

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Mol Neurosci*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 01.

Published in final edited form as: *J Mol Neurosci.* 2017 October ; 63(2): 185–197. doi:10.1007/s12031-017-0969-y.

Single-base resolution mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine modifications in hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease subjects

Elizabeth M. Ellison^a, Melissa A. Bradley-Whitman^b, and Mark A. Lovell^{a,b,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

^bSanders-Brown Center on Aging, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

Abstract

Epigenetic modifications to cytosine have been shown to regulate transcription in cancer, embryonic development, and recently neurodegeneration. While cytosine methylation studies are now common in neurodegenerative research, hydroxymethylation studies are rare, particularly genome-wide mapping studies. As an initial study to analyze 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) in the Alzheimer's disease (AD) genome, reduced representation hydroxymethylation profiling (RRHP) was used to analyze more than 2 million sites of possible modification in hippocampal DNA of sporadic AD and normal control subjects. Genes with differentially hydroxymethylated regions were filtered based on previously published microarray data for altered gene expression in hippocampal DNA of AD subjects. Our data show significant pathways for altered levels of 5hmC in the hippocampus of AD subjects compared to age-matched normal controls involved in signaling, energy metabolism, cell function, gene expression, protein degradation, and cell structure and stabilization. Overall, our data suggest a possible role for the dysregulation of epigenetic modifications to cytosine in late stage AD.

Keywords

5-hydroxymethylcytosine; Alzheimer's disease; hippocampus; epigenetics

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, and diagnosis of AD is projected to exceed 13 million patients by 2050 (Fargo 2014). AD, the most common type of neurodegeneration in the elderly, is characterized by memory loss, decreased cognition, and specific pathologic markers including amyloid plaques, composed of the amyloid beta (A β) peptide; and neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) composed of hyperphosphorylated microtubule associated protein tau (Walsh & Selkoe 2004). A genetic component has been linked to familial AD, e.g. mutations in amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PS1) and presenilin 2 (PS2) (Selkoe 1997), however, in sporadic/late-

^{*}Corresponding author at: 135 Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, 800 South Limestone S., Lexington, KY 40536, USA, malove2@uky.edu (M. Lovell).

Disclosure statement

The authors have no personal or financial conflict of interest in the research described in this article.

onset AD (LOAD), a clear mechanism of dysfunction and neurodegeneration remains elusive. Several susceptibility genes, most commonly *APOE*, have been identified in large genome-wide association studies, but exactly how these genes influence AD etiology is not well understood (reviewed by (Karch & Goate 2015).

Non-genetic factors, such as oxidative damage and epigenetic modifications, could potentially contribute to the complexity of AD dysfunction. Although oxidative damage plays a role in AD (Zhao & Zhao 2013), the effects of epigenetic modification remain unclear. With the discovery of several oxidative derivatives of 5-methylcytosine (5-mC), the most common epigenetic mark to the genome, generated by the ten-eleven translocase (TET) family of enzymes, the field of epigenetics as it relates to neurodegeneration has advanced steadily.

5-mC is oxidized by TET to generate 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), which is further oxidized by TET to form 5-formylcytosine (5-fC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5-caC) (Tahiliani *et al.* 2009). These derivatives are thought to play a role in regulating gene transcription, although their exact function remains unclear. Methylation of cytosine can deregulate transcription (Nabel *et al.* 2012), but hydroxymethylation modifications show varied effects on gene expression. While 5-hmC modifications of introns and exons are generally thought to provide an increase in gene expression, the presence of this epigenetic mark within promoters has shown conflicting results (Nabel et al. 2012). Boundary locations of modifications (transcription start and stop sites, enhancer regions, etc.) that could potentially be more important than overall distribution of modifications within genomic regions (Wen *et al.* 2014). Further research is needed to elucidate how locations of 5-hmC modifications affect gene expression.

Whether epigenetic marks are altered in AD compared to normal aging is controversial, as global levels of 5-mC and 5-hmC have been reported with seemingly conflicting results, possibly attributed to variations in quantitative/semi-quantitative analytical techniques (Bradley-Whitman & Lovell 2013, Chouliaras *et al.* 2013, Condliffe *et al.* 2014, Coppieters *et al.* 2014, Lashley *et al.* 2014, Mastroeni 2016). Recently, our lab analyzed DNA of brain tissue specimens from cognitively normal and demented subjects using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, and showed altered global levels of 5-mC and 5-hmC, indicating epigenetic modifications to cytosine may play a role in early stages of AD, including preclinical AD and mild cognitive impairment, in brain regions susceptible to plaque and tangle formation (Ellison *et al.* 2017).

While measurement of bulk levels of epigenetic modification provides valuable insight into regions of the brain susceptible to epigenetic changes throughout AD, determination of loci-specific levels of modification throughout the genome could enhance our understanding of how these epigenetic markers affect specific gene targets, as well as gene transcription changes and essential biological pathways and processes deregulated in AD. Genome-wide methylation mapping using bisulfite conversion has been used to analyze differentially methylated regions throughout the genome, providing gene targets relevant to AD progression that may be epigenetically regulated (Bakulski *et al.* 2012) (De Jager *et al.* 2014)

(Lunnon *et al.* 2014). Although bisulfite conversion has been the technique of choice to study genome-wide methylation of cytosine in the past, this technique is unable to distinguish between 5-mC and 5- hmC (Huang *et al.* 2010). Recently, three sequencing techniques, oxBS-seq (oxidative bisulfite sequencing), TAB-seq (TET-assisted bisulfite sequencing), and reduced representation hydroxymethylation profiling (RRHP), have advanced the field by specifically quantifying 5- hmC throughout the genome (Booth *et al.* 2012) (Petterson *et al.* 2014) (Schuler & Miller 2012). RRHP is suggested to be the most sensitive technique, utilizing specific restriction endonuclease enzymes to detect 5-hmC modifications throughout the genome without the use of harsh chemical labeling techniques (Petterson *et al.* 2014).

As a follow up to our global study of altered 5-mC and 5-hmC levels throughout the progression of AD (Ellison et al. 2017), our lab sought to analyze loci-specific levels of 5hmC modifications in DNA isolated from the hippocampus/parahippocampal gyrus (HPG) of late onset AD subjects compared to NC. In this study, we hypothesize that biological pathways with altered hydroxymethylomes relevant to AD will be determined, providing future gene targets to study 5-hmC modification and the potential role these modifications play in AD. Using an in-house RRHP procedure by Zymo Research Corporation (CA, US), millions of sites of potential hydroxymethylation were identified. To find meaningful statistical relationships between counts of 5-hmC between the subject groups, a negative binomial distribution analysis was used. Biological relevance to pathways with altered 5hmC modifications were analyzed using the Cytoscape plugin app, Reactome Functional Interaction (FI) network. Pathways related to signaling, energy metabolism, gene expression, protein degradation, cell function, and cell structure and stabilization were significantly enriched in genes with altered 5-hmC levels according to our analysis, giving insight into the potential role epigenetic modifications to cytosine play in Alzheimer's disease and neurodegeneration.

Materials and methods

AD diagnosis

Tissue specimens were obtained from short postmortem interval (PMI) autopsies of three LOAD and two age-matched normal control (NC) subjects through the Neuropathology Core of the University of Kentucky Alzheimer's Disease Center (UK-ADC), in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and IRB approved protocols. All subjects in the UK-ADC are followed longitudinally, where annual neuropsychological testing and biannual physical examinations are completed (Schmitt *et al.* 2000). All AD subjects met the criteria for the clinical diagnosis of AD based on the AD and Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD) and the National Institute of Aging-Reagan Institute (NIA-RI) neuropathology criteria (McKhann *et al.* 1984, Mirra *et al.* 1991, Hyman & Trojanowski 1997). Postmortem scores of AD-related pathologic markers using Braak stage scoring and diffuse and neuritic plaques were determined as described previously (Braak & Braak 1995, Nelson *et al.* 2007). Cognitive function was determined based on the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein *et al.* 1975) for AD and NC subjects. At autopsy, specimens of hippocampus/

parahippocampal gyrus (HPG) were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until processed for analysis.

