

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Single-molecule dynamics of phytochrome-bound fluorophores probed by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4178k9gt>

Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 103(30)

ISSN

0027-8424

Authors

Miller, Abigail E
Fischer, Amanda J
Laurence, Ted
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2006-07-01

Peer reviewed

CLASSIFICATION: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES: Biophysics

TITLE: Single molecule dynamics of phytochrome-bound fluorophores probed by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy

AUTHORS: Abigail E. Miller^{*‡}, Amanda J. Fischer[†], Ted Laurence[‡], Christopher W. Hollars^{‡§}, Richard Saykally^{*}, J. Clark Lagarias[¶], and Thomas Huser^{‡§¶}

INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION: ^{*}Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720; [†]Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616; [‡]Chemistry and Materials Science Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550; [§]NSF Center for Biophotonics Science and Technology, Sacramento, CA 95817

SUBMISSION DATE: Draft 01/23/06

¶To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Thomas Huser
NSF Center for Biophotonics
University of California, Davis
2700 Stockton Blvd., Suite 1400
Sacramento, CA 95817
Phone: (916) 734-1772
Fax: (916) 452-2034
Email: trhuser@ucdavis.edu

J. Clark Lagarias
Section of Molecular and Cellular
Biology
College of Biological Sciences
University of California
One Shields Avenue
Davis, CA 95616
Phone: (530)-752-1865
FAX: (530)-752-3085
Email: jclagarias@ucdavis.edu

Number of Text Pages: 17 pages

Number of Figures & Table: Five Figures; 4,171 characters with spaces

Abstract word count: 202 words

Word count: 5713 words; Characters 37,592 with spaces

Abbreviations: APD, avalanche photodiode; Cph1 Δ , truncated cyanobacterial phytochrome 1 protein consisting of the N-terminal 514 amino acids; FCS, fluorescence correlation spectroscopy; Phy, plant phytochrome protein; PR1, phytofluor red 1; PCB, phycocyanobilin; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PEB, phycoerythrobilin; P Φ B, phytochromobilin; Pr, red-light absorbing form of phytochrome; Pfr, far-red-light absorbing form of phytochrome; Φ_F , fluorescence quantum yield; ET, electron-transfer.

Abstract. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) was used to investigate the hydrodynamic and photophysical properties of the phytofluor PR1, an intensely red fluorescent biliprotein variant of the truncated cyanobacterial phytochrome 1 (Cph1 Δ). Single molecule diffusion measurements showed that PR1 has excellent fluorescence properties at the single molecule level, making it an interesting candidate for red-fluorescent protein fusions. FCS measurements for probing dimer formation in solution over a range of protein concentrations were enabled by addition of Cph1 Δ apoprotein (apoCph1 Δ) to nanomolar solutions of PR1. FCS brightness analysis showed that heterodimerization of PR1 with apoCph1 Δ altered the chemical environment of the PR1 chromophore to further enhance its fluorescence emission. Fluorescence correlation measurements also revealed interactions between apoCph1 Δ and the red-fluorescent dyes Cy5.18 and Atto655, but not Alexa660. The concentration dependence of protein:dye complex formation indicated that Atto655 interacted with, or influenced the formation of, the apoCph1 dimer. These studies presage the utility of phytofluor tags for probing single molecule dynamics in living cells in which the fluorescence signal can be controlled by the addition of various chromophores, where the chromophores have different structures and photophysical properties; thereby imparting different types of information such as dimer formation or the presence of open binding faces on a protein.

Introduction

Phytochromes are biliprotein photosensors that regulate many physiological processes in green plants, enabling their adaptation to fluctuating light environments (1-2). Phytochrome-related proteins also function as regulators of a diverse array of physiological processes in microorganisms, including many nonphotosynthetic species (3-4). Phytochromes are large proteins with covalently bound linear tetrapyrrole (bilin) chromophores that transduce light signals via their ability to reversibly photointerconvert between red-light absorbing P_r and far-red-light absorbing P_{fr} species - a process that typically initiates a transcriptional signaling cascade (5-8). The excited state lifetimes of phytochromes are quite short (i.e. < 20 ps) due to the photochemical deexcitation of their bilin chromophores via efficient double bond isomerization (9). Phytochromes are poorly fluorescent biliproteins for this reason - a property that distinguishes this family of photoreceptors from the intensely fluorescent phycobiliproteins found in cyanobacteria and red algae (10).

