#### nature aging

Letter

# Signs of immunosenescence correlate with poor outcome of mRNA COVID-19 vaccination in older adults

Received: 2 March 2022

Accepted: 2 September 2022

Published online: 14 October 2022

Check for updates

Miguel Ángel Palacios-Pedrero <sup>16</sup>, Janina M. Jansen<sup>16</sup>, Cornelia Blume<sup>2</sup>, Nils Stanislawski <sup>3</sup>, Rebecca Jonczyk<sup>2</sup>, Antonia Molle<sup>1</sup>, Mariana Gonzalez Hernandez <sup>1</sup>, Franziska K. Kaiser <sup>1</sup>, Klaus Jung<sup>4</sup>, Albert D. M. E. Osterhaus<sup>1,5</sup>, Guus F. Rimmelzwaan <sup>1</sup> and Giulietta Saletti <sup>1</sup>

Vaccination against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is effective in preventing COVID-19 hospitalization and fatal outcome. However, several studies indicated that there is reduced vaccine effectiveness among older individuals, which is correlated with their general health status<sup>1,2</sup>. How and to what extent age-related immunological defects are responsible for the suboptimal vaccine responses observed in older individuals receiving SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA vaccine, is unclear and not fully investigated<sup>1,3-5</sup>. In this observational study, we investigated adaptive immune responses in adults of various ages (22-99 years old) receiving 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. Vaccine-induced Spike-specific antibody, and T and memory B cell responses decreased with increasing age. These responses positively correlated with the percentages of peripheral naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and negatively with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing signs of immunosenescence. Older adults displayed a preferred T cell response to the S2 region of the Spike protein, which is relatively conserved and a target for cross-reactive T cells induced by human 'common cold' coronaviruses. Memory T cell responses to influenza virus were not affected by age-related changes, nor the SARS-CoV-2-specific response induced by infection. Collectively, we identified signs of immunosenescence correlating with the outcome of vaccination against a new viral antigen to which older adults are immunologically naïve. This knowledge is important for the management of COVID-19 infections in older adults.

Sixty-six individuals (median age 54; range 22–95) with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection or related symptoms (hereafter 'unexposed') were recruited for this study and blood was drawn between 42 and 81 d (median 44 d) post-first vaccination. PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals (hereafter 'exposed') (n = 49; median age 54 years;

range 22–99) were also included (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2)<sup>6</sup>. Although minimal correlates of protection to COVID-19 have not been established so far, vaccine-induced virus-neutralizing antibodies have been implied in protection against infection<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, we first measured SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies (VN) in our study participants.

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. 🖂 e-mail: guus.rimmelzwaan@tiho-hannover.de; giulietta.saletti@tiho-hannover.de

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00292-y

As previously reported, we found an age-dependent decrease of vaccineinduced neutralizing antibodies (r = -0.579; P < 0.0001) with significantly lower titers in older adults ( $\geq 66$  years) compared to young (22–40) years; P < 0.0001) and middle-aged (41–65 years; P < 0.01) individuals (Fig. 1a,b). A similar correlation was found with the frequency of Spikespecific IgG memory B cells (MBCs) and total number of IgG MBCs, measured in a subset of individuals (22-40, 14 out of 23; 41-65, 21 out of 25;  $\geq$ 66, 13 out of 18) (Fig. 1c,d). We could not detect Spike-specific IgA MBCs, perhaps because of their low frequency in peripheral blood below the detection limit of our assay. Overall, we observed reduced induction of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies and MBCs in older adults, as the possible consequence of age-related changes affecting B cells<sup>8</sup>. In the absence of protective antibodies, SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells may afford some protection against disease progression and severity. which may be important for older individuals who fail to develop VN antibodies9-11. Nevertheless, like B cells, T cells also undergo age-related alterations. Thus, we investigated whether the magnitude and quality of the SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses were also affected by aging. To this end, we measured SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses by stimulating peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) with pools of overlapping peptides spanning the SARS-CoV-2S1 and S2 subunits of the Spike protein (homologous to the vaccine strain) using an ex vivo interferon-y (IFN-y) enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISpot) assay. In line with the B cell responses, the frequency of Spike-specific T cells declined with increasing age (r = -0.435, P = 0.0003) and Charlson Comorbidity Index (r = -0.417, P = 0.0005) (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 1a). No association was found between the magnitude of the Spike-specific response and time postvaccination (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Comparison of the response between age groups showed that the overall Spike-specific T cell response in individuals aged over 66 was significantly lower than in the young age group (P < 0.01) and, to a lesser extent (P < 0.05), between middle-aged and older individuals (41–65 versus  $\geq$ 66 years) (Fig. 1f). Consequently, the proportion of nonresponders in the  $\geq$ 66 vaccinees was higher than in the other two age groups (27.8 versus 8 versus 4.3%) (Fig. 1g). No significant difference was found between young and middle-aged individuals, indicating that impairment of the vaccine-induced immune response mainly affects older adults.

Importantly, the reduced response in individuals over 66 is most likely not due to a general defect of T cell functionality since all individuals, regardless of their age, responded equally well to stimulation with influenza virus antigens and a CD3 antibody (positive control) (Extended Data Fig. 1c,d). Moreover, the Spike-specific response in SARS-CoV-2-exposed individuals was not affected by age (Extended Data Fig. 1e). These findings suggest that age impacts the magnitude of the mRNA vaccine-elicited T cell response but does not affect preexisting memory T cells, like those specific to the influenza virus.

Most (58 out of 66, 87.9%) unexposed vaccinees mounted a T cell response to the Spike peptide pools (S1 and S2), although with a considerable heterogeneity in magnitude (17-510 spot-forming cells (SFCs) per 10<sup>6</sup> PBMCs). In contrast, a low frequency of nucleocapsid and membrane protein-specific T cells was seen in 21.5% (14 out of 65) and 10.6% (7 out of 66) of the vaccinees, respectively (Fig. 1h). Only one individual with no measurable response to Spike and nucleocapsid peptide pools had a high frequency of membrane-specific T cells (114 SFCs per 106 PBMCs). SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and membrane antigens (not contained in the vaccine) have high sequence homology with those of seasonal human coronaviruses (HCoVs) and cross-reactive T cells to these two antigens have been reported in several studies, although their role in protection against infection is still unresolved<sup>12-15</sup>. Of note, their numbers inversely correlate with age<sup>16</sup>. As expected, most of the exposed individuals showed a response to all tested antigens: Spike 89.8% (44 out of 49); membrane, 61.2% (30 out of 49); and nucleocapsid 81.6% (40 out of 49) (Extended Data Fig. 1f).

