

## SIMULTANEOUS ATTAINABILITY OF CENTRAL LYAPUNOV AND BOHL EXPONENTS FOR ODE LINEAR SYSTEMS

ROBERT E. VINOGRAD

**ABSTRACT.** Millionščikov's Accessibility Theorem for the central Lyapunov exponent of a linear ODE system is extended to simultaneous attainability of both central Lyapunov and Bohl exponents.

1. Let

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|A(t)\| \leq a_0.$$

The *Lyapunov exponent*  $\lambda(x)$  and *Bohl exponent*  $\beta(x)$  of a solution  $x(t)$  are given by

$$\lambda(x) = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |x(t)|, \quad \text{resp. } \beta(x) = \overline{\lim}_{t-s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t-s} \ln \frac{|x(t)|}{|x(s)|}.$$

(In fact these are *upper* exponents; the lower ones are defined similarly, with  $\underline{\lim}$  in place of  $\overline{\lim}$ .)

In general neither these exponents nor their suprema  $\lambda_0 = \sup_x \lambda(x)$ ,  $\beta_0 = \sup_x \beta(x)$  are stable under small perturbations of the system. Instead the so-called *central Lyapunov exponent*<sup>1</sup>  $\Lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and Bohl exponent  $B \geq \beta_0$  can be defined being stable upward (resp. lower exponents being stable downward). To introduce them and to describe exactly this "upward stability" we need a notion of upper functions (for brevity we omit similar notions and results about lower exponents).

2. Let  $X(t, s) = X(t)X^{-1}(s)$  where  $X(t)$  is a fundamental matrix of (1). As is known,

$$(2) \quad |X(t, s)| \leq e^{a_0|t-s|}$$

and

$$(3) \quad |X(t, s)| = \max_x \frac{|x(t)|}{|x(s)|},$$

where max is taken over all nonzero solutions of (1).

**DEFINITION.** A bounded function  $K(t)$  is an *upper function* for system (1) if there is a constant  $D = D_K$  such that

$$(4) \quad |X(t, s)| \leq D e^{\int_s^t K(\alpha) d\alpha} \quad (t \geq s).$$

---

Received by the editors November 17, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34D05, 34D10.

<sup>1</sup>More popular notation is  $\Omega$  rather than  $\Lambda$ .

For example, by (2),  $K(t) = a_0$  is an upper function with  $D = 1$ . Let

$$(5) \quad \bar{K} = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t K(\alpha) d\alpha, \quad \bar{\bar{K}} = \overline{\lim}_{t-s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_s^t K(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

DEFINITION. The central Lyapunov exponent  $\Lambda$ , resp. Bohl exponent  $B$  is given by

$$(6) \quad \Lambda = \inf \bar{K}, \quad \text{resp. } B = \inf \bar{\bar{K}},$$

where the inf is taken over all upper functions.

It is easily seen that  $\lambda_0 \leq \Lambda$ ,  $\beta_0 \leq B$  and  $\Lambda \leq B$ .

3. Consider a perturbed system

$$(7) \quad \dot{y} = [A(t) + \tilde{A}(t)] y$$

and let its upper functions and exponents be marked by  $\sim$ .

The upward stability of  $K(t)$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $B$  means that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $|\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \delta$ , then

$$\tilde{K}(t) \leq K(t) + \epsilon, \quad \tilde{\Lambda} \leq \Lambda + \epsilon, \quad \tilde{B} \leq B + \epsilon.$$

The next theorem is well known [1].

4. THEOREM.  $K(t)$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $B$  are always upward stable.

PROOF. It suffices to prove  $\tilde{K}(t) \leq K(t) + \epsilon$ ; then the rest follows by (5), (6). Let  $Y(t, s) = Y(t)Y^{-1}(s)$  where  $Y(t)$  is a fundamental matrix of (7). By the Variation of Constants Formula,

$$Y(t, s) = X(t, s) + \int_s^t X(t, \tau) \tilde{A}(\tau) Y(\tau, s) d\tau.$$

Take norms, use (4) and set

$$(8) \quad |Y(t, s)| = D e^{\int_s^t K(\alpha) d\alpha} u(t).$$

Then

$$u(t) \leq 1 + \int_s^t D |\tilde{A}(\tau)| u(\tau) d\tau$$

and by Gronwall's inequality,  $u(t) \leq \exp \int_s^t D |A(\tau)| d\tau$ . Now, if  $|\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \delta$ , then by (8)  $\tilde{K}(t) = K(t) + D\delta$  is upper for (7). So  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon/D$ .

