

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 June 30.

Published in final edited form as: *Biochemistry*. 2009 June 30; 48(25): 5782–5784. doi:10.1021/bi900781u.

Significantly improved sensitivity of Q-band PELDOR/DEER experiments relative to X-band is observed in measuring the intercoil distance of a leucine zipper motif peptide (GCN4-LZ)

Harishchandra Ghimire¹, Robert M. McCarrick¹, David E. Budil², and Gary A. Lorigan^{*,1} ¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056

²Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115

Abstract

Pulsed Electron Double Resonance (PELDOR)/Double Electron-Electron Resonance (DEER) spectroscopy is a very powerful structural biology tool in which the dipolar coupling between two unpaired electron spins (site-directed nitroxide spin labels) is measured. These measurements are typically conducted at X-band (9.4 GHz) microwave excitation using the 4-pulse DEER sequence and can often require up to 12+ hours of signal averaging for biological samples (depending upon spin label concentration). In this rapid report, we present for the first time, a substantial increase in DEER sensitivity obtained by collecting DEER spectra at Q-band (34 GHz), when compared to X-band. The huge boost in sensitivity (factor of 13) demonstrated at Q-band represents 169-fold decrease in data-collection time, reveals greatly improved frequency spectrum, higher quality distance data, and significantly increases sample throughput. Thus, the availability of Q-band DEER spectroscopy should have a major impact on structural biology studies using site-directed spin labeling EPR techniques.

Pulsed Electron Double Resonance (PELDOR)/Double Electron-Electron Resonance (DEER) spectroscopy is a rapidly emerging, powerful structural biology technique in which the dipolar coupling between two unpaired electron spins (usually site-directed nitroxide spin labels) is measured(1-3). The strength of the dipolar coupling can then be used to determine the distance between the two spins in the range of 2-8 nm(4-9). This allows researchers to gain valuable structural information from samples in which other techniques like solution NMR or X-ray crystallography prove difficult or impossible(10-12). These measurements are typically conducted with X-band (9.4 GHz) microwave excitation using the 4-pulse DEER sequence and can often require up to 12+ hours of signal averaging (depending upon concentration) for typical biological samples. In this communication, we report for the first time a substantial increase in sensitivity, that is obtained by collecting DEER spectra using a pulse Q-band (34 GHz) EPR spectrometer. The huge boost in sensitivity at Q-band reveals higher quality data and significantly reduces data acquisition time.

For this study, an α -helical coiled coil peptide with a Leucine Zipper (LZ) motif (residues 245-281) of the yeast transcriptional activator GCN4(13,14) (PDB entry 1YSA) was used as a model. The peptide was synthesized on a solid-state peptide synthesizer with a single TOAC nitroxide spin label at position 248 with Gln \rightarrow TOAC substitution for the distance measurements between the two monomers. The TOAC(15-19) spin label was chosen over the traditional MTSL (1,14) to eliminate disproportionation of the two label disulfide bonds to

^{*} To whom correspondence is to be addressed: Gary A. Lorigan Email: garylorigan@muohio.edu, Tel: 513-529-3338, Fax: 513-529-5715.

form a linked peptide dimer, and to avoid the motional flexibility of the MTSL nitroxide group for more accurate inter-coil backbone distance measurements. In previously published results, the distance between two spin labels as determined via DEER studies matches the predicted distance from the X-ray crystal structure (13,20).

Samples for DEER measurements were prepared at a concentration of 200 μ M peptide in phosphate buffer consisting of 40 mM potassium phosphate, 50 mM NaCl and 30% sucrose which was added as a cryoprotectant. at pH 7.0. The data were collected at the Ohio Advanced EPR Laboratory using the newly installed Bruker ELEXSYS E580 spectrometer equipped with a SuperQ-FT pulse Q-band system and EN5107D2 resonator. The electron spin echo-detected EPR spectrum of the doubly labeled GCN4 peptide is shown in Figure 1. The high signal-to-noise was achieved in a single scan with 200 averaged echoes per data point (100 each with a 2-step phase cycling).

Figure 2 shows the DEER data sets collected with 10, 100, and 1000 scans at both X- and Qbands scaled for noise level comparisons. It is immediately obvious that the data collected at Q-band shows a much higher signal-to-noise ratio. The signal enhancement at Q-band was measured to be approximately 13 fold. The S/N boost is quite remarkable considering that the greatly reduced sample volumes used in the Q-band experiments (5 μ L vs 20 μ L for X-band). We have observed comparable S/N enhancements on MTSL proteins at Q-band experiments (data not shown). The enhanced sensitivity observed at Q-band stems from a increase in the Boltzmann population difference between the spin states at the higher Zeeman energy, which contributes a factor of about four, and increased sensitivity of the instrument/resonator at the higher frequency (21,22), (Peter Höfer, personal communication).

