

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.05.24.20101238

Single-cell RNA-seq and V(D)J profiling of immune cells in COVID-19 patients — Source link 🖸

Xiaoying Fan, <u>Chi Xiangyang</u>, <u>Wenji Ma</u>, <u>Suijuan Zhong</u> ...+14 more authors **Institutions:** <u>Academy of Military Medical Sciences</u>, <u>Chinese Academy of Sciences</u>, <u>Beijing Normal University</u> **Published on:** 27 May 2020 - <u>medRxiv</u> (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press) **Topics:** <u>Cytotoxic T cell</u>, Immune system, <u>CD19</u>, <u>CD3</u> and <u>T-cell receptor</u>

Related papers:

- Immune Cell Profiling of COVID-19 Patients in the recovery stage by Single-cell sequencing
- Dynamic blood single-cell immune responses in patients with COVID-19.
- The differential immune responses to COVID-19 in peripheral and lung revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing
- Critical COVID-19 is associated with distinct leukocyte phenotypes and transcriptome patterns.
- Single-Cell RNAseq Profiling of Human γδ T Lymphocytes in Virus-Related Cancers and COVID-19 Disease.



1	Single-cell RNA-seq and V(D)J profiling of immune cells in
2	COVID-19 patients
3	$X_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} $
4	Maoying Fan ^{1,5} , Alangyang Chi ⁻⁵ , wenji Ma ⁵ , Suljuan Zhong ^{1,5} , Yunzhu Dong ^{2,5} , Wei Zhou ¹ Wenyu Ding ⁴ Hongyan Fan ⁵ Chonghai Vin ³ Zhentao Zuo ³ Vilong Vang ²
5	Mengyao Zhang ² Oiang Ma ^{3,6} Jianwei Liu ¹ Ting Fang ² Oian Wu ^{4,*} Wei Chen ^{2,*}
7	Xiaoqun Wang ^{1,3,6,7,*}
8	Thuoquin traing
9	¹ Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory, 510005
10	Guangzhou, China
11	² Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences (AMMS),
12	Beijing 100071, China
13	³ State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Science, CAS Center for Excellence in
14	Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Institute of Brain-Intelligence Technology
15	(Shanghai), Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101,
16	China.
17	⁴ State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal
18	University, Beijing, 100875, China
19	Department of Clinical Laboratory, The 940th Hospital of PLA Joint Logistics Support
20 21	Forces University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 1000/9 China
21	⁷ Advanced Innovation Center for Human Brain Protection Beijing Institute for Brain
23	Disorders, Capital Medical University, Beijing, 100069, China
24	
25	[#] These authors contributed equally
26	*Corresponding authors: Wei Chen (cw0226@foxmail.com), Qian Wu
27	(<u>qianwu@bnu.edu.cn</u>), Xiaoqun Wang (<u>xiaoqunwang@ibp.ac.cn</u>)
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	Keywords: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); Severe acute respiratory syndrome
35	coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2); T lymphocytes; B lymphocytes; Single-cell RNA
36	sequencing (scRNA-seq); V(D)J sequencing; TCR; BCR
37	
38	
39	
40	
NO NO	I E: I his preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

41 Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused over 220,000 deaths so far and is 42 still an ongoing global health problem. However, the immunopathological changes of 43 key types of immune cells during and after virus infection remain unclear. Here, we 44 enriched CD3+ and CD19+ lymphocytes from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of 45 COVID-19 patients (severe patients and recovered patients at early or late stages) and 46 healthy people (SARS-CoV-2 negative) and revealed transcriptional profiles and 47 48 changes in these lymphocytes by comprehensive single-cell transcriptome and V(D)J recombination analyses. We found that although the T lymphocytes were decreased in 49 the blood of patients with virus infection, the remaining T cells still highly expressed 50 inflammatory genes and persisted for a while after recovery in patients. We also 51 observed the potential transition from effector CD8 T cells to central memory T cells in 52 53 recovered patients at the late stage. Among B lymphocytes, we analyzed the expansion trajectory of a subtype of plasma cells in severe COVID-19 patients and traced the 54 source as atypical memory B cells (AMBCs). Additional BCR and TCR analyses 55 56 revealed a high level of clonal expansion in patients with severe COVID-19, especially of B lymphocytes, and the clonally expanded B cells highly expressed genes related to 57 inflammatory responses and lymphocyte activation. V-J gene usage and clonal types of 58 higher frequency in COVID-19 patients were also summarized. Taken together, our 59 results provide crucial insights into the immune response against patients with severe 60 COVID-19 and recovered patients and valuable information for the development of 61 62 vaccines and therapeutic strategies.

- 63
- 64
- 65
- 66 67

68

- 69
- 70
- 71
- 72

73 Main

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread globally to 74 cause the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and over 240,000 deaths, 75 76 and the number of cases of infection and death are still rising rapidly. Patients with COVID-19 typically exhibit symptoms of fever, dry cough, fatigue, difficulty breathing, 77 headache, diarrhea, nausea, muscle and/or joint pain, pneumonia, etc¹⁻⁴. Some severe 78 COVID-19 cases include development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) 79 and damage to multiple organs ^{1,4-6}. The rapidly developing single-cell sequencing 80 technologies provide powerful tools for exploring immune cell heterogeneity as well as 81 immunotherapy and drug discoveries⁷⁻⁹. Here, to investigate what roles lymphocytes 82 play in defending against SARS-CoV-2 viral infections, we recruited 13 participants. 83 84 In addition to 4 patients with severe symptoms, we also included 6 cured patients and 3 healthy people who were negative for the SARS-CoV-2 virus tests. Peripheral blood 85 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of each individual were isolated from whole blood, and 86 87 magnetic separation was used to collect CD3-positive cells or CD19-positive cells to 88 enrich the T lymphocyte or B lymphocyte populations, respectively, from PBMCs. Based on the timing of blood collection, the 6 recovered patients were divided into 2 89 groups: the blood samples of 3 patients were collected within one week after the 90 diagnosis with negative results of the SARS-CoV-2 virus test and no clinical symptoms 91 92 (which were categorized as recovered patients at the early stage (RE patients)), while the blood samples of the other 3 cured patients were collected 20 days after a negative 93 diagnosis and hospital discharge (which were named recovered patients at the later 94 stage (RL patients)). After CD3 or CD19 antibody selection using MCS separation, 95 96 single-cell mRNA transcriptome and single-cell V(D)J sequences of T lymphocytes and B lymphocytes were collected and analyzed. In total, we obtained scRNA-seq (single-97 cell RNA-sequencing) data from 70,984 cells and V(D)J combination information from 98 24,307 T lymphocytes and 46,689 B lymphocytes. A total of 42,791 cells (15,134 T 99 lymphocytes and 27,657 B lymphocytes) were identified with matched gene expression 100 and V(D)J combination profiles at the single-cell level. 101