In particular Theorem 4 implies that if  $\lambda_0 = \lambda$  (or  $\beta_0 = B$ ), then  $\lambda_0$  (or  $\beta_0$ ) is itself stable up. As is known, for a constant system (1) (i.e.  $A(t) = \text{const}$ ) one has always  $\lambda_0 = \beta_0 = \Lambda = B$ , and so all exponents are stable up.

5. In contrast, for nonautonomous systems the central exponents  $\Lambda$  and  $B$  need not be attainable by individual solution exponents, i.e. it may happen that  $\lambda_0 < \Lambda$  and/or  $\lambda_0 < B$  (as well as  $\Lambda < B$ ). However the Accessibility Theorem [2] states that the central Lyapunov exponent  $\Lambda$  is always attainable by means of arbitrarily small perturbations in the following sense: given  $\delta > 0$  there is a perturbation with  $|\tilde{A}(t)| < \delta$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \geq \Lambda$  for the perturbed system (7).

It turns out that this theorem can be extended to the attainability of  $B$ ; moreover, a simultaneous attainability of both  $\Lambda$  and  $B$  can be established and at the same time the original proof [2] can be considerably shortened.

6. THEOREM. Let system (1) have central Lyapunov exponent  $\Lambda$  and Bohl exponent  $B$ . Given  $\delta_0 > 0$  there is a perturbation  $\tilde{A}(t)$  with  $|\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \delta_0$  such that system (6) has a solution  $y(t)$  with both  $\lambda(y) \geq \Lambda$  and  $\beta(y) \geq B$ .

To prove this theorem we start with a technical remark and a number of lemmas.

7. REMARK. All the above definitions of exponents or upper functions are given with continuously varying  $t$  and  $s$ . But nothing will be changed if we replace them by discrete variables  $t_n = nT, s_m = mT$ , where  $T > 0$  is fixed and  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . This follows by the fact that by (2),  $|X(t, s)| \leq e^{a_0 T} = \text{const}$  as well as  $|x(t)|/|x(s)| \leq e^{a_0 T} = \text{const}$  for  $|t - s| \leq T$ , so that any difference between continuous  $t$  and discrete  $t_n \leq t < t_{n+1}$  vanishes by taking  $\lim$  or else is absorbed by the constant  $D$  in (4). In particular,  $K(t)$  remains upper if (4) holds just for  $t = t_n, s = s_m$ .

8. LEMMA. Let  $T > 0$  be fixed,  $t_n = nT, J_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n], n = 0, 1, \dots$  and

$$\ln |X(t, s)| = f(t, s), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad |X(t, s)| = e^{f(t,s)}.$$

Define a step function  $K(t)$  by

$$(9) \quad K(t) \equiv \lambda_n = \frac{1}{T} f(t_n, t_{n-1}) \quad \text{on } J_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(the illegal “double definition” at  $t = t_n$  can be neglected). Then  $K(t)$  is an upper function and hence  $\bar{K} \geq \Lambda, \bar{K} \geq B$ .

PROOF. By (2),  $K(t)$  is bounded:  $|K(t)| \leq a_0$ . Since  $X(t, s) = X(t, r)X(r, s)$ , we have  $f(t, s) \leq f(t, r) + f(r, s)$ , and since

$$f(t_k, t_{k-1}) = \lambda_k T = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} K(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

we have for  $t = t_n, s = t_m, n \geq m$ ,

$$f(t, s) \leq \sum f(t_k, t_{k-1}) = \int_s^t K(\alpha) d\alpha, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad |X(t, s)| \leq e^{\int_s^t K(\alpha) d\alpha}.$$

By Remark 7,  $K(t)$  is upper.

The next several lemmas constitute so-called Millionščikov’s Rotation Method. It can be found in [2], that is why we mostly restrict ourselves to some brief outlines of the proofs. Recall that the angle  $\gamma = \sphericalangle(a, b)$  between two vectors  $a, b \in R^n$  is given by  $\cos \gamma = a \cdot b / (|a| \cdot |b|), 0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi$ .

