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#### Abstract

A procedure for calculating virial coefficients for parallel hard lines, squares, and cubes is outlined, and the sixth and seventh virial coefficients are computed for these models. The essential step in the evaluation of the star integrals lies in the recognition of the fact that only a few "subintegrals" contribute to each virial coefficient, relative to the total number of labeled star integrals. Both the sixth and seventh virial coefficients are negative for hard cubes, a fact interesting from the point of view of phase transitions. Approximations to the excess entropy are given for squares and cubes.

The procedure for the star integrals is extended to the calculation of approximations to the pair distribution function and the potential of the mean force. These functions are calculated through the fourth approximation for hard lines, squares, and cubes. The topological graphs needed for the above investigations, together with the values of the related integrals in one dimension, are displayed.


## I. INTRODUCTION

STATISTICAL mechanics correlates the observed macroscopic properties of a system with the inferred microscopic properties of the system. The configurational integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N} \equiv \frac{1}{N!} \int \exp \left[-\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N}\right) / k T\right] d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends upon the intermolecular potential energy function $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ and is related to the macroscopic equation of state by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P / k T=\left(\partial \ln Q_{N} / \partial V\right)_{N, T} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P, V$, and $T$ have their usual thermodynamic meanings; $N$ is the number of molecules; $k$ is Boltzmann's constant; and $\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N}\right)$ is the total potential energy of the system, which we will assume can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N}\right)=\sum_{i<j} \phi_{i j}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i j}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation of macroscopic with microscopic variables implicit in (2) is not very useful because the configurational integral is ordinarily too difficult to evaluate. Ursell and Mayer, ${ }^{1}$ using a formalism heavily dependent on graph theory, were able to convert (1) into a form more useful from the point of view of the equation of state. Before giving these results we will

[^0]make a brief digression into the related theory of graphs. ${ }^{2}$

The graphs in which we are interested consist of a number of points (representing molecules) and lines [a line connecting the molecules $i$ and $j$ represents the function $f_{i j} \equiv \exp \left(-\phi_{i j} / k T\right)-1$ ]. If it is possible to trace a path of lines from any point in a graph to any other point in the graph the graph is called connected. If after removing a point from a connected graph, together with all of the lines adjacent to the missing point, the resulting graph is connected (no matter which point has been removed), the first graph is termed a star. Evidently the set of connected graphs includes the set of stars. We will denote the number of topologically different connected graphs of $n$ unlabeled points by $C(n)$ and the corresponding number for stars by $S(n)$. By way of orientation we give ${ }^{3}$ in Table I $C(n)$ and $S(n)$ for $n<8$. The stars of less than eight points are listed in Appendix I.

With any graph $G_{i}$ is associated a number $g_{i}$, the number of topologically distinct ways in which the graph may be labeled. In Fig. 1 we display the six connected graphs of four points together with the $g_{i}$ (which we call the degeneracy of the graph) for each graph.

The Ursell-Mayer formalism makes use of graph theory, finally obtaining the two Mayer equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
P / k T=\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Table I. The number of topologically different connected graphs $C(n)$ and star graphs $S(n)$ for $n<8$.

| $n:$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $C(n):$ | 1 | 2 | 6 | 21 | 112 | 853 |
| $S(n):$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 56 | 468 |

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \equiv N / V=\sum_{n=1}^{N} n b_{n} z^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is the thermodynamic fugacity, divided by $k T$, and the $b_{n}$ are cluster integrals over the coordinates of $n$ molecules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{n!V} \int \sum_{i=1}^{C(n)} g_{i} C_{i}(n) d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{n} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $b_{n}$ are known, $z$ can be eliminated between the two Mayer equations, giving the well-known virial equation of state

$$
\begin{equation*}
P / k T=\rho+B_{2 \rho^{2}}+B_{3 \rho^{3}}+B_{4} \rho^{4}+B_{5 \rho^{5}}+B_{6 \rho^{6}}+\cdots, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is the $n$th virial coefficient. Born and Fuchs ${ }^{4}$ were able to show that only the star integrals contribute to the equation of state, getting finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P / k T=\rho+\sum_{n=2}^{N} \frac{1-n}{n!V} \rho^{n} \int \sum_{i=1}^{S(n)} g_{i} S_{i}(n) d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we can see from Table I, the number of integrals necessary to the calculation of successive terms in (8) increases rapidly with $n$. Furthermore the integrals become unmanageable, for realistic potentials, with $n$ greater than 2 or 3 . In the following section we will introduce a potential which is particularly useful because the necessary star integrals are easy to perform. Before going on, we stress the fact that the virial equation of state is useful only in the region where the convergence of the virial series is rapid, and that for the full equation of state an attack through the distribution functions or some other method is necessary.

## 2. HARD-CUBE MODEL

The hard-cube model was introduced by Geilikman, ${ }^{5}$ who calculated $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ for a hard-cube gas. Zwanzig ${ }^{6}$

Fig. 1. The connected graphs of four points. The $g_{i}$ indicate the number of ways each graph can be labeled.

[^2]pointed out the intimate connection of the two- and three-dimensional cases (squares and cubes) with the one-dimensional case (lines), and used the one-dimensional results of Riddell and Uhlenbeck ${ }^{7}$ to calculate virial coefficients through $B_{5}$ for cubes. Temperley ${ }^{8}$ has extended these calculations to gases of more than three dimensions. As noted in an earlier communication, ${ }^{9}$ we have computed $B_{6}$ for lines, squares, and cubes and will here present the method of calculation used together with our results for $B_{7}$, the excess entropy, the radial distribution function, and the potential of the mean force for such molecules.

The hard-cube potential is illustrated in Fig. 2. The least realistic property of this potential, which depends upon the fixed Cartesian coordinate system, is that the molecules cannot rotate, behaving as if their moments of inertia were infinite. This feature, together with the cubic, rather than spherical, symmetry is essential


Fig. 2. The hardcube potential. The molecular side length is $\sigma$.
in establishing the one-, two-, and three-dimensional correlation.

Let us consider a star integral contributing to one of the virial coefficients through Eq. (8), for instance

$$
\int \otimes d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{6}=\int f_{12} f_{13} f_{14} f_{15} f_{23} f_{24} f_{25} f_{34} f_{35} f_{45} d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{6}
$$

an integral which has not yet been evaluated analytically for hard spheres. Because an $f$ function containing the coordinates of two hard cubes, $f_{i j}\left(x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j}\right)$, may be written as the product $f_{i j}\left(x_{i j}\right) f_{i j}\left(y_{i j}\right) f_{i j}\left(z_{i j}\right)$, it is clear that the complicated three-dimensional integral above may be factored into the product of three (equal) one-dimensional integrals, and, as we shall see, the one-dimensional integrals are easily evaluated. This property of factorization can also be used to advantage in calculations of the pair distribution function. The one-dimensional connection is also useful as a helpful check in calculations because the virial coefficients, ${ }^{10}$ cluster integrals, ${ }^{11}$ radial distribution function, ${ }^{12}$ and thermodynamic properties of the hard-line gas are well known.

[^3]
## 3. CALCULATION OF VIRIAL COEFFICIENTS

As we see from Eqs. (7) and (8), the $n$th virial coefficient $B_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}=\frac{1-n}{n!V} \int \sum_{i=1}^{S(n)} g_{i} S_{i}(n) d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{n} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form applies in one, two, and three dimensions, keeping in mind that $d \mathbf{r}$ represents $d x, d x d y$, and $d x d y d z$, respectively, in these cases. For convenience we assign the sign of each contributing star integral to the $g_{i}$ for that star, so that all integrals are positive and $I_{n}=I_{1}{ }^{n}$, where $I$ is a star integral and we indicate dimensionality with a subscript. Using this convention we may write Eq. (9) for $n=2 \cdots 4$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}=\frac{1}{2 V} \int-d \mathbf{r}_{1} d \mathbf{r}_{2},  \tag{10}\\
& B_{3}=\frac{1}{3 V} \int \triangle d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{3},  \tag{11}\\
& B_{4}=\frac{-1}{8 V} \int(3 \square-6 \square+\boxtimes) d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{4} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We will now consider the evaluation of a typical star integral contributing to $B_{6}$ to illustrate our methods. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \equiv \frac{1}{V} \int \bigcirc d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{6} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the integral in (13) is independent of the location of molecule 1 for large $V$, we place 1 at the origin and cancel the factor of $V^{-1}$. Specializing to one dimension,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int f_{12} f_{23} f_{44} f_{45} f_{56} f_{f_{11}} d x_{2} d x_{3} d x_{4} d x_{5} d x_{6} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(molecule 1 at origin),
where we have assigned an arbitrary labeling to the star. We now note that the integral indicated in (14) can be written as the sum of $6!=720$ integrals in which a given molecular ordering, from left to right, is maintained, because there are 6 ! different ways of ordering the molecules on a line. We could evaluate the integral for each of these orderings, but because of the sixfold symmetry of the integrand it is sufficient to consider only those orderings in which the leftmost molecule is number 1 , and then to multiply the results of these 120 integrals by 6 to obtain $I$. We will therefore consider orderings such as 123456 and 135246, but not 654321 or 531642 . If the integrand had no symmetry it

Fig. 3. The $f$ functions characterizing $w, x$, and $y$ subintegrals are indicated as lines connecting the molecules.

would be necessary to consider each of the 720 orderings.