102

We first performed unbiased clustering of the single-cell mRNA profiles and

identified 38 clusters, which could be categorized into 18 cell types (Fig. 1a-c, S1a). 103 There were 9 classes of T lymphocytes (CD3E+) identified, including 4 subtypes of 104 CD4+ T cells (11,961 cells) and 5 subtypes of CD8+ T cells (17,683 cells) (Fig. 1a, b). 105 Interestingly, we observed that the percentage of T cells was decreased in SARS-CoV-106 2-infected individuals, especially in patients with severe symptoms, compared with 107 uninfected individuals (Fig S1b). For the B lymphocytes, we identified 4 CD19+ and 108 CD79A+ classes: B cells (22,728 cells), memory B cells (5,617 cells), plasma B cell 109 (2,284 cells) and plasmablasts (698 cells) (Fig 1a-c). In addition to T and B lymphocytes, 110 we also picked up some other types of PBMCs, including monocytes (7,508 CD14+ 111 monocytes and 777 FCGR3A+ monocytes), dendritic cells (130 cells), natural killer 112 113 (NK) cells (1,282 cells), and platelets (316 cells) (Fig. 1a-c, S2). These cell types showed a similar number of detected genes, except for platelets (Fig. S1c). The cells 114 115 from the samples of the same group (healthy, RE, RL and severe groups) showed similar distributions, suggesting that patients at similar stages after virus infection might 116 experience similar immune responses (Fig. S3a). Intriguingly, we observed enrichment 117 of some cell types in some sample groups (Fig 1d, e, S3b). For example, the two types 118 of T helper cells, which are reported to have different immune responses, showed 119 distinct proportions in the four groups; specifically, Th2-like follicular helper (Tfh) 120 cells (ICOS+), which specialize in activating B cells to produce antibodies for immune 121 responses in defending the body from extracellular pathogens¹⁰, were largely enriched 122 in recovered patients, especially in the RE samples (Fig 1e, S3b). While Th1 cells, 123 which are responsible for cell-mediated immunity by activating macrophages¹⁰, showed 124 a larger population in RL samples than in the other sample types, reduced populations 125 126 of both types of T helper cells were detected in patients experiencing severe virus infection (Fig. S3b). Accordingly, the majority of B lymphocytes in RE samples were 127 B cells (Fig S3b). The majority of plasma B cells (85.4%), which are responsible for 128 129 antibody production in an effective immune response, in patients with severe clinical 130 features (Fig 1d, e, S3b).

131 To further investigate how T cells responded to SARS-CoV-2 virus infection, we first 132 compared the T cell subclusters (Fig. S4a). We found that the 15 subclusters of T cells

133 could be grouped into 5 modules based on the highly variable genes in the T cell transcriptomic profiles rather than on patient groups (Fig. S4a, b). Then, we analyzed 134 the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of T cells from different patient groups (Fig. 135 2a). We found that inflammatory genes, including IFNG (interferon gamma), CD160, 136 S100A8 and GZMA, were highly expressed by T cells from patients with severe 137 infection, indicating that T cells were highly activated to participate in the response 138 against virus infection in these patients (Fig. 2a-d). Accordingly, gene ontology (GO) 139 analysis of the DEGs suggested that cytokine production and immune response-related 140 leukocyte activation may occur in COVID-19 patients with severe symptoms (Fig. 2b). 141 Interestingly, T cells from RE patients highly expressed RNF125, CXCR4, and PELI1, 142 indicative of T cell activation still existing even after recovery at early time, which is 143 consistent with the GO analysis results. 144

Since CD8+ T cells, also known as cytotoxic T cells, play essential roles in 145 recognizing, binding and killing cells when infected by viruses¹¹, we determined the 146 differentiation trajectories of the CD8+ T cells by monocle analysis¹² (Fig. 2e, S5a). We 147 148 found that the cells started from naïve CD8 T cells and then developed into central memory CD8 T, effector CD8 T and effector memory CD8 T cells, mirroring the classic 149 CD8 T cell differentiation process activated by confrontation with pathogens. 150 Intriguingly, we found two subgroups of naïve CD8 T cells (clusters 8 and 26) located 151 in two different branches on the trajectory path, and their cell composition differed 152 remarkably. The majority of cluster 8 cells were from the healthy group, while cluster 153 26 cells were predominantly from the RL group (Fig. 2e) and highly expressed *IL7R*, 154 which is a receptor of IL7 and plays roles in early T cell development, homeostasis and 155 156 activation. This indicated that naïve CD8 T cells from recovered patients may be at different states with specific transcriptome profiles (Fig S4c). Additionally, most central 157 memory CD8 T cells were from healthy samples (41.4%), while most effector memory 158 CD8 T cells (57.6%) were from RL samples, indicating that recovered patients probably 159 160 have a recent memory of immune responses induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Next, we further analyzed the DEGs of effector CD8 T cells based on patient groups (Fig. 2f, 161 g). The effector CD8 T cells from severe samples highly expressed PTGDR, which has 162

been identified as a mediator of allergic airway inflammation¹³, and GZMK, XCL2, 163 which were highly expressed in activated T cells, indicating that effector CD8 T cells 164 165 were highly active in disease conditions. Additionally, CXCR4 and RNF125, which play roles in T cell migration, maintenance and activation, were expressed by CD8 T cells 166 from the RE group but not by those from the RL group (Fig. 2f, g), suggesting that 167 inflammatory responses are still active when SARS-CoV-2 virus is eliminated. We also 168 found that CCR7 and SELL were relatively highly expressed by effector CD8 T cells 169 170 from RL samples compared to those from other groups, indicating that these T cells may be at the point to transition into central memory T cells for long-term immune 171 172 protection.