9. LEMMA. Let  $a$  and  $c$  be vectors in  $R^n$  with  $|a| = |c|$  and  $\sphericalangle(a, c) = \gamma \neq 0, \pi$ . Then there is a unitary operator  $U(t): R^n \rightarrow R^n$  defined on a given interval  $J^*: t^* \leq t \leq t^* + T, T \geq 1$ , such that

- (i)  $U(t^*) = I, U(t^* + T)a = c,$
- (ii)  $|U(t) - I| = |U^{-1}(t) - I| \leq \gamma,$
- (iii)  $|\dot{U}(t)U^{-1}(t)| \leq \gamma.$

SKETCH OF PROOF. Let  $V(\omega): R^n \rightarrow R^n$  be the rotation by the angle  $\omega$  from  $a$  to  $c$  in the 2-plane  $P_{ac}$  spanned by  $a, c$ , and  $V(\omega) = \text{identity}$  on the orthogonal complement to  $P_{ac}$ . Then  $V(\omega)$  is unitary and in a proper orthonormal basis of  $R^n$  (the two

first elements in  $P_{ac}$ ) the matrix of  $V(\omega)$  is

$$\text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega & -\sin \omega \\ \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{pmatrix}, 1, \dots, 1 \right\}.$$

Set  $U(t) = V[(t - t^*)\gamma/T]$ . Then (i) is clear and (ii), (iii) follow by direct computation.

10. LEMMA. Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of (1) considered on an interval  $J^* = [t^*, t^* + T]$ ,  $T \geq 1$ . Next, let  $x(t^* + T) = a$ , and  $c$  be a vector with  $|c| = |a|$  and  $\sphericalangle(a, c) = \gamma \neq 0, \pi$ . Then there is a perturbation  $\tilde{A}(t)$  with norm

$$(10) \quad |\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \gamma(2a + 1)$$

such that the perturbed system (7) has a solution  $y(t)$  with

$$(11)$$

$$y(t^*) = x(t^*) \quad \text{and} \quad y(t^* + T) = c \quad (\text{so that } |y(t^* + T)| = |x(t^* + T)|).$$

PROOF. Let  $y(t) = U(t)x(t)$  where  $U(t)$  is as in Lemma 9. Then clearly (11) holds. Next,  $\dot{y} = U\dot{x} + \dot{U}x = (UAU^{-1} + \dot{U}U^{-1})y = (A + \tilde{A})y$  where  $\tilde{A} = UAU^{-1} - A + \dot{U}U^{-1}$ . By Lemma 9,  $|\dot{U}U^{-1}| \leq \gamma$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |UAU^{-1} - A| &\leq |UA(U^{-1} - I)| + |(U - I)A| \\ &\leq |UA| \cdot \gamma + \gamma|A| \\ &= 2\gamma|A| \quad (\text{since } U \text{ is unitary, } |UA| = |A|). \end{aligned}$$

Now (10) follows.

11. LEMMA. Let  $a, b, c$  be three coplanar vectors in  $R^n$  such that  $|a| = |b| = |c|$  and  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \theta$  where  $\gamma = \sphericalangle(a, c)$ ,  $\theta = \sphericalangle(a, b)$ . Then  $c = \alpha a + \beta b$  where

$$(12) \quad \beta = \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin \theta} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{\sin(\theta - \gamma)}{\sin \theta} > 0.$$

Proof is by direct computation.

12. PROOF OF THEOREM 6. Choose first  $\gamma$  and  $T$  as follows. Let  $\delta = \delta_0/2$ . Fix  $\gamma$  with

$$(13) \quad 0 < \gamma \leq \delta / (2a + 1).$$

Then fix  $T \geq 1$  and so large that  $\sin \gamma \geq 2e^{-\delta T}$ , i.e.

$$(14) \quad \sin \gamma - e^{-\delta T} \geq e^{-\delta T}.$$

Define  $K(t)$  as in Lemma 8 and classify the solutions  $x(t)$  of system (1) on each interval  $J_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n]$  as follows.

If

$$\frac{|x(t_n)|}{|x(t_{n-1})|} \begin{cases} = e^{\lambda_n T}, & \text{then } x(t) \text{ is maximal on } J_n, \\ \geq e^{(\lambda_n - \delta)T}, & \text{then } x(t) \text{ is rapid on } J_n, \\ < e^{(\lambda_n - \delta)T}, & \text{then } x(t) \text{ is slow on } J_n. \end{cases}$$

Notice that a maximal solution always exists by (3) and (9). Since a constant multiple of  $x(t)$  falls into the same category as  $x(t)$ , we can normalize  $x(t)$  as we like without change of its category.

