Tôhoku Math. Journ. Vol. 19, No. 3, 1967

## SIMPLY INVARIANT SUBSPACES

## Yoshiki Ohno

(Received June 20, 1967)

Our subject is a theorem on simply invariant subspaces of  $L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}$ , the usual  $L^{p}$ -space taking values in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and A a Dirichlet algebra on X. We shall fix a non-negative finite Borel measure m on X such that

$$f \longrightarrow \int f dm \qquad (f \in A)$$

defines a multiplicative linear functional on A. Define  $A_0$  to be the set

$$A_0 = \{f \in A; \int f dm = 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a separable Hilbert space and let  $L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$   $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$  denote the space of  $\mathfrak{h}$ -valued functions on X which are weakly measurable and whose norms are in scalar  $L^p(dm)$ .  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a Hilbert space for the inner product

$$(f,g) = \int (f(x),g(x))_{\mathfrak{h}} dm$$

where the inner product on the right is the one in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We define  $A_{\mathfrak{h}}$  by  $A \otimes_{\lambda} \mathfrak{h}$ , the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  under the uniform norm in  $C(X, \mathfrak{h})$  (the space of all  $\mathfrak{h}$ -valued continuous functions on X). For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ we define  $H^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$  by

$$H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} = [A_{\mathfrak{h}}]_p$$

the closure of  $A_{\mathfrak{h}}$  in  $L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and we define  $H^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  by

$$H^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{h}} = H^{1}_{\mathfrak{h}} \cap L^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

We write  $H^p$  instead of  $H^p_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in the case of  $\mathfrak{h}=C$ . Call  $\mathfrak{G}$  a range function if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a function on X a.e.(dm) to the family of closed subspaces of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Two range functions which agree a.e. are regarded as the same function.  $\mathfrak{G}$  is measurable if the orthogonal projection G(x) on  $\mathfrak{G}(x)$  is weakly measurable in the operator sense. We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  the operator on  $L^p_{\mathfrak{g}}$  defined by  $(\mathfrak{G}f)(x)$ 

=G(x)f(x) a.e. Say that a subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is doubly invariant if

- (i)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is closed in  $L_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and weak\*-closed if  $p = \infty$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is invariant under multiplication by functions in  $A + \overline{A}_0$

(where the bar denotes complex conjugation). Say that a subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is simply invariant if it satisfies (i) above and

(ii')  $[\mathfrak{M}A_0]_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ 

where  $[ ]_2$  denotes the  $L^2_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closure. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. The simply invariant subspaces  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$  are precisely the subspaces of the form

$$U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}_1} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}_1}$$

where  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a measurable range function, and U is a measurable operator function whose values are isometries of an auxiliary Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  into  $\mathfrak{h}$  with range perpendicular to  $\mathfrak{G}$  a.e.

For the circle |z|=1, this theorem was proved in Helson [2] for p=2. The analogous theorem for doubly invariant subspaces was proved in Srinivasan [3] and Hasumi and Srinivasan [1]. Our discussion was suggested by that of Helson [2]. We first give a proof of the theorem for the case of p=2 and for general case apply the interpolation method of Srinivasan and Wang [4].

THEOREM 2. Every doubly invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{G}L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}$  for some measurable range function  $\mathfrak{G}$ ;  $\mathfrak{M}$  determines  $\mathfrak{G}$ uniquely.

SKETCH OF THE PROOF FOR THE CASE OF p=2. Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be some fixed c.n.o.s. for  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $q_k$  be the projection of the constant function  $e_k$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Each  $q_k$  is defined a.e. on X and all  $q_k$ 's together. Let  $\mathfrak{G}(x)$  be the closed linear span of  $\{q_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G}(x)$  is defined a.e. We conclude that

(i) <sup>(i)</sup> is measurable

(ii)  $\mathfrak{M} = \{ f \in L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}; f(x) \in \mathfrak{G}(x) \text{ a.e.} \}$ .

