despite these potential pitfalls, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is still superior to MRI for identification of lymph node metastasis in NPC.

Conclusions

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was more effective than MRI in diagnosing SCLNs with diameters ≥ 5 and < 10 mm. Metastatic rates significantly increased with the nodal size. Moreover, the diagnostic criteria for metastatic lymph nodes in level IV/Vb should be re-defined. These findings provide strong evidence for the clinical management of SCLNs. However, further studies including pathologic assessment are warranted to confirm our results.

Authors' contributions

HP, LC, LLT, and JM contributed to study design and conception. HP, LZL, LT, XZ, and XPL contributed to data acquisition. HP, LC, JM, and YG analyzed and interpreted the data. HP, WFL, and YZ contributed to manuscript revision. YPM and YS contributed to quality control and review of the data and manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. ² Imaging Diagnosis and Interventional Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. ³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. ⁴ Department of Clinical Trials Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in Southern China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. 4 Department of Clinical Trials Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in Southern China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thanks the department of imaging diagnosis and interventional Center and nuclear medicine in Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center for providing the MRI and PET/CT scans of all the included patients.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are deposited in the Research Data Deposit (RDDA2017000151), and available from the corresponding author.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all patients before treatment and this study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee of Sun Yatsen University Cancer Center.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Science and Technology Project of Guangzhou City, China (No. 14570006), and the Planned Science and Technology Project of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2013B020400004).

Received: 23 June 2017 Accepted: 12 December 2017 Published online: 19 December 2017

- Chen CL, Wen WN, Chen JY, Hsu MM, Hsu HC. Detection of Epstein-Barr virus genome in nasopharyngeal carcinoma by in situ DNA hybridization. Intervirology. 1993;36(2):91–8.
- 2. Raab-Traub N, Flynn K. The structure of the termini of the Epstein-Barr virus as a marker of clonal cellular proliferation. Cell. 1986;47(6):883–9.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011;61(2):69–90.
- Baujat B, Audry H, Bourhis J, Chan AT, Onat H, Chua DT, et al. Chemotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an individual patient data meta-analysis of eight randomized trials and 1753 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;64(1):47–56.
- Blanchard P, Lee A, Marguet S, Leclercq J, Ng WT, Ma J, et al. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an update of the MAC-NPC meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(6):645–55.
- Chen YP, Wang ZX, Chen L, Liu X, Tang LL, Mao YP, et al. A Bayesian network meta-analysis comparing concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy, concurrent chemoradiotherapy alone and radiotherapy alone in patients with locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2015;26(1):205–11.
- Liao XB, Mao YP, Liu LZ, Tang LL, Sun Y, Wang Y, et al. How does magnetic resonance imaging influence staging according to AJCC staging system for nasopharyngeal carcinoma compared with computed tomography? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008;72(5):1368–77.
- 8. Ho FC, Tham IW, Earnest A, Lee KM, Lu JJ. Patterns of regional lymph node metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis of clinical evidence. BMC cancer. 2012;12:98.
- Wang X, Hu C, Ying H, He X, Zhu G, Kong L, et al. Patterns of lymph node metastasis from nasopharyngeal carcinoma based on the 2013 updated consensus guidelines for neck node levels. Radiother Oncol. 2015;115(1):41–5.
- van den Brekel MW, Stel HV, Castelijns JA, Nauta JJ, van der Waal I, Valk J, et al. Cervical lymph node metastasis: assessment of radiologic criteria. Radiology. 1990;177(2):379–84.
- 11. Gordin A, Golz A, Daitzchman M, Keidar Z, Bar-Shalom R, Kuten A, et al. Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging in patients with carcinoma of the nasopharynx: diagnostic accuracy and impact on clinical management. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;68(2):370–6.
- Adams S, Baum RP, Stuckensen T, Bitter K, Hor G. Prospective comparison of 18F-FDG PET with conventional imaging modalities (CT, MRI, US) in lymph node staging of head and neck cancer. Eur J Nucl Med. 1998;25(9):1255–60.
- Braams JW, Pruim J, Freling NJ, Nikkels PG, Roodenburg JL, Boering G, et al. Detection of lymph node metastases of squamous-cell cancer of the head and neck with FDG-PET and MRI. J Nucl Med. 1995;36(2):211–6.
- Chang JT, Chan SC, Yen TC, Liao CT, Lin CY, Lin KJ, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma staging by (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005;62(2):501–7.
- Comoretto M, Balestreri L, Borsatti E, Cimitan M, Franchin G, Lise M. Detection and restaging of residual and/or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma after chemotherapy and radiation therapy: comparison of MR imaging and FDG PET/CT. Radiology. 2008;249(1):203–11.
- Hu WH, Zhang GY, Liu LZ, Wu HB, Li L, Gao YH, et al. Comparison between PET-CT and MRI in diagnosing nodal metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Chin J Cancer. 2005;24(7):855–60.
- Pan JJ, Ng WT, Zong JF, Chan LL, O'Sullivan B, Lin SJ, et al. Proposal for the 8th edition of the AJCC/UICC staging system for nasopharyngeal cancer in the era of intensity-modulated radiotherapy. Cancer. 2016;122(4):546–58.
- Delbeke D, Coleman RE, Guiberteau MJ, Brown ML, Royal HD, Siegel BA, et al. Procedure guideline for tumor imaging with 18F-FDG PET/CT 1.0. J Nucl Med. 2006;47(5):885–95.