Now we are going to perturb system (1) inductively on each interval  $J_1, J_2, \dots$ . Each time the perturbation  $\tilde{A}(t)$  will be found by Lemma 10 and hence with  $|\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \delta$  by virtue of (10) and (13). We will not mention this smallness any longer. Starting with a rapid solution on  $J_n$  we will watch its behavior on  $J_{n+1}$  and depending on that choose a perturbation on  $J_n$  (but not on  $J_{n+1}$  yet).

1st step. Pick a maximal solution  $x(t)$  on  $J_1$ . Then it is also rapid

$$\frac{|x(t_1)|}{|x(t_0)|} = e^{\lambda_1 T} > e^{(\lambda_1 - \delta)T} \quad (t_0 = 0).$$

Look at its natural extension to  $J_2$ . If it remains rapid on  $J_2$ , i.e.

$$\frac{|x(t_2)|}{|x(t_1)|} \geq e^{(\lambda_2 - \delta)T},$$

then put  $\tilde{A}(t) \equiv 0$  on  $J_1$ , relate  $x(t)$  by  $y(t)$  on  $J_1$ , and the 1st step is completed. As a result we have

$$(15) \quad \frac{|y(t_1)|}{|y(t_0)|} \geq e^{(\lambda_1 - \delta)T}, \quad \frac{|x(t_2)|}{|x(t_1)|} \geq e^{(\lambda_2 - \delta)T}$$

where  $x(t)$  is a natural (unperturbed) extension of  $y(t)$ .

Suppose  $x(t)$  is slow on  $J_2$  and let  $x(t_1) = a$ . Find a maximal solution  $\xi(t)$  on  $J_2$  and normalize it so that the vector  $\xi(t_1) = b$  has norm  $|b| = |a|$ . Since  $x(t)$  is slow while  $\xi(t)$  is maximal, they cannot be proportional; therefore  $\nexists (a, b) \neq 0, \pi$ . Define a vector  $c$  like this:  $c = b$  if  $\nexists (a, b) \leq \gamma$ , otherwise let  $c = \alpha a + \beta b$  be as in Lemma 11.

Now perturb system (1) on  $J_1$  as in Lemma 10. This yields a solution  $y(t)$  of (7) with  $y(t_0) = x(t_0)$ ,  $|y(t_1)| = |x(t_1)|$  and hence with

$$\frac{|y(t_1)|}{|y(t_0)|} \geq e^{(\lambda_1 - \delta)T}.$$

The crucial point is that the natural (unperturbed) extension  $z(t)$  of  $y(t)$  beyond  $t_1$  is rapid on  $J_2$ . Indeed, if  $c = b$ , then  $z(t) = \xi(t)$  which is even maximal on  $J_2$ . Otherwise  $z(t_1) = c = \alpha a + \beta b = \alpha x(t_1) + \beta \xi(t_1)$  and by linearity

$$z(t) = \alpha x(t) + \beta \xi(t), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

At  $t = t_1$  all three norms of  $z, x, \xi$  are equal, therefore

$$(16) \quad \frac{|z(t_2)|}{|z(t_1)|} = \frac{|\alpha x(t_2) + \beta \xi(t_2)|}{|\xi(t_1)|} \geq \frac{|\xi(t_2)|}{|\xi(t_1)|} \left( \beta - \alpha \frac{|x(t_2)|}{|\xi(t_2)|} \right).$$

Since  $\xi$  is maximal and  $x$  is slow on  $J_2$ , we have

$$\frac{|x(t_2)|}{|\xi(t_2)|} = \frac{|x(t_2)|/|x(t_1)|}{|\xi(t_2)|/|\xi(t_1)|} < \frac{e^{(\lambda_2 - \delta)T}}{e^{\lambda_2 T}} = e^{-\delta T}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta - \alpha \frac{|x(t_2)|}{|\xi(t_2)|} &> \frac{\sin \gamma - \sin(\theta - \gamma)e^{-\delta T}}{\sin \theta} \quad (\text{by (12)}) \\ &\geq \sin \gamma - e^{-\delta T} \\ &\geq e^{-\delta T} \quad (\text{by (14)}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (15),  $z$  is rapid on  $J_2$

$$\frac{|z(t_2)|}{|z(t_1)|} \geq e^{(\lambda_2 - \delta)T}.$$

Relabeling  $z(t)$  by  $x(t)$  on  $J_2$ , we come again to (15), and the 1st step is entirely completed.