We shall refer to Srinivasan [3] for the details of the proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a closed subspace of  $L_{\mathfrak{h}}^2$ . The range function  $\mathfrak{G}$  associated with the smallest doubly invariant subspace containing  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we shall call the range function of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**PROPOSITION** 3. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a closed subspace of  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the range function of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then

$$\mathfrak{G}(x) \subset [\{f(x); f \in \mathfrak{M}, \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{h}} < \infty\}]_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where  $[ ]_{\mathfrak{h}}$  denotes the closed linear span in  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}$  be the smallest doubly invariant subspace containing  $\mathfrak{M}.$  Then

$$\mathfrak{M}_{-\infty} = \{ f \in L^{2}_{\mathfrak{h}}; f(x) \in \mathfrak{G}(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \}$$

by Theorem 2. Now we define  $\mathfrak{S}(x) = [\{f(x); f \in \mathfrak{M}, ||f(x)||_{\mathfrak{h}} < \infty\}]_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{S}(x) \supset \mathfrak{G}(x)$  a.e. Indeed, there exist  $q_k \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}$  such that  $\mathfrak{G}(x) = [\{q_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}]_{\mathfrak{h}}$  a.e. by the construction of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (See Srinivasan [3]). Hence

$$\mathfrak{G}(x) \subset [\{f(x); f \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}; \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{h}} < \infty\}]_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Since  $[(A + \overline{A}_0)\mathfrak{M}]_2 = \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}$ , we have

$$[\{f(x); f \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}; \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{h}} < \infty\}]_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{S}(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

we conclude  $\mathfrak{G}(x) \subset \mathfrak{S}(x)$  a.e.

LEMMA 4. We put  $Z(f) = \{x \in X; f(x)=0\}$  and  $K = \bigcap_{f \in A_0} Z(f)$ , then m(K) = 0.

PROOF. Suppose m(K) > 0. We take a measurable set E such that E contains K and put  $\mathfrak{M} = C_E \cdot L^2(dm)$  (where  $C_E$  denotes the characteristic function of E), then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a doubly invariant subspace in  $L^2(dm)$ . Hence  $[A_0\mathfrak{M}]_2 = \mathfrak{M}$ . Thus any  $f \in \mathfrak{M}$  vanishes on  $E^c \cup K$ . We conclude that

$$\mathfrak{M} \subset C_{E \cap K} cL^2 = C_{E-K} L^2 = \mathfrak{M}$$

which is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a closed subspace of  $L^2$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the proof of Proposition 3 coincides with that of  $[A_0\mathfrak{M}]_2$  a.e.

PROOF. The assertion follows from Lemma 4.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1 (the case of p=2). Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}$  be the largest doubly invariant subspace which is contained in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and let  $\mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}$  be the smallest doubly invariant subspace containing  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Clearly  $L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \supset \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty} \supset \{0\}$ . We put  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M} \ominus \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}$ .

(i) Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is simply invariant, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{N}$  is simply invariant.

- (ii) From the maximality  $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}$ , it follows  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty} = \{0\}$ .
- (iii) By Theorem 2,  $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty} = \widehat{\mathfrak{GL}}_{\mathfrak{h}}^2$  for some measurable range function  $\mathfrak{G}$ .
- (vi) If  $f \in \mathfrak{N}, g \in \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}$ , then  $f \perp \xi g$  for all  $\xi \in A + \overline{A}_0$ .

Hence

$$\int (f(x), g(x))_{\flat} \overline{\xi}(x) dm(x) = 0 \quad (\forall \xi \in A + \overline{A}_{\mathfrak{o}})$$

and so (f(x), g(x))=0 a.e. on X. We have  $f(x)\perp \mathfrak{G}(x)$  a.e. and the range of  $\mathfrak{N}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathfrak{G}$  a.e.