One could next list the 120 orderings, put in limits of integration with the help of the restrictions imposed by the ordering and by the $f$ functions, and set out to evaluate the integrals. This is in fact the way in which we originally attacked the problem. It soon becomes obvious, while carrying out this procedure, that many of the integrals obtained are identical in form and value. Altogether only 14 distinct kinds of integrals are found, some occurring more often than others. We will now describe these fourteen "subintegrals" and show how to determine, from the form of the integrand of the star integral, how many times each occurs.

Let us first consider those orderings in which the last molecule is number 2 or number 6 (so that 134562 and 123456 are included in this category). Because an $f$ function ( $f_{12}$ or $f_{61}$ ) connects the first and last molecules in these orderings it is clear that the upper limit of integration on the rightmost molecule is $\sigma$, the range of the intermolecular force. Because of the restriction that the ordering from left to right be maintained throughout the integration, all of the molecules are between the first (which is at the origin) and the last (which must be somewhere between the origin and $\sigma$ ). Thus all of the restrictions imposed by the $f$ functions are automatically satisfied, and the $f$ functions may be removed from the integrand. Using 123456 as an example of this type of integral we have
$123456=\int f_{12} f_{23} f_{34} f_{45} f_{56} f_{61} d x_{2} d x_{3} d x_{4} d x_{5} d x_{6}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(0<x_{2}<x_{3}<x_{4}<x_{5}<x_{6}<\sigma\right) \\
=\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{v}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma} d a=\sigma^{5} / 5!. \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The use of $w, x, y, z$, and $a$ as integration variables is convenient in deciding whether or not two different orderings give rise to the same subintegral. We use $w$ to indicate the coordinate of the second molecule in the ordering, $x$ for the third molecule, and so on. We will term an integral of the kind found in Eq. (15) a $\sigma$ integral, because all of the upper limits of integration are $\sigma$. A $\sigma$ integral will always result when an $f$ function in the integrand connects the first and last molecules in the ordering under consideration.
Suppose we now consider an ordering in which molecule 1 is connected by an $f$ function to the next-to-

Table II. Characteristics of the one-dimensional subintegrals contributing to $\int \bigcirc d r_{2} \cdots d r_{\mathrm{b}}$.

| Ordering | Diagram | Subintegral | Name | Value $X$ $5!/ \sigma^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123456 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma} d a$ | $\sigma$ | 1 |
| 124563 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+w} d a$ | $w$ | 2 |
| 124653 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+w} d a$ | ww | 3 |
| 126453 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma+w} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+w} d a$ | werw | 4 |
| 125634 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\tau+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a$ | $w x$ | 5 |
| 126435 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma+w} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a$ | wrex | 7 |
| 126345 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma+w} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+x} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a$ | $w x x$ | 9 |
| 132645 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+y} d a$ | $w y$ | 7 |
| 126534 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma+w} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+w} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+y} d a$ | wwy | 11 |
| 126354 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma+w} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+x} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+y} d a$ | wxy | 16 |
| 123564 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a$ | $x$ | 3 |

Table II (continued)

| Ordering | Diagram | Subintegral | Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } X \\ 5!/ \sigma^{5} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123654 | $1 \cdot 59$ | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+x} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a$ | $x x$ | 6 |
| 123645 |  | $\int_{0}^{s} d w \int_{w}^{s} d x \int_{x}^{s} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma+x} d z \int_{z}^{s+y} d a$ | $x y$ | 9 |
| 123465 |  | $\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{s} d y \int_{y}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\alpha+y} d a$ | $y$ | 4 |

last molecule, but not to the last one. We know that the upper limits of the first four integration variables are $\sigma$, but the last upper limit depends upon the details of the ordering. If the last molecule is connected to the second by an $f$ function then the upper limit on the rightmost integration would be $\sigma+w$. Similarly, other orderings will give rise to integration limits of $\sigma+x$ or $\sigma+y$. In Fig. 3 we indicate these possibilities pictorially, showing the $f$ functions (as lines) which are used to determine the integration limits. The following orderings typify these kinds of subintegrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
124563 & =\int f_{12} f_{22} f_{34} f_{45} f_{56} f_{61} d x_{2} d x_{4} d x_{5} d x_{6} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{v}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+w} d a=2 \sigma^{5} / 5!  \tag{16}\\
152463 & =\int f_{12} f_{23} f_{34} f_{45} f_{56} f_{61} d x_{5} d x_{2} d x_{4} d x_{6} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{v}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+x} d a=3 \sigma^{5} / 5!  \tag{17}\\
156423 & =\int_{12} f_{23} f_{34} f_{45} f_{56} f_{61} d x_{5} d x_{6} d x_{4} d x_{2} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\sigma} d w \int_{w}^{\sigma} d x \int_{x}^{\sigma} d y \int_{v}^{\sigma} d z \int_{z}^{\sigma+v} d a=4 \sigma^{5} / 5! \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We will term the three kinds of subintegrals appearing in (16)-(18) as $w, x$, and $y$ subintegrals, deriving the name from the rightmost integration limit. It is easy
to see that a $z$ subintegral could not be obtained with six molecules, because if the last molecule is connected only to the next-to-last, the configuration could not be derived from a star. Thus we have disposed of all possible cases in which the first molecule is connected to the last, or to the next-to-last molecule.
One may go on to consider the other possibilities. In each case the lower integration limits are determined by the ordering, and the upper integration limits are determined by both the ordering and the $f$ functions in the integrand. Rather than describe the individual cases, we list in Table II all of the possibilities found for six molecules, together with the integration limits, values, and names of the related subintegrals, and an ordering giving each type of subintegral.
Let us now calculate the integral $I$ of Eq. (14) in terms of the subintegrals listed in Table II. We have already shown that all orderings with molecules 2 or 6 in the last position give rise to $\sigma$ integrals. We will therefore list, in Table III, only those orderings in which one of the molecules 3,4 , or 5 occupies the last position. (By further use of symmetry we could avoid consideration of half of these cases, but for completeness each of the 72 permutations is included in the table.) Sorting these contributions to the integral by type, adding in the $\sigma$ integrals from $1 \cdots 2$ and $1 \cdots 6$ orderings, and multiplying by six, we have $I$ expressed in terms of the subintegrals. These totals are given in Table IV. The total number of occurrences is, of course, 720. From the values of the subintegrals listed in Table II we calculate the value of $I$. Adding all of the contributions we find $I=2112 \sigma^{5} / 5!=88 \sigma^{5} / 5$. The value of the integral in two dimensions is just $\left(88 \sigma^{5} / 5\right)^{2}=$ $7744 \sigma^{10} / 25$; the three-dimensional case gives $\left(88 \sigma^{5} / 5\right)^{3}=$

Table III. Subintegrals contributing to $\int \square d r_{2} \cdots d r_{6}$ for 72 representative linear orderings.

