Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 9 (2) (2017), 1–5



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/faac

Skew exactness and range-kernel orthogonality III

Robin Harte^a

^aTrinity College Dublin, Ireland

Abstract. We record some "range-kernel orthogonality" for the elementary operators defining Hankel and Toeplitz operators.

Introduction

This note is a sort of follow-up visit to our earlier paper [H3], in which we feel we may have left gaps in a couple of the arguments, specifically Theorem 5 and Theorem 6; we have also belatedly noticed the connection between the "derivations" involved and the Brown-Halmos definitions [BH] of Hankel and Toeplitz operators.

1. Hermitian elements of a Banach algebra

Recall [BD] that a Banach algebra element $a \in A$ is said to be *hermitian*, written $a \in \text{Re}(A)$, provided its *numerical range* is real:

1.1
$$V_A(a) \equiv \{\varphi(a) : \varphi \in \text{State}(A)\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$
,

where the "states" of A

1.2 State(A) = {
$$\varphi \in A^{\dagger} : ||\varphi|| = 1 = \varphi(1)$$
}

are the norm one linear functionals which achieve their norm at the identity $1 \in A$. The real-linear subspace $\operatorname{Re}(A) = H \subseteq A$ is an example of a "hermitian subspace" [H4] of *A*: we have

1.3
$$1 \in H; H \cap iH = O \equiv \{0\}.$$

We introduce the complex-linear Palmer subspace

1.4
$$\operatorname{Reim}(A) = \operatorname{Re}(A) + i\operatorname{Re}(A)$$

and observe that the "real and imaginary parts" of $a = h + ik \in \text{Reim}(A)$ are well-defined, with

 $x : \operatorname{Reim}(A) \to \operatorname{Re}(A), y : \operatorname{Reim}(A) \to \operatorname{Re}(A)$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A05.

Keywords. Hermitian subspace; Palmer subspace; Orthogonality.

Received: 20 Dececmber 2016; Accepted: 18 May 2017

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: rharte@maths.tcd.ie (Robin Harte)

given by setting

1.5
$$a = x(a) + iy(a) \ (a \in \operatorname{Reim}(A)),$$

and then there is an *involution* * : Reim(*A*) \rightarrow Reim(*A*) given by

1.6
$$(h + ik)^* = h - ik (\{h, k\} \subseteq \operatorname{Re}(A)).$$

If we further observe [BD], [Sp] that H = Re(A) satisfies

1.7
$$H = cl(H) \perp iH,$$

the subspaces of "real" and "imaginary" elements are closed and mutually "orthogonal", then it follows that Reim(*A*) is also a closed subspace of *A*, on which the involution $a \rightarrow a^*$ is a bounded (real-)linear operator. Here, for $E + F \subseteq X$, "orthogonality" $E \perp F$ is according to James and Birkhoff:

1.8
$$E \perp F \iff \text{AND}_{x \in E} (||x|| = \text{dist}(x, F))$$

The Palmer subspace is not in general closed under multiplication; it is however [BD],[Sp] closed under the Lie bracket: if $\{a, b\} \subseteq \text{Reim}(A)$ then

1.9
$$[a,b] \equiv ab - ba \in \operatorname{Reim}(A),$$

with

1.10
$$[a, b]^* = [b^*, a^*]$$

2. Self-commutant approximants

Specializing to the algebra A = B(X) of bounded operators on a Banach space *X*, we claim that **Lemma 1** If *X* is a Banach space then

2.1
$$T \in \operatorname{Reim} B(X) \Longrightarrow T^{-1}(0) \cap T^{*-1}(0) \subseteq \operatorname{Re}(T)^{-1}(0) \perp \operatorname{Re}(T)(X).$$