(v) Let  $\mathfrak{N} \ominus [A\mathfrak{N}]_2 = R_0$  By the invariance of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and the closedness of  $\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $[AR_0]_2 \subset \mathfrak{N}$ . Let  $g \in \mathfrak{N} \ominus [AR_0]_2$ . Then

$$0 = \int (g, \xi q) dm = \int \overline{\xi}(g, q) dm \qquad (\forall \xi \in A, q \in R_0).$$

Also since  $A_0g \subset [A_0\mathfrak{R}]_2 \perp R_0$ , we have

$$0 = \int (\eta g, q) dm = \int \eta(g, q) dm \qquad (\forall \eta \in A_0, q \in R_0)$$
$$0 = \int \xi(g, q) dm \qquad (\forall \xi \in A_0 + \overline{A}, q \in R_0),$$

So

and (g(x), q(x))=0 a.e. on X for any  $q \in R_0$ . We conclude that g(x) is orthogonal to the range function of  $R_0$  a.e. Now the range function of  $R_0 = \mathfrak{N} \bigoplus [A_0 \mathfrak{N}]_2$  coincides with that of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Indeed  $(R_0)_{-\infty} = \mathfrak{N}_{-\infty} \bigoplus ([A_0 \mathfrak{N}]_2)_{\infty}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty} = \{0\}$  by (ii). Hence g(x) is orthogonal a.e. to the range function of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . But  $g \in \mathfrak{N}$ , we have g=0 a.e. It follows that  $\mathfrak{N} = [AR_0]_2$ .

(vi) If  $u, v \in R_0$  and  $\int (u, v)dm = c$ , then (u(x), v(x)) = c a.e. Indeed since  $R_0 = \Re \bigoplus [A_0 \Re]_2$ ,

$$\int \xi(u,v)dm = 0 \qquad (\forall \xi \in A_0)$$

Let  $f \in A$ , then  $f - \int f dm \in A_0$ , and by the above formula,

$$\int f \cdot (u, v) dm = c \cdot \int f dm.$$

Hence  $\int f\{(u,v)-c\}dm=0$  for all  $f \in A$ . Similarly we have  $\int \overline{\eta}\{(u,v)-c\}dm=0$  for all  $\eta \in A_0$ . Thus

$$\int f \cdot \{(u, v) - c\} dm = 0 \qquad (f \in A + \overline{A}_0).$$

We conclude that (u(v),v(x))=c a.e.

(vii) Now we regard  $R_0$  as a Hilbert space and denote it by  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ , abstractly. Let U the operator which maps u of  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  to u of  $R_0$  by considering u as an element of  $R_0$ . (Essentially U is the identity operator.) Extend U to an operator of  $L^2(dm) \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1$  by setting

$$U\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j} \otimes u_{j}\right)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(x)u_{j}(x).$$

The extended operator U is an isometry of  $L^2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1$  into  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Indeed in the expression of  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j \otimes u_j$  we may consider that  $(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}$  by the definition of tensor products. Thus by (vi) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j} \otimes u_{j} \right\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int |f_{j}|^{2} (u_{j}, u_{j})_{\mathfrak{h}, l} dm = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int |f_{j}|^{2} dm$$
$$= \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int f_{j}(x) \bar{f}_{i}(x) (u_{j}(x), u_{i}(x))_{\mathfrak{h}} dm = \int \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(x) u_{j}(x) \right\|_{\mathfrak{h}} dm$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(x) u_{j}(x) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$

373

Hence U has a unique extension to an isometry of  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$  into  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . We also denote this extended isometry by U.

(viii)  $UH_{\zeta_1}^2 = [AR_0]_2 = \mathfrak{N}$ . Because if  $A \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \ni f = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \otimes u_j$ , then by the

definition of U

$$U(f)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(x) u_j(x) \in [AR_0]_2.$$

Therefore  $UH_{\mathfrak{h}_1}^2 \subset [AR_0]_2$ . On the other hand, for  $h=Fg \in AR_0(F \in A, g \in R_0)$ , we put  $f=F \otimes g$ , then  $f \in H_{\mathfrak{h}_1}^2$  and U(f)=h. Hence  $[AR_0]_2 \subset UH_{\mathfrak{h}_1}^2$ .

