# SIMPLE GRAPHS WHOSE 2-DISTANCE GRAPHS ARE PATHS OR CYCLES 

ALI AZIMI - MOHAMMAD FARROKHI D. G.

We study all finite simple graphs whose 2-distance graphs have maximum degree 2 .

## 1. Introduction

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space with distance function $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. For each set $D$ of distances, the distance graph $G(X, D)$ is defined as a graph whose vertex set is $X$ and two vertices $x, y \in X$ are adjacent if $d(x, y) \in D$. Even though distance graphs are extensively studied when $X$ is a set of integers (see [1] for instance), but up to our knowledge there is no result when $X$ is the set of vertices of a graph and $d$ is the ordinary distance function between two vertices. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple graph and $n$ be a natural number. Clearly, $G(V(\Gamma),\{1, \ldots, n\})$ is the natural $n$th power of $\Gamma$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph. The $n$-distance graph $\Gamma_{n}$ of $\Gamma$ is a graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ in which two vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_{n}$ if and only if $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=n$. In other words, $\Gamma_{n}:=G(V(\Gamma),\{n\})$.

Concerning $n$-distance graphs the natural question is

[^0]Question 1.2. Which graphs are the $n$-distance graph of some other graphs? In other words, when the equation $X_{n} \cong \Gamma$ has a solution $X$ for a given graph $\Gamma$. If yes, find all the possible solutions of the equation.

Example 1.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with diameter 2. Then $\Gamma_{2}=\Gamma^{c}$, the complement of $\Gamma$. Therefore, $\left(\Gamma^{c}\right)_{2}=\Gamma$, whenever diam $\left(\Gamma^{c}\right)=2$. In particular, if $T$ is a tree that is neither a star nor a double star, then $\left(T^{c}\right)_{2}=T$. As well $\left(C_{2 n+1}^{c}\right)_{2}=C_{2 n+1}$, where $C_{2 n+1}$ is an odd cycle of length $2 n+1 \geq 7$. However, $C_{2 n+1}^{c}$ is not the only solution to the equation $X_{2} \cong C_{2 n+1}$ since $\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)_{2} \cong C_{2 n+1}$.

The aim of this paper is to study the structure of those graphs $\Gamma$ whose 2 -distance graphs have special properties. Indeed, we shall classify all finite simple graphs whose 2 -distance graphs are either paths or cycles. In what follows, all graphs will be finite undirected simple graphs without loops (for some notions on graph theory which does not appear in the paper the reader is referred to [2]).

## 2. Main results

Let us start by recalling the following definitions for graphs. If $\Gamma$ is a graph, $\Delta(\Gamma)$ denotes the maximum degree of its vertices, for every vertex $x$ in $\Gamma, N_{\Gamma}(x)$ is the set of all the neighbors of $x$ and for any subset $X$ of $V(\Gamma), N_{\Gamma}(X)$ denotes the set of all vertices of $V(\Gamma) \backslash X$ adjacent to some vertex of $X$. Clearly, $N_{\Gamma}(\{x\})=$ $N_{\Gamma}(x)$ for all $x$ in $V(\Gamma)$. Finally, $E_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all the edges $\{x, y\}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

We are interested in graphs $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_{2}$ is connected. So, either $V(\Gamma)$ consists of a single vertex or $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 4$.

For such graphs there is the following upper bound for the maximum vertexdegree of $\Gamma_{2}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph such that $\Gamma_{2}$ is connected. If $\Gamma$ is not a single vertex, then $\Delta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \leq|V(\Gamma)|-3$.

Proof. If $\Delta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=|V(\Gamma)|-1$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(x)=|V(\Gamma)|-1$ for some vertex $x$ in $\Gamma$. Thus $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma}(x)=0$ and this is not possible since in $\Gamma_{2}$ there is at least one vertex $y$ adjacent with $x$ and so in $\Gamma$ a path of length 2 from $x$ to $y$. Now, suppose that $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(x)=|V(\Gamma)|-2$ for some $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Then $V(\Gamma) \backslash\left(\{x\} \cup N_{\Gamma_{2}}(x)\right)=\{y\}$ for some $y$, which implies that $N_{\Gamma}(y)=V(\Gamma) \backslash\{y\}$. Thus $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)=0$, which is a contradiction since $\Gamma_{2}$ is connected.