| Ordering | Type | Ordering | Type | Ordering | Type | Ordering | Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 124563 | $w$ | 142563 | $w$ | 152463 | $x$ | 162453 | $w w x$ |
| 124653 | $w w$ | 142653 | $w w$ | 152643 | $w x$ | 162543 | $w x x$ |
| 125463 | $w$ | 145263 | $w$ | 154263 | $x$ | 164253 | $w x$ |
| 125643 | $w w$ | 145623 | $w$ | 154623 | $x$ | 164523 | $x$ |
| 126453 | $w w w$ | 146253 | $w w$ | 156243 | $w y$ | 165243 | $x y$ |
| 126543 | $w w$ | 146523 | $w$ | 156423 | $y$ | 165423 | $y$ |
| 123564 | $x$ | 132564 | $w$ | 152364 | $w$ | 162354 | $w w y$ |
| 123654 | $x x$ | 132654 | $w w$ | 152634 | $w w$ | 162534 | $w x y$ |
| 125364 | $x$ | 135264 | $w$ | 153264 | $w$ | 163254 | $w x$ |
| 125634 | $w x$ | 135624 | $w$ | 153624 | $w$ | 163524 | $x$ |
| 126354 | $w x y$ | 136254 | $w w$ | 156234 | $w w$ | 165234 | $x x$ |
| 126534 | $w w y$ | 136524 | $w$ | 156324 | $w$ | 165324 | $x$ |
| 123465 | $y$ | 132465 | $y$ | 142365 | $w$ | 162345 | $w w w$ |
| 123645 | $x y$ | 132645 | $w y$ | 142635 | $w w$ | 162435 | $w w w$ |
| 124365 | $x$ | 134265 | $x$ | 143265 | $w$ | 163245 | $w w$ |
| 124635 | $w x$ | 134625 | $x$ | 143625 | $w$ | 163425 | $w$ |
| 126345 | $w x x$ | 136245 | $w x$ | 146235 | $w w$ | 164235 | $w$ |
| 126435 | $w w x$ | 136425 | $x$ | 146325 | $w$ | 164325 | $w$ |

$681472 \sigma^{15} / 125$. In order to get the contributions of

$$
g \int \bigcirc d \mathbf{r}_{2} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{6}
$$

to $B_{6}$ one must multiply these results by 60 , the number of topologically distinct ways in which the points of a hexagon may be labeled.

In general, one follows the above procedure for each of the stars contributing to the $B_{n}$ of interest. One might expect that no two different star integrals would have the same representation in terms of subintegrals. We find two pairs of seven-point graphs with identical representations (numbers 380, 381 and 420,421 in Appendix I) however, so that the corresponding set of subintegrals does not uniquely specify the star in question. The values found for all stars of less than eight points ${ }^{13}$ are listed in Appendix I.

Table IV. Total subintegral contributions to $\int \square d r_{2} \cdots d r_{\mathrm{o}}$.

| Subintegral: | $\sigma$ | $w$ | $w w$ | $w w w$ | $w x$ | $w w x$ | $w x x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| occurrences: | 288 | 120 | 72 | 24 | 36 | 12 | 12 |
| Subintegral: | $w y$ | $w w y$ | $w x y$ | $x$ | $x x$ | $x y$ | $y$ |
| occurrences: | 12 | 12 | 12 | 72 | 12 | 12 | 24 |

[^4]A slight further simplification arises because some pairs of subintegrals are equal. We note, for example, that any ordering giving rise to an $x$ subintegral corresponds exactly to a ww subintegral on reversal of the ordering. There are three other such pairs in Table II: $w w w=y$, $w w x=w y$, and $w x x=x y$. The values of such pairs of subintegrals are clearly equal by symmetry. One would expect the number of such pairs to approach half the total number of subintegrals for $n$ large, as the relative number of subintegrals with a center of symmetry must decrease. In Table $V$ we list the number of subintegrals contributing to the $n$th virial coefficient for $n<8$. Each pair is counted as only one subintegral in this table.
The number of different subintegrals increases rapidly with $n$. Let us define $L_{1}$ as the number of different subintegrals with one-letter names other than $\sigma$ (including $w, x, y, \cdots$ ); $L_{2}$ as the number with twoletter names; and $L_{3}$ as the number with three-letter names. One can easily show, by considering diagrams like those in Table II, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}=\sum_{n>3}^{n} 1=\frac{(n-3)}{1!},  \tag{19}\\
& L_{2}=\sum_{n>4}^{n}\left[\sum_{n>3}^{n} 1\right]=\frac{(n-4)(n-1)}{2!},  \tag{20}\\
& L_{3}=\sum_{n>5}^{n}\left(\sum_{n>4}^{n}\left[\sum_{n>3}^{n} 1\right]\right)=\frac{(n-5)(n-1)(n)}{3!} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

We conjecture that the obvious generalization to $L_{n}$ is valid for all $n$.

We now list, in Table VI, all of the subintegrals encountered in the evaluation of the first seven virial coefficients. We note that the kind of subintegral represented by a given ordering follows from the upper right-hand corner of the so-called adjacency matrix in which the ordering is preserved in the labeling of the rows and columns. The adjacency matrix has $a_{i j}=1$ if an $f$ function connects molecules $i$ and $j$, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. The relation of the subintegrals to the adjacency matrix is very useful for machine calculations.
We have seen that in order to find the virial coefficients one classifies each contributing star in terms of subintegrals, obtains the value of the related star integral, multiplies by the number of ways in which the star may be labeled, and adds, finding $B_{n}$ by Eq. (9). Although the procedure is straightforward, a considerable amount of labor is involved, and in the case of $B_{7}$, which requires the evaluation of 468 integrals, each integral being the sum of $7!$ subintegrals, the task was given to an IBM 704 computer.
For the machine calculations, one reads each star into the computer in the form of an adjacency matrix; the machine then examines all of the orderings for each star, finding the number of times each subintegral contributes to the star integral in question. As the values of the subintegrals are known the computer can then calculate $B_{n}$.
Two important means of checking the results for the star integrals are available. First, as we have noted, all of the virial coefficients in one dimension are known to be +1 where $\sigma$ is taken as unit length. Second, the integral corresponding to an open ring $(\triangle, \square, \triangle$, $\cdots$.) is known exactly ${ }^{14}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(n \text { ring })=\frac{(-2)^{n}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^{n} d x \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(n-1)!}\left[n^{n-1}-n(n-2)^{n-1}+n(n-1)(n-4)^{n-1} / 2\right. \\
&  \tag{22}\\
& \left.\quad-n(n-1)(n-2)(n-6)^{n-1} / 6+\cdots\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma=1$ and the first $n$ terms are taken for $I_{2 n-1}$
Table V. Number of distinct subintegrals contributing to $B_{n}$.

|  | $n:$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 26 |
| Subintegrals: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| Equal pairs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table VI. Values and names of all subintegrals contributing to $B_{2} \cdots B_{7}$.

| $n=2$ |  | $n=3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subintegral | $\text { Value } \times 1 \text { ! }$ <br> 1 | Subintegral <br> $\sigma$ | $\text { Value } \times 2 \text { ! }$ <br> 1 |
| $n=4$ |  | $n=7$ |  |
| Subintegral | Value $\times 3$ ! | Subintegral | Value $\times 6$ ! |
| $\sigma$ | 1 | $\sigma$ | 1 |
| w | 2 | w | 2 |
| $n=5$ |  | wnw $=y$ | 4 |
| Subintegral | Value $\times 4$ ! | ข \% | 5 |
| $\sigma$ | 1 | ${ }^{2} x$ | 5 |
| $w$ | 2 | $w w x=w y$ | 7 |
| $w w=x$ | 3 | $w w w x=w z$ | 9 |
| $w x$ | 5 | $x x$ | 6 |
| $n=6$ |  | $w x x=x y$ | 12 |
| Subintegral | Value $\times 5$ ! | $x x x=y y$ | 10 |
| $\sigma$ | 1 | $w x x x=y z$ | 14 |
| $w$ | 2 | wrey | 10 |
| $w_{w}=x$ | 3 | $w w w y=w w z$ | 14 |
| renve $=y$ | 4 | $w x y$ | 14 |
| $w x$ | 5 | $w w x y=w x z$ | 21 |
| wrex $=w y$ | 7 | $x x y=w y y$ | 16 |
| $w x x=x y$ | 9 | $w x x y=w y z$ | 26 |
| wwy | 11 | wruy $y=x x z$ | 26 |
| zoxy | 16 | $x y y$ | 19 |
| $x x$ | 6 | $w x y y=x y z$ | 35 |
|  |  | wervez | 19 |
|  |  | wwxz | 30 |
|  |  | $w x x z=w w y z$ | 40 |
|  |  | wxyz | 61 |

and $I_{2 n}$. Using this formula one finds $+88 / 5$ for the integral over $\square$, and $-5887 / 180$ for the integral over $\bigcirc$, in agreement with the values appearing in Appendix I.
Our results for the virial coefficients are given in Table VII, together with $B_{1} \cdots B_{5}$ as calculated by earlier workers. ${ }^{5,6}$ The virial coefficients are given first in terms of the edge length $\sigma$ as unit length, then in units of $B_{2}$ as unit volume. Both sets of units are found in the literature. In Table VIII we list the cluster integrals and "irreducible cluster integrals," $\beta_{n}=-(n+1) B_{n} / n$, together with the known values for hard spheres, ${ }^{15}$ and those derived from a special