It has been shown that the mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine induces Spike-specific CD4 $^+$  and CD8 $^+$ T cells<sup>17</sup>. Because the ELISpot assay does

not allow identification of T cell subsets, we utilized intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) and flow cytometry to further characterize the responding cells. PBMCs were stimulated with the Spike peptide pool and CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD3<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> non-naïve T cells were analyzed for the production of IFN-v, interleukin-2 (IL-2) or tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  $(TNF-\alpha)$ . The gating strategy is depicted and representative examples of ICS of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> cells after stimulation with Spike peptides are shown in Extended Data Fig. 2a,b. IFN-y-producing CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were detected on mRNA vaccination and, in agreement with the results obtained with the IFN-y ELISpot assay, although with a higher magnitude when measured by ICS, the Spike-specific response was significantly lower in older adults, compared to young adults (CD4<sup>+</sup> P < 0.0001; CD8<sup>+</sup> P < 0.05) and middle-aged individuals (CD4<sup>+</sup> P < 0.05; CD8<sup>+</sup> P < 0.01) (Fig. 2a). Younger adults displayed a statistically significant higher frequency of Spike-specific CD4<sup>+</sup>IFN-y<sup>+</sup> cells than middle-aged adults (P < 0.05), which was not observed for CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Similarly, age-dependent differences were found for IL-2<sup>+</sup> (22-40 versus ≥66, P < 0.001; 41–65 versus ≥66, P < 0.05; 22–40 versus 41–65, not significant) and TNF- $\alpha^+$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (22–40 versus  $\geq 66$ , P < 0.05; 41–65 versus  $\geq$ 66, and 22–40 versus 41–65 not significant) (Fig. 2a). No differences were found between age groups in relation to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  since IFN- $\gamma$  dominates the Spikespecific response in vaccinees (Fig. 2a). Noteworthy, comparison of individuals aged 41-65 and ≥66 years exposed to SARS-CoV-2 showed no age-dependent differences in Spike-specific non-naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing IFN-γ, IL-2 or TNF-α (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b). Due to the small numbers, we could not include young adults in this comparison. PBMCs from vaccinated older individuals stimulated via CD3 engagement showed similar CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell responses to those detected in 22-40- and 41-65-year-old individuals (Extended Data Fig. 3c). The frequency of IFN-y-producing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in response to CD3 increased with age (22-40 versus  $\geq$ 66, P < 0.01; 41-65 versus  $\geq$ 66, P < 0.01), but not those producing IL-2 (41–65 versus  $\geq$ 66, P < 0.05) (Extended Data Fig. 3d).

In line with these findings, we also observed an age-dependent reduction of CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells producing two (IL-2<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma^+$ , TNF- $\alpha^+$ IFN- $\gamma^+$  and IL-2<sup>+</sup>TNF- $\alpha^+$ ) and three cytokines (IFN- $\gamma^+$ , IL-2<sup>+</sup>, TNF- $\alpha^+$ ) in response to Spike peptide stimulation, with older individuals showing a remarkable decrease of those polyfunctional T cells compared to young adults (67.4%) and the middle-aged group (50.8%) (Fig. 2b). Of interest, a reduction of CD4<sup>+</sup> polyfunctional T cells could already be observed in middle-aged individuals compared to young adults (33.7%, 41-65 versus 22-40 years) (Fig. 2b). In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 infection induced polyfunctional CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in all age groups, although to a slightly lower extent in older adults (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, the frequency of polyfunctional CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was similar in vaccinated (0.086%) and SARS-CoV-2-infected (0.089%) younger adults indicating that infected and vaccinated individuals were otherwise comparable (Fig. 2b,c). We investigated the differentiation stage of the non-naïve Spike-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in vaccinees and found an age-dependent redistribution of these cells within the central memory T ( $T_{CM}$ ) and effector memory  $T(T_{FM})$  cells (Extended Data Fig. 4). Younger and middle-aged individuals showed a higher percentage of  $T_{EM}$  over  $T_{CM}$  cells, whereas in the group of older adults the frequency of Spike-specific  $T_{CM}$  and  $T_{FM}$  cells was comparable. No differences were found for the effector memory CD45RA<sup>+</sup>T ( $T_{EMRA}$ ) cells.

In summary, these data demonstrated that in older adults, COVID-19 mRNA vaccination elicited a lower frequency of Spike-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells producing cytokines involved in T cell differentiation and proliferation. Furthermore, polyfunctional T cells are involved in protective immunity to virus infections and their low numbers in older adults may contribute to suboptimal protection provided by vaccination in this age group<sup>18</sup>.

Because T cell populations are reshaped during aging we sought to investigate whether the differentiation status of circulating T cells



Fig. 1| Age-dependent reduction of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies, Spike-specific IgG MBCs and T cells in vaccinated individuals. a, Correlation between age of study subjects and serum virus-neutralizing antibody titer (n = 66). b, Serum virus-neutralizing antibody titers in different age groups (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 23; red, 41–65 years, n = 25; gray,  $\geq 66$  years: n = 17). c,d, Frequency of Spike-specific IgG MBCs (c) and number of total IgG MBCs per million of in vitro-expanded PBMCs (d) (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 14; red, 41–65 years, n = 21; gray,  $\geq 66$  years, n = 13). e, Correlation between the age of the study participants and frequency of Spike-specific IFN- $\gamma$ -secreting T cells (n = 66). f, Frequency of IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs after stimulation with Spike in different age groups (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 23; red, 41–65 years, n = 25; gray,  $\geq 66$  years, n = 18). g, Percentage of individuals with Spike-specific response below the cutoff and considered nonresponders. **h**, Frequency of IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs in response to nucleocapsid (65 out of 66), membrane (66 out of 66) and Spike (66 out of 66) proteins of SARS-CoV-2 in unexposed vaccinated individuals (n = 66). The numbers below the graph represent the percentage of responders and nonresponders for each tested antigen (orange, Spike; green, nucleocapsid; blue, membrane). Each dot represents a single study participant and the horizontal lines indicate the medians. The cutoff value for a positive response is defined as described in the Methods. The red line represents the linear regression; a two-tailed Spearman test was used to test the significance (r and P values). P values for age groups comparison were determined by two-tailed Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction; \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001; NS, not significant.

correlated with the magnitude of the immune response induced by mRNA vaccination<sup>6,19</sup>. To this end, we defined four differentiation subsets of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells based on the surface expression of the CD45RA and CCR7 molecules (naïve,  $T_{CM}$ ,  $T_{EM}$  and  $T_{EMRA}$ ) and correlated the proportion of these cells with the frequency of Spike-specific T cells, measured by IFN- $\gamma$ ELISpot. Overall, we found a decrease of naïve and an accumulation of terminally differentiated T cells, as described

previously (Fig. 3a)<sup>6</sup>. This age-dependent reduction of naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, which was more profound in the CD8<sup>+</sup> compartment (CD4<sup>+</sup> r = 0.549, P < 0.0001 upper; CD8<sup>+</sup> r = 0.743, P < 0.0001 lower) (Fig. 3b), correlated with reduced numbers of Spike-specific IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs (CD4<sup>+</sup> r = 0.374, P = 0.0032 and CD8<sup>+</sup> r = 0.454, P = 0.0002) (Fig. 3c). Comparable results were obtained when the IFN- $\gamma$  response was measured on non-naïve T cells by ICS (Extended Data Fig. 5a,b). Comparison of the



Fig. 2| Age-dependent reduction of Spike-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing cytokines in vaccinated individuals detected by intracellular staining. a, The percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> (top) and CD8<sup>+</sup> (bottom) T cells producing cytokines is shown for each age group (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 22; gray,  $\geq 66$  years, n = 16). b, c, Percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells producing multiple cytokines (black, IFN- $\gamma^+$ /IL-2<sup>+</sup>/TNF- $\alpha^+$ ; dark gray, IFN- $\gamma^+$ /IL-2<sup>+</sup>; light gray, IFN- $\gamma^+$ /TNF- $\alpha^+$ ; white, IL-2<sup>+</sup>/TNF- $\alpha^+$ ), in ELISpot responder vaccinated (light

blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 22; gray  $\ge 66$  years, n = 16) (**b**) and SARS-CoV-2-exposed individuals (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 14; red, 41–65 years, n = 19; gray,  $\ge 66$  years, n = 15) (**c**). The Spike-specific response was measured on non-naïve T cells. The gating strategy is depicted in Extended Data Fig. 2. Each dot represents a single donor and the horizontal lines indicate the medians. *P* values were determined by two-tailed Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction; \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.001; \*\*\**P* < 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001.

