



Scholars Research Library

Archives of Applied Science Research, 2010, 2 (3): 94-100

(<http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html>)



ISSN 0975-508X

CODEN (USA) AASRC9

Simultaneous HPTLC estimation of Telmisartan and Amlodipine Besylate in tablet dosage form

Aniruddha R. Chabukswar*, Swati C. Jagdale, S.V. Kumbhar, Vinayak J. Kadam,
Vinit D. Patil, Bhanudas S. Kuchekar, Pradeep D. Lokhande#

MAEER'S Maharashtra Institute of Pharmacy, S. No. 124, MIT Campus, Paud Road, Kothrud ,
Pune-411038, India

Abstract

Method describes an HPTLC method for the simultaneous determination of Telmisartan and Amlodipine Besylate from tablet dosage form. This employs a precoated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (0.2 mm thickness) on aluminium sheets and a mobile phase Ethyl acetate: 1, 4 Dioxane: Methanol: 25% Ammonia in the ratio of 15:1.5:3:1.5 v/v, having chamber saturation for 30 min at room temperature. The developing chamber was run upto 8cm. The R_f values was found to be 0.16 and 0.33 for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively. The plate was scanned and quantified at 323nm. The linear detector response was observed between 100 µg/ml to 500 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml to 1000 µg/ml for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively. The method so developed was validated for its accuracy and precision. The LOD and LOQ were found to be 0.025, 0.0747µg/ml and 0.0236, 0.0714µg/ml, respectively for Telmisartan and Amlodipine. The recovery was carried out by standard addition method. The Average recovery was found to be 100.38% and 100.24% for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively.

Keywords: High Performance Thin layer chromatography, Method validation, Amlodipine and Telmisartan.

INTRODUCTION

Telmisartan, a nonpeptide molecule, is chemically 40-[(1,4-dimethyl-20-propyl[2,60-1H-benzimidazol]-10-yl) methyl]-[1,10-biphenyl]-2-carboxylic acid and Amlodipine is chemically 2 - [(2 - aminoethoxy)methyl] - 4 -(2 - chlorophenyl) - 3 -ethoxycarboxyl - 5 -methoxycarbonyl - 6 - methyl - 1,4- dihydropyridine. Telmisartan is an angiotensin II receptor antagonist that is highly

selective for type 1 angiotensin II receptor. Angiotensin II is the principle pressor agent of the renninangiotensin system, with effects that include vasoconstriction, stimulation of synthesis and release of aldosterone, cardiac stimulation, and renal reabsorbtion of sodium. Amlodipine is a calcium channel blocker (dihydropyridine) used as an anti-hypertensive and in the treatment of angina. Like other calcium channel blockers, amlodipine acts by relaxing the smooth muscle in the arterial wall, decreasing peripheral resistance and hence reducing blood pressure; in angina it increases blood flow to the heart muscle. Telmisartan and amlodipine combination is used to lower blood pressure (hypertensive agent) [1-5].

The literature survey reveals that several methods were reported for the individual estimation of telmisartan and amlodipine. The methods [6–11] for telmisartan in combination with other drugs in plasma, serum and in tablets by UV and LC and [12–15] for the estimation of amlodipine in combination with other drugs in serum and in tablets by UV and LC.[16-20] None of the reported analytical procedures describe a method for simultaneous determination of telmisartan and amlodipine in combined pharmaceutical dosage form.[20-24]

In the present study attempts were made to develop a rapid, economical, precise and accurate method for the simultaneous estimation of the ingredients of this combination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental

Instrumentation and chromatographic conditions:

Instrumentation and chromatographic conditions are given in the following table

Table A Instruments and chromatographic conditions

Instrument	Description
HPTLC system	Camag HPTLC system
Sample applicator	camag linomat IV automatic sample applicator
scanner	Camag TLC scanner 3
Software	Camag Wincats software
Saturation chamber	Camag Twin-trough chamber (10×10cm)
HPTLC plates	Merck HPTLC plates coated with silicagel 60 F ₂₅₄ (0.2 mm thickness) on aluminium sheets
Syringe	Hamilton syringe (100µl)

Table B Reagents required for study

Reagents	Manufactured by
Ethyl acetate AR grade	S d Fine Chemicals, Mumbai
1, 4-Dioxane AR grade	S d Fine Chemicals, Mumbai
Methanol AR grade	S d Fine Chemicals, Mumbai
25% Ammonia AR grade	S d Fine Chemicals, Mumbai