Our current understanding of the photophysics of bilins bound within a phytochrome apoprotein scaffold has greatly benefited from studies in which the structures of both bilin chromophore and apoprotein have been modified. By introduction of bilin analogs that lack the photoisomerizing double bond, intensely yellow-orange fluorescent holoproteins (*aka* phytofluors) have been produced (11). Directed evolution of a truncated cyanobacterial phytochrome ($Cph1\Delta$) yielded a mutant apoprotein that binds the native chromophore precursor, phycocyanobilin (PCB), to produce the red emitting phytofluor PR1 (12). Mutation of a conserved tyrosine residue was responsible for this fluorescence enhancement, and other amino acid substitutions for this tyrosine produced novel phytochrome holoproteins with altered photophysical properties (13). The observation that one of the $Cph1\Delta$ mutants apparently has enhanced affinity for porphyrins indicates that phytochrome's ligand binding specificity also can be tuned by mutagenesis (13).

The ability to tag proteins of interest through fusion with an apophytochrome gene and to produce phytofluors within living cells has compelled us to investigate the single molecule fluorescence properties of this new class of genetically encoded fluorescent proteins. The ability to generate yellow-orange phytofluors in living cells has already

been exploited to distinguish two populations of phytochrome molecules in the moss *Ceratodon purpureus* by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (14). The present study was undertaken to examine the single molecule hydrodynamics of the red emitting phytofluor PR1 and its wild-type parent Cph1 Δ using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (15-16). These studies not only document the feasibility of this technique for probing the affinity of the two subunits within the phytochrome dimer, but unexpectedly reveal the striking affinity of the Cph1 Δ apoprotein for organic fluorophore dyes measured at the single molecule level.

Results and Discussion

The brightly fluorescent Cph1 Δ mutant PR1 enables single molecule fluorescence detection. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy was performed on the red fluorescent Cph1 mutant PR1 containing the Tyr176His substitution. PR1 has the same domain structure as Cph1 Δ , a truncated version of the full length Cph1 photoreceptor that lacks the histidine kinase regulatory domain (Figure 1A). It is well established that removal of the histidine kinase domain does not affect chromophore attachment or alter the spectroscopic properties of the full length Cph1 photoreceptor (18-19, 17). As shown in Figure 1B, the PR1 mutant is considerably more fluorescent than wild type Cph1 Δ , which has its main peak at 635 nm whereas the fluorescence spectrum for PR1 is most intense at 670 nm (12, 13). This increase in fluorescence is primarily due to PR1's poor photointerconversion to the far-red absorbing P_{fr} form (shown for wild type Cph1 Δ in the inset to Figure 1B; 12). The increased fluorescence of PR1 compared with wild type Cph1 Δ is paralleled by an increase in fluorescence lifetime measured by histogramming photon emission using single photon detection (Figure 1C). With this system, the fluorescence decay of wildtype Cph1 Δ required fitting with two exponentials, resulting in an average lifetime of 1.15 ns. The two components have a primary decay time of 0.74 ns and a minor decay of 2.2 ns. PR1, on the other hand, exhibited a single lifetime of 1.79 ns. These differences in fluorescence lifetimes correspond reasonably well with the differences in quantum yield of Cph1 Δ and PR1, but also suggest further investigation, which goes beyond the scope of this contribution. The spectral properties of phytofluors

are significantly red-shifted compared to the intrinsic absorption and fluorescence of other proteins and make PR1 a potentially interesting candidate for a fluorescent fusion protein for in-vivo fluorescence studies.

At a solution concentration of 1 nM, where the average number of fluorophores present in the focal volume of the laser beam is near unity, their diffusion through the laser focus resulted in short intense photon bursts. Figure 2A shows a typical fluorescence intensity transient of a solution containing 100 pM of the red fluorescent dye Atto655 (Atto-Tec, Siegen, Germany), used to calibrate the detection volume of our confocal microscope. With high temporal resolution, the presence of distinct photon bursts could be resolved which correspond to the diffusion of single Atto655 dye molecules through the confocal detection spot (see inset to Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows similar data for a 1 nM solution of PR1 in the presence of 30 μ M apoCph1 Δ . As shown in the next paragraphs, mixing of the phytofluors with nonfluorescent apoproteins stabilizes the protein conformation and enables their detection at the single molecule level, but it also adds noise in the form of increased Rayleigh and Raman scattering as well as weak autofluorescence to the intensity transients. The inset to Figure 2B again shows well-separated photon bursts, indicating diffusion of individual phytochrome molecules through the focal volume. Both transients were obtained with the same laser excitation power of 150 μ W at 632.8 nm. By comparing the intensity transients in Figure 2A and Figure 2B, it is apparent that there are more fluorescence bursts in the Atto655 transient that are of higher intensity above the background than those of PR1. This is due to the higher fluorescence quantum yield of Atto655 (0.3 compared to 0.15 for PR1) as well as the dark state conversion of PR1 to the far-red form that must be accounted for when analyzing the fluorescence decay curves. The PR1 intensity trace also shows higher background levels due to the presence of the apoprotein at μ M concentration.