CD4+ T cells play critical roles in activating the cells of the innate immune system, 173 B lymphocytes, and cytotoxic T cells for the immune response. We next analyzed DEGs 174 175 of CD4+ T cell subtypes, including 2 subclusters of naïve CD4 T cells (clusters 4 and 6), and subclusters of Th1, Th2-like Tfh and Treg cells (Fig. 2h). The naïve CD4 T cells 176 of cluster 6 and cluster 4 highly expressed genes related to cell adhesion/migration and 177 positive regulation of cell killing, respectively, indicating that the two subgroups of 178 naïve CD4 T cells may be in different states (Fig. 2h). Consistently, cluster 6 was 179 enriched for naïve CD4 T cells from samples from recovered patients at late stages, and 180 181 cluster 4 cells were dominantly from samples from recovered patients at early stages (Fig. S4a, b), indicating that some naïve CD4 T cells in the RE samples may have still 182 been transitioning into T helper cells to participate in protection. 183

184 Although we used magnetic beads to enrich CD3+ and CD19+ lymphocytes, we still captured 8,285 monocyte, which were categorized as classical CD14+ monocyte and 185 186 non-classical FCGR3A (CD16)+ monocyte (Fig 2i). The subclusters of monocytes showed distinct gene expression patterns that correlated with the status of SARS-CoV-187 2 infection (Fig. 2i, S5b). In CD14+ monocyte, almost all cluster 22 cells (1,328/1,334) 188 were from severe samples with high expression of KLF6 and IL1R2 (Fig 2i). As 189 190 monocyte play a crucial role in the elimination of pathogens, which are activated by Th1 cells, we next analyzed the ligand-receptor reactions between Th1 cells and 191 monocyte during defense against the virus. Cell-cell communication analysis of Th1 192

cells (ligands) and CD14+ monocytes (receptors) between the severe and other groups
(healthy, RE, and RL groups) (Fig 2j) revealed that inflammatory signals *S100A8* and *IL1B, IL1RN,* and *IL16* on Th1 cells have stronger interaction with *ANXA2* and *IL1R2*on *CD14*+ monocyte, respectively, while *CCL3/CCL5-CXCR4*-mediated signals and *TGFBI* signals were decreased in severe samples (Fig. 2j).

198 Together, these results show that although the numbers of T cells in the peripheral blood were reduced upon infection with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, the remaining T cells, 199 200 especially CD8+ cytotoxic T cells, were activated, and their activities lasted for a while after recovery in patients, indicating that CD8+ T cells in the peripheral blood of 201 COVID-19 patients play an important role in the immune response to the virus. We also 202 observed a trend of transition from effector CD8 T cells to central memory T cells in 203 recovered patients, which may be prepared for future protection from the SARS-CoV-204 2 virus. 205

B lymphocytes function in the humoral immunity component of the adaptive immune 206 system by secreting the specific antibody to bind an antigen¹⁴. A total of 14 subtypes of 207 208 B lymphocytes (including 9 clusters of B cells, 2 clusters of memory B cells, 2 clusters of plasma B cells and 1 cluster of plasmablasts) were grouped into 2 modules based on 209 cell identities (Fig. 2k, 1, S6a). We next examined the different subtypes of B cells (Fig. 210 211 2m). Clusters 0, 1, 12, 15, and 30 highly expressed the naïve B cell genes *BACH2* and CD38, while CD80, CD86 and CXCR3 were relatively highly expressed in clusters 2 212 and 38, indicating that these B cells may be activated. Notably, we found that the B 213 cells of cluster 14 highly expressed TBX21, FCRL5, and ITGAX, marker genes of 214 215 atypical memory B cells (AMBCs), which are induced by specific types of virus infection¹⁵. 216

In B cells, we found that the composition of each cluster differed in terms of infection status (Fig. 21). To further explore the gene expression differences of B cells in the various COVID-19-related states, we next analyzed the DEGs and GO terms of virusinfected and healthy people (Fig2n, o, S6b). We found that genes playing roles in the response to viruses, the regulation of cytokine production, and apoptosis were enriched in patients with severe conditions (Fig. 20). In addition, GO analysis indicated that the

IL12 signaling pathway was involved in regulating B cells in severe and recovered 223 224 patients at the late stage (Fig. 2o). Genes related to natural killer cell activation and 225 antigen processing and presentation were relatively enriched in B cells from samples taken from patients with severe symptoms, which is consistent with our observation 226 that plasma B cells were high in that group (Fig 2o). Th2-like Tfh cells are considered 227 to facilitate B cell activation by releasing inflammatory signals or via ligand-receptor 228 interactions. Therefore, we further analyzed the ligand-receptor interactions between 229 230 Th2-like Tfh cells and B cells among different groups (Fig S6c). Similar expression and interaction patterns of classical interleukins, such as IL2, IL4, IL7, and IL10, were 231 observed among groups. However, cell-cell communications through TNF and 232 233 chemokine signals differed among groups, indicating that Tfh cells may regulate B cells in a slightly different way at different stages of the immune response against SARS-234 235 CoV-2 (Fig S6c).

T cell receptor (TCR) and B cell receptor (BCR) characteristics are crucial for 236 analyzing the T cell repertoires and B cell repertoires within samples from patients 237 infected by SARS-CoV-2¹⁶. Thus, we explored the single-cell TCR and BCR V(D)J 238 data in each group of samples. Interestingly, although the individuals with SARS-CoV-239 2 infection showed a reduced number of lymphocytes (Fig. S1b), we observed a high 240 level of clonal expansion in both the TCR and BCR repertoires in severe samples, 241 especially for the BCR repertoire (Fig. 3a, S7, S8). To understand the differences 242 between expanding and nonexpanding B lymphocytes in response to virus, we further 243 244 divided the B lymphocytes into monoclonal B lymphocytes and clonally expanded B lymphocytes. Transcriptome correlation analysis indicated that the monoclonal B 245 lymphocytes in the four groups were similar, while the clonally expanded B 246 lymphocytes were heterogeneous (Fig. 3b). We further analyzed the DEGs of the two 247 types of B lymphocytes in patients with severe infection (Fig 3c). The clonally 248 expanded B lymphocytes highly expressed CD27, CD38, XBP1, MZB1, IFI6, and 249 250 TNRSF17, indicating activation and the effector functions of these B cells. To explore the preferential V and J combinations in COVID-19 patients, we first analyzed and 251 listed the V and J combinations most frequently used in the BCRs and TCRs in all 252