(ix) For  $x \in X$ , we define an operator U(x) of  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  into  $\mathfrak{h}$  by U(x)u=u(x) for  $u \in \mathfrak{h}_1=R_0 \subset L^2\mathfrak{h}$ . It is easy to see that for almost all  $x \in X$ , this operator U(x) is measurable and isometric. Now we have that for all  $F \in L^2\mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$(UF)(x) = \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{x}) F(x).$$

Indeed this holds for constant functions by definition, and for  $F \in (A + \overline{A_0}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1$ because the construction of U. Finally the formula holds on all of  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}_1}$  by continuity. This clompletes the proof for the case of p=2.

LEMMA 6. Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and 1/r+1/2=1/p. If  $f \in L_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$  and  $f \notin [A_0f]_p$ , then f=Fh where  $h \in H^2$  is outer<sup>(\*)</sup> and  $F \in [fA]_p \cap L_{\mathfrak{h}}^r$ .

PROOF. We put that

$$egin{aligned} &f_1(x) = \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{p/2}}^p \ &f_2(x) = \left\{egin{aligned} 0 & ext{if} \ f_1(x) = 0 \ & \ rac{f(x)}{f_1(x)} & ext{if} \ f_1(x){
eq} 0 \end{aligned}
ight. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f_1 \in L^2$ ,  $f_2 \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^r$ ,  $f = f_1 f_2$  and  $f_1 \notin [f_1 A_0]_2$ . Hence by the factorization Lemma of the scalar case, we have  $f_1 = qh$  where  $q \in [f_1 A]_2$  is unitary and  $h \in H^2$  is outer. Define  $F = qf_2$ , then  $F \in L_{\mathbb{P}}^r$  and  $F \in [fA]_p$ . (See[4]).

Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be some fixed c.n.o.s. for  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We define  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n$  by f(x)

<sup>(\*)</sup> A function  $h \in H^3$  is said to be outer if  $[hA]_2 = H^3$ . For the details of the scalar case, see Srinivasan and Wang [4].

 $=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}f_n(x)e_n$  in the algebraic sense.

LEMMA 7. Let 
$$1 \leq p \leq \infty$$
.  
(i) If  $f \in L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}$ , then  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \otimes e_{n}$ ,  $f_{n} \in L^{p}$   
(ii) If  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , then  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \otimes e_{n}$ ,  $f_{n} \in A$   
(iii) If  $f \in H_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}$ , then  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \otimes e_{n}$ ,  $f_{n} \in H^{p}$ , in particular,  
if  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \otimes e_{n}$ ,  $f_{n} \in H^{2}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n}|^{2} dm < \infty$ , then  $f \in H_{\mathfrak{h}}^{2}$ .

PROOF. (i) is trivial. We shall prove (ii). If  $g \in A \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $g = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f'_{j} \otimes u_{j}$  $(f'_{j} \in A, u_{j} \in \mathfrak{h}(j=1, 2, \dots, N))$ . If we express  $u_{j}$  as  $u_{j} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}^{(j)} e_{n}$ , then

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f'_{j}(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} e_{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(j)} f'_{j}(x) \right\} e_{n}.$$

Since  $f_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{(j)} f'_j \in A$ , g has the expression  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n$ ,  $f_n \in A$ . Now for  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , there exist  $g_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{(j)} \otimes e_n \in A \otimes \mathfrak{H}$  such that  $g_i \to f(\text{unif.})$ . If we put  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n$ ,  $f_n \in L^2$  then

$$\|f(x) - g_i(x)\|_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x) - g_n^{(i)}(x)|^2 \ge |f_n(x) - g_n^{(i)}(x)|^2 \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

It follows that  $f_n \in A$ . The proof of (iii) is similar and the last assertion follows from Lemma 8.

LEMMA 8. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  $H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} = [H^p \otimes \mathfrak{h}]_p$ .