Preparation of working standard and sample solutions

Standard stock solutions of Telmisartan and Amlodipine were prepared by dissolving 100 mg of drug in 100 ml of methanol to get a concentration of 1000 μ g/ml for each drug separately. The standard working solutions were prepared by dilution of the stock standard solution with methanol to reach a concentration of 50 μ g/ml and 100 μ g/ml for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively. Twenty tablets were weighed accurately, finely powdered and powder equivalent to 80 mg of Telmisartan was weighed accurately. The powder was transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask containing 10ml of methanol, shake for 5 min, to it 50ml of methanol was added and the solution was sonicated for 20 min, allowed the solution to cool to room temperature and then volume made up to the mark with methanol, the resulting solution was filtered through Whatmann filter paper \neq 41. Required dilutions were made to get 50 μ g/ml and 100 μ g/ml for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively.

Chromatographic conditions and Calibration graphs

The experiment was performed on a silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (0.2 mm thickness) HPTLC plates (20 \times 10cm) using a mobile phase Ethyl acetate: 1, 4 Dioxane: Methanol: 25% Ammonia (15:1.5:3:1.5 v/v). The plates were prewashed with methanol and activated at 110⁰C for 30min. prior to chromatography. Samples were applied as bands 4mm long, at 4mm intervals. Ascending development to distance of 8cm was performed in saturated 20 \times 10cm twin trough TLC developing chamber for 30min at room temperature (camag). The plate was scanned and quantified at 323nm.

Aliquots of 10, 8, 6, 4, 2 μ l of working standard solution of Telmisartan and Amlodipine were applied on the TLC plate. TLC plate was dried, developed and analyzed photo metrically. The standard calibration curve was generated using regression analysis with Microsoft excel.

Validation of the method

The developed method was validated as per ICH guidelines for specificity, linearity, repeatability, Limit of detection, limit of Quantitation and accuracy. (Table I)

Assay

From the prepared sample solution 5 μ l was spotted in duplicate along with same concentration of standard solution on pre-coated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ TLC plate. The plate was developed and scanned as mentioned earlier. Analysis was repeated in triplicate. Peak area was recorded and the amount of Telmisartan and Amlodipine present in formulation was estimated. (Table II)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

HPTLC method was optimized with a view to develop a simple, accurate method for estimation of drug in pharmaceutical formulation and in bulk drug. UV scanning at 190-450 nm for both Telmisartan and Amlodipine show that 323 nm is the suitable wavelength for detection of drugs. (Fig.I) The mobile phase of Ethyl acetate: 1, 4-Dioxane: Methanol: 25% Ammonia in the ratio of 15:1.5:3:1.5 v/v. was selected because it gave highest resolution, minimum tailing and R_f values of 0.16 and 0.33 for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively. (Fig.II). Telmisartan and Amlodipine showed linearity in the concentration range of 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ to 500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ($r^2 = 0.9957$) and 200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ to 1000 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ($r^2 = 0.9990$) respectively. For HPTLC method the linearity of calibration graphs and adherence of the system to Beer's law was validated by higher value of correlation coefficient. The LOD and LOQ were found to be 0.025, 0.0747 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 0.0236, 0.0714 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, respectively for Telmisartan and Amlodipine. Repeatability of measurement of peak area was determined by six replicate spotting and six time measurement of working standard of Telmisartan and Amlodipine and percentage relative standard deviation (%RSD) was found to be 0.40 and 0.69 for Amlodipine and Telmisartan respectively. To confirm the specificity, the solution of formulation was spotted on the TLC plate, developed and scanned. Complete separation of Telmisartan and Amlodipine in presence of common tablet Excipients was noticed, indicating the specificity of the method.

Recovery studies of the drugs were carried out for the accuracy parameter. These studies were carried out at three levels (80%, 100%, and 120%) by standard addition method. after spiking with additional drug afforded recovery of 98-102% and mean recovery was found to be 100.38% and 100.24% for Telmisartan and Amlodipine respectively. (Table III).