[^6]Table VII. Virial coefficients for hard lines, squares, and cubes. First set of values is for $\sigma \equiv 1$. Second set is for $B_{2} \equiv 1$.

|  | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ | $B_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lines | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Squares | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\frac{11}{3}$ | $\frac{67}{18}$ | $\frac{121}{40}$ | $\frac{17827}{10800}$ |
| Cubes | 1 | 4 | 9 | $\frac{34}{3}$ | $\frac{455}{144}$ | $\frac{-2039}{108}$ | $\frac{-169149119}{3888000}$ |
| Lines | $\begin{gathered} B_{1} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{2} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{3} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{4} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{5} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{6} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B_{7} \\ 1.0000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Squares | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.7500 | 0.4583 | 0.2326 | 0.0945 | 0.0258 |
| Cubes | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5625 | 0.1771 | 0.0123 | -0.0184 | -0.0106 |

"Gaussian" model used by Ford ${ }^{16}$ in which it is assumed that the $f$ functions are Gaussian in form. These numbers are all given in terms of $B_{2} \equiv$ unit volume. It is interesting to see the fairly close numerical agreement between the hard-cube and hard-sphere results, as contrasted with the poorer agreement between these and the Gaussian model.

The most interesting feature of these results is the fact that $B_{6}$ and $B_{7}$ are negative for parallel hard cubes. This is interesting from the point of view of phase transitions because negative virial coefficients are necessary to produce isotherms with flat portions or van der Waals loops. As previously pointed out, ${ }^{9}$ negative virial coefficients for cubes do not imply such behavior for spheres, although these results are certainly suggestive. Alder and Wainwright ${ }^{17}$ believe that $B_{6}$ and $B_{7}$ are both positive for hard spheres, although
they cannot estimate the magnitudes of these coefficients precisely. In Figs. 4 and 5 we have plotted the equation of state for hard parallel squares and cubes, with separate curves for six and seven virial coefficients to give an idea of the densities at which these coefficients become important in the two and three-dimensional cases. The closest-packed volume $V_{0}$ is $N \sigma^{2}$ for hard squares, and $N \sigma^{3}$ for hard cubes.

Although the one-dimensional case is a solved problem, we think it is worthwhile to present the results of an investigation to determine which subintegrals contribute to the one-dimensional virial coefficients. Because each contributing star integral is expressible in terms of subintegrals, it is possible to calculate the net contribution of each kind of subintegral to each virial coefficient. We will illustrate this process for $B_{4}$; the results for $B_{2} \cdots B_{7}$ are given in Table IX.

Table VIII. Cluster integrals $b_{n}$ and irreducible cluster integrals $\beta_{n}$ for five models. Unit volume is $B_{2}$.

|  | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{3}$ | $b_{4}$ | $b_{5}$ | $b_{6}$ | $b_{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lines | 1.000 | -1.000 | 1.500 | -2.667 | 5.208 | -10.800 | 23.343 |
| Squares | 1.000 | -1.000 | 1.625 | -3.236 | 7.214 | -17.277 | 43.493 |
| Cubes | 1.000 | -1.000 | 1.719 | -3.705 | 9.054 | -23.971 | 67.087 |
| Spheres | 1.000 | -1.000 | 1.688 | -3.554 |  |  |  |
| Gaussian | 1.000 | -1.000 | 1.872 | -4.522 | 12.554 | -38.045 | 122.706 |
|  | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{6}$ | $\beta_{6}$ |  |
| Lines | -2.000 | -1.500 | -1.333 | -1.250 | -1.200 | -1.167 |  |
| Squares | -2.000 | -1.125 | -0.611 | -0.291 | -0.113 | -0.030 |  |
| Cubes | -2.000 | -0.844 | -0.236 | -0.015 | +0.022 | +0.012 |  |
| Spheres | -2.000 | -0.938 | -0.383 |  |  |  |  |
| Gaussian | -2.000 | -0.386 | +0.167 | -0.016 | -0.046 | +0.035 |  |

[^7]Three different types of stars contribute to $B_{4}$ : $\square, \triangle$, and $\boxtimes$. In terms of subintegrals,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(\square)=16 \sigma+8 w  \tag{23}\\
& I(\triangle)=20 \sigma+4 w  \tag{24}\\
& I(\triangle)=24 \sigma \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the degeneracies into account one finds that only the $\sigma$ subintegrals contribute to the one-dimensional $B_{4}$. From Table IX we see that this is true for $B_{2} \cdots B_{7}$ ! We have not been able to prove this relation generally or to find a parallel in two or more dimensions; the following three facts are relevant however.
(1) Riddell ${ }^{18}$ has shown that the net number of lines in the stars of $n$ points (calling lines negative for stars with odd numbers of lines and positive for stars with even numbers of lines) is $-n!/ 2$. This result, coupled with the observation that each line in a star of $n$ points will give rise to $2[(n-2)!] \sigma$ subintegrals of value $1 /(n-1)!$ each, gives for the net value of all $\sigma$ subintegrals contributing to a given $B_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-n!/ 2)\{2[(n-2)!]\}(1 /[n-1]!)=n!/(1-n) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the reciprocal of the factor appearing in Eq. (9), indicating that the $\sigma$ subintegrals are just sufficiently numerous to give a virial coefficient of +1 in the one-dimensional case. The other subintegrals must therefore cancel out collectively, if not individually.
(2) In one individual case, for each value of $n>3$, it is possible to point out a subintegral which will give a net one-dimensional contribution of zero. This is the subintegral corresponding to the following kind of diagram:


Fig. 4. Equation of state for hard spheres.

[^8]

Fig. 5. Equation of state for hard cubes.
integral for $B_{4}, w x$ for $B_{5}, w x y$ for $B_{6}$, and so on. Because $n-3$ lines may be added to the diagram above, without changing the type of subintegral involved, the number of times the subintegral will contribute to stars of $n+m$ lines and $n$ points is just

$$
\binom{n-3}{m}
$$

and the number of contributions to stars of odd numbers of lines must equal that to stars of even numbers of lines.
(3) One can easily show that the net number of $\sigma$ subintegrals for the stars of $n$ points is the same, except for a possible difference in sign, as the number of $\sigma$ subintegrals derived from the star corresponding to an open ring, being $\pm n!(n-2)!$. This result indicates the hopelessness of trying to find approximations for the star integrals in order to sum the virial series exactly. The total contribution of all stars to $B_{n}$ (in one, two, or three dimensions) is, for those potentials which we are considering at least, of the order of magnitude of the contribution of a single type of star, and the error in an excellent approximation would undoubtedly exceed this for large $n$.
Using the virial coefficients in Table VII one can calculate approximations to the thermodynamic properties of hard square and hard cube gases. For such gases the entropy in excess of the ideal gas value is given by ${ }^{19}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S^{e}}{N k}=\ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} B_{n} \rho^{n-1}\right)-\sum_{n=2}^{N} B_{n} \rho^{n-1} /(n-1) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^9]Table IX. Subintegral contributions to the one-dimensional virial coefficients.


We have used Eq. (27) to calculate excess entropies for hard squares and hard cubes. The results are displayed in Figs. 6 and 7. On the hard-cube plot we have included the molecular dynamical results of Alder and Wainwright ${ }^{17}$ for hard spheres of diameter $\sigma$, recalculated for $V_{0} \equiv \pi N \sigma^{3} / 6$. It is interesting to note that at low densities the excess entropy depends upon the magnitude of the excluded volume $V_{0}$ and the results for cubes and spheres are approximately equal. At higher densities, where the geometry of the interacting molecules becomes important, large differences occur. All of the values for the excess entropy are negative, as one would expect, because the excluded volume of the molecules makes some configurations inaccessible for cubes and spheres which are accessible for ideal gas molecules.