Although there is no graph with $n$ vertices whose 2-distance graph has maximum degree $\geq n-2$, there are infinitely many graphs whose 2 -distance graphs are connected and have maximum degree 2 .

Theorem 2.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph such that $\Gamma_{2}$ is a path. Then either $\Gamma$ is the complement of a path of length $\geq 4$ or it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:
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Proof. Let $x \in V(\Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(x)=1$. Then there exists a unique vertex $y \in$ $V(\Gamma)$ such that $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=2$. Hence $N_{\Gamma}(x) \cap N_{\Gamma}(y) \neq \emptyset$. We proceed in some steps.

Case 1. $N_{\Gamma}(x) \subseteq N_{\Gamma}(y)$. If $N_{\Gamma}(x)=N_{\Gamma}(y)$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)=1$ and the edge $\{x, y\}$ is a connected component of $\Gamma_{2}$ and hence $\Gamma_{2}$ is not connected, a contradiction. Since $\Delta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=2$, we should have $\left|N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x)\right| \leq 2$. If $\left|N_{\Gamma}(x)\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|=2$, then $\Gamma_{2}$ contains a 4-cycle, which is a contradiction. Thus $\left(\left|N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|,\left|N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|\right)=(2,1)$, $(1,1)$, or $(1,2)$. If $\left(\left|N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|, \mid N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash\right.$ $\left.N_{\Gamma}(x) \mid\right)=(2,1)$, then $x$ and its neighbors $a$ and $b$ have degree 1 in $\Gamma_{2}$ when $a, b$ are adjacent and $N_{\Gamma}(y)$ is the set of vertices of a triangle in $\Gamma_{2}$ when $a, b$ are not adjacent, which are both impossible. Now, suppose that $\left(\left|N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|, \mid N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash\right.$ $\left.N_{\Gamma}(x) \mid\right)=(1,1)$. Let $v_{0}=x, v_{1}$ be the vertex adjacent to $x, v_{2}=y$ and $v_{3}$ be the single vertex of $N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x)$. Since $\Gamma_{2}$ is connected, $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(v_{2}\right)=2$ and hence $N_{\Gamma}\left(v_{3}\right) \backslash N_{\Gamma}\left(v_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{4}\right\}$ for some $v_{4} \in V(\Gamma)$. Continuing this way, we obtain
an infinite sequence of vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots$ which determines an infinite path. But then $\Gamma_{2}$ is the union of two disjoint infinite paths, which is a contradiction. Thus $\left(\left|N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|,\left|N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x)\right|\right)=(1,2)$. Then a simple verification shows that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to one of the graphs in Figures 1 or 4 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong P_{6}$ or $P_{7}$, respectively.

Case 2. $N_{\Gamma}(x) \nsubseteq N_{\Gamma}(y)$. Let $A=N_{\Gamma}(x) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(y), B=N_{\Gamma}(x) \cap N_{\Gamma}(y)$ and $C=N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash B$. First assume that $C \neq \emptyset$. Since $C \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(b)$ and $B \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c)$ for all $b \in B$ and $c \in C$, it follows that $|B|,|C| \leq 2$. Hence, $2 \leq|B|+|C| \leq 3$ for otherwise $|B|=|C|=2$ and $B \cup C$ contains a 4-cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$. Let $b \in B$. If $A \nsubseteq N_{\Gamma}(b)$, then $\left(A \backslash N_{\Gamma}(b)\right) \cup C \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(b)$ and so $\left|A \backslash N_{\Gamma}(b)\right|=|C|=1$. Thus, $|C|=2$ implies $|B|=1$ and $A \subseteq N_{\Gamma}(b)$, from which it follows that $A \cup\{x\} \subseteq$ $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$. Thus $A=\{a\}$ is a singleton and $\{a, x, y\}$ is a connected component of $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $C=\{c\}$ is a singleton.