Suppose we have already completed  $m - 1$  steps of the induction with the following results:

(i) The system is properly perturbed on  $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{m-1}$  but unperturbed yet on  $J_m = [t_{m-1}, t_m]$  or further.

(ii) There is a solution  $y(t)$  of the perturbed system on  $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{m-1}$  with natural (unperturbed) continuous extension  $x(t)$  on  $J_m$  such that

$$(17a) \quad \frac{|y(t_k)|}{|y(t_{k-1})|} \geq e^{(\lambda_k - \delta)T}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

$$(17b) \quad \frac{|x(t_m)|}{|x(t_{m-1})|} \geq e^{(\lambda_m - \delta)T}.$$

$m$ th step is now exactly as the 1st one, just with  $t_{m-1}, t_m, t_{m+1}$  in place of  $t_0, t_1, t_2$ . Namely, if  $x(t)$  remains rapid on  $J_{m+1}$  too, then we set  $\tilde{A}(t) \equiv 0$  on  $J_m$ , relabel  $x(t)$  by  $y(t)$  on  $J_m$  and so get (17a,b) with  $m$  replaced by  $m + 1$ . In this case the  $m$ th step is completed.

If  $x(t)$  is slow on  $J_{m+1}$ , then let  $x(t_m) = a$ , find a maximal solution  $\xi(t)$  on  $J_{m+1}$  with  $\xi(t_m) = b, |b| = |a|$ , and define  $c$  as before:  $c = b$  if  $\nrightarrow (a, b) \leq \gamma$ , otherwise  $c = \alpha a + \beta b$  as in Lemma 11. Now perturb the system on  $J_m$  as in Lemma 10. This creates a solution  $y(t)$  with  $y(t_{m-1}) = x(t_{m-1}), |y(t_m)| = |x(t_m)|$  and hence, by (17b), with

$$\frac{|y(t_m)|}{|y(t_{m-1})|} \geq e^{(\lambda_m - \delta)T}.$$

As before, the unperturbed continuous extension  $z(t)$  of  $y(t)$  beyond  $t_m$  is rapid on  $J_{m+1}$ , and relabeling  $z(t)$  by  $x(t)$  gives again (17a,b) with  $m$  replace by  $m + 1$ . The  $m$ th step is entirely completed.

By induction, we obtain a system (7) defined for all  $t \geq 0$  with perturbation  $\tilde{A}(t)$  of smallness  $|\tilde{A}(t)| \leq \delta = \delta_0/2$  and having a solution  $y(t)$  which satisfies (17a) for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the very definition (9) of  $K(t)$ ,

$$\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \lambda_n T, \quad \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} [K(\alpha) - \delta] d\alpha = (\lambda_n - \delta)T,$$

so that (17a) implies for  $s = t_m$ ,  $t = t_n$  ( $t \geq s$ )

$$\frac{|y(t)|}{|y(0)|} \geq \exp \int_0^t [K(\alpha) - \delta] d\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|y(t)|}{|y(s)|} \geq \exp \int_s^t [K(\alpha) - \delta] d\alpha.$$

It follows by Remark 7 and Lemma 8 that the Lyapunov and Bohl exponents of  $y(t)$  satisfy  $\lambda(y) \geq \bar{K} - \delta \geq \Lambda - \delta$  and  $\beta(y) \geq \bar{K} - \delta \geq B - \delta$  respectively. To complete the proof let  $y^*(t) = y(t)e^{\delta t}$ . Then  $\lambda(y^*) \geq \Lambda$ ,  $\beta(y^*) \geq B$  and  $y^*(t)$  satisfies the system with perturbation  $\tilde{A}(t) + \delta I$  of smallness  $2\delta = \delta_0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. B. F. Bylov, D. M. Grobman, V. V. Nemyckii and R. E. Vinograd, *The theory of Lyapunov exponents*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1966, pp. 164–166. (Russian)
2. V. M. Millionščikov, *A proof of accessibility of central exponents of linear systems*, *Sibirsk Mat. Ž.* **10** (1969), 99–104. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58105