DNA isolation

DNA isolation was performed as previously described (Mecocci *et al.* 1993, Wang *et al.* 2006, Bradley-Whitman & Lovell 2013). Briefly, 250 mg of frozen tissue was digested using a 5 mg proteinase-K/10 mL digestion buffer (0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.05 M Tris, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.1 M CaCl₂, pH 7.5) solution and centrifuged at 7,000 × g for 5 min. Nuclear DNA (nDNA) was extracted using 25:24:1 phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol and was precipitated overnight at -20° C in 80 µL of 5 M NaCl and 1.5 mL cold ethanol solution. After three washes in 60% ethanol, the nDNA pellet was dried and resuspended in ddH₂O. Concentration and purity were measured by UV absorption analysis using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, NY, US).

5-hmC whole genome profiling

To analyze sites of 5-hmC modification at single base resolution, 1 μ g of nDNA was submitted to Zymo Research Corporation (ZRC) (CA, US) for analysis by reduced representation hydroxymethylation profiling (RRHP), which utilizes specific restriction endonuclease enzymes to analyze CCGG sequences throughout the genome (Petterson et al. 2014). As described previously by Petterson *et al.* (Petterson et al. 2014), the specific restriction endonuclease MspI cuts between the CC bases in the CCGG sequence. If the internal cytosine (CCGG) is modified as 5-hmC, glucosylation of this site protects it from MspI cleavage and the epigenetic marker can be evaluated at each CCGG site. RRHP library construction and sequencing, as well as alignment to the human genome and count reads were performed by ZRC, resulting in more than 2 million sites of possible 5-hmC modification across the genomes of three LOAD and two NC subjects. Data files were downloaded from ZRC.

Data analysis

Data *BAM* files from ZRC were converted to *BED* format using a bedtools PERL script *bamtobed* (Quinlan & Hall 2010), freely available from the Comprehensive Perl Archive Network (cpan.org). *BED* files were analyzed using an exact negative binomial test (Anders & Huber 2010) which utilizes a sliding window approach in diffReps v1.55.4 (Shen *et al.* 2013), a free PERL script initially designed for analysis of CHIP-Seq count data. In diffReps, the window size was set to 200 base pairs (bp) with a slide of 20 bp. Normalization of read counts within 200 bp windows was performed in diffReps, where raw read counts were linearly scaled by the geometric mean of all the reads in the specified window for each sample. Only windows that pass an initial uncorrected p value ($p < 1e^{-04}$) cutoff were retained. Windows that passed this cutoff were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). To annotate windows to the hg19 human genome, a free PYTHON script *regionanalysis.py*, a now standalone script initially written for diffReps, was used. All scripts were run using command line calling in Terminal v2.6.1 (Apple, Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA).

Due to the small sample size of subjects in this analysis, a conservative cutoff was used in which only windows with an adjusted FDR p value ($p = 1e^{-04}$) were retained for downstream analysis. Sites that aligned to promoter or genebody locations were filtered based on a published microarray of differentially expressed genes in the hippocampus of AD subjects (Blalock *et al.* 2004). This particular hippocampal AD microarray was chosen because the cohort came from the UK-ADC, where enrollment criteria and PMI were the same as the subjects in the current study. This list of 329 overlapping genes was generated for pathway enrichment analysis.

Functional Interaction Network Analysis

Using the Reactome FI (RFI) plugin app available through Cytoscape3.0, a gene network of functionally related genes was created. With the use of linker genes provided by RFI, 322/329 genes with transcription and hydroxymethylation changes in the hippocampus of AD subjects compared to NC were used to create the network. The gene network was then clustered into six modules using spectral partition clustering (Newman 2006) and enrichment scores for module pathways were determined, excluding linker genes to prevent bias and only give pathways relevant to the 322 target genes. Statistical significance for pathway enrichment was determined in RFI using a binomial test (Huang da *et al.* 2009), while multiple comparisons were corrected for using a permutation test to give FDR p values (Vandin *et al.* 2011, Vandin *et al.* 2012). Only pathways with adjusted FDR (p < 0.05) were retained.

Statistical Analysis

To determine significant differences between LOAD and NC demographic subject data, all normally distributed values were analyzed using Student's t test, while non-normally distributed data were analyzed using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. All subject demographic significance tests were performed in SigmaPlot v.13 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

Results

As an initial study to determine differences in site-specific levels of 5-hmC in the HPG of LOAD subjects, the epigenome of three LOAD and two NC subjects were compared. No significant differences were found between groups in PMI or neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) burden in the CA1 using Student's *t* test (p < 0.05) (Table 1). The NFT burden in the subiculum (Sub) of AD subjects was significantly higher compared to NC (p = 0.02, *t*-test). Although epigenetic landscapes have been suggested to change with increasing age (Teschendorff *et al.* 2013, Issa 2014, Shah *et al.* 2014), the ages of LOAD and NC subjects were not significantly different, theoretically correcting for changes in epigenetic mechanisms associated with age. While there was a clear difference in the median Braak stage scores and MMSE between the LOAD and NC groups, the small number of subjects prevented a significant *p* value (p=0.2, Mann-Whitney U).

To analyze 5-hmC across the genome of LOAD subjects, Zymo Research Corporation's RRHP sequencing technique was utilized. By optimizing the relationship between specific

restriction endonucleases and cut sites, 5-hmC can be mapped throughout the genome at the single base resolution. RRHP sequencing identified more than 2 million potential sites of 5-hmC modification at CCGG sequences throughout the genome from 3 LOAD and 2 NC subjects and read counts of 5-hmC at each site were determined by ZRC (Online Resource File 1). Aligned *BAM* files were downloaded from ZRC and analyzed using a sliding window approach to analyze count data across the genome. Due to the complexity of the data set, (i.e. genome wide counts of 5-hmC, likely over-dispersion within the data sets, and biological replicates for each group), a negative binomial distribution was applied.

The window size was set to 200 bp with a slide of 20 bp. The diffReps program uses a default initial statistical cutoff of $p < 1e^{-04}$, which included 3.29e⁰⁸ windows in our analysis. To correct for multiple comparisons, FDR adjusted values were calculated, resulting in 1.65e⁰⁶ windows, which were annotated to the hg19 genome. The statistical cutoff predefined in diffReps for the negative binomial test has an estimated FDR of 0.2%, however, due to the small sample size in our analysis, we chose a conservative adjusted significance p value ($p = 1e^{-04}$) cutoff which included 43,112 windows (Online Resource File 2). Annotation of windows included Ensembl gene and transcript IDs, where single windows mapped to several splice variants for a single gene. After removing duplicated windows annotated to multiple transcript IDs for a single gene, 15,158 unique windows or differentially hydroxymethylated regions (DhmRs) remained. These regions were sorted into increased and decreased levels of 5-hmC in LOAD vs NC subjects (Figure 1). The majority of these DhmRs show increased levels of 5-hmC in the AD genome, 64% overall, mainly confined to promoter and genebody (including intron and exon regions) genomic regions. This overall increase in 5-hmC in AD in intragenic regions is consistent with previously reported genome wide studies of 5-mC/5-hmC in AD (Bernstein et al. 2016, Zhao et al. 2017).

Epigenetic modifications to cytosine are believed to be a mechanism for gene activation and regulation (Breiling & Lyko 2015). To analyze the 5-hmC modification sites relevant to AD gene expression changes, the DhmRs were sorted to only include sites within genes with altered gene expression in sporadic AD in the hippocampus according to a published microarray (Blalock et al. 2004). This narrowed our list to 329 genes with altered expression as well as altered levels of 5-hmC (Table 2).

To determine biologically relevant pathways in AD containing the 329 genes from our analysis, Reactome FI was used to create a gene network of likely interactions. The curated network, containing 322 target genes, was clustered into six modules and pathway enrichment analysis was performed on each module, excluding linker genes included in the network build by RFI (Figure 2). Only pathways with an adjusted FDR (p < 0.05) were retained. To simplify results, significantly enriched pathways within the modules relevant to AD and neurodegeneration are discussed below (for complete list of significantly enriched pathways and genes identified, see Online Resource Files 3a-b).

Enriched pathways highlighted in the current study for the signaling module include calcium signaling, Wnt signaling, synapse signaling, and long-term depression. In the energy metabolism module, the TCA cycle and electron transport, several neurodegenerative

diseases, cGMP-PKG signaling, and axon guidance mechanisms show significant alterations in 5-hmC levels. The cell cycle module included significant enriched pathways related to BER, transcription pathways, notch signaling, and endocytosis. For the gene expression module, RNA polymerase and mitochondrial transcription, as well as processing of premRNA pathways were significantly enriched. Additionally, protein degradation pathways, like ubiquitin mediated proteolysis and degradation of cell cycle proteins, as well as cell structure and stabilization pathways, like focal adhesion, extracellular matrix organization, actin cytoskeleton regulation, and NCAM signaling for neurite out-growth, show significant alterations in 5-hmC (Figure 2b).