Figure 2C depicts the result of a correlation analysis of time traces such as the ones shown in Figures 2A and 2B. There is roughly an order of magnitude change in the diffusion time between Atto655 (MW ~500 Da) and the larger PR1 protein (MW 61,261 Da), a difference that results in a shift to longer diffusion times. A fit to the correlation plot for Atto655 reveals an average diffusion time of $140 \pm 5 \mu$ s, whereas the diffusion time for the PR1 monomer is $450 \pm 60 \mu$ s. The shape of the Cph1 correlation function

also exhibits a less sharp S-curve dependence, indicating the contribution of phototransformation to the far-red dark state (see Figure 2C).

PR1 forms dimers with apoCph1Δ in the micromolar concentration range. FCS can also be used to study molecular interactions at low concentrations. The diffusion time τ_D of a molecule, when modeled as a non-interacting, uncharged spherical particle, is proportional to the solution viscosity η , the particle diameter d and the square of the beam-waist ω_0 at the focus of the laser beam (15-16). The diffusion time is also inversely proportional to the solution temperature T .

$$(3) \quad \tau_D = \frac{\omega_0^2 3\eta\pi d}{4kT}$$

If the molecule under study interacts with other molecules, the main parameter that changes is the particle diameter, which will lead to an increase in diffusion time. Monitoring this change in diffusion time over a range of protein concentrations can be used to analyze protein-protein interactions. This analysis is further aided by the fact that at typical protein equilibrium concentrations, the solution viscosity, which could also have an effect on τ_D , will not change significantly. A particular problem, however, is that FCS has a relatively narrow dynamic range, i.e. it only performs well in a concentration range of $\sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-11}$ M for the fluorescent probe. At lower concentrations, it takes a very long time to obtain a sufficient number of photon bursts to perform the autocorrelation analysis, while at higher concentrations, photon bursts can no longer be resolved. Fortunately, in the absence of its PCB chromophore, apoCph1Δ is essentially non-fluorescent. This allowed us to conduct interaction studies over a wide range of protein concentrations, where the fraction of chromophore-containing PR1 protein is kept constant at 1 nM concentration. In Figure 2C, we have also plotted correlation curves for PR1 in the presence of low (10^{-8} M) and high (10^{-6} M) concentrations of apoCph1Δ. These results show that micromolar apoCph1Δ concentrations led to a shift to longer diffusion times in the correlation curve for PR1. Similar results were observed when apoCph1Δ was replaced with apoPR1 (Figure 2D). Such measurements indicate that the increase in diffusion time corresponds to specific interactions between PR1 and the apoproteins, apoCph1Δ or apoPR1.

The PR1 diffusion time as a function of the total protein concentration is depicted in Figure 2D. Here, each data point is the result of six independent FCS experiments for each apoprotein concentration. These measurements show that both apoproteins begin to interact with PR1 at concentrations of $\sim 1 \mu\text{M}$, with interactions appearing to saturate at $\sim 30 \mu\text{M}$. The onset of a saturation plateau at $\sim 20 \mu\text{M}$ is consistent with the formation of PR1:apoprotein heterodimers. Note that the concentration dependence of the increase in diffusion time is qualitatively very similar for the two apoproteins; the variation in the actual average diffusion time for the two experiments may reflect the slightly different experimental parameters on consecutive days, e.g. changes in the exact position of the laser focus with respect to the glass coverslip surface. Taken together, these results indicate that the binding affinities of both apoproteins for PR1 are similar. In support of this interpretation, the observed dimer dissociation constant is similar to the values of 10-50 μM recently reported for Cph1 Δ (20).