samples (Fig. 3d-e). Among these combinations, relatively frequent pairings of the BCR 253 in RE patients were IGKV1-9::IGKJ4, IGHV2-70::IGHJ4 and IGHV3-33::IGHJ2, 254 255 and the IGKV3-15::IGKJ1, IGHV3-53::IGHJ4, IGHV3-33::IGHJ1 and IGHV1-69::IGHJ4 combinations were frequent in RL patients. (Fig. 3d). In addition to 256 these highly used pairs, IGLV2-23::IGLJ6 was a unique combination in severe COVID-257 19 patients (Fig 3d). Additionally, the TCR pairings with the highest frequencies in 258 samples from early recovered patients were TRBV18::TRBJ1-1, TRBV4-1::TRBJ2-7, 259 260 TRBV6-1::TRBJ1-5, TRAV14/DV4::TRAJ29, and TRBV20-1::TRBJ1-6, among others (Fig. 3e). We next compared the usage of BCR and TCR V(D)J genes in COVID-261 19 patients with that in healthy people (Fig. 3f, g). We identified a relatively high usage 262 of IGHJ6, IGHV3-30, IGHV3-33, IGHV-40-2, IGKJ2, IGKV1D-39, and IGKV4-1 in 263 COVID-19 patients compared to healthy people (Fig. 3f). We then analyzed and 264 revealed the amino acid sequences of the CDR3 gene in high-frequency TCR clones in 265 different samples (Fig. 3h). These recognition sequences may have special functions 266 during SARS-CoV-2 infection. 267

Since we had both scRNA-seq transcriptome and TCR/BCR data, we next integrated 268 and analyzed 42,791 cells (15,134 T lymphocytes and 27,657 B lymphocytes) with both 269 types of information. All lymphocytes showed different degrees of clone expansion, 270 and the effector CD8 T cells of severe COVID-19 patients showed relatively high clone 271 numbers (Fig. 4a, b, S9). The plasmablast and plasma B cells in severe COVID-19 272 patients showed the highest degree of clonal expansion, indicating that SARS-CoV-2 273 infection may induce B cells to differentiate into plasma B cells to secrete antibodies 274 against the virus. Hence, we analyzed the developmental trajectory of plasmablast and 275 276 plasma B to trace the cell lineage. Interestingly, we found that plasmablast differentiated in two directions (cluster 17 and cluster 32) with distinct gene expression patterns (Fig. 277 4c, d). A total of 98.8% of the plasma B cells of cluster 17 were from severe COVID-278 19 patients, while cluster 32 consisted of plasma B cells from all groups (Fig. 2m). The 279 tracing of BCR clones revealed a preferential differentiation of plasmablast into plasma 280 B cells during SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 4c). Further comparison of the two clusters 281 of plasma B cells revealed that cells of cluster 17 highly expressed FOS, IFI6, IGLL5 282

and *MX1*, indicating that these cells were activated (Fig. 4d). Importantly, we traced the
source of these active plasma B cells as AMBCs (cluster 14 B cells) with a specific
clonotype, indicating the important role of AMBCs in the immune response to SARSCoV-2. Together, these findings show that the BCR clonotypes enriched in the plasma
B cells might be helpful for vaccine and antibody production.

288 COVID-19 patients sometimes experience a cytokine storm, which forces the patient's immune system into overdrive and can lead to death^{17,18}. Therefore, we next 289 290 focused on the global expression of cytokines in different cell types under various COVID-19-related statuses (Fig. 4e). IL1A and IL1B expression was high in FCGR3A+ 291 monocytes from severe COVID-19 patients. IL6, an inhibitor of which has been shown 292 to ameliorate severe symptoms caused by cytokine release in patients with SARS-CoV-293 2 infections, was highly expressed by B cells, especially those from severe samples. 294 295 Interestingly, recovered patients also have high expression of some interleukin molecules. We found that IL12A was high in B cells, memory B cells and plasma B 296 cells from RE samples. IL16 was highly expressed by effector memory CD8 T cells, 297 298 naïve CD4 T cells, proliferating CD8 T cells and plasma B cells from RL samples. In addition to interleukins, IFNG, which is crucial for immunity against intracellular 299 pathogens, was highly expressed by many types of CD8 T cells (effector CD8 T, 300 effector memory CD8 T, naïve CD8 T and proliferating CD8 T cells) from severe 301 patients. In addition, TNF (tumor necrosis factor), as an activator of the immune system, 302 was highly expressed by monocytes and central memory CD8 T cells from RL samples. 303 304 In terms of the expression pattern of chemokines, *IL8/CXCL8* expression was high in monocytes, Th1 cells and proliferating CD8 T cells from severe samples. CXCL2 was 305 306 generally expressed by classical and non-classical monocytes as well as Th1 cells from infected and recovered samples. Overall, the differential expression of interleukins, 307 interferons, growth factors and chemokines in different types of cells in different 308 SARS-CoV-2 infection conditions suggests that the immune system might work in 309 310 slightly different ways in patients during infection and recovery at early or late stages. In summary, we have illustrated changes in lymphocyte characteristics, including cell 311 type, inflammatory status, gene expression and V(D)J recombination sequence, upon 312

SARS-CoV-2 infection and after recovery. Coordinated and effective responses by 313 innate and adaptive immune cells are crucial for body protection and virus clearance. 314 315 Our results have revealed an active inflammatory response not only in severe COVID-19 patients but also in recovered patients at an early stage (within one week after 316 diagnosis with negative results of the SARS-CoV-2 virus test). Lymphopenia is a 317 common feature in severe COVID-19 patients, including decreased CD4+ T cells, 318 CD8+ T cells, B cells and natural killer (NK) cells ^{4,19,20}. In our study, we found that 319 320 the proportion of T cells was reduced whereas the monocyte ratio was increased in severe COVID-19 patients compared to healthy people. However, CD8+ T cells, which 321 are crucial for directly attacking and killing virus-infected cells, were active and highly 322 expressed inflammatory genes, such as GZMA and INF, in severe COVID-19 patients 323 as well as RE patients. Studies have revealed that patients who recovered from SARS 324 developed specific memory T cells, which were still detectable up to 2 years after 325 recovery^{21,22}. Our results indicate that a group of effector CD8+ T cells may be at the 326 stage of the process of transforming into central memory T cells in recovered patients 327 328 at late stage (20 days after diagnosis with negative results of the SARS-CoV-2 virus test). It is very possible that these memory T cells could be vital for protecting these 329 people from SARS-CoV-2 virus reinfection. 330

B cell responses accompanied by CD4+ T follicular helper cell responses were 331 observed in COVID-19 patients. In B cells, genes related to the response to viruses, the 332 regulation of cytokine production, and apoptosis were enriched the most in patients 333 with severe infection and to a slightly lower degree in recovered patients. Consistent 334 with the high ratio of plasma cells in severe patients, genes related to antigen processing 335 336 and presentation were also highly expressed in B lymphocytes of these patients. The plasma cells of COVID-19 patients exhibited highly expanded clones. Notably, when 337 tracing the BCR clones, we found that the source of a subtype of plasma cells in severe 338 COVID-19 patients was atypical memory B cells (AMBCs), which are a unique 339 subcluster of B lymphocytes, indicating that the B cells were highly active in the 340 immune response against SARS-CoV-2. Recent studies have suggested that antibody-341 dependent enhancement (ADE) might be induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection in some 342

cases²³. Our results cannot determine whether ADE occurred in the patients with severe 343 infection involved in this study. In the clinic, convalescent plasma has been used for the 344 treatment of COVID-19, which potentially offers specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 polyclonal 345 antibodies and has some positive impacts on patients^{24,25}. Recent studies have reported 346 that it is very likely that a subset of patients may not develop long-lasting antibodies to 347 SARS-CoV-2. In our study, the numbers of plasma cells from recovered patients were 348 quite limited. Whether these recovered patients are able to produce an immune response 349 350 against future SARS-CoV-2 encounters needs further investigation.