If $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$, then $b_{1}, b_{2}$ are adjacent and

$$
\left(A \cap N_{\Gamma}(B)\right) \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)
$$

which implies that $\left|A \cap N_{\Gamma}(B)\right| \leq 1$. In particular, if $|A|>1$ then there exists $a \in A$ such that $a \notin N_{\Gamma}(B)$, hence $B \cup\{a, c\}$ induces a 4-cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A=\{a\}$ is a singleton. If $a \notin N_{\Gamma}(B)$, then again $B \cup\{a, c\}$ is a 4-cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus $a \in N_{\Gamma}(B)$. If $a \in N_{\Gamma}\left(b_{1}\right) \cap N_{\Gamma}\left(b_{2}\right)$, then $\Gamma_{2}$ is the union of two disjoint paths of length 2 , which is impossible. Thus $a \in N_{\Gamma}(B) \backslash N_{\Gamma}\left(b_{1}\right) \cap N_{\Gamma}\left(b_{2}\right)$ and $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to Figure 5 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong P_{6}$.

If $B=\{b\}$ is a singleton, then since $A \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(b) \cup N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$, it follows that $|A| \leq 2$. If $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$, then $\left|A \cap N_{\Gamma_{2}}(b)\right|=\left|A \cap N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)\right|=1$, and $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are non-adjacent. Hence $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to Figure 1 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong P_{6}$.

If $A=\{a\}$ is a singleton, then we have two possibilities. If $a$ and $b$ are adjacent, then $\{a, x, y\}$ induces a connected component of $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $a$ and $b$ are not adjacent. Since $\Gamma_{2}$ is connected, by putting $v_{0}=a$, $v_{1}=x, v_{2}=b, v_{3}=y$ and $v_{4}=c$, and applying the same argument as in Case 1, one can easily see that $\Gamma$ is an infinite path, which is again a contradiction.

Now suppose that $C=\emptyset$. First suppose that $|B| \leq 2$. If $|B|=1$ then $|A| \leq 3$ and if $|B|=2$ then $|A| \leq 2$ for $\Gamma_{2}$ is acyclic of the maximum degree two. If $|A|=|B|=1$, then $\Gamma_{2}$ is disconnected, which is a contradiction. Hence either $|A|>1$ or $|B|>1$. Sicne $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)=2$ we observe that $E_{\Gamma}(A, B) \subseteq\{\{a, b\}: b \in$ $B\}$ for some $a \in A$. But then $\left|E_{\Gamma}(A, B)\right|=1$ for otherwise $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ and $a$ is adjacent to $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$, form which it follows that $\Gamma_{2}$ is disconnected. Therefore, $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)=\{\{a, b\}\}$ for some $b \in B$, which implies that $E_{\Gamma_{2}}(A, B)=\{\{x, y\}$ : $x \in A, y \in Y\} \backslash\{\{a, b\}\}$. Now, it is easy to see that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graphs drawn in Figures 2, 3, 6 and 7 whenever $(|A|,|B|)=(2,1),(3,1),(1,2)$ or $(2,2)$, respectively. As a result, $\Gamma_{2} \cong P_{5}$ or $P_{6}$.

If $|B| \geq 3$, then by the same argument as before $|A|=1$. Let $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ and assume that $A=\left\{b_{0}\right\}$. Then by a relabeling of the $b_{i}$ if necessary, $b_{i}$ is adjacent to $b_{i+2}, \ldots, b_{m}$. Therefore, $\Gamma$ is the complement of a path of length $m+2$. The complement of $\Gamma$ is drawn in Figure 8 .