Protein dimerization leads to an increase in the fluorescence brightness of PR1. We have also studied the brightness, q , of PR1 as a function of Cph1 Δ concentration. This parameter can be extracted from the fluorescence correlation data by covariance analysis. If the formation of dimers, as indicated by the increase in diffusion time, has no influence on the chromophore, then the brightness parameter should remain constant with increasing protein concentration. As shown in Figure 2E, PR1 exhibits an increase in brightness with increasing Cph1 Δ concentration. This increase in brightness correlates very well with the rise in the diffusion time of Cph1 Δ (Figure 2D). Note that even though PR1 is forming dimers at higher protein concentration, these dimers are formed with the non-fluorescent apoprotein, so each dimer still has only 1 chromophore. Since the PCB chromophore is covalently linked to Cph1 Δ , we attribute this change in brightness to a stabilization of the bilin chromophore upon dimer formation. Free PCB, i.e. when not bound to apoCph1 Δ , has a fluorescence quantum yield that is roughly 1000-fold lower than when it is bound to PR1 (13). This reduced quantum yield reflects the facile isomerization of PCB in solution. Binding to apoPR1 confers rigidity to the chromophore, thereby increasing its fluorescence quantum yield. The increase in brightness indicates that the formation of PR1:apoPR1 (or PR1:apoCph1 Δ) dimers

influences the chromophore binding pocket (21). This increase in quantum yield could reflect reduced rates of non-radiative pathways or a reduced absorption coefficient.

ApoCph1 Δ can specifically interact with planar aromatic red-fluorescent dye ligands. We next utilized FCS measurements to determine whether apoCph1 Δ can interact with the fluorescent dyes, Cy5.18, Alexa660 and Atto655. All of these red-emitting fluorophores owe their fluorescence properties to an extended conjugated double bond system, which provides for long-reaching electron delocalization. Cy5.18 belongs to the cyanine group of dyes, the most conformationally flexible of the three dyes. Atto655 is a member of the oxazine family of dyes, and Alexa660 is a member of the rhodamine family of dyes.

To examine the interaction of the three dyes with Cph1 Δ , 0.1 nM solutions of each dye were mixed with a wide range of apoCph1 Δ concentrations. In the absence of protein, all dyes exhibited a diffusion time through the laser excitation spot of between 140 – 160 μ s. As shown in Figure 3, Alexa660 exhibited no change in diffusion time with increasing concentration of apoCph1 Δ . Its behavior in the presence of apoCph1 Δ was very similar to the behavior of Atto655 upon titration with BSA which was used as a control (Figure 3). These results indicate that Alexa660 does not interact with the apoCph1 Δ , even at micromolar concentration. Surprisingly, both Cy5.18 and Atto655 showed an increase in diffusion time when mixed with apoCph1 Δ . The diffusion time of Atto655 increased from 140 μ s at nanomolar apoCph1 Δ concentration to 200 μ s in the presence of 3 μ M apoCph1 Δ (Figure 3). Interestingly, the Atto655 diffusion time increased biexponentially with apoCph1 Δ exhibiting no indication for any leveling off (Figure 3). By comparison, Cy5.18 displayed a much more gradual dependence of its diffusion time on apoCph1 Δ concentration. In both cases, the diffusion time never reached the diffusion time of the PR1 monomer at \sim 500 μ s. This indicates that the interactions of Atto655 and Cy5.18 with apoCph1 Δ are of sufficient duration to affect the diffusion rate of the dyes but are nonetheless transient. As revealed by the different rise in diffusion times, the nature of the transient interactions between the protein and Cy5.18 or Atto655 appears to be different for the two dyes.

Cph1 interactions with Atto655 lead to fluorescence quenching. As discussed above, we have also used a covariance analysis to obtain information about potential changes in brightness for the different fluorescent dyes upon interactions with apoCph1 Δ . Figure 4A shows the outcome of this analysis for Alexa660 and Cy5.18. These measurements show that both dyes maintain their average brightness – even in the presence of micromolar concentrations of apoCph1 Δ . This is not surprising for Alexa660, because the diffusion analysis already indicated that it does not interact with Cph1. By comparison, Cy5.18 displayed an exponential dependence of its diffusion time on protein concentration, but the apoCph1 Δ -Cy5.18 interaction does not influence its fluorescence brightness.

In contrast to the Cy5.18 and Alexa660 dyes, Atto655 displays an apparent exponential decrease in brightness with increasing concentration of apoCph1 Δ (see Figure 4B). When mixed with BSA, however, there is no change in Atto655's fluorescence brightness (Figure 4B). Taken together, the changes in brightness and diffusion time indicate that the transient interaction between Atto655 and apoCph1 Δ leads to fluorescence quenching. This is most likely due to interactions with the bilin-binding pocket of apoCph1 Δ . If Atto655 was binding purely statically to apoCph1 its diffusion time would jump from 140 μ s to about 500 μ s, the diffusion time observed for PR1 (see Figure 2C). Tryptophan has been shown previously to be an efficient quencher for oxazine dyes (22-23). This leads us to conclude that the decrease in brightness might be due to quenching of the Atto655 by one or more tryptophans on apoCph1 Δ . Such fluorescence quenching reflects an efficient electron-transfer (ET) process from the dye to tryptophan - a process that is only seen for certain dyes (21-22). Such ET, however, only occurs at very small dye-tryptophan separations (<1 nm), which again indicates, together with the change in diffusion time, that Atto655 exhibits a high, but transient affinity for apoCph1 Δ .