One function of the adaptive immune system is recognizing and remembering 351 specific pathogens through T cell responses and antibodies produced by plasma 352 cells^{26,27}. Since COVID-19 is a pandemic, many efforts are underway to develop 353 therapeutic approaches against SARS-CoV-2 worldwide. One strategy is inducing 354 SARS-CoV-2-specific memory CD8 T cells from a vaccination. These memory CD8 T 355 cells can differentiate into effector T cells to kill infected cells before they produce 356 mature virions when the body is truly attacked by SARS-CoV-2. Another strategy is to 357 358 develop therapeutic antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 using BCR sequences from recovered patients^{16,27-31}. We analyzed the characteristics of TCRs and BCRs from 359 patients with severe infection and patients who had recovered and revealed the cell-360 type specific V(D)J sequences enriched in each group. This information provides 361 valuable resources for the development of vaccines and antibodies for COVID-19 362 immunotherapies. 363

364

365 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the special project for COVID-19 of Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory (2020GZR110106009), National Basic Research Program of China (2019YFA0110100).

- 369
- 370

371 Author Contributions

W. C, Q.W., X.W. and X. F. conceived the project, designed the experiments. X. C., Y,
D., L. Y. and M. Z. performed the sample preparation and single-cell RNA sequencing

374	expe	experiment. H. F., T. F. and C. Y. helped on data transfer. X. F, W. M. and S. Z analyzed				
375	the n	the mRNA data. W. Z., W. D, Q. M. and J. L. analyzed the V(D)J data. W. Z., S. Z. and				
376	Z. Z.	Z. Z. performed clone tracing analysis. Q. W. and X. F wrote the manuscript. All author				
377	edite	edited and proofed the manuscript.				
378						
370	Com	neting interests				
000	The					
380	The	authors declare no competing interests.				
381 382 383						
384	Refe	rence				
385	1	Chan, J. F. et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus				
386		indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. <i>Lancet</i> 395 , 514-523,				
387 387	2	d01:10.1016/S0140-07S0(20)S0154-9 (2020).				
389	2	coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan China: a descriptive study Lancet 395 507-513				
390		doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7 (2020)				
391	3	Guan, W. J. <i>et al.</i> Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. <i>N Engl J</i>				
392		Med 382 , 1708-1720, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032 (2020).				
393	4	Huang, C. et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan,				
394		China. Lancet 395, 497-506, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5 (2020).				
395	5	Wang, D. et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel				
396		Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1585				
397		(2020).				
398	6	Young, B. E. et al. Epidemiologic Features and Clinical Course of Patients Infected With				
399		SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. JAMA, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3204 (2020).				
400	7	Giladi, A. & Amit, I. Single-Cell Genomics: A Stepping Stone for Future Immunology				
401		Discoveries. Cell 172, 14-21, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.011 (2018).				
402	8	Ren, X., Kang, B. & Zhang, Z. Understanding tumor ecosystems by single-cell sequencing:				
403		promises and limitations. Genome Biol 19, 211, doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1593-z (2018).				
404	9	Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Single-cell RNA sequencing to explore immune cell heterogeneity.				
405		<i>Nat Rev Immunol</i> 18 , 35-45, doi:10.1038/nri.2017.76 (2018).				
406	10	Ruterbusch, M., Pruner, K. B., Shehata, L. & Pepper, M. In Vivo CD4(+) T Cell				
407		Differentiation and Function: Revisiting the Th1/Th2 Paradigm. Annu Rev Immunol 38 , 705-				
408		725, doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-103019-085803 (2020).				
409	11	Channappanavar, R., Zhao, J. & Perlman, S. T cell-mediated immune response to respiratory				
410		coronaviruses. <i>Immunol Res</i> 59 , 118-128, doi:10.1007/s12026-014-8534-z (2014).				
411	12	Qiu, X. <i>et al.</i> Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. <i>Nat</i>				
412	10	<i>Methods</i> 14, 979-982, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4402 (2017).				
413	13	Oguma, I. <i>et al.</i> Role of prostanoid DP receptor variants in susceptibility to asthma. <i>N Engl J</i>				
414		<i>Mea</i> 351 , 1/52-1/63, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa031/85 (2004).				