results obtained in the respective age groups showed an age-dependent association between CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> naïve T cells and the Spike-specific T cell response (Fig. 3d). Especially the loss of naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in individuals aged  $\geq$ 66 was inversely correlated with the vaccine-induced

T cell response. Of note, no correlation was found with the CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>CM</sub> and T<sub>EM</sub> subsets and CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EM</sub> and T<sub>EMRA</sub>, while a higher frequency CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>CM</sub> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>EMRA</sub> in older individuals correlated inversely with the vaccine-induced T cell response (Extended Data Fig. 5c,d). Remarkably,



Fig. 3 | The lower vaccine-induced, Spike-specific T cell response in older adults correlates with a reduced frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> naïve T cells. **a**, CD4<sup>+</sup> (upper) and CD8<sup>+</sup> (lower) T cell differentiation subsets (naïve, black;  $T_{CM'}$  gray;  $T_{EM}$ , orange;  $T_{EMRA'}$  light orange) in vaccinated individuals (n = 60). **b**, Correlation between age and percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> (upper, r = -0.549; P < 0.0001) or CD8<sup>+</sup> (lower, r = -0.743; P < 0.0001) naïve T cells. **c**, Spike-specific

IFN- $\gamma$  response association with percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> (r = 0.374; P = 0.0032) or CD8<sup>+</sup> (r = 0.454, P = 0.003) naïve T cells. **d**, Spike-specific response in correlation with the percentage of naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> (upper) and CD8<sup>+</sup> (lower) T cells for each age group. Each dot represents a single donor. **b**–**d**, A two-tailed Spearman's test was used to the test the significance (r and P values). **b**–**c**, The red line represents the linear regression. The gating strategy is depicted in Extended Data Fig. 2.

the proportion of naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells did not correlate with the frequency of T cells directed against the influenza virus, for which immunological memory exists and can be recalled in vitro<sup>20,21</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 5e,f). There was also no correlation with the Spike-specific responses measured in the exposed individuals (Extended Data Fig. 5g,h). Comparison of the three age groups confirmed that there is an age-dependent inverse correlation between naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and especially CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells on the one hand, and the magnitude of the T cell response measured by IFN- $\gamma$ ELISpot assay on the other. Thus, the lower magnitude of Spike-specific response on COVID-19 mRNA vaccination in older adults correlated with decreased numbers of naïve T cells. This suggests that this subset of T cells may play a role in the COVID-19 vaccination outcome because induction of a primary response to new antigens mainly relies on the activation of naïve T cells<sup>22,23</sup>. Of note, a low frequency of naïve T cells has been associated with more severe COVID-19 disease and impaired priming of naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in older adults<sup>9,24</sup>. Moreover, restricted T cell receptor diversity and altered signaling in naïve T cells may generate a less effective pool of memory cells, which could lead to a suboptimal response, exposing older adults at higher risks of infection and disease severity<sup>19,25,26</sup>.

To unveil other potential age-dependent factors that may affect the response to vaccination in older people, we also characterized



Fig. 4 | Lower vaccine-induced, Spike-specific T cells in older adults correlate with increased frequency of immunosenescent T cells, reduced frequency of  $T_H 1$  and  $T_{FH}$  cells and skewed response to the S2-region of the Spike protein. a-c, Frequency of CD57\*KLRG1\* senescent CD4\* (upper) and CD8\* (lower) T cells for each age group (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 22; gray,  $\geq$ 66 years, n = 16) (a) and their correlation with Spike-specific IFN- $\gamma$  response measured by ELISpot (CD4\*, not significant; CD8\*, r = -0.352, P = 0.0058) (b) or flow cytometry (CD4\*, not significant; CD8\*, r = -0.376, P = 0.003) (c). d, Frequency of circulating  $T_{FH}$  cells in the different age groups (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 22; gray,  $\geq$ 66 years, n = 16), identified based on the expression of CD4\* and the homing receptor CXCRS. e, Frequency

of Spike-specific  $T_{FH}$  cells producing IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$  in vaccinated individuals (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 22; gray,  $\geq 66$  years. n = 16). **f**, Proportion of T cells directed to the S1 (filled circle) or S2 (open circle) region of the Spike protein in IFN- $\gamma$  responder individuals (light blue, 22–40 years, n = 22; red, 41–65 years, n = 23; gray,  $\geq 66$  years, n = 13). Spike-specific response of circulating  $T_{FH}$  cells was analyzed on non-naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. The gating strategy is depicted in Extended Data Fig. 2. Each dot represents a single study individual and the horizontal lines indicate the medians. The red line represents the linear regression and a two-tailed Spearman test was used to the test the significance (r and P values). P values were determined by a two-tailed Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction; \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001. the magnitude and effector functions of terminally differentiated T cells coexpressing the CD57 and KLRG1 molecules, which have been associated with aging<sup>23,27</sup>. Despite a general age-dependent increase of CD4<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 4a, upper panel) and CD8<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 4a, lower panel), T cells coexpressing these senescence markers, only the CD8<sup>+</sup> cells were inversely correlated with the magnitude of the Spike-specific IFN-y T cell response measured by ELISpot (r = -0.352, P = 0.0058; Fig. 4b) and fluorescence-activated cell sorting analyses (r = -0.003; Fig. 4c), although such correlation does not necessarily imply causality. Of note, some younger individuals showed a high proportion of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells coexpressing the senescence markers; interestingly, that correlated with the presence of serum antibodies to CMV (Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). This finding supports the notion that chronic infections, like those caused by cytomegalovirus (CMV), may be responsible for the accumulation of CD57<sup>+</sup>KLRG1<sup>+</sup>T cells, regardless of age. We found that the CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells coexpressing such markers were of the  $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize EM}}$  and  $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize EMRA}}$  phenotype and were similarly distributed between age groups (Extended Data Fig. 6c,d). No correlation was found with the percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD57<sup>+</sup> KLRG1<sup>+</sup> T cells.

Next, since we observed reduced B cell responses (antibodies and memory cells) in older vaccinees, we addressed the question whether alterations of circulating follicular helper T ( $T_{FH}$ ) cells related to reduced antibody responses<sup>28</sup>. Interestingly, the circulating  $T_{FH}$  cells (CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>CXCR5<sup>+</sup>) were numerically similar between the age groups (Fig. 4d). However, when we analyzed the Spike-specific non-naïve circulating  $T_{FH}$  cells, IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-2 production was lower in the  $\geq 66$ group (IFN- $\gamma$ , P < 0.05; IL-2, P < 0.01) compared to that of young adults (Fig. 4e). Of note, measurement of IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  might have underestimated the frequency of the Spike-specific circulating  $T_{FH}$ cells as other markers (for example, ICOS and IL-21) may be expressed by a larger proportion of circulating  $T_{FH}$ .