Significantly enriched pathways with similar genes, including signaling pathways of four types of neurons (cholinergic, dopaminergic, glutamatergic, and serotonergic neurons) and three neurodegenerative diseases (Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease, and Alzheimer's disease) were combined and the largest adjusted p value for the combined pathways was reported (denoted with \pm in Figure 2). For specific genes within each enriched pathway, please refer to Online Resource File 3b.

Discussion

While there have been great advances in our understanding of AD genes and pathways that lead to neuron dysfunction and degeneration, the mechanism of how sporadic AD begins still remains unanswered. To date, no cure or treatment plan has shown success in halting or slowing the aggressive and destructive progression of this disease. In the absence of genetic mutations to account for loss of function in the sporadic form of AD, environmental factors may hold the key to causal mechanisms. Epigenetic modifications in the disease could potentially be causal to the shift in gene transcription evident in AD progression.

Epigenetic modifications to cytosine are known to play a role in the regulation of transcription although the exact mechanism is not well understood. To filter our list of genes with significantly altered 5-hmC levels in the hippocampus of sporadic AD subjects, a previously published microarray of differentially expressed genes in mild, moderate, and severe cases of sporadic AD compared to age-matched NC subjects was used (Blalock et al. 2004). The resulting list of 329 genes with AD related transcriptional and epigenetic alterations was then subjected to network analysis software to find meaningful biologically relevant pathways related to AD and neurodegeneration. Reactome FI (RFI), a Cytoscape (Shannon et al. 2003) plugin app, combines gene ontology and biological pathways curated from online sources including CellMap (Krogan et al. 2015), Reactome (Milacic et al. 2012, Croft et al. 2014), KEGG pathways (Kanehisa & Goto 2000, Kanehisa et al. 2016, Kanehisa et al. 2017), NCI PID (Schaefer et al. 2009), Panther (Thomas et al. 2003), and BioCarta (Nishimura 2004). From our list of target genes, the curated network, including 322 genes, was clustered into modules containing genes related to signaling, energy metabolism, cell function processes, gene expression, protein degradation, and cell structure and stabilization (Figure 2). The relevance of significantly enriched pathways within each module to AD, as well as specific genes within them, are discussed below.

Several genes identified within significantly enriched pathways in the signaling and cell cycle modules of our network gene ontology analysis have also been implicated as genes that play a role in the pathophysiology of sporadic AD, including complement receptor 1 (*CR1*), bridging integrator 1 (*BIN1*), and clusterin (*CLU*). These genes have continuously appeared as target genes in genome-wide association studies of AD subjects (Lambert *et al.* 2009, Seshadri *et al.* 2010) as well as genome-wide methylation mapping studies (De Jager et al. 2014, Yu *et al.* 2015, Watson *et al.* 2016) for their roles in endocytosis, immune function, lipid processing, and clearance mechanisms (Tan *et al.* 2013). While not as strongly associated with AD genome-wide associate studies as the aforementioned genes, *DLGAP1*, a postsynaptic density regulator, is a suggested gene with AD relevant SNPs and likely plays a role in sporadic AD (Bertram *et al.* 2008).

Deregulation of cellular signaling, particularly at the synapse, is a common feature in neurodegeneration and neuropsychological disorders. Our network analysis showed numerous pathways within the signaling module with altered regions of 5-hmC and expression in the hippocampus. Genes encoding for G proteins (eg. GNA01, GNA14, GNAL, GNAS, GNAZ, GNBI), as well as phospholipase C (eg. PLCB1, PLCE1, PLCG2), and adenylate cyclase (eg. ADCY2, ADCY3, ADCY7, ADCY9) proteins within synapse and calcium signaling cascades regulate gene expression, exocytosis, and long-term depression within neurons according to curated KEGG pathways. Synaptic signaling pathways specific to cholinergic, serotonergic, dopaminergic, and glutamatergic neurons show altered levels of 5-hmC in our study and have been gene targets in neurodegeneration and neuropsychological disorder studies. Protein levels of SHANK2 (SH3 and Multiple Ankyrin Repeat Domains 2) were elevated at the postsynaptic density of AD subjects where glutamate receptor dysfunction leads to destruction of the Shank- postsynaptic platform likely contributing to synapse loss in AD (Gong et al. 2009). Epigenetic dysregulation of HTR2A, 5-Hydroxytryptamine Receptor 2A, occurs in brain regions of early onset schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients (Abdolmaleky et al. 2011), and loss of HTR2A receptors in AD brain correlates to rate of cognitive decline (Lai et al. 2005). Genes within these signaling pathways also regulate sodium (GRIA3), calcium (CACNA1), and potassium (eg. KCNJ14, KCNQ2) ion channels, including KCNA6, a potassium channel gene thought to play a role in insulin secretion and neurotransmitter release (Etcheberrigaray et al. 1994). KCNA6 was also identified as a "hub gene" in a recent 5-hmC mapping study of prefrontal cortex AD brain, associating hydroxymethylation and neuropathological burden (Zhao et al. 2017).

Wnt signaling plays a critical role in many cellular processes including adhesion, survival, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptotic pathways (Clevers & Nusse 2012, Nusse 2012). Many genes within Wnt signaling pathways identified in our analysis have been implicated in AD. Genetic variations in *LRP6* (LDL Receptor Related Protein 6) have been shown to lead to AD progression (De Ferrari *et al.* 2007). One of the susceptibility genes identified for late onset AD, *CLU*, regulates β -amyloid toxicity in the non-canonical Wnt/PCP pathway known to contribute to tau phosphorylation and cognitive decline (Killick *et al.* 2014). A recent study of an APP/PS1 transgenic mouse model of AD showed downregulation of *WNT7B*, involved in synapse organization according to gene ontology analysis (Orre *et al.* 2014a), as well as altered expression of *WNT7B* in hippocampal tissue of AD subjects

(Riise *et al.* 2015). Several studies show that alterations in Wnt signaling pathways are involved in the regulation of synaptic development and likely play a role in the progression of AD (Vargas *et al.* 2014).

Deregulation of energy metabolism processing and mitochondrial function is a major hallmark across neurodegenerative diseases, including Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease, and AD (Godoy et al. 2014). Key mechanisms in the production of energy needed for healthy cellular function include the TCA cycle, electron transport chain processes, and the regulation of insulin signaling processes. Genes involved in regulating the TCA cycle (eg. OGDH) show decreased expression in AD brain and in AD mouse models (Bubber et al. 2005, Ciavardelli et al. 2010). Genes regulating mitochondrial complexes I-V, i.e. the electron transport chain, including NADH dehydrogenases (eg. NDUFA3, NDUFA10, NDUFB3) ATP synthase (eg. ATP5J, ATP5B) succinate dehydrogenase (SDHD), and ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase (UOCRC2), also show transcription alterations across neurological dysfunction disorders (Liang et al. 2008, Ferreira et al. 2010, Zhang et al. 2015). Genes relevant to insulin processing mechanisms (eg INSR, IRS2) necessary for learning and synaptic plasticity (Horwood et al. 2006) also modulate neurotransmission in glutamate receptors and recruitment of GABA receptors to postsynaptic sites (Wan et al. 1997). While there is no definitive link between diabetes and AD, overlapping pathways regulated by insulin suggest a possible role in altered energy metabolism and neurodegeneration (Akter et al. 2011). The deregulation of energy metabolism pathways plays key roles in neuron stabilization and axon guidance and development. Plexins and semaphorins, a family of axon guidance cues, have also been shown to facilitate downstream pathways leading to phosphorylation of tau, ultimately causing microtubule destabilization (Uchida et al. 2005). In a gliomal brain cancer model, PLXNB2 was shown to be an activator of Rho-GTPase pathways, potentially causing downstream affects in dendrite formation and maintenance (Le et al. 2015), while genes in the SEMA3 family of proteins (eg. SEMA3B, SEMA3F) have been shown to facilitate attractive and repulsive axon guidance mechanisms (Falk et al. 2005). Axon initial segments require ANK3 (ankryin 3 or ankryin G), a scaffolding protein, to form and are disrupted by A β plaques in a mouse model of AD, reducing the length of axon segments and overall neuron excitability (Marin et al. 2016).