415	14	LeBien, T. W. & Tedder, T. F. B lymphocytes: how they develop and function. Blood 112,
416		1570-1580, doi:10.1182/blood-2008-02-078071 (2008).
417	15	Dauby, N. et al. Primary human cytomegalovirus infection induces the expansion of virus-
418		specific activated and atypical memory B cells. J Infect Dis 210, 1275-1285,
419		doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu255 (2014).
420	16	Nielsen, S. C. A. & Boyd, S. D. Human adaptive immune receptor repertoire analysis-Past,
421		present, and future. Immunol Rev 284, 9-23, doi:10.1111/imr.12667 (2018).
422	17	Xu, X. et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. Proc Natl
423		Acad Sci USA, doi:10.1073/pnas.2005615117 (2020).
424	18	Ye, Q., Wang, B. & Mao, J. The pathogenesis and treatment of the 'Cytokine Storm' in
425		COVID-19. J Infect, doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.037 (2020).
426	19	Qin, C. et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China.
427		Clin Infect Dis, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa248 (2020).
428	20	Xu, Z. et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress
429		syndrome. Lancet Respir Med 8, 420-422, doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30076-X (2020).
430	21	Libraty, D. H., O'Neil, K. M., Baker, L. M., Acosta, L. P. & Olveda, R. M. Human CD4(+)
431		memory T-lymphocyte responses to SARS coronavirus infection. Virology 368, 317-321,
432		doi:10.1016/j.virol.2007.07.015 (2007).
433	22	Yang, L. T. et al. Long-lived effector/central memory T-cell responses to severe acute
434		respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) S antigen in recovered SARS patients. Clin
435		Immunol 120, 171-178, doi:10.1016/j.clim.2006.05.002 (2006).
436	23	Zhao, J. et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease
437		2019. Clin Infect Dis, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa344 (2020).
438	24	Li, X. Y. et al. [The keypoints in treatment of the critical coronavirus disease 2019 patient(2)].
439		Zhonghua Jie He Hu Xi Za Zhi 43, 277-281, doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn112147-20200224-
440		00159 (2020).
441	25	Zeng, Q. L. et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Viral Shedding and Survival in
442		COVID-19 Patients. J Infect Dis, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa228 (2020).
443	26	Bonilla, F. A. & Oettgen, H. C. Adaptive immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125, S33-40,
444		doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2009.09.017 (2010).
445	27	Cao, X. COVID-19: immunopathology and its implications for therapy. <i>Nat Rev Immunol</i> 20,
446		269-270, doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0308-3 (2020).
447	28	Berry, J. D. et al. Neutralizing epitopes of the SARS-CoV S-protein cluster independent of
448		repertoire, antigen structure or mAb technology. MAbs 2, 53-66, doi:10.4161/mabs.2.1.10788
449		(2010).
450	29	Johnson, R. F. et al. 3B11-N, a monoclonal antibody against MERS-CoV, reduces lung
451		pathology in rhesus monkeys following intratracheal inoculation of MERS-CoV Jordan-
452		n3/2012. Virology 490 , 49-58, doi:10.1016/j.virol.2016.01.004 (2016).
453	30	Qiu, M. et al. Antibody responses to individual proteins of SARS coronavirus and their
454		neutralization activities. Microbes Infect 7, 882-889, doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2005.02.006 (2005).
455	31	Thomson, C. A., Little, K. Q., Reason, D. C. & Schrader, J. W. Somatic diversity in CDR3
456		loops allows single V-genes to encode innate immunological memories for multiple
457		pathogens. J Immunol 186, 2291-2298, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0904092 (2011).
458		

459 Methods

460 Sample collection and single cell library preparation

461 This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Wuhan Infectious diseases hospital, China and the blood samples were collected from patients who had 462 signed the informed consent. The Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) 463 were obtained by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation (DakeweBiotech) according to 464 the manufacturer's instruction and then applied to the red blood cell lysis solution 465 (Miltenyi Biotec) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Following washing steps with 466 PBS containing 2% FBS, T cells were isolated from PBMCs using human CD3 467 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and B cells were isolated using human CD19 microbeads 468 469 (Miltenyi Biotec). Briefly, PBMCs were filtered using 30 µm nylon mesh (BD bioscience), mixed with CD3 and CD19 MicroBeads for 15 minutes at 4 °C and applied 470 471 onto MACS column, respectively. After washing the column on MACS rack with MACS buffer, the columns were removed from the rack and the targeted T cells were 472 eluted from the columns using PBS. Then the sorted B cells and T cells were 473 474 immediately used for single cell RNA and V(D)J library preparation using Chromium Single Cell V(D)J Reagent Kits (10X Genomics) according to the manuals. 475

476

477 ScRNA-seq data preprocessing

The raw sequencing data were aligned, quantified, called, and aggregated using the Cell 478 Ranger Single-Cell Software Suite (version 3.1.0, 10x Genomics) count against the 479 GRCh38 human reference genome with default parameters. The gene-cell counts 480 matrix for the different batches of samples were aggregated by cell ranger by aggr with 481 482 sequencing batch correction function on. Cells that passed the following filtration were kept for downstream analysis: gene number between 200 and 5000; UMI counts above 483 1000; percentage of UMIs from mitochondrial genes below 10% and that from 484 hemoglobin genes below 1%, respectively. 485

486

487 **Dimension reduction and clustering**

488 Scanpy³²(V1.4.4) package was used to perform preprocessing of the scRNA-Seq data.

The filtered gene-cell matrix was normalized to 10^4 molecules per cell for sequencing 489 depth with normalize total function and log transformed with log1p function. The data 490 variation caused by number of obtained UMI counts and percentage of UMIs from 491 Mitochondrial was regressed out with the regress out function. We obtained 1520 492 variable genes with mean expression ranging from 0.0125 and 3 and dispersion greater 493 than 0.5. Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)³³ was performed 494 with the first 50 principal components from principal component analysis (PCA) for 495 496 visualization of the single cells. Cell clustering was performed by Leiden algorithm³⁴ (faster than Louvain algorithm and uncovers better cell partition) with resolution 1.8. 497 39 clusters were identified in total, among which cluster 0 and 25 were highly 498 resembling each other according to our following cluster analysis. Therefore, we 499 combined cluster 0 and 25 into one cluster labeled as cluster 0 throughout the analysis 500 in this work. 501

502

503 Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis for single cell group

DEGs analysis between cell types/subject group/cell clusters was performed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test with FindAllMarkers function in Seurat³⁵ (V3.0) with default parameters. Genes with expression natural log fold change > 0.5 and Bonferroni correction adjusted p value < 0.01 were reported as significant DEGs. The top 300 genes ordered by absolute value of natural log fold change will be regarded as marker genes for a cell group if there are too many reported significant DEGs. Enrichment analysis of the marker genes were performed with Metascape³⁶ (https://metascape.org).

512 Single cell development trajectory reconstruction

The Monocle 3 package(V3.2.0) were applied to construct single cell pseudo-time trajectory to discover differentiating transitions^{12,37,38}. We used highly variable genes identified by Seurat to sort cells in pseudo-time order. The actual precursor determined the beginning of pseudo-time in the first round of "orderCells". UMAP was applied to reduce dimensional space and the minimum spanning tree on cells was plotted by the visualization functions "plot cells" for Monocle 3.

519

520 Cell-cell communication

Cell-cell communication was predicted by a method similar to that described by 521 Kirouac et al^{39,40}. We created a cell-cell communication interactome with known 522 protein-protein interactions between receptor and ligand collected by Rubin et al.⁴⁰. The 523 involved gene list was further manually filtered with the DEGs of different states in our 524 cell types. To investigate state-related perturbations in these putative cell-cell 525 526 interaction networks, DEGs metrics (e.g., fold change, p value) from the MAST analysis in Seurat were used to build subnetworks for each set of interactions between 527 cell types. In these networks, nodes represent ligands or receptors expressed in the 528 denoted cell type, and edges represent protein-protein interactions between them. 529 Nodes were colored to represent the magnitude of DGE. These values were scaled per 530 cell type and summed to determine edge weight. 531

532

We used CellPhoneDB V2.0⁴¹ to calculate ligand-receptor interactions between T cells and B cells in different states. We used the sequencing depth normalized raw UMI counts as input into "cellphonedb method statistical_analysis" to analyze the dataset and select the significant pairs of ligand-receptor to plot.