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph such that $\Gamma_{2}$ is a cycle. Then $\Gamma$ is an odd cycle of length $\geq 5$, the complement of a cycle of length $\geq 6$ or it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:
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Proof. Let $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(x)=2$, there exist exactly two distinct vertices $y$ and $z$ in $V(\Gamma)$ such that $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=d_{\Gamma}(x, z)=2$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(N_{\Gamma}(x) \cap N_{\Gamma}(y)\right) \backslash C, \\
& B=\left(N_{\Gamma}(x) \cap N_{\Gamma}(z)\right) \backslash C,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=N_{\Gamma}(x) \cap N_{\Gamma}(y) \cap N_{\Gamma}(z, \\
& D=N_{\Gamma}(x) \backslash(A \cup B \cup C), \\
& E=N_{\Gamma}(y) \backslash(A \cup B \cup G), \\
& F=N_{\Gamma}(z) \backslash(A \cup B \cup G), \\
& G=\left(N_{\Gamma}(y) \cap N_{\Gamma}(z)\right) \backslash N_{\Gamma}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider two cases:
Case 1. $y$ and $z$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma$.
If $C \neq \emptyset$ or $G \neq \emptyset$, then $\{x, y, z\}$ induces a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $C=G=\emptyset$. First suppose that $E_{\Gamma}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{a, b\} \in$ $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. If $D \neq \emptyset$, then clearly $E_{\Gamma}(A, D)=E_{\Gamma}(B, D)=\emptyset$ for otherwise either $d_{\Gamma_{2}}(y) \geq 3$ or $d_{\Gamma_{2}}(z) \geq 3$, which is a contradiction. Let $d \in D$. Then $\{x, y, b, d, a, z, x\}$ is a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, which implies that $A=\{a\}$, $B=\{b\}, D=\{d\}$ and $E=F=\emptyset$. Hence $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 8 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$. Now suppose that $D=\emptyset$. If $E=F=\emptyset$, then $(|A|,|B|)=(1,2)$, $(2,1)$ or $(2,2)$. If $(|A|,|B|)=(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$, then $A, B$ are independent sets and $\Gamma$ should be isomorphic to the graph in Figure 9 and $\Gamma \cong C_{6}$. If $(|A|,|B|)=(2,2)$, then clearly $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)=\{\{a, b\}\}$ and $A \cong B \cong K_{2}$, which implies that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 14 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $E \neq \emptyset$ and $F=\emptyset$, then $a$ is adjacent to some vertex $e \in E$, from which it follows that $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)=\{\{a, b\}\}$, $A \cong K_{2}, B=\{b\}$ and $E=\{e\}$. Hence $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 10 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $E=\emptyset$ and $F \neq \emptyset$, then similarly $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 10. If $E, F \neq \emptyset$, then $|A|=|B|=|E|=|F|=1$, which leads to a contradiction.

Second assume that $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)=\emptyset$. If $D \neq \emptyset$, then we may assume that $E_{\Gamma}(B, D) \neq \emptyset$ for otherwise, by symmetry, $E_{\Gamma}(A, D)=E_{\Gamma}(B, D)=\emptyset$ whereas $\{a, b, d\}$ induces a cyclic in $\Gamma_{2}$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and $d \in D$, a contradiction. Let $\{b, d\} \in E_{\Gamma}(B, D)$. If $d$ is adjacent to some vertex $a$ of $A$, then $\{x, y, d, z\}$ induces a cyclic in $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $E_{\Gamma}(A,\{d\})=\emptyset$, which implies that $A \cup\{z\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(d)$, hence $A=\{a\}$ is a singleton. Then $B \cup\{d\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(a)$, from which it follows that $B=\{b\}$ and $E=\emptyset$. Hence there exists a vertex $d^{\prime} \in D$ adjacent to $a$. If $d^{\prime \prime} \in D \backslash\left\{d, d^{\prime}\right\}$, then either $\left\{x, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$ or $\left\{b, d, d^{\prime \prime}\right\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(a)$, which is impossible. Thus $D=\left\{d, d^{\prime}\right\}$. A simple verification shows that $d^{\prime}$ is adjacent to $d$ but not to $b$ and consequently $F=\emptyset$. So $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 13 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$.