No differences were found for the TNF- $\alpha$ -producing circulating  $T_{FH}$  cells (Fig. 4e). Of note, a high frequency of  $T_{H}$ 1-like circulating  $T_{FH}$  correlated with strong antibody responses to influenza and other viruses<sup>29-31</sup>.

We then investigated if, apart from the magnitude of the Spikespecific T cell response, age influenced the specificity of the T cell response. To this end, we tested the response to peptide pools spanning the S1 and S2 regions of the Spike protein, respectively. A similar frequency of S2-specific T cells was observed in all age groups (Extended Data Fig. 5i). However, the frequency of S1-specific T cells was significantly lower in older adults compared to young adults (P < 0.05). Moreover, when we calculated the relative contribution of the S1- and S2-specific response in the individuals who displayed a Spike-specific response, we noticed that older adults had a preferred response to the S2 region (P < 0.01) (Fig. 4f). This is in contrast with middle-aged and young adults, who had similar responses to S1 and S2 (Fig. 4f). In the SARS-CoV-2-exposed individuals, no statistically significant difference was observed (Extended Data Fig. 5j). S2 is more conserved than S1 across coronaviruses; therefore, it may represent a target of preexisting cross-reactive memory B and T cells originally induced by previous infections with 'common cold' HCoVs32.

Together with the reduced number of naïve T cells leading to suboptimal responses to a new antigen like the S1 region, this may explain the preferential recognition of the S2 region in older vaccinees<sup>33</sup>.

Collectively, our data provide insights into the age-dependent immunological changes that may account for the reduced B and T cells responses observed in older adults on BNT162b2 vaccination; however, interestingly, this is not the case with the SARS-CoV-2 infection. The different antigenic load, anatomical site of antigen encounter (intramuscular versus mucosal), strength and length of T cell receptor engagement (replicating virus versus mRNA-encoded antigen), multiple viral antigens (SARS-CoV-2) versus mRNA-encoded Spike protein, may all account for the differential responses observed in this study. New vaccine approaches or use of substances able to overcome age-related defects would help to provide optimal protection to this vulnerable age group.

Our data suggest a general age-dependent decrease of the adaptive immune responses on BNT162b2 vaccination. However, our study has some limitations. The study was designed as a large cross-sectional epidemiological study and we have used samples from a subset of study individuals to investigate the SARS-CoV-2-specific immune response on vaccination and infection. Therefore, our cohort is heterogeneous and no correction for age and sex was performed since this would have required a larger sample size. Furthermore, the limited number of PBMCs available precluded the possibility to analyze the additional effector functions and biomarkers of the immune cells possibly associated with aging and immunosenescence. The correlation found between aging and redistribution of the T cell differentiation subsets and suboptimal T and B cell immune responses on vaccination do not imply a direct causality since some of the investigated variables (for example, CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells with senescent phenotype) correlate with age.

#### Methods

#### Study participants and ethics statement

This study complies with all relevant ethical regulations. An ethical approval was given by the 'Aerztekammer Niedersachsen' (Bo/31/2010 amended in November 2021) and written informed consent was obtained from every individual or legal representant.

#### Characteristics of the study cohort and sample collection

A total of 115 participants were enrolled in this study: 66 SARS-CoV-2 with no history of infection received two doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine (Comirnaty; BioNTech/Pfizer); 49 laboratory-confirmed (PCR and antibodies) convalescent individuals with COVID-19 with a mild or asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection were part of a larger prospective epidemiological study, whose data were published previously<sup>7</sup>. The Charlson Comorbidity Index was calculated based on the information collected with a questionnaire at the time of enrollment<sup>34</sup>. Full cohort and demographic information are provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Blood samples were taken once between 21 and 263 d (median 79 d) post-positive PCR and 40-81 d (median 44 days) after the first vaccination (Supplementary Table 1). No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes; nevertheless, our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications<sup>35-38</sup>. Data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the conditions of the experiments. No compensation was provided to participants. Serum was obtained by centrifuging (room temperature) the whole blood at 4,000 r.p.m. for 15 min and stored at -20 °C. Blood samples for cellular analyses were collected into sodium heparin and EDTA tubes (Sarstedt) and PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were frozen in freezing medium containing 90% FCS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich) and stored at -150 °C until further use. Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with GlutaMAX, penicillin/streptomycin, nonessential amino acids, vitamins, sodium pyruvate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 10% heat-inactivated FCS (hereafter R10F) containing 50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>DNase (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for thawing the PBMCs. R10F was used for cell culture and dilution of stimulating reagents. For all samples, cell viability was >85%.

#### Virus neutralization assay

Neutralizing antibodies were evaluated in all the individuals tested as described previously<sup>7</sup>. In brief, inactivated serum samples (56 °C, 30 min) were first diluted 1:10 followed by 1:2 serial dilutions. Then, 50  $\mu$ l of the diluted sera was mixed with 50  $\mu$ l of a SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 dilution with a concentration of 4,000 TCID<sub>50</sub> ml<sup>-1</sup> and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Serum-virus mix was added to a monolayer of Vero cells and further incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 8 h of incubation, cells

were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Then, PFA was removed and cells were incubated for 15 min with 80% methanol. Furthermore, plates were blocked using 1% BSA in PBS-0.05% Tween 20 for 30 min at 37 °C. SARS-CoV-2 was detected using a 1:1,000 dilution of rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (SinoBiological). After 1 h incubation at 37 °C, cells were washed with PBS-0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with a 1:1,000 dilution of anti-rabbit-IgG-Alexa Flour 488 (Invitrogen). Finally, cells were washed twice with PBS-0.05% Tween 20. Fluorescent cells were counted using the CTL S6 Ultimate-V Analyzer and data were analyzed using the CTL ImmunoSpot version 7.0.20.0 software. Neutralizing antibody titers are expressed as the dilution that gave a 50% reduction of stained cells.

#### **Detection of memory B cells by ELISpot**

A total of  $1-2 \times 10^6$  PBMCs were seeded in duplicate in a 48-well plate in the presence of R848 (1  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>; Mabtech) and IL-2 (10 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>; Mabtech) for 72 h at 37 °C and 5% CO2. MultiScreenHTS-HA plates (Merck Millipore) were coated with 100 µl per well of recombinant Spike protein (rS) (SARS-CoV-2 Spike Trimer HEK; Miltenyi Biotec) at 5 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> in sterile PBS. PBS and a mixture of anti-kappa/anti-lambda antibodies (6 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> each; SouthernBiotech) was used as negative or positive controls, respectively. After overnight incubation at 4 °C, the plates were washed 3 times with 200 µl per well of sterile PBS (Gibco) and blocked with 200 µl per well of R10F for at least 30 min at room temperature. Stimulated cells were washed in R10F and seeded at  $2.5 \times 10^5$  in the PBS and rS wells, while 2.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> of the cells was used for the kappa/lambda (k/l) positive control, all in triplicate or duplicate in case of limited number of cells. After 4 h at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, plates were washed 5 times with PBS-Tween 0.05% and IgG-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and IgA-AP detection antibodies (SouthernBiotech) at 1:900 added for 1 h at room temperature. Spots were developed after washing and stepwise addition of substrate solutions for ALP- (BCIP/NBT-plus; Mabtech) and HRP-conjugated antibodies (AEC; Mabtech), as described earlier<sup>39</sup>. Plates were then scanned and antibody-secreting cells counted using the ImmunoSpot S6 Ultimate Reader and ImmunoSpot Software v.7.0.20.0 (ImmunoSpot; CTL). Data were calculated using the mean of replicate wells and expressed as SFCs per million of in vitro expanded PBMCs after subtracting the PBS control values. The percentage of Spike-specific MBCs was calculated by dividing the number of Spikespecific IgG MBCs over total number of IgG MBCs.