Proof. If $\{H, K\} \subseteq \operatorname{Re} B(X)$ then

2.2
$$T = H + iK \Longrightarrow T^{-1}(0) \cap T^{*-1}(0) = H^{-1}(0) \cap K^{-1}(0) ,$$

and now by Sinclair's theorem ([Si] Proposition 1; [F] Corollary 7)

$$H^{-1}(0) \perp H(X) \bullet$$

If in particular $T \in B(X)$ satisfies the *Fuglede* condition,

2.4
$$T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^{*-1}(0)$$
,

then Lemma 1 says that

$$T^{-1}(0) \perp \operatorname{Re}(T)(X)$$

If in particular *A* and *B* are Banach algebras and *M* a *Banach* (*A*,*B*)-*bimodule* then [H4] tuples $a \in A^n$ and $b \in B^n$ combine to give "elementary" operators

$$L_a \circ R_b : m \mapsto \sum_j a_j m b_j \ (M \to M);$$

we focus particularly on the "generalized inner derivation"

$$L_a - R_b : m \mapsto am - mb$$

and its multiplicative analogue

 $L_a R_b - I : m \mapsto amb - m$

associated with $(a, b) \in A \times B$. For example if (a, b) = (v, u) are the *backward and forward shifts* in A = B(X) with $X = \ell_2$ then [BH] $(L_a R_b - I)^{-1}(0)$ and $(L_a - R_b)^{-1}(0)$ are respectively the *Toeplitz* and the *Hankel* operators. Generally when A = M = B,

Theorem 2 If *A* is a Banach algebra and $\{a, b\} \subseteq \text{Reim}(A)$ then

2.5
$$b \in (L_a - R_a)^{-1}(0) \cap (L_{a^*} - R_{a^*})^{-1}(0) \Longrightarrow ||a|| \le ||a - [b, b^*]|| \text{ and } ||b|| \le ||b - [a, a^*]||.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 1 with X = A and $T = L_b - R_b$, together with the observation that

2.6
$$(L_a - R_a)(b) = 0 \iff (L_b - R_b)(a) = 0,$$

and also (1.10)

2.7
$$(L_{a^*} - R_{a^*})(b) = (L_a - R_a)(b)^* = 0$$
.

Indeed if $(L_b - R_b)(a) = 0 = (L_b - R_b)^*(a)$ then, with b = h + ik and arbitrary $c \in A$,

$$||a|| \le ||a - \operatorname{Re}(T)(c)|| \equiv ||a - (L_h - R_h)(c)||$$
:

but now

$$c = 2ik \Longrightarrow (L_h - R_h)(c) = (L_b - R_b)(b^*) = [b, b^*];$$

for the second part interchange a and $b \bullet$

Theorem 2 is a cosmetic improvement of Theorem 5 of [H3], in that the Fuglede condition (2.4) is withheld from $T = L_a - R_a$, and also plugs a small gap (2.7) in the argument there.

3. Multiplicative commutants

Theorem 6 of [H3] needs more than cosmetic adjustment. If we write, for arbitrary $c \in A$,

3.1
$$D_c = L_c - R_c; \ \triangle_c = L_c R_c - I,$$

then we recall [H1] that if $\{a, b\} \subseteq A$ then

3.2
$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{a+b} \\ D_{ab} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ R_b & L_a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_a \\ D_b \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence always

3.3
$$D_a^{-1}(0) \cap D_b^{-1}(0) \subseteq D_{a+b}^{-1}(0) \cap D_{ab}^{-1}(0) .$$

Conversely (taking [H2], [HH] the "adjugate" !)

3.4
$$\begin{pmatrix} L_a & -I \\ -R_b & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_{a+b} \\ D_{ab} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_a - R_b & 0 \\ 0 & L_a - R_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_a \\ D_b \end{pmatrix};$$

and hence if $L_a - R_b$ is one one there is equality in (3.3). We also have ST - I = S(T - I) + (S - I), so that

3.5
$$(S-I)^{-1}(0) \cap (T-I)^{-1}(0) \subseteq (ST-I)^{-1}(0);$$

in particular

$$\triangle_a \triangle_b = L_b R_b \triangle_a + \triangle_b = L_{ab} R_{ba} - I \ (\neq \triangle_{ab}) ,$$

and hence

3.6

3.7
$$\Delta_a^{-1}(0) \cap \Delta_b^{-1}(0) \subseteq (L_{ab}R_{ba} - I)^{-1}(0) .$$

As a supplement to the first row of (3.2) we have also

3.8
$$D_{a+b} = (L_a - R_b) + (L_b - R_a),$$

so that

3.9
$$(L_a - R_b)^{-1}(0) \cap (L_b - R_a)^{-1}(0) \subseteq D_{a+b}^{-1}(0)$$

giving cosmetic improvement of the sort of two-variable extension of Theorem 2 noticed by Mansour and Bouzenada ([MB] Theorem 3.1).