## 4. CALCULATION OF THE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION

 FUNCTIONThe Ursell-Mayer development of the pressure in powers of $z$ may be generalized ${ }^{20}$ to the calculation of pair, triplet, and higher distribution functions. To find, for example, the pair distribution function, one places two molecules at $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ and integrates over all of the other molecules to get the probability of the configuration as a function of $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$. Using $n_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)$ to represent the pair distribution function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)=\frac{\frac{1}{(N-2)!} \int \exp -\frac{\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N}\right)}{k T} d \mathbf{r}_{3} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{N}}{\frac{1}{N!} \int \exp -\frac{\Phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N}\right)}{k T} d \mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{N}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 6. Excess entropy for hard spheres.

[^10]

Fig. 7. Excess entropy for hard cubes.
The radial distribution function $g\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)$ is just the ratio of the number of molecules separated by a distance $\mathbf{r}_{12}$ in the gas of interest to the number of molecules separated by $\mathbf{r}_{12}$ in an ideal gas at the same density. That is, $g\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)=n_{2}$ (real gas) $/ n_{2}$ (ideal gas).
In order to convert Eq. (28) for $n_{2}$ into a series in $z$, one introduces the modified cluster integrals $b_{n}{ }^{*}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b_{n}^{*}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) \equiv \frac{\exp \left[-\phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) / k T\right]}{n!} \int^{C_{i=1}^{*(n+1)}} g_{i} C_{i}{ }^{*}(n+1) \\
\times d \mathbf{r}_{3} \cdots d \mathbf{r}_{n+1}, \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

where the $C_{i}{ }^{*}(n+1)$ are graphs of $n+1$ points, which would become (or remain) connected if the line linking molecules 1 and 2 were added. With the help of these modified cluster integrals one shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n b_{n} * Q_{N-n-1} / Q_{N} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $z=Q_{N-1} / Q_{N}$ with the expansion of $z$ in powers of $\rho$ from inversion of Eq. (5),

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=\rho+\left(-2 b_{2}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(8 b_{2}{ }^{2}-3 b_{3}\right) \rho^{3} \\
& \quad+\left(-40 b_{2}{ }^{3}+30 b_{2} b_{3}-4 b_{4}\right) \rho^{4} \\
& \quad+\left(224 b_{2}{ }^{4}-252 b_{2}{ }^{2} b_{3}+48 b_{2} b_{4}+27 b_{3}{ }^{2}-5 b_{5}\right) \rho^{5}+\cdots, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)= & \rho^{2} b_{1}{ }^{*}+\rho^{3}\left(2 b_{2}{ }^{*}-4 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{2}\right) \\
& +\rho^{4}\left(3 b_{3}{ }^{*}-12 b_{2}{ }^{*} b_{2}-6 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{3}+20 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +\rho^{5}\left(4 b_{4}{ }^{*}-24 b_{3}{ }^{*} b_{2}+72 b_{2}{ }^{*} b_{2}{ }^{2}-18 b_{2}{ }^{*} b_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+72 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{2} b_{3}-112 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{2}{ }^{3}-8 b_{1}{ }^{*} b_{4}\right)+\cdots \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

[The coefficient of the $\rho^{4}$ term in Eq. (32) is given
incorrectly in at least two references. ${ }^{21}$ ] On expanding the coefficients of each power of $\rho$ in terms of $\exp \left[-\phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) / k T\right]$ and the $f$ functions, a large amount of cancellation occurs, leaving

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{2}=\exp \left[-\phi\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) / k T\right]\left[\rho^{2}+\rho^{3} \int \Lambda d \mathbf{r}_{3}\right. \\
& +\left(\rho^{4} / 2\right) \int(2 \Pi+4 \Omega+\infty+\varnothing) d \mathbf{r}_{3} d \mathbf{r}_{4} \\
& +\left(\rho^{5} / 6\right) \int(6 \Omega+6 \phi+12 \Omega+12 \Omega \\
& +6 今+6 \Rightarrow+A+12 \phi+3 \Leftrightarrow+12 \Delta \\
& +12 \hat{\phi}+12 \vec{\phi}+6 \overrightarrow{8}+6 \vec{\infty}+6 \overrightarrow{8}+3 \vec{~} \\
& +3 \hat{\otimes}+12+6 \otimes+6+6+3 \\
& \left.\left.+6+{ }^{\infty}\right) d \mathbf{r}_{3} d \mathbf{r}_{4} d \mathbf{r}_{5}+\cdots\right], \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients prefixed to each graph indicate how many times the graph occurs in the full expansion. [In Eq. (33) we indicate molecules 1 and 2 by OO.]

The integrals in (33) are closely related to the integrals for the virial coefficients. We see that all graphs which become stars when the line corresponding to $f_{12}$ is added will appear in the expansion of $n_{2}$. The evaluation of the integrals is, as with the star integrals, straightforward. Again the one-dimensional integrals are simply related to the two- and three-dimensional integrals. If the value of a one-dimensional integral over a "doubly rooted" graph appearing in (33) is $P(x)$, where $P$ is a polynomial, then in three dimensions the corresponding integral is $P(x) P(y) P(z)$. Because of the symmetry of the hard-cubes model, only the absolute values of $x, y$, and $z$ will enter into the values of the integrals. We will delete the absolute value signs on all coordinates so that our equations, as written, will apply only to the region $0<x, y, z$.

Before illustrating the procedure by evaluating one integral, let us list the principal complications which make the distribution function problem harder than the virial coefficient problem for hard lines, squares, and cubes.
(1) More types of graphs must be considered. To compute the fifth virial coefficient one evaluates 10 types of integrals. The corresponding term in the pair distribution function involves 24 types of integrals.
(2) Two kinds of molecules, not just one, are involved in distribution function calculations, the fixed

[^11]molecules, 1 and 2 in the pair case, and the other molecules, whose coordinates are the integration variables. Thus, many different kinds of linear orderings are possible. For five molecules the orderings $12 \bullet e \oplus$, $1 \bullet 2 \bullet \bullet, 1 \bullet \bullet 2,1 \bullet \bullet \bullet 2, \bullet 12 \bullet \bullet, \bullet 1 \bullet 2 \bullet, ~ 1 \bullet \bullet 2$, $\bullet \bullet 12 \bullet$, $\bullet 1 \bullet 2$, and $\bullet \bullet \bullet 12$ must all be considered; each of these possibilities gives rise to its own set of subintegrals.
(3) The polynomial in $r_{12} \equiv r$, which is the value of an integral over a doubly rooted graph, has a different form for different ranges of $r$. In general, different polynomials apply in each of the regions $0<r<1$, $1<r<2, \cdots$, where we are setting $\sigma \equiv 1$.
(4) More ingenuity is required in setting up the integration limits. It is no longer possible in all cases to write the integration limits by casual inspection.

Because of these difficulties we have calculated the pair distribution function through the fourth approximation only, including all terms appearing in Eq. (33). In principle one could evaluate any such integral in a straightforward way; in practice the labor involved soon becomes prohibitive.