#### Synthetic peptides

Two pools containing 158 (S1) and 155 (S2) 15-mers with 11-amino acid overlap peptides (>90% purity) and covering the amino acid residues 1–1,273 of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (protein ID: PODTC2; catalog no. RP30027; GenScript); pepmixes of 15-mer peptides overlapping by 11 amino acid residues covering the nucleocapsid protein (Swiss-Prot ID: PODTC9; JPT) and membrane (GenBank ID: QHO60597.1; catalog no. NR-52403, BEI Resources; GenPept ID: QHO60597) peptide pools of SARS-CoV-2 contained 17-, 13- or 12-mer peptides with 10-amino acid overlaps and spanned the whole protein sequences, were used for the study. All peptides were reconstituted in high-grade DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) and further diluted according to the manufacturer's recommendations (stock solution 50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>). The final concentration of DMSO in culture was below 0.5%.

#### IFN-γ ELISpot assay

Precoated 96-well plates were purchased from Mabtech and the assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, plates were washed with sterile PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and blocked with R10F for at least 1 h. Then,  $2.5 \times 10^5$  (SARS-CoV-2 and influenza) or  $2.5 \times 10^4$  (CD3, positive control) PBMCs per well were stimulated in triplicates with overlapping peptide pools at a concentration of 0.7 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> for SARS-CoV-2 S1, S2 and nucleocapsid or 0.4 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>

for membrane per individual peptide. Influenza vaccine (season 2020–2021; 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> per hemagglutinin; Vaxigrip Tetra Sanofi) and anti-CD3 antibody (1:1,000; Mabtech) were used as controls. In some cases (limited number of PBMCs), the ELISpot was run in duplicate. Negative control comprised equimolar amounts of DMSO. Plates were incubated for 20 h, plates developed and spots counted using the ImmunoSpot S6 Ultimate Reader equipped with the ImmunoSpot Software. The mean spot counts for the DMSO negative control were subtracted from the mean of the SARS-CoV-2 and influenza or CD3-stimulated cells. Data are displayed as SFCs per million of PBMCs. The cutoff response in the test cohort was determined using the mean from all individuals in the DMSO negative control + 2 s.d. A response >15 SFCs per 10<sup>6</sup> PBMCs was considered positive.

#### ICS

A total of  $2 \times 10^6$  PBMCs were stimulated for 20 h at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a 96-well round-bottom plate using a SARS-CoV-2 Spike peptide pool (S1+S2; 0.5 µg per ml peptide) As positive control, cells were stimulated with purified anti-CD3 (0.1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>; BD Biosciences), while an equimolar amount of DMSO was used as the negative control. Costimulatory anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d purified antibodies (1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> each; BD Biosciences) were added to all wells and Brefeldin A (7  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>; Sigma-Aldrich) was included for the last 4 h of incubation. Cells were first washed with PBS, stained for 20 min at room temperature with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Near-IR stain kit (Molecular Probes) followed by 2 washes with PBS. After Fc receptor blocking for 20 min (Fc Block; BD Biosciences) antibodies for surface staining were added and incubated for 20 min (Supplementary Table 3). Next, cells were washed again, fixed/permeabilized using Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After two washes with PBS and Perm/Wash buffer (BD Biosciences), cells were blocked for 20 min with Fc Block; then, antibodies were diluted in Perm/Wash buffer incubated for an additional 20 min (Supplementary Table 3). Cells were washed twice and resuspended in PBS. All incubations were performed at room temperature in the dark. An average of  $3 \times 10^5$  events per sample were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa X-20 (BD Biosciences). Cytometer setup and tracking beads (BD Biosciences) were used to define the baseline performance of the cytometer. Compensation matrix was performed using OneComp eBeads (Invitrogen). All data were analyzed using FlowJo v.10.8.1 (FlowJo LLC). Spike-, influenza- and CD3-specific responses (phenotype and cytokines) were calculated by gating on nonnaïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells; displayed values were subtracted from the DMSO control. The nonantigen-specific T cell phenotype (differentiation markers, senescent and T<sub>FH</sub> cell) was analyzed on DMSO-stimulated PBMCs. Data on double- or triple-producing IFN- $\gamma$ /IL-2/TNF- $\alpha$  cells were obtained using Boolean gating on single cells positive for each cytokine. The gating strategy is shown in Extended Data Fig. 2a.

#### **CMV** serology

Sera samples stored at -20 °C were thawed at room temperature. Dilution and further steps were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (CMV IgG ELISA Kit; Tecan). Briefly, serum samples were diluted 1:100 and added to a 96-well plate precoated with CMV antigens, followed by 1-h incubation at 37 °C. After 3 washes, peroxidase-labeled anti-IgG was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. On washing, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine substrate solution was added to the wells and incubated for 15 min; the color reaction was stopped by adding 0.2 mol l<sup>-1</sup> sulfuric acid. Absorbance was measured at 450/620 nm using a Tecan SPARK Microplate Reader. Data were validated by using negative, positive and cutoff controls, as well as blanks.

#### Statistics and reproducibility

Descriptive and significance statistics and displaying the data were done using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software) and Excel (Microsoft Excel 2016). Statistical analyses were performed using nonparametric tests. Pairwise correlations were determined using two-tailed Spearman tests. Kruskal–Wallis tests, with subsequent Dunn's multiple comparison tests, were used to compare the age groups. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 and \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

Raw.fcs files have been uploaded to the ImmPort repository (https:// www.immport.org/shared/home; access no. SDY1961). Source data for Figs.1–4 and Extended Data Figs.1 and 3–6 are provided with this paper.

#### References

- 1. Collier, D. A. et al. Age-related immune response heterogeneity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2. *Nature* **596**, 417–422 (2021).
- 2. Mazagatos, C. et al. Effectiveness of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 hospitalisations and deaths in elderly long-term care facility residents, Spain, weeks 53 2020 to 13 2021. *Euro Surveill* **26**, 2100452 (2021).
- Demaret, J. et al. Impaired functional T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2 after two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in older people. Front. Immunol. 12, 778679 (2021).
- 4. Pawelec, G. & McElhaney, J. Unanticipated efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in older adults. *Immun. Ageing* **18**, 7 (2021).
- Moline, H. L. et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in preventing hospitalization among adults aged ≥65 years—COVID-NET, 13 States, February–April 2021. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 70, 1088–1093 (2021).
- Jonczyk, R. et al. Combined prospective seroconversion and PCR data of selected cohorts indicate a high rate of subclinical SARS-CoV-2 infections-an open observational study in Lower Saxony, Germany. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **10**, e0151221 (2022).
- Khoury, D. S. et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1205–1211 (2021).
- 8. Palacios-Pedrero, M. Á. et al. Aging and options to halt declining immunity to virus infections. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 681449 (2021).
- 9. Rydyznski Moderbacher, C. et al. Antigen-specific adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in acute COVID-19 and associations with age and disease severity. *Cell* **183**, 996–1012.e19 (2020).
- Tan, A. T. et al. Early induction of functional SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells associates with rapid viral clearance and mild disease in COVID-19 patients. *Cell Rep.* 34, 108728 (2021).
- 11. Apostolidis, S. A. et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in patients with multiple sclerosis on anti-CD20 therapy. *Nat. Med.* **27**, 1990–2001 (2021).
- 12. Loyal, L. et al. Cross-reactive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells enhance SARS-CoV-2 immune responses upon infection and vaccination. *Science* **374**, eabh1823 (2021).
- 13. Anderson, E. M. et al. Seasonal human coronavirus antibodies are boosted upon SARS-CoV-2 infection but not associated with protection. *Cell* **184**, 1858–1864.e10 (2021).
- 14. Kundu, R. et al. Cross-reactive memory T cells associate with protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection in COVID-19 contacts. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 80 (2022).
- Mateus, J. et al. Low-dose mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine generates durable memory enhanced by cross-reactive T cells. *Science* **374**, eabj9853 (2021).
- Saletti, G. et al. Older adults lack SARS CoV-2 cross-reactive T lymphocytes directed to human coronaviruses OC43 and NL63. Sci. Rep. 10, 21447 (2020).
- 17. Sahin, U. et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and  $T_{\rm H}1$  T cell responses. *Nature* **586**, 594–599 (2020).