It is tempting to speculate that Atto655 binds to the same pocket in apoCph1 Δ as that of the PCB prosthetic group; however the concentration dependence of the interaction suggests that Atto655 binds to an apoCph1 Δ dimer. In this regard, the interactions between Atto655 and Cph1 follow the same concentration dependence as dimerization of Cph1. While it is possible that Atto655 interacts with the bilin binding pockets on both

subunits, it is more likely that Atto655 interacts at discrete sites on the apoCph1 Δ homodimer. We note that there are seven tryptophans in Cph1 Δ - only one of which lies within the GAF domain where the natural bilin chromophore is bound. Based on the structure of the bacteriophytochrome drBphP (24), however, it is unlikely that this tryptophan (i.e. Trp 284) will be responsible for this ET quenching. Additional experiments will be needed to resolve the chemical nature of the Atto655-apoCph1 interaction. It should also be noted that we did not observe any apparent effects of the interaction between the red fluorescent dyes and apoCph1 in bulk solution. We attribute this to the transient nature of the interactions between the dyes and apoCph1 which can only be isolated and becomes apparent at the single molecule level.

In summary, we have shown that the novel mutant of the light sensory protein Cph1 Δ , PR1, enables single molecule detection of phytofluors. PR1 exhibits a marked increase in its fluorescence brightness upon dimerization and apoCph1 Δ can interact selectively with organic fluorescent dyes. This study has important implications for the use of phytofluors as fusion proteins for intracellular imaging. The combination of their brightness and pronounced red emission make phytofluors potentially interesting reporters for single molecule imaging and protein tracking applications in living cells. Unlike members of the Green Fluorescent Protein family (25), phytofluor production can be controlled externally through addition of the bilin chromophore, which should enable the long-term observation of intracellular events via addition of low concentrations of chromophore precursors to regulate the rate of fluorophore formation. Also, the sensitivity of standard organic dyes to Cph1 complexes will have important implications for monitoring dimerization and phosphorylation of proteins in living cells. As is also seen by the recently demonstrated ability of phytochromes to act as light-controlled molecular switches for gene expression (26-27), these proteins show great promise for a wide range of cell biology studies at the single molecule level.

Materials and Methods

Fluorescent dyes. Atto655 (Atto-Tec GmbH, Siegen, Germany), Alexa660 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and Cy5.18 (a gift from A. Waggoner, Carnegie-

Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA) were dissolved in methanol to yield 1 mM stock solutions. All dyes were then diluted to micromolar to nanomolar concentrations using phosphate buffered saline (0.01 M PBS which is NaCl 0.138 M; KCl - 0.0027 M; pH 7.4).

Recombinant phytochrome expression and purification. Apo- and holo-phytochromes were expressed in *E. coli* strain LMG194 harboring the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 wild-type Cph1 Δ or PR1 mutant expression plasmids, pBAD-Cph1 Δ or pBAD-PR1, in the presence (for holoprotein) or the absence (for apoprotein) of the PCB-producing plasmid pPL-PCB (17). Expression, purification and concentration of the recombinant proteins were performed as described (12). Purified proteins were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C in 25 mM Tes-KOH pH 7.5 buffer containing 10% (v/v) glycerol. Protein concentrations were determined either by absorbance at 280 nm, absorbance at 650 nm and/or by absorbance difference spectroscopy (12).

Fluorescence correlation and lifetime measurements. Dilute phytochrome samples were investigated by Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) using a custom-built system based on an inverted optical microscope (Nikon, Eclipse TE300), which makes use of the 632.8 nm line of a Helium-Neon laser as excitation source. The collimated laser beam is reflected into a 100x oil immersion objective with a numerical aperture of 1.45 (Zeiss, Planapochromat) using a dichromatic mirror (650DRLP, Omega Optical, Inc., Brattleboro, VT). The focus of the laser beam is translated about 10 μm deep into solution where it forms a tight spot of $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}^3$ volume that is experimentally verified with a standard dye. Fluorescence is collected by the same microscope objective, passed through the dichromatic mirror and focused through a confocal pinhole of 150 μm diameter. Fluorescent light is then re-collimated, passed through a long pass filter (655HQ, Chroma Technology Corp., Rockingham, VT) to block residual light from the excitation laser, and split by a 50:50 beamsplitter (Newport Corp., Irvine, CA). Each beam is then passed through a 700/90 bandpass filter (Chroma Technology Corp., Rockingham, VT) and focused directly onto a single-photon-counting avalanche photodiode (APD) (SPCM-AQR-14, Perkin-Elmer, Inc.) by means of a 25 mm focal