Figure 3 shows Pake patterns in the frequency domain and the corresponding distance distributions obtained at X-band (Figs. 3A and 3B) and Q-band (Figs. 3C and 3D). The increased sensitivity in the time domain translates directly into an improvement in the frequency spectrum and a more accurate distance distribution plot. The spectra were analyzed using Matlab and the DeerAnalysis2008 package provided by the Jeschke laboratory(23,24). For the Q-band data, the complete Pake pattern is very well resolved; in addition, small inflections corresponding to different subpopulations of the distance distribution are clearly resolved above the noise level. The main peak in the Q-band distance distribution is better resolved (full-width at half-height, 1.85 nm), yielding a distance of 2.32 nm. In contrast, a relatively broader peak (full-width at half-height, 2.55 nm), corresponding to a distance of 2.27 nm is observed at X-band.

The improved sensitivity observed at Q-band will enable low frequency components to be more easily detected out of the noise level. Thus, DEER data can be collected for longer pulse delay times, enabling measurement of weaker dipolar couplings (longer distances), resulting in better L-curves for more accurate distance measurements.

Over the last few years, one of the biggest technological breakthroughs in solution NMR spectroscopy and structural biology has been the development of the cryoprobe technology, which increases the S/N in NMR spectra by 3 to 4 depending on the amount of the salt content (25). This has significantly increased the productivity in solution NMR labs by cutting down signal acquisition time and increased sample throughput by 9 to 16 fold. In a much more dramatic fashion, the 13× boost in sensitivity for the Q-band DEER measurements shown in this work theoretically represents 169 fold decrease in data acquisition time. It is apparent from Figure 2 that the data collected with 1000 scans at X-band are comparable to the data collected with only 10 scans at Q-band. Thus, research labs conducting DEER experiments at Q-band will be able to analyze multiple biological samples in a single day, which will dramatically improve productivity. The remarkable boost in sensitivity at Q-band will also enable

researchers to conduct experiments at much smaller, more biologically relevant sample concentrations.

In conclusion, we have clearly demonstrated a significant boost in the sensitivity of DEER spectroscopy at Q-band when compared to X-band. Considering the overall improvement in the S/N ratio, sample volumes requirement ($\approx 4-5 \ \mu L$ at Q-band vs $\approx 20 \ \mu L$ at X-band), the signal averaging time, and ease of data analysis, conducting DEER experiments at Q-band is much more advantageous for measuring SL-SL distances in proteins/peptides than the X-band counterpart. The availability of Q-band DEER can thus be expected to have a major impact on structural biology studies based on site-directed spin labeling.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by NIGMS/NIH (GM60259-01), Army CDMRP grant W81XWH-06-1-0551 and the NSF (CHE-0645709 and MRI-0722403). We would also like to thank Ralph Weber and Peter Höfer from Bruker Biospin for critical discussion.

REFERENCES

- Altenbach C, Kusnetzow AK, Ernst OP, Hofmann KP, Hubbell WL. High-resolution distance mapping in rhodopsin reveals the pattern of helix movement due to activation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008;105:7439–7444. [PubMed: 18490656]
- 2. Kim M, Xu Q, Murray D, Cafiso DS. Solutes alter the conformation of the ligand binding loops in outer membrane transporters. Biochemistry 2008;47:670–679. [PubMed: 18092811]
- Galiano L, Ding F, Veloro AM, Blackburn ME, Simmerling C, Fanucci GE. Drug Pressure Selected Mutations in HIV-1 Protease Alter Flap Conformations. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:430–431. [PubMed: 19140783]
- Pannier M, Veit S, Godt A, Jeschke G, Spiess HW. Dead-time free measurement of dipole-dipole interactions between electron spins. J. Magn. Reson 2000;142:331–340. [PubMed: 10648151]
- 5. Jeschke, G.; Pannier, M.; Spiess, HW. Biological Magnetic Resonance. Plenum Press; New York: 2000. Distance Measurements in Biological Systems by EPR; p. 493-512.
- Bode BE, Margraf D, Plackmeyer J, Durner G, Prisner TF, Schiemann O. Counting the monomers in nanometer-sized oligomers by pulsed electron - Electron double resonance. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:6736–6745. [PubMed: 17487970]
- Pornsuwan S, Bird G, Schafmeister CE, Saxena S. Flexibility and lengths of bis-peptide nanostructures by electron spin resonance. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:3876–3877. [PubMed: 16551072]
- Hanson SM, Dawson ES, Francis DJ, Van Eps N, Klug CS, Hubbell WL, Meiler J, Gurevich VV. A model for the solution structure of the rod arrestin tetramer. Structure 2008;16:924–934. [PubMed: 18547524]
- Milov AD, Tsvetkov YD, Formaggio F, Crisma M, Toniolo C, Raap J. Self-assembling properties of membrane-modifying peptides studied by PELDOR and CW-ESR spectroscopies. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000;122:3843–3848.
- Zhou Z, DeSensi SC, Stein RA, Brandon S, Song L, Cobb CE, Hustedt EJ, Beth AH. Structure of the cytoplasmic domain of erythrocyte band 3 hereditary spherocytosis variant P327R: Band 3 Tuscaloosa. Biochemistry 2007;46:10248–10257. [PubMed: 17696498]
- Sen KI, Logan TM, Fajer PG. Protein dynamics and monomer-monomer interactions in AntR activation by electron paramagnetic resonance and double electron-electron resonance. Biochemistry 2007;46:11639–11649. [PubMed: 17880108]
- McHaourab HS, Mishra S, Koteiche HA, Amadi SH. Role of sequence bias in the topology of the multidrug transporter EmrE. Biochemistry 2008;47:7980–7982. [PubMed: 18616286]
- Ellenberger TE, Brandl CJ, Struhl K, Harrison SC. The GCN4 Basic Region Leucine Zipper Binds DNA as a Dimer of Uninterrupted alpha-Helices: Crystal-Structure of the Protein-DNA Complex. Cell 1992;71:1223–1237. [PubMed: 1473154]