- Seder, R. A., Darrah, P. A. & Roederer, M. T-cell quality in memory and protection: implications for vaccine design. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 247–258 (2008).
- Nikolich-Žugich, J. The twilight of immunity: emerging concepts in aging of the immune system. *Nat. Immunol.* 19, 10–19 (2018).
- Halbroth, B. R. et al. Superior in vitro stimulation of human CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells by whole virus versus split virus influenza vaccines. *PLoS* ONE **9**, e103392 (2014).
- 21. Tapia-Calle, G. A PBMC-based system to assess human T cell responses to influenza vaccine candidates in vitro. *Vaccines* (*Basel*) **7**, 181 (2019).
- 22. Carrasco, E. et al. The role of T cells in age-related diseases. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **22**, 97–111 (2022).
- 23. Goronzy, J. J. & Weyand, C. M. Mechanisms underlying T cell ageing. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **19**, 573–583 (2019).
- 24. Gallerani, E. et al. Impaired priming of SARS-CoV-2-specific naive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in older subjects. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 693054 (2021).
- Britanova, O. V. et al. Age-related decrease in TCR repertoire diversity measured with deep and normalized sequence profiling. *J. Immunol.* **192**, 2689–2698 (2014).
- Gustafson, C. E., Kim, C., Weyand, C. M. & Goronzy, J. J. Influence of immune aging on vaccine responses. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 145, 1309–1321 (2020).
- 27. Xu, W. & Larbi, A. Markers of T cell senescence in humans. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **18**, 1742 (2017).
- Crotty, S. T follicular helper cell biology: a decade of discovery and diseases. *Immunity* 50, 1132–1148 (2019).
- 29. Koutsakos, M. Circulating TFH cells, serological memory, and tissue compartmentalization shape human influenza-specific B cell immunity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **10**, eaan8405 (2018).
- Liang, H. et al. ZIKV infection induces robust Th1-like Tfh cell and long-term protective antibody responses in immunocompetent mice. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 3859 (2019).
- 31. Baiyegunhi, O. et al. Frequencies of circulating Th1-biased T follicular helper cells in acute HIV-1 infection correlate with the development of HIV-specific antibody responses and lower set point viral load. *J. Virol.* **92**, e00659-18 (2018).
- 32. Moss, P. The T cell immune response against SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Immunol.* **23**, 186–193 (2022).
- Brewer, R. C. et al. BNT162b2 vaccine induces divergent B cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 S1 and S2. *Nat. Immunol.* 23, 33–39 (2022).
- Charlson, M., Szatrowski, T. P., Peterson, J. & Gold, J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 47, 1245–1251 (1994).
- 35. Jiang, X.-L. et al. Lasting antibody and T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 patients three months after infection. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 897 (2021).
- Roukens, A. H. E. et al. Prolonged activation of nasal immune cell populations and development of tissue-resident SARS-CoV-2specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses following COVID-19. *Nat. Immunol.* 23, 23–32 (2022).
- 37. Zhang, W. et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection results in immune responses in the respiratory tract and peripheral blood that suggest mechanisms of disease severity. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 2774 (2022).
- Gao, L. et al. The dichotomous and incomplete adaptive immunity in COVID-19 patients with different disease severity. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 6, 113 (2021).
- Saletti, G., Cuburu, N., Yang, J. S., Dey, A. & Czerkinsky, C. Enzymelinked immunospot assays for direct *ex vivo* measurement of vaccine-induced human humoral immune responses in blood. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 1073–1087 (2013).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation in the framework of the Alexander von Humboldt Professorship endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (M.A.P.-P., J.M.J., A.M. G.F.R. and G.S.); RESIST cluster of excellence (EXC 2155, project no. 390874280; M.A.P.-P., A.D.M.E.O. and G.F.R.); German Research Foundationfunded VIPER program (GRK 2485; J.M.J. and F.K.K.); the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program ISOLDA (grant no. 848166; A.D.M.E.O. and G.F.R.); and Ministry of Science and Culture of Lower Saxony, Germany (14-76103-184 CORONA-15/20; A.D.M.E.O. and M.G.H.). M.A.P.-P. was supported by the Hannover Biomedical Research School and the Center for Infection Biology. The study was financially supported by state funds from the Ministry of Economics of Lower Saxony (C.B., N.S. and R.J.). The sponsor did not exert any influence or make any recommendation as to which groups of people should be tested. The offer of testing was requested by various institutions or groups of persons themselves. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. We thank several PhD students and coworkers at the Institutes for Technical Chemistry and Microelectronic Systems (Leibniz University Hannover, Germany) for their intense work on both PCR and antibody testing, for providing blood samples after vaccination and for enabling the identification of immunized patients as well as technical and organizational support in this project. Furthermore, we thank the medical and dental students from the Medical School Hannover and Göttingen University for their support registering individuals. We thank N. Hoppe and his team for the excellent support of the study's ethical vote and procedures with regard to data protection (Centre of Ethics and Law in the Life Sciences, Leibniz University, Hannover, Germany). The SARS-CoV-2 membrane protein peptide array (Nr-52403) was obtained through the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH).

#### **Author contributions**

M.A.P.-P. and J.M.J. performed most of the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote a draft of the manuscript. A.M. assisted with sample preparation, flow cytometry, IFN- $\gamma$  ELISpot and ELISA assays. N.S., R.J. and C.B. contributed to participant recruitment, SARS-CoV-2 PCR and binding antibody laboratory assays. M.G.H. and F.K.K. performed the virus neutralization assay. K.J. assisted with the statistical analyses. A.D.M.E.O. and C.B. provided valuable discussion. G.S. and G.F.R. contributed to study design, edited the manuscript and led the

research program. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

#### Funding

Open access funding provided by Stiftung Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover (TIHO)

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00292-y.

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00292-y.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Guus F. Rimmelzwaan or Giulietta Saletti.