We will now consider one example in detail to illustrate our methods. Let us take the one-dimensional integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \equiv \int \mid>l_{1} d x_{3} d x_{4} d x_{5} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contributes to the fourth approximation to the pair distribution function. Because 1 and 2 are fixed, we need consider only $5!/ 2$ linear orderings, assuming that 1 is to the left of 2 . We notice by symmetry that some of the classes of orderings must be equal. These are $12 \bullet \bullet$ and $\bullet \bullet \bullet 12,1 \bullet 2 \bullet \bullet$ and $\bullet \bullet 1 \bullet 2,1 \bullet \bullet 2 \bullet$ and $\bullet \bullet \bullet 2, \bullet \bullet 12 \bullet$ and $\bullet 12 \bullet \bullet$. Further, the integral must vanish for $r>2$ by inspection of (34).
In Table $X$ we give the subintegrals for each of the 60 orderings contributing to (34) in the ranges $0<r<1$, and $1<r<2$, finally adding these contributions to obtain $I$. Diagrams indicating which $f$ functions are used to set the integration limits are included with each kind of ordering. To find the total contribution of $I$ to the pair distribution function we multiply the final total in Table X by +6 , plus because the number of lines is even, and 6 because the doubly rooted graph can be labeled in six different ways. Proceeding in this way one can evaluate all of the integrals contributing to $g_{1}, g_{2}$, and $g_{3}$, where the radial distribution function is given by
$g(x, y, z, \rho)=n_{2} / \rho^{2}=\exp [-\phi(x, y, z) / k T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times\left[1+\rho g_{1}+\rho^{2} g_{2}+\rho^{3} g_{3}+\cdots\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table X. Subintegrals contributing to $\int \infty d r_{3} d r_{1} d r_{b}$.

| Ordering | Diagram | Integral for $0<r<1$ | Integral for $1<r<2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet \bullet 12=12 \bullet \bullet$ : |  | $2 \int_{r-1}^{0} d w \int_{r-1}^{w} d x \int_{-1}^{x} d y$ | Vanishes |
| 34512 |  | $4 \int_{r-1}^{0} d w \int_{r-1}^{w} d x \int_{r-1}^{x} d y$ | Vanishes |
| 35412 |  |  |  |
| 43512 |  | $\left(6-12 r+6 r^{2}\right) / 6$ | 0 |

$\bullet \bullet 2=1 \bullet 2 \bullet \bullet$

34152

$\int_{0}^{r} d w \int_{r-1}^{0} d x \int_{-1}^{x} d y$
Vanishes

35142

$\int_{0}^{r} d w \int_{r-1}^{0} d x \int_{w-1}^{x} d y$
Vanishes

43152
53142
45132
54132
Totals:
$\bullet \bullet 12 \bullet=\bullet 12 \bullet \bullet:$

34125

54123

$\begin{array}{ll}\int_{r}^{1} d w \int_{w-1}^{0} d x \int_{-1}^{x} d y & \text { Vanishes } \\ \int_{r}^{1} d w \int_{w-1}^{0} d x \int_{r-1}^{x} d y & \text { Vanishes }\end{array}$
43125
35124
53124
45123
Totals:

## 


$4 \int_{r}^{1} d w \int_{w-1}^{0} d x \int_{w-1}^{x} d y \quad$ Vanishes

$$
\left(8-21 r+18 r^{2}-5 r^{3}\right) / 6 \quad 0
$$

Table X (continued)

| Ordering | Diagram | Integral for $0<r<1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## - 1 - -

31425

51423

$\int_{0}^{r} d w \int_{w-1}^{0} d x \int_{r}^{w+1} d y \quad \int_{r-1}^{1} d w \int_{w-1}^{0} d x \int_{r}^{w+1} d y$

$\int_{r-1}^{0} d w \int_{0}^{r} d x \int_{r}^{1} d y \quad$ Vanishes

31524
41325
41523
51324

Totals:

$\int_{r-1}^{0} d w \int_{0}^{r} d x \int_{r}^{w+1} d y \quad$ Vanishes
$\left(24 r-42 r^{2}+19 r^{3}\right) / 6 \quad\left(8-12 r+6 r^{2}-r^{3}\right) / 6$

Table X (continued)

| Ordering | Contribution to I for $0<r<1$ | Contribution to I for $1<r<2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet \bullet \bullet 12$ | $\left(6-12 r+6 r^{2}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $12 \bullet \bullet \bullet$ | $\left(6-12 r+6 r^{2}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $\bullet \bullet 1 \bullet 2$ | $\left(18 r-27 r^{2}+9 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $1 \bullet 2 \bullet \bullet$ | $\left(18 r-27 r^{2}+9 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $\bullet \bullet 12 \bullet$ | $\left(8-21 r+18 r^{2}-5 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $\bullet 12 \bullet \bullet$ | $\left(8-21 r+18 r^{2}-5 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | 0 |
| $\bullet 1 \bullet \bullet 2$ | $\left(18 r^{2}-15 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | $\left(12-12 r+3 r^{2}\right) / 6$ |
| $1 \bullet \bullet 2 \bullet$ | $\left(18 r^{2}-15 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | $\left(12-12 r+3 r^{2}\right) / 6$ |
| $1 \bullet \bullet \bullet 2$ | $\left(6 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | $(12-6 r) / 6$ |
| $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ | $\left(24 r-42 r^{2}+19 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | $\left(8-12 r+6 r^{2}-r^{3}\right) / 6$ |
| Total $=I:$ | $\left(28-6 r-12 r^{2}+3 r^{3}\right) / 6$ | $\left(44-42 r+12 r^{2}-r^{3}\right) / 6$ |

The doubly rooted graphs of $n$ points contribute to $g_{n-2}$. All of the graphs contributing to $g_{1} \cdots g_{3}$, together with their values in one dimension are listed in Appendix II.

Because the radial distribution function has cubic rather than spherical symmetry, the locations of maxima and minima in the function depend upon direction as well as distance from the origin. In Table XI we have tabulated $g_{1} \cdots g_{3}$ as calculated from the data in Appendix II for hard lines, squares, and cubes with $\sigma \equiv 1$. For squares we have tabulated these functions along the line $x=0$ ( $\square \square$ ), as well as along $x=y(\diamond \diamond)$; for cubes we have tabulated $g_{1} \cdots g_{3}$ along the lines $x=y=0 ; x=0, y=z$; and $x=y=z$. This serves to point out the angle dependence of the "radial" distribution function for these molecules.
It is worthwhile to list some of the ways in which these results can be checked. All but the first of the six checks listed could be applied to potentials other than the special cases with which we have been concerned.
(1) One may compare the one-dimensional radial distribution function with the well-known exact result ${ }^{12}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp (\phi / k T) g(r)=\rho^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta^{+}(r-k)(\rho /[1-\rho])^{k}(r-k)^{k-1} \\
\times \exp \{-(r-k)(\rho /[1-\rho])\} /(k-1)!, \quad(36 \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\delta^{+}(r-k)=1$ for $r>k$ and 0 for $r<k$. Expanding the first few terms of (36) in powers of $\rho$ we find that for $0<r<2, g_{1}=2-r, g_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(7-6 r+r^{2}\right), \quad g_{3}=\frac{1}{6}(34-$ $\left.39 r+12 r^{2}-r^{2}\right)$; for $2<r<3, g_{1}=0, g_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-9+6 r-r^{2}\right)$,
$g_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(-98+87 r-24 r^{2}+2 r^{3}\right) ;$ for $3<r<4, g_{1}=0, g_{2}=0$, $g_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(64-48 r+12 r^{2}-r^{3}\right)$, in agreement with the results we obtain using Appendix II.
(2) Setting $r=0$ in the expression for any doubly rooted graph integral gives the value of the corresponding star integral. For example, $\propto$ becomes $\Delta$ on setting $r=0$, and the value of

$$
\int \bowtie \Delta d r_{3} d r_{4} d r_{5}
$$

reduces to the proper value, $14 / 3$, for $r=0$.
(3) The integral of the value of any doubly rooted graph from 0 to 1 will be equal to one-half the value of the corresponding star integral. For example,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d r\left[\int \infty d r_{3} d r_{4} d r_{5}\right]
$$

gives $29 / 8$, while from Appendix I the value of the corresponding star integral over $\triangle$ is $29 / 4$.
(4) In some cases doubly rooted graph integrals may be derived by inspection from simpler integrals. For example,

$$
\int d r_{3} d r_{4} d r_{5}=\left[\int \Lambda d r_{3}\right]^{3} .
$$

(5) The radial distribution function must satisfy the Ornstein-Zernicke relation, ${ }^{22}$

$$
k T(\partial \rho / \partial P)_{N, T}=1+\rho \int_{0}^{\infty} d \mathbf{r}[g(\mathbf{r})-1] .
$$

[^12]Table XI. $g_{1}, g_{2}$, and $g_{9}$ for hard lines, squares, and cubes.