length planoconvex lens (Newport Corp., Irvine, CA). Photon events were recorded with a timer-counter card (National Instruments Inc., Austin, TX) that recorded the arrival time of each photon with 12.5 ns time resolution to the hard-disk drive of a personal computer system. Cross-correlation of recorded photon events from both APD's was performed using a custom-written program in LabView (National Instruments Inc., Austin, TX) and fits were performed in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, OR) (28). Cross-correlation was used to avoid false correlation events at short timescales from after-pulsing of the APDs. The fluorescence lifetime data were collected with the same FCS apparatus using a pulsed diode laser (LDH640, PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany; 640 nm wavelength, 40 MHz repetition rate, 80 ps pulse length) as excitation source. The signal from the APD's was recorded as photon events by a TimeHarp 200 time-correlated single-photon-counting card (PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Lifetime calculations were performed using a custom-written program in LabVIEW (National Instruments Inc., Austin, TX) and fits were performed in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, OR). Some lifetime calculations were also performed by the Timeharp 200 (PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany) software with data fit with Fluofit software (PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany). System calibrations were performed using the red-emitting dye Atto655 (Atto-Tec GmbH, Siegen, Germany) as a reference.

FCS and fluorescence lifetime measurements for PR1 were conducted using 100-300 pM and 3.3-330 nM protein concentrations, respectively. Various concentrations of Cph1 Δ apoprotein or bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, cat no.A8531, St. Louis, MO) were added to the PR1 solutions as indicated. For each set of FCS measurements to study dye-apoCph1 Δ interactions, the concentration of fluorescent dyes (Atto655, Cy-5.18 or Alex660) was fixed between 0.1 and 1 nM while the overall protein concentration was varied by the addition of apoCph1 Δ . A silicone rubber gasket (silicone isolator, 2 mm thickness, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was adhered to a clean glass coverslip (No. 1, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) to provide a well in which 20 μ l of sample solution was placed. For each concentration, six measurements were conducted consecutively, with each run lasting between 60-120 seconds.

Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy analysis. Each solution was measured multiple times in order to obtain a statistical error distribution, which determines our accuracy in measuring diffusion times. For each run the correlation function was calculated, then fit using a 2-D diffusion model (29) for the fluorescent dye Atto655.

$$(1) \quad \langle G(\tau) \rangle = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_D}\right)} \right),$$

To account for the fluctuations of the PR1 between a fluorescent bright state and a dark state, an additional term is added to the diffusion model. (30-32).

$$(2) \quad \langle G(\tau) \rangle = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_D}\right)} \right) \left(1 + \frac{T}{1-T} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\tau_t}\right) \right).$$

Here, τ is the delay time between the two photon burst transients, τ_D is the average diffusion time, N is the average number of fluorescent molecules in the focal volume, T is the fraction of fluorescent Cph1 molecules in the dark state and τ_t is the dark state conversion time. The dark state term accounts for the conversion of Cph1 to the far red (P_{fr}) absorbing state. Using a 2D diffusion model reduces the number of fit parameters needed and the differences in the diffusion time between the two models (2D vs. 3D) are negligible (19). For each concentration the diffusion time is determined from these fits and then averaged over multiple runs. The fluorescent dyes Atto655, Alexa660 and Cy5.18 show essentially no interconversion to a dark state; therefore the simple 2-D diffusion model was sufficient for determining their diffusion times.

Fluorescence brightness analysis. The brightness of PR1 as a function of concentration was determined by analyzing the covariance, or non-normalized correlation $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle$, (33).

$$(3) \quad Cov(\tau_D) = \frac{cq^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_D}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{T}{1-T} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\tau_t}\right) \right) + (k_{bkgd} + cq)^2.$$

Fitting using this expression for a single fluorescent species with background effectively combines the information from moment analysis (33) with the information from FCS with dark states included (31).