PROOF.  $H^p_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset [H^p \otimes \mathfrak{h}]_p$  is clear. Conversely, if  $f \in H^p \otimes \mathfrak{h}, f = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \otimes u_j$ then for any  $\mathfrak{E} > 0$ , there exists  $g_j \in A$  such that  $\|f_j - g_j\|_p < \mathfrak{E}$ . We have that

 $g_j \otimes u_j \in A_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$  and  $||g_j \otimes u_j - f_j \otimes u_j||_p < \mathcal{E}||u_j|| \ (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N)$ . Therefore  $f_j \otimes u_j \in [A_{\mathfrak{h}}]_p (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N)$ . Hence  $\sum_{j=1}^N f_j \otimes u_j \subset [A_j]_p$  and  $H^p \otimes \mathfrak{h} \subset H_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$ . Thus  $[H^p \otimes \mathfrak{h}]_p \subset H_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$ .

LEMMA 9. Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then

$$H^{p}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \{ f \in L^{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}; \int (f, \overline{g}) dm = 0 \ (\forall g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{0}}) \},\$$

where  $A_{\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{0}}$  is defined by  $A_{\mathfrak{0}} \otimes_{\lambda} \mathfrak{h}$ .

PROOF. Let 
$$f \in A_{\mathfrak{h}}, f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n (f_n \in A, n=1, 2, \cdots)$$
 and let  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{o}}$   $g$   
=  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \otimes e_n, (g_n \in A_0; n=1, 2, \cdots)$ . Then we have  
 $\int (f, \overline{g}) dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f_n g_n dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f_n dm \int g_n dm = 0.$ 

From this, it is easy to see that  $\int (f, \overline{g}) dm = 0$  for  $f \in H_{\mathfrak{h}}^{p}$ . Let p=2. We take  $f \in L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{2}$  such that  $\int (f, \overline{g}) dm = 0$  for all  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h},0}$ . We put  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n$ ,  $f_n \in L^2$ , then we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int |f_n|^2 dm = \int ||f||_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 dm < \infty$ . Since  $\xi \otimes e_n \in A_{\mathfrak{h},0}$  for all  $\xi \in A_0$ ,  $0 = \int (f, \overline{\xi} \otimes e_n) dm = \int f_n \xi dm (n=1, 2, \cdots)$ .

Hence  $f_n \in H^2$  and by Lemma 7 (iii),  $f \in H^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Next let p=1. Take  $f \in L^1_{\mathfrak{h}}$  such that  $\int (f, \overline{g}) dm = 0$  for all  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{0}}$ . We may assume that  $f \notin [fA_{\mathfrak{0}}]_{\mathfrak{l}}$ . From Lemma 6, it follows that f=Fh where  $F \in [fA]_{\mathfrak{l}} \cap L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and  $h \in H^2$  is outer. There exist  $\xi_{\alpha} \in A$  such that  $\xi_{\alpha} f \to F$  in  $L^1_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Therefore for all  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{0}}$ , we have

$$\int (\xi_{\alpha}f,\bar{g})dm = \int (f,g\bar{\xi}_{\alpha})dm = 0.$$

Hence  $\int (F, \overline{g}) dm = 0$  ( $\forall g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{0}}$ ). By the case of p=2, it follows that  $F \in H_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ .

Now,

$$f = Fh \in H^2_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot H^2 \subset H^1_{\mathfrak{g}}$$
.

The case of  $p=\infty$  follows immediately from the definition of  $H_b^{\circ}$  and the above case. For the other case we shall show  $H_b^p = H_b^1 \cap L_b^p$ , then the proof will be complete. Let  $1 . For <math>f \in H_b^1 \cap L_b^p$ , we may assume  $f \notin [fA_0]_p$  and by Lemma 6, one have f=Fh where  $F \in [fA]_p \cap L_b^r$  and  $h \in H^2$  is outer. Since r > 2,  $F \in L_b^2$  and since  $f \in H_b^1$ ,  $F \in [fA]_p \subset H_b^1$ . Therefore  $F \in H_b^1 \cap L_b^2 = H_b^2 \subset H_b^p$ (p < 2!). Hence  $f = Fh \in FH^2 = F[A]_2 \subset [FA]_p \subset H_b^p$ . Thus  $H_b^p \supset H_b^1 \cap L_b^p$ . The converse is trivial. Let 2 . We put <math>1/p + 1/q = 1. In this case again  $H_b^p \subset H_b^1 \cap L_b^p$  is clear, and suffices to show that if  $H_b^p \perp g \in L_b^q$ , then  $g \perp H_b^1 \cap L_b^p$ . By the case of p=1, it follows that  $\overline{g} \in H_{b,0}^1 \cap L_b^q = H_{b,0}^q$ . So there exist  $g_n \in A_{b0}$ , such that  $g_n \to \overline{g}$  in  $L_b^q$ . Hence