Alterations in cell function pathways are key features of many diseases, including cancer and neurodegeneration (Klein & Ackerman 2003, Maddika *et al.* 2007). These pathways include base excision repair mechanisms, endocytosis pathways, as well as NOTCH signaling, transcription and gene expression. Oxidative damage to DNA is an established feature of neurodegeneration throughout the progression of AD (Markesbery 1997, Lovell & Markesbery 2007b, Lovell & Markesbery 2007a), and genes involved in base excision repair (BER) mechanisms appear to play a role in epigenetic modifications to cytosine (Weber *et al.* 2016). In our analysis, BER genes (*OGG1*, *TDG*, and *UNG*) show altered levels of 5hmC in the hippocampus and show decreased expression throughout the progression of AD (Weissman *et al.* 2007, Lovell *et al.* 2011). Genes within endocytosis mechanisms, including *FGFR3* and *TGFB1*, show altered levels of expression in AD mouse models (Salins *et al.* 2008, Orre *et al.* 2014b), and *TGFB1* levels were increased in CSF of probable AD patients (Rota *et al.* 2006). *BIN1*, a recently discovered risk factor gene for sporadic AD, not only

regulates endocytosis and other cell function mechanisms, but also may aid in tau pathology development in AD (Tan et al. 2013). Signaling cascades involving the NOTCH family of proteins, regulators of presenilin pathways, and genes with NOTCH signaling pathways, likely play significant roles in amyloid production and plaque formation. AD associated SNPs in NOTCH4 were discovered in a northern Israeli population study, a population with an abnormally high prevalence of sporadic AD (Sherva et al. 2011). NCOR2, a transcriptional regulatory hub gene, affects memory formation in AD brain (Acquaah-Mensah & Taylor 2016), while TCF3 showed increased expression in the hippocampus of AD subjects, along with several integral Wnt signaling genes (Riise et al. 2015). Dysfunction in transcriptional regulatory mechanisms, including regulator genes CREBBP and NR2F6, lead to neuronal apoptosis (Rouaux et al. 2003) and deficits in early memory and learning in an AD mouse model (Kummer et al. 2014). Gene expression mechanisms involving RNA polymerase also regulate splicing pathways (eg. PTBP1, PRPF8, CDC5L), and when deregulated, these genes can alter neuron differentiation pathways, repress premRNA splicing mechanisms, and deregulate cell proliferation (Makeyev et al. 2007, Kurtovic-Kozaric et al. 2015). CDK7, a regulator of cell cycle pathways and a member of a transcription factor complex that activates RNA Polymerase II, is upregulated in the hippocampus of AD subjects (Zhu et al. 2000).

Protein degradation and clearance mechanisms are essential to healthy cellular function, while the disruption of proper clearance and degradation pathways, like the ubiquitinproteasome system, lead to the accumulation of intracellular aggregate-prone proteins ultimately causing cell loss and neurodegeneration (Popovic *et al.* 2014, Zheng *et al.* 2016). Not only in degradation pathways, but the ubiquitination of proteins is also essential for endocytosis, trafficking, signaling, and DNA repair mechanisms (Grabbe *et al.* 2011, Kulathu & Komander 2012, Rieser *et al.* 2013). *PSMA1*, a proteasome protein, showed increased levels in the serum of mild cognitive impairment and probable AD vs cognitively normal subjects (Kang *et al.* 2016). Genes that regulate ubiquitination mechanisms are also involved in Wnt-signaling including *BTRC* and *FZR1. BTRC* regulates phosphorylated βcatenin (Hart *et al.* 1999), a Wnt-pathway gene involved in regulating gene transcription, where increased levels of phosphorylated β-catenin lead to proteasome dysfunction (Ghanevati & Miller 2005). While ubiquitin mediated protein degradation appears to play a significant role in the progression of neurodegeneration and in several pathways critical to healthy cellular function, the exact mechanism is not well understood (Zheng et al. 2016).

The maintenance and regulation of pathways involved in cell structure and stabilization play important roles in neuronal function and healthy synapse formation. Focal adhesions are structures that form between membrane receptors and the actin cytoskeleton, signaling molecules that result in the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, and can regulate gene expression via growth factor mediated signaling (Iskratsch *et al.* 2014). Focal adhesion genes, including integrin receptors (eg. *ITGB4, ITGB5, ITGB8*) and collagen proteins (eg. *COL4A1, COL4A3, COL6A2*) regulate extracellular matrix organization mechanisms and lie upstream of actin polymerization and Wnt-signaling pathways. The proper regulation of actin is essential for healthy cell homeostasis. The phosphorylation of LIM domain kinase (eg. *LIMK2*) leads to phosphorylation of cofilin, an actin severing protein, rendering it inactive, and allowing for actin cytoskeleton reorganization (Sumi *et al.* 1999). Cofilin is

activated by the dephosphorylation of slingshot, which is activated by the phosphorylation by p21 activated protein kinase 4 (*PAK4*) (Soosairajah *et al.* 2005). Activation of cofilin leads to actin filament turnover, while the inactivation of cofilin leads to actin cytoskeleton reorganization. Current studies show that cofilin is deregulated in AD (Barone *et al.* 2014). *NRXN1*, a presynaptic ligand linked to autism, is thought to be a component of the synaptic regulatory pathway, where dysregulation of neurexins and neuroligins can lead to alterations in dendritic spine morphology (Sudhof 2008, Penzes *et al.* 2011).

The precise role of epigenetic modifications to cytosine in neurodegeneration remains to be determined, however it is clear that levels of 5-mC and 5-hmC are altered in AD and other neurodegenerative diseases on global and loci-specific scales (Sanchez-Mut et al. 2016, Ellison et al. 2017). While this initial study of loci-specific levels of 5-hmC in the hippocampus of LOAD subjects compared to NC was limited by sample size, to obtain a better understanding of changes to epigenetic marks in AD, larger sample sizes should be evaluated. Advances in loci- specific techniques to study whole epigenomes have increased greatly in the past several years, however the cost of this new technology is high, limiting the number of subjects per analysis. Although samples sizes were small, two previously published studies of differentially hydroxymethylated regions of the AD genome in prefrontal cortex tissue specimens (Bernstein et al. 2016, Zhao et al. 2017) showed several overlapping genes with altered hydroxymethylation in our gene list. One gene common to all three genome-wide 5-hmC studies in AD brain, myelin transcription factor 1-like (MYT1L), was used to produce induced neuronal cells and has been described as a neuronal fate inducing factor (Vierbuchen et al. 2010), however the function of MYT1L in AD brain has yet to be determined. The overlap of genes within these studies, despite variation in brain regions analyzed (hippocampus and prefrontal cortex) and discrepancy in sequencing techniques (5-hmC single base resolution mapping and 5-hmC enrichment analysis), strengthens the validity of this initial study of genes within biologically relevant pathways that show alterations in 5-hmC levels as well as alteration in gene expression in the hippocampus of LOAD subjects.

It should also be noted that genes with DhmRs in this analysis represent a mixture of cell types, including neuronal and glial cells. While 5-hmC levels are cell-type specific (Nestor *et al.* 2012), the current study lacks the specificity to identify whether 5-hmC alterations in AD are representative of glia, neurons, or a combination of both. Significant changes to levels of 5-mC and 5-hmC have been shown in both neuronal and glial cells in previous AD studies (Mastroeni *et al.* 2010, Chouliaras et al. 2013), however further research is needed to clarify the specific roles both neuronal and glial cytosine modifications play in AD.

Finally, the gender distribution of the subjects, including one male subject in the LOAD subject pool, should be considered. Exclusion of this subject, resulting in two female LOAD and two female NC subjects, gave 231 of the original 329 genes using the conservative FDR cutoff (p 1e⁻⁰⁴). Enrichment analysis using RFI gave similar results, including significant enrichment (FDR, p < 0.05) in pathways involved in signaling, energy metabolism, cell function, gene expression, degradation, and cell structure and stabilization (Online Resource File 4). However, in future studies, similar distributions in gender should be analyzed to

prevent gender bias and achieve a more complete picture of how epigenetic modifications affect gene expression in AD.