Now suppose that $D=\emptyset$. Since $E_{\Gamma_{2}}(A, B)=\{\{a, b\}: a \in A, b \in B\}$, it follows that $|A|,|B| \leq 2$. If $|A|=2$, then since $A \cup F \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(B)$, it follows that $F=\emptyset$ and consequently $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)=\{x\}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A=\{a\}$ and similarly $B=\{b\}$ are singletons. Hence $E=\{e\}$ and $F=\{f\}$ are singleton too. If $e$ and $f$ are adjacent, then $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. Otherwise, it is easy to see
that $N_{\Gamma}(e)=\left\{y, e^{\prime}\right\}$ and $N_{\Gamma}(f)=\left\{z, f^{\prime}\right\}$. Again, if $e^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$ are adjacent, then $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{9}$. Otherwise, we may continue the process in the same way and reach to any odd cyclic of length $\geq 7$. Therefore, $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_{2} \cong C_{2 n+1}$ for some $n \geq 3$.

Case 2. $y$ and $z$ are adjacent in $\Gamma$.
First suppose that $C=\emptyset$. Then $A, B \neq \emptyset$. Since $A \cup E \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)$ and $B \cup F \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$, it follows that $A=\{a\}$ and $B=\{b\}$ are singletons and $E=$ $F=\emptyset$. If $D \neq \emptyset$, then $E_{\Gamma}(A, D)=E_{\Gamma}(B, D)=\emptyset$ for otherwise either $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)>2$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)>2$, which is impossible. Hence $D=\{d\}$ is a singleton and $G=\emptyset$. Thus $a$ and $b$ are adjacent and $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 9 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$. If $D=\emptyset$, then we have two cases. If $E_{\Gamma}(A, B)=\emptyset$, then $G=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_{2} \cong C_{5}$. If $E(A, B) \neq \emptyset$, then $G=\{g\}$ is a singleton. Thus $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 11 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$.

Now, assume that $C \neq \emptyset$ and $c \in C$. If $E \neq \emptyset$ then since $E \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)$, it follows that $E=\{e\}$ is a singleton and $A=\emptyset$. Similarly, if $F \neq \emptyset$ then $F=\{f\}$ is a singleton and $B=\emptyset$. We have three cases:

If $E, F \neq \emptyset$ then since $\{e, f\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c)$, it follows that $G=\emptyset$ and consequently $D=\emptyset$. Hence $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graphs in Figures 8 and 9 according to $e$ and $f$ are adjacent or not, respectively. In both cases $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$.

If $E \neq \emptyset$ and $F=\emptyset$, then since $C \cup\{z\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(e)$, it follows that $C=\{c\}$ is a singleton. If $G \neq \emptyset$ then since $G \cup\{e\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c)$ we should have $G=\{g\}$ is a singleton and consequently $D=\emptyset$, and $e, g$ are adjacent. If $B \neq \emptyset$, then $B \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y) \cap N_{\Gamma_{2}}(g)$, which implies that $B=\{b\}$ is a singleton. Clearly $b, c$ are adjacent. Hence, $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 12 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $B=\emptyset$ then $e$ is adjacent to a vertex $h$ different from $g$, which implies that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 10 and $\Gamma \cong C_{7}$. Now, assume that $G=\emptyset$. If $B \neq \emptyset$ then since $B \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$, we should have $B=\{b\}$ is a singleton. If $b$ and $c$ are not adjacent, then $D=\emptyset$, which implies that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 9 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$. If $b$ and $c$ are adjacent, then since $D \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(b) \cap$ $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c)$, it follows that $D=\{d\}$ is a singleton and $E_{\Gamma}(B, D)=E_{\Gamma}(C, D)=\emptyset$. Hence $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 10 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $B=\emptyset$ then $D \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $d \in D$, which is not adjacent to $c$. If $D \neq\{d\}$ then there exists $d^{\prime} \in D \backslash\{d\}$, which implies that $d^{\prime} \in N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c) \cup N_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)$, which is impossible. Thus $D=\{d\}$ is a singleton. But then $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(d)=\{c\}$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, if $E=\emptyset$ and $F \neq \emptyset$, then the result follows.