|  | Lines | Squares |  | Cubes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | $\square$ | $\square$ | (17) |  | $6^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| $d$ | $g_{1}($ d $)$ | $g_{1}(0, d)$ | $g_{1}(d, d)$ | $g_{1}(0,0, d)$ | $g_{1}(0, d, d)$ | $g_{1}(d, d, d)$ |
| 1.00 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 4.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.10 | 0.9000 | 1.8000 | 0.8100 | 3.6000 | 1.6200 | 0.7290 |
| 1.20 | 0.8000 | 1.6000 | 0.6400 | 3.2000 | 1.2800 | 0.5120 |
| 1.30 | 0.7000 | 1.4000 | 0.4900 | 2.8000 | 0.9800 | 0.3430 |
| 1.40 | 0.6000 | 1.2000 | 0.3600 | 2.4000 | 0.7200 | 0.2160 |
| 1.50 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | 0.2500 | 2.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.1250 |
| 1.60 | 0.4000 | 0.8000 | 0.1600 | 1.6000 | 0.3200 | 0.0640 |
| 1.70 | 0.3000 | 0.6000 | 0.0900 | 1.2000 | 0.1800 | 0.0270 |
| 1.80 | 0.2000 | 0.4000 | 0.0400 | 0.8000 | 0.0800 | 0.0080 |
| 1.90 | 0.1000 | 0.2000 | 0.0100 | 0.4000 | 0.0200 | 0.0010 |
| 2.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $d$ | $g_{2}($ d $)$ | $g_{2}(0, d)$ | $g_{2}(d, d)$ | $\mathrm{g}_{2}(0,0, d)$ | $g_{2}(0, d, d$, ) | $g_{2}(d, d, d)$ |
| 1.00 | 1.0000 | 3.5000 | 0.5000 | 12.5000 | 2.0000 | -1.2500 |
| 1.10 | 0.8050 | 2.8200 | 0.1480 | 10.0800 | 0.7721 | -1.4358 |
| 1.20 | 0.6200 | 2.1800 | -0.1156 | 7.8200 | -0.1420 | -1.4417 |
| 1.30 | 0.4450 | 1.5800 | -0.3020 | 5.7200 | -0.7859 | -1.3294 |
| 1.40 | 0.2800 | 1.0200 | -0.4216 | 3.7800 | $-1.2000$ | -1.1481 |
| 1.50 | 0.1250 | 0.5000 | -0.4844 | 2.0000 | -1.4219 | -0.9356 |
| 1.60 | -0.0200 | 0.0200 | -0.4996 | 0.3800 | -1.4860 | $-0.7200$ |
| 1.70 | $-0.1550$ | -0.4200 | -0.4760 | -1.0800 | -1.4239 | -0.5212 |
| 1.80 | $-0.2800$ | $-0.8200$ | -0.4216 | $-2.3800$ | -1.2640 | -0.3520 |
| 1.90 | -0.3950 | $-1.1800$ | -0.3440 | -3.5200 | -1.0319 | -0.2191 |
| 2.00 | $-0.5000$ | -1.5000 | -0.2500 | $-4.5000$ | -0.7500 | -0.1250 |
| 2.10 | $-0.4050$ | -1.2150 | -0.1640 | -3.6450 | -0.4921 | -0.0664 |
| 2.20 | $-0.3200$ | -0.9600 | -0.1024 | $-2.8800$ | -0.3072 | -0.0328 |
| 2.30 | -0.2450 | -0.7350 | -0.0600 | -2.2050 | $-0.1801$ | -0.0147 |
| 2.40 | -0.1800 | $-0.5400$ | -0.0324 | -1.6200 | -0.0972 | -0.0058 |
| 2.50 | -0.1250 | -0.3750 | -0.0156 | -1.1250 | -0.0469 | -0.0020 |
| 2.60 | -0.0800 | $-0.2400$ | -0.0064 | -0.7200 | -0.0192 | -0.0005 |
| 2.70 | -0.0450 | -0.1350 | -0.0020 | -0.4050 | -0.0061 | -0.0001 |
| 2.80 | -0.0200 | -0.0600 | -0.0004 | -0.1800 | -0.0012 | $-0.0000$ |
| 2.90 | -0.0050 | -0.0150 | $-0.0000$ | -0.0450 | -0.0001 | -0.0000 |
| 3.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $d$ | $g_{3}($ d $)$ | $g_{3}(0, d)$ | $g_{3}(d, d)$ | $g_{3}(0,0, d)$ | $g_{3}(0, d, d)$ | $g_{3}(d, d, d)$ |
| 1.00 | 1.0000 | 5.5556 | -0.3333 | 32.4444 | 0.0556 | -3.4722 |
| 1.10 | 0.7148 | 3.9876 | -0.5663 | 23.3804 | -1.0834 | -1.1508 |
| 1.20 | 0.4587 | 2.6116 | $-0.6344$ | 15.6124 | -1.3301 | 0.7241 |
| 1.30 | 0.2305 | 1.4196 | -0.5855 | 9.0765 | -0.9846 | 2.0718 |
| 1.40 | 0.0293 | 0.4036 | -0.4599 | 3.7085 | -0.2911 | 2.8943 |
| 1.50 | -0.1458 | -0.4444 | -0.2912 | -0.5556 | 0.5558 | 3.2495 |
| 1.60 | -0.2960 | $-1.1324$ | -0.1065 | -3.7796 | 1.4071 | 3.2292 |
| 1.70 | -0.4222 | -1.6684 | 0.0729 | -6.0276 | 2.1535 | 2.9403 |
| 1.80 | -0.5253 | $-2.0604$ | 0.2312 | -7.3636 | 2.7217 | 2.4907 |
| 1.90 | -0.6065 | -2.3164 | 0.3572 | $-7.8516$ | 3.0702 | 1.9778 |
| 2.00 | $-0.6667$ | $-2.4444$ | 0.4444 | -7.5556 | 3.1852 | 1.4815 |
| 2.10 | -0.4363 | -1.4361 | 0.4752 | -3.2853 | 3.0496 | 1.0561 |
| 2.20 | -0.2440 | -0.6045 | 0.4519 | 0.1802 | 2.7193 | 0.7186 |
| 2.30 | -0.0877 | 0.0606 | 0.3966 | 2.8891 | 2.2890 | 0.4663 |
| 2.40 | 0.0347 | 0.5689 | 0.3261 | 4.8901 | 1.8289 | 0.2879 |
| 2.50 | 0.1250 | 0.9306 | 0.2526 | 6.2315 | 1.3886 | 0.1687 |
| 2.60 | 0.1853 | 1.1556 | 0.1845 | 6.9618 | 1.0001 | 0.0934 |
| 2.70 | 0.2177 | 1.2539 | 0.1267 | 7.1294 | 0.6807 | 0.0487 |
|  | 0.2240 | 1.2356 | 0.0816 | 6.7828 | 0.4360 | 0.0239 |
| 2.90 | 0.2063 | 1.1106 | 0.0491 | 5.9704 | 0.2621 | 0.0109 |
| 3.00 | 0.1667 | 0.8889 | 0.0278 | 4.7407 | 0.1481 | 0.0046 |
| 3.10 | 0.1215 | 0.6480 | 0.0148 | 3.4560 | 0.0787 | 0.0018 |
| 3.20 | 0.0853 | 0.4551 | 0.0073 | 2.4273 | 0.0388 | 0.0006 |
| 3.30 | 0.0572 | 0.3049 | 0.0033 | 1.6261 | 0.0174 | 0.0002 |
| 3.40 | 0.0360 | 0.1920 | 0.0013 | 1.0240 | 0.0069 | 0.0001 |
| 3.50 | 0.0208 | 0.1111 | 0.0004 | 0.5926 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 |
| 3.60 | 0.0107 | 0.0569 | 0.0001 | 0.3034 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 |
| 3.70 | 0.0045 | 0.0240 | 0.0000 | 0.1280 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 3.80 3 | 0.0013 | 0.0071 | 0.0000 | 0.0379 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3.90 | 0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 4.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |



Fig. 8. Potential of the mean force for hard lines.
(6) From the virial theorem one may derive, for hard cubes, the equation $P V / N k T=1+4 \rho g$ (surface), where $g$ (surface) is the average value of $g(x, y, z, \rho)$ on the surface of a cube of twice unit side length. This relation can be checked as can the analogous results for lines and squares.

To conclude this section on the radial distribution function let us examine the potential of the mean force ${ }^{23}$ for hard lines, squares, and cubes. This potential, $\Psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) \equiv \exp \left[-\Psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{12}\right) / k T\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is the potential energy of the average force on molecule 2 along $\mathrm{r}_{12}$ with molecule 1 (for convenience) at the origin. In Figs. 8-10 we have plotted $\Psi / k T$ for hard lines, squares, and cubes at a volume of $3 V_{0}$,


Fig. 9. Potential of the mean force for hard squares.