Conclusion

It remains unclear if epigenetic modifications are upstream or downstream of neurodegeneration associated with the progression of AD, but it is highly likely that epigenetics play a role in the disease. Whether these changes instigate pathology or are an attempt to reestablish homeostasis still remains to be determined. To analyze the exact role, changes in early stages of AD may give clearer insights into the mechanism of dysfunction rather than levels of markers in the final stage of the disease. However, suggested pathways that seem to be deregulated in late stage AD, both changes to transcriptional states as well as epigenetic modifications, could give insight into mechanisms that are altered in AD, providing possible therapeutic targets to study earlier in disease progression.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health grant P30-AG028383 and by a grant from the office of the Vice President for Research of the University of Kentucky. The authors thank the UK-ADC Biostatistics Core for subject data and Ms. Paula Thomason for editorial assistance.

References

- Abdolmaleky HM, Yaqubi S, Papageorgis P, Lambert AW, Ozturk S, Sivaraman V, Thiagalingam S. Epigenetic dysregulation of HTR2A in the brain of patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Schizophrenia research. 2011; 129:183–190. [PubMed: 21550210]
- Acquaah-Mensah GK, Taylor RC. Brain in situ hybridization maps as a source for reverse-engineering transcriptional regulatory networks: Alzheimer's disease insights. Gene. 2016; 586:77–86. [PubMed: 27050105]
- Akter K, Lanza EA, Martin SA, Myronyuk N, Rua M, Raffa RB. Diabetes mellitus and Alzheimer's disease: shared pathology and treatment? British journal of clinical pharmacology. 2011; 71:365– 376. [PubMed: 21284695]
- Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 2010; 11:R106. [PubMed: 20979621]
- Bakulski KM, Dolinoy DC, Sartor MA, Paulson HL, Konen JR, Lieberman AP, Albin RL, Hu H, Rozek LS. Genome-wide DNA methylation differences between late- onset Alzheimer's disease and cognitively normal controls in human frontal cortex. Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD. 2012; 29:571–588. [PubMed: 22451312]
- Barone E, Mosser S, Fraering PC. Inactivation of brain Cofilin-1 by age, Alzheimer's disease and gamma-secretase. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2014; 1842:2500–2509. [PubMed: 25315299]
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Ser B Stat Methodol. 1995; 57:289–300.
- Bernstein AI, Lin Y, Street RC, et al. 5-Hydroxymethylation-associated epigenetic modifiers of Alzheimer's disease modulate Tau-induced neurotoxicity. Human molecular genetics. 2016; 25:2437–2450. [PubMed: 27060332]
- Bertram L, Lange C, Mullin K, et al. Genome-wide association analysis reveals putative Alzheimer's disease susceptibility loci in addition to APOE. American journal of human genetics. 2008; 83:623– 632. [PubMed: 18976728]

- Blalock EM, Geddes JW, Chen KC, Porter NM, Markesbery WR, Landfield PW. Incipient Alzheimer's disease: microarray correlation analyses reveal major transcriptional and tumor suppressor responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004; 101:2173–2178. [PubMed: 14769913]
- Booth MJ, Branco MR, Ficz G, Oxley D, Krueger F, Reik W, Balasubramanian S. Quantitative sequencing of 5-methylcytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine at single-base resolution. Science. 2012; 336:934–937. [PubMed: 22539555]
- Braak H, Braak E. Staging of Alzheimer's disease-related neurofibrillary changes. Neurobiol Aging. 1995; 16:271–278. discussion 278-284. [PubMed: 7566337]
- Bradley-Whitman MA, Lovell MA. Epigenetic changes in the progression of Alzheimer's disease. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2013; 134:486–495. [PubMed: 24012631]
- Breiling A, Lyko F. Epigenetic regulatory functions of DNA modifications: 5- methylcytosine and beyond. Epigenetics & chromatin. 2015; 8:24. [PubMed: 26195987]
- Bubber P, Haroutunian V, Fisch G, Blass JP, Gibson GE. Mitochondrial abnormalities in Alzheimer brain: mechanistic implications. Annals of neurology. 2005; 57:695–703. [PubMed: 15852400]
- Chouliaras L, Mastroeni D, Delvaux E, et al. Consistent decrease in global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in the hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease patients. Neurobiol Aging. 2013; 34:2091–2099. [PubMed: 23582657]
- Ciavardelli D, Silvestri E, Del Viscovo A, et al. Alterations of brain and cerebellar proteomes linked to Abeta and tau pathology in a female triple-transgenic murine model of Alzheimer's disease. Cell death & disease. 2010; 1:e90. [PubMed: 21368863]
- Clevers H, Nusse R. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and disease. Cell. 2012; 149:1192–1205. [PubMed: 22682243]
- Condliffe D, Wong A, Troakes C, et al. Cross-region reduction in 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in Alzheimer's disease brain. Neurobiol Aging. 2014; 35:1850–1854. [PubMed: 24679604]
- Coppieters N, Dieriks BV, Lill C, Faull RL, Curtis MA, Dragunow M. Global changes in DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in Alzheimer's disease human brain. Neurobiol Aging. 2014; 35:1334–1344. [PubMed: 24387984]
- Croft D, Mundo AF, Haw R, et al. The Reactome pathway knowledgebase. Nucleic acids research. 2014; 42:D472–477. [PubMed: 24243840]
- De Ferrari GV, Papassotiropoulos A, Biechele T, et al. Common genetic variation within the lowdensity lipoprotein receptor-related protein 6 and late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007; 104:9434–9439. [PubMed: 17517621]
- De Jager PL, Srivastava G, Lunnon K, et al. Alzheimer's disease: early alterations in brain DNA methylation at ANK1, BIN1, RHBDF2 and other loci. Nature neuroscience. 2014; 17:1156–1163. [PubMed: 25129075]
- Ellison EM, Abner EL, Lovell MA. Multiregional analysis of global 5-methylcytosine and 5hydroxymethylcytosine throughout the progression of Alzheimer's disease. Journal of neurochemistry. 2017; 140:383–394. [PubMed: 27889911]
- Etcheberrigaray E, Gibson GE, Alkon DL. Molecular mechanisms of memory and the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1994; 747:245–255. [PubMed: 7847674]
- Falk J, Bechara A, Fiore R, et al. Dual functional activity of semaphorin 3B is required for positioning the anterior commissure. Neuron. 2005; 48:63–75. [PubMed: 16202709]
- Fargo K. 2014 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association. 2014; 10:e47–92.
- Ferreira IL, Resende R, Ferreiro E, Rego AC, Pereira CF. Multiple defects in energy metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. Current drug targets. 2010; 11:1193–1206. [PubMed: 20840064]
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of psychiatric research. 1975; 12:189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]

- Ghanevati M, Miller CA. Phospho-beta-catenin accumulation in Alzheimer's disease and in aggresomes attributable to proteasome dysfunction. Journal of molecular neuroscience: MN. 2005; 25:79–94. [PubMed: 15781969]
- Godoy JA, Rios JA, Zolezzi JM, Braidy N, Inestrosa NC. Signaling pathway cross talk in Alzheimer's disease. Cell communication and signaling: CCS. 2014; 12:23. [PubMed: 24679124]
- Gong Y, Lippa CF, Zhu J, Lin Q, Rosso AL. Disruption of glutamate receptors at Shank-postsynaptic platform in Alzheimer's disease. Brain research. 2009; 1292:191–198. [PubMed: 19635471]
- Grabbe C, Husnjak K, Dikic I. The spatial and temporal organization of ubiquitin networks. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology. 2011; 12:295–307. [PubMed: 21448225]
- Hart M, Concordet JP, Lassot I, et al. The F-box protein beta-TrCP associates with phosphorylated beta-catenin and regulates its activity in the cell. Current biology: CB. 1999; 9:207–210. [PubMed: 10074433]
- Horwood JM, Dufour F, Laroche S, Davis S. Signalling mechanisms mediated by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt cascade in synaptic plasticity and memory in the rat. The European journal of neuroscience. 2006; 23:3375–3384. [PubMed: 16820027]
- Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic acids research. 2009; 37:1–13. [PubMed: 19033363]
- Huang Y, Pastor WA, Shen Y, Tahiliani M, Liu DR, Rao A. The behaviour of 5hydroxymethylcytosine in bisulfite sequencing. PloS one. 2010; 5:e8888. [PubMed: 20126651]
- Hyman BT, Trojanowski JQ. Consensus recommendations for the postmortem diagnosis of Alzheimer disease from the National Institute on Aging and the Reagan Institute Working Group on diagnostic criteria for the neuropathological assessment of Alzheimer disease. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology. 1997; 56:1095–1097. [PubMed: 9329452]
- Iskratsch T, Wolfenson H, Sheetz MP. Appreciating force and shape-the rise of mechanotransduction in cell biology. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology. 2014; 15:825–833. [PubMed: 25355507]
- Issa JP. Aging and epigenetic drift: a vicious cycle. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2014; 124:24– 29. [PubMed: 24382386]
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic acids research. 2017; 45:D353–D361. [PubMed: 27899662]
- Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic acids research. 2000; 28:27–30. [PubMed: 10592173]
- Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic acids research. 2016; 44:D457–462. [PubMed: 26476454]
- Kang S, Jeong H, Baek JH, et al. PiB-PET Imaging-Based Serum Proteome Profiles Predict Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer's Disease. Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD. 2016; 53:1563–1576. [PubMed: 27392853]
- Karch CM, Goate AM. Alzheimer's disease risk genes and mechanisms of disease pathogenesis. Biological psychiatry. 2015; 77:43–51. [PubMed: 24951455]
- Killick R, Ribe EM, Al-Shawi R, et al. Clusterin regulates beta-amyloid toxicity via Dickkopf-1-driven induction of the wnt-PCP-JNK pathway. Molecular psychiatry. 2014; 19:88–98. [PubMed: 23164821]
- Klein JA, Ackerman SL. Oxidative stress, cell cycle, and neurodegeneration. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2003; 111:785–793. [PubMed: 12639981]
- Krogan NJ, Lippman S, Agard DA, Ashworth A, Ideker T. The cancer cell map initiative: defining the hallmark networks of cancer. Molecular cell. 2015; 58:690–698. [PubMed: 26000852]
- Kulathu Y, Komander D. Atypical ubiquitylation the unexplored world of polyubiquitin beyond Lys48 and Lys63 linkages. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology. 2012; 13:508–523. [PubMed: 22820888]
- Kummer MP, Hammerschmidt T, Martinez A, et al. Ear2 deletion causes early memory and learning deficits in APP/PS1 mice. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2014; 34:8845–8854. [PubMed: 24966384]
- Kurtovic-Kozaric A, Przychodzen B, Singh J, et al. PRPF8 defects cause missplicing in myeloid malignancies. Leukemia. 2015; 29:126–136. [PubMed: 24781015]