If we make the assumption

3.10
$$\operatorname{Reim}(A)^2 \subseteq \operatorname{Reim}(A)$$

that the Palmer subspace is a subalgebra (so that [BD],[Sp] it is in fact a C* algebra) then, in place of Theorem 6 of [H3],

Theorem 3 *If* $\{a, b\} \subseteq \text{Reim}(A)$ *then*

3.11
$$b \in (L_a R_a - I)^{-1}(0) \cap (L_{a^*} R_{a^*} - I)^{-1}(0) \Longrightarrow \text{AND}_{c \in A} (||b|| \le ||b + c - a^* a caa^*||).$$

Proof. This is (3.7) with $b = a^*$:

3.12
$$(L_a R_a - I)^{-1}(0) \cap (L_{a^*} R_{a^*} - I)^{-1}(0) \subseteq (L_{a^* a} R_{aa^*} - I)^{-1}(0) \perp (L_{a^* a} R_{aa^*} - I)(A)$$

The multiplicative assumption of course guarantees that the product of commuting hermitian operators in the middle of (3.12) is again hermitian \bullet

We at the same time have cosmetic improvement of Duggal's ([Du] Theorem 2.6) version:

3.13
$$b \in (L_a R_a - I)^{-1}(0) \cap (L_{a^*} R_{a^*} - I)^{-1}(0) \Longrightarrow b^* b \in (L_a - R_a)^{-1}(0)$$

An old example of Anderson and Foias says that the multiplicative assumption (3.10) cannot be omitted from Theorem 3; if

3.14
$$0 \neq a^* = a = a^2 \neq 1 \in A = B(X)$$
,

then ([AF] Example 5.8) $L_a R_a \in B(A)$ is not hermitian, and the orthogonality at the end of (3.12) is liable to fail.

If $a = u \in A = B(X)$ with $X = \ell_2$ is the forward shift then $(L_a - R_a)^{-1}(0)$ consists of the analytic Toeplitz operators while $a^* = v$ is the backward shift, and $(L_{a^*} - R_{a^*})^{-1}(0)$ the *co-analytic* Toeplitz operators; in this case the intersection on the left of (2.5) reduces to the scalar multiples of the identity. When a = u then $(L_{a^*}R_a - I)^{-1}(0)$ consists of the Toeplitz operators; $(L_aR_{a^*} - I)^{-1}(0)$ however, and therefore the intersection, reduces to the co-analytic Toeplitz operators.

Putting a = 1 in (2.5) shows ([MB] Corollary 3.2) that

3.12
$$b \in \operatorname{Reim}(A) \Longrightarrow ||1 - [b, b^*]|| \ge 1$$

References

[AF] J. Anderson and C. Foias, *Properties which normal operators share with normal derivations and related properties*, Pacific Jour. Math. **61** (1975)

[BD] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Numerical ranges I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 2 (1971)

[BH] A. Brown and P. Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, Jour. Reine Angew. Math. **213** (1963) 89-102

[Du] B.P. Duggal, On self-commutator approximants, Kyungpook Math. Jour. 48 (2008)

[F] C.-K. Fong, Normal operators on Banach spaces, Glasgow Math. Jour. 20 (1979) 163-168

[H1] R.E. Harte, Commutivity and separation of spectra II, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. 74A (1974) 239-244

[H2] R.E. Harte, A matrix joke, Irish Math. Soc.

[H3] R.E. Harte, Skew exactness and range-kernel orthogonality II, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. 347 (2008) 370-374

[H4] R.E. Harte, Hermitian subspaces and Fuglede operators, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comp. 2 (2010) 19-32
[HH] R.E. Harte and C. Hernandez, Adjugates in Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2005) 1397-1404

[Ma] P.J. Maher, Self-commutator approximants, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 157-165

[MB] A. Mansour and S. Bouzenada, *On generalized derivation in Banach spaces*, Operators and matrices (to appear).

[Si] A.M. Sinclair, *Eigenvalues in the boundary of the numerical range*, Pacific Jour. Math. **35** (1970) 231-234
[Sp] P.G. Spain, *Characterizations of Hilbert space and the Vidav-Palmer theorem*, Rocky Mountain Jour. Math. **43** (2013) 1337-1353

[W] J.P. Williams, Finite operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970) 129-135.