$$0 = \int (h, \overline{g}_n) dm \to \int (h, g) dm$$

for all  $h \in H^1_{\mathfrak{h}} \cap L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . So the proof is completed.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1 (the case of  $1 \leq p < 2$ ). Put  $\mathfrak{N} = L_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 \cap \mathfrak{M}$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{N}$  is  $L_{\mathfrak{h}}^2$ -closed subspace and  $[A_0\mathfrak{N}]_2 \subset \mathfrak{N}$ . We wish to show that  $\mathfrak{N}$  is simply invariant. As  $\mathfrak{M}$  is simply invariant, there exists an  $f \neq 0$  shch that  $f \in \mathfrak{M}$  $-[A_0\mathfrak{M}]_p$ . So  $f \notin [fA_0]_p$ , and by lemma 6, f = Fh where  $h \in H^2$  is outer and  $F \in [fA]_p \cap L_{\mathfrak{h}}^r \subset \mathfrak{M} \cap L_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 = \mathfrak{N}$ . Also  $F \notin [\mathfrak{N}A_0]_2$ , since  $f \notin [\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{N}$  is simply invariant and by the case of p=2, we have

$$\mathfrak{N} = \boldsymbol{U} \cdot H^2_{\mathfrak{y}_1} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{G}} L^2_{\mathfrak{y}}.$$

Now  $\mathfrak{M} \supset U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is trivial. To see the reverse inclusion, let  $f \in \mathfrak{M} - [\mathfrak{M}A_{\mathfrak{o}}]_p$ ,  $f \approx 0$ . Then already we have f = Fh where  $h \in H^2$  is outer and  $F \in [fA]_p \cap L^r_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . It follows that

$$f = Fh \in F[A]_2 \subset [FA]_p \subset [\mathfrak{F}A]_p \subset [\mathfrak{F}A]_p \subset [\mathfrak{N}]_p = U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}_1} \oplus \mathfrak{S}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}_2}.$$

~

Thus  $\mathfrak{M}-[\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p \subset U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . The algebraic sum

$$[\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{M} A_0]_p\} + [\mathfrak{M} A_0]_p \subset \mathfrak{M} - [\mathfrak{M} A_0]_p$$

shows that  $[\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p \subset U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{H}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . We get that

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathfrak{M} - [\mathfrak{M}A_{\mathfrak{o}}]_p\} \cup [\mathfrak{M}A_{\mathfrak{o}}]_p \subset \boldsymbol{U} \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{G}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

(the case of 2 ) Put <math>1/p+1/q=1. We define  $\mathfrak{N}$  by  $[\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p^{\perp} = \{f \in L_p^q; \int (f, \overline{g}) dm = 0, (\forall g \in [\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p)\}$ , then it is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a simply invariant subspace of  $L_p^q$ . By the case of  $1 \leq p < 2$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{N} = \boldsymbol{U} \cdot H^{q}_{\mathfrak{h}_{1}} \oplus \mathfrak{G}^{\prime} L^{q}_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

So  $[A_0\mathfrak{M}]_p = U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h},0} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{M} \supset U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h},1} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}L^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Now for  $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ , put

$$F_1 = \widehat{\mathfrak{G}} \widehat{\bot} f, \qquad F_2 = \widehat{\mathfrak{G}} \widehat{f}.$$