The background count rate k_{bgd} for each concentration was determined from Cph1 solutions in the absence of the fluorescent mutant PR1. The brightness q reflects the average photon count rate of the fluorescent molecule and c is the occupancy, which describes how frequently the photon count rate is above the background signal. All other parameters are the same as in the correlation equations. The resulting brightness is a convolution of the fluorescence quantum yield of the individual proteins, the dark state conversion, as well as any other competing processes. The fluorescent dyes Atto655, Alexa660, and Cy5.18 were analyzed by using the covariance equation with $T=0$, which effectively removes the dark state term because they show essentially no dark state interconversion

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health Grant GM068552-01 (to J.C.L), the National Science Foundation Center for Biophotonics Science and Technology Grant PHY-0120999, the Experimental Physical Chemistry Division of the National Science Foundation, and the University of California, Davis, Biotechnology Training Program. AEM is supported by a LLNL Student Employee Graduate Research Fellowship. Work at LLNL was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

References

1. Sage, L. C. (1992) *Pigment of the Imagination: A History of Phytochrome Research* (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego).
2. Franklin, K. A. & Whitelam, G. C. (2005) *Annals of Botany (London)*.
3. Montgomery, B. L. & Lagarias, J. C. (2002) *Trends in Plant Science* **7**, 357-366.
4. Karniol, B. & Vierstra, R. D. (2005) in *Photomorphogenesis in Plants and Bacteria: Function and Signal Transduction Mechanisms (3rd Edition)*, eds.

- Schäfer, E. & Nagy, F. (Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands), Vol. 3rd Edition, pp. in press.
5. Nagy, F. & Schäfer, E. (2002) *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **53**, 329-355.
 6. Chen, M., Chory, J. & Fankhauser, C. (2004) *Annual Review of Genetics* **38**, 87-117.
 7. Huq, E. & Quail, P. H. (2005) in *Handbook of Photosensory Receptors*, eds. Briggs, W. R. & Spudich, J. A. (Wiley VCH, Weinheim), pp. 151-170.
 8. Rockwell, N. C., Su, Y. S. & Lagarias, J. C. (2006) *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **57**, 837-858.
 9. Braslavsky, S. E. (2003) in *Photochromism: Molecules and Systems*, eds. H., D. & BouasLaurent, H. (Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam), pp. 738-755.
 10. Glazer, A. N. (1999) in *Chemicals from Microalgae*, ed. Cohen, Z. (Taylor and Francis, London), pp. 261-280.
 11. Murphy, J. T. & Lagarias, J. C. (1997) *Current Biology* **7**, 870-876.
 12. Fischer, A. J. & Lagarias, J. C. (2004) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**, 17334-17339.
 13. Fischer, A. J., Rockwell, N. C., Jang, A. Y., Ernst, L. A., Waggoner, A. S., Duan, Y., Lei, H. & Lagarias, J. C. (2005) *Biochemistry* **44**, 15203-15215.
 14. Bose, G., Schwille, P. & Lamparter, T. (2004) *Biophysical Journal* **87**, 2013-21.
 15. Elson, E. L. & Magde, D. (1974) *Biopolymers* **13**, 1-27.
 16. Haupts, U., S. Maiti, et al. (1998) *Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America* **95**, 13573-13578.
 17. Gambetta, G. A. & Lagarias, J. C. (2001) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **98**, 10566-10571.
 18. Park, C. M., Shim, J. Y., Yang, S. S., Kang, J. G., Kim, J. I., Luka, Z. & Song, P. S. (2000) *Biochemistry* **39**, 6349-6356.
 19. Lamparter, T., Esteban, B. & Hughes, J. (2001) *European Journal of Biochemistry* **268**, 4720-4730.
 20. Strauss, H. M., Schmieder, P. & Hughes, J. (2005) *FEBS Letters* **579**, 3970-4.
 21. Park, C. M., Kim, J. I., Yang, S. S., Kang, J. G., Kang, J. H., Shim, J. Y., Chung, Y. H., Park, Y. M. & Song, P. S. (2000) *Biochemistry* **39**, 10840-10847.

22. Vaiana, A. C., Neuweiler, H., Schulz, A., Wolfrum, J., Sauer, M. & Smith, J. C. (2003) *Journal of The American Chemical Society* **125**, 14564-14572.
23. Marme, N., Knemeyer, J. P., Sauer, M. & Wolfrum, J. (2003) *Bioconjugate Chemistry* **14**, 1133-1139.
24. Wagner, J. R., Brunzelle, J. S., Forest, K. T. & Vierstra, R. D. (2005) *Nature* **438**, 325-331.
25. Tsien, R.Y. (1998) *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **67**, 509-544.
26. Shimizu-Sato, S., Huq, E., Tepperman, J.M., and Quail, P.H. (2002) *Nature Biotechnology* **20**, 1041-1044.
27. Levskaya, A., Chevalier, A.A., Tabor, J.J., Simpson, Z.B., Lavery, L.A., Levy, M., Davidson, E.A., Scouras, A., Ellington, A.D., Marcotte, E.M., and Voigt, C.A. (2005) *Nature* **438**, 441-442.
28. Laurence, T. A., Fore, S., & Huser, T. (2006) *Optics Letters* , in press
29. Rigler, R., Mets, U., Widengren, J., & Kask, P. (1993) *European Biophysics Journal With Biophysics Letters* **22**, 169-175.
30. Schwille, P. (2001) *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* **34**, 383-408.
31. Widengren, J., Mets, U. & Rigler, R. (1995) *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **99**, 13368-13379.
32. Masuda, A., Ushida, K., & Okamoto, T. (2005) *Biophysical Journal* **88**, 3584-3591.
33. H. Qian, and E. L. Elson, (1990) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **87**, 5479-5483