- Lai MK, Tsang SW, Alder JT, Keene J, Hope T, Esiri MM, Francis PT, Chen CP. Loss of serotonin 5-HT2A receptors in the postmortem temporal cortex correlates with rate of cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. Psychopharmacology. 2005; 179:673–677. [PubMed: 15551121]
- Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics. 2009; 41:1094–1099. [PubMed: 19734903]
- Lashley T, Gami P, Valizadeh N, Li A, Revesz T, Balazs R. Alterations in global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation are not detected in Alzheimer's disease. Neuropathology and applied neurobiology. 2014
- Le AP, Huang Y, Pingle SC, Kesari S, Wang H, Yong RL, Zou H, Friedel RH. Plexin-B2 promotes invasive growth of malignant glioma. Oncotarget. 2015; 6:7293–7304. [PubMed: 25762646]
- Liang WS, Reiman EM, Valla J, et al. Alzheimer's disease is associated with reduced expression of energy metabolism genes in posterior cingulate neurons. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008; 105:4441–4446. [PubMed: 18332434]
- Lovell MA, Markesbery WR. Oxidative damage in mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. Journal of neuroscience research. 2007a; 85:3036–3040. [PubMed: 17510979]
- Lovell MA, Markesbery WR. Oxidative DNA damage in mild cognitive impairment and late-stage Alzheimer's disease. Nucleic acids research. 2007b; 35:7497–7504. [PubMed: 17947327]
- Lovell MA, Soman S, Bradley MA. Oxidatively modified nucleic acids in preclinical Alzheimer's disease (PCAD) brain. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2011; 132:443–448. [PubMed: 21878349]
- Lunnon K, Smith R, Hannon E, et al. Methylomic profiling implicates cortical deregulation of ANK1 in Alzheimer's disease. Nature neuroscience. 2014; 17:1164–1170. [PubMed: 25129077]
- Maddika S, Ande SR, Panigrahi S, et al. Cell survival, cell death and cell cycle pathways are interconnected: implications for cancer therapy. Drug resistance updates: reviews and commentaries in antimicrobial and anticancer chemotherapy. 2007; 10:13–29. [PubMed: 17303468]
- Makeyev EV, Zhang J, Carrasco MA, Maniatis T. The MicroRNA miR-124 promotes neuronal differentiation by triggering brain-specific alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Molecular cell. 2007; 27:435–448. [PubMed: 17679093]
- Marin MA, Ziburkus J, Jankowsky J, Rasband MN. Amyloid-beta plaques disrupt axon initial segments. Experimental neurology. 2016; 281:93–98. [PubMed: 27109181]
- Markesbery WR. Oxidative stress hypothesis in Alzheimer's disease. Free radical biology & medicine. 1997; 23:134–147. [PubMed: 9165306]
- Mastroeni D, Grover A, Delvaux E, Whiteside C, Coleman PD, Rogers J. Epigenetic changes in Alzheimer's disease: decrements in DNA methylation. Neurobiol Aging. 2010; 31:2025–2037. [PubMed: 19117641]
- Mastroeni D, C L, Van den Hove D, Nolz J, Rutten B, Delvaux E, Coleman P. Increased 5hydroxymethylation levels in the sub ventricular zone of the Alzheimer's brain. Neuroepigenetics. 2016; 6:26–31.
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology. 1984; 34:939–944. [PubMed: 6610841]
- Mecocci P, MacGarvey U, Kaufman AE, Koontz D, Shoffner JM, Wallace DC, Beal MF. Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA shows marked age-dependent increases in human brain. Annals of neurology. 1993; 34:609–616. [PubMed: 8215249]
- Milacic M, Haw R, Rothfels K, Wu G, Croft D, Hermjakob H, D'Eustachio P, Stein L. Annotating cancer variants and anti-cancer therapeutics in reactome. Cancers. 2012; 4:1180–1211. [PubMed: 24213504]
- Mirra SS, Heyman A, McKeel D, et al. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part II. Standardization of the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. Neurology. 1991; 41:479–486. [PubMed: 2011243]

- Nabel CS, Manning SA, Kohli RM. The curious chemical biology of cytosine: deamination, methylation, and oxidation as modulators of genomic potential. ACS chemical biology. 2012; 7:20–30. [PubMed: 22004246]
- Nelson PT, Jicha GA, Schmitt FA, Liu H, Davis DG, Mendiondo MS, Abner EL, Markesbery WR. Clinicopathologic correlations in a large Alzheimer disease center autopsy cohort: neuritic plaques and neurofibrillary tangles "do count" when staging disease severity. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology. 2007; 66:1136–1146. [PubMed: 18090922]
- Nestor CE, Ottaviano R, Reddington J, et al. Tissue type is a major modifier of the 5hydroxymethylcytosine content of human genes. Genome research. 2012; 22:467–477. [PubMed: 22106369]
- Newman ME. Modularity and community structure in networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2006; 103:8577–8582. [PubMed: 16723398]
- Nishimura D. Biotech Software & Internet Report. 2004; 2:117–120.
- Nusse R. Wnt signaling. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2012; 4
- Orre M, Kamphuis W, Osborn LM, Jansen AH, Kooijman L, Bossers K, Hol EM. Isolation of glia from Alzheimer's mice reveals inflammation and dysfunction. Neurobiol Aging. 2014a; 35:2746– 2760. [PubMed: 25002035]
- Orre M, Kamphuis W, Osborn LM, Melief J, Kooijman L, Huitinga I, Klooster J, Bossers K, Hol EM. Acute isolation and transcriptome characterization of cortical astrocytes and microglia from young and aged mice. Neurobiol Aging. 2014b; 35:1–14. [PubMed: 23954174]
- Penzes P, Cahill ME, Jones KA, VanLeeuwen JE, Woolfrey KM. Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. Nature neuroscience. 2011; 14:285–293. [PubMed: 21346746]
- Petterson A, Chung TH, Tan D, Sun X, Jia XY. RRHP: a tag-based approach for 5hydroxymethylcytosine mapping at single-site resolution. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:456. [PubMed: 25248841]
- Popovic D, Vucic D, Dikic I. Ubiquitination in disease pathogenesis and treatment. Nature medicine. 2014; 20:1242–1253.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:841–842. [PubMed: 20110278]
- Rieser E, Cordier SM, Walczak H. Linear ubiquitination: a newly discovered regulator of cell signalling. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2013; 38:94–102. [PubMed: 23333406]
- Riise J, Plath N, Pakkenberg B, Parachikova A. Aberrant Wnt signaling pathway in medial temporal lobe structures of Alzheimer's disease. Journal of neural transmission. 2015; 122:1303–1318. [PubMed: 25680440]
- Rota E, Bellone G, Rocca P, Bergamasco B, Emanuelli G, Ferrero P. Increased intrathecal TGF-beta1, but not IL-12, IFN-gamma and IL-10 levels in Alzheimer's disease patients. Neurological sciences: official journal of the Italian Neurological Society and of the Italian Society of Clinical Neurophysiology. 2006; 27:33–39.
- Rouaux C, Jokic N, Mbebi C, Boutillier S, Loeffler JP, Boutillier AL. Critical loss of CBP/p300 histone acetylase activity by caspase-6 during neurodegeneration. The EMBO journal. 2003; 22:6537–6549. [PubMed: 14657026]
- Salins P, He Y, Olson K, Glazner G, Kashour T, Amara F. TGF-beta1 is increased in a transgenic mouse model of familial Alzheimer's disease and causes neuronal apoptosis. Neuroscience letters. 2008; 430:81–86. [PubMed: 18063474]
- Sanchez-Mut JV, Heyn H, Vidal E, et al. Human DNA methylomes of neurodegenerative diseases show common epigenomic patterns. Translational psychiatry. 2016; 6:e718. [PubMed: 26784972]
- Schaefer CF, Anthony K, Krupa S, Buchoff J, Day M, Hannay T, Buetow KH. PID: the Pathway Interaction Database. Nucleic acids research. 2009; 37:D674–679. [PubMed: 18832364]
- Schmitt FA, Davis DG, Wekstein DR, Smith CD, Ashford JW, Markesbery WR. "Preclinical" AD revisited: neuropathology of cognitively normal older adults. Neurology. 2000; 55:370–376. [PubMed: 10932270]
- Schuler P, Miller AK. Sequencing the sixth base (5-hydroxymethylcytosine): selective DNA oxidation enables base-pair resolution. Angewandte Chemie. 2012; 51:10704–10707. [PubMed: 23011896]

- Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's disease: genotypes, phenotypes, and treatments. Science. 1997; 275:630–631. [PubMed: 9019820]
- Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, et al. Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. Jama. 2010; 303:1832–1840. [PubMed: 20460622]
- Shah S, McRae AF, Marioni RE, et al. Genetic and environmental exposures constrain epigenetic drift over the human life course. Genome research. 2014; 24:1725–1733. [PubMed: 25249537]
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome research. 2003; 13:2498–2504. [PubMed: 14597658]
- Shen L, Shao NY, Liu X, Maze I, Feng J, Nestler EJ. diffReps: detecting differential chromatin modification sites from ChIP-seq data with biological replicates. PloS one. 2013; 8:e65598. [PubMed: 23762400]
- Sherva R, Baldwin CT, Inzelberg R, et al. Identification of novel candidate genes for Alzheimer's disease by autozygosity mapping using genome wide SNP data. Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD. 2011; 23:349–359. [PubMed: 21098978]
- Soosairajah J, Maiti S, Wiggan O, Sarmiere P, Moussi N, Sarcevic B, Sampath R, Bamburg JR, Bernard O. Interplay between components of a novel LIM kinase-slingshot phosphatase complex regulates cofilin. The EMBO journal. 2005; 24:473–486. [PubMed: 15660133]
- Sudhof TC. Neuroligins and neurexins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. Nature. 2008; 455:903–911. [PubMed: 18923512]
- Sumi T, Matsumoto K, Takai Y, Nakamura T. Cofilin phosphorylation and actin cytoskeletal dynamics regulated by rho- and Cdc42-activated LIM-kinase 2. The Journal of cell biology. 1999; 147:1519–1532. [PubMed: 10613909]
- Tahiliani M, Koh KP, Shen Y, et al. Conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5- hydroxymethylcytosine in mammalian DNA by MLL partner TET1. Science. 2009; 324:930–935. [PubMed: 19372391]
- Tan MS, Yu JT, Tan L. Bridging integrator 1 (BIN1): form, function, and Alzheimer's disease. Trends in molecular medicine. 2013; 19:594–603. [PubMed: 23871436]
- Teschendorff AE, West J, Beck S. Age-associated epigenetic drift: implications, and a case of epigenetic thrift? Human molecular genetics. 2013; 22:R7–R15. [PubMed: 23918660]
- Thomas PD, Campbell MJ, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Karlak B, Daverman R, Diemer K, Muruganujan A, Narechania A. PANTHER: a library of protein families and subfamilies indexed by function. Genome research. 2003; 13:2129–2141. [PubMed: 12952881]
- Uchida Y, Ohshima T, Sasaki Y, et al. Semaphorin3A signalling is mediated via sequential Cdk5 and GSK3beta phosphorylation of CRMP2: implication of common phosphorylating mechanism underlying axon guidance and Alzheimer's disease. Genes to cells: devoted to molecular & cellular mechanisms. 2005; 10:165–179. [PubMed: 15676027]
- Vandin F, Clay P, Upfal E, Raphael BJ. Discovery of mutated subnetworks associated with clinical data in cancer. Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing. 2012:55– 66. [PubMed: 22174262]
- Vandin F, Upfal E, Raphael BJ. Algorithms for detecting significantly mutated pathways in cancer. Journal of computational biology: a journal of computational molecular cell biology. 2011; 18:507–522. [PubMed: 21385051]
- Vargas JY, Fuenzalida M, Inestrosa NC. In vivo activation of Wnt signaling pathway enhances cognitive function of adult mice and reverses cognitive deficits in an Alzheimer's disease model. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2014; 34:2191– 2202. [PubMed: 24501359]
- Vierbuchen T, Ostermeier A, Pang ZP, Kokubu Y, Sudhof TC, Wernig M. Direct conversion of fibroblasts to functional neurons by defined factors. Nature. 2010; 463:1035–1041. [PubMed: 20107439]
- Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ. Deciphering the molecular basis of memory failure in Alzheimer's disease. Neuron. 2004; 44:181–193. [PubMed: 15450169]
- Wan Q, Xiong ZG, Man HY, Ackerley CA, Braunton J, Lu WY, Becker LE, MacDonald JF, Wang YT. Recruitment of functional GABA(A) receptors to postsynaptic domains by insulin. Nature. 1997; 388:686–690. [PubMed: 9262404]

- Wang J, Markesbery WR, Lovell MA. Increased oxidative damage in nuclear and mitochondrial DNA in mild cognitive impairment. Journal of neurochemistry. 2006; 96:825–832. [PubMed: 16405502]
- Watson CT, Roussos P, Garg P, Ho DJ, Azam N, Katsel PL, Haroutunian V, Sharp AJ. Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling in the superior temporal gyrus reveals epigenetic signatures associated with Alzheimer's disease. Genome medicine. 2016; 8:5. [PubMed: 26803900]
- Weber AR, Krawczyk C, Robertson AB, Kusnierczyk A, Vagbo CB, Schuermann D, Klungland A, Schar P. Biochemical reconstitution of TET1-TDG-BER-dependent active DNA demethylation reveals a highly coordinated mechanism. Nature communications. 2016; 7:10806.
- Weissman L, Jo DG, Sorensen MM, de Souza-Pinto NC, Markesbery WR, Mattson MP, Bohr VA. Defective DNA base excision repair in brain from individuals with Alzheimer's disease and amnestic mild cognitive impairment. Nucleic acids research. 2007; 35:5545–5555. [PubMed: 17704129]
- Wen L, Li X, Yan L, et al. Whole-genome analysis of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine and 5- methylcytosine at base resolution in the human brain. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:R49. [PubMed: 24594098]
- Wen L, Tang F. Genomic distribution and possible functions of DNA hydroxymethylation in the brain. Genomics. 2014
- Yu L, Chibnik LB, Srivastava GP, et al. Association of Brain DNA methylation in SORL1, ABCA7, HLA-DRB5, SLC24A4, and BIN1 with pathological diagnosis of Alzheimer disease. JAMA neurology. 2015; 72:15–24. [PubMed: 25365775]
- Zhang L, Guo XQ, Chu JF, Zhang X, Yan ZR, Li YZ. Potential hippocampal genes and pathways involved in Alzheimer's disease: a bioinformatic analysis. Genetics and molecular research: GMR. 2015; 14:7218–7232. [PubMed: 26125932]
- Zhao J, Zhu Y, Yang J, Li L, Wu H, De Jager PL, Jin P, Bennett DA. A genome-wide profiling of brain DNA hydroxymethylation in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association. 2017
- Zhao Y, Zhao B. Oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity. 2013; 2013:316523. [PubMed: 23983897]
- Zheng Q, Huang T, Zhang L, Zhou Y, Luo H, Xu H, Wang X. Dysregulation of Ubiquitin-Proteasome System in Neurodegenerative Diseases. Frontiers in aging neuroscience. 2016; 8:303. [PubMed: 28018215]
- Zhu X, Rottkamp CA, Raina AK, Brewer GJ, Ghanbari HA, Boux H, Smith MA. Neuronal CDK7 in hippocampus is related to aging and Alzheimer disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2000; 21:807–813. [PubMed: 11124424]



Figure 1. 5-hmC distribution

Overall distribution of 15,158 DhmRs in intragenic and intergenic genomic locations. Intragenic locations, including promoter and genebody (exons and introns) regions, show the majority of DhmRs. Distribution of genomic regions for increased (white) and decreased (gray) DhmRs passing FDR cutoff ($p = 1e^{-04}$) are labeled.