Fig. I Overlay spectra of standard Telmisartan and Amlodipine




Fig. II Chromatogram of standard Telmisartan and Amlodipine

Table I Method Validation Parameters

Parameters	Telmisartan	Amlodipine
Linearity Range	100-500 ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	200-1000 ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)
Correlation Coefficient	0.9957	0.99903
Limit of Detection ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	0.25	0.0236
Limit of Quantitation ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	0.0747	0.0714
Precision % RSD	0.667	0.733
Specificity	Specific	Specific

Table II Analysis of the marketed formulation

Parameters	Amlodipine	Telmisartan
Label claim (mg/tab.)	5	40
Amount found (mg/tab.)	5.35	40.8
Drug content (%)	106.8	102.22
% R.S.D.	0.56	1.68

Table III Recovery results of Telmisartan and Amlodipine

Level of Recovery	Mean of % Recovery	
	Telmisartan	Amlodipine
80	101.40	99.84
100	99.19	100.95
120	100.55	99.94

CONCLUSION

The developed HPTLC method was found to be simple, precise, specific and accurate. Therefore this method can be applied for routine analysis of drugs in formulation and in bulk drug.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Anchrom enterprises Pvt LTD, Mumbai for providing necessary facilities and also thank the principal Dr. Kuchekar B.S., Principal, Maharashtra Institute of Pharmacy, Pune for encouragement in carrying out this work.

REFERENCES

- [2] ICH, Q2 (R1), Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology, International Conference on Harmonization, Geneva, November 1996, p. 1.
- [3] Sethi P.D., HPTLC Quantitative analysis of pharmaceutical formulations, 1st edition, CBS publishers and distributors, New Delhi, 1996, p. 3-30.
- [4] Marydele J. O., Ann S., Patricia E. H., John R. O., Jo Ann R. G., Mary A.D., The Merck Index- An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, 13th Edition, Merck Research Laboratories-a division of Merck & Co.Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, p. 491, 1628
- [5] Indian Pharmacopoeia, 5th edition, Volume 2, Controller of Publications, Govt. of India, Ministry of health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, 2007, p. 554.
- [6] Sweetman S.C., Martindale, the complete drug reference, 34th edition, Pharmaceutical press(a division of Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain), 2005, p. 862, 1010.
- [7] Palled M.S., Chatter M., Rajesh P.M., Bhat A.R., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2006, 68(5), , p. 685-686.
- [8] Lories I., Samah S. A., Fattah L.A., Heba H. R., *Il Farmaco*, 2005, 60(10), P. 859-867.
- [9] Wankhede S.B., Tajne M.R., Gupta K.R., Wadodkar S.G., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2007, 69(2), , p.298-300.
- [10] Shah N.J., Suhagia B.N., Shah R.R., Shah P.B., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2007, 69(2), , p. 202-205.
- [11] Bhat L.R., Godge R.K, Vora A.T., Damle M.C., *journal of liuid Chromatography & related technologies*, 2007, 30(20), p. 3059-3067.
- [12] Patil K.R., Rane V. P., Sangshetti J. N., Shinde D.B., *Chromatographia*, 2008, 67(7-8), , p. 575-582.
- [13] Gohil K., Trivedi P., Molvi K.I., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2005, 67(3), , p. 376-378.
- [14] Singhvi I., Chaturvedi S.C., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1998, 60(5), p. 309-310.
- [15] Bhat L.R., Vora A.T., Godge R.K., Damle M.C., *Indian Drugs*, 2007, 44(12), p. 915-919.
- [16] Chitlange S.S., Imran M.D., Wankhede S.B., Sakarkar D.M., *Journal of Pharmaceutical research*, 2008, 7(1), , p. 36-38.
- [17] Chitlange S.S., Wankhede S.B., Sakarkar D.M., *Journal of Pharmaceutical research*, 2008, 7(1), p. 53-55.
- [18] Nikalje A.G., Choudhari V.P., Kulkarni R.R., Jagdale S.C., Choudhari V., *Journal of Pharmaceutical research*, 2006, 5(4), p. 113-115.

- [19] Mishra P., Gupta A., Shah K., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **2007**, 69(1), p.110-111.
- [20] Rahman N., Singh M., Hoda N., *Il Farmaco*, **2004**, 59(11), P.913-919.
- [21] Juval V., Kumar G., Chaudhary M., Gupta R., Kumar P., Mittal P., Agrawal A.C., *Indian Pharmacist*, **2008**, 7(68), p. 77 -79.
- [22] Dongre V.G., Shah S B., Karmuse P.P., Phadke M., Jadhav V.K., *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, **2008**,46(3), P. 583-586.
- [23] Klinkenberg R., Streel B., Ceccato A., *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, **2003**, 32(2), P. 345-352.
- [24] Kamat K., Chaturvedi S.C., *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **2005**, 67(2), p. 236-239.
- Mohammadi A., Rezanour N., Ansari M., Ghorbani F., Hashem M., Walker R., *Journal of Chromatography B*, **2007**, 846(1-2), P. 215-221