Finally, assume that $E, F=\emptyset$. If $G \neq \emptyset$ then since $G \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(C)$, it follows that $|G| \leq 2$ and $|C|+|G| \leq 3$. If $|G|=2$ then $C=\{c\}$ is a singleton and $A \cup B \cup D \subseteq$ $N_{\Gamma}(c)$. Since $G \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(A) \cap N_{\Gamma_{2}}(B)$, we should have $A=B=\emptyset$. If $D \neq \emptyset$ then $\{x, y, d, z\}$ induces a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$ for all $d \in D$, which is a contradiction. Thus $D=$ $\emptyset$. Clearly, the vertices of $G$ are adjacent and $E(G, V(\Gamma) \backslash(\{x, y, z, c\} \cup G)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{g, h\}$ be an edge, where $g \in G$ and $h \in V(\Gamma) \backslash(\{x, y, z, c\} \cup G)$. But then
$\{x, y, h, z\}$ induces a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G=\{g\}$ is a singleton. As $A \cup B \cup C \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(g)$, either $A=\emptyset$ or $B=\emptyset$. Without loss of generality, assume that $B=\emptyset$. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then $A=\{a\}$ and $C=\{c\}$ are singletons, and $a, c$ are adjacent. Since $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(c) \subseteq\{g\} \cup D$, it follows that $D \neq \emptyset$. Let $d \in D$, which is not adjacent to $c$. Then $a$ and $d$ are adjacent, from which it follows that $D=\{d\}$ is a singleton. Thus $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 12 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $A=\emptyset$ then $|C| \leq 2$. If $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ then $D \neq \emptyset$ for $C \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(g)$. Hence there exists $d \in D$, which is not adjacent to $c_{1}$, say, but it is adjacent to $c_{2}$, which implies that $\left\{c_{1}, y, z\right\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(d)$, a contradiction. Thus $|C|=1$, which implies that $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(g)=C$, again a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $G=\emptyset$. Since $A \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(z)$ and $B \cup\{x\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$, we have $|A|,|B| \leq 1$. If $A=\{a\}$ and $B=\{b\}$ are not empty sets, then $a$ and $b$ are adjacent. If $D \neq \emptyset$ and $d \in D$, then $d$ is not adjacent to $a$ and $b$, which implies that $\{x, z, a, d, b, y\}$ form a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus $D=\emptyset$. A simple verification shows that $N_{\Gamma}(x)$ is a complement of a path starting and ending at $a$ and $b$, respectively. Hence, $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the complement of a cycle of length $n+6$ for some $n \geq 0$. If $A=\{a\}$ is a singleton and $B=\emptyset$, then $E_{\Gamma}(A, D) \neq \emptyset$. Let $d \in D$ be a vertex adjacent to $a$. Clearly, $E_{\Gamma}(A, D \backslash$ $\{d\})=\emptyset$ for otherwise there exists $d^{\prime} \in D$ adjacent to $a$, and hence $\left\{x, d, d^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq$ $N_{\Gamma_{2}}(y)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $(D \backslash\{d\}) \cup\{z\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(a)$, which implies that $|D| \leq 2$. If $|D|=2$, then $D=\left\{d, d^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $d^{\prime}$ and this implies that $E_{\Gamma}(C, D)=\emptyset$. Thus $C \cup\{y\} \subseteq N_{\Gamma_{2}}(d)$, from which it follows that $C=\{c\}$ is a singleton. Clearly, $a, c$ and $d, d^{\prime}$ are adjacent, respectively, and consequently $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 12 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{7}$. If $D=\{d\}$ then again $E_{\Gamma}(C, D)=\emptyset$, which implies that $C=\{c\}$ is a singleton. Clearly, $a$ and $c$ are not adjacent. Hence, $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 11 and $\Gamma_{2} \cong C_{6}$. If $A=B=\emptyset$, then $E_{\Gamma}(C, D) \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{c, d\} \in E_{\Gamma}(C, D)$ for some $d \in D$. But then $\{x, y, d, z\}$ induces a cycle in $\Gamma_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the assertion is completely proved.
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