- Shen T, Tang LQ, Luo DH, Chen QY, Li PJ, Mai DM, et al. Different prognostic values of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA and maximal standardized uptake value of 18F-FDG PET/CT for nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with recurrence. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(4):e0122756.
- Chan SC, Chang JT, Wang HM, Lin CY, Ng SH, Fan KH, et al. Prediction for distant failure in patients with stage M0 nasopharyngeal carcinoma: the role of standardized uptake value. Oral Oncol. 2009;45(1):52–8.
- Chan WK, Kwong DL, Yeung DW, Huang B, Khong PL. Prognostic impact of standardized uptake value of F-18 FDG PET/CT in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Nucl Med. 2011;36(11):1007–11.
- Lee SW, Nam SY, Im KC, Kim JS, Choi EK, Ahn SD, et al. Prediction of prognosis using standardized uptake value of 2-[(18)F] fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography for nasopharyngeal carcinomas. Radiother Oncol. 2008;87(2):211–6.
- 23. Xie P, Yue JB, Fu Z, Feng R, Yu JM. Prognostic value of 18F-FDG PET/ CT before and after radiotherapy for locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2010;21(5):1078–82.
- 24. Xie P, Yue JB, Zhao HX, Sun XD, Kong L, Fu Z, et al. Prognostic value of 18F-FDG PET-CT metabolic index for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2010;136(6):883–9.
- Lonneux M, Hamoir M, Reychler H, Maingon P, Duvillard C, Calais G, et al. Positron emission tomography with [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose improves staging and patient management in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a multicenter prospective study. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(7):1190–5.
- 26. Tauzin M, Rabalais A, Hagan JL, Wood CG, Ferris RL, Walvekar RR. PET-CT staging of the neck in cancers of the oropharynx: patterns of regional and retropharyngeal nodal metastasis. World J Surg Oncol. 2010;8:70.
- Al-Ibraheem A, Buck A, Krause BJ, Scheidhauer K, Schwaiger M. Clinical Applications of FDG PET and PET/CT in Head and Neck Cancer. J Oncol. 2009;2009:208725.
- Peng H, Chen L, Guo R, Zhang Y, Li WF, Mao YP, et al. Clinical treatment considerations in the intensity-modulated radiotherapy era for patients with N0-category nasopharyngeal carcinoma and enlarged neck lymph nodes. Chin J Cancer. 2017;36(1):32.
- Xu P, Min Y, Blanchard P, Feng M, Zhang P, Luo Y, et al. Incidence of small lymph node metastases in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: clinical implications for prognosis and treatment. Head Neck. 2017;39(2):305–10.
- Mao YP, Liang SB, Liu LZ, Chen Y, Sun Y, Tang LL, et al. The N staging system in nasopharyngeal carcinoma with radiation therapy oncology group guidelines for lymph node levels based on magnetic resonance imaging. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(22):7497–503.
- Lee AW, Foo W, Law SC, Poon YF, Sze WM, Tung SY, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma: presenting symptoms and duration before diagnosis. Hong Kong Med J. 1997;3(4):355–61.
- Liu LZ, Zhang GY, Xie CM, Liu XW, Cui CY, Li L. Magnetic resonance imaging of retropharyngeal lymph node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: patterns of spread. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;66(3):721–30.
- Tang L, Li L, Mao Y, Liu L, Liang S, Chen Y, et al. Retropharyngeal lymph node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma detected by magnetic resonance imaging: prognostic value and staging categories. Cancer. 2008;113(2):347–54.
- 34. Schroeder U, Dietlein M, Wittekindt C, Ortmann M, Stuetzer H, Vent J, et al. Is there a need for positron emission tomography imaging to stage the N0 neck in T1-T2 squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity or oropharynx? Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2008;117(11):854–63.
- Sironi S, Buda A, Picchio M, Perego P, Moreni R, Pellegrino A, et al. Lymph node metastasis in patients with clinical early-stage cervical cancer: detection with integrated FDG PET/CT. Radiology. 2006;238(1):272–9.