537

538 Evaluation of cytokine expression level in specific cell type across subject groups

We curated a list of genes that can produce cytokine, including chemokine, TNF, 539 interferon and interleukin, which can potentially reflect the level of cytokine storm in 540 the patients. For each cell type in each subject group, we applied a similar strategy to 541 542 aggregate expression level and expression fraction in a cell population proposed by Peng et al., 2019^{42} and calculated two matrices *E* and *F*. For each gene g and a cell type 543 in a subject group cg, E(g, cg) is the mean expression of cells with positive expression 544 and F(g, cg) is the fraction of cells in a cell group cg that have positive number of UMIs 545 of g. The evaluation expression score for each gene in each cell type of each subject 546 group is calculated as the product of the two matrices: $Score = E^*F$. Then we visualized 547 this score with bubble matrix plot. 548

549

550 TCR/BCR V(D)J sequencing and analysis

551 TCR/BCR V(D)J segments were enriched from amplified cDNA from 5' libraries via 552 PCR amplification using a Chromium Single-Cell V(D)J Enrichment kit according to 553 the manufacturer's protocol (10x Genomics). The FATAQ files for each single T cell 554 were assembled by Cell Ranger vdj pipeline (v3.1.0), calling to the identification of 555 CDR3 sequence and the rearranged V(D)J gene.

- 556 In order to get the dominant TCR/BCR of a single cell, we filtered a total of 70,996 high-confidence contig sequences in cell barcodes as follows: 1) kept the barcodes 557 which was productive and marked as raw clonotype. 2) only TCR alpha-beta (TRA-558 TRB, we dropped slight number of TRA-TRG) or BCR heavy-light (IGH-IGL, IGH-559 IGK) paired chain were considered. 3) if more than one TCR/BCR paired chains were 560 561 identified in one cell, we only kept the dominant paired chain (supported by largest number of UMIs) for it. Finally, we got a total of 57,932 cells with paired chain 562 information (16,745 T lymphocytes and 41,187 B lymphocytes). A unique clonotype 563 was defined by consistent CDR3 amino acid sequence, V gene and J gene. Chao1 564 repertoire diversity ⁴³ and repertoire overlap analysis was estimated by VDJtools⁴⁴. We 565 used immunarch(V0.5.5)⁴⁵ to compute gene usage against IMGT database 566 (http://www.imgt.org/IMGTrepertoire/LocusGenes/). TCR clonotypes was annotated with 567 VDJdb⁴⁶ antigen categories database. 568
- 569

570 Data availability

571 The scRNA-seq data and V(D)J sequencing data used in this study have been deposited
572 in the GSA (Genome Sequence Archive in BIG Data Center, Beijing Institute of
573 Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences).

574 575

576 **References in methods**

- 577
- Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. J. G. b. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene
 expression data analysis. 19, 15 (2018).

580	33	McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. J. a. p. a. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and
581		projection for dimension reduction. (2018).
582	34	Traag, V. A., Waltman, L. & van Eck, N. J. J. S. r. From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing
583		well-connected communities. 9, 1-12 (2019).
584	35	Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. J. N. b. Integrating single-cell
585		transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. 36, 411-420
586		(2018).
587	36	Zhou, Y. et al. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-
588		level datasets. 10, 1-10 (2019).
589	37	Qiu, X. et al. Single-cell mRNA quantification and differential analysis with Census. Nat
590		Methods 14, 309-315, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4150 (2017).
591	38	Trapnell, C. et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by
592		pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol 32, 381-386, doi:10.1038/nbt.2859
593		(2014).
594	39	Kirouac, D. C. et al. Dynamic interaction networks in a hierarchically organized tissue. Mol
595		Syst Biol 6, 417, doi:10.1038/msb.2010.71 (2010).
596	40	Ximerakis, M. et al. Single-cell transcriptomic profiling of the aging mouse brain. Nat
597		Neurosci 22, 1696-1708, doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0491-3 (2019).
598	41	Efremova, M., Vento-Tormo, M., Teichmann, S. A. & Vento-Tormo, R. CellPhoneDB:
599		inferring cell-cell communication from combined expression of multi-subunit ligand-receptor
600		complexes. Nat Protoc 15, 1484-1506, doi:10.1038/s41596-020-0292-x (2020).
601	42	Peng, YR. et al. Molecular classification and comparative taxonomics of foveal and
602		peripheral cells in primate retina. 176, 1222-1237. e1222 (2019).
603	43	Colwell, R. K. et al. Models and estimators linking individual-based and sample-based
604		rarefaction, extrapolation and comparison of assemblages. 5, 3-21 (2012).
605	44	Shugay, M. et al. VDJtools: unifying post-analysis of T cell receptor repertoires. 11 (2015).
606	45	Team, I. (<u>http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383240</u> , 2019).
607	46	Bagaev, D. V. et al. VDJdb in 2019: database extension, new analysis infrastructure and a T-
608		cell receptor motif compendium. 48, D1057-D1062 (2020).
609		
610		
611		
64.0		
612		
613		
614		
615		
616		
617		

618 **Figure Legend**

	8 8
619	Figure 1. Cell type characterization of PBMCs in COVID-19 patients and normal
620	controls
621	a. A total of 70,984 cells enriched with CD3 and CD19 antibodies were divided into
622	38 clusters, which were categorized into 18 cell types. The numbers in the brackets are
623	the corresponding clusters for each cell type.
624	b. Feature plot showing the identical markers for general cell types: <i>CD3E</i> is a general
625	marker for T lymphocytes, which are further divided into $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T
626	lymphocytes. CD19 and CD79A represent B cells; CD14 is a marker for monocytes;
627	FCER1A+ represents dendritic cells; PPBP represents platelets.
628	c. Histograms showing the relationships of the 38 clusters and marker genes for each
629	cell type are shown with violin plots in each cluster.
620	d LIMAD showing the call type distribution of the four groups (healthy DE DI and

d. UMAP showing the cell type distribution of the four groups (healthy, RE, RL andsevere) of patients.

e. Bar plot showing the compositions of each cell type in healthy, RE, RL and severepatients.