We shall show that  $F_1 \in U \cdot H_{\mathfrak{h}_1}^p$ . For  $f = F_1 + F_2$ , we have  $\xi f = \xi F_1 + \xi F_2$  and  $\xi f \in [\mathfrak{M}A_0]_p$  for all  $\xi \in A_0$ . But  $\xi F_2 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}L_{\mathfrak{h}}^p$ , so  $\xi F_1 \in U \cdot H_{\mathfrak{h}_0}^p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S} = U^*F_1$ . For fixed  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}_1,0}$ ,

$$\int \xi(\Theta, \overline{g}) dm = \int (U^* \xi F_1, \overline{g}) dm = 0 \qquad (\forall \xi \in A).$$

Because, for  $g = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j \otimes u_j \in A_0 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1$ , we get

$$\int (\boldsymbol{U}^* \boldsymbol{\xi} F_1, \boldsymbol{\overline{g}}) d\boldsymbol{m} = \sum_{j=1}^N \int (g_j \boldsymbol{\xi} F_1, \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{u}_j) d\boldsymbol{m} = 0$$

by Lemma 9. We conclude that for each  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}_{1,0}}$ ,  $(\Theta, \overline{g}) \in H^p_0(dm)$  as a scalar function. Thus

$$\int (\Theta, \,\overline{g}) dm = 0 \qquad (\forall g \in A_{\mathfrak{h}_{1,0}}) \,.$$

Hence  $\Theta \in H^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , so  $UU^*F_1 \in U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Since  $F_1(x)$  is contained in the range of  $U(x), UU^*F_1 = F_1$  and  $F_1 \in U \cdot H^p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 6 of Srinivasan [3] for a general Dirichlet algebra.

THEOREM 10. A measurable range function  $\mathfrak{G}$  is of constant dimension a.e. if and only if it is the range function of a simply invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty} = \{0\}$ .

PROOF. The sufficiency follows from Theorem 1. We shall show the

necessity. Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is of constant dimension, there exist  $q_k \in L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}(k=1, 2, \cdots)$  such that  $\{q_k(x)\}$  is a c.n.o.s. of  $\mathfrak{G}(x)$  a.e. (Srinivasan [3], Theorem 5). We put  $\mathfrak{M} = [\{Aq_k; k=1, 2, \cdots\}]_2$  and let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then f has the expression

$$f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}f_kq_k,\;f_k\in H^2,\;\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int|f_k|^2dm<\infty$$

Now  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k C_{E_k} \otimes e_k$ . For  $n=1, 2, \dots, e_n - q_n \perp [\{(A + \overline{A})q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}]_2 \supset \mathfrak{M}$  by the construction of  $q_k$  (see [3]). So for all  $g \in A_0$ ,

$$0 = \int (f, \overline{g}(e_n - q_n)) dm = \int f_n C_{E_n} g dm - \int f_n g dm$$
$$= \int f_n C_{E_n} g dm - \int f_n dm \int g dm = \int f_n C_{E_n} g dm.$$

Thus  $\int f_n C_{E_n} g dm = 0$  for all  $g \in A_0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and so  $f_n C_{E_n} \in H^2$ . Of course,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int |f_n C_{E_n}|^2 dm < \infty$ , and  $f \in H^2_0$  by Lemma 7. Therefore  $\mathfrak{M} \subset H^2_0$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty} = \{0\}$ .

## REFERENCES

- M. HASUMI AND T. P. SRINIVASAN, Doubly invariant subspaces II, Pacific Journ. Math., 14(1964), 525–535.
- [2] H. HELSON, Lectures on Invariant Subspaces, Academic Press, 1964.
- [3] T. P. SRINIVASAN, Doubly invariant subspaces, Pacific Journ. Math., 14(1964), 691-697.
- [4] T. P. SRINIVASAN AND J.-K. WANG, Weak\* Dirichlet algebras, Proc. Tulane Symposium on Function Algebras, 1966, 216-249.
  - The College of General Education Tôhoku University, Sendai, japan.