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Domain architecture of the cyanobacterial phytochrome 1 (Cph1), the Cph1 Δ deletion mutant and the red-fluorescent mutant PR1 are shown in Panel A. The phycocyanobilin chromophore (PCB) is covalently linked to conserved cysteine 259 (indicated with a boldface letter C). PR1 has the same primary structure as Cph1 Δ with the exception of the substitution of a histidine for tyrosine at position 176 (indicated with boldface letters H and Y, respectively). Panel B shows the raw excitation and emission spectra of Cph1 Δ and PR1. The inset shows the absorption spectra of the red-absorbing P_r form (—) and the far-red absorbing P_{fr} form (- -) of wild type Cph1 Δ after saturating far-red and red irradiation, respectively. The third curve (-- --) is the difference in the absorption of the red and the far-red forms. Panel C depicts the fluorescence lifetime of wild type Cph1 Δ (—) and the mutant PR1 (- -) as measured by time-correlated single photon counting with ~80 ps long excitation pulses at 640 nm.

Figure 2: Fluorescence correlation spectroscopic analysis of PR1. Panel A shows the intensity transient of a solution of 100 pM of the red fluorescent dye Atto655 displayed with 1 ms bin width. Panel B shows the intensity transient of 1 nM PR1 mixed with 30 μ M apoCph1 Δ . Both fluorophores were excited by a 640 nm diode laser at 150 μ W power focused to a diffraction-limited spot in solution. Discrete photon bursts as shown in the insets to panels A and B indicate fluorescence from single molecules. Panel C shows fluorescence correlation plots. Data from the 100 pM Atto655 solution is shown as circles. A fit results in a diffusion time of 140 μ s for Atto655. Also shown are fluorescence correlation plots of 1.5 nM PR1 in the presence of a low concentration of apoCph1 Δ (10^{-8} M) (triangles) and a high concentration of apoCph1 Δ (10^{-6} M) (dumbbells). The shift in diffusion time at high protein concentration indicates protein-protein interactions. Panel D depicts the diffusion time of PR1 plotted as a function of the total Cph1 Δ concentration for two independent measurements. The concentration of the fluorescent mutant PR1 was kept constant at 1 nM, while the concentrations of the non-fluorescent apoprotein, apoCph1 Δ (squares) or apoPR1 (triangles), were varied over 4 orders of magnitude. Panel E shows chromophore brightness q as a function of protein

concentration. Each data point in panels D and E reflects the average of six separate measurements.

Figure 3: Diffusion time of different red-emitting organic dyes as a function of protein concentration. The diffusion times of three different organic dyes (Cy5.18 (triangles), Atto655 (diamonds), Alexa660 (squares)) with their concentration fixed at 100 pM are shown as a function of Cph1 Δ concentration. Also shown is the diffusion time of Atto655 (spheres) as a function of bovine serum albumin (BSA) concentration. The lines are guides to the eye. Each data point is the result of six separate measurements. Note that the native diffusion time of the fluorescent mutant PR1 is 500 μ s.

Figure 4: Fluorescence brightness of organic dyes upon interaction with apoCph1 Δ . Panel A depicts the fluorophore brightness for the dye Atto655 as a function of apoCph1 Δ concentration (triangles) and BSA concentration (squares). The Atto655 concentration was fixed at 100 pM. Panel B shows the fluorophore brightness for the dyes Alexa660 (circles) and Cy5.18 (dumbbells) as a function of apoCph1 Δ concentration. The dye concentration was fixed at 1 nM for Alexa660 and 100 pM for Cy5.18. Each data point in panels A and B is the result of six separate measurements. The different error bars for the brightness measurement of each fluorescent dye are due to their different photophysical properties, i.e. triplet state blinking and quantum yield.