634

635 Figure 2. Novel cell subtypes and distinct gene regulation in COVID-19 patients

a. Heatmap showing the patient group DEGs in T lymphocytes.

b. Identical GO terms enriched in the T lymphocytes of RE, RL and severe patients.

638 c. UMAP showing the cell types of T lymphocytes and their patient group identities.

639 d. Feature plot showing the patient group DEGs and cell type markers.

e. Monocle map showing the differentiation of CD8 T cells upon SARS-CoV-2
infection. The patient group compositions for each cluster of CD8 T cells are shown by
a pie chart.

643 f. Heatmap showing the DEGs of effector CD8 T cells among healthy, RE, RL and 644 severe patients.

g. Feature plot of patient group-specific effector CD8 T cell genes on the monocle
map, reflecting different stages of effector CD8 T cell differentiation in each group of
patients.

h. Heatmap showing the DEGs between the types of CD4 T cells. Representativegenes and GO terms are shown on the right.

650 i. Dot plots showing the expression of identical genes in subtypes of monocytes. The651 patient group compositions for each cluster are shown by the pie chart on the top.

j. Ligand and receptor communication analysis of Th1 and *CD14*+ monocytes
between severe patients and other groups.

k. Correlation of clusters of B lymphocytes based on gene expression patterns.

Bar plot showing the composition of healthy, RE, RL and severe patients in theclusters of k.

m. Feature plot showing the identical genes specifying B cell states and subtypes.

n. Heatmap showing the DEGs of effector B cells among healthy, RE, RL and severepatients.

660 o. Representative GO terms of group-specific genes in n.

661

Figure 3. BCR and TCR clone expansion in COVID-19 patients 662 Calculation of expanded clone ratios of BCRs and TCRs in healthy, RE, RL and 663 a. 664 severe patients. Gene expression correlation analysis among monoclonal B cells and clonally 665 b. expended B cells in the four patient groups. 666 Volcano plot showing the DEGs of monoclonal B cells and clonally expended B c. 667 cells in the severe patients. The latter showed a high activation state, expressing genes 668 such as XBP1, MAZB, IGLL5, etc. 669 d, e. The frequent VJ gene combinations in BCRs and TCRs within each group of 670 671 COVID-19 patients. 672 f, g. The V and J genes showing high usage frequency in BCRs and TCRs of COVID-19 patients. 673 TCR clone type tracking showing the enriched CDR3 types (only those types with 674 h. a clone size >1 are shown here) in healthy, RE, RL and severe patients. 675 676 677 Figure 4. Cell type-based BCR and TCR clone expansion in COVID-19 patients The clone size distribution of B cell and other cell subtypes in healthy, RE, RL and 678 a. severe patients. 679 Feature plot showing the clonally expanded cells and their clone size in effector 680 b. CD8 T cells, plasmablasts and plasma B cells in healthy, RE, RL and severe patients. 681 The effector CD8 T cells in severe samples showed extreme levels of clonal expansion. 682 The plasmablasts had the highest fraction of cells showing clonal expansion. The 683 plasma B cells in all groups were detected to be clonally expanded. The dark gray dots 684 represent the cells with only one clone detected. 685 Monocle analysis of plasmablasts and plasma B cells. Several clonotypes 686 c. supporting the trajectory are shown. These clonotypes were all detected in severe 687 patients. 688 Volcano plot showing the DEGs of the two clusters of plasma B cells. 689 d. Bubble plot showing the major chemokine genes expressed in each cell type of 690 e. healthy, RE, RL and severe patients. 691 692 Figure S1. Clustering of single cells using scRNA-seq data 693 The 38 clusters of the 70,984 cells from 13 individuals. 694 a. b. Pie chart showing the composition of cell in each group of samples. 695 c. Barplot showing the gene number detected in each cell type. 696 697 Figure S2. Cell types of CD3 and CD19 enriched PBMCs in COVID-19 patients 698 a. Heatmap showing the 18 cell types with specific gene expression patterns. 699 The enriched GO terms of the cell type DEGs for the 18 cell types. 700 b. 701 702 Figure S3. Cell types in each group of patients a. UMAP showing the well replication of cells from different individual patients of 703 704 the same group. b. Pie chart showing the cell type ratios in each group of patients. 705

706			
707	Fiş	gure S4. Clustering of single cells using scRNA-seq data	
708	a.	Heatmap showing the correlations of the clusters of T lymphocytes.	
709	b.	Barplot showing the composition of Healthy, RE, RL and Severe patients in the	
710		clusters of a.	
711	c.	Volcano plot showing the DEGs between cluster 8 and cluster 26 naïve CD8 T cells.	
/12	Ξ.		
713	Fig	gure S5. Subtypes of CD8 T cells and monocytes	
/14	He	atmap showing the DEGs between the cell subtypes of CD8 I cells (a) and	
715	mo	onocytes (b). Representative GO terms are shown on the right.	
716			
717	Fig	gure S6. Subtypes of B cells	
718	a.	Heatmap showing the DEGs between the cell subtypes of B lymphocytes.	
719	_	Representative GO terms are shown on the right.	
720	b.	Feature plot showing representative genes of the DEGs in Fig. 2n.	
721	c.	Interaction of Th2 like Tfh cells (ligand) with B cells in each group of patients.	
722			
723	Fiş	gure S7. Statistics of BCR and TCR in each group of sample	
724	a.	The clone counts observed for each strand of BCR and TCR in Healthy, RE, RL and	
725		Severe patients.	
726	b.	The estimated clone diversity in Healthy, RE, RL and Severe patients.	
727			
728	Fiş	gure S8. Statistics of BCR and TCR in each group of sample	
729	Ca	lculation of expended clone ratios of BCR and TCR in every individual patient of	
730	ead	ch group.	
731			
732	Fi	gure S9. Statistics of BCR and TCR in each group of sample	
733	Fe	ature plot showing the clonal expended cells and their clone size in Naïve CD4 T	
734	cel	lls, Naïve CD8 T cells, Effector memory CD 8 T cells, central memory CD 8 T cells	
735	and B cells in Healthy, RE, RL and Severe patients. The dark grey dots represent the		
736	cel	lls with only one copy of clone detected.	
737			
738			

739











Fig. S1



Fig. S2

a



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.24.20101238; this version posted May 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. S4





Fig. S5











medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.24.20101238; this version posted May 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. **Fig. S8** All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.24.20101238; this version posted May 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. **Fig. S9**