**Peer review information** *Nature Aging* thanks Jorg Goronzy and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Emerging Infections and Zoonoses, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hanover, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Technical Chemistry, Leibniz University, Hanover, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Microelectronic Systems, Leibniz University, Hanover, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Institute for Animal Breeding and Genetics, Genomics and Bioinformatics of Infectious Diseases, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hanover, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Global Virus Network, Center of Excellence, Buffalo, NY, USA. <sup>6</sup>These authors contributed equally: Miguel Ángel Palacios-Pedrero, Janina M. Jansen. Se-mail: guus.rimmelzwaan@tiho-hannover.de; giulietta.saletti@tiho-hannover.de



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Controls and correlations between spike-specific responses and Charlson Index, days post vaccination or age. (a) Correlation between frequency of S-specific IFN- $\gamma$  response and Charlson co-morbidities index (r = -0.417, P = 0.0005) or (b) days after the first vaccination (not significant). (c) Influenza (light blue, n = 22; red n = 24; grey n = 16) and (d) CD3 (light blue, n = 22; red n = 23; grey n = 18) specific response in vaccinated subjects of various ages. (e) S-specific IFN- $\gamma$  response in SARS-CoV-2 exposed subjects (n = 46) and correlation with age (not significant). (f) Frequency of IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs, in response to Spike (S; orange), Nucleocapsid (Nu; green), and Membrane

(M; blue) proteins in SARS-CoV-2 exposed subjects (n = 49). The numbers in the below the graph represent the percentage of responders (R) and non-responders (NR) for each antigen. The cut-off value for a positive response is defined as described in the Methods section. Each dot represents a single study subject and horizontal lines indicate medians. The red line represents linear regression and two-tailed Spearman's test was used to the test the significance (r and P values). P values were determined by two-tailed Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction; \*\* P < 0.01; ns: not significant; yr: years.



**Extended Data Fig. 2** | **Gating strategy and examples of flow cytometry measurements.** (a) Gating strategy to identify CD4 + and CD8 + T cell phenotype and cytokines production. (b) Representative flow cytometry plots identifying CD4 + (left panel) and CD8 + (right panel) producing IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  in response to S peptides (bottom panel) and DMSO negative control (upper panel). Cytokines (IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) were analyzed on non-naïve (memory) T cells.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | Intracellular cytokines secretion by SARS-CoV-2 exposed or vaccinated subjects upon spike-specific or CD3-specific stimulation, respectively. Intracellular IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  cytokines secretion by (a) CD4 + and (b) CD8 + T cells in response to S stimulation in 41–65 (red; n = 12) and  $\geq$ 66 (grey; n = 9) years SARS-CoV-2 exposed subjects, 50–100 days post SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cytokines production by (c) CD4 + (upper) and (d) CD8 + (lower) T cells from vaccinated subjects and stimulated via CD3

engagement (n = 63). Spike- and CD3-specific responses were analysed on non-naïve T cells. Each dot represents a single study subject and horizontal lines indicate medians. P values were determined by two-tailed Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction (3 age groups comparison) or Mann-Whitney for comparison of two groups; \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.01; ns: not significant; yr: years.



**Extended Data Fig. 4** | **Distribution of the vaccine-induced S-specific CD4** + **T cells among differentiation phenotypes.** Distribution of the vaccine-induced S-specific CD4 + T cells among differentiation phenotypes. Distribution of the vaccine-induced S-specific CD4 + T cells among central memory (CM), effector memory (EM) and effector memory CD45RA + (EMRA) phenotype (22–40 yr, n = 21; 41-65 yr,  $n = 21; \ge 66$  yr, n = 12). S-specific response is determined based on cytokines production on non-naïve CD4 + T cells. Each dot represents a single study subject and horizontal lines indicate medians. P values were determined by two-tailed Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction (3 age groups comparison); \*\* P < 0.01; ns: not significant.

r = 0.3822 P = 0.0026

T cells

CD8 CM CD8 EM

SFCs/10

r = 0.04997 P = 0.7145

T cells

of naive CD8

λ-NJI

-specific



Extended Data Fig. 5 | Correlations between spike- or influenza-specific response and frequency of differentiation subsets, and specificity of the spike response. (a) Correlation between S-specific IFN- $\gamma$  response of non-naïve CD4 + (r = 0.403, P = 0.001; n = 60) or (b) CD8 + T cell (r = 0.382, P = 0.0026; n = 60) with percentage of naïve T cells in vaccines, measured by FACS. Correlation of percentage of (c) CD4 + (n = 60) and (d) CD8 + (n = 60) central memory (CM), effector memory (EM), and effector memory RA + (EMRA) with number of S-specific IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs. Correlation of IFN- $\gamma$  production (SFCs) in response to influenza virus (n = 56) in (e) CD4 + and (f) CD8 + naïve T cells. Correlation of S-specific IFN- $\gamma$  SFCs with (g) CD4 + and (h) CD8 + naïve T cells





**Extended Data Fig. 6** | **Distribution of T cells expressing senescent markers.** Distribution of KLRG1 + CD57 + senescent (a) CD4 + and (b) CD8 + T cells among CMV positive (CMV + ) and negative (CMV-) in 22–40 (CMV + n = 10; CMV- n = 12) and 41–65 (CMV + n = 12; CMV- n = 9) and  $\geq 66$  (CMV + n = 14; CMV- n = 2) years old subjects. (c) Example of FACS contour plot depicting the distribution of the CD57 + KLRG1 + senescent CD8 + T cells (light blue) on total CD8 + T cell differentiation subsets (red). (d) Distribution of the CD8 + senescent cells within the EM (circle) and EMRA (square) differentiation subsets in all age groups (light blue: 22–40 yr, n = 22; red: 41–65 yr, n = 22; grey  $\ge$  66 yr, n = 16). Each dot represents a single study subject and horizontal lines indicate medians. P values were determined by two-tailed Mann-Whitney for comparison of two groups.

# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): G.F. Rimmelzwaan and G. Saletti

Last updated by author(s): Aug 15, 2022

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>			
Data collection	BDFACS Diva software v9.0 (BDBiosciences) was used to collect data from BD Fortessa X20 (BD Biosciences); ImmunoSpot Software (Version 7.0.20.0, ImmunoSpot, CTL) was used to collect data from the ImmunoSpot S6 Ultimate ELISpot reader.		
Data analysis	FlowJo (Version 10.8.1; BD Biosciences); GraphPad Prism 9; ImmunoSpot Software (Version 7.0.20.0, ImmunoSpot); Microsoft Excel v.2016		

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Raw FACS .fcs files have been uploaded in the ImmPort repository (www.https://www.immport.org/shared/home; access code SDY1961). Source data are provided with the paper

# Field-specific reporting

K Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	This is an observational study and samples size represents the number of samples available at the time of the analyses. Therefore, no prior sample size calculation was performed . However, a similar sample size has been used in other publications (Gao, L., Signal Transd. and target therapy 2021, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00525-3; Zhang, W., Nat. Comm. 2022 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30088-y; Roukens, A.H.E., Nature Imm. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-021-01095-w; Yang, X.L., Nature Comm. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21155-x.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded
Replication	T cell measurements by FACS were performed once as limited number of PBMCs for some samples did not allow repetition. IFN-g ELISpot was carried out in triplicate wells or, in some cases (limited number of PBMCs), in duplicate and performed once. SARS-CoV-2 antibody neutralization assay: sera were tested over a wide range of dilution in duplicate.
Randomization	This is an observational study.
Blinding	Donors received vaccination as part of routine health-care. SARS-CoV-2 convalescent subjects were selected from a larger prospective epidemiological study (Jonczyk R. Microbiol Spectr. 2022 Feb 23;10(1):e0151221. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01512-21). Blinding was not relevant to our study because infectious status was laboratory confirmed and vaccination status known.

### Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

N /	ot	hor	
101	eι	ΠUU	72

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	X Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq
	Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
$\times$	Palaeontology and archaeology	$\times$	MRI-based neuroimaging
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms		
	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		
$\boxtimes$	Dual use research of concern		

#### Antibodies

	EACC - while a line (which is a)
Antibodies used	FACS antibodies (stining)
	mouse anti-human PD-1 (BV605), EH12.2H7, Surface 1:15, Biolegend, 329924
	mouse anti-human CCR7 (BV510), 2-L1-A, Surface 1:40, BD, 566760
	mouse anti-human CD45RA (PE-Cy7), HI100, Surface 1:120, BD, 560675
	mouse anti-human CXCR5 (PE), J252D4, Surface 1:10, Biolegend, 356904
	mouse anti-human CD57 (BB515), NK-1, Surface 1:300, BD, 565945
	mouse anti-human CD14 (APC-H7), MoP9, Surface 1:320, BD, 560270
	mouse anti-human CD19 (APC-H7), SJ25C1, Surface 1:80, BD, 560252
	mouse anti-human KLRG-1 (AF647), 13F12F2, Surface 1:70, ThermoFisher, 51-9488-42
	mouse anti-human CD3 (APC-R700), UCH31, Intracellular 1:320, BD, 565120
	mouse anti-human CD4 (PerCP-Cy5.5), RTA-T4, Intracellular 1:40, Biolegend, 300530
	mouse anti-human CD8 (BV711), RTA-T8, Intracellular 1:120, BD, 563677
	mouse anti-human IL-2 (PE-CF594), 5344.111, Intracellular 1:30, BD, 562384
	mouse anti-human IFN-γ (BV421), B27, Intracellular 1:20, BD, 562988
	mouse anti-human TNF- $\alpha$ (BV786), Mab11, Intracellular 1:10, Biolegend, 502948

	Virus neutralization assay
	Rabbit polyclonal anti- SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, 1:1000, SinoBiological, 40588-162
	Anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488, 1:1000, Thermo Fisher, A11008
	Memory B cell ELISpot
	Goat anti-human kappa antibody unlabeled, 6 ug/ml. Southern Biotech, 2060-01
	Goat anti-human lambda antihody unlabeled 6 ug/ml. Southern Biotech. 2070-01
	Goat anti-human IAA- alkalina phashatase dataction antihody 1.900 Southern Biotech 2050-04
	Containternaminaring A ankaine priosphatasse detection antibody, 1:500, 500 term biotech, 2050-04
	Goat anti-numan igg-norse radish peroxidase (HRP)detection antibody, 1:900, Southern Biotech, 2040-05
	Purified antibodies
	Mouse spti-Human CD3_01ug/mL_RD_555336
	Moure anti-luman $CD_2 / CDI d_1 u g / m_1 = D_2 2/7500$
	Mouse anti-numan CD28/CD43u, 1 μg/mi , bD, 347030
Validation	All antibodies were commercially available. Antibody clones were selected based on validation data shown on the manufacturer's website. Specificity of the antibodies to human cells was reported by the manufacturers. Previous titration of antibodies were conducted on PBMCs to establish ontimal antibody working dilutions. Spillover compensation was optimized suppression
	beads (BD ComBeads). Gating process was optimized using FMO controls.

#### Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>			
Cell line source(s)	Vero cells from ATCC (CCL81)		
Authentication	Cell lines were not authenticated		
Mycoplasma contamination	Routinely tested and negative when used for neutralization assay.		
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study.		

#### Human research participants

Policy information about studie	s involving human research participants
Population characteristics	<ol> <li>SARS-CoV-2 Exposed donors (n=49): aged 22-40 (5 male and 10 female), aged 41-65 (6 male and 13 female), ≥66 aged 75-99 (4 male and 11 female)</li> <li>Vaccinated donors (n=66): aged 22-40 (14 male and 9 female), aged 41-65 (9 male and 16 female), ≥66 aged 67-95 (4 male and 14 female)</li> </ol>
Recruitment	Recruitment of participants was performed as described in the open observational epidemiological study "Jonczyk R., et al., Combined Prospective Seroconversion and PCR Data of Selected Cohorts Indicate a High Rate of Subclinical SARS-CoV-2 Infections-an Open Observational Study in Lower Saxony, Germany, 2022, Microbiology spectrum doi: 10.1128/ spectrum.01512-21" All subjects willing to participate were recruited for this study. We are unaware of any-self selection bias that might affect the results of the present study. Study subjects belonged to three different groups located in the southern part of Lower Saxony, Germany: The first group, defined as "education/culture," included employees from universities, theater, schools, administration in and close to Hannover, Germany. The second group, defined as "company," consisted of employees of a biotechnological company in Goettingen, Germany. The third group included both employees and residents of retirement and nursing homes in and close to Hannover (designated as "nursing homes").
Ethics oversight	For these studies the Leibniz University (Hannover) obtained from the "Aerztekammer Niedersachsen" an ethical approval in August 2020 and amended in November 2021 (No. Bo/30/2010; Bo/31/2010; Bo/31/2010). A written informed consent was obtained from every subject, or legal representant.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

#### Flow Cytometry

#### Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

 $\bigwedge$  All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

# nature portfolio | reporting summary

#### Methodology

Sample preparation	Blood samples for cellular analyses were collected into sodium heparin and EDTA tubes (Sarstedt), and Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep (Stemcell), following the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were frozen in freezing medium containing 90 % Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Thermo Fisher) and 10 % dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma Aldrich) and stored at -150 °C, until further use. PBMCs were then thawed and a total of 2x10^6 of PBMCs were stimulated for 20 hours at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in a 96-well round-bottom plate using SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides pool (S1+S2; 0.5 µg/ml/peptide) As positive control, cells were stimulated with purified anti-CD3 (0.1 µg/ml; BD Biosciences), while equimolar amount of DMSO was used as negative control. Co-stimulatory anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d purified antibodies (1 µg/ml each; BD Biosciences) were added to all wells and Brefeldin A (7 µg/ml; Sigma Aldrich) included for the last 4 hours of incubation. Cells were first washed with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), stained for 20 minutes at room temperature with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Near-IR stain kit (Molecular Probes) followed by 2 washes with PBS. After Fc receptor blocking for 20 minutes (Fc Block, BD Biosciences) antibodies for surface staining were added and incubated for 20 minutes. Next, cells were washed again, fixed/permeabilized using Cytofix/Cytoperm solutions (BD Biosciences) following the manufacturer's instruction. After two washes with PBS and Perm/Wash buffer (BD Biosciences), cells were blocked for 20 minutes with FcBlock and then antibodies diluted in Permwash buffer incubated for additional 20 minutes. Cells were washed twice and resuspended in PBS. All incubations were performed at room temperature in the dark. An average of 3x10^5 events per sample were acquired on a BD FortessaX20 (BD Biosciences).
Instrument	BD LSRFortessa™ X-20 Cell Analyzer (BD Biosciences)
Software	All data were analysed using FlowJo (Version 10.8.1; BD Biosciences)
Cell population abundance	Ex vivo analyses, no cell sorting was performed
Gating strategy	Cell population gating was based on the mean fluorescence intensity "minus one" (FMO) controls.
Tick this box to confirm that	at a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.