Fig. 10. Potential of the mean force for hard cubes.
using the radial distribution function data from Table XI in Eq. (37). Because $g(x)$ is known exactly for hard lines [Eq. (36)], we include $\Psi_{\text {exact }} / k T$ for comparison with $\Psi\left(g_{1} \cdots g_{3}\right) / k T$ in Fig. 8. We do not mean to imply, by using $V=3 V_{0}$ for lines, squares, and cubes, that $\Psi_{\text {exact }}$ will be equally close to $\Psi\left(g_{1} \cdots g_{3}\right)$ in each case. It might, for example, be better to use equal values of $\rho^{1 / n}$ for comparison, where $n$ is the number of dimensions. As in the case of hard spheres, ${ }^{23}$ we see that the mean force for lines, squares, and cubes is attractive for some values of the separation and number density. Again, the results for squares and cubes are strongly dependent upon angle as well as distance.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND REMARKS

The foregoing calculations for hard lines, squares, and cubes are interesting in illustrating the difficulties involved in using the exact cluster treatment of the configurational integral. The facts that (1) some virial coefficients are negative for hard cubes, and (2) that only a single kind of subintegral contributes to $B_{2} \cdots B_{7}$ for hard lines, are both interesting and stimulating, because the physical basis of these results is not understood. The techniques used here are rather

Table XII. $B_{2}$ and $B_{1}$ for triangles, squares, equilateral hexagons, and circles. First set of values is for $V_{0} \equiv N$. Second set is for $B_{2} \geq 1$.

|  | $\triangle$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{2}$ | 3.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 |
| $B_{2}$ | 7.0000 | 3.0000 | 3.1111 | 3.1280 |
| $B_{2}$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| $B_{3}$ | 0.7778 | 0.7500 | 0.7778 | 0.7820 |

: $0.7820=(4 / 3)-(\sqrt{3} / \pi)$.
specialized but may prove of value in suggesting treatments for more complicated potentials. Finally, the large amount of numerical data available from this investigation will permit rather exacting tests for any approximate theory of the configurational integral problem.

We note here that for other simple parallel molecules the integrations are more difficult. In Table XII we list for comparison $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ for parallel triangles, squares, equilateral hexagons, and circles, ${ }^{24,10}$ first in units of $V_{0} / N$, then in units of $B_{2}$. It is interesting to see that in the latter units $B_{3}$ is the same for triangles and hexagons. A system which is particularly easy to study from the point of view of the virial equation of state is a mixture (two-dimensional) of hard lines, some pointing east-west and the rest north-south; for such a system one finds that $B_{2}$ is positive, $B_{3}$ is zero, and $B_{4}$ is negative.

Notes added in proof. It is clear that the equation of state for the two-dimensional mixture of hard lines (north-south, east-west) is identical with the equation of state for a corresponding mixture of hard parallel red and green squares, such that $\phi_{R R}=0, \phi_{G G}=0$, and $\phi_{R G}=\phi$ (hard parallel squares). The nonvanishing star integrals for north-south and east-west lines of length $L$ are identical to the corresponding star integrals for squares of side length $L / 2$.

Upon examination, it is found that most of the integrals vanish, and applying the expressions of Mayer $^{25}$ for the virial coefficients of mixtures, one finds for the case of an equimolar mixture, using the appropriate entries in our Appendix I, the results: $B_{2}=1 / 4, B_{3}=0, B_{4}=-1 / 48, B_{5}=-1 / 192$, where unit area is $L^{2}$. (2) We have noticed that the net number of points of degree $m \neq n-1$ is zero for the stars of $n<8$ points. The degree of a point is simply the number of points to which it is directly linked by lines. [Refer to Eqs. (23), (24), and (25) and the remarks that follow].
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[^13]
## APPENDIX I

## Graphs and Integral Values for All Stars of Less than Eight Points

In this appendix we list all of the stars contributing to the first seven virial coefficients, together with the values of the one-dimensional integrals. The stars are numbered serially for each value of $n$, the number of points, and ordered according to (1) number of points, (2) number of lines, and (3) value of the one-dimensional integral. These values are derived from the following form of the integral

$$
\frac{(n-1)!}{V \sigma^{n-1}} \int S_{i}(n) d r_{1} \cdots d r_{n}
$$

This form is chosen so as to make all values appear as integers.
Three numbers are associated with each star: first, the serial index; second, $g$, the number of ways in which a star may be labeled, positive if the number of lines is even, negative if odd; third, the value of the integral, which is always taken as positive.
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| I Graph $E$ | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 10750 |
|  | 10788 |
|  | 20720 |
|  | 10692 |
|  | 10692 |
|  | 10638 |
|  | 10628 |
|  | 10624 |
|  | 10516 |
|  | 10576 |
|  | 10568 |
| +5040 | 10566 |
|  | 10560 |
|  | 10556 |





|  | Graph 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8792 <br> 8785 <br> 8784 <br> 8740 <br> 8720 <br> 8672 <br> 8662 <br> 8580 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8476 <br> 8464 <br> 8448 <br> 8448 <br> 843 <br> 8376 <br> 8294 <br> 8298 <br> 8272 <br> 8244 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |





## Errata



## APPENDIX II

## Doubly Rooted Graphs and Integral Values for Less than Six Points

In this appendix we list all of the doubly rooted graphs contributing to the first four approximations to
the radial distribution function, together with the values of the one-dimensional integrals. The graphs are numbered serially for each value of $n$, the number of points, and ordered according to (1) number of points, (2) number of lines, and (3) value of the one-dimensional integral. These values are derived from the following form of the integral

$$
(n-2)!\int S_{i}^{*}(n) d r_{3} \cdots d r_{n} .
$$

This form is chosen so as to make all coefficients appear as integers.

Because the value of the integral is a function of $r_{12} \equiv r$, it is necessary to tabulate the values separately for $0<r<1,1<r<2, \cdots$, where we have assigned $\sigma$ the value unity. The other numbers associated with each graph are the serial index and $g$, the number of ways the graph may be labeled with the root points being 1 and 2. Although the integral values for $0<r<1$ do not contribute to the one-dimensional radial distribution function, these values are needed for the distribution functions in two or more dimensions, and are included for that reason.

| I Graph | $0<r<1$ | $1<r<2$ | $2<x<3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12$ | 28- $9 x-12 r^{2}+4 r^{3}$ | $46-51 r+18 r^{2}-2 r^{3}$ | 0 |
|  | 28-6r-6r ${ }^{2}-2 r^{3}$ | $52-54 r+18 r^{2}-2 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 14 | $28-6 r-12 r^{2}+3 r^{3}$ | $44-42 r+12 r^{2}-r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 15 | $24-12 r^{2}+2 r^{3}$ | $38-30 r+6 r^{2}$ | $54-54 r+18 r^{2}-2 r^{3}$ |
| $16 \times$ | $36-42 \mathrm{r}+12 \mathrm{r}^{2}$ | $48-72 \mathrm{r}+36 \mathrm{r}^{2}-6 \mathrm{r}^{3}$ | 0 |
| 17 | $28-15 r-6 r^{2}+2 r^{3}$ | $48-60 r+24 r^{2}-3 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 18 | 28-18r-6 $6 r^{2}+4 r^{3}$ | $40-48 r+18 r^{2}-2 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 19 | 28-18r | $32-24 r \quad+2 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 20 | 24-6r-6r ${ }^{2}$ | $36-30 \mathrm{r}+6 \mathrm{r}^{2}$ | 0 |
| 21 | 24-6r-12r ${ }^{2}+4 r^{3}$ | $38-39 r+12 r^{2}-r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 22 | $28-24 r \quad+2 r^{3}$ | $48-72 r+36 r^{2}-6 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 23 | $24-12 \mathrm{x}-6 \mathrm{r}^{2}+2 \mathrm{r}^{3}$ | $40-48 \mathrm{r}+18 \mathrm{r}^{2}-2 r^{3}$ | 0 |
| 24 | 24-188 | $48-72 r+36 r^{2}-6 r^{3}$ | 0 |


[^0]:    $\dagger$ Based on a dissertation submitted in August, 1961, by William G. Hoover, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree at The University of Michigan.
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