Ghimire et al.

- Hanson P, Anderson DJ, Martinez G, Millhauser G, Formaggio F, Crisma M, Toniolo C, Vita C. Electron spin resonance and structural analysis of water soluble, alanine-rich peptides incorporating TOAC. Mol. Phys 1998;95:957–966.
- Inbaraj JJ, Cardon TB, Laryukhin M, Grosser SM, Lorigan GA. Determining the topology of integral membrane peptides using EPR spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:9549–9554. [PubMed: 16848493]
- Traaseth NJ, Verardi R, Torgersen KD, Karim CB, Thomas DD, Veglia G. Spectroscopic validation of the pentameric structure of phospholarnban. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2007;104:14676–14681. [PubMed: 17804809]
- Inbaraj JJ, Laryukhin M, Lorigan GA. Determining the helical tilt angle of a transmembrane helix in mechanically aligned lipid bilayers using EPR spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:7710– 7711. [PubMed: 17539638]
- Mayo DJ, Inbaraj JJ, Subbaraman N, Grosser SM, Chan CA, Lorigan GA. Comparing the structural topology of integral and peripheral membrane proteins utilizing electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:9656–9657. [PubMed: 18598031]
- Gulla SV, Sharma G, Borbat P, Freed JH, Ghimire H, Benedikt MR, Holt NL, Lorigan GA, Rege K, Mavroidis C, Budil DE. Molecular-Scale Force Measurement in a Coiled-Coil Peptide Dimer by Electron Spin Resonance. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009;131:5374–5375.
- Prisner T, Rohrer M, MacMillan F. Pulsed EPR spectroscopy: Biological applications. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem 2001;52:279–313. [PubMed: 11326067]
- 22. Schweiger, A.; Jeschke, G. Principles of pulse electron paramagnetic resonance. Oxford University Press; New York: 2001. Multi-Frequency EPR; p. 489-500.
- Jeschke G, Chechik V, Ionita P, Godt A, Zimmermann H, Banham J, Timmel CR, Hilger D, Jung H. DeerAnalysis2006 - a comprehensive software package for analyzing pulsed ELDOR data. Appl. Magn. Reson 2006;30:473–498.
- 24. Chiang YW, Borbat PP, Freed JH. The determination of pair distance distributions by pulsed ESR using Tikhonov regularization. J. Magn. Reson 2005;172:279–295. [PubMed: 15649755]
- 25. Goger MJ, McDonnell JM, Cowburn D. Using cryoprobes to decrease acquisition times of tripleresonance experiments used for protein resonance assignments. Spectroscopy 2003;17:161–167.



Figure 1.

Electron spin echo detected EPR spectrum of GCN4 peptide at Q-band. Instrument conditions: microwave frequency, 34.186 GHz, pulse widths, 16/32 ns; τ , 200 ns; shot repetition time, 500 μ s, echoes/point, 100, phase cycling, 2-step; scans, 1; temperature, 80 K.

Ghimire et al.

Page 6

Refocused echo intensity



Figure 2.

DEER comparison of the signal to noise (S/N) ratio of the refocused echo intensity at X-band (red traces) and Q-band (blue traces) for (A) 10 scans; 2 min, 40 sec, (B) 100 scans; 27 min and (C) 1000 scans; 4 hr, 27 min. X-band instrument conditions: probe frequency, 9.265 GHz; pump frequency, 9.200 GHz; probe pulse width, 16/32 ns; pump pulse width, 36 ns; τ , 200 ns; shot repetition time, 500 µs; echoes/point, 100; phase cycling, 2-step; temperature, 80 K. Q-band instrument conditions: probe frequency, 34.186 GHz; pump frequency, 34.248 GHz; probe pulse width, 20/40 ns; pump pulse width, 44 ns; τ , 200 ns; shot repetition time, 500 µs; echoes/point, 100; phase Cycling, 2-step; temperature, 80 K.



Figure 3.

Frequency domain and distance distribution at X-band (Figs. 3A and 3B) and Q-band (Figs. 3C and 3D). X-band: zero time, 108 ns; phase, 30.4; background, 263 ns; regularization parameter 1; distance 2.27 nm; Q-band: zero time, 115 ns; Phase, 4.7; background, 348 ns; regularization parameter, 0.001; distance 2.32 nm. The simulations are shown in black.

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 June 30.