-			
	-		
	-		
z			
r.	-	۰.	

Function	Cluster	Pathways	FDR
Signaling	Module 0	Calcium Signaling	2.23e-06
		Long-term depression	1.15e-05
		Wnt Signaling	3.89e-03
		Synapse signaling	7.59e-03 +
Energy Metabolism	Module 1	TCA cycle and electron transport	1.53e-05
		Neurodegenerative diseases	1.15e-04 +
		cGMP-PKG signaling	7.72e-03
		Axon guidance	1.19e-02
Cell Cycle	Module 2	Base excision repair, AP site formation	5.56e-04
		Generic Transcription Pathways	1.01e-02
		Notch signaling pathway	2.26e-02
		Endocytosis	4.92e-02
Gene Expression	Module 3	RNA Polymerase I and III and Mitochondrial Transcription	7.40e-03
		Processing of pre-mRNA	7.40e-03
Protein Degradation	Module 4	Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis	9.68e-04
		Degradation of cell cycle proteins	2.50e-02
Cell Structure and Stabilization	Module 5	Focal adhesion	8.18e-12
		Extracellular matrix organization	6.77e-10
		Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	2.40e-04
		NCAM signaling for neurite out-growth	1.43e-02

Figure 2. Functional Interactive network

Using Reactome FI, a gene network was created using 322 genes with significant alterations in 5-hmC. Linker genes are shown as diamonds, while the 322 target genes are depicted with circles (a). The network was clustered into six modules and pathway enrichment analysis was performed. To correct for multiple comparisons, false discovery rate p values were calculated (p < 0.05). Significantly enriched pathways within each module are listed with p values (b). Like terms were combined (*Neurodegenerative disease*: Huntington disease,

Parkinson's disease, and AD; *Synapse signaling*: dopaminergic, serotoninergic, cholinergic, and glutamatergic synapse signaling) and the least significant FDR was reported (\pm).

Table 1

Demographic data of LOAD and NC subjects.

Demographics	NC	LOAD
Gender	2F	2F/1M
Age (y)	84.5 ± 0.7	85.3 ± 4.0
PMI (h)	2.5	3.0 ± 0.7
MMSE	29	5
Braak Stage	0	VI
NFT (CA1)	0	66.5 ± 38.9
NFT (Sub)	0	109.5 ± 30.6 *

Age, postmortem interval (PMI), Braak stage score, MMSE and neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) burden were compared. NFT burden values were determined for CA1 and subiculum (Sub) HPG regions. Statistical significance was determined using Student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U (MWU) analysis

* p < 0.05.

Age, PMI, and NFT counts are given as mean ± SD, while MMSE and Braak Stage are median scores for each subject group.

Table 2

Modules and genes from Reactome FI network analysis.

RFI Network Module	Gene List
Module 0: Signaling	ABL1, ABLIM1, ACTR2, ADCY2, APBA2, ARHGEF10, ARHGEF16, C4B, CACNA1A, CDH10, CDH13, CDH4, CLIC2, CNTNAP2, CR1, CSK, DGKG, DIAPH2, DLGAP1, ENAH, F2R, GAK, GNA12, GNA14, GNAL, GNA01, GNAS, GNAZ, GPR4, GRIA3, GRIN2A, GRM6, HTR2A, IQGAP1, ITPK1, JPH3, KCNA6, KCNAB1, KCNAB2, KCNJ14, LRP1B, LRP6, MADCAM1, MAP2K3, MYO1C, MYO1F, MYO9B, NFATC1, NMB, PDE4C, PLCB1, PLCE1, PLCG2, PLCL2, PRKAR1B, PRKAR2B, PTN, RAB22A, RALBP1, RALGDS, RAMP1, RANBP3, RTN1, SH3BP2, SHANK2, STK11, TRPV1, ULK2, WNT7B
Module 1: Energy Metabolism	AATK, ACATI, ANK3, AP2BI, APC2, ATP1A2, ATP2B2, ATP5B, ATP5J, ATP5J2, BAIAP3, BGN, CADPS, CAP2, CEPT1, CHST3, CSNK1E, EDAR, EHD1, ELF2, EPS15, FEZ2, FOLH1, FRAT1, FYCO1, G6PD, GHITM, GOSR2, GPM6B, HADHA, INSR, IRS2, ITPR1, KIF13B, L1CAM, MGA, MKNK2, NDUFA10, NDUFA3, NDUFB3, NME4, OGDH, PLXNA1, PLXNB2, POLRMT, QARS, RPS6KA1, SCAMP3, SDHD, SEMA3B, SEMA3F, SLC12A7, SLC1A7, SLC25A12, SLC25A6, SLC7A5, STK10, TCEAL1, TPD52, TUBG2, TYK2, UQCRC2, USP3, UST, WFS1, ZNF142
Module 2: Cell Function	AK5, AKAPI2, AMPD3, BIN1, BRD4, CAMKK2, CCND3, CEBPD, CHD2, CLU, CPT1B, CREBBP, DAPK2, DAPK3, DRAP1, FADS1, FGFR3, GDF1, HBA1, IL6R, IRF7, JUND, KCNN3, KLF1, LDLR, LPIN1, LTBP2, MAGED1, MAPRE3, MTF1, NCOR2, NFIC, NOTCH4, NPAS2, NPAS3, NR2F6, OGG1, OSBPL10, PKNOX2, PPP1R15A, RBL1, RREB1, SH3GLB2, SPIB, SREBF1, SREBF2, SSBP1, STK24, TCF3, TDG, TGFB1, THRA, TRIM26, UNG, WFDC1
Module 3: Gene Expression	BANP, BCL7A, CCNA1, CDC5L, CDK7, COMT, CPSF1, CTBP1, CTBP2, DDX27, DGCR6, FSTL1, GPI, H2AFX, HDAC4, LMNA, LZTS1, MBD3, MPST, MTA1, MUC3A, MYT1L, NELL1, PAX6, PML, PRPF8, PTBP1, PTRF, REC8, SIRT6, SIRT7, SLC19A1, SMARCA2, SNRPD2, STAG2, SYN2, TCF7, TFAP2A, TLE3, VARS2
Module 4: Protein degradation	AGPAT2, AMFR, ARIH2, BTRC, CDC34, CUL5, ENSA, FBXL2, FZR1, GL12, GMEB2, MGLL, NME3, ODC1, PER1, PLOD3, PPP2R2B, PRDX3, PRL, PRPF4B, PSMA1, PSMD14, RNF11, SPTLC2, TIMM44, TTC3, UBE3B, WWOX, ZIC1
Module 5: Cell Structure and Stabilization	ACTNI, COL21A1, COL4A1, COL4A3, COL6A2, DAG1, DMD, FLNA, FLNC, GPC5, GPR56, ITGB4, ITGB5, ITGB8, LIMK2, LRP8, MAFF, MAFG, MAP2K7, MAP3K11, NDST1, NRXN1, PACSIN2, PAK4, RGS12, SNTA1, SORBS1, TNXB, VWF

Genes used to build RFI network included 322 out of the 329 genes with altered 5-hmC levels according to our analysis, as well as differentially expressed in the HPG of AD subjects (Blalock et al, 2004). Pathway enrichment analysis was performed on each module containing the genes above.